

# Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review

2016

## Air Pollution Control

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-1.010 - General Organization</b>	7/30/2013	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	No	No	
<b>10 CSR 10-1.020 - Commission Voting and Meeting Procedures</b>	7/30/1998	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	No	No	
<b>10 CSR 10-1.030 - Air Conservation Commission Appeals and Requests for Hearings</b>	1/30/2006	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	No	No	
<b>10 CSR 10-2.205 - Control of Emissions From Aerospace Manufacture and Rework Facilities</b>	3/30/2001	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) under the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
<b>10 CSR 10-2.210 - Control of Emissions From Solvent Metal Cleaning</b>	2/29/2008	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.215 - Control of Emissions from Solvent Cleanup Operations</b>	5/30/2001	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.220 - Liquefied Cutback Asphalt Paving Restricted</b>	8/30/1991	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.230 - Control of Emissions From Industrial Surface Coating Operations</b>	11/24/1988	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	Yes, a comment was received that suggests clarifying and amending the rule language to be more consistent with the St. Louis area industrial surface coating rule.	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-2.260 - Control of Petroleum Liquid Storage, Loading and Transfer</b>	4/30/2004	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.290 - Control of Emissions From Rotogravure and Flexographic Printing Facilities</b>	2/6/1992	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.300 - Control of Emissions From the Manufacturing of Paints, Varnishes, Lacquers, Enamels and Other Allied Surface Coating Products</b>	12/12/1987	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.310 - Control of Emissions From the Application of Automotive Underbody Deadeners</b>	11/23/1987	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.320 - Control of Emissions From Production of Pesticides and Herbicides</b>	11/23/1987	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.330 Control of Gasoline Reid Vapor Pressure</b>	7/30/2013	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.340 - Control of Emissions From Lithographic Printing Facilities</b>	8/30/2003	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.360 - Control of Emissions from Bakery Ovens</b>	12/30/1995	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>										
<b>101</b>										
<b>10 CSR 10-2.385 - Control of Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicle Idling Emissions</b>	7/30/2012	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive NOx emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-2.390 - Kansas City Area Transportation Conformity Requirements</b>	7/30/2007	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-3.160 - Restriction of Emission of Fluorides From Diammonium Phosphate Fertilizer Production</b>	12/11/1978	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.040 - Control of Emissions From Hand-Fired Equipment</b>	5/30/2012	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to allow businesses to utilize waste for heat purposes without being required to obtain a construction permit under 10 CSR 10-6.060.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.120 - Information on Sales of Fuels to be Provided and Maintained</b>	3/24/1967	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.130 - Certain Coals to be Washed</b>	5/30/2012	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.170 - Control of Odors From Processing of Animal Matter</b>	4/30/2003	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	N/A	Yes**	No	*This rule overlaps with 10 CSR 10-6.165. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting the emission of excessive odorous matter.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.220 - Control of Petroleum Liquid Storage, Loading and Transfer</b>	11/30/2014	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.295 - Control of Emissions From Aerospace Manufacture and Rework Facilities</b>	2/29/2000	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-5.300 - Control of Emissions From Solvent Metal Cleaning</b>	11/30/2006	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.310 - Liquefied Cutback Asphalt Paving Restricted</b>	3/11/1989	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.330 - Control of Emissions From Industrial Surface Coating Operations</b>	8/30/2011	Yes	No	No	No	Yes*	No**	Yes***	No	*The Department plans to amend this rule to provide a less burdensome limit for a specialty coating operation. **The Department plans to update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. ***The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.340 - Control of Emissions From Rotogravure and Flexographic Printing</b>	8/30/2011	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.350 - Control of Emissions From Manufacture of Synthesized Pharmaceutical Products</b>	3/11/1989	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.360 - Control of Emissions From Polyethylene Bag Sealing Operations</b>	3/11/1989	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP. Furthermore, uncertainty exists with respect to the federal Ozone NAAQS' designation and implementation, which may affect this state rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.370 - Control of Emissions From the Application of Deadeners and Adhesives</b>	3/11/1989	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP. Furthermore, uncertainty exists with respect to the federal Ozone NAAQS' designation and implementation, which may affect this state rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.381 - Onboard Diagnostics Motor Vehicle Emissions Inspection</b>	12/30/2012	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with the SIP. The Department is evaluating future plans for maintenance and attainment of the Ozone NAAQS.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.385 - Control of Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicle Idling Emissions</b>	7/30/2012	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by ensuring vehicles do not emit excess NOx. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-5.390 - Control of Emissions From Manufacture of Paints, Varnishes, Lacquers, Enamels and Other Allied Surface Coating Products</b>	8/30/2000	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.410 - Control of Emissions From Manufacture of Polystyrene Resin</b>	3/11/1989	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP. Furthermore, uncertainty exists with respect to the federal Ozone NAAQS' designation and implementation, which may affect this state rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.420 - Control of Equipment Leaks From Synthetic Organic Chemical and Polymer Manufacturing Plants</b>	3/11/1989	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP. Furthermore, uncertainty exists with respect to the federal Ozone NAAQS' designation and implementation, which may affect this state rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.440 - Control of Emissions From Bakery Ovens</b>	12/30/1996	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP. Furthermore, uncertainty exists with respect to the federal Ozone NAAQS' designation and implementation, which may affect this state rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.442 - Control of Emissions from Lithographic and Letterpress Printing Operations</b>	8/30/2011	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.450 - Control of VOC Emissions from Traffic Coatings</b>	5/28/1995	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.451 - Control of Emissions from Aluminum Foil Rolling</b>	9/30/2000	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-5.455 - Control of Emissions From Industrial Solvent Cleaning Operations</b>	5/30/2012	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.480 - St. Louis Area Transportation Conformity Requirements</b>	2/28/2011	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	
<b>10 CSR 10-5.490 - Municipal Solid Waste Landfills</b>	5/30/2012	Yes	No	No	No	Yes*	Yes	Yes**	No	*This rule needs amendment to eliminate regulatory confusion by updating standards to match federal regulations. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with Section 111(d) of the CAA.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.500 - Control of Emissions From Volatile Organic Liquid Storage</b>	2/29/2000	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive nonmethane organic compound emissions. Further, this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (CAA).
<b>10 CSR 10-5.510 - Control of Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides</b>	5/30/2006	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive NOx emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.520 - Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Existing Major Sources</b>	2/29/2000	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.

# Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review

2016

## Air Pollution Control

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-5.530 - Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations</b>	2/29/2000	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also ensures attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.540 - Control of Emissions From Batch Process Operations</b>	2/29/2000	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive VOC emissions. This rule also contributes to attainment of the Ozone NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.550 - Control of VOC Emissions From Reactor Processes and Distillation Operations Processes in the Synthetic Organic Chemical Mfg. Industry</b>	2/29/2000	No*	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	*The Department believes that this rule is unnecessary but is examining the impact that a rescission would have upon the SIP. Furthermore, uncertainty exists with respect to the federal Ozone NAAQS' designation and implementation, which may affect this state rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-5.570 - Control of Sulfur Emissions From Stationary Boilers</b>	10/30/2013	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary as it contributes to attainment of the PM2.5 NAAQS under the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.010 - Ambient Air Quality Standards</b>	7/30/2014	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	N/A	Yes**	No	*This rule overlaps with some of the federal NAAQS. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary for attainment of the NAAQS.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.020 - Definitions and Common Reference Tables</b>	3/30/2014	Yes	No	No	Yes*	Yes *	Yes	Yes**	No	*The Department is currently evaluating necessary amendments to this rule resulting from other proposed rule rescissions. **This rule assists small businesses in defining terms and identifying common references.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.030 - Sampling Methods for Air Pollution Sources</b>	2/28/2006	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	No**	Yes***	No	*This rule is duplicative of some federal sampling methods. **The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. ***This rule assists small businesses in determining acceptable sampling methods.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review

2016

Air Pollution Control

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-6.040 - Reference Methods</b>	11/30/2014	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	No**	Yes***	No	*This rule is duplicative of some federal sampling methods. **The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. ***This rule assists small businesses in determining acceptable sampling methods.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.045 - Open Burning Requirements</b>	9/30/2009	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting certain open burning of refuse and combustible materials.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.050 - Start-Up, Shutdown, and Malfunction Conditions</b>	7/30/2010	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure an interactive process between regulated entities and the Department when an excess emission event occurs.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.060 - Construction Permits Required</b>	3/30/2016	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with the new source review requirements in the CAA and with the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.061 - Construction Permit Exemptions</b>	5/30/2009	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to exempt certain facilities and projects from the construction permit requirements in 10 CSR 10-6.060.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.062 - Construction Permits By Rule</b>	5/30/2007	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	Yes, a comment was received that suggests adding a permit-by-rule for certain boilers and process heaters fueled exclusively by natural gas and/or liquefied petroleum gas.	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to provide a less burdensome permitting process when certain conditions are met.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.065 - Operating Permits</b>	3/30/2016	Yes	No	No	Perhaps*	Perhaps*	Yes	Yes**	No	*The Department is currently evaluating the state operating permit program for potential streamlining and reductions in regulatory burdens. **The continued existence of this rule is currently necessary to ensure the Department maintains the State's Operating Permit Program in accordance with Title V of the CAA.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.070 - New Source Performance Regulations</b>	12/30/2013	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	Yes	Yes**	No	*This rule is duplicative of the federal New Source Performance Standards. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to maintain the Department's authority to implement and enforce these federal regulations. This rule does not add additional burden.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review

2016

Air Pollution Control

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-6.075 - Maximum Achievable Control Technology Regulations</b>	Most recent amendment will take effect on 7/30/2017	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	Yes	Yes**	No	*This rule is duplicative of the federal Maximum Achievable Control Technology Regulations. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to maintain the Department's authority to implement and enforce these federal regulations. This rule does not add additional burden.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.080 - Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants</b>	Most recent amendment will take effect on 7/30/2017	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	Yes	Yes**	No	*This rule is duplicative of the federal Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to maintain the Department's authority to implement and enforce these federal regulations. This rule does not add additional burden.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.090 - Restriction of Emission of Fluorides From Primary Aluminum Reduction Installations</b>	8/13/1981	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	No	No	
<b>10 CSR 10-6.100 - Alternate Emission Limits</b>	7/30/2009	No*	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.110 - Reporting Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information</b>	3/30/2015	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure emissions are reported and appropriate fees are collected pursuant to Section 643.079, RSMo.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.120 - Restriction of Emissions From Specific Lead Smelter-Refinery Installations</b>	9/30/2009	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure public health protections against harmful lead emissions.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.130 - Controlling Emissions During Episodes of High Air Pollution Potential</b>	12/30/2013	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure an alert system and associated procedures when air pollution is at dangerous levels.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.140 - Restriction of Emissions Credit for Reduced Pollutant Concentrations From the Use of Dispersion Techniques</b>	5/11/1986	Yes	No	Yes*	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*This rule is duplicative of some federal regulations found at 40 CFR 51. *The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.150 - Circumvention</b>	11/30/1990	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure emission controls are not circumvented.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-6.161 - Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incinerators</b>	3/30/2014	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with Section 111(d) of the CAA.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.165 - Restriction of Emission of Odors</b>	9/30/2014	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting the emission of excessive odorous matter.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.170 - Restriction of Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin</b>	8/30/1998	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting the emission of fugitive particulate matter.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.180 - Measurement of Emissions of Air Contaminants</b>	12/31/1990	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure that tests measuring air emissions can be performed upon the Department's request.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.191 - Sewage Sludge Incinerators</b>	5/30/2013	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with Section 111(d) of the CAA.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.200 - Hospital, Medical, Infectious Waste Incinerators</b>	7/30/2014	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with Section 111(d) of the CAA.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.210 - Confidential Information</b>	12/30/2016	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure regulated entities and the Department have procedures in place to comply with Section 643.050.5, RSMo. This rule protects the confidential information of small businesses.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.220 - Restriction of Emission of Visible Air Contaminants</b>	12/30/2016	Yes	No	No	No	Yes*	No**	Yes***	No	*The Department is currently evaluating a potential exemption from this rule for certain sources that comply with other regulatory requirements that accomplish the same purpose. **The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. ***The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excess visible air contaminant emissions.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.230 - Administrative Penalties</b>	11/30/1999	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure regulated entities and the Department have procedures in place to comply with Section 643.085, RSMo.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-6.241 - Asbestos Projects-Registration, Abatement, Notification, and Performance Requirements</b>	2/29/2016	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by ensuring that the Department is aware of certain asbestos abatement projects and demolitions and that there are procedures in place requiring asbestos inspections.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.250 - Asbestos Projects-Certification, Accreditation and Business Exemption Requirements</b>	2/29/2016	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by ensuring that the individuals working on asbestos projects are trained and certified.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.261 - Control of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions</b>	11/30/2015	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by establishing requirements for sources of sulfur dioxide in order to ensure compliance with the NAAQS.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.270 - Acid Rain Source Permits Required</b>	8/30/1999	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with the federal Acid Rain Program.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.280 - Compliance Monitoring Usage</b>	3/30/2002	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure that regulated entities may use certain alternate compliance certification methods in accordance with the CAA.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.300 - Conformity of General Federal Actions to State Implementation Plans</b>	8/30/2011	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	No	No	
<b>10 CSR 10-6.310 - Restriction of Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills</b>	5/30/2012	Yes	No	Yes*	No	Yes**	Yes	Yes***	No	*This rule overlaps with 40 CFR 62, Subpart GGG. **This rule needs amendment to eliminate regulatory confusion by updating standards to match federal regulations. ***The continued existence of this rule is necessary to ensure compliance with Section 111(d) of the CAA.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.330 - Restriction of Emissions From Batch-Type Charcoal Kilns</b>	7/30/1998	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive emissions from charcoal kilns. This rule also ensures compliance with Missouri's Regional Haze Plan.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-6.350 - Emission Limitations and Emissions Trading of Oxides of Nitrogen</b>	7/30/2009	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.360 - Control of NOx Emissions From Electric Generating Units and Non-Electric Generating Boilers</b>	7/30/2009	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.362 - Clean Air Interstate Rule Annual NOx Trading Program</b>	2/28/2010	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.364 - Clean Air Interstate Rule Seasonal NOx Trading Program</b>	2/28/2010	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.366 - Clean Air Interstate Rule SO2 Trading Program</b>	2/28/2010	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	*The Department plans to rescind this unnecessary rule.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.372 - Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Annual NOx Trading Allowance Allocations</b>	12/30/2015	Yes	No	No	No	No*	Yes	No	No	*The Department is examining the allocation rules related to the Cross State Air Pollution Rule to determine if amendments are needed to reallocate trading allowances.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.374 - Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Ozone Season NOx Trading Allowance Allocations</b>	12/30/2015	Yes	No	No	No	No*	Yes	No	No	*The Department is examining the allocation rules related to the Cross State Air Pollution Rule to determine if amendments are needed to reallocate trading allowances.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.376 - Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Annual SO2 Trading Allowance Allocations</b>	12/30/2015	Yes	No	No	No	No*	Yes	No	No	*The Department is examining the allocation rules related to the Cross State Air Pollution Rule to determine if amendments are needed to reallocate trading allowances.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.380 - Control of NOx Emissions From Portland Cement Kilns</b>	10/30/2005	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive NOx emissions. This rule also ensures compliance with the SIP.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources Rule Review**

**2016**

**Air Pollution Control**

		1 - Does this rule continue to be necessary, taking into consideration the purpose, scope, and intent of the statute under which the rule was adopted?	2 - Is this rule obsolete, taking into consideration the length of time since the rule was modified and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other relevant factors have changed in the subject area affected by the rule?	3 - Does this rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state rules, and to the extent feasible, with federal and local governmental rules?	4 - Could a less restrictive, more narrowly tailored, or alternative rule adequately protect the public or accomplish the same statutory purpose?	5 - Does this rule need amendment or rescission to reduce regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, or political subdivisions or eliminate unnecessary paperwork?	6 - Does this rule properly incorporate material by reference?	7 - Does this rule affect small businesses? For rules affecting small businesses, state whether the public purpose or interest for adopting the rule justifies the continued existence of the rule.	Comments received?	Department Comments
	<b>Date of Adoption or Last Amendment</b>									
	<b>Number of Rules Reviewed</b>									
	<b>101</b>									
<b>10 CSR 10-6.390 - Control of NOx Emissions From Large Stationary Internal Combustion Engines</b>	10/30/2013	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive NOx emissions. This rule also ensures compliance with the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.400 - Restriction of Emission of Particulate Matter From Industrial Processes</b>	10/30/2013	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive particulate matter emissions. This rule also ensures compliance with the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.405 - Restriction of Particulate Matter Emissions From Fuel Burning Equipment Used for Indirect Heating</b>	10/30/2011	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/A	Yes*	No	*The continued existence of this rule is necessary to protect the health and welfare of Missourians by restricting excessive particulate matter emissions. This rule also ensures compliance with the SIP.
<b>10 CSR 10-6.410 - Emissions Banking and Trading</b>	9/30/2012	Yes	No	No	No	No	No*	Yes**	No	*The Department plans to evaluate and update the incorporations by reference to comply with Section 536.031, RSMo. **The continued existence of this rule is necessary because it provides businesses a mechanism to acquire offsets for economic development in accordance with Section 643.220, RSMo.