NATIONAL
LIBRARY
OF AUSTRALIA

CANBERRA ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA
TEL +61 2 6262 1111
FAX +61 2 6257 1703
www.nla.gov.au

Hearing or speech impaired —
call us via the National Relay Service
on 133 677

ABN 28 346 858 074

28 June 2012
Ref. NLA12/788

[redacted]

Dear [redacted]

Request for documents under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*

Following our response to your refined FOI request dated 14 June 2012, you have sought further clarifications, some of which have already been addressed. This response refers to your further enquiries by email dated 25th June 2012 and your letter dated 27th June 2012.

Your enquiries are stated in bold below and Library's responses are given immediately underneath each one of them.

The second link indicates that document is revised. Could you let me know whether I have the revised document.

Our staff have checked this and have indicated that the document you have received is the version created on 16 December 2011 as a record of 13 December 2011 Trove/IT meeting. The 'revised' document referred to in the 2 February 2012 meeting invitation you have received was a version updated by [redacted] on 10 January 2012 ahead of the Trove Reference Group meeting. It has been minimally corrected (in red), and is attached here for your information.

And could you confirm that the third link is in fact the one starting on page 55. Also do references such as [redacted][R10/46551] and [redacted][R11/113048] on page 1 of 2a refer to documents.

Yes, the third link is the document starting on page 55. Yes, R10/46551 and R11/113048 are both documents. The former refers to a 12 May 2010 email raising the potential relationship between Trove and the Argus index. This document 'discussing the Argus Project in the intervening years' which you indicated on 14 June 2012 was out of scope for your request. The latter is [redacted] 6 July 2011 email to you, responding to your 22 June enquiry to [redacted]

There is a note at the foot of page 68 which reads TO DO estimates which suggested that there were some financial estimates to come. Perhaps the notes inside the documents are the work estimates. Can I take it that there are no financial estimates?

No written financial estimates were prepared subsequent to this paper.

You advise in your letter of 20 June that *an agenda for this meeting will also be included. During this meeting estimates were revised and discussed. No minutes were kept of this meeting.* My request was not restricted to minutes. I also wish to receive the records of this meeting as outlined in my original request. I think we can agree that there would be some record of a meeting with the specific purpose *of making a final decision on the options for the Argus Index Online,* especially a meeting attended by eight staff and requiring extensive preliminary reading.

No written records of the outcomes of this meeting were made at the time.

The Library has in good faith provided all documents it believes to be covered by your request(s). While it is not our responsibility to clarify or explain content we have done so and collectively this has involved some relatively considerable resources. No extra charges will be applied for the extra information provided.

We now regard your FOI request to have been fully met. No further clarifications will be made and any extra request for information will be considered a new request.

Yours sincerely

FOI Co-ordinator
National Library of Australia
02 62621798