



Figures and figure supplements

Generation of a versatile BiFC ORFeome library for analyzing protein–protein interactions in live *Drosophila*

Johannes Bischof et al



Figure 1. Generation of a Gal4 inducible library compatible with Venus-based BiFC in *Drosophila*. (A-A') Principle of the Venus-based BiFC between a candidate ORF (Open Reading Frame) and a bait protein fused to the N- (VN) or C-terminal (VC) fragment of Venus, respectively. Excitation and emission wavelengths are indicated. (B) Principles of Flippase (FLP)/FRT-mediated recombination to swap the C-terminal 3xHA tag of the ORF with the original VN or new VN-short tag line. Genetic crosses and selection procedure are described in (*Bischof et al., 2013*). Note that the UAS-ORF-HA and resulting UAS-ORF-VN are located on the third chromosome (*86Fb*). See also *Figure 1—figure supplement 1* and *Supplementary file 1*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.002



Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Comparison of Venus-based BiFC when using ORFs swapped with the original VN or VN-short tag line. (A) Schematic representation of an ORF fused to VN with the original linker region (red arrow). (A') Illustrative confocal captures of BiFC resulting from the coexpression of ORFs fused to VN with the original linker region and the Hox protein AbdominalA (AbdA) fused to the complementary VC fragment. (B) Schematic representation of an ORF fused to VN with the new short linker region (red arrow). (B') Illustrative confocal captures of BiFC resulting from the co-expression of ORFs fused to VN with the new short linker region (red arrow). (B') Illustrative confocal captures of BiFC resulting from the co-expression of ORFs fused to VN with the new short linker region and the Hox protein AbdominalA (AbdA) fused to the complementary VC fragment. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *abdA-Gal4* driver and BiFC is shown in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. Note that the amnioserosa inside the embryo displays prominent autofluorescence. ORFs that are negative with AbdA are highlighted in red. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.003



Figure 2. Generation of a Gal4 inducible library compatible with Venus- and Cerulean-based BiFC in *Drosophila*. (A-A') Principle of bicolor BiFC by using the complementation property between the C-terminal fragment of the blue fluorescent protein Cerulean (CC) and the N-terminal fragment of Venus (VN) or Cerulean (CN). Excitation and emission wavelengths are indicated. (B) Principle of the generation of the UAS-ORF-CC library at the 21F genomic locus. See also Materials and methods. (C-D) Illustrative confocal captures of Venus-based BiFC obtained from different ORF-CCs and VN-AbdA (C) or CN-AbdA (D) interaction partners, as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *abdA-Gal4* (upper panels) or *24B-Gal4* (lower panels) driver and BiFC is observed in the epidermis (stage 10/11) or somatic mesoderm (stage 14), respectively. Note that the amnioserosa, the gut *Figure 2 continued on next page*



Figure 2 continued

inside the embryo and the vitelline membrane around the embryo display strong autofluorescence. Absence of interaction with Pangolin (Pan) is highlighted in red. See also **Figure 2—figure supplements 1** and **2** and **3**.



Figure 2—figure supplement 1. The 21F and 86Fb attP sites lead to similar expression levels. . Illustrative confocal capture of UAS-driven GFP inserted in the 21F (A) or 86Fb (B) attP sites with the *Ubx-Gal4* driver. Two different stages are shown.

eLIFE Tools and resources



Figure 2—figure supplement 2. Co-expression of non-complementing ORF-3xHA can compete against BiFC signals obtained from ORF-CC and VN-AbdA constructs. (A) Principle of the BiFC competition experiment with co-expression of a non-complementing HA-tagged ORF. (B) Illustrative confocal captures of BiFC between VN-AbdA and the different ORF-CC constructs, as indicated. (B') Illustrative confocal captures of BiFC between VN-Figure 2—figure supplement 2 continued on next page



Figure 2—figure supplement 2 continued

AbdA and the same ORF-CC constructs in the presence of the corresponding ORF-HA. Constructs are expressed with the *abdA-Gal4* driver and BiFC is analysed in the epidermis of stage 10/11 embryos. The co-expression of non-complementing ORF-HA strongly affects BiFC in all cases. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.006



Figure 3. The TF-3xHA and multicolour BiFC libraries. (A) Number of transcription factors (TFs) tagged with three repeats of the hemagglutinin (3xHA) epitope at the 3' end and multicolour BiFC fly strains at FlyORF. Various insertion sites were used for the VN-short-ORF constructs (*Baëza et al., 2015*). Some of the 232 TF strains exist in more than one VN version (see also *Supplementary file 1*). (B) Distribution of the multicolour BiFC fly lines compared to the TF-3xHA library.



Figure 4. Using the multicolor BiFC library for a large-scale interaction screen with Ubx and AbdA in the live *Drosophila* embryo. (A) Number of TFs that were positive (green) or negative (red) with Ubx. (B) Number of TFs *Figure 4 continued on next page*

Figure 4 continued

that were positive (green) or negative (red) with AbdA. (C) Repartition of the different families among the 260 TFs tested with the Hox proteins. A specific color code is attributed to each TF family. Families with the highest number of tested TFs are represented (Zinc fingers C2H2, Zf_C2H2; homeodomain, HD; basic helix-loop-helix, bHLH; no DNA-binding domain, no DBD; zinc fingers GATA, Zf_GATA; basic leucine zipper, bZIP). 36 other different families containing one to eight TF representatives are present in the 'various' category. (D) Repartition of the TF families among the 130 positive interactions common to Ubx and AbdA. Note that the HD family is slightly enriched in this interactome. (E) Repartition of the TF families among the 33 Ubx-specific interactions. (F) Repartition of the TF families among the 19 AbdA-specific interactions. Note the absence of bZIP representatives in the Ubx- and AbdA-specific interactomes. (C') Repartition of the expression profile of the 260 tested TFs in six different embryonic tissues: the somatic mesoderm (sm), trachea (trac), gonad primordium/fat body (gp/fb), heart, epidermis (epi) and central nervous system (CNS). Most of these TFs are expressed in several embryonic tissues. (D') Tissue-type repartition of the expression profile of the 130 Ubx- and AbdA-positive interactors. (E') Tissue-type repartition of the expression profile of the 33 Ubx-specific interactors. Note the absence of TFs expressed in the qp/fb. (F') Tissue-type repartition of the expression profile of the 19 AbdA-specific interactors. Note the specific enrichment of TFs expressed in the qp/fb. See also Figure 4-figure supplements 1-10 and Supplementary file 4 and 5.



Figure 4—figure supplement 1. Interactomes and negatomes of Ubx and AbdA with the 260 tested TFs. (A) Schematic representation of Ubx and AbdA. The homeodomain (HD), hexapeptide (HX) and UbdA (UA) motifs are indicated, as well as the percentage of identity of Ubx with AbdA along Figure 4—figure supplement 1 continued on next page



Figure 4—figure supplement 1 continued

the corresponding regions. (**B**) Representation of the interactome (positive interactions) and negatome (negative interactions) of Ubx. (**C**) Representation of the interactome and negatome of AbdA. Compared to the negatome, HD-containing TFs (green boxes) are more enriched in each interactome. Color code is as in *Figure 4* (for most represented TF families: Zinc fingers C2H2, Zf_C2H2: fuchsia; homeodomain, HD: light green; basic helix-loop-helix, bHLH: light orange; no DNA-binding domain, no DBD: white; zinc fingers GATA, Zf_GATA: pink; basic leucine zipper, bZIP: green). Networks are represented using Cytoscape 3.6 (*Shannon et al., 2003*).

ab VN	al VN	bab1 VN	bcd VN	Beaf32 VN
A BROOM		ALC: NO	a retainer	
bs VN	cas VN	CG10209 VN	CG10267 VN	CG10321 VN
	Maria	Strength Co.		
CG13775 VN	CG17829 VN	CG30020 VN	CG7357 VN	CG 7928VN
			Place and	
Chrac14 VN	CrebB-17A VN	CtBP VN	CTCF VN	D19B VN
		The second		And the second s
da VN	Deaf1 VN	Dimm VN	Dref VN	eg VN
	Addition quantization			
emc VN	esc VN	esg VN	fd3F VN	foxo VN
grh VN	Hand VN	hb VN	HP1b VN	Hr 46 VN
Hr78 VN	Hr83 VN	Hsf VN	Hsp83 VN	Hth VN
			Amarik .	
Kay VN	klu VN	MafS VN	Mor VN	Myb VN
				Constraint of
NC2beta VN	NK7.1 VN	Optix VN	org1 VN	ovo VN
			alterna de la la	
p53 VN	pdm3 VN	Ph-p VN	Pph13 VN	psq VN
			and design of the	Calmer a per
Ravus VN	rn VN	Rpd3 VN	Sage VN	sd VN
Seq VN	Six4 VN	Smox VN	Sox21a VN	SoxN_VN
			Louge	P and all
sqz VN	Tgo VN	tio VN	Trl VN	Z4 VN

Figure 4—figure supplement 2. Illustrative confocal captures of Venus-based BiFC between ORF-VN and VC-Ubx, as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *Ubx-Gal4* driver and BiFC analysed in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.010

AbdB CC	achi CC	al CC	amos CC	Antp-CC
	A CONTRACT	Clares -	- Annual	
ash2 CC	az2 CC	bap CC	BEAF-32 CC	bin CC
Blos1 CC	Bro CC	bs CC	bsh CC	C15 CC
Chrac16 CC	CoRest CC	CrebB CC	Crol CC	CtBP CC
DICC	D4 CC	Dfd CC	dimm CC	DII CC
drmt93B CC	Doc1 CC	drm CC	E(spl)deltaHLH CC	E(spl)gammaHLH CC
E2F2 CC	ecd CC	emc CC	ems CC	Ets97D CC
exex CC	ey CC	fd96Cb CC	fd102C CC	ftz CC
grau CC	gro CC	gsb-n CC	gt CC	Hey CC
HHEX CC	hkb CC	HLH54F CC	hmg-2 CC	Hnf4 CC
hng1 CC	hng2 CC	Hr78-CC	Hr83 CC	Hr96 CC
Jra CC.	Kay CC	kni CC	ktub CC	lid CC
mod CC	noc CC	odd CC	p53 CC	pep CC
PHDP CC	Plzf CC	Poxm CC	Pph13 CC	Ptx1 CC
Ravus CC	Schlank CC	scro CC	sd CC	side CC
Six4 CC	sibo CC	Slou CC	so CC	Sox21b CC
Sry beta CC	Ssb-c31a CC	Su(var)205 CC	term CC	TFAM CC
Tin CC	Tip60 CC	trem CC	twi CC	Unc-4 CC
unpg CC	vnd CC	vsx2 CC	vvl CC	wek CC
Xrp1 CC	YL-1 CC	z CC		

Figure 4—figure supplement 3. Illustrative confocal captures of Venus-based BiFC between ORF-CC and VN-Ubx, as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *Ubx-Gal4* driver and BiFC analysed in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.011

ab VN	al VN	Bcd VN	BEAF-32 VN	bs VN
		All shares all a		
BtbVII VN	Btd VN	cas VN	CG10267 VN	CG10321 VN
CG13775 VN	CG17289 VN	CG2808 VN	CG30020 VN	CG 34376 VN
CG7357 VN	CG7928 VN	Chrac14 VN	Chrac16 VN	CrebB 17A VN
CTCF VN	D19B VN	da VN	Deaf1 VN	Dimm VN
Dref VN	eg VN	emc VN	esc VN	esg VN
fd3F VN	foxo VN	grh VN	Hand VN	hb VN
HP1b VN	Hr78 VN	Hr83 VN	Hsf VN	Hsp83 VN
Hth VN	Kay VN	klu VN	Maf-S VN	mor VN
Myb VN	NK7.1 VN	org1 VN	ovo VN	p53 VN
pdm3 VN	PHDP VN	Pph13 VN	prd VN	pros VN
psq VN	Ravus VN	rn VN	Rpd3 VN	Sage VN
sd VN	Seq VN	Six4 VN	Sox15 VN	Sox21a VN
SoxN VN	sqz VN	tio VN	Trl VN	Ttk VN
ush VN	Z4 VN			

Figure 4—figure supplement 4. Illustrative confocal captures of Venus-based BiFC between ORF-VN and VC-AbdA, as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *abdA-Gal4* driver and BiFC analysed in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.012

crol CC	D1 CC	D11 CC	dmrt93B CC	Doc1 CC
	Constant of the second			
dref CC	ey CC	fd59A CC	grau CC	gsbn n CC
	J.			
Hey CC	HHEX CC	HLF54F CC	Hmg-2 CC	kni CC
			Connell	
lid CC	pep CC	PHDP CC	Plzf CC	poxm CC
			Charles (
Ptx1 CC	scro CC	Six4 CC	Sox21b CC	Ssb-C31a CC
trem CC	twi CC	unc-4 CC	vnd CC	Vsx-2 CC
C D		train-State		
Xrp1 CC				

Figure 4—figure supplement 5. Illustrative confocal captures of Venus-based BiFC between ORF-CC and VN-AbdA, as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *abdA-Gal4* driver and BiFC analysed in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.013

AbdB CC	achi CC	al CC	Antp CC	az2 CC
bap CC	Beaf-32 CC	bin CC	bs CC	C15 CC
CoRest CC	CrebB CC	CtBP CC	D4 CC	Dfd CC
dimm CC	DIICC	emc CC	ems CC	Ets97D CC
exex CC	gt CC	Hnf4 CC	Jra CC	Kay CC
kni CC	odd CC	p53 CC	plzf CC	Poxm CC
Pph13 CC	schlank CC	sd CC	Six 4 CC	slbo CC
Su(var)205 CC	tgo CC	tin CC	vvl CC	wek CC
z CC				

Figure 4—figure supplement 6. Illustrative confocal captures of Cerulean-based BiFC between ORF-CC and CN-AbdA, as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *abdA-Gal4* driver and BiFC analysed in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.014



Figure 4—figure supplement 7. Representation of the common interactome and negatome between Ubx and AbdA. Colour code and representation are as in Figure 4—figure supplement 1.





Figure 4—figure supplement 8. Comparison between Interactomes. (A) Representation of the common and specific interactions among the interactomes of Ubx and AbdA. The corresponding percentage among the overall 184 positive interactions is indicated. (B) Representation of the proportion of positive interactions with Extradenticle (Exd) among 37 TFs that were positive with AbdA. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.016





Figure 4—figure supplement 9. Representation of the Ubx- (A) and AbdA-specific (B) interactomes. Colour code is as in *Figure 3*. TFs involved in gonad mesoderm or oenocytes specification, which correspond to AbdA-specific functions, are surrounded by a solid or dotted line, respectively. Star * denotes TFs involved in heart specification.



Figure 4—figure supplement 10. Analysis of the co-expression and interaction status of Ubx (A) or AbdA (B) and the positive ORFs. The distributions of the values taken by the ratio between the number of tissues in which the TF and Ubx or AbdA are co-expressed and the total number of tissues *Figure 4—figure supplement 10 continued on next page*

Figure 4—figure supplement 10 continued

composing the TF expression domain during embryogenesis (among the 25 analysed developmental contexts) with regard to their interaction status (red and blue dots indicate negative (0) and positive (1) interaction status between the TFs and Hox proteins, respectively). Green circles show the means of the distributions.

Figure 5. Functional genetics validates BiFC observations with Ubx in haltere primordium. (A) Scanning electron microscopy of haltere phenotypes in the different genetic backgrounds, as indicated. Compared to wild-type, halteres of individuals heterozygous for the Hox regulatory mutation *abxpbxbx Figure 5 continued on next page*

Figure 5 continued

have ectopic short wing-like hairs (arrows and zoom in/enlargement in B). This phenotype is increased when affecting *Ubx* expression upon expression of RNAi or when expressing a RNAi against a TF (although to a lesser extent: shown here for *Homothorax, Hth*) that could be required for Ubx function (arrows and enlargements). In contrast, expression of RNAi against a TF that is not required for Ubx function (as shown for *absent_small_or_homeotic_discs _2, ash2*) does not increase the phenotype. The expression of RNAi against TFs can also affect more globally the haltere (and wing) formation (as shown for Mad), which is difficult to interpret in term of homoeotic transformation and therefore with regard to a potential Ubx cofactor function. (**B**) Box plot statistical quantification of the haltere-to-wing transformations in the different genetic backgrounds, as indicated. Quantification was performed by counting the number of ectopic wing-like hairs formed at the edge of the haltere and on the hinge. The phenotype induced by the *Ubx*RNAi was voluntary not included since it corresponds to an almost complete haltere-to-wing transformation. (**C-E**) Diagrams showing the distribution of TFs that were BiFC positive (green) and negative (red) with Ubx in the different cases (not increased haltere phenotype (**C**); increased haltere phenotype (**D**); not interpretable (**E**)). See also **Supplementary file 6**.

Figure 6. Using the multicolour BiFC library for analysing two different interactions in the same embryo. (A) Principle of the bicolour BiFC. The AbdA and Extradenticle (Exd) cofactor are respectively fused to the CN or VN *Figure 6 continued on next page*

Figure 6 continued

fragment, which can complement with the CC fragment of a co-expressed ORF when interaction occurs. The simultaneous expression of the three fusion proteins allows assessing Venus- and Cerulean-based BiFC in the same cell. Bicolour BiFC results from the interaction of the ORF-CC with both CN-AbdA and VN-Exd, thus revealing two binary interactions simultaneously. BiFC could result from interactions occurring in two independent complexes but potentially also in the context of a trimeric complex (dotted arrow) in vivo. (**B**) Illustrative confocal capture of stage 10 embryo expressing Empty spiracles (Ems) fused to CC, together with CN-AbdA and VN-Exd fusion proteins, as indicated. BiFC is only occuring between AbdA and Ems, as expected from previous observation. (**C**) Illustrative confocal captures of stage 10 embryos expressing CN-AbdA, VN-Exd and ORF-CC constructs, as indicated in the different panels (Tin: Tinman; CtBP; Distalless, Dll; Bagpipe, Bag). Enlargements are provided in each case. White-dotted boxes depict nuclei where the ORF-CC interacts with both AbdA and Exd. Blue-dotted boxes depict nuclei where the ORF-CC interacts only with AbdA. Yellow-dotted boxes depict nuclei where the Correct of the *abdA-Gal4* driver. All expressing cells are recognized with the mCherry reporter. See also *Figure 6—figure supplements 1–3* and *Supplementary file 7*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.020

VN bap	VN bin	CtBP VN	VN DII	VN Doc-2
Dref VN	VN eve	VN knirps	VN lbe	VN mam
VN mid	VN nau	VN pnt	VN Poxm	VN salm
VN slou	VN slp2	VN srp	VN Su(H)	VN Tin
VN tsh	VN tup			

Figure 6—figure supplement 1. Illustrative confocal pictures of BiFC between ORF-VN and VC-Exd, as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *abd*A-Gal4 driver and BiFC analysed in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.021

Figure 6—figure supplement 2. Interaction properties of Extradenticle (Exd) with a set of 37 TFs that are positive with AbdA. (A) Representation of the interactome (positive interactions) of Exd. (B) Representation of the negatome (negative interactions) of Exd. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.022

Figure 6—figure supplement 3. Adding the sfGFP to the fluorescence repertoire of the multicolour BiFC library. (A) Split sfGFP fragments that are normally used for BiFC. (A') Principle of BiFC with the N-terminal fragment of sfGFP (sfGFPN) and the C-terminal fragment of Cerulean (CC). Excitation Figure 6—figure supplement 3 continued on next page

Figure 6—figure supplement 3 continued

and emission wavelengths of the sfGFP are indicated. (B) Illustrative confocal capture of BiFC obtained between ORF-CC constructs and VN-Exd (left panels) or sfGFPN-Exd (right panels), as indicated. Fusion proteins are expressed with the *abdA-Gal4* driver and BiFC is analyzed in the epidermis of stage 10 embryos. Absence of interaction with Empty Spiracles (Ems) is highlighted in red. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.023

Figure 7. Coupling the multicolor BiFC library to the split-Gal4 system to visualize interactions in the overlapping expression domain of the two protein partners. (A) Principle of BiFC with a unique Gal4 driver reproducing the expression profile of the bait protein (for example *Hax-Gal4* driver). (B) Principle of BiFC with a Gal4 driver reproducing the expression profile of the ORF. (C) Principle of BiFC upon the independent expression of Gal4 moieties (Gal4^{AD} and GAL^{DBD}) by using two different enhancers from the bait- or ORF-encoding gene. This system allows producing a functional Gal4 protein in the overlapping expression domain of the two enhancers, therefore assessing BiFC in cells that normally express both the bait and the ORF. (A') Illustrative confocal picture of BiFC obtained upon the expression of Ultrabithorax (Ubx) and Twist (Twi) fusion proteins by using the *Ubx-Gal4* driver. Confocal acquisitions were specifically obtained at the level of the visceral mesoderm to better highlight BiFC signals in the midgut (red arrow). Red stars depict signals in the somatic mesoderm. Insert shows acquisition of BiFC signals in the epidermis. (B') Illustrative confocal picture of BiFC obtained at the level of the wisceral mesoderm to better highlight BiFC signals in the level of the visceral mesoderm. BiFC is occurring in the entire visceral mesoderm of the midgut (red arrows). Fluorescence is also occurring in cells of the somatic mesoderm. BiFC is occurring in the same specific part of the midgut as in A' (enlargement on fluorescent nuclei is shown) and in few cells of the somatic mesoderm. C''. Quantification of the fluorescence intensity obtained in the visceral mesoderm with the slipt-Gal4 system (using the fluorescence intensity obtained in the same tissue with the *Ubx-Gal4* driver as a reference value). See also *Supplementary file 9*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38853.024