

This is the post-peer reviewed version of the following article:

Library

Andersson, K., Tighe, M., Guppy, C., Milham, P., McLaren, T., Schefe, C., & Lombi, E. (2016). XANES Demonstrates the Release of Calcium Phosphates from Alkaline *Environmental Science & Technology,* 50(8), 4229-4237. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04814

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in Environmental Science & Technology, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher.

To access the final edited and published work see http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04814

Downloaded from e-publications@UNE the institutional research repository of the University of New England at Armidale, NSW Australia.

16 Calcium phosphate (CaP) minerals may comprise the main phosphorus (P) reserve in alkaline 17 soils, with solubility dependent on pH and the concentration of Ca and/or P in solution. 18 Combining several techniques in a novel way, we studied these phenomena by progressively 19 depleting P from suspensions of two soils (low P) using an anion exchange membrane (AEM), 20 and from a third soil (high P) with AEM together with a cation exchange membrane. Depletions 21 commenced on untreated soil, then continued as pH was manipulated and maintained at three 22 constant pH levels: the initial pH (pHi), pH 6.5, and pH 5.5. Bulk P K-edge X-ray absorption 23 near-edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy revealed the main forms of inorganic P in each soil 24 were apatite, a second more soluble CaP mineral and smectite-sorbed P. With moderate 25 depletion of P at pH_i or pH 6.5, CaP minerals became more prominent in the spectra compared to 26 sorbed species. The more soluble CaP minerals were depleted at pH 6.5 and all CaP minerals 27 were exhausted at pH 5.5, showing that the CaP species present in these alkaline soils are soluble 28 with decreases of pH in the range achievable by rhizosphere acidification.

29 INTRODUCTION

30 The concentration of phosphorus (P) in the soil solution of alkaline soils is ultimately 31 controlled by the dynamics of calcium phosphate (CaP) minerals.^{1,2} However, slow equilibration 32 rates and ongoing soil processes (sorption, biotic activity) also influence P availability.^{3,4} The 33 most common CaP minerals found in soil (and molar Ca:P ratios), in order of decreasing 34 solubility under alkaline conditions, are dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (brushite, 1:1), dicalcium 35 phosphate (monetite, 1:1), octacalcium phosphate (OCP, 1.33:1), β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP, 36 1:5), hydroxyapatite (HAp, 1.67:1) and fluorapatite (FP, 1.67:1).⁵ In addition, P sorption occurs 37 on the surfaces of iron (Fe) and aluminium (Al) (oxy/hydr)oxides, calcite, organic matter and

38 some clay minerals.⁶⁻¹⁰ As pH decreases from pH 8, the solubility of OCP, β-TCP and apatites 39 increase more quickly than monetite and brushite.⁵ In alkaline soils, P sorbs rapidly to calcite, 40 and a small surface area of sorbed P can serve as the nucleus for CaP precipitation, although 41 modified by the presence of organic ions like citrate.^{7,11,12} Conversely, precipitation of Fe 42 phosphate minerals on the surface of Fe (oxy/hydr)oxides can occur when Fe minerals dissolve 43 at low pH.¹³ Sorbed P forms an important pool of P that buffers solution P concentration, and a 44 recent review of P sorption studies over the last 70 years found that clay minerals may be as or 45 more important than Fe and Al (oxy/hydr)oxides for binding $P¹⁰$. It is possible that both sorption 46 and precipitation/dissolution are important processes operating at the mineral-water interface.¹⁴ 47 Labile P that replenishes P in solution of alkaline soils is commonly measured using a sodium

48 bicarbonate extractant.^{15,16} The bicarbonate soil P test is generally considered to extract P sorbed 49 to the surfaces of Fe and Al (oxy/hydr)oxides and calcite, and some labile CaP minerals.¹⁷ 50 However, it is well established that plants access acid-soluble pools of P from alkaline and 51 neutral soils that are not extracted with bicarbonate.¹⁸⁻²⁷ Recognising this, commercial soil 52 testing of alkaline Vertisols²⁸ in some regions,²⁹⁻³¹ includes two targeted tests for soil P. For 53 example, in the Northern Grains Region of eastern Australia, soils are routinely extracted with 54 0.5 M bicarbonate, ¹⁶ (Colwell-P), and 0.005 M H_2SO_4 ³² (BSES-P). The additional quantity of P 55 generally measured in the acid extract is termed reserve-P, and is considered to consist of CaP 56 minerals as well as some sorbed forms.³³⁻³⁶ The mechanism by which plants access this reserve-57 P is likely driven by two factors: 1) the replenishment of labile-P via slow dissolution of CaP 58 minerals³⁷ in response to the depletion of P or Ca in the soil solution,⁵ or; 2) direct solubilisation 59 of CaP minerals by a combination of rhizosphere acidification , and absorption of P and Ca from 60 the soil solution.³⁸⁻⁴¹

61 The presence of CaP minerals in soils is commonly inferred via sequential fractionation 62 schemes (e.g. Hedley et al.⁴² or Williams et al.⁴³). However, the forms of P indicated by these 63 schemes cannot differentiate between P species soluble in each chemical extract, and the 64 schemes may introduce artefacts of chemical formation during the tests. $44-46$. Mineral solubility 65 models can indicate the presence of a controlling mineral phase,^{1,2,47} but are limited in their 66 assessment of some mineral or sorbed phases. $3,4,46,48$

67 Synchrotron based X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy is a powerful 68 technique for speciating inorganic P in soils. While the approach has limitations (such as the 69 weakness of the identification of organic P species, statistical limitations of data analysis such as 70 linear combination fitting (LCF), and detection limit difficulties), $46,49-51$ XANES has enabled 71 different mineral and sorbed species of soil P to be identified directly, as well as providing some 72 abundance estimates $1^{46,50}$

73 We previously reported on the depletion of P from six alkaline Vertisols as they were 74 incrementally acidified, during which the concentration of P extracted increased sharply near pH 75 6.52 Subsequently, P extractions of three of the soils at static pH levels suggested dissolution of 76 distinct CaP species.⁵³ Using XANES on one of those soils, McLaren et al.³⁶ showed that CaP 77 species were removed with the BSES extraction, however a high detection limit for P at the 78 beamline used in that study prevented a more detailed analysis. Most XANES investigations 79 have been conducted on soils with relatively high P concentrations (e.g. > 800 mg P kg⁻¹) or with 80 the addition of P fertiliser or P-rich amendments as the similar spectral features of CaP species 81 and the poor spectral quality at P concentrations close to the detection limit of the beamline 82 limits the accuracy of LCF.^{44,51} Recently, Klysubun et al.⁵⁴ reported P K–edge XANES spectra 83 collected at low total P concentrations (\sim 50 mg kg⁻¹) at beamline 8 (BL8) of the Synchrotron

84 Light Research Institute (SLRI, Thailand). This enabled identification of P species⁵⁵ at 85 concentrations that may be well suited to identifying CaP in P depletion investigations. More 86 recent synchrotron facilities, such as SLRI, have the capability to analyse soil P in unspiked 87 situations, while still being able to observe treatment effects that may be difficult to detect in 88 high resolution μ -XANES facilities.⁵⁶

89 The current study uses bulk P K-edge XANES spectroscopy at BL8 of the SLRI to investigate 90 the speciation of P in three alkaline Vertisols as P was partially depleted using anion exchange 91 membranes (AEM), or combined AEM and cation exchange membranes (ACEM), while 92 maintaining pH within narrow ranges.⁵³ We hypothesise that P K-edge XANES spectroscopy 93 will show that: 1) several forms of both CaP and sorbed-P species are present in each soil and 94 that some sorbed P is preferentially depleted at the initial soil pH (pH_i); and 2) some sorbed P is 95 preferentially depleted ahead of CaP.

96 METHODS

97 Soil description, chemical analysis and P depletion.

The soil samples have been described in detail elsewhere (see supporting information, SI).⁵² 99 Briefly, soil samples (0-10 cm) were collected from sites used for cereal and oilseed cropping 100 with fertilizer inputs of <10 kg P ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ for at least 30 y. Soil characterisation analyses (Table 101 . 1) were performed as detailed in Rayment and Lyons⁵⁷. Reserve-P was calculated as the 102 difference between Colwell-P and BSES-P.³³ Organic P was measured as the difference in the 103 concentration of P extracted from a 1:50 (g:mL) sample with 0.5 M $H₂SO₄$ for 16 h before and 104 after ignition at 550 °C for 1 h.⁵⁸ The oxalate tests were performed in duplicate, and all other 105 tests were performed in triplicate. Concentrations of molybdate-reactive P were measured using

- 106 the malachite green method of Motomizu et al.⁵⁹ Inorganic carbon measured previously on these 107 soils was $\leq 0.04\%$ of soils 1 and 2, and not detected in soil 3.⁵²
- 108 Total P was measured by portable X-ray fluorescence (PXRF) spectroscopy using a Bruker
- 109 Tracer IV SD according to McLaren et al.⁶⁰. However, the PXRF signal for P in soil 3 was
- 110 outside the calibration range. Therefore, total P in soil 3 was measured by *aqua regia* digestion
- followed by inductively coupled plasma optical emissions spectroscopy (See SI).^{36,61}
- 112 **Table 1.** Initial soil pH, Colwell-P, reserve-P, Total P, molar Ca: P ratio of the BSES extract, and
- 113 oxalate extractable Al and Fe.

115 Particle size distributions were measured using the pipette method after dispersing the soil in 116 sodium hexametaphosphate.⁶² The clay activity ratio was calculated as the ECEC divided by $\%$ 117 clay to provide an indication of clay mineralogy.^{63,64} Sample mineralogy was quantitatively 118 measured by X-ray diffraction $(XRD)^{65}$ (see SI).

119 Phosphorus was depleted from each soil in 18 h steps, for 288 h (16 cycles, soils 1 and 2) or 120 306 h (17 cycles, soil 3).⁵³ Briefly, P was extracted with AEM in the Cl⁻ form,⁶⁶ and from soil 3 121 with AEM plus CEM $(K^+$ form), in 1:80 soil:water suspensions (see SI). The proportion of 122 reserve-P that was extracted with AEM in soil 3 was low, whereas a larger proportion of reserve123 P was extracted with ACEM. Therefore, the results of the latter are focused on in the current 124 study.

125 Phosphorus reference materials.

126 Sixteen reference materials were selected from different mineral and sorbed P phases to 127 represent a range of mineral, sorbed and organic P species (see SI).

128 Phosphorus K-edge XANES spectroscopy.

129 All samples and standard compounds were analysed by bulk XANES spectroscopy at the P K - 130 edge on BL8 of the SLRI (1.2 GeV) in Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand, in a He filled chamber. In 131 the photon energy region for P K-edge analysis, the photon flux was approximately $2x10^{10}$ 132 photon s⁻¹ at 100 mA using an InSb(111) crystal.⁵⁴ The beam size at the target was 14 mm x 133 1 mm. A 13-element Ge detector was used to collect spectra in fluorescence yield mode. 134 Radiation damage was not evident as the spectra had good reproducibility.⁴⁴ At least two spectra 135 were acquired for each reference material, and three for each unknown sample.

136 Data processing and statistical analyses.

137 The concentration of P remaining in each P-depleted soil sample was calculated as the 138 difference between the total P in the untreated soil and that extracted with AEM or ACEM. The 139 concentration extracted was the cumulative total P eluted from the membranes and the P 140 remaining in solution after each extraction.

The XANES data were processed using Athena.⁶⁷ Replicate spectra were aligned and merged, 142 the pre-edge and post-edge were normalised from zero to one, and then calibrated to the P K-143 edge $(E_0, 2145.5 \text{ eV})$. A short list of reference compounds of interest for each sample was 144 selected based on visual assessment of prominent spectral features. The P species likely present 145 in the unknown samples were determined through a sequence of LCF procedures (see SI). Linear 146 combination fitting was performed repeatedly for each unknown sample, each time excluding 147 reference materials that received negative weightings, until only components with positive 148 weights remained. The final LCF was performed between 2147.5 and 2175.5 eV (see SI). The 149 sum of weights was then normalised to 1, and the percentage of the weight assigned for each P 150 species was multiplied by the total soil P in the sediment in order to calculate an indicative 151 concentration (mg kg^{-1}). The normalised data of each spectra and fit was exported from Athena, 152 and the figures were constructed using R (version 3.1.0).⁶⁸

153 RESULTS

154 Soil solution chemistry and physical characteristics.

155 All soils were alkaline. Concentrations of Colwell-P were greatest in soils 1 and 3. The 156 concentration of reserve-P, organic P and total P were an order of magnitude greater in soil 3 157 than in soils 1 and 2, and soil 3 had a lower Ca:P ratio (Table 1). Soil 1 contained the lowest 158 concentrations of oxalate extractable Al and Fe (Table 1) and the clay activity ratio (see SI). The 159 XRD analyses indicated and quartz were common components of the soils, with hematite and 160 apatite and feldspars (albite/anorthite, orthoclase/sanidine) also notable (see SI).

161 Soil pH manipulation and P extraction.

162 In all soils, the amount of P extracted with AEM or ACEM increased with each decrease in 163 soil pH (Table 2). The cumulative concentration of P depleted at pH 5.5 exceeded the 164 concentration of BSES-P (Table 2). The proportion of total P in the pH 5.5 sediments compared 165 to that in the untreated soils ranged from 25 – 49 % across all soils (Table 2).

166 **Table 2.** The concentration of total P in each soil after depletion at each pH level.

Soil P remaining $(mg kg^{-1})$

168 Bulk P K-edge XANES spectroscopy.

169 Phosphorus K-edge XANES spectroscopy on the P reference materials revealed a prominent 170 white line energy peak (ii in Figure 1), representing excitation of 1s electrons and subsequent 171 fluorescence as the K orbital is filled, and constructive and destructive interference at higher 172 energy levels from neighbouring atoms.⁴⁶ Notwithstanding variation observed in the literature 173 between spectra collected for the same nominal material,⁵¹ the CaP reference materials (Figure 1, 174 a and b) display the features commonly associated with CaP minerals, i.e. a post white line 175 shoulder (iii), and a secondary peak (iv).⁵¹ The shoulder feature is commonly associated with Ca 176 content, and the secondary peak with the degree of crystallinity.⁶⁹ The secondary peak (iii) in 177 brushite was at a slightly lower energy than the other CaP minerals, as commonly observed.^{51,70} 178 The increased intensity in the wide energy band (v) is attributed to oxygen oscillation.⁵¹ The 179 spectrum of calcite-P had similar features to that observed for CaP minerals. The other sorbed P 180 species, ferrihydrite-P, hematite-P, humic-P, and smectite-P, had similar, generally featureless 181 spectra. Similarly, phytate lacked distinct spectral features although there was some change in 182 the white line energy peak (ii) and oxygen oscillation peak (v), which were broader compared to 183 the sorbed P species. The intensity pre-edge (-1 to -5 eV relative to E_0) of ferrihydrite-P₃ was 184 slightly elevated, though not to the degree of FePO₄.4H₂O, nor was this higher than the samples. 185 Amongst the sorbed-P species in Figure 1a, the intensity of ferrihydrite-P was slightly greater in 186 the valley between (iii) and (iv), while humic-P was slightly lower in the oscillation range (v). 187 The remaining spectra were not included in the LCF (Figure 1c) after a preliminary fit displayed 188 distinctive features that differed from the unknown samples (Figure 2). These included 189 fluctuations in intensity between the shoulder (iii) and secondary (iv) peaks, and a distinctive 190 pre-edge feature (i) characteristic for FePO₄.4H₂O.⁵⁰

191 *Figure 1 near here*

192 **Figure 1.** Normalised spectra of P reference materials analysed. a) spectra fitted to samples in 193 LCF, b) spectra used in LCF though not fitted to any samples, and c) spectra exhibiting features 194 distinctly different to the unknown samples so not included in LCF. Dashed lines indicate 195 spectral features at: i, 2143 eV (pre-edge); ii, 2146.5 eV (white line); iii, 2148.7 eV (shoulder); 196 iv, 2157 eV (secondary peak); and v, 2163 eV (oscillation).

197 The LCF provided good fits across the broader energy range even though they were performed 198 on the post-white line energy range (Figure 2). In soils 1 and 2, the secondary peak (iii) became 199 most distinct at pH 6.5. The signal to noise ratio in soils 1 and 2 decreased with P depletion at pH 200 6.5 as the sorbed P became less important (Tables 4 and 5). The shoulder and secondary peak 201 were most prominent in the untreated, the pH_i and the pH 6.5 treatments of soil 3. The shoulder 202 (iii) and secondary peaks (iv) were absent in the pH 5.5 extraction of all 3 soils, whereas the 203 white line energy peak (ii) and broad oscillation peak (v) were still present.

204 *Figure 2 near here*

205 **Figure 2.** Normalised P K-edge XANES spectra of untreated soil samples and soil residues after 206 acidification to three levels of soil pH (pH_i, pH 6.5 and pH 5.5) and removal of P using AEM 207 (soils 1 and 2) or ACEM (soil 3). Linear combination fits using standards are detailed in Tables

208 4, 5 and 6 for the three soils. The fit to AEM pH 5.5 for soil 2 is the spectrum of smectite-P, the 209 only non-negative reference material in the LCF. Dashed lines indicate spectral features at ii, 210 2146.5 eV (white line); iii, 2148.7 eV (shoulder); iv, 2157 eV (secondary peak); and v, 2163 eV 211 (oscillation).

212 The LCF identified smectite-P as the dominant component in the untreated sample of soil 1, 213 along with apatite and the more soluble brushite (Table 3). Both CaP minerals and sorbed-P 214 contributed to the concentration of P extracted at pH_i (Table 3). Linear combination fitting 215 revealed that brushite was absent after extraction at pH 6.5, and that apatite had become the 216 dominant remaining component with an increasing indicative concentration in soil 1. In the most 217 depleted sample at pH 5.5 of soil 1, no CaP minerals were identified, while the indicative 218 concentration indicated an increase in sorbed P and the presence of humic associated P (Table 3). 219 **Table 3.** Linear combination fitting components for each treatment: LCF weight, LCF R-factor, 220 delta-R, indicative concentration of P in each phase $(mg kg^{-1})$, and ranked importance of the 221 reference compounds to the LCF for soil 1.

^ARank determined by delta R when at least 3 reference compounds were included in the LCF, 224 otherwise determined by weight assigned by LCF or sole component.

225 The depletion of P from soil 2 resulted in similar changes to the identified components as 226 occurred in soil 1. Smectite-P was the dominant component in the untreated sample, along with 227 apatite and brushite (Table 4). All forms of soil P identified by LCF in the untreated sample 228 contributed to the concentration of P extracted at pH_i . Brushite was not identified after extraction 229 at pH 6.5 for soil 2, in which apatite was the dominant component with an increased indicative 230 concentration. Extraction of P with AEM at pH 5.5 left smectite-P as the only component 231 identified by LCF (Table 4).

232 **Table 4.** Linear combination fitting components for each treatment, LCF weight, LCF R-factor,

- 233 delta-R, indicative concentration of P in each phase (mg kg^{-1}), and ranked importance of the
- 234 reference compounds to the LCF for soil 2.

236 The high concentration of P in the untreated sample of soil 3 was dominated by apatite, with 237 smectite-P and OCP also identified by LCF (Table 5). Depletion with ACEM at pH_i extracted P 238 from all phases, leaving the sorbed-P as the least important component, while there was an 239 anomalous increase in the indicative concentration of OCP (Table 5). As with soils 1 and 2, the 240 more soluble CaP phase (OCP) was removed by extraction at pH 6.5. Also, depletion of P at pH 241 5.5 removed all CaP minerals, and in this most P depleted sample there was an apparent increase 242 in the indicative concentration of sorbed P. A minor component of brushite was also identified in 243 the pH_i extraction with AEM in soil 3 (indicative contribution of 78 mg kg⁻¹), verifying the 244 components identified at the Australian synchrotron.³⁶

245 **Table 5.** Linear combination fitting components for each treatment, LCF weight, LCF R-factor, 246 delta-R, indicative concentration of P in each phase (mg kg^{-1}), and ranked importance of the

247 reference compounds to the LCF for soil 3.

249 DISCUSSION

250 Release of P into solution.

251 We identified both sorbed P and CaP phases in each of the untreated soils, highlighting the 252 complexity of assessing P mobility in these soils. The depletion of P at pH_i suggests that the 253 release of P to the soil solution could occur through the process of slow replenishment of labile 254 pools from either sorbed⁷¹ or mineral³⁶ phases.^{72,73} Additional P was extracted at pH 6.5 and pH 255 5.5, which suggests that rhizosphere acidification could be an important process for P uptake in 256 these soils. Rhizosphere acidification of up to 2.7 pH units has been reported for various crops⁷⁴ 257 and is feasible in these soils with moderate pH buffering capacity.⁵² Truog,³⁴ considered that P 258 soluble in dilute H_2SO_4 is readily available because the pH of saturated carbonic acid, as may be

259 found near the root tip, is approximately 3.7. This is well below the approximate threshold of pH 260 6 where large increases in resin-extractable P were measured during a process of incremental 261 acidification.⁵² In addition, the mobilisation of soil P by plants would be enhanced by the co-262 removal of Ca, as shown by the increased concentrations of P removed with $ACEM$ ⁵³. The 263 presence of organic acids (e.g. citrate) in the rhizosphere would also influence dissolution of CaP 264 minerals, as previously shown for brushite.⁴¹ Both acidification due to proton extrusion and the 265 presence of organic acids are likely to affect P mobility of P in the rhizosphere of alkaline soils, 266 and the potential interaction is an area where research may be advanced.

267 Mineral P.

268 The acidification of soils to pH 6.5 and 5.5 resulted in the preferential extraction of CaP and 269 sorbed P phases. Increased dissolution of CaP was expected, as acidification from the initially 270 alkaline levels increases the solubility of CaP minerals.⁵ The preferential depletion of the two 271 identified CaP phases was detected by the combination of XANES and the acidification experiment, and would not have been detected using more standard chemical extractions.^{34,46} The 273 identification of the two CaP phases of different solubility in each soil explains the increased 274 concentration of P that was extracted from soils with the addition of acid.⁵³ The less soluble 275 apatite may represent native CaP minerals that have low solubility at the high pH levels in these 276 soils.⁵ The high rank assigned to apatite in soil 3 was supported by the Ca:P ratio in the BSES 277 extract in soil 3. This ratio is relatively close to that in CaP minerals, and much lower than 278 reported for other alkaline soils.^{5,37} This suggests that CaP minerals may be the dominant forms 279 of P that comprise reserve-P in this soil.^{75,76} The more soluble CaP phase in each soil, and 280 perhaps others not identified by LCF such as calcite-P or amorphous CaP, may represent 281 fertiliser reaction products that form intermediate CaP minerals that slowly transforms to less 282 soluble forms.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹ The presence of more soluble CaP phases showed that at least a portion of the 283 reserve-P could be more available in the rhizosphere in alkaline soils than previously 284 considered.³³

285 The increased concentration of CaP minerals as P was depleted from the untreated sample to 286 pH_i and pH 6.5 in soils 1 and 2 may reflect the limitations of LCF (see SI), or re-precipitation by 287 CaP. As it is unlikely that the reference materials can truly represent all components in a soil, 288 and LCF is not sensitive to minor components, errors in estimating the indicative concentrations 289 are likely.^{49,75} For instance, the absence of amorphous CaP, β-TCP, monetite or calcite-P from 290 the LCF does not preclude their presence, nor can we observe their depletion. Alternatively, an 291 artefact may have been introduced during the extractions, where increased Ca in solution may 292 have precipitated P before the AEM could remove it from suspension. 80

293 Sorbed P.

294 The identification of P sorbed to clay is an important novel finding of this research, and lends 295 support to recent re-analyses of sorption data indicating the importance of clays to P sorption.¹⁰ 296 The change in sorbed species as P was extracted indicated that the depletion of the sorbed-P 297 phases involved more complexity than the single species (smectite-P) identified by LCF in the 298 untreated soils. The soils were dominated by the clay fraction with a high proportion of smectite , so sorption to clay surfaces is likely.^{6,10,81} The preferential extraction of sorbed-P that resulted in 300 it becoming less dominant at pH 6.5 in soils 1 and 2 indicated that at least a portion of the sorbed 301 P was more readily extracted and therefore more available than the mineral CaP phases and 302 remaining sorbed phases.⁸² It is likely that the extraction of this P represents P bound 303 electrostatically or by monodentate bonds.^{10,83} Clays such as these Vertisols with coatings of Fe- 304 hydroxides have high P sorption capacity, 84 and may involve synergistic effects with desorption

305 of Ca from association with (oxy/hydr)oxides in soils as pH decreases can result in decreased P 306 sorption.⁶ Release of Ca associated with (oxy/hydr)oxides may explain some of the Ca that 307 yielded the high Ca:P ratios in the BSES extracts.

308 After the more labile forms of sorbed-P were removed, the concentration remaining in the 309 most P depleted samples at pH 5.5 represent forms more resistant to extraction with the 310 technique used. In so far as the approach mimicked plant uptake of P, the remaining 311 concentrations were also probably resistant to plant uptake.⁶⁶ Where the remaining P was 312 identified as smectite-P or ferrihydrite-P, it may represent occluded P, or bidentate bound $P^{\{85\}}$. 313 Where the remaining P was identified as humic-P, it may represent ternary complexes, or may be 314 an artefact of the extraction process. 86 In soils 1 and 3, the LCF identified additional sorbed-P 315 phases. This appearance of new phases in a more depleted soil may well occur, as a phase needs 316 to comprise approximately 10-15% of the P to be identified by LCF.⁴⁹ The higher concentration 317 of oxalate extractable Fe in soil 3, compared to soils 1 and 2, supports the identification of 318 ferrihydrite– P^5 . This Fe associated P may be strongly sorbed in micropores of crystalline (0×19) (oxy/hydr)oxides, 87 resulting in it being present after depletion of other P phases. Due to the 320 limitations of LCF, and the absence of extra reference spectra of P sorbed to phases (such as 321 different clays, gibbsite or goethite), it is possible that other sorbed-P species were present in the 322 soil, and may play a role in P mobility at low $pH^{10,49}$ Further investigation into the role of sorbed 323 P should be a subsequent focus of work using the combination of approaches put forward in this 324 study in addition to plant-based studies.

325 The identification of humic-P by LCF in soils 1 and 3 at pH 5.5 may also be due to relative 326 resistance to extraction at lower the pH level. Humic associated P (as opposed to the P sorbed to 327 humic substances reference material) has been identified with solution $31P-NMR$ in alkaline

328 Vertisols.⁸⁸ However, as the humic-P reference material XANES spectrum is broad and without 329 other defining features, its identification may represent a range of organic or possibly even 330 inorganic P species. $46,89$ The forms of P identified in the most depleted samples may alternatively 331 be an artefact of the extraction process, whereby some repartitioning of dissolved P may have 332 occurred during the extractions with sorption to pH dependent surfaces or the formation of 333 ternary complexes. $86,90-92$ This warrants further detailed investigation.

334 Implications for P testing and interpretation in alkaline Vertisols.

335 A number of studies^{40,74,93,94} suggest that release of reserve-P by temporary rhizosphere 336 acidification is within the conditions observed in these soils, and may provide the mechanism by 337 which at least some of the P taken up by plants is accessed. Our results support previous studies 338 that the contribution of acid-soluble P to crop nutrition can be important in alkaline soils, $19,23-27$ 339 as the depletion of the approximate equivalent concentration of BSES-P at pH 5.5 with a root 340 analogue occurred within the range achievable by rhizosphere acidification.^{34,74} This supports the 341 use of the BSES test to estimate plant available reserve- $P₁^{23,34}$ but does illustrate that the BSES-342 P test does not discriminate between the more readily available and less readily available forms 343 of reserve-P.

344 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

345 Supporting Information.

346 Details of the XRD methodology, P depletion, XANES reference materials, XANES 347 spectroscopy settings, and LCF procedure. Results of the PSA, clay activity ratio and 348 quantitative XRD. This information is available free of charge via the internet at 349 http://pubs.acs.org.

350 AUTHOR INFORMATION

- 351 Corresponding author
- * 352 Email kanderss@myune.edu.au; telephone +61 2 6773 3497; fax +61 2 6773 3238.
- 353 Author Contributions
- 354 The manuscript was written with contributions by all authors. All authors have given approval to
- 355 the final version of the manuscript.
- 356 Funding Sources

357 The authors acknowledge the support of the Australian Postgraduate Award and the Grains 358 Industry Research Corporation (GRS 10029), and travel assistance from the Australian 359 Synchrotron (ISAP 9153). We also acknowledge the allocation of beam time at BL8 of SLRI, 360 Thailand.

361 Notes

362 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

363 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

364 We acknowledge the assistance of Dr Wantana Klysubun at BL8 of SLRI, Thailand. We also 365 gratefully acknowledge the supply of the OCP and brushite spectra from Jon Petter Gustafsson 366 and Ann Kristin Eriksson (Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet, Sweden), and the technical assistance 367 of Leanne Lisle, Gary Cluley and Jan Carruthers (University of New England, Australia).

368 REFERENCES

389 9. Holford, I. C. R., Soil phosphorus: its measurement, and its uptake by plants. *Soil Res.*

390 **1997,** *35* (2), 227-240; http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/S96047.

391 10. Gérard, F., Clay minerals, iron/aluminum oxides, and their contribution to phosphate 392 sorption in soils — A myth revisited. *Geoderma* **2016,** *262*, 213-226; 393 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.08.036.

394 11. Cole, C. V.; Olsen, S. R.; Scott, C. O., The nature of phosphate sorption by calcium 395 carbonate. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **1953,** *17* (4), 352-356; 396 10.2136/sssaj1953.03615995001700040013x.

397 12. Wang, L.; Ruiz-Agudo, E.; Putnis, C. V.; Menneken, M.; Putnis, A., Kinetics of calcium 398 phosphate nucleation and growth on calcite: implications for predicting the fate of dissolved 399 phosphate species in alkaline soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012,** *46* (2), 834-842; 400 10.1021/es202924f.

401 13. Wang, L.; Putnis, C. V.; Ruiz-Agudo, E.; Hövelmann, J.; Putnis, A., In situ imaging of 402 interfacial precipitation of phosphate on goethite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015,** *49* (7), 4184- 403 4192; 10.1021/acs.est.5b00312.

404 14. Siebecker, M.; Li, W.; Khalid, S.; Sparks, D., Real-time QEXAFS spectroscopy measures 405 rapid precipitate formation at the mineral–water interface. *Nat Commun* **2014,** *5*; 406 10.1038/ncomms6003.

407 15. Olsen, S. R.; Cole, C. V.; Watanabe, F. S.; Dean, L. A., Estimation of available 408 phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. In U.S. Dep. of Agric. Circ. 939.: 409 1954.

451 29. Webb, A. A.; Grundy, M. J.; Powell, B.; Littleboy, M., The Australian subtropical cereal 452 belt: soils, climate and agriculture. In *Sustainable crop production in the sub-tropics*, Clarke, A. 453 L.; Wylie, P. B., Eds. Queensland Department of Primary Industries: Brisbane, 1997; Vol. 454 QI97035, pp 8–26.

455 30. Isbell, R. F.; Thompson, C. H.; Hubble, G. D.; Beckman, G. D.; Paton, T. R., Atlas of 456 Australian Soils. Sheet 4, Brisbane - Charleville - Rockhampton - Clermont Area. In CSIRO 457 Division of Soils: Melbourne, 1967.

458 31. Northcote, K. H., Atlas of Australian Soils. Sheet 3, Sydney - Canberra - Bourke - 459 Armidale area. In CSIRO Division of Soils: Melbourne, 1964.

460 32. Kerr, H. W.; von Stieglitz, C. R., The laboratory determination of soil fertility. In 461 *Technical Communication No. 9*, Qld Sugar Experiment Stations: 1938.

462 33. Moody, P. W.; Speirs, S. D.; Scott, B. J.; Mason, S. D., Soil phosphorus tests I: What soil 463 phosphorus pools and processes do they measure? *Crop Pasture Sci.* **2013,** *64* (5), 461-468; 464 http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/CP13112.

465 34. Truog, E., The determination of the readily available phosphorus of soils. *Agron. J.* **1930,** 466 *22* (10), 874-882; 10.2134/agronj1930.00021962002200100008x.

483 40. Hinsinger, P.; Gilkes, R. J., Root-induced dissolution of phosphate rock in the 484 rhizosphere of lupins grown in alkaline soil. *Soil Res.* **1995,** *33* (3), 477-489; 485 http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SR9950477.

506 48. Harrison, R. B.; Adams, F., Solubility characteristics of residual phosphate in a fertilized 507 and limed Ultisol. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **1987,** *51* (4), 963-969; 508 10.2136/sssaj1987.03615995005100040026x. 509 49. Beauchemin, S.; Hesterberg, D.; Chou, J.; Beauchemin, M.; Simard, R. R.; Sayers, D. E., 510 Speciation of phosphorus in phosphorus-enriched agricultural soils using X-Ray absorption near-

511 edge structure spectroscopy and chemical fractionation. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2003,** *32* (5), 1809- 512 1819; 10.2134/jeq2003.1809.

513 50. Ajiboye, B.; Akinremi, O. O.; Jürgensen, A., Experimental validation of quantitative 514 XANES analysis for phosphorus speciation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **2007,** *71* (4), 1288-1291; 515 10.2136/sssaj2007.0007.

516 51. Oxmann, J. F., An X-ray absorption method for the identification of calcium phosphate 517 species using peak height ratios. *Biogeosci. Disc.* **2013,** *10* (11), 18723; 10.5194/bg-11-2169- 518 2014.

519 52. Andersson, K. O.; Tighe, M. K.; Guppy, C. N.; Milham, P. J.; McLaren, T. I., 520 Incremental acidification reveals phosphorus release dynamics in alkaline vertic soils. *Geoderma* 521 **2015,** *259-260*, 35-44; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.05.001.

522 53. Andersson, K. O.; Tighe, M. K.; Guppy, C. N.; Milham, P. J.; McLaren, T. I., The release 523 of phosphorus in alkaline vertic soils as influenced by pH and by anion and cation sinks. 524 *Geoderma* **2016,** *264*, 17-27; 10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.10.001.

548 62. Day, P. R., Particle fractionation and particle-size analysis. In *Methods of soil analysis,* 549 *Part 1*, Black, C. A., Ed. American Society of Agronomy, Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, 1965; Vol. 550 1, pp 545-567.

551 63. Shaw, R. J.; Thorburn, P. J., Prediction of leaching fraction from soil properties, 552 irrigation water and rainfall. *Irrig Sci* **1985,** *6* (2), 73-83; 10.1007/bf00251556.

553 64. Coughlan, K.; Loch, R., The relationship between aggregation and other soil properties in 554 cracking clay soils. *Soil Res.* **1984,** *22* (1), 59-69; http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SR9840059.

555 65. Raven, M. D.; Self, P. G. *XRD Report – Quantitative XRD Analysis of Vertisol Soil* 556 *Samples*; CSIRO, Australia: 2015.

557 66. Myers, R. G.; Sharpley, A. N.; Thien, S. J.; Pierzynski, G. M., Ion-sink phosphorus 558 extraction methods applied on 24 soils from the continental USA. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **2005,** *69*, 559 511-521; 10.2136/sssaj2005.0511.

560 67. Ravel, B.; Newville, M., ATHENA, ARTEMIS, HEPHAESTUS: data analysis for X-ray 561 absorption spectroscopy using IFEFFIT. *J. Synchrot. Radiat.* **2005,** *12*, 537–541; 562 doi:10.1107/S0909049505012719.

563 68. R Development Core Team *R: A language and environment for statistical computing,* 564 *reference index version 3.1.0*, Reference index version 3.1.0; R Foundation for Statistical 565 Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2014.

567 Elliott, W. C.; Northrup, P., Phosphorus K-edge XANES spectroscopy of mineral standards. *J.*

568 *Synchrot. Radiat.* **2011,** *18* (2), 189-197; 10.1107/s0909049510045322.

569 70. Eveborn, D.; Gustafsson, J. P.; Hesterberg, D.; Hillier, S., XANES speciation of P in 570 environmental samples: an assessment of filter media for on-site wastewater treatment. *Environ.* 571 *Sci. Technol.* **2009,** *43* (17), 6515-6521; 10.1021/es901084z.

572 71. Lookman, R.; Freese, D.; Merckx, R.; Vlassak, K.; van Riemsdijk, W. H., Long-term 573 kinetics of phosphate release from soil. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995,** *29* (6), 1569-1575; 574 10.1021/es00006a020.

575 72. Barrow, N. J.; Shaw, T. C., Factors affecting the amount of phosphate extracted from soil 576 by anion exchange resin. *Geoderma* **1977,** *18* (4), 309-323; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016- 577 7061(77)90039-8.

578 73. Delgado, A.; Torrent, J., Phosphorus forms and desorption patterns in heavily fertilized 579 calcareous and limed acid soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **2000,** *64* (6), 2031-2037; 580 10.2136/sssaj2000.6462031x.

581 74. Gollany, H. T.; Schumacher, T. E., Combined use of colorimetric and microelectrode 582 methods for evaluating rhizosphere pH. *Plant Soil* **1993,** *154* (2), 151-159; 10.1007/bf00012520.

583 75. Kelly, S. D.; Hesterberg, D.; Ravel, B., Analysis of soils and minerals using x-ray 584 absorption spectroscopy. In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 5*, Ulery, A. L.; Drees, L. R., Eds. Soil 585 Science Society of America: Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2008; pp 387-464.

586 76. Nezat, C. A.; Blum, J. D.; Yanai, R. D.; Park, B. B., Mineral sources of calcium and 587 phosphorus in soils of the Northeastern United States. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **2008,** *72* (6), 1786- 588 1794; 10.2136/sssaj2007.0344.

589 77. Alvarez, R.; Evans, L. A.; Milham, P. J.; Wilson, M. A., Effects of humic material on the 590 precipitation of calcium phosphate. *Geoderma* **2004,** *118* (3–4), 245-260; 10.1016/s0016- 591 7061(03)00207-6.

592 78. Bell, L. C.; Black, C. A., Crystalline phosphates produced by interaction of 593 orthophosphate fertilizers with slightly acid and alkaline soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **1970,** *34* (5), 594 735-740; 10.2136/sssaj1970.03615995003400050020x.

595 79. Lombi, E.; Scheckel, K. G.; Armstrong, R. D.; Forrester, S.; Cutler, J. N.; Paterson, D., 596 Speciation and distribution of phosphorus in a fertilized soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **2006,** *70* (6), 597 2038-2048; 10.2136/sssaj2006.0051.

598 80. Skogley, E. O.; Dobermann, A., Synthetic ion-exchange resins: soil and environmental 599 studies. *J. Environ. Qual.* **1996,** *25* (1), 13-24; 10.2134/jeq1996.00472425002500010004x.

600 81. Devau, N.; Cadre, E. L.; Hinsinger, P.; Jaillard, B.; Gérard, F., Soil pH controls the 601 environmental availability of phosphorus: Experimental and mechanistic modelling approaches. 602 *Appl. Geochem.* **2009,** *24* (11), 2163-2174; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2009.09.020.

603 82. Castro, B.; Torrent, J., Phosphate sorption by calcareous Vertisols and Inceptisols as 604 evaluated from extended P-sorption curves. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* **1998,** *49* (4), 661-667; 605 10.1046/j.1365-2389.1998.4940661.x.

616 amorphous Fe-oxide. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde* **1992,** *155* (3), 233-236; 617 10.1002/jpln.19921550313.

618 87. Cornell, R. M.; Schwertmann, U., In *The Iron Oxides*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. 619 KGaA: 2003.

620 88. McLaren, T. I.; Smernik, R. J.; Guppy, C. N.; Bell, M. J.; Tighe, M. K., The organic P 621 composition of vertisols as determined by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **2014,** 78 622 (6), 1893-1902; 10.2136/sssaj2014.04.0139.

623 89. Brandes, J. A.; Ingall, E.; Paterson, D., Characterization of minerals and organic 624 phosphorus species in marine sediments using soft X-ray fluorescence spectromicroscopy. *Mar.* 625 *Chem.* **2007,** *103* (3–4), 250-265; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.09.004.

