1	Streamlined spatial and environmental expression signatures characterize the
2	minimalist duckweed Wolffia australiana
3	
4	AUTHORS
5	Tom Denyer ¹ , Pin-Jou Wu ¹ , Kelly Colt ² , Bradley W. Abramson ^{2,3} , Zhili Pang ⁴ , Pavel Solansky ¹ , Allen Mamerto ² ,
6	Tatsuya Nobori ^{2,5,6} , Joseph R. Ecker ^{2,5,6} , Eric Lam ^{4*} , Todd P. Michael ^{2*} , Marja C.P. Timmermans ^{1*}
8	¹ Center for Plant Molecular Biology, University of Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 32, Tübingen 72076, Germany
9	² Plant Molecular and Cellular Biology Laboratory, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California 92037,
10	USA
11	³ Applied Sciences and Life Sciences Laboratory, Noblis, Reston, Virginia, 20191 USA
12	⁴ Department of Plant Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901, USA
13	5 Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California 92037, USA
14	6 Genomic Analysis Laboratory, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California 92037, USA
15	
16	*Correspondence:
17	Eric Lam, eric.lam@rutgers.edu
18	Todd P. Michael, tmichael@salk.edu
19	Marja C.P. Timmermans, <u>marja.timmermans@uni-tuebingen.de</u>
20	
21	RUNNING TITLE
22	Whole plant scRNA-seq atlas of the Duckweed Wolffia
23	
24	KEY WORDS
25	Wolffia australiana, duckweed, single cell RNA sequencing, PHYTOMap, cell type specific responses, submergence,

26 time-of-day, diurnal rhythms

27 ABSTRACT

28 Single cell genomics permits a new resolution in the examination of molecular and cellular dynamics, allowing 29 global, parallel assessments of cell types and cellular behaviors through development and in response to 30 environmental circumstances, such as interaction with water and the light-dark cycle of the Earth. Here, we 31 leverage the smallest, and possibly most structurally reduced plant, the semi-aquatic Wolffia australiana to 32 understand dynamics of cell expression in these contexts at the whole plant level. We examined single cell 33 resolution RNA-sequencing data, and found Wolffia cells divide into four principal clusters representing the above 34 and below water-situated parenchyma and epidermis. While these tissues share transcriptomic similarity with 35 model plants, they display distinct adaptations that Wolffia has made for the aquatic environment. Within this 36 broad classification, discrete sub-specializations are evident with select cells showing unique transcriptomic 37 signatures associated with developmental maturation and specialized physiologies. Assessing this simplified 38 biological system temporally at two key time-of-day (TOD) transitions, we identify additional TOD-responsive genes 39 previously overlooked in whole plant transcriptomic approaches and demonstrate that the core circadian clock 40 machinery and its downstream responses can vary in cell-specific manners, even in this simplified system. 41 Distinctions between cell types and their responses to submergence and/or TOD are driven by expression changes 42 of unexpectedly few genes, characterizing Wolffia as a highly streamlined organism with the majority of genes 43 dedicated to fundamental cellular processes. Wolffia provides a unique opportunity to apply reductionist biology to 44 elucidate signaling functions at the organismal level, for which this work provides a powerful resource.

45

46

47 INTRODUCTION

48 Multicellular organisms comprise specialized tissues accommodating diverse cell types. This variety is required to 49 achieve the array of functions necessary for an organism to develop and thrive in dynamic environments, and 50 comes about through precise coordination of complex gene regulatory networks that integrate responses to 51 internal and external cues. Plants, in particular, have evolved intricate mechanisms to accommodate diverse biotic 52 and abiotic environmental inputs, leading to changes in their metabolism, physiology, and development at cellular 53 to organismal levels. Understanding commonalities and variations in these responses on a molecular level is vital to 54 begin decoding the fundamental drivers of tissue distinction and how responses to various inputs may be 55 coordinated at the organismal level to achieve a desired phenotype. Advances in single cell resolution RNA-56 sequencing (scRNA-seq) have opened the possibilities for systems approaches to guery cellular specialization 57 during development and their specific responses to environmental cues, allowing for transcriptomic profiling at 58 high spatiotemporal resolution (Denyer and Timmermans, 2022; Seyfferth et al., 2022). In plants, scRNA-seg studies 59 published to date have largely focused on aspects of Arabidopsis development at the organ or tissue level (e.g., 60 Denyer et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021; Shahan et al. 2022). However, while many of these studies 61 have examined in depth, for example, cell lineage progressions within a developing tissue, no studies have yet 62 profiled at the whole plant level to sufficient depth to enable observations at the systems level. This is principally 63 due to the high level of structural complexity and large cell numbers in most plant models.

64 A seminal study published in 2017 did profile the transcriptome for every individual cell within the model 65 nematode C. elegans (Cao et al., 2017). A key characteristic that enabled this feat is the simplicity of body plan and 66 low number of cells and cell types within this model animal. A plant paralogue to such a model can be found in the 67 Wolffia genus of the Lemnaceae family. These plants, ranging in size from <1 to several millimeters (mm), have a 68 highly reduced architecture (Lam and Michael, 2022). Wolffia plants have no roots or vasculature system but 69 exhibit clear developmental distinctions between top and bottom portions relative to the air/water interphase. For 70 example, the upper epidermal surface of Wolffia fronds is characterized by the presence of stomata and pigment 71 cells, which can contain phenolic compounds that turn brown in response to UV damage (Li et al., 2023). Similarly, 72 the above water ~3-8 parenchymal cell layers comprise highly chlorophyllous and relatively compact cells, whereas 73 the underwater parenchymal tissue, which forms the bulk of the frond, contains highly vacuolated cells with 74 significantly lower chlorophyll levels (Figure 1A). Further benefitting Wolffia as a model is its compact genome with 75 over 90% of conserved core eudicot gene functions represented by small gene families (Michael et al., 2020; Lam 76 and Michael, 2022), which can facilitate an understanding of fundamental principles of plant responses to its 77 environmental circumstances.

78 Most, if not all green organisms partition their biological activities to a specific time-of-day (TOD), and they do this 79 both developmentally and ecologically to ensure synchronization with the daily light-dark cycles on Earth (Michael 80 et al., 2003; Sanchez and Kay, 2016; Steed et al., 2021; Oravec and Greenham, 2022). TOD regulation of specific 81 biological activities is, in part, controlled internally by the circadian clock, which is highly conserved from single cell 82 algae to higher plants (Michael, 2022a; Laosuntisuk et al., 2023). In general, the internal circadian clock, in 83 conjunction with daily changes in light and temperature, controls up to 90% of the transcriptome - focusing 84 biological activities to a specific TOD. For instance, expression of genes associated with photosynthesis and 85 phytohormones peaks in the morning, nitrogen and carbon assimilation in the late afternoon, cold acclimation and 86 defense at dusk, cell cycle in the early evening, and lignin and protein biosynthesis in the middle of the night (Figure 87 S1, Supplemental Text, Bläsing et al., 2005; Covington and Harmer 2007; Filichkin et al., 2011; Ferrari et al., 2019). 88 In Wolffia, only ~13% of genes are TOD regulated under the diurnal conditions of light/dark cycles (Supplemental 89 Text, Michael et al., 2020). The lower percentage of TOD-regulated genes in Wolffia may reflect its compact 90 genome. Alternatively, it is becoming increasingly clear that TOD expression can vary by cell type (Endo, 2016; Swift 91 et al., 2022), and that bulk RNA-seq analysis may miss such cell type specific timing information.

92

93 **RESULTS**

94 Wolffia cells can be broadly divided into four distinct subpopulations

95 We transcriptionally profiled Wolffia at single cell resolution to describe, in an un-biased manner, cell types and 96 states within this budding frond system. We first optimized a protoplast isolation method, considering the distinct 97 cell wall composition of Wolffia and the presence of a cuticle as an adaptation to life on water (Borisjuk et al., 98 2018). Viable protoplasts from clonally propagating plants (accession wa8730) were then prepared and processed 99 through the '10x Genomics' scRNA-seq cell capture and library production pipeline. Plants were gown under 100 intermediate day length (12 hours light and 12 hours dark), and cells profiled at both dawn (lights on) and dusk 101 (lights off), to capture cell type dependent TOD-responsive gene expression. The dusk dataset comprises 4,327 cells 102 isolated across two replicates, which were further filtered to 3,151 cells of high quality (mean 1,333 genes/cell 103 detected, mean 3,907 UMIs/cell). This number approaches 1× coverage of all cells within this organism and is likely to capture a broad spectrum of cell types and developmental transitions. Indeed, a total of 12,825 unique genes
were identified in the dataset, corresponding to 92 % of all annotated genes in the *Wolffia* genome (Michael et al.,
2020; Ernst et al., 2023). In addition, replicate libraries are highly congruent (Pearson correlation coefficient R
>0.99, Figures S2A-B), and pseudo-bulked scRNA-seq data correlates well (R >0.83) with a bulk RNA-seq dataset of
pooled *Wolffia* plants (Figure 1B, Michael et al., 2020), demonstrating that despite inevitable technical limitations
in capturing for example rare cells or large cells, the atlas provides a rich dataset of high quality.

110 The dusk atlas resolves as nine cell clusters (C0 to C8), quite distinctly presented as four major lobes in a UMAP 111 projection, referred to henceforth as 'Superclusters' CA to CD (Figure 1C, Table S1, Interactive 3D UMAP S1). To 112 understand the transcriptomic basis for this arrangement, we used differential gene expression (DEG) analysis to 113 first identify genes defining each of the four Superclusters (\log_2 fold change >1 and adjusted p-value <0.05, Dataset 114 S1). Just over 500 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified across all Superclusters. This number is 115 unexpectedly low when compared to expression variation revealed by scRNA-seq analysis across tissues in, for 116 example, the root, shoot, or leaf of other model species (e.g. Denyer et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 117 2021). This distinction is even more apparent when considering DEGs expressed in over 10% of cells within a given 118 Supercluster (PCT1) and in fewer than 10% of remaining cells (PCT2), criteria commonly used to identify tissue 119 specific marker genes. Under these conditions we identified a total of 113 Supercluster marker genes (Dataset S1). 120 The lack of specification is likely in part explained by the limited structural complexity of the plant with no root or 121 vasculature, for example, but also points to a very streamlined system with the majority of genes dedicated to basic 122 cellular processes. The detection of 92% of all annotated genes in the single cell transcriptome datasets 123 corroborates this idea.

Wolffia, similar to other duckweeds, has a compact genome with fewer protein coding genes than most other plant species (Michael et al., 2020; Harkess et al., 2021; Ernst et al., 2023). However, like most non-model genomes, functional annotation of the predicted genes is sparse compared to model species such as *Arabidopsis*, maize, or rice. Therefore, orthologues for Supercluster DEGs were identified from well characterized model species, focusing primarily on *Arabidopsis*, in order to enable Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis and to discern distinguishing functions for cells within each Supercluster (Datasets S1, S10). Accordingly, cells in CA are marked by

130 expression of genes predicted to function in wax biosynthesis and cuticle development, pointing to an epidermal 131 identity (Dataset S1). For example, genes encoding VERY-LONG-CHAIN ALDEHYDE DECARBONYLASE 3 (CER3) and 132 CER8, required for cuticle biosynthesis (Lü et al., 2009), are strongly expressed in cells of this cluster (Figure 1D), as 133 is WRI4 (WRINKLED4), encoding a transcription factor (TF) that promotes oil and wax biosynthesis (Park et al., 2016, 134 Figure S2C). In addition, the orthologue of CASPARIAN STRIP MEMBRANE DOMAIN PROTEIN 5 (CASP5) in 135 Arabidopsis, involved in the formation of lignified apoplastic barriers (Roppolo et al., 2011), is specifically expressed 136 in cells of this Supercluster (Figure 1D). Further, select basic helix loop helix (bHLH) and MYB TF genes linked to 137 drought stress responses are uniquely expressed in cells of the CA Supercluster. These include MYB41 and MYB49, 138 which are part of a regulatory circuit activated in response to desiccation, and MYB60, which has been linked to 139 stomatal closure under drought stress (Wang et al., 2021, Figure S2C).

140 Cells in Supercluster CC also host several DEGs with predicted functions in lipid biosynthesis and metabolism, but 141 these relate particularly to the sphingolipid class. Examples of this include the orthologue to SPHINGOID BASE 142 HYDROXYLASE 2 (SBH2, Figure 1D), as well as Wa8730a009g003220 and Wa8730a014g002170 whose orthologues 143 have been linked to sphingolipid biosynthesis in other species (Figure S2C, Dataset S10). Additional DEGs for this 144 Supercluster encode the aquaporin PIP1B and the iron transporter IRT1. Indeed, in addition to sphingolipids, 145 Supercluster CC DEGs are also enriched for transport linked GO terms (Figure S2C, Dataset S2). Curiously, two 146 markers are orthologous to YABBY2, which encodes a TF that promotes growth and abaxial cell fate in Arabidopsis 147 lateral organs (Siegfried et al., 1999, Figure 1D). Given the description of DEGs with roles in processes such as lipid 148 biosynthesis, it is likely that CC, like CA, comprises epidermal cells, albeit of a different fundamental nature. That 149 epidermal cells are well-distinguished from other major cell types is seen commonly in scRNA-seg studies of plant 150 cells (e.g., Kim et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). In root atlases, an additional strong distinction is seen between hair-151 and non-hair epidermal cells (e.g., Denyer et al., 2019; Shahan et al. 2022). The division seen here is likely of an 152 altogether different nature and the half-submergence of Wolffia may point to different functions needed for those 153 epidermal cells that interact with the two distinct environments of above or below the air/water interphase.

A majority of DEGs for Supercluster CB are associated with light responses and photosynthesis functions and include many encoding light-harvesting chlorophyll (LHC) binding proteins (Dataset S1, Figures 1D, S2C). As such, CB

156 appears to comprise parenchyma cells of the photosynthetic heart of the plant. This Supercluster is further 157 characterized by the enriched expression of several orthologues in the GIBBERELLIC ACID-158 STIMULATED Arabidopsis (GASA) gene family, which encode highly conserved cysteine-rich peptides involved in 159 redox sensing and hormonal responses (Bouteraa et al., 2023). Curiously, of all DEGs for this cluster, none are 160 orthologous to Arabidopsis TFs. This is in stark contrast to the other three Superclusters. In addition, TFs are 161 prominent among tissue- or cell type-specific genes in studies of other model plants (e.g. Knauer et al., 2019; 162 Denyer et al., 2019; Marand et al., 2021). It is conceivable that the strong photosynthetic signature of these 163 parenchymal cells may be driven primarily by environmental cues integrating into existing gene regulatory 164 net works.

165 Supercluster CD is close to CB in the cluster cloud (Figure 1C). However, based on the 180 DEGs distinguishing it, 166 Supercluster CD is less defined by photosynthesis. The proximity of this Supercluster to CB in the cluster cloud may 167 point to a commonality of their cellular identity (parenchyma). Conversely, the reduced photosynthesis-related 168 expression profile in CD points to a distinction similar to that predicted for the epidermis, such that Supercluster CD 169 captures the below water parenchymal cells. Indeed, the highly vacuolated cells that form the bulk size of the frond 170 would share features with their photosynthetically active counterpart but contain fewer chloroplasts as their 171 submerged position makes them less amenable to photosynthesis (Figure 1A). Further among DEGs distinguishing 172 cells in this Supercluster are orthologues of a cytochrome P450 and several WRKY and ethylene response factor 173 (ERF) TF genes, whereas GO terms enriched among DEGs are principally related to biotic- and abiotic stress 174 responses (Dataset S1). For example, homologues of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins and WRKY60 are typically 175 pathogen-induced while MYB36 responds to both biotic- and abiotic stress stimuli (Dong et al., 2003; Liu et al., 176 2022; Figures 1D, S2C). In addition, genes in the ABA response pathway, e.g. ABI1 and AHG3, implicated in both 177 stress-related responses and development are preferentially expressed in cells of CD (Dataset S1, Yoshida et al., 178 2006; Pasaribu et al., 2023). Finally, a number of DEGs for this Supercluster have predicted functions in 179 development and morphogenesis, including in cell homeostasis, cell wall architecture/composition and 180 cytoskeleton organization, or encode developmental TFs. This latter finding is in line with the presence of meristematic activity in a defined region within the underwater parenchymal cell population (Li et al., 2023). 181

182 These results indicate that Wolffia is largely comprised of cells with either an epidermal or parenchymal origin. 183 However, there is specialization within this broad classification as evidenced by distinct separations of 184 Superclusters and clusters in the atlas. A previous annotation of the Wolffia genome identified several gene 185 orthogroups specific to Wolffia and related duckweed species (Michael et al., 2020). Their predicted functions are 186 associated with just four GO terms including sphingolipid biosynthesis, photomorphogenesis, and wax biosynthesis. 187 It is of note that these terms are prominently reflected in the characteristics of three of the Superclusters, CC, CB 188 and CA, respectively. A fourth major GO term, 'cysteine-type endopeptidase', which ordinarily connects to the 189 processing of signaling peptides or antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) in the case of defense, does not define one 190 specific Supercluster, likely due to the broad spectrum of biological processes it affects. The fact that Wolffia-191 specific orthogroups describe main Superclusters identified highlights these as the key adaptations to the partially 192 submerged environment in which Wolffia thrives.

193

194 Submergence is a key distinguishing characteristic within epidermal and parenchyma cells

195 A distinctive aspect of duckweeds such as Wolffia is that they live partly submerged, floating on the surface of 196 water bodies. As noted above, Superclusters CA and CC may distinguish the above- and within-water (a.k.a. top and 197 bottom) portions of the epidermis, whereas Superclusters CB and CD may comprise the top and bottom 198 parenchyma, respectively (Figure 1A). To further assess this possibility, we performed a bulk RNA-seq analysis on 199 Wolffia plants manually bisected into above and below water parts, discernable by the stark difference in 200 chlorophyll content and morphology (Figures 1A, S1D). In total, 262 DEGs (log₂ fold change >1, adjusted p-value 201 <0.05) between these regions were identified. Projecting average relative expression of these DEGs onto the 202 dataset UMAP showed they are overwhelmingly expressed in the epidermal clusters and map specifically to 203 Superclusters CA and CC, as expected (Figure 2A, Dataset S2). The epidermis of course interacts directly with the 204 water or air environment, but this finding predicts that this interaction triggers a strong local response that drives 205 distinctive transcriptome landscapes primarily in the epidermis.

Even though the above- versus within-water response is less evident in the parenchymal cells at the bulk RNA-seq level, the single cell data provides a unique opportunity to discern tissue type-specific adaptations to being in an air versus water environment. We therefore determined genes differentially expressed between cells of Supercluster

209 CB versus CD, as well as CA versus CC (Dataset S3). Confirming the above hypothesis, photosynthesis-related 210 functions associated with the chloroplast-rich, above water tissues are enriched in CB, whereas Supercluster CD 211 matches below water features. Notably, DEGs between these Superclusters are overwhelmingly upregulated in the 212 submerged cells (241 vs 38). This may be explained in part by the developmentally active cells of the meristem and 213 newly emerging daughter fronds in the submerged portion of the plant, but also indicates that parenchymal cells 214 primarily activate defined pathways upon submergence. Prominent among these are genes linked to responses to 215 abiotic stress stimuli, in line with the principal characteristic of Supercluster CD (Dataset S3). Numerous genes 216 involved in ABA signaling and osmotic stress responses are upregulated in the below water cells. Additional 217 signatures of the submergence response include a reduced response to GA, and the induction of ERFs. The latter 218 points to a highly conserved role for ethylene in the response to submergence in plants (Raskin et al., 1984; Fukao 219 et al., 2006). The submerged cells are further characterized by a heightened defense response, which seems fitting 220 given that the often-stagnant ponds in which Wolffia grow are likely to carry higher microbial loads and thus may 221 require heightened defense functions. Of note, there is no evidence for a switch from respiration to production of 222 energy through anaerobic pathways, such as ethanolic- or lactic acid fermentation, in the below water cells 223 (Dataset S3). This is perhaps explained by the relatively shallow submergence of Wolffia and the morphology of its 224 below water parenchyma, which has larger- and less tightly packed cells with additional air spaces to provide 225 increased buoyancy to the plant in the absence of aerenchyma (Bernard et al., 1990).

226 In contrast to the parenchyma, cells in both the top and bottom epidermis show environment-associated 227 specializations, with 168 and 109 upregulated DEGs in Supercluster CA and CC, respectively (Dataset S3). Enriched 228 GO terms for wax biosynthetic processes in the above-water epidermis (Supercluster CA, Figure 1D) point to the 229 top of the plant requiring additional hydrophobicity provided by the waxy cuticle to repel water and keep the 230 organism floating in the correct orientation, and to present a more hardened barrier against microbial and insect 231 pests (Borisjuk et al., 2018). The presence of cuticle exclusively on the above-water epidermis was confirmed by 232 Sudan IV staining, which indicates a fairly abrupt transition between top and bottom epidermis (Figure 2B). In 233 addition, the set of DEGs upregulated in the top epidermis indicates cells responding to desiccation (see above), 234 oxidative stress, and light stress (evidenced by induced expression of genes in the anthocyanin pathway (Kovinich et al., 2015)), which mirror responses in epidermal cells of Arabidopsis leaves (Galvez-Valdivieso et al., 2009). In 235

236 contrast, the below-water epidermis is more involved in coordinating the transport of (micro-) nutrients from the 237 water environment into the plant and shows increased expression of a number of genes with distinct transport-238 related and plasmodesmatal functions (Dataset S3). These cells also show aspects of the submergence response 239 seen in below water parenchyma, including upregulation of genes predicted to mediate abiotic and biotic stress 240 responses, although this expression signature is mostly distinct from that observed in the below water parenchyma 241 (Dataset S3). The most prominent and distinctive feature of the submerged epidermal cells is in the biosynthesis of 242 sphingolipids. The prominence of sphingolipid-related pathways in Wolffia has been suggested to indicate a trading 243 of terpenoids with sphingolipids for defense, perhaps because the aquatic environment favors the latter (Michael 244 et al., 2020).

Taking these analyses together, the principal divisions in the *Wolffia* scRNA-seq atlas appear to reflect the two major tissue types, parenchyma and epidermis, divided by their relative location as the plant lives at the air/water interphase (Figure 1A). Although these divisions are defined by relatively few DEGs, notable is the strong submergence response evident in parenchymal cells at the scRNA-seq level, which was mostly undetected in bulk transcriptomic analysis of above- and within-water regions. However, consistent with its direct interaction with the plant's environment(s), the epidermis is particularly responsive to life in air versus water.

251

252 Multiplex in situ RNA localization as validation of cell annotations

253 To further validate the cell annotations and their interpretation, expression of select marker genes for the 254 Superclusters were imaged using PHYTOMap, a recently developed methodology for multiplexed spatial analysis of 255 transcripts within whole-mount plant tissues (Nobori et al., 2023). PHYTOMap provides an affordable alternative to 256 many spatial transcriptomic techniques and avoids the difficulties inherent with transforming Wolffia to produce 257 tissue-specific reporter lines, approaches commonly used for validation of scRNA-seq annotation (e.g., Denyer et 258 al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2023). Marker genes for Supercluster CA were found to strongly express at the above water 259 region of the plant, in the epidermis as expected (for example, Wa8730a016g000410, Wa8730a002g009150 and 260 Wa8730a010g002840, Figure 2C, Table S2). In contrast, marker genes for Supercluster CB are predominantly 261 expressed in the below water epidermis (e.g. Wa8730a011g003030), while transcripts for Wa8730a005g008250, 262 Wa8730a010g000100 and Wa8730a005g006050 are principally detected in the below water mesophyll, in line with expression in cells of Supercluster CD (Figure 2C). Altogether, we examined twenty genes with varying degrees of tissue specificity (Figures 2C-D, S3, Table S2). Of these, just four produced no defined data, largely due to the lowresolution of, particularly, the magenta fluorescence channel. In addition, we observed a degree of autofluorescence from the plant tissues largely in the green channel (Figure S3). However, despite this, almost all genes selected showed expression in line with expectations. These results validate our annotation of the scRNA-seq atlas and additionally highlight the utility of the PHYTOMap methodology for high-throughput examination of gene expression patterns in organisms such as *Wolffia* that are less amenable to transformation.

270

271 Cell clusters identify functional specialization within epidermal and parenchymal cells

272 Obvious subdivisions are present within each Supercluster (Table S1). This points to distinctions beyond 273 transcriptomic differences stemming from life predominantly in water or air and suggests that epidermis- and 274 parenchyma-derived tissues each encompass several discernable cell types or states. To clarify these distinctions, 275 we identified DEGs describing each cluster by comparing its transcriptome to that of all other cells in the dataset 276 (Dataset S4). As noted above for the Superclusters, cluster distinctions reflect expression variation in comparatively 277 small sets of genes, and these were often assigned widely diverse cellular functions. Within the below water 278 epidermis, for example, clusters C0 and C8 share 39 out of a total of 147 DEGs, which indicates only a subtle 279 differentiation between these cell populations. Likewise, no cellular processes stand out as a defining characteristic 280 for cells in clusters C1 and C7, within the above water epidermal Supercluster. However, a distinction is seen in 281 cluster C3. Cells in this cluster show strong differential expression of genes with cuticle related functions (Dataset 282 S4). Indeed, orthologues of CER genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis are particularly-strongly expressed in C3 283 compared to other clusters (Figure 1D). Likewise, several 3-KETOACYL-COA SYNTHASE (KCS) genes, as well as 284 MYB31, known to regulate cuticle biosynthesis in tomato (Xiong et al., 2020), are DEGs for C3, (Figures 1D, 3A).

Between C4 and C6, distinct clusters for the submerged parenchymal cells (Table S1), the cells in C6 are distinguished by differential expression of a relatively large number (264) of genes. Although the only significantly enriched GO terms for this cluster relate to functions in stress responses, among the DEGs are a substantial number of orthologues for TFs and other genes with roles in plant development (Dataset S4). Examples of this include those encoding NAC and LBD TFs, an auxin efflux carrier, a homolog to the receptor kinase CRINKLY4, several cell wall biosynthesis and modifying enzymes, and VLN2 and VLN3, linked to directional growth in *Arabidopsis* (van der
Honing et al., 2012, Figure 3A). We note that generations of *Wolffia* were profiled together, capturing the spectrum
of developmental progressions; it is possible that this is resolved in the data, and the prominence of development
related DEGs here would indicate a notable concentration of less mature cells within C6. This point is explored in
more detail below.

295 As expected, the DEGs for clusters C2 and C5 in Supercluster CB primarily have functions relating to photosynthesis 296 and light responses (Dataset S4). Other marker genes for C5 point to functions in water transport, cell-to-cell 297 communication, and cytoskeletal reorganization. Of particular interest, a gene orthologous to SUGARS WILL 298 EVENTUALLY BE EXPORTED TRANSPORTER genes (SWEET2 and SWEET6), is a prominent marker for a localized set 299 of cells in C5 (Figure 3A). Within vascular plants, these SWEET transporters are uniquely expressed in phloem-300 associated cells to facilitate the source-sink distribution of photosynthates (Kim et al., 2021). Within the minimal 301 body plan of Wolffia, which lacks recognizable phloem cells (Figure 1A), photosynthates must be distributed across 302 cell types (Ware et al., 2023). Perhaps, the subset of SWEET-expressing cells could be acting as surrogates for 303 phloem cells to transport photosynthetically derived sugars to the rest of the Wolffia plant.

304 The cell specificity seen for the SWEET2/6 gene orthologues prompted us to probe for additional examples of genes 305 with expression confined to a localized sub-population of cells in a cluster. To this end, we filtered the cluster DEGs 306 to those detected in fewer than 25% of cells in a given cluster (PCT1 <0.25), and fewer than 5% of cells outside of 307 that cluster (PCT2 <0.05). Scrutiny of UMAPs of these genes resulted in a list of 32 diverse DEGs showing highly 308 localized expression in one of five clusters (no such examples were found for C0, C1, C5 or C8, Dataset S4). C2 309 presented just one example. Expression of Wa8730a002g009940, the orthologue of Arabidopsis KRATOS, which 310 restricts cell death during stress and vasculature development (Escamez et al., 2019), is localized to the tip of 311 cluster C2 (Figure S5). Similarly, expression of many of the 'cuticle' genes is largely confined to cells within a sub-312 region of C3 (Figure S5). Almost all mark the same strip of cells on the flank of the cluster. The localized expression 313 would indicate that the upper epidermis contains specialized cells to produce cuticular waxes. However, this region 314 is not exclusively defined by cuticle-related genes, and other examples with this localized expression include 315 orthologues for a cytochrome P450 and bHLH134 (Figure S5). The linear arrangement of this group of cells is curious as it mirrors a dynamic commonly seen in scRNA-seq cluster projections that capture a developmental trajectory (Denyer et al., 2019, Shahan et al., 2022). However, given the small number of genes involved in this example, such a trajectory would likely reflect the differentiation of a specific specialized function, which we were unable to resolve with confidence.

320 Within the same Supercluster, five genes mark two distinct regions within C7, four of which express in the same 321 subset of cells, while the expression pattern of the other is quite distinct (Figure S3). Their predicted functions hint 322 at localized signaling processes. Wa8730a010g003880, for example, is linked to oxidation of Brassinosteroids, 323 suggesting differential compositions of growth hormones across cells (Shimada et al., 2001). Most of the highly 324 localized gene expression was seen in C4 and C6. In the former, expression is preferentially localized to the tip of 325 the cluster, while in C6, a host of stress related genes including those linked to ABA and drought responses, defense 326 against fungi, DNA repair upon UV damage, as well as a core circadian clock gene PSEUDO RESPONSE REGULATOR 327 5, PRR5, are expressed in distinct sub-regions along the cluster (Nakamichi et al., 2005, Figure S3; Dataset S4). In 328 general, although the distinctive patterns of expression can be subtle, the strong localizations across the cluster 329 cloud point to true complexities within the clusters. The 'localized' genes also relate to a wide range of processes, 330 implying distinct specializations within cells with otherwise similar transcriptome profiles in what would appear to 331 be a highly streamlined organism.

332

333 High conservation of guard cell transcriptomes, even at broad evolutionary distance

334 Besides these observations, notable is the highly localized expression of Wa8730a007g001710 within a small group 335 of cells close to the periphery of C4 (Figure 3B). Its protein shares homology with FAMA, a TF that drives guard cell 336 formation in Arabidopsis (Smit and Bergmann, 2023). Consistent with this classification, several additional 337 Arabidopsis stomatal genes have a Wolffia orthologue showing strong, if not exclusive, expression in this small 338 subgroup of cells. This includes EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR2 (EPF2), expressed in proliferating stomatal 339 meristemoids (Hunt and Gray, 2009), the guard cell maturation gene DOF4.7 (SCAP1), as well as SLAC1 and MYB10, 340 which regulate stomata opening and closing (Cominelli et al., 2005; Negi et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2021). However, 341 transcripts for orthologues to the early stomatal development genes MUTE and SPEECHLESS, which are known to 342 be more transiently expressed, are not found in these cells, nor elsewhere in the atlas, suggesting that the stomatal cells captured are mostly mature in nature. The expression profiles of *FAMA*, *SCAP1*, *SLAC1*, and *MYB10* support
this idea, although the point is somewhat countered by the expression of the earlier-stomatal gene, *EPF2* (Hunt and
Gray, 2009; Adrian et al., 2015). However, it is possible that the latter signaling peptide may serve additional,
divergent roles in *Wolffia*, such as interaction with receptor-like kinases in the ERECTA gene family and receptorlike proteins to regulate epidermal cell size (Meng et al., 2015).

348 Despite relatively few being isolated, the presence of guard cells in the atlas further underscores the depth of our 349 scRNA-seq dataset, since Wolffia plants are estimated to develop just around 30 stomata in their upper epidermis 350 (Figure 3C, Lam and Michael, 2022; Li et al., 2023). Their capture also provides an opportunity to assess 351 conservation of stomatal expression profiles. We therefore generated a transcriptome for the Wolffia guard cells 352 and compared this to an equivalent transcriptomic dataset derived from Arabidopsis stomata (Lopez-Anido et al., 353 2021). Genes preferentially expressed in stomatal cells over other cells in the Wolffia atlas (Dataset S5) reveal a 354 general commonality with Arabidopsis stomata. Indeed, nearly 36% of Wolffia guard cell-associated DEGs have an 355 Arabidopsis orthologue expressed in the stomatal lineage (Dataset S5). This data points to high conservation 356 between Wolffia- and Arabidopsis guard cells, even at this evolutionary distance, which is in line with the early 357 origin and conserved function of this specialized cell type (Chen et al., 2016). Lastly, despite being epidermal in 358 origin and location, the Wolffia guard cells cluster more closely with the similarly photosynthetic parenchyma. 359 Given the streamlined developmental and environmental expression signatures observed thus far, gene expression 360 associated with photosynthesis in guard cells may override that of their epidermal origin.

361

362 The Wolffia atlas captures developmental time between mother and daughter fronds

Wolffia reproduces through budding, and in some species within this genus, this can happen almost daily. Central to this, *Wolffia* plants contain a distinctive conical cavity, also called a "pocket", just below the upper parenchymal layer toward one side of the frond (Figure 1A; Lam and Michael 2022). The below water parenchymal cells forming the floor of this cavity are distinguished by an enlarged nucleus, electron dense cytoplasm and fewer plastids, features characteristic of meristematic cells (Li et al. 2023). Their apparent asymmetric growth pattern suggests that they continuously give rise to new primordia that develop into daughter fronds supported by a stipe (or 'branch', Li et al. 2023), which, by extension, pushes out the new plantlet at a distal 'exit'. This daughter will often have initiated a granddaughter frond before it separates from the mother, taking this subsequent generation with it (Figure 1A). As such, the below-water parenchymal cells may reveal biological distinctions reflecting the continual production of daughter fronds, in addition to capturing the large vacuolated parenchyma cells that give the plant its buoyancy.

374 We next sought to discern whether the developmental progression between daughter and mother fronds was 375 captured within our dataset. We first identified DEGs via bulk RNA-seq analysis on surgically separated mother and 376 daughter fronds (Dataset S6, Figure S4). Given the range of sizes that daughter fronds can take (Figures 1A, S4A), 377 the two samples would likely show strong commonalities. However, the daughter frond sample would be expected 378 to additionally contain more developmentally active cells undergoing rapid growth, cell division, and 379 differentiation. Indeed, we identified 114 genes in our dataset that show significant differential expression 380 between daughter and mother fronds. Among them, genes predicted to encode signaling components, including 381 via auxin and cytokinin, and cell wall modifying enzymes stand out. A 'gene set activity plot' (Seurat, Satija et al., 382 2015) shows expression of daughter enriched DEGs primarily in regions of clusters 4, 6, and 8 (Figure 3D). As 383 expected, these clusters encompass the two major tissue types (Table S1), although proportionately more daughter 384 cells are of a seeming parenchymal origin (C6). This may in part have a technical basis, as smaller sized cells are 385 captured more efficiently in the scRNA-seq process over the ordinarily large below-water parenchymal cells. 386 Nonetheless, the pronounced daughter cell expression signature within C6 is in line with the aforementioned 387 differential expression of developmentally relevant genes in this cluster (Dataset S5). Its prominence particularly at 388 the tip of C6 may signify a developmental trajectory from the tip to the center of the cluster cloud that captures 389 maturation of daughter-to-mother cell states. However, at this time, putative trajectories and rare cells of the 390 meristem inside the pocket region could not be confidently distinguished.

Wolffia orthologues of Arabidopsis genes marking distinct phases of the cell cycle show generally broad expression in cells across the atlas, suggesting that a daughter-to-mother state transition is not explained easily by cell cycle activity (Dataset S11). Indeed, cell cycle related genes are not prominent among DEGs for any particular cluster. However, there are some distinctions of note. Genes connected to the G1/G0 transition, for example, may form an exception. Gene set activity plots of these genes show that epidermal cells are primarily captured in this more quiescent phase of the cell cycle (Figure 3E). In addition, genes linked to mitosis show somewhat stronger expression in cells at the tips of particularly C6, possibly in line with the daughter state (Figure 3E). However, cells displaying S phase activity do not localize in one particular point of the UMAP, which may reflect a need for genome endoreduplication in expanding cells.

400

401 Time-of-Day sampling demonstrates a streamlined system incorporating cell type specific responses

402 Aside from submergence, TOD is a critical environmental input to which cells in plants must respond (Steed et al., 403 2021; Swift et al., 2022). In previous work, 13% of Wolffia genes show a robust TOD response, a percentage far 404 below that seen in other plant species (Filichkin et al., 2011; Ferrari et al., 2019; Michael et al., 2020; Michael 405 2022b). We reasoned that performing bulk RNA-seq on whole plants may mask TOD signals that are variable across 406 cell types, especially for a plant with such a simple body plan, and thus could significantly underestimate the true 407 number of genes under TOD control. As such, we generated an additional scRNA-seq atlas for plants collected at 408 dawn, twelve hours separated from the time analyzed above (dusk). The dawn dataset, after applying the same 409 filter parameters as for the dusk data, comprises 2,435 cells with a mean of 1,215 genes per cell and 12,565 genes 410 in total detected (mean 4,417 UMIs/cell). The two replicates within this atlas are also highly congruent (R >0.99, 411 Figure S4B).

412 The dawn dataset shows a largely similar cluster landscape to that observed at dusk, with eight clusters arranged 413 into four distinct Superclusters (Figure 4A, Dataset S8, Interactive 3D UMAP S2). Integration of the dawn and dusk 414 atlases into a joint UMAP shows that cells from the two TOD datasets form separate clusters that are near-mirror 415 images of each other (Figure 4B, Interactive 3D UMAP S3). While the dawn and dusk Superclusters clearly separate, 416 the mirroring suggests they represent similar cell types. Indeed, overall gene expression in cells of each 417 Supercluster is highly correlated between dawn and dusk (Figure 4C), and the DEGs characterizing the four 418 Superclusters show substantial overlap across the dawn and dusk TOD conditions (Figure 4D, Datasets S1 and S7). 419 As such, the defining characteristics of the four Superclusters, e.g. cuticle and sphingolipid biosynthesis, do not 420 change by TOD, except that in the chlorophyll-rich above water parenchyma (CB) light responses are much 421 enhanced within the dusk dataset, as might be expected following an extensive period of light (Sanchez and Kay, 422 2016; Oravec and Greenham, 2022). Accordingly, the following broad cell identities could be assigned to the dawn Superclusters: CA, Above water epidermis; CC, Below water epidermis; CB, Above water parenchyma; CD, Below water parenchyma (Table S1). The separation of the dawn and dusk clusters highlights that TOD plays a prominent role in defining the transcriptome, and although this information does not override cell identity, sampling across TOD provides a more comprehensive view of tissue type-dependent expression and identifies marker genes, otherwise missed (Datasets S1 and S7).

428 To discern features of TOD regulation across Wolffia cells, Supercluster transcriptomes were compared across 429 dawn and dusk datasets (Figure 5A, Table S3). Even with a strict cut-off (Log₂ FC >1 and adjusted p value <0.05), a 430 considerable number of TOD-responsive DEGs were identified between equivalent cell populations: 364, 164, 157 431 and 157, for CA, CB, CC and CD, respectively (Dataset S9). The above water epidermis is the most TOD-responsive, 432 with considerably more DEGs between dawn and dusk than even the key photosynthetic cells of the parenchyma. 433 This would perhaps suggest a dynamic protective role of the epidermis to the change of light during the light/dark 434 cycle. Of the TOD responsive genes detected, 76 are TOD regulated in all four Superclusters. These 76 genes display 435 the same TOD expression pattern across each of the Superclusters, indicating that at least in Wolffia, some genes 436 show a coordinated TOD response across the organism regardless of cell type and growth environment (Dataset S9, 437 Figure 5A, Table S3). Similarly, genes that are TOD regulated in two or three Superclusters share either a day- or 438 night-dependent pattern of expression. Consistent with the findings from bulk RNA-seq (Michael et al., 2020), as 439 well as from other plant species (Ferrari et al., 2019), across the four main cell clusters, dawn DEGs are enriched for 440 GO terms relating to photosynthesis and light responses, while dusk DEGs are enriched for GO terms relating to 441 ribosomal- and polysome activity, which would point to increased translation late in the day (Supplemental Text, 442 Datasets S8-9, Dodd et al., 2005; Ferrari et al., 2019). Further, whereas the effects of light on photosynthesis and 443 plastid development are seen in all Superclusters, this signature is most prominent in cells of the above-water 444 tissues.

Among the TOD co-regulated genes are core components of the plant circadian clock, which forms a negative feedback loop that interacts with an array of light signaling and developmental genes to control global TOD expression in plants (Sanchez and Kay, 2016; Oravec and Greenham, 2022; Michael 2022a, Dataset S11). At its core, the circadian clock is regulated by the SHAQKYF-type-MYB (sMYB) TFs, LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY), and

449 REVEILLE (RVE), whose transcripts are highly expressed in cells of the dawn- but not the dusk-clusters, as expected 450 (Figure 5B; Oravec and Greenham, 2022). In contrast, the clock genes GIGANTEA (GI) and FLAVIN-BINDING, KELCH 451 REPEAT FBOX (FKF1) are primarily expressed in cells collected at dusk, as seen in bulk RNA-seq (Figure 5B). Further, 452 validating detection of TOD specific expression behaviors in the scRNA-seg datasets, in situ imaging of RVE 453 expression using PHYTOMap resulted in a strong expression signal only at dawn, as seen in other species as well as 454 in bulk RNA-seq (Figure 5C). Likewise, transcripts for Wa8730a010g005400, an orthologue of Arabidopsis FATTY 455 ACID DESATURASE 2 (FAD2) identified as strongly upregulated in the dawn dataset, were preferentially detected in 456 morning samples, whereas the Wa8730a010g000190 expression signal was detected primarily at dusk, as predicted 457 (Figure 5C, Table S2). However, several 'core' circadian genes (Dataset S11) were found to be differentially 458 expressed in one or more clusters, suggesting that the circadian machinery may be distinct per tissue/cell type. 459 Orthologues for clock associated Pseudoresponse Regulators (PRRs) and for members of the ZEITLUPE (ZTL) family 460 (Wa8730a009g001000, Wa8730a003g007690), for example, cycle exclusively in CA and CA and CB, respectively 461 (Dataset S9, Somers et al., 2000; Para et al., 2007). This finding that both core circadian genes as well as diurnal-462 regulated genes can show cell type specificity is consistent with results from both a different duckweed system as 463 well as from a range of other plant species (Watanabe et al., 2021; Endo, 2016; Swift et al., 2022).

464 Of the 456 TOD responsive genes detected by scRNA-seq, 289 (> 60%) do not overlap with high confidence 'cycling' 465 genes detected by bulk RNA-seq (Michael et al., 2020, Cutoff R >0.8). The considerable excess of TOD-responsive 466 genes identified by scRNA-seq, highlights the value of this approach for detecting tissue-dependent environmental 467 responses (Jean-Baptiste et al., 2019; Shulse et al., 2019). On an individual basis, 233, 60, 68 and 58 DEGs from CA, 468 CB, CC and CD, respectively, were not previously detected at the bulk RNA-seq level. Beyond these novel TOD 469 regulated genes, each Supercluster harbors 195, 22, 32 and 26 DEGs, respectively, that are specific to that 470 Supercluster (Figure 5A; Dataset S9), demonstrating responses to TOD input that are not only tissue-type specific 471 but interact uniquely with environmental cues stemming from life within or above water (Greenham et al., 2017). 472 These Supercluster-specific TOD responses can be considerable (e.g. Figure 5D). A prominent example is 473 Wa8730a008g003780 (IRT2), which unlike the aforementioned IRT1-orthologue (Figure S1C), is expressed 474 predominantly in the above-water epidermis at dawn (Figure 5E). BROAD-RANGE SUGAR PHOSPHATE 475 PHOSPHATASE (SGPP, Wa8730a011g001940) shows a similar expression profile, while Wa8730a017g000040

476 displays the opposite behavior in the epidermis (Figure 5F). Other genes showing strong distinctions in this regard 477 include the dawn-upregulated orthologues of Arabidopsis ALPHA CARBONIC ANHYDRASE1 (ACA1) and ACA3 478 (Wa8730a020g000810 and Wa8730a012g002610), specifically cycling in the above- and within- water epidermis, 479 respectively (Figure 5F). The epidermis specific expression of these ACA genes at dawn highlights the important role 480 this enzyme plays in facilitating carbon capture from the air and water environments through conversion of CO_2 481 into carbonic acid. Other DEGs in the above-water epidermis at dawn are associated with stress and temperature 482 responses, whereas the below-water epidermis shows regulation of the response to ultraviolet light (Supplemental 483 Text, Datasets S8-9).

Fewer genes cycle specifically in the parenchyma, though Wa8730a011g002740 stands out in that it is upregulated in the below-water parenchyma at dawn (Figure 5F). Also the karrikin response appears to be TOD regulated in this way, whereas the above-water parenchyma has DEGs with functions in various metabolic and biosynthetic pathways at the same TOD (Dataset S9). Importantly, aside from these select examples, the genes that are TOD regulated in individual Superclusters have diverse functions indicating TOD effects on a range of processes, rather than explicit up- or downregulation of overt regulatory networks.

490 Taken together, this single cell level analysis of TOD responsive gene expression is consistent with the relatively 491 streamlined nature of Wolffia gene regulation. It also highlights the benefits of the methodology for discerning 492 expression signatures distinguishing cell types and their responses to environmental cues, as well as demonstrating 493 the considerable cell type-specific changes in this regard. Indeed, while select biological processes are generally 494 controlled in a TOD fashion as expected (Supplemental Text, Datasets S8-9), many genes cycle in a manner 495 dependent on cell type and/or life in water versus air. These are associated with widely diverse cellular functions 496 suggesting a pleiotropic response (Supplemental Text, Datasets S8-9). That the above-water epidermis is the most 497 responsive of all cell types was unexpected and might form a feature linked to the very specific habitat and lifestyle 498 of Wolffia.

499

500

502 DISCUSSION

503 Wolffia holds great promise as a key model organism for the exploration of manifold biological questions. The 504 experimental and practical opportunities offered by Wolffia as a biofuel crop and novel food, for example, are in no 505 small way connected to its basic body plan, small size, and rapid regeneration (Lam and Michael, 2022). Further, its 506 compact genome offers opportunities to discover basic cellular processes defining cell types and how these 507 respond to environmental change. In particular, the half-submerged lifestyle of Wolffia offers a novel opportunity 508 to examine how a plant responds to critical environmental inputs stemming from life in air versus water. We 509 examined this, and the impact of TOD, on individual cell types of the entire plant using single cell resolution 510 transcriptomics. The division of Wolffia cells into two major tissue types, specialized by the submerged water 511 environment, demonstrates the most fundamental divisions of this minimalist plant. Transcriptomic comparisons 512 between these cell populations reveals a very streamlined organism with relatively few DEGs between cell types, 513 submerged and above water tissues, mother and daughter fronds, or across different TOD. As we detected 514 transcripts for most annotated genes in the genome, we can surmise that the majority are broadly and evenly 515 expressed 'housekeeping' genes. Nonetheless, DEGs between these various divisions identify basic cellular 516 functions underlying the distinct biology of these tissue types. Most notably, we see that gene orthogroups specific 517 to the duckweeds are linked to specific tissue-dependent adaptations for a species that floats on water.

518 Beyond the fundamental tissue type divisions, the atlas captures additional cellular complexity and specialization, 519 including cells with a strong developmental signature within the below water parenchyma. Developmental activity 520 is clear from the abundant expression of TFs and other key genes underlying patterning and growth, as well as a 521 strong daughter frond and mitotic "signal", particularly in cluster C6. Its cells likely include those of the youngest 522 daughter fronds, and perhaps the rare cells of the meristem and stipe. Further, expression dynamics across C6 523 seemingly points to the capture of a developmental progression within the cluster structure. While further scrutiny 524 of putative trajectories and rare cell types would benefit from increased sequencing depth and cell numbers, the 525 current data offers a first opportunity to explore development in this minimalist plant, unique as it is in the rapidity 526 of its replication and growth.

527 Aside from developmental progression, the atlas identifies transcriptomes for guard cells of the stomata and 528 particular epidermal cells with a penchant for cuticle production. In addition, we see evidence for potential sub-529 specializations that could compensate for the simple body plan of Wolffia, relative to other plants. The highly 530 localized expression of SWEET transporters within the parenchyma, for example, potentially compensates for the 531 lack of a vascular system. Similarly, defence genes in cells of the below water parenchyma and epidermis point to 532 an acute response to potential waterborne antagonists. The stomatal transcriptome compiled from our data 533 highlights the conservation of certain cell types across species as distantly related as the model eudicot Arabidopsis 534 and this non-grass monocot. However, it also exposes the power of looking at new model systems representing 535 unique biology and positions in the green lineage, with the Wolffia Superclusters displaying unique gene networks 536 adapted to the aquatic environment.

537 Our analysis further highlights the importance of taking TOD responses into consideration when developing an 538 understanding of the systems level organization of cells, as well as distinct aspects of networks resulting from the 539 primary driver of plant biology, the sun. The observed TOD expression changes predict, that the clock changes from 540 tissue type to tissue type, even in this minimal plant system as in other systems (Endo, 2016; Swift et al., 2022), and 541 that responses do so as well. Photosynthesis, plastid development, and translation are major processes TOD 542 regulated across the plant, but many genes respond to TOD in a manner dependent on cell type and life in water 543 versus air. These genes are predicted to have widely diverse functions suggesting effects of TOD on a broad range 544 of specialized cellular processes. In particular, the above water-epidermis is considerably more responsive to TOD 545 than other cell types. This could be a consequence of this cell type being at the vanguard of the changing light and 546 highlights the relative prominence of local protective measures to this environmental cue.

Despite its virtues, a limitation of *Wolffia* as a model arises from current challenges in its routine and rapid transformation for molecular analyses. Therefore, to validate our cluster annotation, a critical element of scRNAseq studies, we utilized PHYTOMap, a recently developed, multiplexed, *in situ* hybridisation methodology (Nobori et al., 2023). Besides the limitation of select fluorescence channels to vividly capture rarer- or lower-expressed transcripts, this proved highly successful, offering a practical option going forward to examine spatial patterns of expression in model organisms like *Wolffia* that are less amenable to analysis of transgenic reporters.

553 Global studies of gene regulation at the systems level in multicellular organisms are extremely complex due to, in 554 part, the intricate networks of TF activity and the myriad tissue types involved. Notwithstanding the 555 aforementioned limitations of sequencing depth in resolving the rarest dynamics within this species, the 556 streamlined gene expression variation identified in this work points to Wolffia being a tractable model for studies 557 of regulatory dynamics at the organismal level with examination of chromatin dynamics at single cell resolution, for 558 example, as an attractive next step. This model equally offers amenable opportunities for integrating metabolic- or 559 proteomic examination, utilizing the amalgamation of nascent technologies and methodologies (Clark et al., 2021; 560 Zhao and Rhee, 2022). Such complex, layered data transposed onto an organism of such elementary structure, but 561 harbouring fascinating generational dynamics, and a unique sub-aquatic lifestyle convenient for environmental 562 studies, offers an opportunity to examine complex dynamics. The atlas we present here, of 5,604 high quality cells, 563 broadly representative of the entire organism, offers a strong reference to explore a wealth of fundamental 564 questions going forward. Key curiosities in this regard are the differences between above- and below-water-565 situated tissues, and the varying roles the different tissues undertake in these contexts. Particularly interesting, 566 perhaps, are the variable defense mechanisms of the below water parenchyma and epidermis, and the differential 567 responses to TOD. Similarly, there is clearly more to be learned regarding the unique development of Wolffia. To 568 this end, the atlas can be easily explored on our interactive browser at https://www.zmbp-resources.uni-569 tuebingen.de/timmermans/plant-single-cell-browser/ (Ma et al., 2020).

570

571 METHODS

572 Plant growth

W. australiana line 8730 (Australia, New South Wales) was used for all experiments. This line was chosen due to it
having the best genome assembly available at the time, and for the availability of bulk RNA-seq TOD time course
data (Michael et al., 2020). Plants were grown at intermediate days of 12 h light (100 μE)/12 h dark cycles at a
constant 24 °C in 100 ml nutrient medium (0.5 × Schenk & Hildebrandt (Duchefa), 0.1% sucrose, pH 6.7). Plants
were sub-cultured weekly by transferring ±10 fronds to fresh medium.

579 **Protoplast isolation for scRNA-seq library construction**

580 Approximately 200-300 plants, less than one-month old, were collected for each sample. Dusk and dawn samples 581 were collected in parallel from separate growth chambers with contrasting light/dark cycles. Dusk cycles were 582 collected at the end of the light period (lights off, Zeitgeber (ZT) 12) and dawn cycles at the end of the dark period 583 (lights on, ZTO). To check TOD dynamics were retained despite this, gene sets previously shown to cycle at 584 particular times in Wolffia were assessed in the resultant data (Michael et al., 2020, Figure S5C). To avoid problems 585 associated with the thick, waterproof cuticle of the plants, individual samples were diced with a razor blade to 586 improve access the innermost cells. Diced tissue samples were placed into a freshly made 'protoplast enzyme mix' 587 with a higher concentration of commonly used cell wall digestion enzymes (0.1 M KCI, 0.02 M MgCl₂, 0.1% BSA 588 (Sigma Aldrich), 0.08 M MES (Duchefa), 0.6 M Mannitol (Duchefa), pH 5.5, adjusted with Tris, 1.5% Cellulase R-10, 589 1% Maceroenzyme, 0.5% Pectolyase; all enzymes Duchefa). Tissue samples were digested on an orbital shaker set 590 at < 200 rpm for maximum 90 minutes to minimize transcriptional noise. Dawn samples were kept in darkness for 591 this step. Digested cells were passed through a 100 µm sieve followed by a 40 µm cell strainer (pluriSelect) and 592 resuspended in 10 ml 'wash buffer' ('protoplast enzyme mix' without enzymes) and centrifuged (1,000 × G, 20 °C). 593 The supernatant was then removed, and the pellet resuspended in 10 ml wash buffer. This was centrifuged again 594 $(500 \times G, 20 \text{ °C})$, the supernatant removed, and the pellet resuspended in 500 µl wash buffer. By necessity, wash 595 steps for both samples were performed in light with the whole process minimized to 30 minutes. Protoplasts were 596 validated under a light microscope and quantified using a haemocytometer. Concentrations were adjusted with 597 wash buffer to a density of approximately 800-900 cells per ml.

598

599 Single cell RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing

Single cell RNA-seq libraries were prepared from fresh protoplasts according to the 10x Genomics Single Cell 3' Reagent Kit v 3.1 protocol. Cells were loaded onto the 10x Chromium controller within 1 H of the end of digestion. ~60% excess cells over target were added, as per the 10x protocol. 11 cycles were used for cDNA amplification, and 12 for final PCR amplification of the adapter-ligated libraries. Final library size and quality was checked on a DNA High Sensitivity Bioanalyzer chip (Agilent), and libraries were quantified using the NEBNext Library Quantification Kit for Illumina and sequenced to a depth of >20,000 reads per cell.

606

607 RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing

608 For bulk RNA-seq comparisons of above- and below-water tissues, and mother-daughter fronds, plants were 609 bisected with needles under a light microscope and appropriate tissues collected in liquid nitrogen and ground to a 610 fine powder. RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific), following the manufacturer's protocol. RNA 611 samples were guantified by NanoDrop, and guality assured based on RNA Bioanalyzer chip traces (Agilent). mRNA 612 was enriched by Oligo(dT) pull-down using the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module, and RNA 613 libraries constructed using the NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina with NEB Multiplex 614 oligos. Final library size and quality was checked on a DNA High Sensitivity Bioanalyzer chip, and libraries were 615 quantified using the NEBNext Library Quantification Kit for Illumina and sequenced to a depth of 10M reads per 616 sample.

617

618 **PHYTOMap sample preparation and image capture**

619 Wolffia was grown under 12 h/12 h light cycles, with lights on at 7:00 AM and off at 7:00 PM. Live fronds were used 620 for the experiment. The dawn set was harvested at 8:20 AM and the dusk set at 5:00 PM, immediately starting the 621 initial FAA fixation step upon harvesting. The protocol in Nobori et al., 2023 was followed with some modifications 622 to accommodate increased volume of sample required for each set of probes used, as well as increased incubation 623 times to allow solutions to fully penetrate the fronds. Yakult enzymes in the Cell Wall Digestions Steps were 624 substituted with cellulase (Sigma cat. No. SAE0020), macerozyme (PlantMedia cat. No. 21560017-1), and pectinase 625 (Sigma cat. No. P2401-1KU). Additionally, the fronds did not stick to poly-D-lysine coated dishes, so the tissue was 626 processed in 1.5 ml tubes for all incubation steps. The SNAIL probe concentration was also increased from 10 nM in 627 the original protocol to 500 nM per oligo in the final pool to account for the larger volume of Wolffia fronds. 628 Samples were prepared for imaging on microscope slides with a 22 mm \times 50 mm coverglass. The additional size of 629 the coverglass allowed better adhesion to the slide. Smaller coverslips were more easily disrupted due to the 630 thickness of the duckweed fronds. Imaging was performed on an Olympus FV3000 confocal microscope. Images 631 were taken using a 20 × zoom with image resolution of 254 × 254 pixels per tile. Four tiled images per frond were 632 taken and stitched together for a total of 1019×1019 -pixel image sizes to encompass a whole frond in one image.

Z-stacks were generated for each set of tiles to span the entire depth of each frond imaged, number of layers
dependent on frond thickness. The following channel settings were used for each fluorophore: AF488, 499 nm
excitation, 504-554 emission; Cy3, 554 nm excitation, 559-650 emission; Cy5, 649 nm excitation, 657-735 nm
emission; and AF740, 752 nm excitation, 760-839 nm emission.

637

638 Histological staining

- Wolffia plants were embedded in 7% agarose and sectioned to a depth of 75 μM by Vibratome (Leica). Staining was
 performed with 0.1% Sudan IV (Sigma) for ~10 minutes, as per Nadiminti et al., 2015.
- 641

642 Bulk RNA-seq analysis

643 Sequence reads (Paired End, 150 bp) were aligned to the W. australiana line 8730 (Wa8730.asm201904v2.fasta) 644 reference genome with STAR (version 2.7.10a; Ernst et al., 2023; Dobin et al., 2013). All common names and 645 accession of genes referred to in the manuscript can be found in Dataset S12. Read counts of genes were calculated 646 on uniquely mapped reads using 'featureCounts' (version 2.0.1; Liao et al., 2014) with W. australiana annotation 647 (Wa8730.gtf). Differential expression (DE) analysis was conducted using DESeq2 (version 1.34.0; Love et al., 2014). 648 Differential expressed genes (DEGs) were identified by having a Log_2 fold-change > 1 and an adjusted p-value < 649 0.05. For correlation analysis of gene expression between bulk RNA-seq and scRNA-seq, Log₂ (mean RPM+1) 650 expression values were calculated for each gene and the Pearson correlation coefficient determined in R (v4.1.2; R 651 Core Team 2021).

652

653 Generation of single cell expression matrices

Cell Ranger version (5.0.1) (10x Genomics) was used for initial scRNA-seq data processing (default settings).
CellRanger Count was used to align sequencing reads to the *W. australiana* reference genome. Cells were filtered
with a quality cut-off of > 650 UMIs/cell. Gene expression matrices were created for each readset (dusk-1, dusk-2,
dawn-1, dawn-2) and readsets were merged with cellranger aggr. The final .h5 file was converted to a csv
expression matrix via cellranger mat2csv and used for further analysis. See Table S4 for further metrics pertaining
to scRNA-seq data analysis and quality.

660

661 Dimensionality reduction, UMAP visualization, and cell clustering analysis

662 All standard bioinformatic analyses were performed using R Statistical Software (v4.1.2; R Core Team 2021). The 663 Seurat R package (version 4.3.0, Satija et al., 2015), was used for downstream analysis with default parameters 664 unless otherwise specified. Gene expression values were normalized with 'LogNormalize' function. The top 2000 665 highly variable genes were identified with 'FindVariableFeatures' and used for PCA calculation. First 10 PCs were 666 used for Louvain clustering of the cells and UMAP dimensionality reduction. Interactive 3D UMAPs for the dusk-, 667 dawn-, and combined datasets can be found in Supplemental materials. Superclusters were manually assigned by 668 analyzing UMAP visualizations. Select clusters were sub-clustered using 50 PCs. Pearson's correlation of gene 669 expression between the dusk and dawn was calculated on genes expressed in > 10 % of cells in both superclusters. 670 DEGs were identified with 'FindAllMarkers' with Log_2 Fold change threshold > 1, adjusted p-value < 0.05 and PCT1 671 (percentage of cells) >10%. Gene set activity plots were calculated using 'AddModuleScore'. For integration and 672 comparison of dusk and dawn datasets, Seurat objects were generated independently and then integrated using a 673 Seurat integration pipeline using 2000 anchor features and the canonical correlation analysis (CCA) integration 674 method. After integration, 20 PCs were used as input features for the 'RunUMAP' and 'FindNeighbors' functions. 675 TOD DEGs between dusk and dawn of each supercluster was performed with 'FindMarker' using integrated data 676 with Log_2 fold-change > 1, adjusted p-value < 0.05 and PCT >10 % in either PCT1 or PCT2.

677

678 Stomatal transcriptome analysis

The cluster of cells expressing the stomatal marker Wa8730a007g001710 (AT3G24140, FAMA) was manually selected. Differential expression analysis between stomatal cells and remaining cells in the dusk/dawn integrated data object was conducted using 'FindMarkers' with the same parameters as above.

682

683 Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

684 Gene ontology (GO) term overrepresentation analysis was carried using the *Wolffia* gene annotation and 685 GOATOOLS (Klopfenstein et al., 2018). Significant GO terms (P >0.05) were identified from specific gene lists with 686 the flags (--pval=0.05 --method=fdr_bh --pval_field=fdr_bh).

6	8	7
0	U	

688 Identification of Wolffia orthologs

- Orthology was determined with OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly, 2019). Marker genes from model species based on existing literature were used to search the ortho-groups for Im5633 orthologues. These marker genes in model organisms were compared with the OrthoFinder gene table and a corresponding Im5633 orthologue was observed.
- 692 Generally, annotations with a geneID from eggnog mapper were more reliable than observing marker genes in
- 693 larger gene families (>3 copies per genomes).

694

695 DATA ACCESS

- 696 All raw and processed sequencing data generated in this study is available through the NCBI short read archive
- 697 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/), as well as under the NCBI BioProject PRJNA1124135. Please see Supplemental

Table S5 for individual SRA accession numbers. The scRNA-seq atlas can be easily explored via the interactive

- 699 browser at https://www.zmbp-resources.uni-tuebingen.de/timmermans/plant-single-cell-browser/. The scRNA-seq
- 700 Seurat objects and associated matrices are accessible via the same Browser

701

702 COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

- 703 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 704

705 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

706 We thank the Salk Sequencing Core for high-throughput sequencing, and Detlef Weigel for co-supervision of P-J.W.

707 We also thank members of all labs who provided critical input.

708

709 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

E.L., T.P.M., M.C.P.T. and T.D. conceived and designed the study. T.D. produced all libraries and performed all wet
lab experimentation, except for the PHYTOMap analysis which was carried out by K.C., A.M. and T.N.. K.C. and
B.W.A. carried out sequencing and performed an initial analysis of the dusk single cell data. P-J.W. performed the
single cell and bulk RNA-seq data analyses. T.P.M. performed the OrthoFinder and TOD analyses. P.S. generated the
single cell browser interface for accommodating the *Wolffia* single cell atlases. T.D. and M.C.P.T wrote the
manuscript with contributions from E.L. and T.P.M. who also proofread the final version.

716

717 FUNDING

718 This work was supported by a grant from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation to M.C.P.T. from the Tang Fund to T.P.M, and by the Max Planck Society (PJW, through funding to Detlef Weigel). Research on duckweed in the 719 720 Lam lab was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research program 721 under Award Number DE-SC0018244. In addition, this work was supported by a Hatch project (12116) and a Multi-722 State Capacity project (NJ12710) from the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station at Rutgers University (E.L., 723 Z.P.). This work was also supported by the Waitt Advanced Biophotonics Core Facility of the Salk Institute with 724 funding from NIH-NCI CCSG: P30 CA01495, NIH-NIA San Diego Nathan Shock Center P30 AG068635, and the Waitt 725 Foundation.

726

727 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. A scRNA-seq atlas of *Wolffia australiana* cells reveals two core cell types optimized for life in air versus water. (A) *Wolffia australiana* comprises a mother (M) frond from which successive daughter (D) fronds bud off. Within the plant, a granddaughter (GD) frond is often also seen. A developmental progression of a daughter frond (anticlockwise from top left) is shown on the bottom right. The plant can generally be divided into epidermal and parenchymal cells, with stomata dispersed across the above water epidermis, and the parenchyma divided into photosynthetic palisade parenchyma at the top of the plant, and spongy parenchyma in the hull (top right). A conical cavity is seen near the center of the frond below the surface of the water. (B) Pearson correlation analysis shows that gene expression values in merged single cell and bulk-tissue RNA-seq of whole *Wolffia* plants are highly correlated. (C) UMAP visualization of the dusk scRNA-seq atlas reveals nine distinct cell clusters, organized around four Superclusters (inset) corresponding to above water epidermis (CA), below water epidermis (CC), above water parenchyma (CB), and below water parenchyma (CD). (D) UMAP projections of normalized expression profiles of select DEGs within the atlas showing cluster and Supercluster specificity. Names of *Arabidopsis* orthologues are given (see Dataset S10).

741 Figure 2. Tissue type specific responses to life in air versus water are validated by PHYTOMap multiplex in situ RNA 742 detection. (A) UMAP projections of 'gene set activity' (Seurat) profiles of above- and below-water enriched DEGs as 743 determined by bulk RNA-seq show the response to submerge is primarily detected in the epidermis. (B) Histological 744 sections of Wolffia stained with Sudan IV shows stained cuticle (purple arrowhead) on the exterior of the above-745 water epidermis (top) that is lacking from the below-water epidermis (bottom). Blue arrowhead: approximate 746 position of the waterline on the flank of the plant. (C) Select Supercluster markers imaged using PHYTOMap show 747 strong cell type specificity in line with scRNA-seq predictions. Four genes are imaged in the same plant in four 748 fluorescence channels. From left-to-right: combined expression profiles, images in the blue, red, and green, and 749 magenta channels. White dashed lines: approximate water line; §+: identical exterior or interior sections across 750 different imaging channels. (D) PHYTOMap images showing the combined expression profiles of the genes 751 indicated below. Composite images are a projection of 13-15 z-stack sections of 2-3 µm each. Information on genes 752 examined can be found in Table S2 and Supplemental Figure S2. Scale bar, 250 µm. Where available, names of 753 Arabidopsis orthologues are given (see Dataset S1).

Figure 3. Specialized cell types and developmental stages are distinguished within major tissue type divisions. (A)
UMAP projections showing normalized expression profiles of select DEGs within the atlas showing cluster
specificity. Names of *Arabidopsis* orthologues are given (Dataset S10). (B) UMAP showing expression of
Wa8730a007g001710, orthologue of *Arabidopsis* FAMA, is concentrated in a small subset of cells within
Supercluster CD. (C) Stomata, marked by autofluorescence (green), are dispersed across the upper, above water,
epidermis. White arrows highlight select guard cells. Scale bar, 250 μm. (D) UMAP visualization of 'gene set activity'
(Seurat) of daughter-enriched DEGs determined by bulk RNA-seq shows that developmentally active daughter cells

are localized primarily within specific clusters, particularly within a region of C6. (E) UMAP visualizations of 'gene
set activity' of genes associated with distinct phases of the cell cycle (Dataset S11) show that while cells undergoing
DNA replication are largely dispersed across the atlas, mitotic cells primarily localize to C6, and to a lesser extent,
C4, mirroring the distribution of daughter cells.

765 Figure 4. Dusk and dawn transcriptomes are well-conserved though many genes show TOD responses. (A) UMAP 766 visualization of the dawn scRNA-seq atlas reveals eight distinct clusters, organized around four Superclusters 767 (inset). (B) Integration of dusk and dawn datasets reveals a mirror image arrangement of Superclusters, indicating a 768 strong conditional transcriptome distinction that do not override cell identity. (C) Gene expression correlation 769 between dusk and dawn replicates of distinct Superclusters reveals high correlation. Genes expressed in more than 770 10% of the cells in each Supercluster were used in each instance. (D) Venn diagrams showing just a partial 771 conservation of DEGs between Superclusters of dusk and dawn conditions indicating that sampling across TOD 772 identifies unique tissue-specific DEGs. Identies of Superclusters CA, CB, CC and CD are matched across datasets.

773 Figure 5. TOD responses vary depending on cell type and on life in water versus air. (A) Venn diagram illustrating 774 the overlap of TOD DEGs across Superclusters, 76 genes are TOD regulated in all four Supercluster comparisons, but 775 many genes show a tissue-dependent TOD response. (B) UMAP projections of normalized expression for the core 776 circadian clock genes REVEILLE (RVE), GIGANTEA (GI), FLAVIN-BINDING, KELCH REPEAT, FBOX (FKF1), and LATE 777 ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY), reveal the expected strong TOD responses. (C) Expression of select dawn or dusk 778 markers imaged at dawn (top) and dusk (bottom) using PHYTOMap show strong preferential expression at dawn 779 (left two), or dusk (right). Composite images are projections of 13-15 z-stack sections of 2-3 µm each. Information 780 on genes examined can be found in Table S2. Scale bars, 250 µm. (D) UMAP projections of example genes showing 781 differential expression (DE) between dusk and dawn conditions as well as a level of cell-type specificity. Expression 782 for each gene is plotted on an integrated plot of datasets: a - Wa8730a017g001020, b - Wa8730a016g003000, c -783 Wa8730a017g003930, d - Wa8730a005g008830, e - Wa8730a006g006550, f - Wa8730a003g006530, g -784 Wa8730a006g005980, h - Wa8730a019g001460, i - Wa8730a020g001730, j - Wa8730a010g005400, k -785 Wa8730a012g004550, | - Wa8730a002g000180, m - Wa8730a006g005670, n - Wa8730a003g004150, o -786 Wa8730a002g007540, p - Wa8730a002g007630, q - Wa8730a015g001450, r - Wa8730a018g000010, s -

Wa8730a002g010730, t - Wa8730a010g003830. (E) UMAP projection of IRT2 (Wa8730a011g002740) expression in
the integrated dusk/dawn atlas showing a strong tissue-specific TOD response. (F) UMAP projections of further
genes showing tissue specific TOD responses. SGPP - 8730a011g001940, ACA1 - Wa8730a020g000810, ACA3 Wa8730a017g000040.

791

792 **REFERENCES**

- Adrian J, Chang J, Ballenger CE, Bargmann BO, Alassimone J, Davies KA, Lau OS, Matos JL, Hachez C, Lanctot A, et al.
- 2015. Transcriptome dynamics of the stomatal lineage: birth, amplification, and termination of a self-renewing
- population. *Developmental Cell* **33**, 107–118.
- Bernard FA, Bernard JM, Denny P. 1990. Flower structure, anatomy and life history of *Wolffia australiana* (Benth.)
 den Hartog & van der Plas. *Bulletin of Torrey Botanical Club* 117, 18-26.
- Bezrutczyk M, Zöllner NR, Kruse CPS, Hartwig T, Lautwein T, Köhrer K, Frommer WB, Kim JY. 2021. Evidence for
 phloem loading via the abaxial bundle sheath cells in maize leaves. *The Plant Cell* 33, 531–547.
- 800 Bläsing OE, Gibon Y, Günther M, Höhne M, Morcuende R, Osuna D, Thimm O, Usadel B, Scheible WR, Stitt M. 2005.
- Sugars and circadian regulation make major contributions to the global regulation of diurnal gene expression in
 Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 17, 3257-81.
- 803 Borisjuk N, Peterson AA, Lv J, Qu G, Luo Q, Shi L, Chen G, Kishchenko O, Zhou Y, Shi J. 2018. Structural and 804 biochemical properties of duckweed surface cuticle. *Frontiers In Chemistry* **6**, 317.
- 805 Bouteraa MT, Ben Romdhane W, Baazaoui N, Alfaifi MY, Chouaibi Y, Ben Akacha B, Ben Hsouna A, Kačániová M,
- 806 Ćavar Zeljković S, Garzoli S, Ben Saad R. 2023. GASA proteins: review of their functions in plant environmental
 807 stress tolerance. *Plants (Basel, Switzerland)* 12, 2045.
- 808 Cao J, Packer JS, Ramani V, Cusanovich DA, Huynh C, Daza R, Qiu X, Lee C, Furlan SN, Steemers FJ, et al. 2017.
- 809 Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. *Science* **357**, 661–667.

- 810 Chen ZH, Chen G, Dai F, Wang Y, Hills A, Ruan YL, Zhang G, Franks PJ, Nevo E, Blatt MR. 2017. Molecular evolution
 811 of grass stomata. *Trends In Plant Science* 22, 124–139.
- 812 Cominelli E, Galbiati M, Vavasseur A, Conti L, Sala T, Vuylsteke M, Leonhardt N, Dellaporta SL, Tonelli C. 2005. A
- 813 guard-cell-specific MYB transcription factor regulates stomatal movements and plant drought tolerance.

814 *Current Biology* **15**, 1196–1200.

- 815 Covington MF, Harmer SL. 2007. The circadian clock regulates auxin signaling and responses in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS*816 *biology* 5, e222.
- B17 Deng YN, Kashtoh H, Wang Q, Zhen GX, Li QY, Tang LH, Gao HL, Zhang CR, Qin L, Su M, et al. 2021. Structure and
 activity of SLAC1 channels for stomatal signaling in leaves. *PNAS* 118, e2015151118.
- 819 Denyer T, Ma X, Klesen S, Scacchi E, Nieselt K, Timmermans MCP. 2019. Spatiotemporal developmental trajectories
- 820 in the Arabidopsis root revealed using high-throughput single-cell rna sequencing. Developmental Cell 48, 840–
 821 852.
- Benyer T, Timmermans MCP. 2022. Crafting a blueprint for single-cell RNA sequencing. *Trends In Plant Science* 27,
 92–103.
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast
 universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29, 15–21.
- B26 Dodd AN, Salathia N, Hall A, Kévei E, Tóth R, Nagy F, Hibberd JM, Millar AJ, Webb AA. 2005. Plant circadian clocks
 increase photosynthesis, growth, survival, and competitive advantage. *Science* 309, 630-633.
- Dong J, Chen C, Chen Z. 2003. Expression profiles of the *Arabidopsis* WRKY gene superfamily during plant defense
 response. *Plant Molecular Biology* 51, 21–37.
- 830 Emms DM, Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome*831 *Biology* 20, 238.
- 832 Endo M. 2016. Tissue-specific circadian clocks in plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 29, 44–49.

- 833 Ernst E, Abramson B, Acosta K, Hoang PTN, Mateo-Elizalde C, Schubert V, Pasaribu B, Hartwick N, Colt K, Aylward A,
- et al. 2023. The genomes and epigenomes of aquatic plants (Lemnaceae) promote triploid hybridization and

835 clonal reproduction. *bioRxiv* doi: 10.1101/2023.08.02.551673

- 836 Escamez S, Stael S, Vainonen JP, Willems P, Jin H, Kimura S, Van Breusegem F, Gevaert K, Wrzaczek M, Tuominen H.
- 837 2019. Extracellular peptide Kratos restricts cell death during vascular development and stress in *Arabidopsis*.
- 338 Journal Of Experimental Botany **70**, 2199–2210.
- Ferrari C, Proost S, Janowski M, Becker J, Nikoloski Z, Bhattacharya D, Price D, Tohge T, Bar-Even A, Fernie A, et al.
 2019. Kingdom-wide comparison reveals the evolution of diurnal gene expression in *Archaeplastida*. *Nature*
- 841 *Communications* **10**, 737.
- Filichkin SA, Breton G, Priest HD, Dharmawardhana P, Jaiswal P, Fox SE, Michael TP, Chory J, Kay SA, Mockler TC.
- 843 2011. Global profiling of rice and poplar transcriptomes highlights key conserved circadian-controlled pathways
 844 and cis-regulatory modules. *PloS One* 6, e16907.
- Fukao T, Xu K, Ronald PC, Bailey-Serres J. 2006. A variable cluster of ethylene response factor-like genes regulates
 metabolic and developmental acclimation responses to submergence in rice. *The Plant Cell* 18, 2021–2034.
- 847 Galvez-Valdivieso G, Fryer MJ, Lawson T, Slattery K, Truman W, Smirnoff N, Asami T, Davies WJ, Jones AM, Baker
- NR, Mullineaux PM. 2009. The high light response in *Arabidopsis* involves ABA signaling between vascular and
 bundle sheath cells. *The Plant Cell* **21**, 2143–2162.
- Glenz R, Kaiping A, Göpfert D, Weber H, Lambour B, Sylvester M, Fröschel C, Mueller MJ, Osman M, Waller F. 2022.
- The major plant sphingolipid long chain base phytosphingosine inhibits growth of bacterial and fungal plant pathogens. *Scientific Reports* **12**, 1081.
- Greenham K, Guadagno CR, Gehan MA, Mockler TC, Weinig C, Ewers BE, McClung CR. 2017. Temporal network
 analysis identifies early physiological and transcriptomic indicators of mild drought in *Brassica rapa*. *Elife* 6,
 e29655

- 856 Harkess A, McLoughlin, Bilkey N, Elliot K, Emenecker R, Mattoon E, Miller K, Czymmek RD, Meyers BC, Michael TP.
- 857 2021. Improved Spirodela polyrhiza genome and proteomic analyses reveal a conserved chromosomal
 858 structure with high abundance of chloroplastic proteins favoring energy production. *Journal of Experimental*859 *Biology* 72, 2491-2500.
- Hunt L, Gray JE. 2009. The signaling peptide EPF2 controls asymmetric cell divisions during stomatal development.
 Current Biology 19, 864–869.
- Jean-Baptiste K, McFaline-Figueroa JL, Alexandre CM, Dorrity MW, Saunders L, Bubb KL, Trapnell C, Fields S,
 Queitsch C, Cuperus JT. 2019. Dynamics of gene expression in single root cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Cell* **31**, 993–1011.
- Kim JY, Symeonidi E, Pang TY, Denyer T, Weidauer D, Bezrutczyk M, Miras M, Zöllner N, Hartwig T, Wudick MM, et
 al. 2021. Distinct identities of leaf phloem cells revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *The Plant Cell* 33, 511–
 530.
- 868 Klopfenstein DV, Zhang L, Pedersen BS, Ramírez F, Warwick Vesztrocy A, Naldi A, Mungall CJ, Yunes JM, Botvinnik

869 O, Weigel M, et al. 2018. GOATOOLS: A Python library for Gene Ontology analyses. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 10872.

- 870 Knauer S, Javelle M, Li L, Li X, Ma X, Wimalanathan K, Kumari S, Johnston R, Leiboff S, Meeley R, et al. 2019. A high-
- 871 resolution gene expression atlas links dedicated meristem genes to key architectural traits. *Genome Research*872 **29**, 1962–1973.
- Kovinich N, Kayanja G, Chanoca A, Otegui MS, Grotewold E. 2015. Abiotic stresses induce different localizations of
 anthocyanins in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 10, e1027850.
- Lam E, Michael TP. 2022. *Wolffia*, a minimalist plant and synthetic biology chassis. *Trends In Plant Science* 27, 430–
 439.
- Laosuntisuk K, Elorriaga E, Doherty CJ. 2023. The game of timing: Circadian rhythms intersect with changing
 environments. *Annual review of plant biology* 74, 511–538.

- Li F, Yang JJ., Sun ZY, Wang L, Qi LY, Liu YQ, Zhang HM, Dang LF, Wang SJ, Luo CX, et al. 2023. Plant-on-chip: Core
- 880 morphogenesis processes in the tiny plant *Wolffia australiana*. *PNAS nexus* **2**, pgad141.
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general-purpose program for assigning sequence reads
 to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30, 923–930.
- 883 Liu T, Chen T, Kan J, Yao Y, Guo D, Yang Y, Ling X, Wang J, Zhang B. 2022. The GhMYB36 transcription factor confers
- resistance to biotic and abiotic stress by enhancing PR1 gene expression in plants. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*20, 722–735.
- Lopez-Anido CB, Vatén A, Smoot NK, Sharma N, Guo V, Gong Y, Anleu Gil MX, Weimer AK, Bergmann DC. 2021.
- Single-cell resolution of lineage trajectories in the *Arabidopsis* stomatal lineage and developing leaf.
 Developmental Cell 56, 1043–1055.
- Lou P, Wu J, Cheng F, Cressman LG, Wang X, McClung CR. 2012. Preferential retention of circadian clock genes
 during diploidization following whole genome triplication in *Brassica rapa*. *Plant Cell* 24:2415-26.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **15**, 550.
- Lü S, Song T, Kosma DK, Parsons EP, Rowland O, Jenks MA. 2009. Arabidopsis CER8 encodes LONG-CHAIN ACYL-COA
 SYNTHETASE 1 (LACS1) that has overlapping functions with LACS2 in plant wax and cutin synthesis. The Plant
 Journal 59, 553–564.
- Ma X, Denyer T, Timmermans MCP. 2020. PscB: A browser to explore plant single cell rna-sequencing data sets.
 Plant Physiology 183, 464–467.
- Marand AP, Chen Z, Gallavotti A, Schmitz RJ. 2021. A cis-regulatory atlas in maize at single-cell resolution. *Cell* 184,
 3041–3055.
- Meng X, Chen X, Mang H, Liu C, Yu X, Gao X, Torii KU, He P, Shan L. 2015. Differential function of *Arabidopsis* SERK
 family receptor-like kinases in stomatal patterning. *Current Biology* 25, 2361–2372.

- 902 Michael TP, Salomé PA, Yu HJ, Spencer TR, Sharp EL, McPeek MA, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, McClung CR. 2003. Enhanced
- fitness conferred by naturally occurring variation in the circadian clock. *Science* **302**, 1049–1053.
- 904 Michael TP, Ernst E, Hartwick N, Chu P, Bryant D, Gilbert S, Ortleb S, Baggs EL, Sree KS, Appenroth KJ, et al. 2020.
- 905 Genome and time-of-day transcriptome of *Wolffia australiana* link morphological minimization with gene loss
- and less growth control. *Genome Research* **31**, 225–238.
- 907 Michael TP. 2022a. Core circadian clock and light signaling genes brought into genetic linkage across the green
 908 lineage. *Plant Physiology* 190, 1037–1056.
- 909 Michael TP. 2022b. Time of Day analysis over a field grown developmental time course in rice. *Plants* **12**, 166.
- 910 Nadiminti PP, Rookes JE, Boyd BJ, Cahill DM. 2015. Confocal laser scanning microscopy elucidation of the
- 911 micromorphology of the leaf cuticle and analysis of its chemical composition. *Protoplasma* **252**, 1475–1486.
- Nakamichi N, Kita M, Ito S, Sato E, Yamashino T, Mizuno T. 2005. The *Arabidopsis* pseudo-response regulators,
 PRR5 and PRR7, coordinately play essential roles for circadian clock function. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 46, 609–
 619.
- 915 Negi J, Moriwaki K, Konishi M, Yokoyama R, Nakano T, Kusumi K, Hashimoto-Sugimoto M, Schroeder JI, Nishitani K,
- 916 Yanagisawa S, Iba K. 2013. A Dof transcription factor, SCAP1, is essential for the development of functional
- 917 stomata in *Arabidopsis*. *Current Biology* **23**, 479–484.
- 918 Nobori N, Oliva M, Lister R, Ecker JR. 2023. Multiplexed single-cell 3D spatial gene expression analysis in plant tissue
 919 using PHYTOMap. *Nature Plants* 9, 1026-1033.
- Oravec MW, Greenham K. 2022. The adaptive nature of the plant circadian clock in natural environments. *Plant Physiology* 190, 968-980
- Para A, Farré EM, Imaizumi T, Pruneda-Paz JL, Harmon FG, Kay SA. 2007. PRR3 Is a vascular regulator of TOC1
 stability in the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock. *Plant Cell* 19, 3462-73.
- 924 Park CS, Go YS, Suh MC. 2016. Cuticular wax biosynthesis is positively regulated by WRINKLED4, an AP2/ERF-type
- 925 transcription factor, in *Arabidopsis* stems. *The Plant Journal* **88**, 257–270.

- 926 Pasaribu B, Acosta K, Aylward A, Liang Y, Abramson BW, Colt K, Hartwick NT, Shanklin J, Michael TP, Lam E. 2023.
- 927 Genomics of turions from the greater duckweed reveal its pathways for dormancy and re-emergence strategy.

928 *The New phytologist* **239**, 116–131.

- R Core Team. 2021. A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*,
 Vienna, Austria.
- 931 Raskin I, Kende H. 1984. Regulation of growth in stem sections of deep-water rice. *Planta* 160, 66–72.
- 932 Roppolo D, De Rybel B, Dénervaud Tendon V, Pfister A, Alassimone J, Vermeer JE, Yamazaki M, Stierhof YD,
- 933 Beeckman T, Geldner N. 2011. A novel protein family mediates Casparian strip formation in the endodermis.
- 934 *Nature* **473**, 380–383.
- 935 Sanchez SE, Kay SA. 2016. The plant circadian clock: From a simple timekeeper to a complex developmental
 936 manager. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 8, a027748.
- 937 Satija R, Farrell JA, Gennert D, Schier AF, Regev A. 2015. Spatial reconstruction of single-cell gene expression data.
 938 Nature Biotechnology 33, 495–502.
- 939 Seyfferth C, Renema J, Wendrich JR, Eekhout T, Seurinck R, Vandamme N, Blob B, Saeys Y, Helariutta Y, Birnbaum
- 940 KD, De Rybel B. 2021. Advances and opportunities in single-cell transcriptomics for plant research. *Annual*941 *Review of Plant Biology* 72, 847–866.
- 942 Shahan R, Hsu CW, Nolan TM, Cole BJ, Taylor IW, Greenstreet L, Zhang S, Afanassiev A, Vlot AHC, Schiebinger G, et
- al. 2022. A single-cell *Arabidopsis* root atlas reveals developmental trajectories in wild-type and cell identity
 mutants. *Developmental Cell* 57, 543–560.
- 945 Shimada Y, Fujioka S, Miyauchi N, Kushiro M, Takatsuto S, Nomura T, Yokota T, Kamiya Y, Bishop GJ, Yoshida S.
- 946 2001. Brassinosteroid-6-oxidases from *Arabidopsis* and tomato catalyze multiple C-6 oxidations in
 947 brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* **126**, 770–779.
- 948 Shulse CN, Cole BJ, Ciobanu D, Lin J, Yoshinaga Y, Gouran M, Turco GM, Zhu Y, O'Malley RC, Brady SM, & Dickel DE.
- 949 (2019). High-throughput single-cell transcriptome profiling of plant cell types. *Cell Reports* 27, 2241–2247.

- 950 Siegfried KR, Eshed Y, Baum SF, Otsuga D, Drews GN, Bowman JL. 1999. Members of the YABBY gene family specify
- abaxial cell fate in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* **126**, 4117–4128.
- Smit ME, Bergmann DC. 2023. The stomatal fates: Understanding initiation and enforcement of stomatal cell fate
 transitions. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **79**, 102449
- Somers DE, Schultz TF, Milnamow M, Kay SA. 2000. ZEITLUPE encodes a novel clock-associated PAS protein from
 Arabidopsis. Cell 101, 319–329.
- Steed G, Ramirez DC, Hannah MA, Webb AAR. 2021. Chronoculture, harnessing the circadian clock to improve crop
 yield and sustainability. *Science* 372, eabc9141.
- 958 Swift J, Greenham K, Ecker JR, Coruzzi GM, Robertson McClung C. 2022. The biology of time: dynamic responses of

959 cell types to developmental, circadian and environmental cues. *The Plant Journal* **109**, 764–778.

- 960 van der Honing HS, Kieft H, Emons AM, Ketelaar T. 2012. *Arabidopsis* VILLIN2 and VILLIN3 are required for the
 961 generation of thick actin filament bundles and for directional organ growth. *Plant Physiology* 158, 1426–1438.
- Wang X, Niu Y, Zheng Y. 2021. Multiple functions of MYB transcription factors in abiotic stress responses.
 International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22, 6125.
- Ware A, Jones DH, Flis P, Chrysanthou E, Smith KE, Kümpers BMC, Yant L, Atkinson JA, Wells DM, Bhosale R,
 Bishopp A. 2023. Loss of ancestral function in duckweed roots is accompanied by progressive anatomical
 reduction and a re-distribution of nutrient transporters. *Current Biology* 33, 1795-1802.
- Watanabe E, Isoda M, Muranaka T, Ito S, Oyama T. 2021. Detection of uncoupled circadian rhythms in individual
 cells of *Lemna minor* using a dual-color bioluminescence monitoring system. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 62, 815–
 826.
- 970 Wolf S. 2022. Cell wall signaling in plant development and defense. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **73**, 323–353.
- 971 Xiong C, Xie Q, Yang Q, Sun P, Gao S, Li H, Zhang J, Wang T, Ye Z, Yang C. 2020. WOOLLY, interacting with MYB
- 972 transcription factor MYB31, regulates cuticular wax biosynthesis by modulating CER6 expression in tomato. The
- 973 *Plant Journal* **103**, 323–337.

- 974 Yoshida T, Nishimura N, Kitahata N, Kuromori T, Ito T, Asami T, Shinozaki K, Hirayama T. 2006. ABA-hypersensitive
- 975 germination3 encodes a protein phosphatase 2C (AtPP2CA) that strongly regulates abscisic acid signaling
- 976 during germination among *Arabidopsis* protein phosphatase 2Cs. *Plant Physiology* **140**, 115–126.
- 277 Zhang TQ, Chen Y, Wang JW. 2021. A single-cell analysis of the *Arabidopsis* vegetative shoot apex. *Developmental*278 *Cell* 56, 1056–1074.
- 279 Zhao K, Rhee SY. 2022. Omics-guided metabolic pathway discovery in plants: Resources, approaches, and
 opportunities. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 67, 102222.
- 981 Zhu J, Lolle S, Tang A, Guel B, Kvitko B, Cole B, Coaker G. 2023. Single-cell profiling of Arabidopsis leaves to
- 982 *Pseudomonas syringae* infection. *Cell Reports* **42**, 112676.













Streamlined spatial and environmental expression signatures characterize the minimalist duckweed *Wolffia australiana*

Tom Denyer, Pin-Jou Wu, Kelly Colt, et al.

Genome Res. published online July 1, 2024 Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gr.279091.124

Published online July 1, 2024 in advance of the print journal.
Peer-reviewed and accepted for publication but not copyedited or typeset; accepted manuscript is likely to differ from the final, published version.
Freely available online through the Genome Research Open Access option.
This manuscript is Open Access. This article, published in <i>Genome Research</i> , is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here.



To subscribe to Genome Research go to: https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions