

Journal of the House

Thursday, April 7, 2011

At one o'clock and thirty minutes in the afternoon the Speaker called the House to order.

Devotional Exercises

Devotional exercises were conducted by Puppets in Education, Kids on the Block, Burlington, VT.

Message from the Senate No. 35

A message was received from the Senate by Mr. Marshall, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has on its part passed Senate bills of the following titles:

S. 15. An act relating to insurance coverage for midwifery services and home births.

S. 52. An act relating to workplace bullying.

S. 77. An act relating to water testing of private wells.

S. 100. An act relating to making miscellaneous amendments to education laws.

In the passage of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

The Senate has considered bills originating in the House of the following titles:

H. 85. An act relating to recognition of the Nulhegan Band of the Coosuk Abenaki Nation as a Native American Indian tribe.

H. 86. An act relating to recognition of the Elnu Abenaki tribe as a Native American Indian tribe.

And has passed the same in concurrence.

The Senate has considered a bill originating in the House of the following title:

H. 236. An act relating to limitation of prosecutions for sexual abuse of a vulnerable adult.

And has passed the same in concurrence with proposal of amendment in the adoption of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Committee Bill Introduced

H. 450

Rep. Lippert of Hinesburg, for the committee on Judiciary, introduced a bill, entitled

An act relating to limited immunity from liability for job performance information disclosed to employers of individuals who work with minors or vulnerable adults

Which was read the first time and, under the rule, placed on the Calendar for notice tomorrow.

Senate Bill Referred

S. 53

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to the number of prekindergarten children included within a school district's average daily membership

Was read and referred to the committee on Education.

Bill Amended; Third Reading Ordered

H. 259

Rep. Andrews of Rutland City, for the committee on General, Housing and Military Affairs, to which had been referred House bill, entitled

An act relating to increasing the number of members on the liquor control board

Reported in favor of its passage when amended by striking all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. 7 V.S.A. § 101 is amended to read:

§ 101. COMPOSITION OF DEPARTMENT; COMMISSIONER OF LIQUOR CONTROL; LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD

(a) The department of liquor control, created by section 212 of Title 3, shall include the commissioner of liquor control and the liquor control board.

(b) The liquor control board shall consist of ~~three~~ five persons, not more than ~~two~~ three members of which shall belong to the same political party. Biennially, with the advice and consent of the senate, the governor shall appoint a person as a member of such board for ~~the term of six years a staggered five-year term~~, whose term of office shall commence on February 1 of the year in which such appointment is made. The governor shall biennially designate a member of such board to be its chairman.

Sec. 2. TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS

Of the two new member positions on the liquor control board, the governor shall appoint one member for a three-year term and one member for a five-year term.

Sec. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect upon passage.

Rep. Acinapura of Brandon, for the committee on Appropriations, recommended that the bill ought to pass when amended as recommended by the committee on Government Operations.

The bill, having appeared on the Calendar one day for notice, was taken up and read the second time.

Pending the question, Shall the report of the committees on General, Housing and Military Affairs and Appropriations be agreed to? **Reps. Marcotte of Coventry, Scheuermann of Stowe, Condon of Colchester, Greshin of Warren, Howard of Cambridge, Ralston of Middlebury, Wilson of Manchester and Young of Albany** moved to amend the report of the committee on General, Housing and Military Affairs as follows:

In Sec. 1, 7 V.S.A. § 101, in subsection (b), by adding a sentence at the end to read:

In making an appointment to the board, the governor shall consider appointing a person licensed under this title.

Which was agreed to and the report of the committees on General, Housing and Military Affairs, as amended, and Appropriations agreed to and third reading ordered.

Third Reading; Bill Passed in Concurrence

S. 12

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to adding a member from the area agencies on aging to the governor's commission on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders

Was taken up, read the third time and passed in concurrence with proposals of amendment.

Favorable Report; Third Reading Ordered

H. 442

Rep. Townsend of Randolph, for the committee on Government Operations, to which had been referred House bill, entitled

An act relating to amending the charter of the city of Rutland

Reported in favor of its passage. The bill, having appeared on the Calendar one day for notice, was taken up, read the second time and third reading ordered.

Joint Resolutions Adopted

Joint resolutions of the following titles were severally taken up and adopted on the part of the House;

J.R.H. 16

Joint resolution authorizing Green Mountain Boys' State educational program to use the state house;

J.R.H. 18

Joint resolution urging the Federal Railroad Administration to award a passenger rail improvement grant to the state of Vermont for upgrading the western rail corridor.

House Resolution Adopted

H.R. 9

House resolution, entitled

House resolution designating April 25, 2011 as Genetic Equity Awareness Day

Was taken up and adopted on the part of the House.

Recess

At two o'clock and five minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker declared a recess until the fall of the gavel.

At two o'clock and forty minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker called the House to order.

Bill Amended, Read Third Time and Passed**H. 73**

House bill, entitled

An act relating to establishing a government transparency office to enforce the public records act

Was taken up and pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Hubert of Milton** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 16 by striking out "1 V.S.A. § 321" where it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Sec. 14 of this act"

Which was agreed to.

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Browning of Arlington** moved to amend the bill as follows:

First: In Sec. 2, 1 V.S.A. § 316, by striking subsection (c) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(c)(1) In the following instances, an agency may also charge and collect the cost of staff time associated with complying with a request ~~for a~~ to inspect or to copy a public record:

~~(1)~~(A) For an agency, board, department, commission, committee, branch, instrumentality, or authority of the state:

(i) the time directly involved in complying with the request exceeds ~~30 minutes~~ two hours;

~~(2)~~(ii) the agency agrees to create a public record; or

~~(3)~~(iii) the agency agrees to provide the public record in a nonstandard format and the time directly involved in complying with the request exceeds ~~30 minutes~~ two hours.

(B) For an agency, board, committee, department, instrumentality, commission, or authority of a political subdivision of the state:

(i) the time directly involved in complying with the request exceeds 30 minutes;

(ii) the agency agrees to create a public record; or

(iii) the agency agrees to provide the public record in a nonstandard format, and the time directly involved in complying with the request exceeds 30 minutes.

(2) The agency may require that requests subject to staff time charges under this subsection be made in writing and that all charges be paid, in whole or in part, prior to delivery of the copies. Upon request, the agency shall provide an estimate of the charge.

Second: In Sec. 5, 1 V.S.A. § 319, by striking out subsection (d) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(d) The court may assess against the public agency reasonable attorney fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in any case under this section in which the complainant has substantially prevailed. When considering whether to award attorney's fees, the court shall consider, among other concerns, the following factors:

(1) the public benefit derived by the lawsuit;

(2) the commercial benefit the requesting party will receive from release of the requested documents;

(3) the nature of the requesting party's interest in the documents; and

(4) whether the public agency had a reasonable basis for withholding the documents.

Third: By striking out Sec. 10 (municipal public records officer) in its entirety.

Thereupon, **Rep. Browing of Arlington** asked and was granted leave of the House to withdraw her amendment.

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 2, 1 V.S.A. § 316, by adding subsections (h) and (i) to read as follows:

(h) Standard formats for copies of public records shall be as follows: for copies in paper form, a photocopy of a paper public record or a hard copy print-out of a public record maintained in electronic form; for copies in electronic form, the format in which the record is maintained. If a public agency provides a record in an electronic format and if the software with which the record was produced is necessary in order to make full use of the electronic format, the public agency shall provide the requesting party with the software necessary to utilize the format. Any format other than the formats described in this subsection is a nonstandard format.

(i) If an agency maintains public records in an electronic format, nonexempt public records shall be available for copying in either the standard

electronic format or the standard paper format, as designated by the party requesting the records. If a public agency provides a record in an electronic format and if the software with which the record was produced is necessary in order to make full use of the electronic format, the public agency shall provide the requesting party with the software necessary to utilize the format. An agency may, but is not required to, provide copies of public records in a nonstandard format, to create a public record or to convert paper public records to electronic format.

Which was disagreed to.

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** moved to amend the bill as follows:

First: In Sec. 3, 1 V.S.A. § 317, by striking out subsection (a) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(a) As used in this subchapter,;

(1) “Business day” means a day on which a particular public agency is open for business.

(2) “Custodian” means:

(A) The head of an agency, board, department, commission, committee, branch, instrumentality, or authority of the state; and

(B) For an agency, board, committee, department, instrumentality, commission, or authority of a political subdivision of the state:

(i) the person appointed by law as the custodian; or

(ii) if no custodian is appointed by law:

(I) the clerk or secretary of the agency, board, committee, department, instrumentality, commission, or authority; or

(II) for independently elected officials, the elected official.

(3) “~~public~~ Public agency” or “agency” means any agency, board, department, commission, committee, branch, instrumentality, or authority of the state or any agency, board, committee, department, branch, instrumentality, commission, or authority of any political subdivision of the state.

(4) “Public record” or “public document” means any written or recorded information, regardless of physical form or characteristics, which is produced or acquired in the course of public agency business. Individual salaries and benefits of and salary schedules relating to elected or appointed officials and

employees of public agencies shall not be exempt from public inspection and copying.

Second: In Sec. 12, 17 V.S.A. § 2154(b), by striking out “§ 317(a)(2)” where it appears and inserting in lieu thereof “§ 317(a)(4)”

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City? **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 33. Nays, 101.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Batchelor of Derby	Hebert of Vernon	McFaun of Barre Town
Bouchard of Colchester	Helm of Fair Haven	McNeil of Rutland Town
Browning of Arlington	Hooper of Montpelier	Morrissey of Bennington
Burditt of West Rutland	Howard of Cambridge	Myers of Essex
Canfield of Fair Haven	Johnson of Canaan	Peaslee of Guildhall
Degree of St. Albans City	Kilmartin of Newport City	Perley of Enosburgh
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Koch of Barre Town	Reis of St. Johnsbury
Donaghy of Poultney	Komline of Dorset	Savage of Swanton
Eckhardt of Chittenden	Krebs of South Hero	Shaw of Pittsford
Fagan of Rutland City	Larocque of Barnet	Turner of Milton
Greshin of Warren	Lewis of Derby	
	Marcotte of Coventry	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Davis of Washington	Hubert of Milton
Ancel of Calais	Deen of Westminster	Jerman of Essex
Andrews of Rutland City	Devereux of Mount Holly	Jewett of Ripton
Atkins of Winooski	Donahue of Northfield	Johnson of South Hero
Bartholomew of Hartland	Donovan of Burlington	Keenan of St. Albans City
Bissonnette of Winooski	Edwards of Brattleboro	Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Bohi of Hartford	Ellis of Waterbury	Klein of East Montpelier
Botzow of Pownal	Emmons of Springfield	Kupersmith of South Burlington
Branagan of Georgia	Evans of Essex	Lanpher of Vergennes
Burke of Brattleboro	Fisher of Lincoln	Lawrence of Lyndon
Buxton of Royalton	Font-Russell of Rutland City	Lenes of Shelburne
Campion of Bennington	Frank of Underhill	Leriche of Hardwick
Cheney of Norwich	French of Shrewsbury	Lewis of Berlin
Christie of Hartford	French of Randolph	Lippert of Hinesburg
Clarkson of Woodstock	Gilbert of Fairfax	Lorber of Burlington
Conquest of Newbury	Grad of Moretown	Macaig of Williston
Consejo of Sheldon	Haas of Rochester	Malcolm of Pawlet
Corcoran of Bennington	Head of South Burlington	Manwaring of Wilmington
Crawford of Burke	Heath of Westford	Marek of Newfane
Dakin of Chester	Higley of Lowell	

Martin of Springfield	Pearson of Burlington	Sweaney of Windsor
Martin of Wolcott	Peltz of Woodbury	Taylor of Barre City
Masland of Thetford	Potter of Clarendon	Till of Jericho
McCullough of Williston	Pugh of South Burlington	Toll of Danville
Miller of Shaftsbury	Ralston of Middlebury	Townsend of Randolph
Mitchell of Barnard	Ram of Burlington	Trieber of Rockingham
Mook of Bennington	Scheuermann of Stowe	Waite-Simpson of Essex
Moran of Wardsboro	Shand of Weathersfield	Webb of Shelburne
Mrowicki of Putney	Sharpe of Bristol	Weston of Burlington
Munger of South Burlington	South of St. Johnsbury	Wilson of Manchester
Nuovo of Middlebury	Spengler of Colchester	Wizowaty of Burlington
Olsen of Jamaica	Stevens of Waterbury	Woodward of Johnson
Partridge of Windham	Stevens of Shoreham	Yantachka of Charlotte
Pearce of Richford	Stuart of Brattleboro	Young of Albany

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Aswad of Burlington	Courcelle of Rutland City	Smith of New Haven
Brennan of Colchester	Howrigan of Fairfield	Strong of Albany
Clark of Vergennes	Larson of Burlington	Winters of Williamstown
Condon of Colchester	McAllister of Highgate	Wright of Burlington
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	O'Brien of Richmond	
	Poirier of Barre City	

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 3, 1 V.S.A. § 317, by striking out subdivisions (b)(1) and (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(1) A person's "right to privacy" or "personal privacy," as these terms are used in this subchapter, is violated or invaded if disclosure of information about the person reveals or can be used to discover intimate details of a person's life, including any information that might subject the person to embarrassment, harassment, disgrace, or loss of employment or friends.

(2) The provisions of this subchapter addressing the "right to privacy" or "personal privacy" in personal and economic pursuits do not create any right, including any rights under 28 U.S.C. § 1983, beyond:

(A) the rights afforded a public agency official or employee set out in section 319 of this title; or

(B) the rights specified under subsection (c) of this section as express exemptions to the public's right to inspect or copy public records.

Which was disagreed to.

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 5, 1 V.S.A. § 319, by striking out subsection (a) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(a)(1) Any person aggrieved by the disclosure of a public record or the denial of a request for public records by an agency, board, commission, committee, branch, instrumentality, or authority of the state under this subchapter may apply to the civil division of the superior court in the county in which the complainant resides, or has his or her personal place of business, or in which the public records are situated, or in the civil division of the superior court of Washington County, to ~~enjoin~~ provide appropriate injunctive relief, including enjoining the public agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant. In such a case, the court shall determine the matter de novo, and may examine the contents of such agency records in camera to determine whether such records or any part thereof shall be withheld under any of the exemptions set forth in section 317 of this title, and the burden is of proof shall be on the public agency to sustain its action.

(2) Any person aggrieved by the disclosure of a public record or by the denial of a request for public records by an agency, board, commission, committee, instrumentality, or authority of a political subdivision of the state under this subchapter may apply to the civil division of the superior court in the county in which the agency, board, commission, committee, instrumentality, or authority is located, to provide appropriate injunctive relief, including enjoining the public agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant. In such a case, the court shall determine the matter de novo, and may examine the contents of such agency records in camera to determine whether such records or any part thereof shall be withheld under any of the exemptions set forth in section 317 of this title, and the burden of proof shall be on the public agency to sustain its action.

(3) As used in this section, "a person aggrieved" shall include any public official or employee who has a right to apply for relief under this section and any person whose right to privacy, as that term is defined in subdivision 317(b)(1) of this title, would be violated by the disclosure of a requested public record.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City, in the fourth instance of amendment only? **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was

sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City, in the fourth instance of amendment only? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 29. Nays, 104.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Batchelor of Derby	Donahue of Northfield	Marcotte of Coventry
Bouchard of Colchester	Fagan of Rutland City	McFaun of Barre Town
Branagan of Georgia	Hebert of Vernon	McNeil of Rutland Town
Browning of Arlington	Helm of Fair Haven	Morrissey of Bennington
Burditt of West Rutland	Johnson of Canaan	Myers of Essex
Canfield of Fair Haven	Kilmartin of Newport City	Peaslee of Guildhall
Degree of St. Albans City	Koch of Barre Town	Perley of Enosburgh
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Larocque of Barnet	Savage of Swanton
Donaghy of Poultney	Lawrence of Lyndon	Shaw of Pittsford
	Lewis of Derby	Turner of Milton

Those who voted in the negative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Fisher of Lincoln	Macaig of Williston
Ancel of Calais	Font-Russell of Rutland City	Malcolm of Pawlet
Andrews of Rutland City	Frank of Underhill	Manwaring of Wilmington
Atkins of Winooski	French of Shrewsbury	Marek of Newfane
Bartholomew of Hartland	French of Randolph	Martin of Springfield
Bissonnette of Winooski	Gilbert of Fairfax	Martin of Wolcott
Bohi of Hartford	Grad of Moretown	Masland of Thetford
Botzow of Pownal	Greshin of Warren	Miller of Shaftsbury
Brennan of Colchester	Haas of Rochester	Mitchell of Barnard
Burke of Brattleboro	Head of South Burlington	Mook of Bennington
Buxton of Royalton	Heath of Westford	Moran of Wardsboro
Campion of Bennington	Higley of Lowell	Mrowicki of Putney
Cheney of Norwich	Hooper of Montpelier	Munger of South Burlington
Christie of Hartford	Howard of Cambridge	Nuovo of Middlebury
Clarkson of Woodstock	Hubert of Milton	Olsen of Jamaica
Conquest of Newbury	Jerman of Essex	Partridge of Windham
Consejo of Sheldon	Jewett of Ripton	Pearce of Richford
Corcoran of Bennington	Johnson of South Hero	Pearson of Burlington
Courcelle of Rutland City	Keenan of St. Albans City	Peltz of Woodbury
Crawford of Burke	Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Potter of Clarendon
Dakin of Chester	Klein of East Montpelier	Pugh of South Burlington
Davis of Washington	Komline of Dorset	Ralston of Middlebury
Deen of Westminster	Krebs of South Hero	Ram of Burlington
Devereux of Mount Holly	Kupersmith of South Burlington	Reis of St. Johnsbury
Donovan of Burlington	Lanpher of Vergennes	Scheuermann of Stowe
Eckhardt of Chittenden	Lenes of Shelburne	Shand of Weathersfield
Edwards of Brattleboro	Lewis of Berlin	Sharpe of Bristol
Ellis of Waterbury	Lippert of Hinesburg	Spengler of Colchester
Emmons of Springfield	Lorber of Burlington	Stevens of Waterbury
Evans of Essex		Stevens of Shoreham

Stuart of Brattleboro	Townsend of Randolph	Wilson of Manchester
Sweaney of Windsor	Trieber of Rockingham	Wizowaty of Burlington
Taylor of Barre City	Waite-Simpson of Essex	Woodward of Johnson
Till of Jericho	Webb of Shelburne	Yantachka of Charlotte
Toll of Danville	Weston of Burlington	Young of Albany

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Aswad of Burlington	Larson of Burlington	Smith of Morristown
Clark of Vergennes	McAllister of Highgate	South of St. Johnsbury
Condon of Colchester	McCullough of Williston	Strong of Albany
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	O'Brien of Richmond	Winters of Williamstown
Howrigan of Fairfield	Poirier of Barre City	Wright of Burlington
	Smith of New Haven	

Rep. Consejo of Sheldon explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

This amendment would have forced some people to travel considerable distances, at considerable expenses. Not all of us have the resources necessary to support our right to know. I am glad I voted no.”

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 5, 1 V.S.A. § 319, by striking out subsection (d) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(d)(1) The court ~~may~~ shall assess against the public agency reasonable attorney fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in any case under this section ~~in which the complainant has substantially prevailed~~ if the complainant has substantially prevailed and the public agency unreasonably withheld the requested record.

(2) The court shall assess against a complainant reasonable attorney fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in any case under this section if the public agency has substantially prevailed and the court determines that the complainant’s action was:

(A) frivolous, without reasonable justification, or sought for purposes of harassment; or

(B) initiated with intent to violate a person’s right to privacy, as that term is defined in subsection 317(b) of this title.

(e) If an action arises under this section against a municipal corporation, an award of attorney fees and litigation costs under subsection (d) of this section shall be limited as follows:

(1) if the population of the municipal corporation is 3,000 persons or less, the award of attorney fees and litigation in total shall not exceed \$3,500.00.

(2) if the population of the municipal corporation is 6,000 persons or less, the award of attorney fees and litigation in total shall not exceed \$5,500.00.

(3) if the population of the municipal corporation is 15,000 persons or less, the award of attorney fees and litigation in total shall not exceed \$10,000.00.

(4) if the population of the municipal corporation is more than 15,000 persons, the award of attorney fees and litigation in total shall not exceed \$15,000.00.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City, in the fifth instance only? **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City, in the fifth instance only? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 40. Nays, 89.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Batchelor of Derby	Hebert of Vernon	McNeil of Rutland Town
Bohi of Hartford	Helm of Fair Haven	Morrissey of Bennington
Bouchard of Colchester	Howard of Cambridge	Myers of Essex
Branagan of Georgia	Johnson of Canaan	Pearce of Richford
Browning of Arlington	Keenan of St. Albans City	Peaslee of Guildhall
Burditt of West Rutland	Kilmartin of Newport City	Perley of Enosburgh
Canfield of Fair Haven	Koch of Barre Town	Reis of St. Johnsbury
Degree of St. Albans City	Komline of Dorset	Savage of Swanton
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Larocque of Barnet	Scheuermann of Stowe
Donaghy of Poultney	Lawrence of Lyndon	Shaw of Pittsford
Eckhardt of Chittenden	Lewis of Berlin	Stevens of Shoreham
Ellis of Waterbury	Lewis of Derby	Turner of Milton
Fagan of Rutland City	Marcotte of Coventry	Wilson of Manchester
	McFaun of Barre Town	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Andrews of Rutland City	Bartholomew of Hartland
Ancel of Calais	Atkins of Winooski	Bissonnette of Winooski

Botzow of Pownal	Haas of Rochester	Mrowicki of Putney
Brennan of Colchester	Head of South Burlington	Munger of South Burlington
Burke of Brattleboro	Heath of Westford	Nuovo of Middlebury
Buxton of Royalton	Higley of Lowell	Olsen of Jamaica
Campion of Bennington	Hooper of Montpelier	Partridge of Windham
Cheney of Norwich	Hubert of Milton	Pearson of Burlington
Christie of Hartford	Jerman of Essex	Peltz of Woodbury
Clarkson of Woodstock	Jewett of Ripton	Potter of Clarendon
Conquest of Newbury	Johnson of South Hero	Pugh of South Burlington
Consejo of Sheldon	Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Ralston of Middlebury
Corcoran of Bennington	Klein of East Montpelier	Ram of Burlington
Courcelle of Rutland City	Krebs of South Hero	Shand of Weathersfield
Dakin of Chester	Kupersmith of South Burlington	Sharpe of Bristol
Davis of Washington	Lenes of Shelburne	South of St. Johnsbury
Deen of Westminster	Leriche of Hardwick	Spengler of Colchester
Devereux of Mount Holly	Lippert of Hinesburg	Stevens of Waterbury
Donahue of Northfield	Macaig of Williston	Stuart of Brattleboro
Donovan of Burlington	Malcolm of Pawlet	Sweaney of Windsor
Edwards of Brattleboro	Manwaring of Wilmington	Toll of Danville
Emmons of Springfield	Marek of Newfane	Townsend of Randolph
Evans of Essex	Martin of Springfield	Trieber of Rockingham
Fisher of Lincoln	Martin of Wolcott	Waite-Simpson of Essex
Font-Russell of Rutland City	Masland of Thetford	Webb of Shelburne
Frank of Underhill	Miller of Shaftsbury	Weston of Burlington
French of Shrewsbury	Mitchell of Barnard	Wizowaty of Burlington
French of Randolph	Mook of Bennington	Woodward of Johnson
Gilbert of Fairfax	Moran of Wardsboro	Yantachka of Charlotte
Grad of Moretown		Young of Albany

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Aswad of Burlington	Howrigan of Fairfield	Poirier of Barre City
Clark of Vergennes	Lanpher of Vergennes	Smith of New Haven
Condon of Colchester	Larson of Burlington	Strong of Albany
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	Lorber of Burlington	Taylor of Barre City
Crawford of Burke	McAllister of Highgate	Till of Jericho
Greshin of Warren	McCullough of Williston	Winters of Williamstown
	O'Brien of Richmond	Wright of Burlington

Thereupon, the bill was read the third time and passed.

Member Appointed to Committee

The Speaker appointed **Rep. Burke of Brattleboro** to the Public Transit Advisory Council.

Adjournment

At five o'clock in the afternoon, on motion of **Rep. Turner of Milton**, the House adjourned until tomorrow at nine o'clock and thirty minutes in the forenoon.