

## A Characterization of 3-dimensional Convex Sets with an Infinite X-ray Number

K. BEZDEK<sup>1</sup> and T. ZAMFIRESCU

### 1. Introduction and result

The X-raying and illumination of convex sets were intensively studied during the last decade. Our references at the end of the paper constitute just a very incomplete selection. The problem considered here belongs to this area.

Let  $\mathcal{K}^d$  be the space of all closed convex sets with non-empty interior in the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^d$ , where  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $L \subset \mathbf{E}^d$  be a line through the origin. We say that the point  $p$  of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  is *X-rayed along*  $L$  if the line parallel to  $L$  passing through  $p$  intersects the interior of  $K$ . The *X-ray number of*  $K$  is the smallest number of lines such that every point of  $K$  is X-rayed along at least one of these lines (see also P. S. Soltan and V. P. Soltan's paper [7]).

Let  $\text{int } M$ ,  $\text{bd } M$ ,  $\text{rbd } M$ ,  $\text{vert } M$ ,  $\text{conv } M$ ,  $\overline{M}$  denote the interior, boundary, relative boundary, vertex set, convex hull, closure of  $M$ , respectively.

It is easy to see that in  $\mathbf{E}^2$  every closed convex set with a non-empty interior possesses an X-ray number not larger than 2. However, in  $\mathbf{E}^d$  with  $d \geq 3$ , there are sets with infinite X-ray numbers. An example can be

---

<sup>1</sup> The work was supported by Hung. Nat. Found. for Sci. Research No. 326-0413.

obtained in the following way. Take a  $d$ -dimensional closed convex cone  $C \subset \mathbf{E}^d$  with balls as  $(d-1)$ -dimensional sections orthogonal to the axis. Then let  $H$  be a hyperplane that meets  $\text{int } C$  and is parallel to a ray in  $\text{bd } C$ . Denoting by  $H^+$  the closed halfspace bounded by  $H$  which contains the apex of  $C$ , it is not hard to see that the X-ray number of  $C \cap H^+$  is infinite: In order to X-ray the points of  $H \cap \text{bd } C$  we need infinitely many directions. Thus, it is natural to raise the following problem.

**Problem 1.** Find a characterization of those members of  $\mathcal{K}^d$  which have a finite X-ray number ( $d \geq 3$ ).

We will solve here this problem in the case  $d = 3$ .

If  $H_1, H_2$  are hyperplanes,  $A_1, A_2$  affine subspaces of  $\mathbf{E}^d$ , and  $K$  an element of  $\mathcal{K}^d$  verifying  $(H_1 \cup H_2) \cap \text{int } K = \emptyset$ , then  $\angle(H_1, K, H_2)$  means the measure of the dihedral angle between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  containing  $\text{int } K$ , and  $\angle(A_1, A_2)$  means the smallest measure of an angle between a line in  $A_1$  and a line in  $A_2$ .

We say that  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  is *asymptotically singular* if there are a line  $L$  and two sequences of not necessarily distinct supporting hyperplanes  $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{H'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that

- (i)  $H_n$  is parallel to  $L$  for each  $n$ ,
- (ii)  $H_n \cap H'_n \cap K \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n$ ,
- (iii)  $\angle(H_n, K, H'_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,
- (iv)  $\angle(L, H_n \cap H'_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

There are essentially two different cases: If the hyperplanes  $H_n$  are all identical we say that  $K$  has the *singular face*  $H_n \cap K$ . If the hyperplanes  $H_n$  are all distinct we say that  $K$  has the *singular sequence of faces*  $\{H_n \cap H'_n \cap K\}_{n=1}^\infty$ .

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem.** The X-ray number of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^3$  is infinite if and only if  $K$  is asymptotically singular.

Obviously, if  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  is compact, i.e. a convex body, then the X-ray number of  $K$  is at least  $d$ . This bound is sharp because it is attained by any smooth convex body. Moreover, as the X-ray number of  $K$  is at most as large as its illumination number,  $(d+1)^d$  is an upper bound, not sharp though (see [5]). The following set has a quite large X-ray number: Let

$Q \subset \mathbf{E}^d$  be a  $d$ -dimensional cube and  $F$  a  $(d-2)$ -dimensional face of  $Q$ . Then the X-ray number of  $\text{conv}(\text{vert } Q - \text{vert } F)$  is  $3 \cdot 2^{d-2}$ .

**Problem 2.** *Is the X-ray number of any convex body in  $\mathbf{E}^d$  at most  $3 \cdot 2^{d-2}$ ?*

## 2. Proofs

Let  $F$  be a face of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ , where  $d \geq 3$ . The *spherical image*  $\nu(F)$  of the face  $F$  is the set of all points  $x$  in the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere  $S^{d-1} \subset \mathbf{E}^d$  centered at the origin  $\mathbf{0}$  of  $\mathbf{E}^d$  such that the supporting hyperplane of  $K$  with outer normal  $x$  contains  $F$ . It is easy to see that  $\nu(F)$  is compact and spherically convex. Moreover, the spherical images of distinct faces of  $K$  have disjoint relative interiors.

The following simple lemma, the proof of which we leave to the reader, will be tacitly used throughout the rest of the paper.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $d \geq 3$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ ,  $p \in \text{bd } K$  and  $F$  be a face of  $K$  of smallest dimension which contains  $p$ . Then  $p$  is X-rayed along the line  $L \ni \mathbf{0}$  if and only if  $L^\perp \cap \nu(F) = \emptyset$ . Therefore, the X-ray number of  $K$  is the smallest number of  $(d-2)$ -dimensional great spheres in  $S^{d-1}$  such that the spherical image of every face of  $K$  is disjoint from at least one of those great spheres.*

From now on let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^3$  be unbounded. Then  $\nu(K)$  lies in a closed hemisphere  $S_+^2 \subset S^2$ , with a great circle  $S^1$  as boundary. Put

$$T = \overline{S^1 \cap \nu(K)}.$$

**Lemma 2.** *If for the point  $p \in T$  there is no sequence of faces  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that*

$$\nu(F_n) \cap S^1 \neq \emptyset$$

*for each  $n$  and the distance from both  $p$  and  $-p$  to  $\nu(F_n)$  tends to zero, then there is a neighbourhood  $D_p$  of  $p$  and four great circles in  $S^2$  such that for every face  $F$  of  $K$ , for which  $\nu(F)$  meets  $D_p \cap S^1$ , one of the circles is disjoint from  $\nu(F)$ .*

**Proof.** For any open disk  $D_p$  around  $p$  in  $S^2$ , let  $A_p = D_p \cap S^1$ . Under the hypotheses of the lemma, there is an open disk  $D_p$  around  $p$  such that

no  $\nu(F)$  meets both  $A_p$  and  $-D_p$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in S^1$  be the endpoints of the arc  $\overline{A_p}$ . Choose the great circle  $S_i$  passing through  $x_i, -x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that  $S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_+^2 \subset -D_p$ . At most one 2-dimensional spherical image  $\nu(F_1)$  simultaneously meets  $A_p, S_1$  and  $S_2$ . No 1-dimensional spherical image  $\nu(F)$  simultaneously meets  $A_p, S_1$  and  $S_2$  except for the case that  $\nu(F) \subset S^1$ , and we may have at most one such spherical image  $\nu(F_2)$ . Thus, the circles  $S_1, S_2$ , a great circle disjoint from  $\nu(F_1)$  and another one disjoint from  $\nu(F_2)$  satisfy the requirements of the lemma.

**Proof of the Theorem.** We prove only the non-straightforward implication. Assume that the X-ray number of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^3$  is infinite and prove that  $K$  is asymptotically singular. There are two possible cases.

*Case I:* For each  $p \in T$ , there is no sequence  $\{\nu(F_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\nu(F_n) \cap S^1 \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n$  and the distance from both  $p$  and  $-p$  to  $\nu(F_n)$  tends to zero.

In this case, by Lemma 2, for each  $p \in T$  there are 4 great circles and an open disk  $D_p$  around  $p$  such that every  $\nu(F)$  meeting  $D_p \cap S^1$  is disjoint from at least one of the circles. Clearly  $\{D_p\}_{p \in T}$  covers  $T$  and we select a finite subcovering  $\{D_p\}_{n \leq n_0}$ . Thus  $4n_0$  great circles and  $S^1$  take care of the spherical images of all faces of  $K$ , but this contradicts the assumption.

*Case II:* For some  $p \in T$ , there is a sequence  $\{\nu(F_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\nu(F_n) \cap S^1 \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n$  and the distance from both  $p$  and  $-p$  to  $\nu(F_n)$  tends to zero.

This can happen for at most one pair of points  $(p, -p)$ . Indeed, if this happened for another pair of points  $(q, -q)$  too then, necessarily, for some large  $m$  and  $n$ , the relative interior of  $\nu(F_m)$  (to which  $p$  and  $-p$  are close) would meet the relative interior of  $\nu(F'_n)$  (to which  $q$  and  $-q$  are close), a contradiction.

Suppose that  $p \in \nu(F_n)$  for infinitely many  $n$ . Then a subsequence of  $\{\nu(F_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to a halfcircle  $S^*$  with endpoints  $p, -p$ . This implies that  $K$  has the singular face with outernormal unit vector  $p$  (for  $L$  orthogonal to the plane of  $S^*$ ). The same happens if  $-p \in \nu(F_n)$  for infinitely many  $n$ . So we may suppose  $p, -p \notin \nu(F_n)$  for all  $n$  (otherwise take a subsequence). Let

$$p_n \in \nu(F_n) \cap S^1$$

and suppose without loss of generality that  $p_n \rightarrow p$ . Then there are points  $q_n \in \nu(F_n)$  such that  $q_n \rightarrow -p$ .

We need the following statement. If a sequence of spherical images converges to a halfcircle, then the spherical image of the whole set is included in a closed hemisphere having that halfcircle on its boundary. In order to see this take an arbitrary interior point, say  $O$ , of the given set  $K \in \mathcal{K}^3$  and let  $C \subset \mathbf{E}^3$  be the union of (closed) halflines emanating, from  $O$  and lying in  $K$ . Obviously,  $C$  is a closed convex cone with apex  $O$ . Let  $L \subset \mathbf{E}^3$  be the line passing through  $O$  and being orthogonal to the plane of the halfcircle that is the limit of the sequence  $\{\nu(F'_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of the spherical images of the faces  $F'_n$  of  $K$ . Obviously,  $K$  has to be unbounded i.e.  $K$  as well as  $C$  contain at least one halfline and so the spherical image of  $K$  is included in a closed hemisphere. Moreover, the dimension of  $C$  is at most 2, otherwise the spherical image of  $K$  would have a spherical diameter  $< \pi$ , a contradiction. Let  $p'_n \in F'_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . As the sequence  $\{p'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  cannot be bounded in  $\mathbf{E}^3$  there exists a subsequence, say  $\{p'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  itself, such that the (closed) halflines emanating from  $O$  and passing through the points  $p'_n$  tend to a halfline say,  $\ell$  emanating from  $O$  and lying in  $C$ . Finally, let the supporting hyperplanes  $H_n, H'_n$  of  $K$  be chosen such that they pass through the point  $p'_n$  with  $\angle(H_n, K, H'_n) \rightarrow 0$ . As  $C$  has to be contained in the dihedral angle between  $H_n$  and  $H'_n$  containing  $\text{int } K$ , we get that  $\ell$  is an extreme halfline of  $C$ . Finally, observe that  $\ell \subset L$ . This completes the proof of the statement.

Now, some subsequence of  $\{\nu(F_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to a halfcircle  $S'$ . If  $S' \subset S^1$  then the subsequence is a singular sequence of faces (for properly chosen  $H_n$  and  $H'_n$  with  $F_n = H_n \cap H'_n \cap K$  and for  $L$  orthogonal to the plane of  $S^1$ ). If  $S' \not\subset S^1$  then there is a closed hemisphere  $S^+$  with  $\text{rbd } S^+ = S'$  containing, in fact all spherical images of faces of  $K$ . Also, in the present case  $p, -p \notin \nu(K)$ . Therefore a great circle  $C$  with

$$C \cap S_+^2 \cap S^+ = \{p, -p\}$$

misses all spherical images of faces of  $K$ . But this again contradicts the infinity of the X-ray number of  $K$ .

The proof is finished.

## References

- [1] K. BEZDEK, The problem of illumination of the boundary of a convex body by affine subspaces, *Mathematika* **38** (1991), 362–375.

- [2] K. BEZDEK, Hadwiger-Levi's covering problem revisited, in: *New Trends in Discrete and Computational Geometry* (Editor: J. Pach), Springer Verlag (1993), 199–233.
- [3] V. G. BOLTYANSKII and I. Z. GOHBERG, *Results and problems in combinatorial geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985).
- [4] R. J. GARDNER and P. MCMULLEN, On Hammer's X-ray problem, *J. London Math. Soc.* **21** (1980), 171–175.
- [5] M. LASSAK, Covering the boundary of a convex set by tiles, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 269–272.
- [6] J. O'ROURKE, *Art Gallery Theorems and Algorithms*, Oxford University Press, New York – Oxford (1987).
- [7] P. S. SOLTAN and V. P. SOLTAN, On the X-raying of convex bodies, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **33** (1986), 42–44.
- [8] A. VOLČIČ, A three point solution to Hammer's X-ray problem, *J. London Math. Soc.* **34** (1986), 340–359.
- [9] A. VOLČIČ and T. ZAMFIRESCU, Ghosts are scarce, *J. London Math. Soc.* **40** (1989), 171–178.

Károly Bezdek

Department of Geometry,  
Eötvös Loránd University,  
1088 Budapest, Rákóczi út 5,  
Hungary.

Tudor Zamfirescu

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Dortmund,  
Postfach 500500  
D-4600 Dortmund  
Germany.