## Clinical practice recommendations for growth hormone treatment in children with chronic kidney disease

Jens Drube, Mandy Wan, Marjolein Bonthuis, Elke Wühl, Justine Bacchetta, Fernando Santos, Ryszard Grenda, Alberto Edefonti, Jerome Harambat, Rukshana Shroff, Burkhard Tönshoff and Dieter Haffner, on behalf of the European Society for Paediatric Nephrology Chronic Kidney Disease Mineral and Bone Disorders, Dialysis, and Transplantation Working Groups

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-019-0161-4

#### Supplementary Box 1 | Cost-effectiveness analysis

Most pediatric CKD patients have advanced CKD at the time of initiation of GH treatment, and will undergo renal transplantation when GH treatment is terminated. The duration of GH treatment is mainly determined by the age at onset of CKD, its rate of progression and the availability of a renal transplant. Therefore, our cost-effectiveness analysis included two hypothetical scenarios: (i) case 1, a child with early-onset CKD requiring GH therapy at the age of 5 years, (ii) case 2, an adolescent with late onset or slowly progressive CKD requiring GH treatment at the age of 12 years. The mean duration of GH treatment in studies reporting on final height ranged between 2 and 5 years; therefore, we used this range to estimate the cumulative drug-related costs. In addition, the estimates for drug dose were based on daily GH doses of 0.045 mg/kg body weight and were calculated for the respective age- and sex-related 25<sup>th</sup> weight percentile using the WHO reference data, assuming that patients will have a height below the 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile at the time of initiation of GH treatment and will show catch-up growth into the lower normal range thereafter (**Supplementary Table 5**) [S1].

Since the costs for patient monitoring are less than 3% of total treatment-related costs, only drug-related costs were taken into account for this analysis [S2]. The cost for GH differs considerably among European countries, and a price of €22 per 1 mg GH, based on the median cost in eight representative European countries, was used (**Supplementary Table 6**).

In clinical studies the height standard deviation score (height SDS) is often used to compare growth in children differing in age and sex. Height SDS is a conversion of height (or length) that represents the number of standard deviations (SD) from the mean height for age and sex. A child with a height SDS less than -1.88, which corresponds to the 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile, has short stature. Therefore, the mean increase in final height in GH treated patients was calculated as the difference between standardized final height (height SDS) and standardized height at the start of GH therapy for all available studies reporting on adult height with treatment periods of at least 2 years (**Supplementary Table 4**). The median increase in standardized height in these studies (1.1 SDS) was converted to cm (7.4 cm in boys, 7.0 cm in girls) by use of European reference values. Thus, an expected gain in final height of 7.2 cm was used in the cost-effectiveness analysis; that is, a calculation of the incremental cost per centimeter gained in final height [S3].

**Supplementary Table 1** | Inclusion and exclusion criteria used in 18 randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of GH treatment in children with chronic kidney disease.

Ref.	Major inclusion criteria	Major exclusion criteria
Bacchetta et al.	CKD stage 5D	Poor medical adherence
(2013)	<ul> <li>Age 2–21 yrs.</li> </ul>	Parathyroidectomy
[S4]	No auxological inclusion criteria given	<ul> <li>Epiphyseal growth plate closure</li> </ul>
		Treatment with prednisone or any other
		immunosuppressive agent
Santos et al.	CKD stage 3–5D	<ul> <li>Non-CKD related hormonal, genetic,</li> </ul>
(2010)	Well-nourished	neurologic, osseous conditions
[S5]	Age 12 ± 3 months	Suspected allergy to the trial product
	<ul> <li>Length &lt; –2.0 SDS and HV</li> </ul>	Treatment with corticosteroids
	< 50th percentile	Inadequate metabolic control of CKD
		(severe sHPT, acidosis, sodium or water
		deficits)
Hertel et al.	• CKD (eGFR <40 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> or on	Abnormal thyroid status
(2002)	dialysis)	Endocrine or metabolic disease other than
[S6]	Age 3–18 years	sHPT
	• BA <12 yrs (girls),	Growth retardation due to failure of other     organa, or psychoasoial dworfiam
	<ul> <li>&lt; 10 yrs (boys)</li> <li>Height &lt; -2.0 SDS and HV velocity SDS</li> </ul>	organs, or psychosocial dwarfism
	<ul> <li>Height &lt; -2.0 SDS and HV velocity SDS</li> <li>&lt;0.0</li> </ul>	
Fine et al. (2002)	CKD stage 5T	Specific cause for the growth retardation
[S7]	<ul> <li>Height &lt; -2.0 SDS</li> </ul>	other than those implicated in renal allograft
[0.]	<ul> <li>BA &lt; 15 yrs (girls),</li> </ul>	recipients
	< 16 yrs (boys)	<ul> <li>Active malignancy or treated for a</li> </ul>
		malignancy within one year
		Diabetes mellitus
		Gonadotropin deficiency on
		estrogen/androgen therapy
		Deformities obviating accurate height
		measurements
		Other investigational drug within 6 months
		of the study
Sanchez et	CKD stage 5T	<ul> <li>Willingness to undergo bone biopsy</li> </ul>
al.(2002)	Prepubertal	procedure
[S8]	Normal bone formation rate or adynamic	Histological evidence of sHPT
	bone disease on bone	
	histomorphometric analysis	
	No auxological inclusion criteria given	
Kuizon et al.	CKD stage 5D on PD	Not given
(1998) [S9]	No auxological inclusion criteria given	
Maxwell et al.,	CKD stage 5T	<ul> <li>Height velocity &gt; 75<sup>th</sup> percentile during the</li> </ul>
(1998)	<ul> <li>At least 1 year after KTx</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>neight velocity &gt; 75 percentile during the preceding 6 months</li> </ul>
[S10]	• eGFR >20 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	<ul> <li>Treatment with any form of GH in the past</li> </ul>
	Height <3rd percentile	year
	or HV <25th percentile	<ul> <li>Previous malignancy</li> </ul>
	Normal thyroid function	Severe congenital abnormality
		Diabetes mellitus
		Uncontrolled renal bone disease
Powell et al.	• CKD (eGFR >5 and <75 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	Serum albumin <2.5 g/dl
,(1997)	Age > 2.5 years	Medications which influence growth
[S11]	Ability to stand for height measurement	Presence of illnesses affecting growth
	• BA < 10 yrs (girls), < 11 yrs. (boys)	Diabetes mellitus
	Prepubertal	Present or past history of malignancy
Ito et al. (1997)	<ul> <li>CKD (eGFR &lt;40 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> or on</li> </ul>	Not given

[\$12]	dialycic)	
[S12]	dialysis) • BA < 12 yrs (girls),	
	< 13 yrs (boys)	
	<ul> <li>Prepubertal</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Height or HV &lt; -2.5 SDS</li> </ul>	
Kitagawa et al.	<ul> <li>CKD (eGFR &lt; 40 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>	Not given
(1997)	<ul> <li>BA &lt; 12 yrs (girls), &lt; 13 yrs (boys)</li> </ul>	
[S13]	<ul> <li>Prepubertal</li> </ul>	
[]	• Height or HV < $-2.5$ SDS	
Broyer et al.	CKD stage 5T	Not given
(1996)	Prepubertal	t Not given
[S14]		
Kawaguchi et al.	• CKD (eGFR < 40 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> or on	
(1996	dialysis)	Not given
[S15]	• BA < 12 yrs (girls), < 13 yrs (boys)	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J
	Prepubertal	
	<ul> <li>Height or HV &lt; –2.5 SDS</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>CKD stage 5T (eGFR &gt; 30</li> </ul>	
	$ml/min/1.73 m^2$ )	
	<ul> <li>BA &lt;13 yrs (girls), &lt;14 yrs (boys)</li> </ul>	
	Prepubertal or early pubertal	
Hokken-Koelega	CKD stage 5T	Thyroid dysfunction
et al. (1996)	<ul> <li>Height &lt; –1.88 SDS and HV &lt; 25th</li> </ul>	Metabolic acidosis
[S16]	percentile	Previous sex hormone treatment
	Prepubertal	Growth retardation due to other causes
	<ul> <li>BA &lt; 10 yrs (girls), &lt; 12 yrs (boys)</li> </ul>	
Fine et al. (1995)	<ul> <li>CKD (eGFR &gt; 5 and &lt; 75</li> </ul>	Specific cause of growth retardation other
[S17]	ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	than CKD
	• Age < 2.5 yrs	Inability to obtain accurate height
	• BA <10 yrs (girls), < 11 yrs (boys)	measurements (e.g. severe scoliosis,
	Prepubertal	meningomyelocele)
	Height < 3rd percentile	Medications that influence growth
		Diabetes mellitus
		Active malignancy or treated for a     malignancy within the past year
Hokken-Koelega	• CKD (eGFR < 20 ml/ min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> or on	<ul> <li>malignancy within the past year</li> <li>Specific cause of growth retardation other</li> </ul>
et al. (1994)	dialysis	<ul> <li>Specific cause of growth retardation other than CKD</li> </ul>
[S18]	<ul> <li>Height &lt; –1.88 SDS and HV &lt; 50th</li> </ul>	Hypothyroidism
[0.0]	percentile	Metabolic acidosis
	or	<ul> <li>Clinical or radiographic signs of</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Height &lt; 0.0 SDS and HV &lt; 25th</li> </ul>	osteodystrophy
	percentile	<ul> <li>No previous treatment with anabolic or sex</li> </ul>
	Prepubertal	steroids
	BA <10 yrs (girls), <12 yrs (boys)	
Hokken-Koelega	<ul> <li>&gt; 12 months after KTx</li> </ul>	Specific cause of growth retardation other
et al. (1994)	<ul> <li>&gt; 6 months no history of rejections</li> </ul>	than CKD
[S19]	<ul> <li>Height &lt; -1.88 SDS and HV &lt; 50th</li> </ul>	Hypothyroidism
	percentile	Metabolic acidosis
	or	No previous treatment with anabolic or sex
	• Height <0.0 SDS and HV < 25th	steroids
	percentile	
	• Prednisone dosage <0–0.25 mg per kg	
	per day or 0.50 mg per kg every other	
	day for 6 months	
	• BA <8 yrs (girls), <10 yrs (boys)	
Fine et al.(1994)	• CKD (oCEP > 5 and < 75	Specific cause of growth retardation other
[S20]	<ul> <li>CKD (eGFR &gt; 5 and &lt; 75 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>	Specific cause of growth retardation other than CKD
	<ul> <li>Prepubertal,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inability to obtain accurate height</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>BA &lt;10 yrs (girls), &lt;11 yrs (boys)</li> <li>Height &lt; 3rd percentile</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>measurements</li> <li>Corticosteroids or other medications than influence growth</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus</li> <li>Active malignancy or treated for a malignancy within the past year</li> <li>Other investigational drug within 2 months of assignment into the study</li> </ul>
Hokken-Koelega et al.(1991) [S21]	<ul> <li>CKD (eGFR &lt; 20 ml/ min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> or on dialysis)</li> <li>Height &lt; -1.88 SDS and HV &lt; 25th percentile</li> <li>Prepubertal</li> <li>BA &lt; 10 yrs (girls), &lt; 12 yrs (boys)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Specific cause of growth retardation other than CKD</li> <li>Hypothyroidism</li> <li>Metabolic acidosis</li> <li>Clinical or radiographic signs of osteodystrophy</li> <li>Previous treatment with anabolic or sex steroids</li> </ul>

BA, bone age; CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GH, growth hormone; KTx, kidney transplantation; HV, height velocity; SDS, SD score; sHPT, secondary hyperparathyroidism.

# **Supplementary Table 2** | 18 RCTs and a meta-analysis on GH therapy in children with CKD included in the systematic review

Ref. (country of	Study design	Patients	Intervention and comparator	Outcomes
origin)				
Bacchetta et al. (2013) [S4] (USA)	Single center, RCT, open labeled	33 patients with CKD stage 5D (all on PD) GH: n=15 (M/F 6/9), prepubertal: 8/15, low–normal bone turnover n=7, high bone turnover n=8 Controls: n=18 (M/F 9/9), prepubertal 9/18, low–normal bone turnover n=7, high bone turnover n=11	GH 0.05 μg/kg/d s.c. Controls: No GH In addition, patients with high bone turnover received 1μg calcitriol thrice weekly	Delta height SDS, GH vs. no- GH 0.32±0.08 ( <i>P</i> <0.01). Bone formation rate increased in patients with low bone turnover and normalized (decreased) in patients with high bone turnover receiving GH therapy (each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Hodson et al. (2012) [S22] (Australia)	Cochrane Review comprising: 16 RCTs including 10 RCTs	n=809 (CT, dialysis, KTx) n=560 (CT, dialysis, KTx); most patients prepubertal or early	GH, 28 IU/m² per wk daily vs. placebo or no	Delta height SDS at 1 year: 0.82 (95% CI 0.56–1.07) independent of pubertal status and CKD stage Increase in HV: at 6 months, 2.85 cm/6 mo (95% CI 2.22–3.84); at 12 months, 3.88 cm/yr (95% CI
	5 RCTs	n=231 (CT, dialysis); most patients prepubertal	treatment GH, 28 vs. 14 IU/m <sup>2</sup> per wk daily	3.32–4.44) HV greater by 2.3 cm/yr (95% Cl 1.39–3.21) than in controls during the 2nd treatment year
	1 RCT	n=16 (KTx), prepubertal	GH, 56 vs. 28 IU/m² per wk daily	HV in higher GH dose group exceed that in the lower GH dose by 1.18 cm/yr (95% CI 0.52–1.84) The frequency of reported adverse effects of GH was generally similar to that in control groups
Santos et al. (2010) [S5] (Spain)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=16 (M/F 13/3, CKD stage 3-5D; 3 on PD) Age 12 ± 3 months GH: n=8 Controls: n=8	GH: 0.33 mg/kg/wk for 12 months Controls: No GH treatment	Length gain in infants treated with GH was higher ( <i>P</i> <0.05) than in controls (HV, 14.5 versus 9.5 cm/yr; change in height SDS, 1.43 versus -0.11); GH treatment increased forearm bone mass and serum concentrations of total and free IGF-I and IGFBP-3
Hertel et al. (2002) [S6] (Denmark)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	CKD stage 3-5D GH 1: n=14 (M/F 12/2) GH 2: n=15 (M/F	GH 1: 28 IU/m²/wk daily GH 2: 14 IU/m²/wk daily	HV SDS was increased to 3.0 SDS in the 1st year in the low- dose, and to 3.8 SDS in the high-dose group (each <i>P</i> <0.05). In the 2nd year, HV SDS was increased to 1.3 SDS in the

		40/0)		
		12/3)		low-dose group and to 2.1 SDS in high-dose group (each <i>P</i> <0.05).
Fine et al. (2002) [S7] (USA)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=68 (KTx) GH: n=36 (M/F 29/7); Tanner stage I n= 26, Tanner stage 2–3 n=10	GH: 0.05 mg/kg/d for 12 months Controls: No GH treatment	Delta height SDS, 0.49 vs. – 0.10 ( <i>P</i> <0.001); no increased rejection rates on GH; previous rejection was predictive for future rejections on GH teatment; adverse events similar
		Controls; n=27 (M/F 25/2); Tanner stage 1 n=14, Tanner stage 2–3 n=13		
Sanchez et	Single center,	n=21 (KTx)	GH: 28 IU/m²/wk	GH: height SDS increased from
al. (2002) [S8] (USA)	RCT, open labeled	GH: n=11 Controls: n=10	for 24 months Controls: no GH treatment	-2.0±1.1 to -1.1±1.0 ( <i>P</i> <0.02) after 12 months. Controls: no significant change; height velocity was greater in GH group versus controls (8.0±2.1
				cm/year vs. 4.8±1.7 cm/year,
Kuizon et al. (1998) [S9] (USA)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=14 (CKD stage 5D; all on PD)	GH: 28 IU/m²/wk daily	P < 0.01) Height SDS after 1 year higher in GH group (-1.4 ± 0.6) compared to controls (-2.2 ±
(00,1)		GH: n=6	Control: no GH treatment	1.1; <i>P</i> <0.05)
		Control: n=8		
Maxwell et al. (1998) [S10] (UK)	Multicenter RCT, open labeled	n=22, CKD stage 5T; M/F 18/4 GH: n=13 (prepubertal n=9,	GH: 0.05 mg/kg/d (equivalent to 4 IU/m <sup>2</sup> /d) in the 1st yr	1st yr: mean (SE) Prepubertal: HV and change in height SDS was significantly higher in GH group vs. controls (8.1 (0.9) vs. 3.7 (0.6) cm/year
		pubertal n=4)	Control: no GH treatment in the	and 0.6 (0.1) vs. –0.3 (0.2; each <i>P</i> < 0.001).
		Controls: n=9 (prepubertal n=6,	1st yr	Pubertal: HV and delta height SDS were higher in GH vs.
		pubertal n=3)	All patients received GH in the 2nd yr	controls (10.1 (0.6) vs. 3.9 (1.3) cm/year and 0.6 (0.1) vs.–0.1 (0.2); each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Powell et al. (1997) [S11] (USA)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=44; CKD stage 3– 5D; prepubertal 44/44	GH: 0.05 mg/kg/d for 12 months	GH: HV, 9.1 ± 2.8 cm; weight gain, 3.5 ± 1.5 kg
		GH: n=30 (M/F 25/5); age 5.6 ± 2.0	Controls: no GH treatment	Controls: HV, 5.5 ± 1.9 cm; weight gain, 2.2 ± 1.0 kg (each <i>P</i> <0.01 GH vs. controls)
		Controls: n=14 (M/F 12/2); age 5.7 ± 2.6		
Ito et al. (1997) [S12] (Japan)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=29 (M/F 5/24); CKD stage 3–5 n=21; dialysis n= 8	2 IU/m²/d vs. 4 IU/m²/d for 12 months. Thereafter, 4	Significant increase in height SDS, HV and HV SDS in GH group compared to pre- treatment (each <i>P</i> <0.05); no
		Prepubertal n=26 Tanner stage 2 n=2 Tanner stage 3 n=1	IU/m²/d for 12 months	significant difference between different treatment groups
Kitagawa et	Multicenter	CKD stage 5D,	GH 0.5: 0.5	Height SDS:
al. (1997) [S13] (Japan)	RCT, open labeled	prepubertal GH 0.5:	IU/kg/wk daily for 24 months	GH 0.5: no significant increase during the 1st yr. GH 1.0: significant increase
		n=26 (M/F 15/11)	GH 1.0: 1.0	during the 1st yr ( $P$ <0.05).

		GH 1.0: n=28 (M/F 17/11) CKD 3–5D,	IU/kg/wk daily for 24 months GH 0.5: 0.5	HV: GH 0.5: no significant increase. GH 1.0: significant increase during the 1st and 2nd yr compared to baseline (each <i>P</i> <0.01). HV SDS: In both groups significant increase during the 1st and 2nd yr compared to baseline (each <i>P</i> <0.01) Height SDS: Significant
		prepubertal GH 0.5: n=28 (M/F 19/9) GH 1.0: n=30 (M/F 22/8)	U/kg/wk daily for 24 months GH 1.0: 1.0 U/kg/wk daily for 24 months	increase in both groups (each $P$ <0.05). HV: Significant increase in both groups (each $P$ <0.05). HV SDS: In both groups significant increase during the 1st and 2nd yr compared to baseline (each $P$ <0.01)
Broyer et al. (1996) [S14] (France)	Multicenter RCT, open labeled	GH: n=106 (n=67 prepubertal, M/F 71/35, KTx 106/106) Control: n= 97 (n=51 prepubertal M/F 72/25, KTx 97/97)	GH: 30 IU/m²/wk daily Control: no GH treatment	Change in HV and delta height SDS during the 1st yr higher in GH group vs. controls (each <i>P</i> <0.0001). 2nd yr, HV remained greater in GH group compared to baseline resulting in further increase in height SDS
Kawaguchi et al. (1996) [S15] (Japan)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=83; CKD stage 3– 5D/T (including 23 KTx patients) GH 0.5: n=54 (CKD stage 3–5D n=28; M/F 34/20) GH 1.0: n=58 (CKD stage 3–5D n=30; M/F 39/19)	GH 0.5: 0.5 IU/kg/wk for 12 months GH 1.0: 1.0 IU/kg/wk for 12 months	CKD stage 3–5: HV significantly increased in both groups, and was higher in GH 1.0 vs. GH 0.5 (each <i>P</i> <0.01). CKD stage 5D: HV significantly increased in both groups, and was higher in GH 1.0 vs. GH 0.5 (each <i>P</i> <0.01). CKD stage 5T: HV increased increased in both groups (each <i>P</i> <0.05) and did not differ between groups; 7/23 patients showed acute rejection episodes.
Hokken- Koelega et al. (1996) [S16] (Netherlands)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=11; CKD stage 5T; prepubertal GH: n=6 (M/F 4/2) Controls: n=5 (M/F 4/1)	GH: 28 IU/m²/wk daily for 6 months Controls: placebo	HV exceeded that of placebo by 2.9 cm/6 months; no acceleration of bone maturation; no change in eGFR; increase in IGF-I and integrated insulin levels during GH
Fine et al. (1995) [S17] (USA)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=30; age <2.5 yrs. GH: n=19 (M/F16/3); CKD stage 3–5 Controls: n=11 (M/F 7/4); CKD 3–5	GH: 0.05 mg/kg/day Controls: placebo	HV, 1st yr: 14.1 vs. 9.3 cm/y; 2nd yr: 8.6 vs. 6.9 cm/yr (each <i>P</i> <0.05). Delta height SDS, 2.0 vs. –0.2 during 2 yrs. ( <i>P</i> <0.0001)
Hokken- Koelega et al. (1994) [S18] (Netherlands)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=23; CKD 4-5 n=8; CKD stage 5D n=15; prepubertal 23/23 GH 1; n=12 (M/F 11/1)	GH 1: 28 IU/m²/wk daily GH 2: 14 IU/m²/wk daily	HV SDS comparable during 6 months; HV SDS higher at high dose in 2nd yr.; no further catch-up in 2nd year on low dose GH

		GH 2: n=11 (M/F 7/4)		
Hokken- Koelega et al. (1994) [S19] (Netherlands)	Multicenter, RCT, open labeled	n=16, KTx GH 1: n=7 (M/F 4/3); prepubertal n=2, Tanner stage 2–3 n=5)	GH 1: 56 IU/m²/wk daily GH 2: 28	Height increment during 2 yr. GH treatment was 15.7 (5.1) cm and 5.8 (3.4) cm in controls ( $P$ <0.0001). Similar results in both GH groups
		GH 2: n=9 (M/F 5/4); prepubertal n=4, Tanner stage 2–3 n=5	IU/m²/wk daily	
Fine et al. (1994) [S20] (USA)	Multicenter, RCT, double blinded	GH: n=82 (M/F 61/21); prepubertal 82/82 Control: n=43 (M/F 28/14) prepubertal 43/43 eGFR <75 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	GH: 0.05 mg/kg/d Control: placebo	Height SDS after 2 yrs: GH –1.55 vs. –2.94; Controls, –2.91 vs. –2.82 ( <i>P</i> <0.0001). HV: GH, 10.7 cm/yr (1st yr), 7.8 cm/yr (2nd yr); controls, 6.5 cm/yr (1st yr), 5.5 cm/yr (2nd yr); each <i>P</i> <0.0001)
Hokken- Koelega et al. (1991) [S21] (Netherlands)	Multicenter, RCT, double blinded cross- over	GH: n=8 (M/F 6/2) Controls: n=8 (M/F 4/4) eGFR <20 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	GH: 28 IU/m²/wk for 6 months Controls: placebo	HV in GH group was significantly higher compared to controls by 2.9 cm per 6 months ( <i>P</i> <0.05)

Data are given as mean  $\pm$  SD if not indicated otherwise. CKD, chronic kidney disease; CT, conservative treatment (CKD prior to dialysis); eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; F, female; GH, growth hormone; HV, height velocity; KTx, kidney transplantation; M, male; PD, peritoneal dialysis; RCT, randomized controlled trial; s.c., subcutaneous; SDS, standard deviation score; SE, standard error

Ref. (country of origin)         Study design (auxot- Wawrynia (auxot)         Study design (barcetristics         N characteristics         OH dosage (haracteristics         Outcomes           Wawrot- Wawrynia (2013) (S23) (Austria)         Observational study         18 (Austria)         18 (Crossover nanomized controlled clinical trial         15 (MF 778) (Auge 74 (Austria)         0.33 (MF 778) (Auge 74 (Austria)         0.33 (MF 778) (B 20)(Austria)         10 (Austria)						tional studies (n=33)
Nawoci- Wawrzynia et al. (2013) [S23] (Austria)Observational study18 aCKD stage 5, n=16 (NF 13/3) age 3, 8-16 yrs. pubertal stage 1: n=15/18 Tanner stage 2: n=3/161.0-1.1 UVkg/wk daily for 12 monthsBore mineralization density distribution: patients had low bone turnover (P<0.05); heterogeneity in mineralization.Youssef et al. (2012) (E324) (Egypt)Crossover non- randomized controlled clinical trial15 controlled clinical trialCKD stage 5, n=15 (MF 7/8) go 16 a 2.8 yrs. (page 5-14 yrs.); pubertal stage not given0.33 mg/kg/wk (0.8 UV/kg/wk) three times gor of 6 + 2.8 yrs. yearThe year before therapy, increase of height was not statistically significant (P > 20.05)Willer- Willer t (Germany)Open-label, multiconter study81 controlled clinical trialCKD stage 3-5 n-37: CKD 5D age 6.6 ± 3.9 yrs; pubertal stage not given0.35 mg/kg/wk dio 172 yearChange in HV and height versus baselineWiller- (Germany)Open-label, multiconter study81 controlled clinical trialCKD stage 3-5 n-37: CKD 5D age 8.6 ± 3.9 yrs; pubertal stage not given0.35 mg/kg/wk digit 121 months findChange in HV and height versus baselineMencarelli et al. (2010) (S25)Retrospective study27 controlledCKD stage 3-5D mg/kg/wk digit 921-22.5 to mg/kg/wk digit 101-120.35 mg/kg/wk digit 101-12 months find 4% date 24 nouths of treatment HV 4.6 ± 3.1 to 9.0 ± 3.6) controlled coll heary base intermant age 8.6 ± 3.9 yrs; given <t< th=""><th>(country</th><th>Study design</th><th>N</th><th></th><th>GH dosage</th><th>Outcomes</th></t<>	(country	Study design	N		GH dosage	Outcomes
al. (2012) [S24] (Egypt)       non- randomized controlled clinical trial       non- randomized (range 5-14 yrs.); pubertal stage not given       mg/kg/wk (0.8 (Ukg/wk) pubertal stage not given       increase of height was not statistically significant (P >0.05)         Willer- Wiefel et al. (2010) [S25] (Germany)       Open-label, international, multicenter study       81       CKD stage 3-5 n=37; CKD 5D n=27; KTX n=17 (MF 58/23); age 8.6 ± 3.9 yrs; pubertal stage not given       0.35       Change in HV and height versus baseline         Müller- Wiefel et al. (2010) [S25] (Germany)       Open-label, international, multicenter study       81       CKD stage 3-5 n=37; CKD 5D n=27; KTX n=17 (MF 58/23); given       0.35       Change in HV and height versus baseline         Additional- international, al. (2010) [S25] (Germany)       81       CKD stage 3-5 pubertal stage not given       0.35       Change in HV and height versus baseline         Mencarelli et al. (2009) [S26] (Italy)       Retrospective study       21       CKD stage 3-5D Infants.       0.32       0.35         Mencarelli et al. (2009) [S26] (Italy)       Retrospective study       27       CKD stage 3-5D Infants.       0.24 ± 0.07         GH: n=12 (MF 9/3 Controls: n=15 (MF 11/4).       0.24 ± 0.07       Normal height SDS was noted in 1% of children at baseline, 17% after 12 months of H therapy         Height frequency of ESRD in GH group       ESRD in GH group       0.24 ± 0.07       Normal height SDS increase of theight SDS	Nawrot- Wawrzynia et al. (2013) [S23]		18	(M/F 13/3) age 3.6–16 yrs. pubertal stage Tanner stage 1: n=15/18 Tanner stage 2:	IU/kg/wk daily for 12	<ul> <li>distribution: patients had low bone turnover (<i>P</i>&lt;0.05); heterogeneity in mineralization.</li> <li>After GH treatment, height increased by 9.1 cm (<i>P</i>&lt;0.001) and bone turnover indices to normal values or beyond</li> <li>Lower and more heterogeneous matrix mineralization compared to</li> </ul>
Wiefel et al. (2010) (S25] (Germany)international, multicenter studyn=37; CKD 5D n=27; KTx n=17 (M/F 58/23); age 8.6 ± 3.9 yrs; pubertal stage not givenmg/kg/wk daily for 12 months then extended to 2–5 yrs.baseline• After 12 months of treatment: HV: 4.6 ± 3.1 to 9.0 ± 3.6) cm/yr (P<0.001). Mean height SDS: -3.7 ± 1.7 to -3.0 ±1.7 (P<0.001). Mean HV SDS -2.4 ± 2.5 to 3.8 ± 4.5 (P< 0.001).Mencarelli et al. (2009) [S26] (Italy)Retrospective study27CKD stage 3–5D Infants.0.24 ± 0.07 mg/kg/wk daily0.24 ± 0.07 mg/kg/wk dailyNormal height SDS: between the age of 0.5 and 2.5 years, the height SDS. increased from -2.0 ± 1.2 to -0.9 ± 0.9 in the GH group (P<0.05)	al. (2012) [S24] (Egypt)	non- randomized controlled clinical trial		(M/F 7/8) age 10.6 ± 2.8 yrs (range 5-14 yrs.); pubertal stage not given	mg/kg/wk (0.8 IU/kg/wk) three times per wk for 1 year	<ul> <li>increase of height was not statistically significant (<i>P</i> &gt;0.05)</li> <li>The year before therapy growth velocity was 0.6 cm/year</li> <li>Under GH therapy, height increase was statistically not significant (<i>P</i>&gt;0.05)</li> <li>Under GH therapy: growth velocity, 4.1 cm/year</li> </ul>
Mencarelli et al. (2009)Retrospective study27CKD stage 3–5D Infants. $0.24 \pm 0.07$ mg/kg/wk dailyHeight SDS: between the age of $0.5$ and 2.5 years, the height SDS increased from $-2.0 \pm 1.2$ to $-0.9$ $\pm 0.9$ in the GH group ( $P < 0.005$ ) and from $-1.6 \pm 1.6$ to $-1.0 \pm 1.9$ in the control group ( $P > 0.05$ )(Italy)Higher frequency of ESRD in GH groupHigher frequency of ESRD in GH groupImage: Control of the control group ( $P > 0.05$ )	Wiefel et al. (2010) [S25]	international, multicenter	81	n=37; CKD 5D n=27; KTx n=17 (M/F 58/23); age 8.6 ± 3.9 yrs; pubertal stage not	mg/kg/wk daily for 12 months then extended to	Change in HV and height versus baseline After 12 months of treatment: HV: $4.6 \pm 3.1$ to $9.0 \pm 3.6$ ) cm/yr ( $P$ <0.001). Mean height SDS: $-3.7 \pm 1.7$ to $-3.0 \pm 1.7$ ( $P$ <0.001). Mean HV SDS $-2.4 \pm 2.5$ to $3.8 \pm 4.5$ ( $P$ < 0.001). After 24 months of treatment: HV: $4.5 \pm 3.3$ to $7.5 \pm 2.9$ cm/yr ( $P$ <0.001). Mean height SDS: $-3.6 \pm 1.5$ to $-2.5 \pm 1.5$ ( $P$ <0.001). Mean HV SDS: $-2.4 \pm 2.2$ to $1.1 \pm 0.8$ ( $P$ <0.001). Normal height SDS was noted in 1% of children at baseline, 17% after 12 months and 43% after 24 months of GH therapy
	et al. (2009) [S26]		27	Infants. GH: n=12 (M/F 9/3 Controls: n=15 (M/F 11/4). Higher frequency of	mg/kg/wk	Height SDS: between the age of 0.5 and 2.5 years, the height SDS increased from $-2.0 \pm 1.2$ to $-0.9 \pm 0.9$ in the GH group ( $P < 0.005$ ) and from $-1.6 \pm 1.6$ to $-1.0 \pm 1.9$
	Kari et al.	Retrospective	32	ESRD in GH group CKD stage 3-5	28 IU/m2/wk	CKD stage 3–5: height SDS

#### **Supplementary Table 3** | Uncontrolled trials and observational studies (n=33)

(2005) [S27] (Saudi	study		n=21; CKD 5D n=11 (M/F 23/9); age: 8.3 ± 3.7 yrs;	daily until KTx over a mean period	improved from -2.5±1.4 to -2.1±0.7 at 1 yr, - 2.0±0.7 at 2 yrs, and
Árabia)			pubertal stage not given	of 3.7 ± 2.0 years	-1.6 $\pm$ 0.6 at 3 yrs (each <i>P</i> <0.05). CKD stage 5D: height SDS improved from -2.7 $\pm$ 0.5 to - 2.3 $\pm$ 0.5 at 1 yr ( <i>P</i> <0.05). Thereafter, no further change.
Gipson et al. (2001) [S28] (USA)	Prospective study	9	CKD stage 5D n=9; gender distribution and age not given; all prepubertal	0.05 mg/kg/d, intraperitone al	Height SDS was -3.1 at baseline, -2.5 at 1 yr, and -2.3 at 2 yrs ( $P$ >0.05). Mean HV increased from 4.6 cm/yr to 8.5 cm/yr in the 1st yr ( $P$ <0.05) and 6.1 cm/yr during 2nd yr ( $P$ >0.05 vs. baseline). No increased peritonitis infection rates.
Hokken- Koelega et al. (2000) [S29] (Netherlan ds)	Multicenter, controlled, follow-up of previous trial: [S18,S21]	45	CKD stage 5D n=27 (PD:HD 18:9); CKD stage 3–5, n=18 (M/F 28/17); age 7.3 yrs; all prepubertal	3.8 IU/m2/d for a maximum of 8 yrs	Significant increment in mean height SDS over baseline values ( $P$ <0.001), both in the total group of children with intermediate-and long-term GH therapy (n=45) as well as in those treated with GH for 6 (n=11) and 8 yrs (n=7).
Haffner et al. (1998) [S30] (Germany)	Multicenter prospective study	103	CKD stage 3–5D n=74 (eGFR 26 ± 2 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ); CKD stage 5D n=29 (M/F 70/33) Age 8.5 yrs. all prepubertal	28 to 30 IU/m <sup>2</sup> /wk daily up to 5 yrs.	Height SDS in CKD stage 3–5: Baseline $-3.4 \pm 0.1$ 1st yr $-2.6 \pm 0.1$ 2nd yr $-2.1 \pm 0.2$ 3rd yr $-1.8 \pm 0.3$ 4th yr $-1.7 \pm 1.5$ 5th yr $-1.9 \pm 1.5$ (each <i>P</i> <0.05) Height SDS in CKD stage 5D Baseline $-3.6 \pm 0.2$ 1st yr $-3.1 \pm 0.3$ 2nd yr $-3.0 \pm 0.4$ 3rd yr $-3.7 \pm 0.8$ (each <i>P</i> <0.05). Predicted adult height (+7.7 cm) after 3 yrs of GH treatment ( <i>P</i> <0.001)
Bérard et al. (1998) [S31] (France)	Multicenter prospective study	42	CKD stage 5D n=42 (M/F 26/16), age 10.4 ± 4.5 yrs. 34/42 prepubertal 8/42 early puberty	1 IU/kg/wk daily for 1-5 yrs	1st year of GH, HV increased from 3.5 to 7.0 cm/year ( <i>P</i> <0.0001) and was always over 2.5 cm/yr. Height SDS increased by 0.5 SDS. -No significant adverse effects were observed
Wühl et al. (1998) [S32] (German)	Multicenter prospective study	36	Cystinosis patients; only CKD stage 2–5D (eGFR 50 ± 27 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) (M/F 20/16), age 7.3 ± 2.7 yrs; pubertal status not given	1 IU/kg/wk daily for upto 5 yrs	During the 1st year HV increased from 4.1 $\pm$ 1.6 cm/yr to 8.8 $\pm$ 2.5 cm/yr. Height SDS improved within 1 yr from -4.2 $\pm$ 1.0 to -3.3 $\pm$ 1.0 (each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Wühl et al. (1996) [S33] (Germany)	Prospective study	56	CKD stage 3–5, eGFR 26 ± 17 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> (M/F 26/12), n=38,	28–30 IU/m2 /wk for upto 2 yrs.	HV: CKD stage 3–5, 4.9 cm/yr to 9.5 cm/yr; CKD 5D: 4.6 cm/yr to 7.3 cm/yr (each <i>P</i> <0.05)

			age 6.5 ± 2.4 yrs.		Delta height SDS: CKD stage 3-
			CKD stage 5D, n=18 (M/F 6/12), age 6.5 ± 2.0 yrs. all prepubertal		5, 1.1 (1st yr), 0.5 (2nd yr); CKD stage 5D 0.5 (1st yr), 0.2 (2nd yr); each <i>P</i> <0.05
Lanes et al. (1996) [S34] (Venezuela )	Prospective study	13	CKD stage $3-5$ (eGFR $21 \pm 18$ ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) (M/F $11/2$ ) age $6.7 \pm 3.4$ yrs. (range 2.5 to 12.0 yrs), all prepubertal	1 IU/kg/wk daily for 12 months	HV increased from 4.3 cm/yr to 9.1 cm/yr and height SDS from – 3.5 to 2.6 (each <i>P</i> <0.05). GH treatment resulted in normalization of formally reduced bone mineral density.
Maxwell et al. (1996) [S35] (Canada)	Prospective study	8	GFR stage 3–5 (M/F 5/3), age 1.9 yrs. (1.3–2.7), all prepubertal	0.14 IU (0.05 mg)/kg/d	Height SDS improved from $-3.3$ to $-2.2$ ( <i>P</i> <0.01). HV SDS improved from $-1.3$ to 1.1 ( <i>P</i> <0.01). No Change in eGFR
Schwartz et al. (1995) [S36] (USA)	Prospective study	15	CKD stage 5D n=6 (M/F 4/2); KTx n=9 (M/F 8/1); age 9.1 $\pm$ 2.5 yrs; pubertal stage not given	0-6 months, 0.16 $\pm$ 0.02 mg/kg/week daily; 6-12 months, 0.22 $\pm$ 0.7 mg/kg/week daily	HV SDS increased in both groups compared to baseline (each <i>P</i> <0.05); no significant increase in height SDS
Fine et al. (1994) [S37] (USA)	Prospective study	11	CKD stage 2–5 (eGFR 5–75 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) (M/F 11/0), age 2.5–16.3 yrs; pubertal stge not given	8 patients: 0.125 mg/kg thrice weekly for 6 months, then 0.053 mg/kg daily for up to 60 months. 3 patients: 0.053 mg/kg daily for up to 60 months	HV increased from 5.4 to 8.9, 7.4 ( <i>P</i> <0.05), 7.6 ( <i>P</i> < 0.01), 6.5 ( <i>P</i> <0.05), and 7.5 cm/yr (p=NS) for each year. Height SDS increased from -3.2 to -0.85 at 60 months ( <i>P</i> <0.001)
Schaefer et al. (1994) Germany [S38]	Prospective study	31	HD: n=14 (M/F 6/8); age 11.8 ± 3.7 yrs. CPD n=17 (M/F 11/6) age 8.7 ± 4.3 yrs. all prepubertal	28-30 IU/m2/week daily for 12 to 24 months	HV: HD, 5.5 vs. 2.9 cm/yr; PD, 7.2 vs. 3.0 cm/yr (each <i>P</i> <0.001)
Jabs et al. (1993) [S39] (USA)	Prospective study	8	KTx: age 7.4 to 17.7 yrs; prepubertal and pubertal pts	0.05 mg/kg/day for daily 12 months	HV increased from $1.7\pm0.7$ to 7.1 $\pm2.1$ cm/yr during the 1st yr. Height SDS increased from – 3.9 $\pm1.5$ to –3.4 $\pm1.3$ (each P< 0.001)
Wühl et al. (1993) [S40] (Germany)	Prospective study	49	CKD stage 3–5D; KTx; all prepubertal	28 Prospective study 30 IU/m2/week daily for 12 months	<ul> <li>Predictors of growth response to GH:</li> <li>HV inversely correlated with age (r = -0.63); and positively correlated with pretreatment HV (r = 0.65)</li> <li>Increment in HV SDS was negatively correlated with pretreatment HV SDS) (r = -0.58) each <i>P</i>&lt;0.001</li> <li>HV was highest in pts. on CT and lowest on dialysis</li> </ul>

Tönshoff et	Multicenter,	15	KTx (M/F 12/3),	30 IU/m2 per	HV in prepubertal pts (cm/yr):
al. (1993)	prospective	10	age 13.2 yrs;	week daily	baseline 2.2
[S41]	study		10/15 pre-pubertal	for 36	1st yr 7.9
(Germany)	0.000			months	2nd yr 7.2
(,,,,					3rd yr 5.5
					(each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Van Renen	Prospective	9	CKD stage 3-4;	30 IU/m²/wk	Prepubertal: HV increased from
et al.	study		eGFR 11–60	daily for 12	4.6±1.3 to 9.0±1.3 cm/yr
(1992)			ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	months	(P<0.001); height SDS increased
[S42]			(M/F 9/0),		from -2.2±0.7 to -1.5±0.5
(Australia)			age 4.8–15.6 yrs;		(P < 0.01).
			prepubertal 5/9		Pubertal: HV increased from 5.4±1.4 to 10.4±1.8 cm/yr
					(P<0.01); height SDS increased
					from -1.9±0.7 to -1.3±0.9
					( <i>P</i> <0.05).
Fine et al.	Prospective	13	KTx (M/F 11/2),	0.375 mg/kg	HV SDS increased from 2.7 to 6.3
(1992)	study		age 7.6 to 17.7 yrs;	per week	(12 mo.) and to 5.2 (24 mo.); each
[S43]			prepubertal and	given daily	<i>P</i> <0.05.
(USA)			pubertal pts.	for 12-36	eGFR was 66±26 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>
				months	at baseline, 55±30 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> at 1 yr and 52±28 ml/min/1.73m2
					at 1 yr and $52\pm 28$ m/min/1.73m2 at 2 yrs. (each P>0.05).
Van Dop et	Prospective	9	KTx, age 12.6±4.0	0.3 to 0.35	HV: $1.9 \pm 1.1$ cm/yr to $7.2 \pm 1.8$
al. (1992)	study	-	yrs; 7/9 prepubertal	mg/kg/wk	cm/yr ( <i>P</i> <0.01)
[S44]	-			given daily,	
(USA)				three times	
				per wk, or	
				six times per	
Bartosh et	Prospective	5	KTx (M/F 4/1),	wk 0.05	HV (cm/y): baseline 3.5, 1st yr 8.5
al. (1992)	study	5	age 15.2±2.0 yrs;	mg/kg/wk,	( <i>P</i> <0.05).
[S45]			all prepubertal	given 6	Height SDS: –4.3 vs. –4.9
(USA)				times/wk for	( <i>P</i> <0.05)
				12 months	
Fine et al.	Prospective	9	CKD stage 2–5	0.05	HV increased significantly during
(1991)	study		eGFR 5–75	mg/kg/day	GH compared to baseline.
[S46]			ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	for 1 to 3 yrs	No significant change in eGFR
(USA)			(M/F 9/0), age 2.8- 16.3 yrs;		
			pubertal stage I–II		
Van Es et	Prospective	74	CKD stage 3–5	28–30 IU/m2	HV (cm/y): CKD stage 3–5, 9.8
al. (1991)	study		n=31; prepubertal	per week	(6.8, 2nd yr) vs. 4.2.
[S47]			31/31	daily for 24	Prepubertal KTx: 8.4 (5.4 2nd yr)
(Sweden)				months	vs. 3.6.
			KTx n=43; 26/43		Pubertal KTx: 6.6 (4.5 2nd yr) vs.
			prepubertal		3.2. (each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Tönshoff et	Prospective	43	CKD stage 3-5	28-30 IU/m2	Prepubertal pts. HV (cm/yr):
al. (1991)	study		n=17; prepubertal	per week	
[S48]			7/17	daily for 12-	CKD 3–5 10.0 (9.3, 2nd yr) vs. 4.3
(Germany)				24 months	(each <i>P</i> <0.05).
			CKD stage 5D		
			n=13; prepubertal,		CKD stage 5D 7.3 vs. 4.2.
			n=10		
			KTy n=12:		KTx, 7.9 (8.6, 2nd yr) vs. 2.3
			KTx n=13; prepubertal 10/13		(each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Rees et al.	Prospective	18	CKD stage 4–5 n=6;	30 IU/m2 per	HV:
(1990)	study		all prepubertal; age	wk. daily for	CKD stage 4–5: 4.8 to 10.1 cm/yr
[S49] (UK)			7.7 yrs (5.0–10.4)	12 months	KTx (prepubertal): 2.3 to 6.1
			(M/F 5/1)		cm/yr

			KTx n=6;majority prepubertal;age 12.1 yrs (9.5–15.8) (M/F 3/3) KTx n=6; all pubertal;age 15.6 yrs (14.1–18.3) (M/F 4/2)		KTx (pubertal): 3.2 o 6.0 cm/yr (each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Tönshoff et al. (1990) [S50] (Germany)	Prospective study	9	CKD stage 5 n=1; CKD 5D n=8 (M/F 7/2); age 5.8 yrs; all prepubertal	4 IU/ m <sup>2</sup> per day for 12 months	HV (cm/y): 8.0 vs. 4.4 HV SDS increased from -2.6 to 1.5 (each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Johansson et al. (1990) [S51] (Belgium)	Prospective study	50	CKD stage 4–5 n=22, all prepubertal; age 8.4 yrs (3.1– 12.8) KTx n=15, all prepubertal KTx n=13, all pubertal	28-30 IU/m2 per wk. daily for 12 months	CKD stage 4-5: HV increased from 4.8 cm/yr to 10.0 cm/yr (HV SDS from –1.3 to 5.1) KTx prepubertal children: HV increased from 2.6 cm/yr to 6.2 cm/yr (HV SDS from -2.8 to 2.3) KTx pubertal children: HV increased from 3.8 cm/yr to 6.7 cm/yr (each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Fine et al. (1990) [S52] (USA)	Prospective study	5	CKD stage 5D (PD), age 1.2 to 17.7 yrs; prepubertal and pubertal patients	0.125 mg/kg 3 times weekly for 12 months	Significant increase in HV compared to pretreatment year ( <i>P</i> <0.05)
Tönshoff et al. (1989) [S53] (Germany)	Prospective study	9	CKD stage 5D (M/F 7/2); all prepubertal	4 IU/ m2 per day for 6–9 months	HV SDS changed from –2.8 to 2.5 ( <i>P</i> <0.05)
Koch et al. (1989) [S54] (USA)	Prospective study	5	CKD stage 3–5D (eGFR 18±6 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ); (M/F 5/0); age 4.6±1.8 yrs; all prepubertal	0.125 mg/kg 3 times weekly for 12 months	HV (cm/yr) increased from 4.9 $\pm$ 1.4 to 8.9 $\pm$ 1.2, and height SDS from -3.0 $\pm$ 0.7 to -2.4 $\pm$ 0.8 (each <i>P</i> <0.05)
Lippe et al. (1988) [S55] (USA)	Prospective study	5	CKD stage 3–5 age 35–91 months all prepubertal	0.125 mg/kg 3 times weekly for 6 months and 6 months follow-up without GH	HV (cm/yr) increased from 4.9±1.4 to 10.1± 2.0 ( <i>P</i> <0.01)

Data are given as mean  $\pm$  SD if not indicated otherwise. CKD, chronic kidney disease; CPD, continuous peritoneal dialysis; CT, conservative treatment (CKD prior to dialysis); eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; F, female; HD, haemodialysis; HV, height velocity; GH, growth hormone; KTx, kidney transplantation; M, male; NS, nonsignificant; SDS, standard deviation score; SE, standard error.

1 <sup>st</sup> author, year, origin [Ref.]	Study design	Patients	Age at start of GH (years)	Pre-pubertal (%)	Duration of follow-up (years)	GH dosage <sup>a</sup>	Duration of GH Tx (years)	Initial height SDS	Adult height SDS <sup>b</sup>	Change in height SDS
Gils S 2018, Argentina <sup>c</sup> [S56]	Prospective study	KTx; n=23 (only boys) GH, n=13 no GH, n=10	15.5	0	3.1	9.33 mg/m²/wk daily	2.3	-3.1 ± 1 -2.5 ± 1.1 (p>0.05)	-1.8 ±0.8 -2.9 ±1 (p<0.05)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \pm 0.3 \\ (p < 0.01) \\ -0.3 \pm 0.3 \\ (p > 0.05) \end{array}$
Gils S 2012, Argentina [S57]	Prospective study	KTx, n=47 GH, n=33, no GH, n=14	13.2 12.3	45 57	≥ 3	10 mg/m²/wk daily	3.5	-3.3 ± 1.2 -3.0 ± 0.7 (p>0.05)	-1.9 ± 1.1 -3.5 ± 1.2 (p<0.05)	1.2 ± 0.7, (p<0.01) -0.5 ± 0.2, (p<0.05)
		CKD stage 3-5, n=35	n.i.		n.i.		n.i.	-3.0 ± 0.9	-1.8 ± 0.9	1.2
Berard E 2008, France	Prospective study	HD, n=19	n.i.	63	n.i.	1 IU/kg/wk daily	n.i.	-4.1 ± 0.9	-2.5 ± 1.2	1.6
[S58]		KTx, n=48	n.i.		n.i.	uuny	n.i.	-3.2 ± 11 (p>0.05)	-2.2 ± 1.2 (each p<0.05 <sup>d</sup> )	1.0 (each p<0.05)
			12.8	n.i.	4.9			-3.2	-1.7	1.5
			14.2	n.i.	4.0		> 2	-4.0	-3.0	1.1
		CKD 3-5, n=108 dialysis, n=67 KTx, n=65	13.7	n.i.	4.1			-3.6	-2.4 (each p<0.05 <sup>d</sup> )	1.1 (each p<0.002)
Nissel R 2008, Germany [S59]	Registry	n=240 regular pubertal onset	10.0	100	n.i.	0.33 mg/kg/wk daily		-3.3	-2.0	1.3
		delayed puberty in early puberty in late puberty	14.2	100	n.i.			-4.9	-3.6	1.3
			14.6	0	n.i.			-3.1	-2.2	0.9
			16.4	0	n.i.			-3.9	-2.9 (each p<0.05 <sup>d</sup> )	1.0 (each p<0.05)
Seikaly MG 2007, USA	Registry	n=91								

Suppl. Table 4: Synopsis of 11 studies reporting adult height or near adult height data after GH treatment of growth failure in CKD patients.

[S60]										
[560]		CKD 3-5, n=30	n.i.	ca. 60	n.i.	n.i.	> 2	-2.6	n.i.	0.8
		dialysis, n=20	n.i.	ca. 60	n.i.	n.i.	> 2	-2.7	n.i.	0.5
		KTx, n=41	n.i.	ca. 60	n.i.	n.i.	> 2	-2.4	n.i.	0.19 (each p<0.05)
Fine RN 2005, USA [S61]	Registry	KTx, n=676 GH, n=71 non-GH, n=669	n.i.	ca. 50	n.i.	n.i.	> 2	-2.7 -2.5 (p>0.05)	-1.8 -2.6 (p<0.001)	0.9 (p<0.001) -0.1 (p>0.05)
Crompton C 2004 Australia [S62]	Registry	n=39 CT, dialysis, KTx	12.8	ca. 50	5.4	27 IU/m <sup>2</sup> /wk daily	3.3	-2.65	-2.3	0.35 (p<0.001)
Hokken- Koelega AC 2004, The Netherlands <sup>e</sup> [S63]	Prospective study	n=65 CT, dialysis	n.i. 15.5	100 0	n.i. n.i.	4 IU/m²/d	5.8 n.i.	-2.8 n.i.	-1.4 n.i.	1.4 (p<0.001) height gain 19 cm
		CT, GH, n=9 CT, non-GH, n=335	n.i.	n.i.	3.2	n.i.	< 3.2	-3.0 -1.0	-2.3 -1.0	0.7 (p<0.05) -0.02 (p<0.05)
Fine RN 2000, USA [S64]	Registry	dialysis, GH, n=22 dialysis, non-GH, n=377	n.i.	n.i.	4.1	n.i.	< 4.1	-3.6 -1.88	-3.2 -1.82	0.4 (p=0.09) 0.06 (p=0.09)
		KTx, GH, n=72 KTx, non-GH, 1480	n.i.	n.i.	3.7	n.i.	< 3.7	-3.0 -1.7	-2.5 -1.7	0.5 (p<0.01) 0.04 (p<0.01)
Haffner D 2000, Germany	Prospective	GH, n=38 47% CKD 3-5, 24% dialysis, 29% KTx <sup>f</sup>	10.4	100	7.6	0.33 mg/kg /wk	5.3	-3.1	-1.6 ± 1.2	1.4 (p<0.001)
[S65]	study	non-GH, n=50 53% CKD 3-5, 20% dialysis, 27% KTx <sup>f</sup>	9.7	100	8.3	daily	-	-1.5 (p<0.05)	-2.1 ± 1.2 (p<0.05)	-0.6 p<0.001)
Janssen F 1997, Belgium [S66]	Retrospective study	KTx, n=17	n.i.	n.i.	n.i.	4 IU/m²/d	3.4	-3.0	-1.8	1.2 (p<0.05)

<sup>a</sup>1 IU = 0.33 mg; <sup>b</sup>follow up / subanalysis of Gils S, 2012; <sup>c</sup>in the studies of Gils et al [S56] and Nissel et al [S59] near adult height data were reported; <sup>d</sup>vs. baseline; <sup>e</sup>published only in abstract form; <sup>f</sup>percentage distribution of patient years spent in each treatment category; Tx = treatment; CT = conservative treatment (CKD prior to dialysis); KTx = Kidney transplantation; n.i. = no information given

#### Supplementary Table 5 | Model parameters, values and data sources for cost-effectiveness of

GH in CKD.

Scenario	Parameter	Value and source	Mean total cost of	Incremental cost per	
			GH therapy	cm gained	
Population data					
All scenarios	Sex distribution of	50% males	NA	NA	
	patients				
Investigation and	treatment parameters				
All scenarios	Drug doses condition	0.045 mg/kg per day	NA	NA	
	based on age- and				
	sex-related weight at				
	25th percentile and				
	not adjusted during				
	puberty.				
All scenarios	Median cost per mg	€22	NA	NA	
Effectiveness dat	a				
Scenario 1A <sup>a</sup>	Length of treatment	2 years	€12,966	€1,805	
	Final height gain	7.2 cm			
Scenario 1B <sup>a</sup>	Length of treatment	5 years	€37,905	€5,265	
	Final height gain	7.2 cm			
Scenario 2A <sup>b</sup>	Length of treatment	2 years	€ 27,075	€ 3,760	
	Final height gain	7.2 cm	1		
Scenario 2B <sup>b</sup>	Length of treatment	5 years	€80,142	€11,131	
	Final height gain	7.2 cm	1		

<sup>a</sup>Assumes a child aged 5 years and benefit uniformly sperad over treatment period. <sup>b</sup>Assumes a child aged 12 years and benefit uniformly sperad over treatment period. NA, not applicable.

### Supplementary Table 6 | Costs of GH in eight representative European countries in 2018

Country	Median cost for 1 mg of GH	Median cost for 1 mg of	Median cost for 1
	reference (somatotropin)	GH biosimilar	mg GH
Belgium	€23	€20	€22
France	€30	€25	€28
Germany	€60	€48	€54
Italy	€29	€15	€22
Netherlands	€30	€30	€30
Poland	€10	€4	€7
Spain	€16	n.a.	€16
United Kingdom	€22	€17	€20
Median			€22

Costs were obtained from national data sources or local pharmacies; n.a., not available.

## Supplementary Table 7 | Adverse events in parallel RCTs comparing GH versus control group

Reported adverse effects	Studies [Ref.]	N (GH, control)	Control group	GH group	Between groups comparison	rhGH discontinuation
Benign intracranial hypertension	Fine 2002 (KTx) [S10]	68 (29, 39)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 1 patient	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 1 report of headache with normal cerebrospinal fluid pressure.	-	Both patients discontinued from study.
(ICH)	Broyer 1998 (KTx) [S20]	90 (46, 44)	1 patient developed papilledema while on GH – group not specified		-	Papilledema resolved after discontinuation of GH.
Bone histology changes	Sanchez 2002 (KTx) [S15]	23 (11, 12)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year, 1 patient developed mild lesion of secondary hyperparathyroidism on bone biopsy (n=8)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year, 2 patients developed adynamic bone and 2 patients developed mild secondary hyperparathyroidism (N=8)	-	None reported
Glucose intolerance	Fine 2002 (KTx) [S7]	68 (29, 39)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year (no treatment): 0 report At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (GH): 1 patient developed hyperglycaemia	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 1 patient developed diabetes mellitus.	-	GH discontinued in the patient with diabetes mellitus; reintroduction of GH with no problem.
	Broyer 1998 (KTx) [S14]	90 (46, 44)	At year 1: increase in mean fasting glucose concentrations, fasting plasma insulin, mean values of insulin during OGTT. (N=19) 1 children developed diabetes during 1 <sup>st</sup> year (before GH)	At year 1: increase in mean fasting glucose concentrations, fasting plasma insulin, mean values of insulin during OGTT. (n=20)	NS	None reported
	Maxwell 1998 (KTx) [S10]	22 (9, 13)	No report	At 9 months of GH therapy, 1 patient with partial pancreatectomy had raised fasting glucose, insulin, and HbA1c concentrations.		GH was discontinued and values returned to normal.

## Supplementary Table 7 – continued

Reported adverse effects	Studies	N (GH, control)	Control group	rhGH group	Between groups comparison	rhGH discontinuation
Graft rejection	Graft rejection Broyer 1998 (KTx) [S14] 90 (46, 44)		Acute, biopsy-proven rejection: 1 <sup>st</sup> year (no treatment): 4 patients 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (GH): 6 patients	Acute, biopsy-proven rejection: 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 9 patients 2 <sup>nd</sup> + 3 <sup>rd</sup> year: 12 patients <sup>a</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> year: NS	4 pts. discontinued GH, recovered and maintain stable renal function. A total of 13 cases of discontinuation. <sup>a</sup>
	Fine 2002 (KTx) [S7]	68 (29, 39)	Rejection episodes: At 1 <sup>st</sup> year (no treatment): 3 patients At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (GH): 2 patients Allograft failure: 1 patient at 2 <sup>nd</sup> year while on GH	Rejection episodes: At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 0 report At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year: 3 patients Allograft failure: 2 patients	-	None reported
	Sanchez 2002 (KTx) [S8]	23 (11, 12)	No report	2 patients had biopsy confirmed acute rejection after 3 and 12 months of GH therapy.	-	None reported
	Maxwell 1998 (KTx) [S10]	22 (9, 13)	Presumed rejection episodes: At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 9 patients	Presumed rejection episodes: At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 8 patients	NS	None reported
Renal function deterioration	Fine 2002 (KTx) [S7]	68 (29, 39)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year (no treatment): 0 report At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (GH): 2 patients with elevated serum creatinine	None reported	-	None reported
	Broyer 1998 (KTx) [S14]	90 (46, 44)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: Moderate but significant decrease in GFR.	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: Moderate but significant decrease in eGFR	NS	7 cases discontinued due to increased serum creatinine level <sup>a</sup>
	Fine 1994 (CT) [S20]	125 (43, 82)	At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year: Serum creatinine levels rose (n=24)	At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year: Serum creatinine levels rose (n=48)	NS	None reported

#### Supplementary Table 7 – continued

Reported adverse effects	Studies	N (GH, control)	Control group	rhGH group	Between groups comparison	rhGH discontinuation				
Others	Sanots 2010 (CT/CKD VD) [S5]	14 (7, 7)	20 unspecified adverse events	9 unspecified adverse events. None were considered related to rhGH therapy.	<i>P</i> =0.065	None reported				
	Fine 2002 (KTx) [S7]	68 (29, 39)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year (no treatment): 2 cases of infection; 1 case of septic arthritis; 1 patient developed post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (GH): 1 case of seizure; 1 case of esophogeal bleeding	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 2 cases of infection; 1 case of transient ischemia attack; 1 case of genu valgum; 1 patient developed post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease. 1 patient developed Hodgkin's disease at 36 months	-	None reported				
	Maxwell 1998 (KTx) [S10]	22 (9, 13)	No report	1 patient developed worsening of a pre- exisintg idiopathic scoliosis.	-	None reported				
	Fine 1994 (CT) [S20]	125 (43, 82)	At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year: 0 report of asthma/ wheezing (n=27)	At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year: 8 reports of asthma/ wheezing (n=55)	<i>P</i> =0.048	None reported				
Reported "no adverse effects"	Hokken-K 1991	Bacchetta 2013 (CKD VD) [S4] Hokken-K 1991 (CT/CKD VD) [S21] Hokken-K 1996 (KTx) [S16]								
Adverse effects not addressed	Powell 1997 (CT	Powell 1997 (CT) [S11]Kuizo 1998 (CKD VD) [S9]								

KTx, Kidney transplant; CT, conservative treatment (CKD prior to dialysis); CKD 5D, dialysis; N, total no. of patients randomized (no treatment group, GH group); NS, Non-significant; OGTT, Oral glucose tolerance test.

## Supplementary Table 8 | Adverse events in parallel RCTs comparing two doses of GH

Reported adverse effects	Studies	N (low dose, high dose)	GH group (2 IU/m²/day)	GH group (4 IU/m²/day)	GH discontinuation
Claudication	Kitagawa 1997 (CT/CKD VD) [S13]	122 (54, 58)	Number of cases not specified		None reported
Graft rejection	Ito 1997 (KTx) [S12]	23 (10, 13)	Acute, biopsy confirmed rejection At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 2 patients	Acute, biopsy confirmed rejection At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 5 patients	None reported
Glucose intolerance	Hertel 2002 (CT/CKD VD) [S6]	29 (15, 14)	1 patient developed diabetes mellitus after 34 months of therapy. At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (4 IU/m <sup>2</sup> /day): significant increase in fasting insulin levels	0 reports At 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (4 IU/m <sup>2</sup> /day): significant increase in fasting insulin levels	Patient with diabetes mellitus discontinued GH
	Kitagawa 1997 (CT/CKD VD) [S13]	102 (54, 58)	2 cases reported <sup>a</sup>		None reported
Granuloma formation	Kitagawa 1997 (CT/CKD VD) [S13]	102 (54, 58)	2 cases reported <sup>a</sup>		None reported
Hypertension	Hertel 2002 (CT/CKD VD) [S6]	29 (15, 14)	1 patient after 6 months of therapy	0 reports	Hypertensive patient discontinued GH
	Kitagawa 1997 (CT/CKD VD) [S13]	102 (54, 58)	Number of cases not specified	•	None reported

Reported adverse effects	Studies	N (low dose, high dose)	GH group (2 IU/m²/day)	GH group (4 IU/m²/day)	GH discontinuation
Injection pain	Hertel 2002 (CT/CKD VD) [S16	29 (15, 14)	1 patient 1 patient		None reported
Lymph node swelling	Kitagawa 1997 (CT/CKD VD) [S13]	102 (54, 58)	Number of cases not specified	None reported	
Renal function deterioration	Hertel 2002 (CT/CKD VD) [S6]	29 (15, 14)	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 1 patient	At 1 <sup>st</sup> year: 0 reports	None reported
	Kitagawa 1997 (CT/CKD VD) [S13]	102 (54, 58)	More patients in the 4 IU/m <sup>2</sup> /day than in the 2 IU/m <sup>2</sup> /day group showed signs of deterioration in renal function		None reported
	Callis 1996 (CT) [S67]	43 (21, 23)	At 6 months: 9 patients	At 6 months: 11 patients	None reported
Reported "no adverse effects"	Hokken-K 1994 (CT/Cl	KD VD) [S18]			·

N, Total no. of patients randomised (low dose, high dose); <sup>a</sup>It is not possible to determine whether these patients were from the low or high dose GH group.

## Supplementary Table 9 | Summary of recommendations

	Recommendation	evidence quality, strength of recommendation
1.1	We recommend that height (or supine length for patients below 2 years of age) is regularly measured depending on age and chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage (Table 1). Height velocity should be calculated over a minimum period of 6 months, and both height and height velocity should be compared to standardized growth charts	A, strong
1.2	We recommend that growth potential is assessed by calculation of genetic target height on the basis of parental height and the extent to which the epiphysis of the left wrist is open on radiography (grade A, strong recommendation).	A, strong
	We do not recommend application of adult height prediction methods for children with CKD	C, weak
1.3	Age, primary renal disease, systemic disorders, stage of CKD, dialysis adequacy (for patients on dialysis) and graft function and glucocorticoid therapy (in children post-transplantation) should be taken into account when considering growth hormone (GH) therapy.	B, moderate
1.4	CKD-associated growth-limiting factors such as protein-calorie malnutrition, metabolic acidosis, electrolyte disturbances (hyponatremia), dehydration and mineral dysregulation, including secondary hyperparathyroidism, should be adequately controlled before considering GH therapy (grade A, strong recommendation).	A, strong
1.5	<ul> <li>The following assessments should be performed prior to starting GH:</li> <li>Serum creatinine (and estimated glomerular filtration rate), urea, calcium, phosphorus, total alkaline phosphatase, bicarbonate, parathyroid hormone, 25(OH) vitamin D, albumin, fasting glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin levels</li> </ul>	C, moderate
	<ul> <li>Serum thyroid hormone (TSH and free T3) and insulin-like growth factor 1 concentrations</li> <li>Fundoscopic examination</li> <li>Radiography of the left wrist</li> <li>Pubertal status according to Tanner</li> </ul>	
2.1	We recommend that pros and cons of growth hormone (GH) treatment are discussed with individual patients and their families before GH treatment is initiated. Such discussion is of particular importance for immobilized patients and those with syndromic kidney diseases.	no grading
2.2	We recommend that children with stage 3-5 chronic kidney disease (CKD) or on dialysis aged above 6 months should be candidates for GH therapy if they have persistent growth failure, defined as a height below the third percentile for age and sex and a height velocity below the twenty-fifth percentile, once other potentially treatable risk factors for growth failure have been adequately addressed and provided the child has growth potential.	B, moderate
2.3	We recommend that GH therapy is considered for children with stage 3-5 CKD or on dialysis aged above 6 months who present with a height between the third and tenth percentile but persistent low height velocity (below the twenty-fifth percentile) once other potentially treatable risk factors for growth failure have been adequately addressed.	D, weak
2.4	In children who have received a kidney transplant and have persistent growth failure, defined as a height below the third percentile for age and sex and a height velocity below the twenty-fifth percentile, we recommend initiating GH therapy 1 year after transplantation if spontaneous catch-up growth does not occur and steroid-free immunosuppression is not a feasible option.	B, moderate
2.5	In children with CKD due to nephropathic cystinosis who have persistent growth failure, defined as a height below the third percentile for age and sex and a height velocity below the twenty-fifth percentile, we recommend that GH therapy is considered at all stages of CKD.	C, moderate
2.6	<ul> <li>GH therapy should not be started</li> <li>In patients with closed epiphyses</li> <li>In patients with known hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients</li> </ul>	X, strong X, strong
	<ul> <li>In the case of unwillingness of the patient or their family</li> <li>In patients with severe secondary hyperparathyroidism (parathyroid hormone &gt; 500 pg/ml)</li> </ul>	X, strong X, moderate X, moderate

<ul> <li>In patients with proliferative or severe non-proliferative diabetic retino</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>During the first year after renal transplantation</li> </ul>	X, strong
<ul> <li>In patients with acute critical illness</li> </ul>	X, strong
In patients with active malignancy	
3.1 We suggest considering the cost–benefit ratio before initiating growth horr treatment in short children with chronic kidney disase.	none D, weak
4.1 We recommend that growth hormone (GH) is given at a dose of 0.045–0.0 mg/kg body weight per day by subcutaneous injections in the evening.	D5 B, moderate
4.2 We suggest that parents and physicians encourage children from about years of age to do the GH injections on their own if adequate training adherence is ensured.	
4.3 We recommend both GH reference and GH biosimilar products for use in children with chronic kidney disease (CKD).	short B, moderate
4.4 We suggest clinic visits every 3–6 months or more frequently for young pa and those with advanced CKD to monitor stature, height velocity, pubertal development, skeletal maturation on wrist radiography, renal function, thy hormone levels (TSH and free T3), serum glucose, calcium, phosphate, bicarbonate and parathyroid hormone levels.	
4.5 If height velocity in the first year of GH treatment is less than 2 cm per year baseline, we recommend assessment of patient adherence to GH therapy including measurement of serum insulin-like growth factor 1 levels, weight adjusted GH dosage and assessment of nutritional and metabolic factors, recommended before initiation of GH therapy.	/, t-
<ul> <li>4.6 We recommend stopping GH</li> <li>When epiphyseal closure is demonstrated</li> <li>At the time of renal transplantation</li> <li>In patients with persistent severe secondary hyperparathy (parathyroid hormone (PTH) &gt;500 pg/ml). GH may be reinstituted levels return to the desired PTH target range</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>With occurrence of intracranial hypertension</li> <li>In patients with slipped capital femoral epiphysis</li> <li>If the patient does not adequately respond to GH treatment despite</li> </ul>	optimal X, strong X, strong X, moderate
<ul> <li>nutritional and metabolic control</li> <li>In patients with accelerated bone maturation</li> <li>In case of an unexplained decrease in estimated glomerular filtration</li> </ul>	x, moderate x, moderate
<ul> <li>4.7 We suggest that cessation of GH treatment is considered</li> <li>When the patient reaches his or her genetic target height percentile. On may be reinstituted if catch-down growth occurs</li> </ul>	GH X, moderate
When the patient reaches his or her genetic target height	X, moderate

#### **References:**

- S 1 de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E et al. Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2007; 85: 660-667.
- S 2 Bryant J, Loveman E, Cave C, Chase D, Milne R. Endocrinology trial design: adverse event reporting in randomised controlled trials of recombinant human GH in GH-deficient adults. *J Endocrinol.* 2002175: 545-552.
- S 3 Bonthuis M, van Stralen KJ, Verrina E, et al. Use of national and international growth charts for studying height in European children: development of up-to-date European height-for-age charts. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e42506.
- S 4 Bacchetta J, Wesseling-Perry K, Kuizon B, et al. The skeletal consequences of growth hormone therapy in dialyzed children: A randomized trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013; 8: 824-832.
- S 5 Santos F, Moreno ML, Neto A, et al.. Improvement in growth after 1 year of growth hormone therapy in well-nourished infants with growth retardation secondary to chronic renal failure: results of a multicenter, controlled, randomized, open clinical trial. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; 5: 1190-1197.
- S 6 Hertel NT, Holmberg C, Rönnholm KAR, et al. Recombinant human growth hormone treatment, using two dose regimens in children with chronic renal failure--a report on linear growth and adverse effects. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;15: 577-588.
- S 7 Fine RN, Stablein D, Cohen AH, Tejani A, Kohaut E. Recombinant human growth hormone post-renal transplantation in children: a randomized controlled study of the NAPRTCS. *Kidney Int.* 2002; 62: 688-696.
- S 8 Sanchez CP, Kuizon BD, Goodman WG, et al. Growth hormone and the skeleton in pediatric renal allograft recipients. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2002; 17: 322–328.
- S 9 Kuizon BD, Goodman WG, Gales B, Juppner H, Salusky IB. Effects of growth hormone on bone and mineral metabolism in dialyzed children [abstract]. *J Am Soc Nephrol;* 1998; 9: 546–547.
- S 10 Maxwell H, Rees L. Randomised controlled trial of recombinant human growth hormone in prepubertal and pubertal renal transplant recipients. Arch Dis Child. 1998; 79: 481-487.
- S 11 Powell DR, Liu F, Baker BK, et al. Modulation of growth factors by growth hormone in children with chronic renal failure. The Southwest Pediatric Nephrology Study Group. *Kidney Int.* 1997; 51: 1970–1979.
- S 12 Ito K, Kawaguchi H. The use of recombinant human GH (rhGH) in growth failure in children with various renal diseases. *Clinical Pediatric Endocrinology* 1997; 6: 49–53.
- S 13 Kitagawa T, Ito K, Ito H, Sakai T, Wada H, Kajiwara N. GH treatment of children with

chronic renal insufficiency: A Japanese clinical trial. *Clinical Pediatric Endocrinology* 1997; 6:73–80.

- S 14 Broyer M. Results and side-effects of treating children with growth hormone after kidney transplantation a preliminary report. Pharmacia & Upjohn Study Group. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 1996; 417: 76-79.
- S 15 Kawaguchi H, Ito K. rhGH use in children with CRI and undergoing dialysis posttransplant in Japan: a multicentre study. MultiCenter Study Group Japan. *Br J Clin Pract Suppl.* 1996; 85: 26-31.
- S 16 Hokken-Koelega AC, Stijnen T, de Jong RC, et al.. A placebo-controlled, doubleblind trial of growth hormone treatment in prepubertal children after renal transplant. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 1996; 53: 128-134.
- S 17 Fine RN, Attie KM, Kuntze J, Brown DF, Kohaut EC. Recombinant human growth hormone in infants and young children with chronic renal insufficiency. Genentech Collaborative Study Group. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1995; 9: 451-457.
- S 18 Hokken-Koelega AC, Stijnen T, de Jong MC, et al. Double blind trial comparing the effects of two doses of growth hormone in prepubertal patients with chronic renal insufficiency. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1994; 79: 1185-1190.
- S 19 Hokken-Koelega AC, Stijnen T, de Ridder MA, et al. Growth hormone treatment in growth-retarded adolescents after renal transplant. *Lancet*. 1994; 343: 1313-1317.
- S 20 Fine RN, Kohaut EC, Brown D, Perlman AJ. Growth after recombinant human growth hormone treatment in children with chronic renal failure: report of a multicenter randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study. Genentech Cooperative Study Group. *J Pediatr.* 1994; 124: 374-382.
- S 21 Hokken-Koelega AC, Stijnen T, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, et al.. Placebocontrolled, double-blind, cross-over trial of growth hormone treatment in prepubertal children with chronic renal failure. *Lancet* 1991; 338: 585–590.
- S 22 Hodson EM, Willis NS, Craig JC. Growth hormone for children with chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database* Syst Rev. 2012; 2: CD003264
- S 23 Nawrot-Wawrzyniak K, Misof BM, Roschger P, et al.. Changes in bone matrix mineralization after growth hormone treatment in children and adolescents with chronic kidney failure treated by dialysis: a paired biopsy study. *Am J* Kidney *Dis.* 2013; 61: 767-777.
- S 24 Youssef DM. Results of recombinant growth hormone treatment in children with endstage renal disease on regular hemodialysis. *Saudi J* Kidney *Dis Transpl.* 2012; 23: 755-764.

- S 25 Müller-Wiefel D, Frisch H, Tulassay T, Bell L, Zadik Z. Treatment of growth failure with growth hormone in children with chronic kidney disease: an open-label long-term study. *Clin Nephrol.* 2010; 74: 97-105.
- S 26 Mencarelli F, Kiepe D, Leozappa G, Stringini G, Cappa M, Emma F. Growth hormone treatment started in the first year of life in infants with chronic renal failure. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2009; 24: 1039-1046.
- S 27 Kari JA, Rees L. Growth hormone for children with chronic renal failure and on dialysis. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2005; 20: 618-621.
- S 28 Gipson DS, Kausz AT, Striegel JE, Melvin TR, Astrom LJ, Watkins SL.
   Intraperitoneal administration of recombinant human growth hormone in children with end-stage renal disease. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2001; 16: 29-34.
- S 29 Hokken-Koelega A, Mulder P, De Jong R, Lilien M, Donckerwolcke R, Groothof J. Long-term effects of growth hormone treatment on growth and puberty in patients with chronic renal insufficiency. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2000; 14: 701-706.
- S 30 Haffner D, Wühl E, Schaefer F, Nissel R, Tonshoff B, Mehls O. Factors predictive of the short- and long-term efficacy of growth hormone treatment in prepubertal children with chronic renal failure. the german study group for growth hormone treatment in chronic renal failure. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 1998; 9: 1899-1907.
- S 31 Berard E, Crosnier H, Six-Beneton A, Chevallier T, Cochat P, Broyer M.
   Recombinant human growth hormone treatment of children on hemodialysis. French Society of Pediatric Nephrology. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1998; 12: 304-310.
- S 32 Wühl E, Haffner D, Gretz N, et al.. Treatment with recombinant human growth hormone in short children with nephropathic cystinosis: no evidence for increased deterioration rate of renal function. The European Study Group on Growth Hormone Treatment in Short Children with Nephropathic Cystinosis. *Pediatr Res.* 1998; 43: 484-488.
- S 33 Wühl E, Haffner D, Nissel R, Schaefer F, Mehls O. Short dialyzed children respond less to growth hormone than patients prior to dialysis. German study group for growth hormone treatment in chronic renal failure. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1996; 10: 294-298.
- S 34 Lanes R, Gunczler P, Orta N, et al.. Changes in bone mineral density, growth velocity and renal function of prepubertal uremic children during growth hormone treatment. *Horm Res.* 1996; 46: 263-268.
- S 35 Maxwell H, Rees L. Recombinant human growth hormone treatment in infants with chronic renal failure. *Arch Dis Child*. 1996; 74: 40-43.
- S 36 Schwartz ID<sup>1</sup>, Warady BA, Buchanan CL, et al.. "Low-dose" growth hormone therapy during peritoneal dialysis or following renal transplantation. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1995; 9: 320-324.

- S 37 Fine RN, Yadin O, Moulton L, Nelson PA, Boechat MI, Lippe BM. Five years experience with recombinant human growth hormone treatment of children with chronic renal failure. *J Pediatr Endocrinol.* 1994; 7: 1-12.
- S 38 Schaefer F, Wühl E, Haffner D, Mehls O. Stimulation of growth by recombinant human growth hormone in children undergoing peritoneal or hemodialysis treatment. German Study Group for Growth Hormone Treatment in Chronic Renal Failure. *Adv Perit Dial.* 1994; 10: 321-326.
- S 39 Jabs K, Van Dop C, Harmon WE. Growth hormone treatment of growth failure among children with renal transplants. *Kidney Int Suppl*. 1993; 43: 71-75.
- S 40 Wühl E, Haffner D, Tönshoff B, Mehls O. Predictors of growth response to rhGH in short children before and after renal transplantation. German Study Group for Growth Hormone Treatment in Chronic Renal Failure. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 1993; 43: 76-82.
- S 41 Tönshoff B, Haffner D, Mehls O, et al.. Efficacy and safety of growth hormone treatment in short children with renal allografts: three year experience. Members of the German Study Group for Growth Hormone Treatment in Children with Renal Allografts. *Kidney Int.* 1993; 44: 199-207.
- S 42 van Renen MJ, Hogg RJ, Sweeney AL, Henning PH, Penfold JL, Jureidini KF.
   Accelerated growth in short children with chronic renal failure treated with both strict dietary therapy and recombinant growth hormone. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1992; 6: 451-458.
- S 43 Fine RN, Yadin O, Moulten L, Nelson PA, Boechat MI, Lippe BH. Extended recombinant human growth hormone treatment after renal transplantation in children.
   J Am Soc Nephrol. 1992; 2: 274-283.
- S 44 Van Dop C, Jabs KL, Donohoue PA, Bock GH, Fivush BA, Harmon WE. Accelerated growth rates in children treated with growth hormone after renal transplantation. *J Pediatr.* 1992; 120: 244-250.
- S 45 Bartosh S, Kaiser B, Rezvani I, at al.. Effects of growth hormone administration in pediatric renal allograft recipients. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1992; 6: 68-73.
- S 46 Fine RN, Pyke-Grimm K, Nelson PA, et al.. Recombinant human growth hormone treatment of children with chronic renal failure: long-term (1- to 3-year) outcome. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1991; 5: 477-481.
- S 47 Van Es A. Growth hormone treatment in short children with chronic renal failure and after renal transplantation: combined data from European clinical trials. The European Study Group. Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl. 1991; 379: 42-48; discussion 49.
- S 48 Tönshoff B, Dietz M, Haffner D, Tönshoff C, Stöver B, Mehls O. Effects of two years of growth hormone treatment in short children with renal disease. The German Study Group for Growth Hormone Treatment in Chronic Renal Failure. *Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl.* 1991; 379: 33-41.

- S 49 Rees L, Rigden SP, Ward G, Preece MA. Treatment of short stature in renal disease with recombinant human growth hormone. *Arch Dis Child.* 1990; 65: 856-860.
- S 50 Tönshoff B, Mehls O, Heinrich U, Blum WF, Ranke MB, Schauer A. Growthstimulating effects of recombinant human growth hormone in children with end-stage renal disease. *J Pediatr*. 1990; 116: 561-566.
- S 51 Johansson G, Sietnieks A, Janssens F, et al. Recombinant human growth hormone treatment in short children with chronic renal disease, before transplantation or with functioning renal transplants: an interim report on five European studies. *Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl.* 1990; 370: 36-42; discussion 43.
- S 52 Fine RN, Koch VH, Boechat MI, at al.. Recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) treatment of children undergoing peritoneal dialysis. *Perit Dial Int.* 1990; 10: 209-214.
- S 53 Tönshoff B, Mehls O, Schauer A, Heinrich U, Blum W, Ranke M. Improvement of uremic growth failure by recombinant human growth hormone. *Kidney Int Sup*pl. 1989; 27: 201-204.
- S 54 Koch VH, Lippe BM, Nelson PA, Boechat MI, Sherman BM, Fine RN. Accelerated growth after recombinant human growth hormone treatment of children with chronic renal failure. *J Pediatr.* 1989; 115: 365-371.
- S 55 Lippe B, Fine RN, Koch VH, Sherman BM. Accelerated growth following treatment of children with chronic renal failure with recombinant human growth hormone (somatrem): a preliminary report. Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl. 1988; 343: 127-131.
- S 56 Gil S, Aziz M, Adragna M, Monteverde M, Belgorosky A. Near-adult height in male kidney transplant recipients started on growth hormone treatment in late puberty. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2018; 33: 175-180.
- S 57 Gil S, Vaiani E, Guercio G, et al.. Effectiveness of rhGH treatment on final height of renal-transplant recipients in childhood. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2012; 27:1005–1012.
- S 58 Berard E, Andre JL, Guest G, et al.. Long-term results of rhGH treatment in children with renal failure: Experience of the french society of pediatric nephrology. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2008; 23: 2031-2038.
- S 59 Nissel R, Lindberg A, Mehls O, Haffner D, Pfizer International Growth Database (KIGS) International Board. Factors predicting the near-final height in growth hormone-treated children and adolescents with chronic kidney disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008; 93: 1359-1365.
- S 60 Seikaly MG, Salhab N, Warady BA, Stablein D. Use of rhGH in children with chronic kidney disease: lessons from NAPRTCS. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2007; 22:1195-1204.
- S 61 Fine RN, Stablein D. Long-term use of recombinant human growth hormone in pediatric allograft recipients: a report of the NAPRTCS Transplant Registry. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2005; 20: 404-408.

- S 62 Crompton C, Australian and New Zealand Paediatric Nephrology Association. Longterm recombinant human growth hormone use in Australian children with renal disease. *Nephrology* 2004; 9:325-330.
- S 63 Hokken-Koelega AC, Nauta J, Lilien M, Ploos van Amstel J, Levenko N. Long-term growth hormone treatment in children with chronic renal failure. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* 2004; 19:C38: S04.33.
- S 64 Fine RN, Sullivan EK, Tejani A. The impact of recombinant human growth hormone treatment on final adult height. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2000; 14: 679-681.
- S 65 Haffner D, Schaefer F, Nissel R, Wühl E, Tönshoff B, Mehls O. Effect of growth hormone treatment on the adult height of children with chronic renal failure. German Study Group for Growth Hormone Treatment in Chronic Renal Failure. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 28; 343: 923-930.
- S 66 Janssen F, Van Damme-Lombaerts R, Van Dyck M, et al.. Impact of growth hormone treatment on a belgian population of short children with renal allografts. *Pediatr Transplant*. 1997; 1: 190-196.
- S 67 Callis LL, del Valle CJ, Garcia L, et al. Randomized open multicentre study to assess treatment with recombinant human growth hormone in children with low height and chronic renal insufficiency [abstract]. *An Esp Pediatr*. 1996; 45: 328.