

No. 15-2597

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
FOURTH CIRCUIT

AMERICAN HUMANIST ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION,

Defendant-Appellee,

&

THE AMERICAN LEGION, ET AL.,

Intervenors/Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,
Greenbelt Division, Deborah K. Chasanow, District Judge

**BRIEF FOR AMICI CURIAE SENATOR JOE MANCHIN AND
REPRESENTATIVES DOUG COLLINS, VICKY HARTZLER, JODY
HICE, EVAN JENKINS, JIM JORDAN, MARK MEADOWS, AND ALEX
MOONEY IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEES AND AFFIRMANCE**

Charles J. Cooper
David H. Thompson
Howard C. Nielson, Jr.
Haley N. Proctor
COOPER & KIRK, PLLC
1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 220-9600
(202) 220-9601 (fax)
ccooper@cooperkirk.com

April 11, 2016

Attorneys for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF AMICI.....	1
ARGUMENT	2
I. THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE DOES NOT PROHIBIT THE USE OF SYMBOLS WITH RELIGIOUS MEANING TO COMMEMORATE OUR NATION’S HISTORY AND TO REFLECT VALUES SHARED BY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.....	2
A. Appellants’ Per Se Rule Cannot Be Squared with Establishment Clause Jurisprudence.....	3
B. Appellants’ Per Se Rule Would Jeopardize Countless Federal Monuments, Historic Places, and National Traditions.	8
1. <i>WWI Crosses</i>	9
2. <i>Other Crosses</i>	15
3. <i>Other Religious Symbols and Traditions</i>	20
C. Prohibiting the Use of a Cross as a Symbol of Courage, Sacrifice, and Remembrance Is Contrary to the Establishment Clause Because It Evinces Hostility to Religion.....	26
II. THE FOURTH CIRCUIT SHOULD REAFFIRM THAT <i>VAN ORDEN</i> SUPPLIES THE PROPER TEST FOR ANALYZING RELIGIOUS SYMBOLS USED BY THE FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS TO REFLECT OUR HISTORY AND HONOR THOSE WHO HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO IT.....	27
CONCLUSION	30
APPENDIX A	1a

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Page</u>
<i>County of Allegheny v. ACLU Greater Pittsburgh Chapter</i> , 492 U.S. 573 (1989).....	8, 23, 24, 25
<i>Engel v. Vitale</i> , 370 U.S. 421 (1962)	24
<i>Glassman v. Arlington Cty.</i> , 628 F.3d 140 (4th Cir. 2010).....	29
<i>Hunt v. McNair</i> , 413 U.S. 734 (1973)	28
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i> , 505 U.S. 577 (1992)	25
<i>Lynch v. Donnelly</i> , 465 U.S. 668 (1984)	passim
<i>Marsh v. Chambers</i> , 463 U.S. 783 (1983).....	3
<i>McCreary Cty. v. ACLU of Ky.</i> , 545 U.S. 881 (2005).....	4, 5, 6, 26
<i>Moss v. Spartanburg Cty. Sch. Dist. Seven</i> , 683 F.3d 599 (4th Cir. 2012)	29
<i>Myers v. Loudoun Cty. Pub. Schs.</i> , 418 F.3d 395 (4th Cir. 2005).....	24, 25, 28
<i>Salazar v. Buono</i> , 559 U.S. 700 (2010)	6, 7, 11, 27
<i>School Dist. of Abington Twp. v. Schempp</i> , 374 U.S. 203 (1963).....	26
<i>Town of Greece v. Galloway</i> , 134 S. Ct. 1811 (2014).....	passim
<i>Van Orden v. Perry</i> , 545 U.S. 677 (2005)	passim
<i>Walz v. Tax Comm’n of New York</i> , 397 U.S. 664 (1970)	9
<i>Zorach v. Clauson</i> , 343 U.S. 306 (1952)	1, 2, 3
 <u>Constitutional Provisions, Statutes, & Legislative Materials</u>	
U.S. CONST. amend. I	2
5 U.S.C. § 6103.....	25
10 U.S.C.	
§ 3742.....	17
§ 6242.....	17
§ 6245.....	17

31 U.S.C. § 5112.....	24
36 U.S.C.	
§ 116(b)(1).....	25
§ 119.....	25
§ 301(a)	20, 25
§ 302.....	24
§ 300101(a)	20
152 CONG. REC. H5244-02, 2006 WL 2009154 (2006)	15
H.R.J. Res. 97, 100th Cong. (Nov. 13, 1987), Pub. L. No. 100-164, 101 Stat. 905	22, 23
An Act To Establish the Channel Islands National Park, and for Other Purposes, 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-199, 94 Stat. 67	23
Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Pub. L. No. 108-447, 118 Stat. 3346	14
Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2004, Pub. L. No. 108-87, 117 Stat. 1100	14
Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial Act, 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-132, 128 Stat. 1727	17
Federal Fallen Firefighters’ Memorial, 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-347, 104 Stat. 398	16, 17
Preservation of Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, Pub. L. No. 109-272, 120 Stat. 770	14
<u>Other</u>	
<i>3d Cavalry Regiment</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, http://goo.gl/dG4q7A	18
<i>3d Massachusetts Cavalry Regiment</i> , STONE SENTINELS, http://goo.gl/nmKiai	15
<i>5th Cavalry Regiment</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, http://goo.gl/BQwczk	18
<i>12th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment</i> , STONE SENTINELS, http://goo.gl/t0rtkT	15

<i>13th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment, STONE SENTINELS,</i> http://goo.gl/hq9qYA	15
<i>Argonne Cross, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY,</i> http://goo.gl/t3Rvra	9, 10
<i>Bible Quote Carving, CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY,</i> https://goo.gl/w3B7GY	23, 24
<i>Canadian Cross of Sacrifice, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY,</i> http://goo.gl/R0wVIJ	10
<i>Cape Henry Memorial Cross, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE,</i> https://goo.gl/pBrYnJ ...	17
<i>Carved on These Walls, NATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS MEMORIAL FUND,</i> http://goo.gl/3B5Tr	22
<i>Chaplains Hill and Monuments, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY,</i> http://goo.gl/GwF7B4	16
<i>City Point National Cemetery, NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION,</i> http://goo.gl/28BKQw	15
<i>Coast Guard Cross, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,</i> http://goo.gl/jKmXq6	17
<i>Command Crest, USS MASON, U.S. NAVY,</i> http://goo.gl/3Rar29	17
<i>Eternal Father—The “Navy Hymn,” U.S. NAVY,</i> http://goo.gl/t9zSm6	22
<i>Geneva Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded in Armies in the Field, art. 7, Aug. 22, 1864</i>	20
<i>Lincoln’s Second Inaugural Address, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE,</i> https://goo.gl/hhmJc1	22
<i>Mission & Values, AMERICAN RED CROSS,</i> http://goo.gl/qVW5FY	20
<i>National Fallen Firefighters Memorial, NATIONAL FALLEN FIREFIGHTERS FOUNDATION,</i> http://goo.gl/1HbqAW	17
<i>On These Walls, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS,</i> https://goo.gl/z12VKc	24
<i>Philip Kennicott, Memorials to World War I May Be Less Obvious, But More Meaningful, WASH. POST, Aug. 8, 2014,</i> https://goo.gl/goyEZI	9, 10
<i>Ronald Reagan Funeral Service, C-SPAN,</i> http://goo.gl/tluEDW	22
<i>Sixth Army Corps, STONE SENTINELS,</i> http://goo.gl/48fyry	16
<i>Space Shuttle Challenger Memorial, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY,</i> http://goo.gl/0lpn1j	21

<i>Spanish-American War Monument</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, http://goo.gl/9zp5dn	21
<i>Spanish-American War Nurses Monument</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, http://goo.gl/xQruDR	16
THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, NINTH REPORT (1921).....	9
THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, TENTH REPORT (1926)	9
<i>The Tomb of the Unknown Soldier</i> , ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, http://goo.gl/iX2BAZ	21
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, THE ROBERT F. KENNEDY BUILDING, <i>available at</i> https://goo.gl/jZxe8R	24
<i>USCGC Paul Clark</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, http://goo.gl/XiZzNL	18
<i>USS Arleigh Burke</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, http://goo.gl/39MN9N	17
<i>USS Cape St. George</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, http://goo.gl/niSlaj	19
<i>USS Pearl Harbor</i> , THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, http://goo.gl/f5ZzFT	18
<i>USS Rentz, Honoring 30 Years of Naval Service and the History Behind Its Namesake</i> , THE OFFICIAL BLOG OF THE U.S. NAVY CHAPLAIN CORPS, http://goo.gl/D28f8O	19
<i>What We Do</i> , AMERICAN RED CROSS, http://goo.gl/f1lt5r	20
<i>Winchester National Cemetery</i> , NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION, http://goo.gl/TCvPwf	15

INTEREST OF AMICI

Amici curiae are eight members of the United States Congress, and are individually named in the Appendix to this brief. As elected representatives of “a religious people whose institutions presuppose a Supreme Being,” *Zorach v. Clauson*, 343 U.S. 306, 313 (1952), amici wish to preserve the “unbroken history of official acknowledgment by all three branches of government of the role of religion in American life” *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668, 674 (1984). Amici are concerned that the interpretation of the Establishment Clause advocated by Appellants would threaten federal displays that incorporate religious words and images, including several in the U.S. Capitol, and thereby disrespect the shared history and values those displays commemorate.

The parties have consented to the filing of this brief. A party’s counsel has not authored this brief in whole or in part, a party or a party’s counsel has not contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief, and no person other than the amici curiae or their counsel has contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief.

ARGUMENT

I. THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE DOES NOT PROHIBIT THE USE OF SYMBOLS WITH RELIGIOUS MEANING TO COMMEMORATE OUR NATION'S HISTORY AND TO REFLECT VALUES SHARED BY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

The Establishment Clause of the First Amendment provides that “Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion.” U.S. CONST. amend. I. It does not require an absolute separation of Church and State. *Zorach v. Clauson*, 343 U.S. 306, 313 (1952). To the contrary, “[t]here is an unbroken history of official acknowledgment by all three branches of government of the role of religion in American life from at least 1789.” *Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668, 674 (1984). To “disabl[e]” the government from acknowledging an important aspect of our history, merely because to do so involves the use of religious symbolism, is to “evinced a hostility to religion” that is inconsistent with the Establishment Clause. *Van Orden v. Perry*, 545 U.S. 677, 683–84 (2005) (plurality opinion); *see also id.* at 699 (Breyer, J., concurring in the judgment); *id.* at 711 (Stevens, J., dissenting).

Despite this clear principle, which has provided a fixed point of agreement in an otherwise fractured jurisprudence, the American Humanist Association and its members (collectively, “AHA”) advocate what amounts to a per se rule that the display of crosses on government property violates the Establishment Clause. Such a rule would disregard the “historical practices and understandings” of

crosses as symbols of courage, sacrifice, and remembrance. *See Town of Greece v. Galloway*, 134 S. Ct. 1811, 1819 (2014). And it would call into question the constitutionality of countless federal monuments, historic places, and national traditions that use a cross or other “inherently religious” symbols or language to commemorate our nation’s history and to reflect values shared by the American people.

A. Appellants’ Per Se Rule Cannot Be Squared with Establishment Clause Jurisprudence.

The Supreme Court has consistently declined to interpret the Establishment Clause in a way that would sweep away the countless references to religion “that run through our laws, our public rituals, [and] our ceremonies.” *Zorach*, 343 U.S. at 313. To the contrary, it has acknowledged that, when the state “respects the religious nature of our people and accommodates the public service to their spiritual needs,” “it follows the best of our traditions.” *Id.* at 314. Accordingly, official acknowledgments of religion—including in the form of memorial crosses on public property—must be judged by their place in our nation’s history and traditions and by the context in which they appear. *Marsh v. Chambers*, 463 U.S. 783, 786 (1983).

Most recently, in *Town of Greece v. Galloway*, the Court reaffirmed that the practice of legislative prayer is permissible under the Establishment Clause. 134 S. Ct. at 1828. In doing so, the Court relied on “our history and tradition,” which

demonstrate that legislative prayer can “coexist with the principles of disestablishment and religious freedom.” *Town of Greece*, 134 S. Ct. at 1820 (alteration omitted). Significantly, even though the prayers at issue in that case had sectarian content, the Court concluded that their “religious themes provide[d] particular means to universal ends” and that they therefore could “still serve to solemnize the occasion.” *Id.* at 1823. The dissenters did not reject this premise; instead, they dissented on the fact-intensive grounds that the town had “failed to make reasonable efforts to include prayer givers of minority faiths.” *Id.* at 1841 (Breyer, J., dissenting); *see also id.* at 1851 (Kagan, J., dissenting). In doing so, they were careful to acknowledge the value of overtly religious traditions. *See id.* at 1838–39 (Breyer, J.); *Id.* at 1850–51 (Kagan, J.).

As the substantial agreement between the majority and dissent suggests, *Town of Greece* reflects this Court’s consistent practice of looking to the history and context of official acknowledgments of religion, rather than adopting bright-line rules that require the state to purge religion from the public sphere. This practice applies equally to the Court’s approach to religious displays, as reflected in its most recent decisions on that subject.

In a pair of decisions in 2005, the Court considered whether certain displays of the Ten Commandments on public property violated the Establishment Clause. *See Van Orden v. Perry*, 545 U.S. 677 (2005); *McCreary Cty. v. ACLU of Ky.*, 545

U.S. 881 (2005). The Court upheld one display and struck down another.

Although fractured, the opinions uniformly acknowledged that the Establishment Clause does not prohibit prominent displays of religious symbols. *See Van Orden*, 545 U.S. at 690 (plurality opinion) (“Simply having religious content or promoting a message consistent with a religious doctrine does not run afoul of the Establishment Clause.”); *id.* at 692 (Scalia, J., concurring) (joining the plurality because it “accurately reflects our current Establishment Clause jurisprudence”); *id.* (Thomas, J., concurring) (joining the plurality because it “properly recognizes the role of religion in this Nation’s history and the permissibility of government displays acknowledging that history”); *id.* at 701 (Breyer, J.) (upholding the display because it “convey[s] not simply a religious message but also a secular moral message” and “historical message”); *id.* at 711 (Stevens, J.) (“The wall that separates the church from the State does not prohibit the government from acknowledging the religious beliefs and practices of the American people, nor does it require governments to hide works of art or historic memorabilia from public view just because they also have religious significance.”); *id.* at 737 (Souter, J., dissenting) (“A governmental display of an obviously religious text cannot be squared with neutrality, except in a setting that plausibly indicates that the statement is not placed in view with a predominant purpose on the part of government either to adopt the religious message or to urge its acceptance by

others.”); *id.* (O’Connor, J., dissenting) (agreeing with Justice Souter); *McCreary*, 545 U.S. at 867 (acknowledging that the Court’s precedents “did not purport to decide the constitutionality of every possible way the Commandments might be set out by the government, and [that] under the Establishment Clause detail is key”); *id.* at 883 (O’Connor, J., concurring) (basing her concurrence on “the history of this particular display of the Ten Commandments”); *id.* at 907 (Scalia, J., dissenting) (“Perhaps in recognition of the centrality of the Ten Commandments as a widely recognized symbol of religion in public life, the Court is at pains to dispel the impression that its decision will require governments across the country to sandblast the Ten Commandments from the public square.”).

More recently, in *Salazar v. Buono*, the Court considered a challenge to a Latin cross that had been erected by WWI veterans on federal land and subsequently designated as a national memorial. 559 U.S. 700, 705–06 (2010). The district court entered an injunction that “permanently forbade the Government from permitting the display of the Latin cross in the area of Sunrise Rock in the Mojave National Preserve.” *Id.* at 708 (quotation marks omitted). While the litigation was pending, Congress passed a statute directing the Secretary of the Interior to transfer the land on which the cross stood to the Veterans of Foreign Wars (VFW). *Id.* at 709–10. Purporting to enforce its original injunction, the

district court permanently enjoined the land transfer. *Id.* at 710–11. The Court of Appeals affirmed, but the Supreme Court reversed.

Although the Court’s decision turned on the standards for injunctive relief, three members of the Court found occasion to comment on the standard by which religious displays on public land are judged under the Establishment Clause. Justice Kennedy, joined by the Chief Justice and Justice Alito, criticized the district court for “concentrat[ing] solely on the religious aspects of the cross, divorced from its background and context.” *Id.* at 702. He acknowledged that a cross was “certainly a [religious] symbol,” but concluded that it “was not emplaced on Sunrise Rock to promote a Christian message,” or “to set the *imprimatur* of the state on a particular creed.” *Id.* at 715; *also id.* at 725 (Alito, J., concurring).

Despite these clear and repeated pronouncements, AHA advocates a *per se* rule that whenever “the government displays an iconic religious symbol . . . on its property, it sends a strong message of endorsement and exclusion,” which violates the Establishment Clause. Brief of Appellants at 19 (Feb. 29, 2016), Doc. 25.

They emphasize that “[v]irtually every court to consider a government cross *in any context* has held it unconstitutional,” even, AHA submits, when the cross is “found to have independent historical significance” and the context in which it is displayed demonstrates that, whatever its religious significance, it also serves the secular purpose of commemorating our war dead. *Id.*

But ignoring the history and context of the Memorial at issue here cannot be squared with the Supreme Court’s Establishment Clause jurisprudence. Even the endorsement test—which a majority of the Supreme Court has found to be unhelpful in dealing with passive monuments like the Memorial, *Van Orden*, 545 U.S. at 686 (plurality); *id.* at 699–700 (Breyer, J.)—does not contemplate an absolute prohibition on the use of religious symbols on government property. *See County of Allegheny v. ACLU Greater Pittsburgh Chapter*, 492 U.S. 573, 595 (1989) (the endorsement inquiry “turns upon the context,” which, “though not neutralizing the religious content” of religious imagery, may “negat[e] any message of endorsement of that content”), *abrogated by Town of Greece v. Galloway*, 134 S. Ct. 1811 (2014). AHA’s simple numerical tally of district and appellate court decisions holding different crosses in different contexts unconstitutional is no substitute for the careful analysis of the challenged Memorial’s history and context that is required by the Supreme Court’s decisions.

B. Appellants’ Per Se Rule Would Jeopardize Countless Federal Monuments, Historic Places, and National Traditions.

The cross at the center of this case is part of a memorial honoring the young men from Prince George’s County who perished fighting for their country in the First World War. It is not the only cross at stake, however. The Federal Government frequently uses crosses as symbols of courage, sacrifice, and remembrance, or otherwise permits commemorative crosses to stand on federal

land. These and a wealth of other examples of religious imagery or language in our national monuments and traditions confirm that the public display of religious symbols can “coexist with the principles of disestablishment and religious freedom.” *Town of Greece*, 134 S. Ct. at 1820 (alteration omitted). As the Supreme Court has repeatedly emphasized, in illuminating the requirements of the Establishment Clause, a “page of history” is worth a “volume of logic.” *Walz v. Tax Comm’n of New York*, 397 U.S. 664, 681 (1970).

1. *WWI Crosses*

Perhaps most relevant are two crosses that stand in Arlington National Cemetery in Virginia. Like their neighbor to the north, these crosses honor Americans who died in WWI. The first is the Argonne Cross, a 13-foot-tall Latin Cross of white marble. *Argonne Cross*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/t3Rvra>.¹ The memorial was approved by the National Commission of Fine Arts and erected by the Argonne unit of the American Women’s Legion. THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, NINTH REPORT 70 (1921); THE NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, TENTH REPORT 69 (1926). It was dedicated on November 13, 1923, “[i]n memory of our men in France.” NATIONAL COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS, TENTH REPORT 69. Nearly a century later, the Argonne Cross stands as “a silent reminder of the largest, bloodiest, and most important battle fought by

¹ All websites accessed April 11, 2016.

American troops during the war—and the final push that helped compel Germany to surrender.” Philip Kennicott, *Memorials to World War I May Be Less Obvious, But More Meaningful*, WASH. POST, Aug. 8, 2014, <https://goo.gl/goyEZI>. “Nearby, grave markers are inscribed with dates from October and November 1918, when more than a million American troops engaged in the Argonne offensive.” *Id.* The pine grove that stands around the memorial is “symbolic of the Argonne Forest where many of the men fought” and died. *Argonne Cross, supra.*

The second is the Canadian Cross of Sacrifice, a 24-foot granite cross. *Canadian Cross of Sacrifice*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/R0wVIJ>. The Canadian government donated this memorial in 1927 to honor Americans who had sacrificed their lives while in Canadian forces, many before the United States even entered the war. *Id.* The memorial is in the form of a “sword of sacrifice,” which consists of an “adapted Celtic cross,” with “a chivalric metal sword at its core.” JA1903. “Swords of sacrifice” have been erected around the world in honor of those who died in WWI. JA1905. Over the years, the Canadian Cross has been inscribed with additional dedications to honor those who served in World War II and the Korean War. *Canadian Cross of Sacrifice, supra.*

As with the Bladensburg Memorial, the crosses used for these two memorials have special significance derived from the historical context in which

they were erected. Courts have routinely recognized that “a Latin cross is not merely a reaffirmation of Christian beliefs.” *Buono*, 559 U.S. at 721 (Kennedy, J.). “It is a symbol often used to honor and respect those whose heroic acts, noble contributions, and patient striving help secure an honored place in history for this Nation and its people.” *Id.* The crosses that emerged after WWI, however, were imbued with added significance.

The Cross of Sacrifice, for example, “was seen as Christian by some, and chivalric by others.” JA1905. It was also a “throwback” to individual combat that, after an industrialized, mechanized war, “attached to every single soldier lost in the war the notion that he gave his life for a noble cause.” *Id.* Although its meaning is multifaceted, at bottom, “[t]his cross turned into a sword is not a call to religious belief but a symbol of the void created by the Great War.” JA1908.

And even today the “plain unadorned white” Argonne Cross still “no doubt evoke[s] the unforgettable image of the white crosses, row on row, that mark[] the final resting places of so many American soldiers who fell in” World War I and were buried overseas—as it did when it was erected “for those with searing memories of The Great War.” *Buono*, 559 U.S. at 725 (Alito, J.); *see also* JA1895 & n.13. Indeed, for bereaved families with no gravesite to visit, or for those without means to travel to a distant overseas grave, memorial crosses served—and

continue to serve—as symbolic gravesites and places of homecoming. JA1894—

95. As the American Legion explained in a resolution adopted in the early 1920s,

[C]rosses represent the symbol of America's sacrifice in the World War, and have been the inspiration of our great war poems, and were first improvised and erected by our comrades in the field and are fixed in the minds of the gold star fathers and mothers and the Nation as an impressive emblem of sacrifice for country and humanity.

JA2284.

When it came time for the U.S. Government to erect permanent markers on the graves of American Soldiers, Sailors, Airmen, and Marines buried in Europe, Congress took special note of the symbolic meaning that the Latin crosses had for the families and comrades-in-arms of the dead. When the servicemen were first buried, their graves had been marked, first with improvised crosses, and then with uniform wooden crosses and Stars of David. JA2281 (H.R. Res. 15, 68th Cong. at 2 (1924)). Congressman Piatt Andrew noted that “[t]hose markers were distinctively symbols of the World War. They were different from civilian grave markers, and they became particularly identified in the thoughts of everybody with those who had made the great sacrifice in the World War.” *Id.*; *see also* JA2284 (Statement of Mr. John Thomas Taylor, Vice Chairman, American Legion, Legislative Committee) (describing the symbolism of a cross for his fellow infantrymen); JA2285 (Statement of Mr. Lloyd M. Brett, Commander in Chief, VFW) (same); JA2291 (Letter from Mrs. George Gordon Seibold to Hon. Piatt

Andrew (Mar. 12, 1924)) (noting that servicemen were issued “two pieces of wood to form a cross for a fallen hero”). One mother who had the opportunity to visit her son’s overseas grave captured the feeling well when she explained that,

at sunset, and just as the rays of the sun fell on the beautiful American flag and those glistening crosses[,] it brought home to her the fact that her son was lying ever on duty in France, that there was something wonderful and brave back of that sacrifice, that his spirit and self-sacrifice would live.

JA2287 (Statement of Mrs. Franklin Lee Bishop, National President, American Legion Auxiliary).

Congressional records of the debate surrounding the choice of permanent markers reveal that the push to maintain crosses was animated primarily by a desire to honor this association, not by a desire to advance religion. JA2283 (noting that the purpose of permitting families to mark graves in a manner consistent with their religious beliefs could be accomplished as easily by uniform headstones marked with small symbols of faith, as in Arlington); JA2292 (Letter of Bishop James E. Freeman to Mrs. James Carroll Frazer (Mar. 12, 1924)) (“Practically all around the world the cross is regarded as the supreme symbol of sacrifice. This, independent of any religious belief, would justify its use.”). Indeed, members of Congress advocated erecting Stars of David “of similar . . . proportion” on the graves of Jewish servicemen so as not to “stir up religious feelings.” JA2281. Reflecting these sentiments, the American Battle Monuments

Commission voted unanimously to retain the cross (or Star of David) markers.

JA2290.

For similar reasons, Congress has sought to protect memorial crosses around the Nation that were erected in remembrance of WWI and other conflicts. For example, in response to an injunction ordering the removal of a memorial cross in Mojave National Preserve—the memorial cross at issue in *Buono*—Congress enacted legislation transferring the federal land on which the memorial was located to private owners in order to maintain the cross “as a memorial commemorating United States participation in World War I and honoring the American veterans of that war.” Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2004, Pub. L. No. 108-87, § 8121, 117 Stat. 1100. In another case, Congress enacted legislation designating the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial in San Diego as “a national memorial honoring veterans of the United States Armed Forces,” Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Pub. L. No. 108-447, § 116(a), 118 Stat. 3346, and requiring the Federal Government to exercise its power of eminent domain to acquire the Mt. Soledad memorial in order to preserve it for this purpose, Preservation of Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, Pub. L. No. 109-272, 120 Stat. 770. Although not admitting the validity of the challenges to these memorials under the Establishment Clause, Congress acted out of a desire both to preserve historically significant monuments and to honor the sacrifice of U.S. service

members. *See generally* 152 CONG. REC. H5244-02, 2006 WL 2009154 (2006). In doing so, members of Congress recognized that the crosses at issue were “not only . . . religious symbol[s],” but also “venerated landmark[s].” *Id.*

2. *Other Crosses*

The Federal Government uses or supports the use of crosses as symbols of courage, sacrifice, and remembrance in a variety of other contexts.

In addition to those already described, numerous memorials that incorporate crosses are located on federal property within the jurisdiction of this Court. They include several honoring those who fought to preserve the Union during the Civil War. The City Point National Cemetery in Hopewell, Virginia, contains the Army of the James Monument. *City Point National Cemetery*, NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION, <http://goo.gl/28BKQw>. That monument depicts a cross and is inscribed “Sacred to the Lamented Dead of the Army of the James.” *Id.* The Winchester National Cemetery in Winchester, Virginia, contains several monuments that incorporate the symbol of the 19th Army Corps, which is a cross pattée. *See Winchester National Cemetery*, NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION, <http://goo.gl/TCvPwf>; *3d Massachusetts Cavalry Regiment*, STONE SENTINELS, <http://goo.gl/nmKiai>; *12th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment*, STONE SENTINELS, <http://goo.gl/t0rtkT>; *13th Connecticut Volunteer Infantry Regiment*, STONE SENTINELS, <http://goo.gl/hq9qYA>. Also located in

Winchester National Cemetery is a monument “[e]rected by the survivors of the Sixth Army Corps” to Brigadier General David A. Russell, who was killed in action at Opequon, Virginia during the Civil War. *Sixth Army Corps*, STONE SENTINELS, <http://goo.gl/48fyry>. It incorporates red, white, and blue crosses representing the First, Second, and Third Divisions of the Corps. *Id.*

On Chaplains Hill in Arlington National Cemetery, four monuments employing religious symbols, including crosses, are “dedicated to the memory of chaplains who have served in the United States Armed Forces.” *Chaplains Hill and Monuments*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/GwF7B4>. They are inscribed with religious language, including the following passage from the Bible, *John* 15:13: “Greater Love Hath No Man Than This, That A Man Lay Down His Life For His Friends.” *Id.* The Spanish-American War Nurses Monument in Arlington incorporates a Maltese Cross, which is the insignia of the Society of Spanish-American War Nurses. *Spanish-American War Nurses Monument*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/xQruDR>. Dedicated “To Our Comrades,” it rests among the graves of nurses who lost their lives during that war. *Id.*

A national memorial honoring fallen firefighters, located on federal property in Emmitsburg, Maryland, is likewise topped by a Maltese Cross, which is also the traditional symbol of the fire service. *See* Federal Fallen Firefighters’ Memorial,

1990, Pub. L. No. 101-347, 104 Stat. 398; *National Fallen Firefighters Memorial*, NATIONAL FALLEN FIREFIGHTERS FOUNDATION, <http://goo.gl/1HbqAW>. Finally, the Cape Henry Memorial Cross stands in the Colonial National Historical Park in Virginia as a tribute to the English colonists who landed there in 1607 and erected a wooden cross “in prayer for a safe arrival to this new land.” *Cape Henry Memorial Cross*, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, <https://goo.gl/pBrYnJ>.

The federal government’s use of a cross is not limited to monuments. As a symbol of valor and sacrifice, it also figures in many military traditions. This Court must be uniquely sensitive to the implications of its holdings for the military, as the Pentagon and numerous military bases are located within its jurisdiction

Numerous medals of valor take the form of a cross, a chivalric tradition dating to the middle ages, which was adopted in this country in the wake of WWI. *See* 10 U.S.C. § 3742 (Distinguished Service Cross); 10 U.S.C. § 6242 (Navy Cross); 10 U.S.C. § 6245 (Distinguished Flying Cross); *Coast Guard Cross*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, <http://goo.gl/jKmXq6> (“The Cross stands for personal sacrifice.”); JA1945 (noting that cross-shaped medals were adopted after WWI). A national memorial erected to honor the recipients of the Distinguished Flying Cross, naturally, is a cross. *See* Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial Act, 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-132, 128 Stat. 1727.

Sometimes as a reference to these medals, and sometimes for other reasons, military units and naval ships adopt crests or insignia that incorporate crosses. Many ships, for example, incorporate crosses into their crests to symbolize a medal for valor awarded to their namesake. *See, e.g., USS Arleigh Burke*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, <http://goo.gl/39MN9N>; *Command Crest, USS MASON*, U.S. NAVY, <http://goo.gl/3Rar29>; *USCGC Paul Clark*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, <http://goo.gl/XiZzNL>. Similarly, the crest of the USS Pearl Harbor includes a gold cross on a dark blue field to “commemorate the fifty-two Navy cross awardees, the largest number of awards for any single engagement in U.S. history.” *USS Pearl Harbor*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, <http://goo.gl/f5ZzFT>.

Alternatively, a cross might refer to an engagement in which the unit or a predecessor ship served. For example, the Third Cavalry Regiment’s Coat of Arms incorporates a white cross on a green background as a reference to the unit’s first engagement, the Battle of Veracruz during the Mexican-American War. *3d Cavalry Regiment*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, <http://goo.gl/dG4q7A>. The Fifth Cavalry Regiment’s insignia incorporates a cross moline, which represents the iron pieces of a mill stone, to commemorate its charge at Gaines Mills during the Civil War. *5th Cavalry Regiment*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, <http://goo.gl/BQwczk>. The USS Cape

St. George, named for “the classic sea battle at Cape St. George in the World War II Pacific Theater,” incorporates the cross of St. George into its seal. *USS Cape St. George*, THE INSTITUTE OF HERALDRY, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, <http://goo.gl/niSlaj>.

Sometimes, these crosses have an explicitly religious derivation. The crest of the USS *Rentz* incorporated a cross in memory of its namesake, Chaplain George *Rentz*. Commander *Rentz* sacrificed his life during WWII when a Japanese attack on the USS *Houston* caused it to sink:

*Rentz . . . reached safety on . . . the spare main float [of an airplane]. [Finding it] dangerously overloaded and seeing wounded survivors, some without life jackets struggling to remain afloat, he determined to relinquish both his life jacket and his place on the float . . . Finding no one who would let him carry out his wish, however, Chaplain *Rentz* remained with his Shipmates . . . , encouraging them with hymns and prayers . . . Ultimately, he succeeded in placing his life jacket by a wounded sailor . . . before slipping away into the sea”*

USS Rentz, Honoring 30 Years of Naval Service and the History Behind Its

Namesake, THE OFFICIAL BLOG OF THE U.S. NAVY CHAPLAIN CORPS,

<http://goo.gl/D28f8O>. The motto of the ship, “Dread Nought,” “tells all to have no fear for the ship is watched over by higher powers.” *Id.* Although the USS *Rentz* has since been decommissioned, its traditions are a testament to the importance of permitting the use of religious symbols that have taken on historical significance, even if they derive from an “inherently religious” reference.

In the civilian context, a red Greek Cross is a ubiquitous sign of medical aid, and has long been designated as such under international law. *See* Geneva Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded in Armies in the Field, art. 7, Aug. 22, 1864 (designating the red cross as the “distinctive and uniform” insignia for “hospitals, ambulances and evacuation parties” on the battlefield). The American National Red Cross is a “Federally chartered instrumentality of the United States,” 36 U.S.C. § 300101(a), that provides disaster relief, support for military families, public health services, and humanitarian aid. *What We Do*, AMERICAN RED CROSS, <http://goo.gl/f1lt5r>. As AHA’s expert Dr. Piehler acknowledged, its symbol has religious origins. *See* JA190. Yet the American Red Cross continues to employ it, even though it considers the appearance of impartiality and neutrality between religions to be critical to its mission of “prevent[ing] and alleviat[ing] human suffering in the face of emergencies,” *Mission & Values*, AMERICAN RED CROSS, <http://goo.gl/qVW5FY>.

3. *Other Religious Symbols and Traditions*

More broadly, the federal government uses “inherently religious” symbols or words apart from a cross, all of which would be jeopardized by the absolutist, ahistorical vision of the Establishment Clause urged by AHA.

In addition to the memorials already mentioned, Arlington National Cemetery includes others with religious language. In the Tomb of the Unknown

Soldier, there “RESTS IN HONORED GLORY AN AMERICAN SOLDIER KNOWN BUT TO GOD.” *The Tomb of the Unknown Soldier*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/iX2BAZ>. The Space Shuttle Challenger Memorial includes the poem, High Flight, from which President Ronald Reagan quoted in his seminal address following the explosion of the Space Shuttle Challenger on January 28, 1986. It ends with the following lines: “[A]nd while with silent, lifting mind I’ve trod/ the high untrespassed sanctity of space/ put out my hand, and touched the face of God.” *Space Shuttle Challenger Memorial*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/0lpn1j>. The Spanish-American War Monument includes a bronze plaque added in 1964, with the following words: “To The Glory Of God And In Grateful Remembrance Of The Men And Women Of The Armed Forces Who In This Century Gave Their Lives For Our Country That Freedom Might Live.” *Spanish-American War Monument*, ARLINGTON NATIONAL CEMETERY, <http://goo.gl/9zp5dn>.

Just a few miles from Arlington, the steps of the U.S. Navy Memorial in Washington, D.C., are engraved with the words of the “Navy Hymn,” which beseeches the “Eternal Father” to “hear us when we cry to Thee/ For those in peril on the sea!” That hymn was played by the U.S. Navy Band as President John F. Kennedy’s body was carried up the steps of the U.S. Capitol to lie in state, and continues to be sung at the U.S. Naval Academy in Annapolis, Maryland, which is

located within the jurisdiction of this Court. *Eternal Father—The “Navy Hymn,”* U.S. NAVY, <http://goo.gl/t9zSm6>.² Also in Washington, D.C., the National Law Enforcement Officers Memorial incorporates “inspiring quotes that capture the spirit of the heroic men and women who are [there] honored,” including the following passage from the Bible, *Proverbs 28:1*: “The wicked flee when no man pursueth: but the righteous are as bold as a lion.” *Carved on These Walls*, NATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS MEMORIAL FUND, <http://goo.gl/3B5Tr>. And of course, the Lincoln Memorial is carved with the words of President Lincoln’s Second Inaugural Address, in which he prays “ferverently . . . that this mighty scourge of war may speedily pass away,” but calls on the Nation to accept the Lord’s judgment as “true and righteous altogether.” *Lincoln’s Second Inaugural*, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, <https://goo.gl/hhmJc1>.

Congress has recognized the Disabled American Veterans Vietnam National Memorial, which includes a memorial chapel, as a “memorial of national significance,” noting that “to many persons, especially Vietnam veterans, the

² Religious songs are common in state funerals. One popular hymn is the “Battle Hymn of the Republic,” which includes such overtly religious language as: “In the beauty of the lilies Christ was born across the sea,/ With a glory in His bosom that transfigures you and me;/ As He died to make men holy, let us die to make men free,/ While God is marching on!” In 2004, it was played as President Reagan’s body was carried into the U.S. Capitol, and a military choir sang it during his funeral service at the Washington National Cathedral. See *Ronald Reagan Funeral Service*, C-SPAN, <http://goo.gl/tluEDW>.

chapel is literally sacred.” H.R.J. Res. 97, 100th Cong. (Nov. 13, 1987), Pub. L. No. 100-164, 101 Stat. 905. Congress has also appointed or preserved other chapels at the public expense. *See, e.g.*, An Act To Establish the Channel Islands National Park, and for Other Purposes, 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-199, § 106, 94 Stat. 67 (authorizing the Secretary of the Interior “to take such measures as may be necessary to provide for the continued protection of the historic Palmer’s Chapel” and “to make suitable arrangements for the history of the chapel to be communicated to park visitors and for the chapel to continue to be used for memorial purposes by former residents and their descendants”). Indeed, there is a special prayer room in the U.S. Capitol itself. As described by Justice Kennedy, this room contains overtly sectarian imagery and language:

The room is decorated with a large stained glass panel that depicts President Washington kneeling in prayer; around him is etched the first verse of the 16th Psalm: “Preserve me, O God, for in Thee do I put my trust.” Beneath the panel is a rostrum on which a Bible is placed; next to the rostrum is an American Flag.

County of Allegheny v. ACLU Greater Pittsburgh Chapter, 492 U.S. 573, 672 (1989) (Kennedy, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part).

Outside of these sacred rooms, Federal buildings are decorated with religious symbols. The CIA Old Headquarters Building, located in Langley, Virginia, has words from *John* 8:32 inscribed on its lobby: “And ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free.” *Bible Quote Carving*, CENTRAL

INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, <https://goo.gl/w3B7GY>. These words were added at the insistence of former Director Allen Dulles, who was the son of a Presbyterian minister, and have since become the Agency's motto. *Id.* The words "In God We Trust" appear in or at the entrance to both chambers of Congress. *See Engel v. Vitale*, 370 U.S. 421, 440 n.5 (1962) (Douglas, J., concurring); *Allegheny*, 492 U.S. at 673 (Kennedy, J.). The courtroom of the U.S. Supreme Court famously depicts Moses, Mohammed, Confucius, and the Ten Commandments. *Id.* at 652–53 & n.13 (Stevens, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part). The walls of the Library of Congress are adorned with passages from the Bible. *On These Walls*, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS, <https://goo.gl/z12VKc>. The Great Hall of the U.S. Department of Justice features a commissioned painting of Jesus Christ—a subject selected under the advice of Justice Harlan Fiske Stone and Dean Roscoe Pound. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, THE ROBERT F. KENNEDY BUILDING 76, *available at* <https://goo.gl/jZxe8R>; *see also Lynch v. Donnelly*, 465 U.S. 668, 676–77 (1984) (describing religious artwork in national art galleries as examples of permissible religious displays).

The Federal Government's recognition of religion extends beyond these physical displays. Our national motto, which appears on our currency, is "In God We Trust." *See* 36 U.S.C. § 302; 31 U.S.C. § 5112. Our Pledge of Allegiance, which has been upheld by this Court, identifies our country as "One nation under

God.” *Myers v. Loudoun Cty. Pub. Schs.*, 418 F.3d 395, 408 (4th Cir. 2005). Our national anthem proclaims that “In God is our Trust.” *See* 36 U.S.C. § 301(a). Presidents since George Washington, as well as countless other officials, have taken their oath of office on the Bible. *Lee v. Weisman*, 505 U.S. 577, 633 (1992) (Scalia, J., dissenting). Congress has recognized Christmas and Thanksgiving as federal holidays, 5 U.S.C. § 6103, and the President has repeatedly issued proclamations commemorating other religiously significant events, *see Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 677 & n.5. Each year, the Federal government erects Christmas displays around the Capital and in federal buildings across the nation. *Cf. Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 668 (upholding holiday display); *Allegheny*, 492 U.S. at 579 (upholding one holiday display and striking down another).

Prayer has played a particularly significant role in our national traditions and features in all three branches of government. The day after the First Amendment was proposed, the First Congress asked President Washington to proclaim “a day of public thanksgiving and prayer.” *Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 675 n.2. Today, codified statutes direct the President to proclaim a National Day of Prayer, 36 U.S.C. § 119, and request a Memorial Day proclamation “calling on the people of the United States to observe Memorial day by praying, according to their individual religious faith, for permanent peace,” 36 U.S.C. § 116(b)(1). In support of the practice of legislative prayer, “[t]he First Congress made it an early item of business to

appoint and pay official chaplains, and both the House and Senate have maintained the office virtually uninterrupted since that time.” *Town of Greece v. Galloway*, 134 S. Ct. 1811, 1818 (2014). Federal courts likewise open sittings with a prayer that dates to Chief Justice Marshall’s days, asking that “God Save the United States and this Honorable Court.” *McCreary Cty. v. ACLU of Ky.*, 545 U.S. 844, 886 (2005).

Examples could be multiplied. “It can be truly said . . . that today, as in the beginning, our national life reflects a religious people.” *School Dist. of Abington Twp. v. Schempp*, 374 U.S. 203, 213 (1963). The ruling AHA seeks would jeopardize that life and finds no place in the Establishment Clause, which, as the Supreme Court has repeatedly made clear, does not displace but respects our religious heritage.

C. Prohibiting the Use of a Cross as a Symbol of Courage, Sacrifice, and Remembrance Is Contrary to the Establishment Clause Because It Evinces Hostility to Religion.

The Constitution does not “require complete separation of church and state; it affirmatively mandates accommodation, not merely tolerance, of all religions, and forbids hostility toward any.” *Lynch*, 465 U.S. at 673. “Anything less would require the callous indifference [the Court has] said was never intended by the Establishment Clause.” *Id.* (quotation marks omitted). The Court has warned that “such hostility would bring [it] into war with our national tradition as embodied in

the First Amendment's guaranty of the free exercise of religion." *Id.* (quotation marks omitted).

Requiring Appellees to remove the Memorial would not only be "viewed by many as a sign of disrespect for the brave soldiers whom the cross was meant to honor;" it would also evince just such "hostility" to religion. *Buono*, 559 U.S. at 726 (Alito, J.); *see also id.* at 716 (Kennedy, J.). It would serve as "an arresting symbol of a Government that is not neutral but hostile on matters of religion and is bent on eliminating from all public places and symbols any trace of our country's religious heritage." *Id.* at 726 (Alito, J.). Moreover, the rule AHA advocates would require the destruction of so many monuments, the erasure of so many symbols, the abandonment of so many traditions, irrespective of their context and secular meaning to millions of Americans, and solely because of their religious derivation, that it would unavoidably ignite the very "kind of social conflict the Establishment Clause seeks to avoid." *Van Orden v. Perry*, 545 U.S. 677, 699 (2005) (Breyer, J.). It cannot be sustained.

II. THE FOURTH CIRCUIT SHOULD REAFFIRM THAT VAN ORDEN SUPPLIES THE PROPER TEST FOR ANALYZING RELIGIOUS SYMBOLS USED BY THE FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS TO REFLECT OUR HISTORY AND HONOR THOSE WHO HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO IT.

The district court correctly recognized that the Memorial passes muster under the Establishment Clause regardless of which test it applies, JA3441–42,

3457, but this Court should take this opportunity to clarify that these sorts of challenges require the exercise of “legal judgment” premised on history and tradition, rather than a mechanical application of the *Lemon* factors. *See Myers*, 418 F.3d at 402.

Although the Supreme Court has sometimes relied on the three factors identified in *Lemon v. Kurtzman* to guide its Establishment Clause analysis, it has long held that these factors serve as “no more than helpful signposts.” *Hunt v. McNair*, 413 U.S. 734, 741 (1973). There is “no single mechanical formula that can accurately draw the constitutional line in every case.” *Van Orden*, 545 U.S. at 699 (Breyer, J.). In many Establishment Clause cases, the Court has either not applied the so-called “*Lemon* test” or has relied on it only secondarily. *Id.* at 686 (plurality) (collecting cases); *see also id.* at 699–700 (Breyer, J.). As five justices recognized in *Van Orden*, the *Lemon* test is not especially helpful for analyzing challenges to passive monuments or other acknowledgements of religion with deep roots in this Nation’s history. *Id.* at 686 (plurality); *id.* at 703–04 (Breyer, J.).

The same year *Van Orden* was decided, this Court applied Justice Breyer’s “legal judgment” approach from his *Van Orden* concurrence to a challenge to a statute providing for the daily, voluntary recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance in schools. *See Myers*, 418 F.3d 395. The district court was reluctant to treat *Myers* as binding precedent because subsequent decisions from this Court apply the

Lemon test. Amici do not suggest, however, that *Van Orden* overruled *Lemon* altogether: only that it supplanted it in certain cases, especially when a court is confronted with a passive religious display. The two challenges in which this Court has applied the *Lemon* test since *Van Orden* involved active government conduct, including financing the development of a parcel of land owned by a church, see *Glassman v. Arlington Cty.*, 628 F.3d 140, 146 (4th Cir. 2010), and conferring academic credit to students who received off-campus religious instruction from accredited schools, see *Moss v. Spartanburg Cty. Sch. Dist. Seven*, 683 F.3d 599, 608 (4th Cir. 2012).

Since *Van Orden* was decided, a majority of the Supreme Court has reaffirmed that a history-based approach to Establishment Clause challenges such as this one is more faithful to the Establishment Clause than is the *Lemon* test. *Town of Greece*, 134 S. Ct. 1811 (not applying the *Lemon*-test to the historically-rooted practice of legislative prayer). As Justice Alito noted in his concurrence in that case, “[i]f there is any inconsistency between any of those tests and” a historic practice with deep roots in this country’s tradition, “the inconsistency calls into question the validity of the test, not the historic practice. *Id.* at 1834 (Alito, J., concurring). The use of a cross as a symbol of courage, sacrifice, and remembrance is both deeply rooted and widespread. If the *Lemon* test is thought to

cast any doubt on that practice, then that is simply one more reason to doubt that test's usefulness in this context.

CONCLUSION

The District Court's judgment should be affirmed.

Dated: April 11, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Charles J. Cooper

Charles J. Cooper

David H. Thompson

Howard C. Nielson, Jr.

Haley N. Proctor

COOPER & KIRK, PLLC

1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 220-9600

Attorneys for Amici Curiae

Members of Congress

APPENDIX A—LIST OF AMICI CURIAE

The Members of Congress joining this brief as amici curiae in support of Appellees are the Honorable:

1. Sen. Joe Manchin, West Virginia
2. Rep. Doug Collins, Georgia
3. Rep. Vicky Hartzler, Missouri
4. Rep. Jody Hice, Georgia
5. Rep. Evan Jenkins, West Virginia
6. Rep. Jim Jordan, Ohio
7. Rep. Mark Meadows, North Carolina
8. Rep. Alex Mooney, West Virginia

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

This brief complies with the type-volume limitations of FED R. APP. P. 29(d) because this brief contains 6,943 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii).

This brief complies with the typeface requirements of FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements on FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepared in a proportionately spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2013 in 14-point Times New Roman font.

Dated: April 11, 2016

s/ Charles J. Cooper
Charles J. Cooper

Attorney for Amici Curiae
Members of Congress

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 11, 2016, the foregoing was served on all parties or their counsel of record through the CM/ECF system.

s/ Charles J. Cooper

Charles J. Cooper

*Attorney for Amici Curiae
Members of Congress*