

# Table of Contents

## Volume 1

### CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO CONSTRUCTION LAW

- § 1:1 Construction—Hallmark of civilization
- § 1:2 Modern construction’s complexity—Implications for legal process
- § 1:3 Construction law—What is it?
- § 1:4 Construction law and academia
- § 1:5 Construction law and the American Bar
- § 1:6 Construction law practice
- § 1:7 Purpose of this legal treatise

### CHAPTER 2. CONTRACT FORMATION

#### I. CLASSICAL CONTRACT FORMATION

- § 2:1 Generally
- § 2:2 Express contracts—Generally
- § 2:3 Express contracts—Offer and acceptance
- § 2:4 Express contracts—Mutuality and consideration
- § 2:5 Express contracts—Definite contract or “agreement to agree”
- § 2:6 Express contracts—Definite contract or “agreement to agree”—Indefinite delivery/ indefinite quantity contracts
- § 2:7 Express contracts—Definite contract or “agreement to agree”—Contracts with unstated completion dates
- § 2:8 Express contracts—Definite contract or “agreement to agree”—Letters of intent
- § 2:9 Express contracts—Definite contract or “agreements to agree”—Teaming agreements
- § 2:10 Implied-in-fact contracts
- § 2:11 Implied-in-law contracts—Generally
- § 2:12 Implied-in-law contracts—Promissory estoppel

## **II. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FORMATION PROCESS**

- § 2:13 Generally
- § 2:14 Project delivery methods—Generally
- § 2:15 Project delivery methods—Design-bid-build
- § 2:16 Project delivery methods—Construction management
- § 2:17 Project delivery methods—Design-build and turnkey
- § 2:18 Project delivery methods—Public-private partnerships and “privatization”
- § 2:19 Project delivery methods—Collaborative alliancing, lean project delivery and integrated project delivery
- § 2:20 Project delivery methods—Legal implications of “public” versus “private” projects
- § 2:21 “Best value” procurement
- § 2:22 Source selection methods
- § 2:23 Types of contracts—Generally
- § 2:24 Types of contracts—Firm-fixed price
- § 2:25 Types of contracts—Fixed-unit price contract
- § 2:26 Types of contracts—Cost reimbursement with fixed fee
- § 2:27 Types of contracts—Cost reimbursement with fixed fee up to guaranteed maximum price
- § 2:28 Types of Contracts—Cost sharing
- § 2:29 Types of Contracts—Time and materials, and force account
- § 2:30 Types of Contracts—Indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity (“ID/IQ”)

## **III. CONTRACT FORMATION BY COMPETITIVE SEALED BIDDING**

- § 2:31 Generally
- § 2:32 Mechanics of competitive sealed bidding
- § 2:33 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Generally
- § 2:34 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory public agency exemptions
- § 2:35 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory public work exclusions

DEFAULT

- § 2:36 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Generally
- § 2:37 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—“Inadequate competition” exemption
- § 2:38 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Professional or personal services exemption
- § 2:39 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Emergency work exemption
- § 2:40 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Small dollar exemption
- § 2:41 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Contract default exemption
- § 2:42 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Special legislative exemption
- § 2:43 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Social program exemption
- § 2:44 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Source of funds exemption
- § 2:45 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Public forces exemption
- § 2:46 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Intergovernmental exemption
- § 2:47 Scope of competitive sealed bidding statutes and exemptions—Statutory “impracticability” and “probity” exemptions—Public interest “catch all” exemption
- § 2:48 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—

- Preventing illegal circumvention of statutory mandate
- § 2:49 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by contract “splitting” under “small dollar” exemption
- § 2:50 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by engaging in post-bid negotiation of changes
- § 2:51 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by “cardinal” change
- § 2:52 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by reclassification of work to fit an exemption
- § 2:53 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by use of “restrictive” product specifications
- § 2:54 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by use of “restrictive” product specifications—Restrictive “brand name” specifications
- § 2:55 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by use of “restrictive” product specifications—Avoidance of “restrictive” specifications by open product competition with post-bid selection
- § 2:56 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by use of restrictive bidder qualification requirements
- § 2:57 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention in “bid alternate” selection process
- § 2:58 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing circumvention by imposing unreasonably short time for bid preparation
- § 2:59 Competitive sealed bidding integrity—  
Preventing conflicts of interest
- § 2:60 Statutory bidder preferences—Generally
- § 2:61 Statutory bidder preferences—Residency preferences
- § 2:62 Statutory bidder preferences—Small business preferences
- § 2:63 Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preferences
- § 2:64 Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preferences—

DEFAULT

- § 2:65 Determining credit toward DBE goals  
Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preferences—Prime contractor/DBE commercially useful function (CUF) responsibility
- § 2:66 Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preferences—Commercially useful function reviews and outcomes
- § 2:67 Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preference—Good faith efforts required by prime contractors
- § 2:68 Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preferences—Procedures for requesting DBE contract waivers and modifications
- § 2:69 Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preferences—Terminating DBEs for convenience
- § 2:70 Statutory bidder preferences—Minority and disadvantaged business preferences—Penalties
- § 2:71 Statutory bidder preferences—Labor preferences—Generally
- § 2:72 Statutory bidder preferences—Labor preferences—Labor hiring preferences
- § 2:73 Statutory bidder preferences—Labor preferences—Project labor agreements
- § 2:74 Statutory bidder preferences—Labor preferences—Prevailing wage requirements
- § 2:75 Statutory bidder preferences—Labor preferences—Job targeting wage subsidy programs
- § 2:76 Owner preparation and publication of invitation for bids
- § 2:77 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Generally
- § 2:78 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Review of the plans and specifications
- § 2:79 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Site investigation
- § 2:80 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Pre-bid clarifications and communications
- § 2:81 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Pre-bid substitutions
- § 2:82 Contractor bid preparation and submission—

- Unbalanced bidding
- § 2:83 Contractor bid preparation and submission—  
Subcontractor listing requirements
- § 2:84 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Bid  
security—Generally
- § 2:85 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Bid  
security—Bid security damage measures
- § 2:86 Contractor bid preparation and submission—Bid  
security—Bid security beneficiaries
- § 2:87 Time allowed for bidding
- § 2:88 Bid submission and opening—Generally
- § 2:89 Bid submission and opening—“Firm bid” rule
- § 2:90 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—Generally
- § 2:91 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Generally
- § 2:92 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Failure to properly complete  
bid form
- § 2:93 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Failure to comply with  
mandatory subcontractor listing
- § 2:94 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Failure to provide mandated  
documentation
- § 2:95 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Failure to acknowledge  
amendments to bid invitation
- § 2:96 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Failure to furnish proper bid  
security
- § 2:97 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Failure to submit bid on time
- § 2:98 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as  
nonresponsive—Failure to conduct mandatory  
site inspection
- § 2:99 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material”  
deviations requiring rejection of bid as

DEFAULT

- nonresponsive—Failure to initial bid alterations
- § 2:100 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material” deviations requiring rejection of bid as nonresponsive—Failure to offer to do work in conformance with invitation requirements
- § 2:101 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material” deviations requiring rejection of bid as nonresponsive—Failure to evidence intent to be bound
- § 2:102 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material” deviations requiring rejection of bid as nonresponsive—Failure to submit balanced bid
- § 2:103 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material” deviations requiring rejection of bid as nonresponsive—Failure to submit complete and unqualified bid form
- § 2:104 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material” deviations requiring rejection of bid as nonresponsive—Failure to submit social program preference compliance information
- § 2:105 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material” deviations requiring rejection of bid as nonresponsive—Failure to submit experience qualification information
- § 2:106 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Material” deviations requiring rejection of bid as nonresponsive—Failure to satisfy “self-performed” work requirement
- § 2:107 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—“Minor informalities” waivable at owner’s discretion
- § 2:108 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—Public agency discretion in judging bid “responsiveness”
- § 2:109 Evaluation of bid responsiveness—Recourse against third parties who cause bid to be nonresponsive
- § 2:110 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Generally
- § 2:111 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Bidder responsibility vs. bid responsiveness
- § 2:112 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Public agency discretion in judging bidder “responsibility”
- § 2:113 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Public agency discretion in judging bidder “responsibility”—Bidder integrity

- § 2:114 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Public agency discretion in judging bidder “responsibility”—Bidder licensure
- § 2:115 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Public agency discretion in judging bidder “responsibility”—Bidder past performance on prior contracts
- § 2:116 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Public agency discretion in judging bidder “responsibility”—Bidder information required for responsibility determination
- § 2:117 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Public agency discretion in judging bidder “responsibility”—Bidder experience
- § 2:118 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Public agency discretion in judging bidder “responsibility”—Bidder social responsibility
- § 2:119 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Bidder prequalification
- § 2:120 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Bidder request for pre-award nonresponsibility hearing
- § 2:121 Evaluation of bidder responsibility—Bidder suspension and debarment from future contract award
- § 2:122 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Generally
- § 2:123 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Sub-bid “promissory estoppel”
- § 2:124 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Equivocal or conditional intent to be bound
- § 2:125 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Bidder lack of reliance on sub-bid—Generally
- § 2:126 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Bidder lack of reliance on sub-bid—Bidder rejection of sub-bid by post-bid “bid shopping” or “bid chiseling”
- § 2:127 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Bidder lack of reliance on sub-bid—Bidder rejection of sub-bid by post-bid negotiation of materially different terms
- § 2:128 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Bidder lack of reliance on sub-bid—Sub-bid mistake
- § 2:129 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—Bidder lack of reliance on sub-bid—Lack of



DEFAULT

- timely acceptance of sub-bid
- § 2:130 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—  
Bidder lack of reliance on sub-bid—Lack of  
timely acceptance of sub-bid—Contractor  
change of identity
- § 2:131 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—  
Owner rejection of subcontractor or supplier
- § 2:132 “Firm bid” rule and enforcement of sub-bids—  
Promissory estoppel—“One-way” or “two-way”  
street for subcontractors
- § 2:133 Mistake in bid—Generally
- § 2:134 Mistake in bid—Burden of proof for mistake  
remediation
- § 2:135 Mistake in bid—Mistake of fact
- § 2:136 Mistake in bid—Mistake of judgment
- § 2:137 Mistake in bid—Mistake of law
- § 2:138 Mistake in bid—Bid mistake by subcontractor as  
affecting prime bid
- § 2:139 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Generally
- § 2:140 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Unconscionability
- § 2:141 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Materiality
- § 2:142 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Negligence or breach of duty
- § 2:143 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Lack of prejudice
- § 2:144 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Accepting party’s knowledge or inducement of  
unilateral bid mistake
- § 2:145 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Constructive knowledge of mistake from  
disparity in bids and estimates and duty of  
inquiry
- § 2:146 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Inducement of mistake by misrepresentation
- § 2:147 Mistake in bid—Bidder’s “unilateral” mistake—  
Waiver of right to relief for unilateral mistake
- § 2:148 Mistake in bid—Mutual mistake—Generally
- § 2:149 Mistake in bid—Mutual mistake—Assumption of  
risk of mistake
- § 2:150 Mistake in bid—Mutual mistake—Relief from  
mistake in bid

- § 2:151 Rejection of all bids—Generally
- § 2:152 Rejection of all bids—Failure to make findings on “compelling reasons” for cancellation
- § 2:153 Rejection of all bids—Cancellation simply to obtain lower prices
- § 2:154 Evaluation of low price bid
- § 2:155 Award—Generally
- § 2:156 Award—Award of public contracts
- § 2:157 Award—Bidder’s voluntary extension of public contract “firm bid” acceptance time
- § 2:158 Award—Award of private contracts

#### **IV. CONTRACT FORMATION BY COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATION**

- § 2:159 Generally
- § 2:160 Statutory authority to form public construction contracts by negotiation—Generally
- § 2:161 Statutory authority to form public construction contracts by negotiation—Negotiation objective—“Best value”
- § 2:162 Statutory authority to form public construction contracts by negotiation—Selection of “evaluation factors”
- § 2:163 Request for proposals
- § 2:164 Cancellation of the request for proposals
- § 2:165 Offeror preparation and submission of proposals
- § 2:166 Evaluation of competitive proposals
- § 2:167 Pre-award negotiation
- § 2:168 Negotiation considerations—Generally
- § 2:169 Negotiation considerations—Negotiation techniques
- § 2:170 Negotiation considerations—Negotiated profit
- § 2:171 Negotiated contract award

#### **V. CONTRACT FORMATION BY COMPETITIVE “TWO-STEP” PROCEDURES**

- § 2:172 Generally
- § 2:173 Two-step competitive sealed bidding procedure
- § 2:174 Two-step design-build source selection procedure
- § 2:175 Practical state and local two-step “best value” source selection procedure

#### **VI. REMEDIES FOR IMPROPER AWARD OF**

DEFAULT

## **PUBLIC CONTRACT**

- § 2:176 Generally
- § 2:177 Standing to protest
- § 2:178 Mootness of protest
- § 2:179 Protest forum
- § 2:180 Delay in assertion of protest
- § 2:181 Damage remedy against owner—Bid preparation costs
- § 2:182 Damage remedy by or against successful bidder—Lost profits
- § 2:183 Injunctive relief to direct or stay of award
- § 2:184 Recovery under special statutes and common-law principles—Generally
- § 2:185 Recovery under special statutes and common-law principles—Civil rights laws
- § 2:186 Recovery under special statutes and common-law principles—Antitrust laws
- § 2:187 Recovery under special statutes and common-law principles—Anti-retaliation laws
- § 2:188 Recovery under special statutes and common-law principles—Defamation

## **Volume 1A**

### **CHAPTER 3. CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION AND INTERPRETATION**

#### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 3:1 Contract construction and interpretation—Journey from “text” to “context”

#### **II. THE MODERN CONTEXTUAL CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT**

- § 3:2 Generally
- § 3:3 Sources of contextual terms insinuated into the express contract
- § 3:4 Implied terms and conditions—Generally
- § 3:5 Implied terms and conditions—The Spearin Doctrine—Implied warranty of design
- § 3:6 Implied terms and conditions—Implied duty of

- disclosure—Control over the source of material design information
- § 3:7 Implied terms and conditions—Implied duty to seek clarification of design discrepancies—Control of the “Last Clear Chance” to avoid a dispute
- § 3:8 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—“Dual hands on the steering wheel”
- § 3:9 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Shop drawings and submittals
- § 3:10 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Jointly developed specifications
- § 3:11 Implied Terms and Conditions—Gray areas of design control—Designer reliance on manufacturers product literature
- § 3:12 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—“Brand name” and “sole source” specifications and commercial availability
- § 3:13 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Mixed design and performance specifications
- § 3:14 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Conflicts between design specifications and contract performance acceptance requirements
- § 3:15 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Diagrammatic drawings
- § 3:16 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Owner design warranty and the lower tiers
- § 3:17 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Approval of contractor plant, equipment or “work plan.”
- § 3:18 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Approval of contractor concrete mix design, manufacture and placement
- § 3:19 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Control of construction methods
- § 3:20 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—Owner implied warranty of design versus contractor warranty of materials
- § 3:21 Implied terms and conditions—Gray areas of design control—“Government contractor” defense and “acceptance of work” doctrine

DEFAULT

- § 3:22 Implied warranties of construction—  
Workmanship
- § 3:23 Implied warranties of construction—Product  
suitability
- § 3:24 Implied warranties of construction—Duty to  
provide technical Product information
- § 3:25 Implied warranties of construction—Duty to warn
- § 3:26 Implied mutual duties in construction—  
Cooperation
- § 3:27 Implied mutual duties in construction—Good  
faith and fair dealing
- § 3:28 Implied waiver of express rights—Contract  
completion date
- § 3:29 Implied waiver of express rights—Contract  
specifications
- § 3:30 Implied waiver of express rights—Other contract  
rights
- § 3:31 Implied authority to modify contract rights
- § 3:32 Express disclaimers of implied warranties and  
duties

### **III. CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION AND INTERPRETATION CONSIDERATIONS**

#### **A. IN GENERAL**

- § 3:33 Ascertaining the meaning of human language

#### **B. OBJECTIVE VERSUS SUBJECTIVE THEORY OF CONTRACT INTERPRETATION**

- § 3:34 The objective “plain meaning” rule
- § 3:35 Influence of contract formation theory
- § 3:36 Impact of warring doctrines—Generally
- § 3:37 Impact of warring doctrines—Waning vitality of  
traditional “plain meaning” rule
- § 3:38 Impact of warring doctrines—Confusion over  
interpretation
- § 3:39 When is language ambiguous—Facial ambiguity
- § 3:40 When is language ambiguous—Contextual  
ambiguity
- § 3:41 When is language ambiguous—Strict versus  
liberal construction
- § 3:42 When is language ambiguous—Significance of  
patent versus latent ambiguity

C. PAROL EVIDENCE RULE

- § 3:43 Purpose of the rule
- § 3:44 Substantive law or procedural rule
- § 3:45 Relationship to statute of frauds
- § 3:46 Integration requirement
- § 3:47 Merger or integration clauses
- § 3:48 Effect of integration upon application of parol evidence rule
- § 3:49 Exceptions to application of parol evidence rule
- § 3:50 Missing and implied terms
- § 3:51 Pre-bid discussions
- § 3:52 Change orders, releases, and settlements

D. RISK ALLOCATION PRINCIPLES APPLIED IN CONTRACT INTERPRETATION

- § 3:53 Consequences of knowing other party's meaning—"Acquiescence by silence"
- § 3:54 Patent ambiguity doctrine—Generally
- § 3:55 Patent ambiguity doctrine—Application of rule in language conflict situations
- § 3:56 Patent ambiguity doctrine—Satisfying inquiry duty
- § 3:57 Doctrines of "superior knowledge" and "duty to disclose"
- § 3:58 Contractor's lack of reliance upon its espoused interpretation
- § 3:59 Consequences of drafting ambiguous language—*Contra proferentem* rule

E. JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION PREFERENCE STANDARDS: RULES FOR DETERMINING THE MOST REASONABLE INTERPRETATION

- § 3:60 Generally
- § 3:61 Ordinary, technical or private meanings in context
- § 3:62 The "whole agreement" rule—Generally
- § 3:63 The "whole agreement" rule—The specification tree and incorporation by reference
- § 3:64 The "whole agreement" rule—Incorporation by reference of upper tier contract provisions into

DEFAULT

- lower tier agreements—“Flow down” clause
- § 3:65 The “whole agreement” rule—Incorporation by law
- § 3:66 The “whole agreement” rule—Contractual order of precedence provisions
- § 3:67 The “whole agreement” rule—“Specific governs general”
- § 3:68 The “whole agreement” rule—“Typed over the printed” and “written over the typed”
- § 3:69 The “whole agreement” rule—“Terms over recitals”
- § 3:70 The “whole agreement” rule—Inclusion of one is exclusion of others—Doctrine of “expressio unius est exclusio alterius”
- § 3:71 The “whole agreement” rule—“Any provision herein to the contrary notwithstanding” language
- § 3:72 The principal purpose doctrine
- § 3:73 Role of trade custom and usage—Generally
- § 3:74 Role of trade custom and usage—Parties presumed to know well-established trade usage or custom
- § 3:75 Role of trade custom and usage—Contract interpretation—Two competing theories
- § 3:76 Role of trade custom and usage—Employing trade usage to define meaning of particular terms
- § 3:77 Role of trade custom and usage—Employing trade usage to give meaning where contract is silent
- § 3:78 Role of trade custom and usage—Employing trade usage to resolve conflicts in contract language
- § 3:79 Role of trade custom and usage—Establishing trade usage
- § 3:80 Role of “course of dealing” in contract interpretation
- § 3:81 Role of “course of performance” in contract interpretation—Generally
- § 3:82 Role of “course of performance”—“Practical construction”/“concurrent interpretation”
- § 3:83 Interpreting standard form agreements—Marriage of intention and convenience
- § 3:84 Interpreting standard form agreements—Objectionable terms
- § 3:85 Interpreting standard form agreements—Customizing or altering standard form

- agreements
- § 3:86 Interpreting standard form agreements—  
Standard form agreements as adhesion contracts
- § 3:87 Interpreting standard form agreements—Quasi-  
adhesion analysis—Applying *contra proferentem*  
rule and other interpretation guides to standard  
form agreements
- § 3:88 Reformation of contract is not interpretation

## **CHAPTER 4. CONTRACT “CHANGES” AND “EXTRAS”**

### **I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- § 4:1 Construction’s “constant”—Certainty of change
- § 4:2 The modern changes clause—Its purposes and  
objectives
- § 4:3 Origins and evolution of “changes” and “extras”  
clauses

### **II. MODERN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT STANDARD FORM APPROACHES TO “CHANGES”**

- § 4:4 Generally
- § 4:5 Federal “changes” clause
- § 4:6 Federal “value engineering” clause
- § 4:7 American Institute of Architects “changes” and  
“claims” clauses
- § 4:8 Federal and state highway construction  
“alterations,” “extras” and “variation in  
quantities” clauses

### **III. “CHANGES” AND “EXTRAS” CLAUSES AS EXCLUSIVE BASES FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR CLAIMS “ARISING UNDER THE CONTRACT”**

- § 4:9 Generally
- § 4:10 Meanings of contractual “scope” and “general  
scope”—Generally
- § 4:11 Meanings of contractual “scope” and “general  
scope”—Parameters of contract “scope”
- § 4:12 Meanings of contractual “scope” and “general



DEFAULT

- scope”—Parameters of contract “general scope”
- § 4:13 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or abandonment of contract
- § 4:14 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or abandonment of contract—Cumulative impact of changes as “cardinal” change
- § 4:15 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes justifying “abandonment” of contract—Practical proof of “cardinal” change
- § 4:16 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or “abandonment” of contract—Effect on measure of recovery
- § 4:17 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or “abandonment” of contract—Discharge of the performance bond surety
- § 4:18 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or abandonment of contract—Right to stop work and abandon or terminate contract
- § 4:19 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or abandonment of contract—Deductive change or partial termination for convenience
- § 4:20 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or abandonment of contract—Sovereign immunity defense
- § 4:21 Changes outside the “general scope”—“Cardinal” changes or abandonment of contract—Violation of competitive sealed bidding statute

#### IV. TYPES OF CHANGES

- § 4:22 Generally
- § 4:23 “Formal” change
- § 4:24 “Value engineering” change
- § 4:25 “Constructive” change
- § 4:26 “Constructive” change—Type I constructive change involving contract misinterpretation
- § 4:27 “Constructive” change—Type I constructive change involving contract misinterpretation—Specification ambiguity
- § 4:28 “Constructive” change—Type I constructive change involving contract misinterpretation—Hypertechnical inspection
- § 4:29 “Constructive” change—Type I constructive change involving contract misinterpretation—

- Specification waiver
- § 4:30 “Constructive” change—Type I constructive change involving contract misinterpretation—Post-award changes in laws or regulations
- § 4:31 “Constructive” change—Type II constructive change involving owner interference or failure to cooperate
- § 4:32 “Constructive” change—Type III constructive change involving defective or impracticable specifications
- § 4:33 “Constructive” change—Type IV constructive change involving wrongful withholding of superior knowledge
- § 4:34 “Constructive” change—Type V constructive change involving acceleration

## **V. TIMELINESS REQUIREMENTS**

- § 4:35 Claim notice timeliness requirements
- § 4:36 Claim submission timeliness requirements

## **VI. “WRITTEN ORDER” REQUIREMENT**

- § 4:37 Generally
- § 4:38 Implications of enforcement of “writing” requirement
- § 4:39 Implications of enforcement of “writing” requirement—Waiver of “writing” requirement
- § 4:40 Implications of enforcement of “writing” requirement—Implications of “anti-waiver” clauses
- § 4:41 Implications of “order” requirement

## **VII. CHANGE ORDER AUTHORIZATION**

- § 4:42 Generally
- § 4:43 Actual authority
- § 4:44 Implied authority
- § 4:45 Apparent authority
- § 4:46 Authority by ratification
- § 4:47 Authority by estoppel

## **VIII. CLAIM SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE**

- § 4:48 Generally

## **IX. CONTRACTOR’S DUTY TO PROCEED WITH**

DEFAULT

## **THE CHANGED WORK**

§ 4:49 Generally

### **X. FALSE CLAIMS**

§ 4:50 Generally

§ 4:51 Federal sanctions

§ 4:52 State and local remedies

§ 4:53 Private remedies

§ 4:54 Managing contract formation, change order and litigation processes to avoid false claims—The lessons of *Daewoo*

§ 4:55 Managing contract formation, change order and litigation processes to avoid false claims—Documenting construction claims and costs

## **Volume 2**

### **CHAPTER 5. STANDARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FORMS: ANALYSIS OF THE AIA GENERAL CONDITIONS**

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

§ 5:1 Standardized construction contract forms: Search for generally accepted approach to allocation of risks and responsibilities

§ 5:2 American Institute of Architects (AIA) standard forms

§ 5:3 Federal Acquisition Regulation standard forms

§ 5:4 Federal Acquisition Regulation standard forms—American Association of State Highway Officials standard forms

§ 5:5 Federal Acquisition Regulation standard forms—Engineers Joint Contract Documents Committee (EJCDC) standard forms

§ 5:6 ConsensusDOCS contract documents

§ 5:7 Other organizations' contract forms

§ 5:8 Challenge of maintaining consistency in a web of contract documents

§ 5:9 Contract forms and allocation of cost uncertainty

## II. ANATOMY OF AIA GENERAL CONDITIONS

- § 5:10 In general
- § 5:11 Definitional and housekeeping provisions  
("definitional")
- § 5:12 Legal relationship provisions ("legal")
- § 5:13 Scope of work provisions ("scope")
- § 5:14 Architect scope provisions ("architect")
- § 5:15 Contract communication provisions  
("communication")
- § 5:16 Quality assurance and quality control provisions  
("quality")
- § 5:17 Claims administration provisions ("claims  
administration")
- § 5:18 Dispute resolution provisions ("dispute  
resolution")
- § 5:19 Risk transfer provisions ("risk transfer")
- § 5:20 Risk mitigation provisions ("risk mitigation")
- § 5:21 Risk allocation provisions ("risk allocation")
- § 5:22 Evolution of AIA General Conditions Document  
from 1987 to 2007
- § 5:23 2007 A201 document's Initial Decision Maker  
(IDM): An option to remove the architect from  
the dispute resolution process
- § 5:24 Issues raised by architect's traditional contract  
administration duties and the formal disputes  
process
- § 5:25 IDM's decision-making process
- § 5:26 Mediation as a prerequisite to further dispute  
resolution process
- § 5:27 Rejection of arbitration as the mandatory dispute  
resolution process

## III. ANALYSIS OF AIA GENERAL CONDITIONS

- § 5:28 In general

### A. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- § 5:29 § 1.1.1—The contract documents (legal)
- § 5:30 § 1.1.2—The contract (legal)
- § 5:31 § 1.1.3—The work (scope)
- § 5:32 § 1.1.4—The project (definitional)
- § 5:33 § 1.1.5—The drawings (definitional)
- § 5:34 § 1.1.6—The specifications (definitional)

DEFAULT

- § 5:35 § 1.1.7—Instruments of service (definitional)
- § 5:36 § 1.1.8—Initial decision maker (definitional)
- § 5:37 § 1.2.1—Correlation and intent of the contract documents (scope)
- § 5:38 § 1.2.1.1—Invalid provisions are severable from the whole (definitional)
- § 5:39 § 1.2.2—Organization of the specifications (legal)
- § 5:40 § 1.2.3—Technical language (legal)
- § 5:41 § 1.3—Capitalization (definitional)
- § 5:42 § 1.4—Interpretation (legal)
- § 5:43 § 1.5.1—Ownership of the instruments of service (legal)
- § 5:44 § 1.5.2—Conditions and limitations on the use of the instruments of service (legal)
- § 5:45 § 1.6.1—Notice (legal)
- § 5:46 § 1.6.2—Notice of claims (legal)
- § 5:47 § 1.7—Digital data use and transmission (legal)
- § 5:48 § 1.8—Building information models use and reliance (legal)

**B. OWNER**

- § 5:49 § 2.1.1—Owner’s representative (legal)
- § 5:50 § 2.1.2—Owner’s obligation to provide legal title and other property description information (risk mitigation)
- § 5:51 § 2.2.1—Owner’s obligation to furnish financial information prior to commencement of the work (risk mitigation)
- § 5:52 § 2.2.2—Evidence of the owner’s financial arrangements after commencement of the work (risk mitigation)
- § 5:53 § 2.2.3—Owner’s obligation to refrain from materially varying financial arrangements (risk mitigation)
- § 5:54 § 2.2.4—Use and dissemination of owner’s financial information (legal)
- § 5:55 § 2.3.1—Approvals, easements, assessments and charges (scope)
- § 5:56 § 2.3.2—Owner’s obligation to retain licensed architect (risk mitigation)
- § 5:57 § 2.3.3—Owner’s obligation to continue architect’s employment or secure acceptable successor (risk mitigation)

- § 5:58 § 2.3.4—Owner's obligation to furnish accurate information (risk allocation)
- § 5:59 § 2.3.5—Timeliness of owner information (communication)
- § 5:60 § 2.3.6—Copies of contract documents (communication)
- § 5:61 § 2.4—Owner's right to stop the work (quality)
- § 5:62 § 2.5—Owner's right to carry out the work (quality)

### C. CONTRACTOR

- § 5:63 § 3.1.1—The “contractor” (definitional)
- § 5:64 § 3.1.2—Work in accordance with the contract documents (scope)
- § 5:65 § 3.1.3—Architect's activities no shield for contractor (architect)
- § 5:66 § 3.2.1 Site investigation representation (risk allocation)
- § 5:67 § 3.2.2—Contractor's review obligation (risk allocation)
- § 5:68 § 3.2.3—Contractor not responsible for ascertaining whether contract documents comply with applicable law (risk allocation)
- § 5:69 § 3.2.4—Consequences of failing to properly review the contract documents (risk allocation)
- § 5:70 § 3.3.1—Contractor's responsibility for construction means, methods and techniques (risk allocation)
- § 5:71 § 3.3.2—Contractor is responsible for the acts of its employees and subcontractors (risk allocation)
- § 5:72 § 3.3.3—Contractor's duty to inspect existing work to determine suitability for subsequent work (quality)
- § 5:73 § 3.4.1—Labor and materials (scope)
- § 5:74 § 3.4.2—Substitutions upon consent of the owner (quality)
- § 5:75 § 3.4.3—Contractor's enforcement of strict discipline and employment of fit personnel (quality)
- § 5:76 § 3.5.1—Contractor's warranty (quality)
- § 5:77 § 3.5.2—Special warranties required by the contract documents (quality)
- § 5:78 § 3.6—Taxes (scope)

DEFAULT

§ 5:79	§ 3.7.1—Permits, fees and notices (scope)
§ 5:80	§ 3.7.2—Contractor’s compliance with law (risk allocation)
§ 5:81	§ 3.7.3—Contractor responsible where it knowingly performs work not in accordance with applicable laws (risk allocation)
§ 5:82	§ 3.7.4—Claims for concealed or unknown conditions (risk allocation)
§ 5:83	§ 3.7.5—Suspension of operations affecting burial markers, archaeological sites, or wetlands (risk allocation)
§ 5:84	§ 3.8.1—Allowances included in the contract sum (risk allocation)
§ 5:85	§ 3.8.2—Defining what is in and out of allowance items (scope)
§ 5:86	§ 3.8.3—Owner to make prompt selections involving allowance items (risk mitigation)
§ 5:87	§ 3.9.1—Superintendent (communication)
§ 5:88	§ 3.9.2—Contractor’s disclosures regarding superintendent and owner’s objections thereto (quality)
§ 5:89	§ 3.9.3—Contractor may not employ a superintendent to which there is reasonable objection nor change the superintendent without consent (quality)
§ 5:90	§ 3.10.1—Contractor’s construction schedules (scope)
§ 5:91	§ 3.10.2—Contractor’s submittal schedule (scope)
§ 5:92	§ 3.10.3—Work in conformance with most recent schedules (quality)
§ 5:93	§ 3.11—Documents and samples at the site (communication)
§ 5:94	§ 3.12.1—Shop drawings (definitional)
§ 5:95	§ 3.12.2—Product data (definitional)
§ 5:96	§ 3.12.3—Samples (definitional)
§ 5:97	§ 3.12.4—Purpose and review of shop drawings (communication)
§ 5:98	§ 3.12.5—Contractor’s review and approval of shop drawings (quality)
§ 5:99	§ 3.12.6—Contractor’s representations regarding shop drawings and other submittals (risk allocation)
§ 5:100	§ 3.12.7—No work requiring submittals to be performed until approved (quality)

- § 5:101 § 3.12.8—Architect's approval of shop drawings does not relieve contractor of responsibility to comply with the contract documents (risk allocation)
- § 5:102 § 3.12.9—Resubmittals (quality)
- § 5:103 § 3.12.10—Design delegation: Contractor to provide no design services unless specifically required by contract documents (risk allocation)
- § 5:104 § 3.12.10.1—Design delegation process (risk allocation)
- § 5:105 § 3.12.10.2—Contractor's design professional's certifications (quality)
- § 5:106 § 3.13—Use of site (scope)
- § 5:107 § 3.14.1—Contractor responsible for cutting and patching (scope)
- § 5:108 § 3.14.2—Permission to cut or patch another's work (quality)
- § 5:109 § 3.15.1—Cleaning up (scope)
- § 5:110 § 3.15.2—Penalty for failing to keep premises clean (risk allocation)
- § 5:111 § 3.16.1—Access to work (quality)
- § 5:112 § 3.17—Royalties, patents and copyrights (risk allocation)
- § 5:113 § 3.18.1—Indemnification (risk allocation)
- § 5:114 § 3.18.2—No limitation on indemnity because of workers' compensation laws (risk allocation)

#### D. ARCHITECT

- § 5:115 § 4.1.1—Identification of architect (definitional)
- § 5:116 § 4.1.2—Architect's duties (architect)
- § 5:117 § 4.2.1—Architect's duties as owner's representative during the construction process (architect)
- § 5:118 § 4.2.2—Architect's site visitations (quality)
- § 5:119 § 4.2.3—Architect to inform owner of progress and quality of work but is not responsible for contractor's failure to perform (risk allocation)
- § 5:120 § 4.2.4—Communication facilitating contract administration (communication)
- § 5:121 § 4.2.5—Architect's review of contractor's applications for payment (architect)
- § 5:122 § 4.2.6—Architect's authority to reject work (legal)



DEFAULT

- § 5:123 § 4.2.7—Architect’s review of shop drawings and other submittals (risk allocation)
- § 5:124 § 4.2.8—Architect’s preparation of change orders (communication)
- § 5:125 § 4.2.9—Architect’s inspections and delivery of written warranties and related documents (architect)
- § 5:126 § 4.2.10—Architect’s project representative (architect)
- § 5:127 § 4.2.11—Architect’s interpretation of the parties’ performance (architect)
- § 5:128 § 4.2.12—rchitect’s decision making to be impartial (dispute resolution)
- § 5:129 § 4.2.13—Architect’s decisions on aesthetic matters final (dispute resolution)
- § 5:130 § 4.2.14—Architect to review and respond to requests for information (communication)

**E. SUBCONTRACTORS**

- § 5:131 § 5.1.1—Definition of subcontractor (definitional)
- § 5:132 § 5.1.2—Definition of sub-subcontractor (definitional)
- § 5:133 § 5.2.1—Subcontractor selection (quality)
- § 5:134 § 5.2.2—Objections to proposed subcontractors (quality)
- § 5:135 § 5.2.3—Owner’s or architect’s objection to proposed subcontractors (quality)
- § 5:136 § 5.2.4—Limitation on subcontractor substitution (risk allocation)
- § 5:137 § 5.3—Subcontractual relations—Obligation to “flow down” responsibilities to subcontractors (legal)
- § 5:138 § 5.4.1—Contingent assignment of subcontracts (risk mitigation)
- § 5:139 § 5.4.2—Suspension costs (risk allocation)
- § 5:140 § 5.4.3—Owner’s right to assign subcontracts to a successor contractor (legal)

**F. SEPARATE CONTRACTORS**

- § 5:141 § 6.1.1—Owner’s right to perform construction (risk allocation)
- § 5:142 § 6.1.2—Definition of “contractor” in separate contract arrangements (definitional)

- § 5:143 § 6.1.3—Owner's coordination in the event of use of separate contractors (scope)
- § 5:144 § 6.1.4—Construction by the owner's forces (legal)
- § 5:145 § 6.2.1—Storage for owner's materials and equipment (scope)
- § 5:146 § 6.2.2—Contractor's notice obligation where its work relies upon work performed by owner or separate contractors (risk allocation)
- § 5:147 § 6.2.3—Responsibility for delays to and by separate contractors (risk allocation)
- § 5:148 § 6.2.4—Contractor's obligation to remedy damage to separate contractors' work (risk allocation)
- § 5:149 § 6.2.5—Owner's cutting and patching (scope)
- § 5:150 § 6.3—Owner's right to cleanup (dispute resolution)

## G. CHANGES

- § 5:151 § 7.1.1—Owner's right to make changes (risk allocation)
- § 5:152 § 7.1.2—Parties in agreement with respect to changes (legal)
- § 5:153 § 7.1.3—Contractor to proceed promptly to execute changed work (risk allocation)
- § 5:154 § 7.2.1—Change orders (definitional)
- § 5:155 § 7.3.1—Construction change directives (scope)
- § 5:156 § 7.3.2—Construction change directives used in absence of total agreement (definitional)
- § 5:157 § 7.3.3—Construction change directive adjustment methods (risk allocation)
- § 5:158 § 7.3.4—Architect's decision regarding adjustments to the contract sum for construction change directives (dispute resolution)
- § 5:159 § 7.3.5—Contractor may make a claim if it disagrees with adjustment to contract time (claims administration)
- § 5:160 § 7.3.6—Contractor's duty to perform changed work in face of disagreement over equitable adjustment (risk allocation)
- § 5:161 § 7.3.7—Construction change directive as change order (communication)
- § 5:162 § 7.3.8—Deductive changes (risk allocation)

DEFAULT

- § 5:163 § 7.3.9—Payment certification process for construction change directives (claims administration)
- § 5:164 § 7.3.10—Agreement with architect’s determination on construction change directives (communication)
- § 5:165 § 7.4—Minor changes (risk allocation)

**H. TIME**

- § 5:166 § 8.1.1—Definition of “contract time” (definitional)
- § 5:167 § 8.1.2—Date of commencement of the work (definitional)
- § 5:168 § 8.1.3—Date of substantial completion (architect)
- § 5:169 § 8.1.4—Definition of “day” (definitional)
- § 5:170 § 8.2.1—Time is of the essence (risk allocation)
- § 5:171 § 8.2.2—No work until insurance in place (risk mitigation)
- § 5:172 § 8.2.3—Contractor’s responsibility to complete on time (scope)
- § 5:173 § 8.3.1—Delays and extensions of time (risk allocation)
- § 5:174 § 8.3.2—Procedures for delay claims (claims administration)
- § 5:175 § 8.3.3—Reservation of delay damages (risk allocation)

**I. PAYMENTS AND COMPLETION**

- § 5:176 § 9.1.1—Contract sum (definitional)
- § 5:177 § 9.1.2—Unit prices (risk allocation)
- § 5:178 § 9.2—Schedule of values (communication)
- § 5:179 § 9.3.1—Application for payment process (communication)
- § 5:180 § 9.3.1.1—Payment applications for changes in the work (communication)
- § 5:181 § 9.3.1.2—Payment applications for subcontractor work (risk mitigation)
- § 5:182 § 9.3.2—Payment for stored materials (risk allocation)
- § 5:183 § 9.3.3—Contractor’s warranty of clear title (risk allocation)
- § 5:184 § 9.4.1—Process for architect’s certificates for

- payment (architect)

§ 5:185 § 9.4.2—Limitation upon architect's certificate for payment (architect)
- § 5:186 § 9.5.1—Architect withholding payment certification (risk mitigation)
- § 5:187 § 9.5.2—Disputing the architect's decision regarding payment certification (claims administration)
- § 5:188 § 9.5.3—Certification after reasons for withholding are removed (communication)
- § 5:189 § 9.5.4—Owner's right to issue joint checks (risk mitigation)
- § 5:190 § 9.6.1—Manner of making progress payments (communication)
- § 5:191 § 9.6.2—Payments to subcontractors (risk mitigation)
- § 5:192 § 9.6.3—Architect to furnish information to subcontractor (risk mitigation)
- § 5:193 § 9.6.4—Owner's right to contact and pay subcontractors (risk mitigation)
- § 5:194 § 9.6.5—Suppliers' payment rights (risk mitigation)
- § 5:195 § 9.6.6—Payment does not constitute acceptance (risk allocation)
- § 5:196 § 9.6.7—Weak trust (risk allocation)
- § 5:197 § 9.6.8—Contractor's indemnity owed for liens (risk allocation)
- § 5:198 § 9.7—Failure of payment (risk mitigation)
- § 5:199 § 9.8.1—Definition of "substantial completion" (legal)
- § 5:200 § 9.8.2—Contractor's punch list (communication)
- § 5:201 § 9.8.3—Architect's inspection to determine substantial completion (quality)
- § 5:202 § 9.8.4—Architect's certificate of substantial completion (risk allocation)
- § 5:203 § 9.8.5—Submission of certificate of substantial completion and payment of retainage (risk allocation)
- § 5:204 § 9.9.1—Procedures for owner's partial occupancy of project (risk mitigation)
- § 5:205 § 9.9.2—Joint inspection prior to partial occupancy (risk mitigation)
- § 5:206 § 9.9.3—Partial occupancy does not constitute acceptance (risk allocation)

DEFAULT

- § 5:207 § 9.10.1—Architect’s final inspection (quality)
- § 5:208 § 9.10.2—Contractor’s submittals for final payment (risk mitigation)
- § 5:209 § 9.10.3—Delay in final completion after substantial completion achieved (risk allocation)
- § 5:210 § 9.10.4—Owner’s waiver upon making final payment (risk allocation)
- § 5:211 § 9.10.5—Contractor’s waiver by acceptance of final payment (risk allocation)

**J. PROTECTION OF PERSONS AND PROPERTY**

- § 5:212 § 10.1—Safety precautions and programs (scope)
- § 5:213 § 10.2.1—Contractor’s safety precautions (risk mitigation)
- § 5:214 § 10.2.2—Contractor responsible for giving notices and complying with safety regulations (risk mitigation)
- § 5:215 § 10.2.3—Erection of safeguards and to posting of warnings (risk mitigation)
- § 5:216 § 10.2.4—Use and storage of explosives or hazardous materials (risk mitigation)
- § 5:217 § 10.2.5—Contractor’s responsibility for damage to stored materials and other people’s property (risk allocation)
- § 5:218 § 10.2.6—Contractor’s safety representative (risk mitigation)
- § 5:219 § 10.2.7—Loading precautions (risk mitigation)
- § 5:220 § 10.2.8—Injury or damage to person or property (claims administration)
- § 5:221 § 10.3.1—Stop work when encountering hazardous materials (risk mitigation)
- § 5:222 § 10.3.2—Owner’s obligation to verify the presence of hazardous material (risk mitigation)
- § 5:223 § 10.3.3—Owner’s “hazardous materials” indemnity (risk allocation)
- § 5:224 § 10.3.4—Hazardous materials introduced by contractor (risk allocation)
- § 5:225 § 10.3.5 Contractor’s reimbursement obligation (risk allocation)
- § 5:226 § 10.3.6—Owner’s reimbursement of contractor’s remediation costs (risk allocation)

§ 5:227 § 10.4—Emergencies (risk mitigation)

## K. INSURANCE AND BONDS

§ 5:228 § 11.1.1—Contractor's insurance (risk transfer)

§ 5:229 § 11.1.2—Contractor's bonds (risk mitigation)

§ 5:230 § 11.1.3—Furnishing copy of bonds (risk mitigation)

§ 5:231 § 11.1.4—Cancellation or expiration of contractor's insurance (risk mitigation)

§ 5:232 § 11.2.1—Owner's insurance (risk transfer)

§ 5:233 § 11.2.2—Failure to purchase required property insurance (risk allocation)

§ 5:234 § 11.2.3—Cancellation or expiration of owner's property insurance (risk mitigation)

§ 5:235 § 11.3.1—Waivers of subrogation regarding property insurance applicable to the project (risk allocation)

§ 5:236 § 11.3.2—Waiver of subrogation on adjacent properties and completed projects (risk allocation)

§ 5:237 § 11.4—Loss of use, business interruption, and delay in completion insurance (risk transfer)

§ 5:238 § 11.5.1—Owner's disbursement of property proceeds as fiduciary for other insureds (risk mitigation)

§ 5:239 § 11.5.2—Owner's settlement and allocation of insured loss (claims administration)

## L. UNCOVERING AND CORRECTION OF WORK

§ 5:240 § 12.1.1—Uncovering work improperly covered (quality)

§ 5:241 § 12.1.2—Uncovering work not specifically requested to be examined (quality)

§ 5:242 § 12.2.1—Correction of work before substantial completion (risk allocation)

§ 5:243 § 12.2.2.1—Correction of work after substantial completion (risk allocation)

§ 5:244 § 12.2.2.2 and § 12.2.2.3—One-year correction of work period (risk allocation)

§ 5:245 § 12.2.3—Removal of nonconforming work from the site (quality)

§ 5:246 § 12.2.4—Repair of other construction damaged

DEFAULT

- by contractor's correction efforts (risk allocation)
- § 5:247 § 12.2.5—One-year correction period governs only the correction of work remedy (legal)
- § 5:248 § 12.3—Acceptance of nonconforming work (risk allocation)

M. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- § 5:249 § 13.1—Governing law (legal)
- § 5:250 § 13.2.1—Successors and assigns (legal)
- § 5:251 § 13.2.2—Assignment to lenders (legal)
- § 5:252 § 13.3.1—Rights and remedies (legal)
- § 5:253 § 13.3.2—No waiver presumed by action or failure to act (legal)
- § 5:254 § 13.4.1—Required tests and inspections (quality)
- § 5:255 § 13.4.2—Additional testing, inspection or approval (quality)
- § 5:256 § 13.4.3—Responsibility for testing costs (risk allocation)
- § 5:257 § 13.4.4 through § 13.4.6—Testing and inspection procedures (quality)
- § 5:258 § 13.5—Interest (risk allocation)

N. TERMINATION OR SUSPENSION OF THE CONTRACT

- § 5:259 § 14.1.1—Contractor's right of termination (risk mitigation)
- § 5:260 § 14.1.2—Contractor's right to terminate due to delay (risk mitigation)
- § 5:261 § 14.1.3—Contractor's termination notice and recovery rights (risk mitigation)
- § 5:262 § 14.1.4—Work stoppage due to owner's default (risk mitigation)
- § 5:263 § 14.2.1.1—Owner's right to terminate for lack of progress (risk mitigation)
- § 5:264 § 14.2.2—Termination upon notice and architect's certification (risk mitigation)
- § 5:265 § 14.2.3—No right to payment upon termination (risk allocation)
- § 5:266 § 14.2.4—Distribution of excess contract sum/contractor's reimbursement obligation (risk allocation)

- § 5:267 § 14.3.1 and § 14.3.2—Suspension by the owner for convenience (risk allocation)
- § 5:268 § 14.4.1—Owner's right to terminate for convenience (risk allocation)
- § 5:269 § 14.4.2—Contractor's obligations upon owner's termination for convenience (risk allocation)
- § 5:270 § 14.4.3—Payment in the event of termination for convenience (risk allocation)

## O. CLAIMS AND DISPUTES

- § 5:271 § 15.1.1—Claim definition (claims administration)
- § 5:272 § 15.1.2—Time limits on claims (claims administration)
- § 5:273 § 15.1.3.1—Notice of claims discovered prior to the expiration of the period for correction (claims administration)
- § 5:274 § 15.1.3.2 Notice of claims discovered after expiration of correction of the work period (claims administration)
- § 5:275 § 15.1.4.1—Continuing contract performance (risk allocation)
- § 5:276 § 15.1.4.2—Initial decision maker's decision governs contract adjustments until final resolution in accordance with Article 15 (claims administration)
- § 5:277 § 15.1.5 Claims for additional costs (claim administration)
- § 5:278 § 15.1.6.1—Notice for claims for additional time (claims administration)
- § 5:279 § 15.1.6.2—Weather delays (claims administration)
- § 5:280 § 15.1.7—Claims for consequential damages (risk allocation)
- § 5:281 § 15.2.1—Initial decision maker's decision (dispute resolution)
- § 5:282 § 15.2.2—IDM to act within ten days of receipt of claim (dispute resolution)
- § 5:283 §§ 15.2.3 and 15.2.4—IDM's evaluation of claims (dispute resolution)
- § 5:284 § 15.2.5—IDM's decision is final and binding but subject to further dispute procedures (dispute resolution)
- § 5:285 § 15.2.6 and § 15.2.6.1—IDM decision: Waiver of



DEFAULT

- § 5:286 the right to pursue claims (dispute resolution)
- § 5:286 § 15.2.7 and § 15.2.8—Claims involving bond and lien rights (dispute resolution)
- § 5:287 § 15.3.1 and § 15.3.2—Mediation (dispute resolution)
- § 5:288 § 15.3.3—Conclusion of mediation without resolution: Waiver of the right to proceed to litigation or arbitration (dispute resolution)
- § 5:289 § 15.3.4—Costs, location and enforcement of mediation process and settlements (dispute resolution)
- § 5:290 § 15.4.1 through § 15.4.3—Arbitration (dispute resolution)
- § 5:291 § 15.4.4.1 through § 15.4.4.3—Consolidation or joinder (dispute resolution)

**IV. AIA INSURANCE AND BONDS EXHIBIT**

- § 5:292 American Institute of Architects' (AIA) 2017 Insurance Exhibit
- § 5:293 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Growing complexity and diversity of insurance products for design and construction risks
- § 5:294 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Prompting parties to discuss insurance coverage options and avoiding overreaching requirements
- § 5:295 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Defining with more specificity the types and extent of insurance coverage
- § 5:296 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Information expressly required to be provided by the parties in completing the exhibit
- § 5:297 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Tailoring coverage by exercising options and specifying limits and durations
- § 5:298 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Insurance requirements contained in the 2017 general conditions document
- § 5:299 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Summary of major changes

**Volume 2A**

**CHAPTER 6. PROJECT DELIVERY**

## **METHODS AND CONTRACT PRICING ARRANGEMENTS**

- § 6:1 Choosing a delivery approach: General observations
- § 6:2 Choosing a delivery approach: Design-bid-build
- § 6:3 Choosing a delivery approach: Design-bid-build—Build phase
- § 6:4 Choosing a delivery approach: Design-bid-build—Advantages and disadvantages of design-bid-build approach
- § 6:5 Choosing a delivery approach: Design-negotiate-build
- § 6:6 Choosing a delivery approach: Design-GMP-build
- § 6:7 Choosing a delivery approach: Engineer-procure-construct
- § 6:8 Choosing a delivery approach: Turnkey
- § 6:9 Choosing a delivery approach: Build-operate-transfer
- § 6:10 Choosing a delivery approach: Build-own-operate
- § 6:11 Choosing a delivery approach: Program management
- § 6:12 Choosing a delivery approach: Agency construction management
- § 6:13 Choosing a delivery approach: Construction management at risk
- § 6:14 Choosing a delivery approach: Design-bid-multi-prime
- § 6:15 Choosing a delivery approach: Design-build
- § 6:16 Choosing a delivery approach: Indefinite-delivery, Indefinite-quantity (IDIQ) contracts
- § 6:17 Choosing a delivery approach: Hybrid approaches
- § 6:18 Design-assist process
- § 6:19 Contracting for design-assist services
- § 6:20 Design-assist and disappointed expectations
- § 6:21 Design-assist from the perspective of the architectural and steel construction community
- § 6:21.50 AIA's design assist agreement form
- § 6:22 Partnering agreements
- § 6:23 Alliance agreements

DEFAULT

- § 6:24 Integrated Project Delivery
- § 6:25 Integrated Project Delivery—Rewards and risks
- § 6:26 Integrated Project Delivery—Keys to success—People: selecting the team
- § 6:27 Integrated Project Delivery—Keys to success—Process: managing the team
- § 6:28 Integrated Project Delivery—Keys to success—Promises: motivating the team
- § 6:29 Integrated Project Delivery—Are Integrated Project Delivery agreements a new breed of contract?
- § 6:30 Integrated Project Delivery—Prerequisites for successful collaborative undertaking
- § 6:31 Integrated Project Delivery—Basic features of AIA and ConsensusDocs Integrated Project Delivery agreements
- § 6:32 Integrated Project Delivery—Cost control—The economic model
- § 6:33 Integrated Project Delivery—Cost control—Assuring cost integrity through incentives
- § 6:34 Integrated Project Delivery—Cost control—Assuring cost integrity through IPD processes
- § 6:35 Integrated Project Delivery—Cost control—Assuring cost integrity through cost transparency
- § 6:36 Integrated Project Delivery—Project control—The management model
- § 6:37 Integrated Project Delivery—Risk control—The liability model
- § 6:38 Factors relevant to selecting delivery method
- § 6:39 Importance of selecting the proper project delivery approach
- § 6:40 Factors relevant to selecting delivery method—Importance of delivery method: Boston Harbor project
- § 6:41 Factors relevant to selecting delivery method—Building Future’s Council report: Importance of choosing appropriate delivery method
- § 6:42 Factors relevant to selecting delivery method—Relative merits of design-bid-build, construction management, and design-build delivery methods
- § 6:43 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method

- § 6:44 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Express delegation of design responsibility via contract
- § 6:45 Standard form agreements address design delegation
- § 6:46 Limits on design delegation
- § 6:47 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Design delegation via shop drawings
- § 6:48 Limits on delegating design responsibility through shop drawing process
- § 6:49 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Design delegation through performance specifications
- § 6:50 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Design delegation through coordination responsibilities
- § 6:51 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Design delegation through disclaiming adequacy of government furnished design
- § 6:52 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Patent ambiguity doctrine as vehicle for flowing design responsibility to contractor
- § 6:53 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Superior knowledge doctrine: Defense to operation of patent ambiguity rule
- § 6:54 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Design responsibility undertaken by failing to discover obvious design errors
- § 6:55 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Consequences of accepting design responsibility
- § 6:56 Contractor design responsibility in traditional design-bid-build delivery method—Government contractor defense and design liability
- § 6:57 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method

DEFAULT

- § 6:58 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—2004 AIA design-build document series
- § 6:59 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—2014 AIA design-build document series
- § 6:60 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Bridging: Sharing design responsibility between owner and design-builder
- § 6:61 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Liability for inaccurate preliminary design information
- § 6:62 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Where design-build firm is special purpose entity with few assets: Economic loss rule problem
- § 6:63 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Restrictions placed on design-builder’s performance through use of owner-furnished preliminary design data
- § 6:64 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Design-build in federal procurement
- § 6:65 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Design-build in federal procurement—Evaluating past performance
- § 6:66 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Design-build contracting in state and local government procurement
- § 6:67 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Design-build contracting in state and local government procurement—Licensing law restrictions on design-build
- § 6:68 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Owner’s right to review and approve design-builder’s design submissions
- § 6:80.50 Design-build and the *Spearin* implied warranty of design adequacy
- § 6:69 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Standard form agreement approaches to owner’s involvement in design process
- § 6:70 Predominant characteristics of design-build

- delivery method—Communication failures within design-build team
- § 6:71 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Control and ownership of design documents under design-build approach
- § 6:72 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Controlling design through Copyright Act and Lanham Act
- § 6:73 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Nature and extent of owner's right to own and control the design documents
- § 6:74 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Allocation of ownership and control of design among design-build team members
- § 6:75 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Breadth of license granted to use design documents
- § 6:76 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Effects of default or termination on right to use design documents
- § 6:77 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Indemnification against loss resulting from use of design documents
- § 6:78 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Design-build contract formation risks
- § 6:79 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Scope of work disputes in design-build contracting
- § 6:80 Warranties and guarantees in design-build and EPC contracting
- § 6:81 Predominant characteristics of design-build delivery method—Design-build and doctrine of divisible contracts
- § 6:82 Construction management
- § 6:83 Construction management—Agency construction management
- § 6:84 Construction management—At-risk construction management
- § 6:85 Construction management—Designer as construction manager
- § 6:86 Construction management—Typical

DEFAULT

- construction management services
- § 6:87 Construction management—Agency construction management under AIA standard form agreements
- § 6:88 Construction management—AIA construction manager at risk contract forms
- § 6:89 Construction management—ConsensusDocs CM agreement form
- § 6:90 Construction management—Construction management under CMAA forms
- § 6:91 Construction management—Litigation risk: Construction manager’s liability
- § 6:92 Construction management—Construction management: Procurement risk on public projects
- § 6:93 Construction management—Advantages and disadvantages of CM approach
- § 6:94 Rewards and challenges of construction management delivery models
- § 6:95 Fast-track construction
- § 6:95.50 Modular construction
- § 6:96 Price arrangements: Introduction
- § 6:97 Price arrangements: General types of pricing arrangements for construction contracts
- § 6:98 Price arrangements: Introduction—General types of pricing arrangements for construction contracts—Fixed price contracts
- § 6:99 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts
- § 6:100 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—Unbalanced pricing
- § 6:101 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—Complex unit price arrangements
- § 6:102 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—Inaccurate estimated quantities
- § 6:103 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—Estimation discrepancies: Variation in estimated quantity clause
- § 6:104 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—Poorly defined units
- § 6:105 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—Measurement problems
- § 6:106 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—Mixing unit price and lump sum concepts
- § 6:107 Price arrangements: Unit price contracts—

- Application of VEQ to indefinite quantity contracts where no purchases are made
- § 6:108 Price arrangements: Cost plus fee contracts
- § 6:109 Price arrangements: Guaranteed maximum price contracts
- § 6:110 Price arrangements: Time and material contracts
- § 6:111 Price arrangements: Force account work
- § 6:112 Price arrangements: Types of pricing arrangements for design contracts
- § 6:113 Price arrangements: Types of pricing arrangements for design contracts—Percentage of construction cost
- § 6:114 Price arrangements: Types of pricing arrangements for design contracts—Multiple of direct personnel expense
- § 6:115 Price arrangements: Types of pricing arrangements for design contracts—Hourly rates
- § 6:116 Price arrangements: Types of pricing arrangements for design contracts—Fixed price
- § 6:117 Price arrangements: Types of pricing arrangements for design contracts—Guaranteed maximum price

## **CHAPTER 7. RISK MANAGEMENT: IDENTIFYING, ALLOCATING AND MITIGATING CONSTRUCTION RISKS**

### **I. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF RISK MANAGEMENT**

#### **A. WHAT IS RISK MANAGEMENT?**

- § 7:1 Introduction
- § 7:2 What is “risk”?
- § 7:3 Risk identification
- § 7:4 Risk measurement
- § 7:5 Managing or handling risk
- § 7:6 Risk characterization
- § 7:7 General risk allocation principles—Control/benefit risk allocation model



DEFAULT

- § 7:8 General risk allocation principles—Foreseeability risk allocation model
- § 7:9 Allocation of risks distinguished from delegation of duties

## **B. RISK MANAGEMENT APPLIED TO CONSTRUCTION UNDERTAKINGS**

- § 7:10 Modeling construction risk
- § 7:11 Materiality of risk assessment for construction cost estimation process
- § 7:12 Role of contracts in managing and allocating construction risk
- § 7:13 Creating incentives through risk allocation
- § 7:14 Managing risk through contingency accounts

## **C. ROLE OF INSURANCE AND SURETY BONDS IN MANAGING CONSTRUCTION RISKS**

- § 7:15 Risk management and insurance
- § 7:16 Risk management and insurance—Standard insurance program
- § 7:17 Risk management and insurance—Extended insurance programs
- § 7:18 Risk management and surety bonds

## **D. OWNER RISK IN CONTRACTOR SELECTION PROCESS**

- § 7:19 Owner's duty to select a competent contractor
- § 7:20 Owner's duty to select a competent contractor—Peculiar risk doctrine
- § 7:21 Owner's duty to select a competent contractor—Abnormally dangerous activities
- § 7:22 Owner's duty to select a competent contractor—Allocating the risk of nondelegable duties

## **II. GOVERNMENT-IMPOSED RISK ALLOCATION: CONSTRUCTION LIMITATION OF ACTION STATUTES**

### **A. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- § 7:23 Builder statutes of limitation and repose and the

parties' expectation interests

§ 7:24 Statutes of repose vs. statutes of limitation

§ 7:25 Determining the applicable statute of limitation

## **B. GENERAL FEATURES OF BUILDER LIMITATION OF ACTION LAWS**

§ 7:26 What is an "improvement" for purposes of builder statutes of limitation?

§ 7:27 Persons covered by builder statutes of limitation

§ 7:28 Claims covered under builder statutes of limitation

§ 7:29 When do builder statutes of repose begin to run?

§ 7:30 When do statutes of limitation begin to run?

§ 7:31 Time limitations governing indemnity and contribution claims

## **C. MISCELLANEOUS STATUTES OF LIMITATION AND REPOSE ISSUES**

§ 7:32 Constitutional challenges to builder statutes of limitation and statutes of repose

§ 7:33 Application of builder statute of repose to asbestos claims

§ 7:34 Agreements to shorten limitation period or alter accrual date

§ 7:35 Tolling statutes of limitation and repose

§ 7:36 Tolling statutes of limitation and repose due to bankruptcy filing

§ 7:37 Application of statutes of limitation to claims by governmental bodies

## **III. MANAGING CONSTRUCTION DISPUTE RESOLUTION RISK**

### **A. COST CONTAINMENT OF ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY**

§ 7:38 Risks of burdensome electronic discovery

§ 7:39 Allocating the cost of electronic discovery

§ 7:40 Cost shifting and sanctions

§ 7:41 Proportionality as a guide to reducing electronic discovery costs

§ 7:42 Predictive coding

### **B. MITIGATING DOCUMENT**

## **COLLECTION CHALLENGES**

- § 7:43 Planning electronic discovery
- § 7:44 Litigation or legal holds
- § 7:45 Scope of collection activities
- § 7:46 Duty to preserve back-up tapes
- § 7:47 Accessible vs. inaccessible electronically stored information (ESI)
- § 7:48 Specifying the forms of production
- § 7:49 Metadata
- § 7:50 Search, software and science

## **C. SAFEGUARDING PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS**

- § 7:51 Preserving privilege after inadvertent production of electronic discovery; claw backs and quick peeks
- § 7:52 Maintaining privilege in the digital world
- § 7:53 2006 electronic discovery amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

## **D. AVOIDING DISCOVERY SANCTIONS**

- § 7:54 *Zubulake* and the duty to preserve
- § 7:55 Spoliation; who bears the burden of proof of prejudice?
- § 7:56 Sanctions
- § 7:57 Rule 37's safe harbor from sanctions
- § 7:58 Manual collection of electronic documents
- § 7:59 Specifying the forms of production

## **IV. MANAGING AND ALLOCATING TERRORISM AND PANDEMIC RISKS: CONCEPT OF FORCE MAJEURE**

- § 7:60 Risk allocation approaches to the events of September 11, 2001
- § 7:61 Assessing risks of terrorism and pandemic events
- § 7:62 Common law allocation of terrorism risk
- § 7:63 Terrorism as a force majeure event
- § 7:64 Allocating terrorism risk through *force majeure* clauses

## **V. ROLE OF TECHNOLOGY IN**

## **GENERATING AND MANAGING DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RISK**

### **A. BASICS OF BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING (BIM)**

- § 7:65 What is Building Information Modeling (BIM)
- § 7:66 From paper and pencil to computer-aided design to Building Information Modeling
- § 7:67 BIM and the practice of architecture
- § 7:68 Data exchange in the BIM environment: The cost of inadequate interoperability
- § 7:69 3D, 4D, 5D BIM and beyond
- § 7:70 Lonely BIM vs. social BIM
- § 7:71 Developing a BIM execution plan
- § 7:72 BIM procurement: an owner's perspective
- § 7:73 Developing BIM scopes of work

### **B. ROLE OF BIM IN GENERATING INNOVATION AND INCREASING PRODUCTIVITY**

- § 7:74 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling ("BIM")
- § 7:75 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling ("BIM")—Productivity in the construction industry: productivity problems
- § 7:76 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling ("BIM")—Importance of productivity in the construction industry
- § 7:77 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling ("BIM")—Indicia of poor productivity in the construction industry
- § 7:78 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling ("BIM")—Impact of productivity on labor requirements
- § 7:79 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling ("BIM")—Relationship between productivity and innovation

DEFAULT

- § 7:80 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—Innovation in the construction industry
- § 7:81 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—What is BIM?
- § 7:82 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—Why BIM, why now?
- § 7:83 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—BIM is changing the current project development landscape
- § 7:84 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—BIM and the practice of architecture
- § 7:85 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—Benefits of BIM
- § 7:86 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—The model as a deliverable
- § 7:87 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—Who should pay for BIM
- § 7:88 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—BIM as an agent for process change
- § 7:89 Productivity and innovation in the construction industry: The case for building information modeling (“BIM”)—Changing the legal landscape to permit BIM to flourish

### **C. CYBER THREATS IN THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY**

- § 7:90 Cyber risk in the design and construction
- § 7:91 Frequency and severity of cyber loss
- § 7:92 Types of cyber threats
- § 7:93 Managing cyber risk
- § 7:94 Matching specific prevention measures with particular threats

**D. DESIGNING AND BUILDING  
“GREEN”: ACHIEVING HIGH LEVELS  
OF SUSTAINABILITY AND  
RESILIENCY IN THE BUILT  
ENVIRONMENT**

- § 7:95 Challenges of green contracting
- § 7:96 Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP)  
“green” contracting guidelines
- § 7:97 Guiding principles for federal leadership in high  
performance and sustainable buildings
- § 7:98 Office of Federal Procurement Policy’s proposed  
policy letter on green procurement
- § 7:99 Department of Defense’s green contracting  
initiatives

**VI. CONTRACT BREACH DAMAGES AND  
MANAGING PARTIES’ EXPECTATION  
INTERESTS**

- § 7:100 Owner expectation interest in contract  
compliance—Direct, special, and incidental  
damages
- § 7:101 Calculating damages for defective design or  
construction—Examination of the “lesser of”  
rule between “cost to repair” and “diminution  
in value”
- § 7:102 Preference for the “cost rule” over the “value  
rule” for calculating defective diminution  
damages
- § 7:103 Calculating diminution in value damages
- § 7:104 Common law limitations on breach of contract  
damages
- § 7:105 Excuse doctrines
- § 7:106 Limitations on the cost of repair measurement—  
Economic waste doctrine
- § 7:107 Restrictions on the use of the disproportionality  
or economic waste rules
- § 7:108 Stigma damages and the rule of avoiding  
speculative recoveries

**VII. PROJECT RISKS: DEVELOPING A  
RISK MATRIX**

**A. INTRODUCTION**

DEFAULT

§ 7:109 Project risks

## **B. PLANNING AND SELECTION RISKS**

§ 7:110 Planning and selection risks

§ 7:111 Inadequate project planning

§ 7:112 Choosing inappropriate or inefficient project delivery system

§ 7:113 Choosing inappropriate or inadequate contract award process

§ 7:114 Choosing inappropriate or inadequate pricing arrangements

§ 7:115 Poor client selection

§ 7:116 Condominium or multi-family housing developments

§ 7:117 Assembling the primary design and construction team

§ 7:118 Subcontractor and supplier selection

## **C. CONTRACT FORMATION RISKS**

§ 7:119 Contract formation risks

§ 7:120 Illegal contracts: Agreements in violation of statutory or regulatory law

§ 7:121 Miscommunications and ambiguities in contract formation process

§ 7:122 Disappointed expectations with respect to contract award: Use of alternates in competitive bidding

§ 7:123 Subcontractor disappointment over award process: Bid shopping

§ 7:124 Reverse auction bidding

§ 7:125 Bid chiseling

§ 7:126 Doing business with foreign suppliers: Application of international law to domestic contracts

§ 7:127 Confusing public and private work: Suretyship and other consequences

§ 7:128 Refusal of prime contractor to honor its bid: Bid bond

§ 7:129 Refusal of subcontractors to honor their bids

§ 7:130 Bid repudiation defense: Obvious mistake

§ 7:131 Bid repudiation defense: Contractor's "acceptance" constitutes counteroffer

§ 7:132 Bid repudiation defense: Bid-shopping

- § 7:133 Bid repudiation defense: Delayed acceptance
- § 7:134 Bid repudiation defense: Statute of Frauds
- § 7:135 Bid repudiation: Damages and mitigation
- § 7:136 Socioeconomic contractor selection programs
- § 7:137 Constitutional challenges
- § 7:138 Project labor agreements
- § 7:139 Procurement restrictions on materials: Buy American Act

#### **D. ENTITY FORMATION RISKS**

- § 7:140 Entity formation risks
- § 7:141 Inadequate corporate form
- § 7:142 Risk of personal liability: Piercing the corporate veil
- § 7:143 Litigation risk of doing business with sole proprietors: Nonclaim statutes
- § 7:144 Doing business with sole proprietors: Workers' compensation risks
- § 7:145 Doing business without proper license
- § 7:146 Ill-conceived joint ventures
- § 7:146.50 Joint ventures and contracting with government as a small business

#### **E. ENTITY MANAGEMENT RISKS**

- § 7:147 Entity management risks
- § 7:148 Inadequate safety programs: Civil and criminal liability
- § 7:149 Inadequate quality management procedures
- § 7:150 Suspension and debarment from public contracting
- § 7:151 Inadequate internal financial controls
- § 7:152 Generational changes in ownership: Bane of the family-run construction business

#### **F. SITE RISKS**

- § 7:153 Site risks
- § 7:154 Site availability: Failure to obtain ownership, easements or rights-of-way
- § 7:155 Zoning and land use regulation
- § 7:156 Limitations on access: remote sites and problems with government approvals
- § 7:157 Underground utilities
- § 7:158 Damage to adjacent property or underground



DEFAULT

- structures
- § 7:159 Poor soils
- § 7:160 Poor drainage
- § 7:161 Congestion
- § 7:162 Underground water
- § 7:163 Security problems
- § 7:164 Inadequate site investigation
- § 7:165 Insufficient time or access to perform adequate investigation
- § 7:166 Site investigation impracticable or impossible
- § 7:167 Latent conditions in existing construction
- § 7:168 Lack of readily available power and/or other utilities
- § 7:169 Navigable waterways: Application of admiralty law to construction projects

## **G. RESOURCE RISKS**

- § 7:170 Resource risks
- § 7:171 Unavailability of sufficient amounts of skilled labor
- § 7:172 Labor unrest and strikes
- § 7:173 Managerial/supervisory inadequacy or inefficiency
- § 7:174 Injuries to employees
- § 7:175 Injury to nonemployee workers
- § 7:176 Material shortages
- § 7:177 Equipment availability

## **H. ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS**

- § 7:178 Environmental risks
- § 7:179 Asbestos
- § 7:180 Underground storage tanks
- § 7:181 Lead paint
- § 7:182 Contaminated soils
- § 7:183 Wetlands
- § 7:184 Projects in coastal zone areas
- § 7:185 Brownfields
- § 7:186 Endangered species
- § 7:187 Sedimentation and storm water runoff
- § 7:188 Disposal of construction waste
- § 7:189 Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
- § 7:190 Importation by construction team of hazardous materials

- § 7:191 Growing risks from indoor pollution
- § 7:192 Growing risks from indoor pollution—Types of indoor pollution and their health effects
- § 7:193 Growing risks from indoor pollution—Science of sick buildings: Admissibility of expert testimony
- § 7:194 Environmental remediation contracts
- § 7:195 Native American remains

## **I. TECHNOLOGY RISKS**

- § 7:196 Technology risks
- § 7:197 Software bugs
- § 7:198 Novel or unproven designs
- § 7:199 Incorporation of new products or new uses of existing products
- § 7:200 Complex building materials: Compatibility problems
- § 7:201 Complex building materials: Curtain walls
- § 7:202 Complex building materials: Water infiltration—Glass curtain wall
- § 7:203 Complex building materials: Water infiltration—Brick veneer curtain wall
- § 7:204 Complex building materials: Curtain wall cracking and spalling
- § 7:205 Complex building materials: Aesthetic curtain wall defects
- § 7:206 Complex building materials: Curtain wall collapse
- § 7:207 Complex building materials: Curtain walls and sick building syndrome
- § 7:208 Complex building materials: Design professional's reliance on curtain wall marketing information
- § 7:209 Complex building materials: Contractor's defenses to defective curtain wall claims—Implied warranty of the design
- § 7:210 Complex building materials: Curtain wall defenses—Economic waste
- § 7:211 Complex building materials: Risk management techniques practiced by curtain wall manufacturers—Disclaimers and limitations of liability provisions
- § 7:212 Complex building materials: Risk that curtain wall product information will give rise to tort of

DEFAULT

- misrepresentation
- § 7:213 Complex building materials: Allocating risk of loss from curtain wall failures—Contribution
- § 7:214 Exterior insulation and finish systems (EIFS) or synthetic stucco cladding systems
- § 7:215 Exterior insulation and finish systems (EIFS) or synthetic stucco cladding systems—Litigation
- § 7:216 Systems performance requirements or guarantees
- § 7:217 Patent liability
- § 7:218 Copyright liability

## **J. COMMUNICATION RISKS**

- § 7:219 Communication risks
- § 7:220 Different languages
- § 7:221 Cultural differences
- § 7:222 Doing business with Indian tribes
- § 7:223 Ambiguous contract documents
- § 7:224 Poorly coordinated contract documents
- § 7:225 Vague, indefinite or ambiguous contract or work scopes
- § 7:226 Contract documents fail to accurately describe project conditions
- § 7:227 Confusion over the responsibility for taxes, duties and fees: Tax exempt and federal projects
- § 7:228 Confusion over the shop drawing process
- § 7:229 Ill-defined costs
- § 7:230 Failure or delay in giving notice of material information
- § 7:231 Claim notice requirements: Enforcement and compliance problems
- § 7:232 Confusion over scope of authority
- § 7:233 Failure to clearly delineate design responsibilities of contracting team
- § 7:234 Scope of design and construction team's obligation to comply with all laws, regulations, ordinances and codes
- § 7:235 Personality conflicts between member participants
- § 7:236 Tortious communications: Defamation risks
- § 7:237 Confusion over meaning of unit-price arrangements

## **K. WAIVER/ESTOPPEL RISKS**

- § 7:238 Waiver/estoppel risks
- § 7:239 Waiver/estoppel risks—Concepts of waiver, estoppel and release
- § 7:240 Relative significance of waiver, estoppel and release to construction disputes
- § 7:241 Determining the scope of broad release language
- § 7:242 Waiver of right to terminate
- § 7:243 Waiver in shop drawing approval process
- § 7:244 Waiver through acceptance of defective work
- § 7:245 Waiver of impact costs
- § 7:246 Waiver of insurance rights
- § 7:247 Waiver of claims through execution of change order release language
- § 7:248 Waiver in course of executing settlement agreements
- § 7:249 Waiver of completion date
- § 7:250 Waiver of written change order requirements
- § 7:251 Waiver of notice requirements
- § 7:252 Waiver in bid process
- § 7:253 Waiver of cost guarantees
- § 7:254 Waiver of exculpatory provisions

## **L. EXPECTATION RISKS**

- § 7:255 Expectation risks
- § 7:256 Owner's reliance upon inaccurate cost estimates
- § 7:257 Unanticipated site conditions
- § 7:258 Contractor's failure to accurately cost work
- § 7:259 Unusually high performance expectations: Interplay between concepts of "strict compliance" and "substantial performance"
- § 7:260 Expectation disagreements over quality: the role of industry standards and course of dealing
- § 7:261 Disagreements over architect's payment certification decisions
- § 7:262 Unreasonable completion schedule
- § 7:263 Failure of recoverable damages to meet injured party's expectations
- § 7:264 Failed damages expectations: Case study, increased insurance premiums
- § 7:265 Failed damages expectations: Case study, impaired bonding capacity
- § 7:266 Frustrated profit motive

DEFAULT

- § 7:267 Unrealistic risk allocation
- § 7:268 Disappointed value engineering expectations
- § 7:269 Unrealistic claim pricing: Establishing the existence and amount of loss

**M. FINANCIAL RISKS**

- § 7:270 Financial risks
- § 7:271 Major participant insolvency
- § 7:272 Bankruptcy of major contractor or subcontractor participant
- § 7:273 Bankruptcy of major participant—Executory contracts
- § 7:274 Insufficient unencumbered value in project for mechanics' lien recovery
- § 7:275 Inadequate project budget or funding
- § 7:276 Loss or damage incurred by third parties
- § 7:277 Consequential damages
- § 7:278 Inflation, increased interest or financing costs
- § 7:279 Lender, surety, or insurer insolvency

**N. COMPLETION RISKS (TIME)**

- § 7:280 Completion risks (time)
- § 7:281 Delays to design work
- § 7:282 Delays in transmittal/submittal process
- § 7:283 Delays in issuing and responding to requests for information or interpretation (RFIs)
- § 7:284 Completion delay not within parties' control
- § 7:285 Completion delay within one or more of the parties' control
- § 7:286 Completion delay within one or more of the parties' control—Concurrent delay
- § 7:287 Completion delay within one or more of the parties' control—Liquidated damages: An allocation solution:
- § 7:288 Untimely inspection and testing
- § 7:289 Multiple primes/coordination failures
- § 7:290 Delay responding to and giving direction in face of changed conditions or changed work

**O. COMPLETION RISKS (COST)**

- § 7:291 Completion risks (cost)
- § 7:292 Cost escalation of critical labor, materials or equipment

- § 7:293 Cost overruns within contractor's control
- § 7:294 Voluntary owner changes
- § 7:295 Involuntary changes in scope of work
- § 7:296 Increase in work units
- § 7:297 Unproductive/disrupted work conditions
- § 7:298 Accelerated and/or out-of-sequence work
- § 7:299 Overly burdensome inspection and testing requirements
- § 7:300 Improper or inefficient construction means and methods
- § 7:301 Constructability problems

## **P. COMPLETION RISKS (QUALITY)**

- § 7:302 Completion risks (quality)
- § 7:303 Inadequate or insufficient plans and specifications
- § 7:304 Specification and/or use of unsuitable products
- § 7:305 Defective construction
- § 7:306 Nonconforming work
- § 7:307 Inadequate warranties/remedies
- § 7:308 Failure to achieve performance requirements
- § 7:309 Inadequate inspection and testing
- § 7:310 Nonconforming or defective goods

## **Q. PAYMENT RISKS**

- § 7:311 Payment risks
- § 7:312 Nonpayment
- § 7:313 Late payment: Liability for interest
- § 7:314 Overpayment
- § 7:315 Preferences

## **R. PROJECT ADMINISTRATION RISKS**

- § 7:316 Project administration risks
- § 7:317 Inadequate record-keeping procedures
- § 7:318 Inadequate policies and procedures to ensure effective communication
- § 7:319 Inefficient dispute resolution procedures

## **S. FORCE MAJEURE RISKS**

- § 7:320 Force majeure risks
- § 7:321 Unusually severe weather
- § 7:322 Relief from disruption caused by COVID-19

DEFAULT

pandemic

## **T. POLITICAL RISKS**

- § 7:323 Political risks
- § 7:324 War or hostilities
- § 7:325 Strike or lockout or other industrial action by workers not due to fault of any construction participant
- § 7:326 Changes in law that adversely affect project
- § 7:327 Government refusal to issue permits or licenses necessary for project
- § 7:328 Expropriation
- § 7:329 Repudiation of necessary governmental approvals or agreements
- § 7:330 Governmental orders and penalties adversely impacting construction
- § 7:331 Import/export restrictions
- § 7:332 Local courts or administrative bodies failing to recognize choice of law, venue and dispute resolution choices made by parties
- § 7:333 Inability to gain entry for key personnel
- § 7:334 Threats to in-country management
- § 7:335 Running afoul of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

## **U. CURRENCY RISKS**

- § 7:336 International taxation
- § 7:337 Currency risks
- § 7:338 Inconvertibility of currency
- § 7:339 Transfer risk
- § 7:340 Devaluation risk

# **Volume 3**

## **CHAPTER 8. PAYMENT PROCESS: FINANCING, SECURING AND MAKING PAYMENT**

### **I. INTRODUCTION AND PAYMENT TYPES**

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 Progress payments

- § 8:3 Progress payments—Calculation methodologies
- § 8:4 Payment for stored materials
- § 8:5 Unit pricing: Introduction
- § 8:6 Unit pricing—Payment of units within defined space
- § 8:7 Unit pricing—General unit price payment terms
- § 8:8 Unit pricing—Blending lump-sum and unit-price payment items
- § 8:9 Unit pricing—Conversion issues
- § 8:10 Unit pricing—Parol evidence altering the meaning of unit price terms
- § 8:11 Unit pricing—Applicability where contract has been terminated
- § 8:12 Unit pricing—Unspecified measurement methods
- § 8:13 Unit pricing—Ambiguous measurement specifications
- § 8:14 Unit pricing—Overlapping and vague categories
- § 8:15 Unit pricing—VEQ clause
- § 8:16 Unit pricing—Requirement for written change order
- § 8:17 Payment measurements based upon quality assessments
- § 8:18 Consequences of withholding progress payments

## **II. RETAINAGE**

- § 8:19 Retainage
- § 8:20 Retainage—Retainage statutes
- § 8:21 Retainage—Warranty retainage
- § 8:22 Rights of third parties to retainage

## **III. CERTIFYING PAYMENT AND PROGRESS**

- § 8:23 Design Professional's certificates for payment
- § 8:24 Punch list work

## **IV. WAIVERS ARISING FROM MAKING OR ACCEPTING PAYMENT**

- § 8:25 Waiver through acceptance of final payment
- § 8:26 Waiver through making of final payment

## **V. ROLE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION ON THE PAYMENT AND CLAIMS PROCESS**



DEFAULT

- § 8:27 Substantial completion—In general
- § 8:28 Substantial completion—Statutes of limitation and repose
- § 8:29 Substantial completion—Entitlement to contract balance
- § 8:30 Substantial completion—Liquidated damages
- § 8:31 Substantial completion—Lien rights
- § 8:32 Substantial completion—Termination
- § 8:33 Substantial completion—Bid relief
- § 8:34 Substantial completion—Claim notification
- § 8:35 Substantial completion—Overhead costs
- § 8:36 Substantial completion—Owner’s damages
- § 8:37 Substantial completion—Effect of substantial performance on calculating defective construction damages
- § 8:38 Substantial completion—Attorney’s fees
- § 8:39 Substantial completion—Interest
- § 8:40 Substantial completion—Allocation of risks and commencement of warranties

**VI. UNAUTHORIZED PAYMENT AND OTHER PAYMENT MISHAPS**

- § 8:41 Unauthorized public contracts
- § 8:42 Unauthorized public contracts—Inadequate appropriations
- § 8:43 Front-end loaded contracts and unbalanced bids
- § 8:44 Overpayment: Defense for the surety
- § 8:45 Payment misapplication

**VII. TRUST FUND CREATION AND LIABILITY IN THE PAYMENT PROCESS**

- § 8:46 Trust fund statutes
- § 8:47 Creating construction trust fund obligations by implication
- § 8:48 Trust fund contract provisions
- § 8:49 Individual liability for trust fund violations
- § 8:50 Dischargeability of trust fund debts
- § 8:51 Effect of trust fund laws on lenders and taxing authorities

**VIII. CONTRACTUAL LIMITATIONS ON RIGHT TO PAYMENT**

- § 8:52 Contractual limitations on right to receive payment: Introduction
- § 8:53 Owner's liability for construction manager's failure to pay trade contractors: A question of agency
- § 8:54 Conditional payment arrangements (e.g., pay-if-paid provisions)
- § 8:55 Enforceability of conditional payment clauses containing express "condition precedent" language
- § 8:56 Conditional payment clauses and prompt payment legislation
- § 8:57 Conditional payment provision as a defense for the payment bond surety
- § 8:58 Liquidation or pass-through claims agreements

## **IX. JOINT PAYMENT**

- § 8:59 Joint check arrangements: Consequences for payor
- § 8:60 Consequences of joint check arrangements on payees: The "joint check rule"
- § 8:61 Joint checks and bankruptcy law

## **X. INTEREST ON LATE PAYMENT**

- § 8:62 Prejudgment interest under Contract Disputes Act
- § 8:63 Entitlement to prejudgment interest under state law

## **XI. PROMPT PAYMENT LEGISLATION**

- § 8:64 Federal prompt payment legislation
- § 8:65 State prompt payment acts
- § 8:66 Setoff rights under prompt payment laws
- § 8:67 State prompt payment acts—Payment bond surety's liability for prompt payment act penalties

## **XII. ASSIGNING PAYMENT**

- § 8:68 Assignment of payment rights
- § 8:69 Effects of factoring on payment process

## **XIII. STATUTORY LIABILITY FOR FALSE**

## **PAYMENT REQUESTS**

### **A. FALSE CLAIMS ACT (FCA) LIABILITY RELATED TO THE PAYMENT AND CLAIM PROCESS**

- § 8:70 Contractor FCA liability—Introduction
- § 8:71 Contracting arrangements influencing FCA liability
- § 8:72 Surety exposure to FCA liability
- § 8:73 Immunity from FCA liability
- § 8:74 FCA liability in contract formation process
- § 8:75 Submission of false information in course of payment process: False Claims Act (FCA)—FCA liability on federally funded state and local projects
- § 8:76 Element of materiality under FCA
- § 8:77 FCA liability in claims certification process
- § 8:78 FCA liability for non-conforming work
- § 8:79 FCA liability for non-compliance with women/minority or disadvantaged business programs
- § 8:80 FCA liability for violations of the Buy American Act
- § 8:81 FCA liability for Davis-Bacon Act violations
- § 8:82 FCA liability for pass-through claims
- § 8:83 Fraud liability under Contract Disputes Act
- § 8:84 Constitutional concerns over FCA penalties
- § 8:85 Private suits under False Claims Act: Qui tam actions

### **B. CRIMINALIZING THE PAYMENT PROCESS**

- § 8:86 Criminalizing the payment process: Criminal False Claims Act
- § 8:87 Is materiality an element of criminal FCA?
- § 8:88 State law criminal penalties for nonpayment

### **C. STATE FALSE CLAIM LEGISLATION**

- § 8:89 State false claims statutes: Introduction
- § 8:90 California's False Claims Act
- § 8:91 Delaware's False Claims Act
- § 8:92 Florida's False Claims Act
- § 8:93 Hawaii's False Claims Act
- § 8:94 Illinois's False Claims Act

- § 8:95 Massachusetts's False Claims Act
- § 8:96 Montana's False Claims Act
- § 8:97 New Jersey's False Claims Act
- § 8:98 Nevada's False Claims Act
- § 8:99 Tennessee's False Claims Act
- § 8:100 Virginia's False Claims Act
- § 8:101 Washington D.C.'s False Claims Act

#### **XIV. SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ISSUES RELATED TO PAYMENT**

- § 8:102 Federal sovereign immunity: Tucker Act and Contract Disputes Act
- § 8:103 Waiver of immunity through Federal Tort Claims Act
- § 8:104 Administrative Procedure Act's waiver of sovereign immunity
- § 8:105 Sovereign immunity: State and local government contracts
- § 8:106 Waiver of state and local governmental immunity in contract actions: Scope and compliance
- § 8:107 Eleventh Amendment's bar against federal court actions

#### **XV. BANKRUPTCY ISSUES ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH THE PAYMENT PROCESS**

- § 8:108 Section 362: Automatic stay
- § 8:109 Application of automatic stay to trust property
- § 8:110 Relief from automatic stay
- § 8:111 Preferences: Recapturing payments made
- § 8:112 Preferences and letters of credit
- § 8:113 Preference defined in terms of Chapter 7 distribution
- § 8:114 Calculating the preference period
- § 8:115 Affirmative defenses to preference actions
- § 8:116 Contemporaneous new value exchange preference exception
- § 8:117 Ordinary course of business preference exception
- § 8:118 Statutory lien preference exception
- § 8:119 Unsecured credit exception
- § 8:120 Setoff and recoupment

DEFAULT

- § 8:121 Setoff rights of surety
- § 8:122 Right of setoff as applied to mechanics' liens
- § 8:123 Recoupment
- § 8:124 Bankruptcy Abuse and Consumer Protection Act

**XVI. LENDER LIABILITY ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH THE PAYMENT PROCESS**

- § 8:125 Lender liability in construction financing
- § 8:126 Construction loan underwriting and project relationships
- § 8:127 Loan agreement and construction documents
- § 8:128 "Obligatory Advance Rule" and the lender's dilemma
- § 8:129 Fall of privity and rise of equity from a construction lender's perspective
- § 8:130 Ownership theory: Lender assignment of and subrogation to owner's position under owner-contractor contract
- § 8:131 Ownership theory: Lender as "co-venturer"
- § 8:132 Ownership theory: Lender control and domination of owner
- § 8:133 Contract theory: Contractor as "third-party beneficiary" of construction loan agreement
- § 8:134 Construction lender's "joint check" agreement
- § 8:135 Lender's direct contract or guarantee
- § 8:136 Construction lender liability for tortious interference
- § 8:137 Construction lender liability for misrepresentation or fraud
- § 8:138 Construction lender's implied warranty liability
- § 8:139 Construction lender liability for negligence in inspecting or approving design or construction
- § 8:140 Construction lender liability for negligence disbursement
- § 8:141 Equitable theory: Construction lender liability for unjust enrichment
- § 8:142 Construction lender liability—Equitable lien or constructive trust
- § 8:143 Use of equitable lien and constructive trust against government agencies and private construction lenders
- § 8:144 Construction lender liability and quantum

- meruit
- § 8:145 Construction lender liability and equitable estoppel
- § 8:146 Construction lender liability and equitable subordination
- § 8:147 Construction lender defenses to equitable claims
- § 8:148 Construction lender's recourse against surety's dual-obligee bond
- § 8:149 Construction lender's duty to fund where there are substantial cost overruns

## **XVII. SECURING PAYMENT: MECHANICS' LIENS**

- § 8:150 Mechanics' liens
- § 8:151 Mechanics' liens and "forum selection" clauses
- § 8:152 Construing mechanics' lien statutes: Blend of strict and liberal statutory construction
- § 8:153 Constitutional challenges to mechanics' lien laws
- § 8:154 Claims for union contributions and fringe benefits: ERISA and other matters
- § 8:155 Rights of design professionals to assert mechanics' liens
- § 8:156 Determining what work qualifies as commencing improvement
- § 8:157 Lien for materials
- § 8:158 Lien for equipment
- § 8:159 What is an "improvement" justifying a lien?
- § 8:160 Miscellaneous lien costs: General home office overhead, profits, insurance premiums, financing and the like
- § 8:161 Remote lien claimants
- § 8:162 Unlicensed lien claimants
- § 8:163 Liening public property
- § 8:164 Public improvement lien
- § 8:165 Liens for work provided to those with a leasehold interest
- § 8:166 Liening jointly owned property
- § 8:167 Liening condominiums, townhomes and other such property
- § 8:168 Calculating amount of the lien
- § 8:169 Consequences of liening property for an amount in excess of what is owed
- § 8:170 Lien notice and filing requirements

DEFAULT

- § 8:171 Time limitations for foreclosing mechanics' liens
- § 8:172 Stop notice legislation
- § 8:173 Effect of bankruptcy on mechanics' lien rights:  
Automatic stay issues
- § 8:174 Effect of bankruptcy on mechanics' lien rights:  
Preference challenges
- § 8:175 Priority issues: Mechanics' lien claimants versus  
the construction lender
- § 8:176 Priority issues: "Commencement of the  
improvement"—Determining accrual of  
mechanics' lien
- § 8:177 Priority issues: The *D'Oench Duhme* doctrine
- § 8:178 Equitable liens
- § 8:179 Constitutional liens
- § 8:180 Waiver of lien rights

**XVIII. SECURING PAYMENT: PAYMENT BONDS**

- § 8:181 Payment bonds: Introduction
- § 8:182 Types of payment bond obligations
- § 8:183 Statutory or private combined payment-  
performance bond
- § 8:184 Statutory payment bond
- § 8:185 Private payment bond
- § 8:186 AIA A312 Bond
- § 8:187 Private "mechanics' lien" bond
- § 8:188 Private indemnity bond
- § 8:189 Distinguishing between public and private  
payment bonds: "Statutory bonds" versus  
"common-law bonds"
- § 8:190 Public owner liability for failure to obtain  
statutory payment bond
- § 8:191 Public owner liability for failure to verify  
sufficiency of bond and solvency of payment  
bond surety
- § 8:192 Payment bond class of protected claimants
- § 8:193 Payment bond "Double Jeopardy"
- § 8:194 Class of costs covered by payment bond
- § 8:195 Costs covered by payment bond: Labor
- § 8:196 Costs covered by payment bond: Materials
- § 8:197 Costs covered by payment bond: Equipment
- § 8:198 Costs covered by payment bond: Insurance  
premiums
- § 8:199 Costs covered by payment bond: Loans

- § 8:200 Costs covered by payment bond: Lost profits
- § 8:201 Costs covered by payment bond: Delay damages
- § 8:202 Costs covered by payment bond: Recovery for bond principal's tortious conduct
- § 8:203 Payment bond surety's responsibility for prejudgment interest
- § 8:204 Payment bond surety's responsibility for attorneys' fees
- § 8:205 Payment bonds notice and commencement of suit requirements
- § 8:206 Payment bond surety's "bond defenses"
- § 8:207 Assignment of bond rights
- § 8:208 Waiver of payment bond rights
- § 8:209 Surety's duty to investigate

## **CHAPTER 9. WARRANTIES**

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

- § 9:1 Doctrine of warranty: Express and implied warranties in construction
- § 9:2 Warranty coverages: Overlays, duplications and gaps among the contracting tiers

### **II. TYPES AND ORIGINS OF WARRANTIES**

- § 9:3 Warranty as tort, contract, statutory or otherwise: Significance of characterization
- § 9:4 Warranties implied-in-fact as distinct from implied-in-law
- § 9:5 Warranty-type representations serving as basis for liability in tort, contract or warranty
- § 9:6 Evolution of strict liability from origins of warranty

### **III. WARRANTY CONTRASTED WITH OTHER ACTIONS**

- § 9:7 Contrasting breach of express warranty and product defect liability
- § 9:8 Warranties as distinct from rights of rejection and revocation
- § 9:9 Warranties as distinct from certifications
- § 9:10 Warranty contrasted with strict liability: Recovery for personal injury or property damage



DEFAULT

- caused by defective products
- § 9:11 Warranty liability and state consumer protection laws
- § 9:12 Implied duty of good faith and fair dealing in warranty obligations

**IV. SCOPE OF UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE**

- § 9:13 Applicability of Uniform Commercial Code
- § 9:14 Application of U.C.C. to sales of goods from foreign sellers: The Vienna Convention
- § 9:15 Application of U.C.C. to equipment leases

**V. EXPRESS WARRANTIES GOVERNED BY UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE**

**A. TYPES OF EXPRESS WARRANTIES**

- § 9:16 U.C.C. express warranties: Introduction
- § 9:17 U.C.C. express warranties—General types of express warranties

**B. CREATION OF EXPRESS WARRANTIES**

- § 9:18 U.C.C. express warranties—Basis of bargain requirements
- § 9:19 U.C.C. express warranties—Warranties arising from the promise to supply goods pursuant to detailed specifications
- § 9:20 U.C.C. express warranties—“Puffing” and vague expressions and affirmations of quality and value
- § 9:21 Acceptance of goods as critical to formation of a warranty claim
- § 9:22 U.C.C. express warranties—Brochures and sales literature: Liability for passing along another’s representations
- § 9:23 Implied warranty of whole product by way of express warranty of integral part
- § 9:24 U.C.C. express warranties—Samples and models
- § 9:25 U.C.C. express warranties—Statements that goods will comply with plans and specifications
- § 9:26 U.C.C. express warranties—Comparisons to competing products
- § 9:27 U.C.C. express warranties—Promises of delivery of materials or equipment at specified time

**C. WARRANTIES OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE**

- § 9:28 U.C.C. express warranties—Warranty of future performance: Requirement of clarity of expression
- § 9:29 U.C.C. express warranties—Warranty of future performance: Accrual and limitations

**VI. U.C.C. IMPLIED WARRANTIES**

- § 9:30 U.C.C. Implied product warranties of merchantability and fitness of purpose
- § 9:31 U.C.C. implied warranty of merchantability
- § 9:32 U.C.C. implied warranty of fitness for particular purpose
- § 9:33 Implied warranties arising from course of dealing or usage of trade

**VII. PRODUCT WARRANTY DISCLAIMERS AND LIMITATIONS**

- § 9:34 U.C.C. implied warranty disclaimers
- § 9:35 U.C.C. express warranty disclaimers
- § 9:36 Consequences of disclaiming warranties
- § 9:37 Disclaimers and Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act
- § 9:38 U.C.C. Limitations of liability
- § 9:39 Warranty disclaimers or limitations contained in multiple forms: Proper application under U.C.C. § 2-207
- § 9:40 Regulating use of disclaimers and limitations of liability under U.C.C.: Concept of unconscionability

**VIII. ROLE OF PAROL EVIDENCE IN WARRANTY LIABILITY**

- § 9:41 U.C.C. express warranties—Oral representations as basis for express warranty
- § 9:42 Precontractual negotiations: Using parol evidence rule as shield against U.C.C. express warranty liability
- § 9:43 Integration clauses and parol evidence: U.C.C.'s approach to striking the proper balance

**IX. ROLE OF PRIVACY IN U.C.C. WARRANTY**

DEFAULT

## **CREATION AND RECOVERY**

- § 9:44 Extent of U.C.C. warranty protection: Issue of privity
- § 9:45 Extent of U.C.C. warranty protection: Issue of privity—U.C.C. § 2-318
- § 9:46 Many manifestations of privity and privity substitutes in connection with U.C.C. warranties

## **X. U.C.C. PRODUCT REMEDIES**

- § 9:47 Remedies which “fail of their essential purpose”
- § 9:48 Effect of failure of exclusive repair remedy upon limitation of liability for consequential damages
- § 9:49 Damages available under U.C.C. for breach of warranty

## **XI. BREACH OF PRODUCT WARRANTIES**

- § 9:50 U.C.C. express warranties—Establishing breach: Scope and meaning of representations
- § 9:51 U.C.C. express warranties—Proof of causation of loss
- § 9:52 U.C.C. express warranties—Consequences of inspection
- § 9:53 U.C.C. express warranties—Buyer’s notification obligation under U.C.C.

## **XII. ECONOMIC LOSS DOCTRINE’S ROLE IN RECOVERY FOR PRODUCT AND CONSTRUCTION-RELATED LOSS**

- § 9:54 Economic loss rule: Introduction
- § 9:55 Economic loss doctrine: Protean spawn of the marriage between Lernaean Hydra and Oedipean Sphinx
- § 9:56 Economic loss under an “integrated system” analysis
- § 9:57 Recovery of economic loss under express warranty theory
- § 9:58 Recovery of economic loss under implied warranty theory
- § 9:59 Recovery of economic loss under state consumer protection laws
- § 9:60 Recovery of economic loss under misrepresentation, fraud, and special duty

theories

### **XIII. CONSTRUCTION WARRANTIES**

#### **A. CONTRACTOR EXPRESS WARRANTIES**

- § 9:61 Common law express warranties, guarantees, and correction remedies
- § 9:62 Contractor express warranties of materials and workmanship
- § 9:63 Contractor express warranties of materials and workmanship: Misuse/abuse defense
- § 9:64 Does the workmanship warranty extend to design defects?
- § 9:65 Contractor warranties of title
- § 9:66 Contractor express warranty that materials and equipment will be of good quality and new
- § 9:67 Contractor express warranty that the work will be defect-free
- § 9:68 Contractor express warranty that work will conform with requirements of contract documents
- § 9:69 Performance specifications and contractor's conformance warranty
- § 9:70 Contractor express warranty of satisfactory operation
- § 9:71 Contractor's promise to correct nonconforming work for a specified time after substantial completion: Post-completion repair guarantees

#### **B. CONTRACTOR IMPLIED DUTY TO SEEK CLARIFICATION**

- § 9:72 Contractor's implied duty to seek clarification of obvious design discrepancies: Control over "last clear chance" to avoid dispute
- § 9:73 Contractor's implied duty to seek clarification distinguished from contractor's AIA review obligations
- § 9:74 Contractor's implied duty to seek clarification compared with duty to alert owner of errors or discrepancies
- § 9:75 Contractor's implied duty to warn of defects, errors and code violations

#### **C. IMPLIED WARRANTY OF WORKMANLIKE**

DEFAULT

## PERFORMANCE

- § 9:76 Common law implied warranties: Introduction
- § 9:77 Contractor's implied warranty of workmanlike performance
- § 9:78 Contractor's implied warranty of suitability of construction work
- § 9:79 Workmanlike performance: Warranting a standard of conduct
- § 9:80 Scope of implied warranty of workmanlike performance
- § 9:81 Workmanlike performance evaluated by examining what contractor was hired to do
- § 9:82 Workmanlike performance and habitability: Distinct concepts sometimes confused

### D. IMPLIED WARRANTY OF HABITABILITY

- § 9:83 Implied warranty of habitability: Nature of implied obligation
- § 9:84 Strict liability and home builders
- § 9:85 Home warranties or service contracts
- § 9:86 Disclaiming implied warranty of habitability
- § 9:87 Application of implied warranties of habitability and workmanlike performance to subsequent purchasers

## XIV. STATUTORY WARRANTIES APPLYING TO CONSTRUCTION UNDERTAKINGS

- § 9:88 Statutory warranties applying to residential construction
- § 9:89 Construction defect and right-to-repair statutes

## XV. OWNER'S IMPLIED WARRANTY OF DESIGN ADEQUACY: *SPEARIN* DOCTRINE

### A. *SPEARIN* DOCTRINE: ORIGINS AND SCOPE

- § 9:90 Implied warranty of design adequacy: *Spearin* doctrine
- § 9:91 *Spearin* doctrine: Product specifications and manufacturing defects
- § 9:92 Owner's implied design adequacy warranty influenced by the chosen project delivery

approach

- § 9:93 Adoption of *Spearin* doctrine by state courts
- § 9:94 Delegation of implied warranty of design adequacy
- § 9:95 *Spearin* warranty used as shield against liability

**B. *SPEARIN* DOCTRINE DISCLAIMERS AND LIMITATIONS**

- § 9:96 Disclaiming implied warranty of design adequacy
- § 9:97 Limitations of liability applying to construction undertakings

**C. OWNER'S IMPLIED DESIGN WARRANTY CONTRASTED WITH OTHER OBLIGATIONS**

- § 9:98 Implied warranty of design adequacy distinguished from design professional malpractice
- § 9:99 Owner's implied warranty of design adequacy versus contractor's warranty of materials

**D. APPLICATION OF OWNER'S IMPLIED DESIGN WARRANTY TO SPECIFIC SITUATIONS**

- § 9:100 Application of implied warranty of design adequacy for jointly developed design specifications
- § 9:101 Application of implied warranty of design adequacy to performance specifications
- § 9:102 Application of implied warranty of design adequacy to mixed design and performance specifications
- § 9:103 Application of implied warranty of design adequacy to performance acceptance requirements

**E. BREACH OF THE OWNER'S IMPLIED DESIGN WARRANTY**

- § 9:104 Establishing breach of implied warranty of design adequacy

**XVI. DESIGN/BUILD WARRANTIES**

DEFAULT

- § 9:105 Warranties in design/build contracting
- § 9:106 Implied warranties unique to design/build contracting

## **XVII. OWNER'S IMPLIED DUTIES AND APPROVALS**

- § 9:107 Owner's implied duty of full disclosure: Control over information material to construction
- § 9:108 Owner's implied warranty of commercial availability under "brand name" specifications
- § 9:109 Implications of owner's approval of contractor plant, equipment or "work plan"
- § 9:110 Implications of owner's approval of concrete mix design
- § 9:111 Implications of owner's specifying construction methods
- § 9:112 Owner's implied duty to provide achievable design
- § 9:113 Owner's implied duty of cooperation
- § 9:114 Owner's implied warranty of adequacy of contract time

## **XVIII. DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF COMMON LAW CONSTRUCTION WARRANTIES**

- § 9:115 Damage measures for breach of construction warranties
- § 9:116 Effect of contract provision guaranteeing repair of defective work on application of "diminution in value" measure
- § 9:117 Determining the date for calculating damages and interest for warranty breach due to defective construction

## **XIX. WARRANTIES ON FEDERAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS**

- § 9:118 Negotiating warranties on federal projects
- § 9:119 Express warranties in federal procurement: F.A.R. warranty of construction clause

# **CHAPTER 10. INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION**

## **I. INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION:**

## **INTRODUCTION**

- § 10:1 Introduction
- § 10:2 Express indemnity
- § 10:3 Common-law indemnity
- § 10:4 Comparative implied indemnity
- § 10:5 Contribution

## **II. INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION: ACCRUAL, CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS**

- § 10:6 Accrual of right to contribution or indemnity
- § 10:7 When is an indemnity claim ripe for adjudication?
- § 10:8 Conditioning indemnity upon notice
- § 10:9 Survival of indemnity obligation beyond termination of parties' contractual relationship
- § 10:10 Statutory time bars affecting the right to indemnity and contribution
- § 10:11 Contribution and statutes of repose: Minnesota's bridge-collapse litigation

## **III. POLICY CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING INDEMNITY RIGHTS**

- § 10:12 Policy considerations in favor of risk transference through express indemnity
- § 10:13 Policy considerations in favor of restricting use of indemnity agreements
- § 10:14 Indemnity and sovereign immunity
- § 10:15 Judicial treatment of public policy arguments

## **IV. INTERPRETATION OF INDEMNITY AGREEMENTS**

### **A. ORIGINS OF INDEMNITY INTERPRETATION**

- § 10:16 Equitable origins of indemnity impact and interpretation theory

### **B. STRICT CONSTRUCTION OF INDEMNITY LANGUAGE**

- § 10:17 Strict construction of indemnity language
- § 10:18 Stricter than strict: Express negligence test for



DEFAULT

- indemnity agreements
- § 10:19 Role of parol evidence in interpreting indemnity language under strict construction test
- § 10:20 “Construed against the drafter” rule applied to indemnity agreements

**C. LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION OF INDEMNITY LANGUAGE**

- § 10:21 “Liberal” or “fair construction” rule applied to indemnity agreements
- § 10:22 Role of parol evidence in interpreting indemnity agreements under liberal construction test

**D. MANAGING THE INDEMNITY INTERPRETATION PROCESS**

- § 10:23 Contractually agreeing to standard of interpretation governing the indemnity undertaking
- § 10:24 Blue-penciling indemnity covenants
- § 10:25 Flow-down or incorporation-by-reference language and indemnification

**E. INTERPRETING PARTICULAR INDEMNITY LANGUAGE**

- § 10:26 Interpretations of “arising out of” language in indemnity agreements: Shades of causation
- § 10:27 Drafting and interpreting fault-free triggers
- § 10:28 Interpretation and purpose of sole-negligence exception to the indemnification undertaking
- § 10:29 Interpreting mutual indemnity clauses
- § 10:30 Interpretive challenges posed by multiple indemnity provisions in same or related documents

**F. MISCELLANEOUS INDEMNITY INTERPRETATION ISSUES**

- § 10:31 Interpreting agreements to procure insurance contrasted with indemnity agreements

**V. DETERMINING THE LAW GOVERNING INDEMNITY AGREEMENTS**

- § 10:32 Choosing the law governing the indemnity undertaking: Choice-of-law provisions
- § 10:33 Conflict-of-laws analysis governing indemnity actions where no choice-of-law provision applies
- § 10:34 Choice-of-law analysis and anti-indemnity statutes
- § 10:35 Statutory restrictions on construction agreement choice-of-law and venue provisions

## **VI. ANATOMY OF AN EXPRESS INDEMNITY AGREEMENT**

### **A. INDEMNITY SCOPE LANGUAGE**

- § 10:36 Indemnity “savings” language “to the fullest extent permitted by law”
- § 10:37 Core indemnity undertaking language
- § 10:38 Defining scope of indemnity obligation: Triggering language
- § 10:39 Scope of indemnity determined by extent of insurance
- § 10:40 “Work itself” exception to indemnity for property damage

### **B. PARTIES COVERED BY INDEMNITY OBLIGATION**

- § 10:41 To whom indemnity obligation runs: Usual suspects
- § 10:42 To whom indemnity obligation runs: Joint ventures
- § 10:43 To whom indemnity obligation runs: Third-party beneficiaries
- § 10:44 To whom indemnity obligation runs: Parents and subsidiaries
- § 10:45 To whom indemnity obligation runs: Agents and representatives
- § 10:46 Consequences of contractors indemnifying design professionals
- § 10:47 Suing design professionals for indemnity

### **C. CLAIMS AND LOSSES COVERED BY INDEMNITY OBLIGATION**

- § 10:48 Significance of use of such terms as “liabilities,”

DEFAULT

- “claims,” “losses,” “judgments”
- § 10:49 What does indemnity against “all claims” encompass?
- § 10:50 Indemnity for environmental liability
- § 10:51 Indemnity for strict liability
- § 10:52 Indemnity for intentional torts
- § 10:53 Indemnity for subrogation claims
- § 10:54 Indemnity for non-third-party claims
- § 10:55 Indemnity for costs to correct defective construction
- § 10:56 Indemnity for economic loss
- § 10:57 Indemnity for mechanics’ lien exposures
- § 10:58 Indemnity for Fair Housing Act and Americans with Disabilities Act exposures: Federal preemption issues
- § 10:59 Indemnity for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act: Public policy concerns

**VII. RECOVERY OF ATTORNEYS’ FEES: DUTY TO DEFEND VS. DUTY TO INDEMNIFY**

**A. INDEMNITOR’S DEFENSE VS. INDEMNITY OBLIGATIONS**

- § 10:60 Is duty to defend distinct from or subsumed within duty to indemnify?
- § 10:61 Scope of indemnity obligation as determinative of duty to defend
- § 10:62 Indemnitor’s defense obligation separate from indemnity
- § 10:63 Duty to defend arises at different time than duty to indemnify
- § 10:64 Defense obligation under indemnity agreement contrasted with insurer’s duty to defend
- § 10:65 Anti-indemnity laws and the indemnitor’s duty to defend the indemnitee

**B. RECOVERY OF ATTORNEYS’ FEES UNDER EXPRESS INDEMNITY AGREEMENT**

- § 10:66 Language specifying certain costs and expenses recoverable: Quest for attorneys’ fees
- § 10:67 Timing of indemnitor’s obligation to pay fees: Issue of tender
- § 10:68 Indemnitor’s obligation to pay fees: Issue of

- ripeness
- § 10:69 Obligation for indemnitee's fees notwithstanding determination that indemnitor free from fault
- § 10:70 Fees incurred in resisting underlying claim distinguished from fees incurred in prosecuting indemnity claim
- § 10:71 Indemnitee's fees must be reasonable
- § 10:72 Role of indemnitor's degree of fault in assessing reasonableness of attorneys' fees

### **VIII. RELATIONSHIP OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION LAWS TO INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION RECOVERIES**

- § 10:73 Indemnity and workers' compensation liability bar
- § 10:74 Express indemnity provision trumps workers' compensation statutes' exclusive remedy provisions
- § 10:75 Effect of injured worker's comparative fault on operation of anti-indemnity laws
- § 10:76 Relationship of workers' compensation immunity to implied-in-fact and implied-in-law indemnity
- § 10:77 Ambiguities in employment status affecting contribution and indemnity recovery for worker injuries
- § 10:78 Workers' compensation laws and contribution claims

### **IX. ENFORCEMENT OF INDEMNITY AGREEMENTS**

#### **A. ENFORCEMENT OF WORK-RELATED INDEMNITY PROVISIONS**

- § 10:79 General overview of work-related indemnity
- § 10:80 Work-related indemnity: Concept of fault
- § 10:81 Work-related indemnity: Space and time limitations
- § 10:82 Work-related indemnity: Causation requirements
- § 10:83 Work-related indemnity: Contemplation of parties
- § 10:84 Grafting negligence requirement onto work-related indemnity language

DEFAULT

- § 10:85 “Presence”-type indemnity clauses: Broader than “work-related” indemnity

**B. FAULT-BASED INDEMNITY**

- § 10:86 Indemnity triggered by indemnitor being wholly or partially at fault
- § 10:87 Challenges over scope of indemnity afforded by “to the extent caused in whole or in part” language
- § 10:88 Imputing negligence of injured employee to indemnitor/employer

**C. INDEMNITY AND SOLE NEGLIGENCE**

- § 10:89 Indemnity and sole negligence: Introduction
- § 10:90 Fault-free indemnity vs. sole negligence indemnity
- § 10:91 Carving out sole negligence from coverage
- § 10:92 Effect of injured party’s contributory negligence or comparative fault on prohibition against sole negligence indemnity
- § 10:93 Sole negligence indemnity: Issue of proximate cause
- § 10:94 Sole negligence indemnity contrasted with obligation to secure additional insured coverage for indemnitee’s fault

**D. MISCELLANEOUS INDEMNITY ENFORCEMENT ISSUES**

- § 10:95 Express indemnity in unusual documents: Question of authority
- § 10:96 Enforcement consequences where indemnity agreement violates public policy
- § 10:97 Implied covenant of good faith and indemnity enforcement
- § 10:98 Enforcing indemnity provision as shield against defective construction claims

**X. ANTI-INDEMNITY LAWS**

**A. PURPOSE AND STRUCTURE OF ANTI-INDEMNITY LAWS**

- § 10:99 Anti-indemnity statutes: Varying approaches to

- limiting indemnity obligation
- § 10:100 Anti-indemnity laws contrasted with judicial pronouncements of public policy prohibitions on broad indemnity

**B. UNDERTAKINGS CAPTURED BY ANTI-INDEMNITY LAWS**

- § 10:101 What is “construction contract” for purposes of an anti-indemnity law?
- § 10:102 Anti-indemnity laws and equipment leases
- § 10:103 Anti-indemnity laws and design contracts
- § 10:104 Anti-indemnity laws and miscellaneous agreements

**C. APPLICATION OF ANTI-INDEMNITY LAWS TO INSURANCE OBLIGATIONS**

- § 10:105 Agreements to procure insurance and anti-indemnity laws
- § 10:106 Insurance as an instrument to “save” an otherwise invalid indemnity agreement

**D. APPLICATION OF ANTI-INDEMNITY LAWS TO SPECIFIC FORMS OF INDEMNITY**

- § 10:107 Statutes prohibiting indemnity against loss due to the indemnitee’s sole negligence
- § 10:108 Statutory prohibitions against indemnity for sole negligence contrasted with strict liability
- § 10:109 Statutory prohibitions against indemnity for one’s own negligence
- § 10:110 Anti-indemnity statutes and work-related indemnity clauses
- § 10:111 Atypical anti-indemnity statutory prohibitions

**E. ANTI-INDEMNITY LEGISLATION: MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES**

- § 10:112 Anti-indemnity laws contrasted with statutory indemnity restrictions on product sellers and distributors
- § 10:113 Innocent seller indemnity statutes: Are contractors “sellers” under these laws?
- § 10:114 Applying anti-indemnity laws retroactively

## **XI. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY PROVISIONS AND INDEMNITY AGREEMENTS**

- § 10:115 Indemnity agreements contrasted with limitation-of-liability provisions
- § 10:116 Limitation of liability provisions in design and construction agreements
- § 10:117 Do anti-indemnity laws apply to limitation-of-liability agreements?
- § 10:118 Where limitation-of-liability provisions have been captured by anti-indemnity legislation
- § 10:119 Risk management theory and limitation-of-liability clauses
- § 10:120 Public policy issues arising from limitation of liability clauses

## **XII. COMMON LAW VOUCHER**

- § 10:121 Preclusive effect of judgments and settlements incurred by indemnitee: Historical roots of “vouching-in” process
- § 10:122 Voucher: Constitutional considerations
- § 10:123 Voucher: Conflicts of interest
- § 10:124 Voucher: Adequate representation
- § 10:125 Voucher: Material procedural differences
- § 10:126 Collateral estoppel distinguished from voucher
- § 10:127 Seeking indemnity via vouching-in where the underlying procedure was arbitration
- § 10:128 Vouching-in product suppliers in construction defect litigation

## **XIII. SURETY'S GENERAL AGREEMENT OF INDEMNITY**

### **A. STRUCTURE OF SURETY INDEMNITY AGREEMENTS**

- § 10:129 Surety's general agreement of indemnity
- § 10:130 Surety's indemnity rights in absence of express agreement
- § 10:131 Surety's right to secure collateral for indemnity obligation
- § 10:132 Surety's core indemnity language vs. common law exoneration rights
- § 10:133 Common indemnity agreement provisions in

aid of surety's recovery rights

**B. ENFORCEMENT OF SURETY'S  
INDEMNITY AND COLLATERAL RIGHTS**

- § 10:134 Events triggering indemnitor's liability under general agreement of indemnity
- § 10:135 Surety's right to specific performance under general agreement of indemnity
- § 10:136 Surety's conduct entitling it to indemnity: Reasonableness vs. good-faith standards
- § 10:137 Surety's indemnity rights: Good faith as absence of bad faith and role of motive
- § 10:138 Surety's obligations to obligee, principal, and indemnitors as a condition for indemnity: Implied duty of good faith

**C. MISCELLANEOUS SURETY INDEMNITY  
ISSUES**

- § 10:139 Surety's duty to indemnify obligee for personal injury liability
- § 10:140 Surety's obligation to disclosure information under general agreement of indemnity
- § 10:141 Are surety general agreements of indemnity governed by anti-indemnity laws?

**XIV. IMPLIED CONTRACTUAL INDEMNITY**

- § 10:142 Implied contractual indemnity contrasted with equitable indemnity
- § 10:143 Elements of implied contractual indemnity
- § 10:144 Relationship of implied contractual indemnity to express indemnity
- § 10:145 Scope of implied contractual indemnity

**XV. EQUITABLE INDEMNITY**

- § 10:146 Equitable indemnity: Introduction
- § 10:147 Special relationships giving rise to equitable indemnity
- § 10:148 Equitable indemnity: Active/passive test
- § 10:149 New York's version of equitable indemnity: Authority to act vs. acting with authority
- § 10:150 Equitable indemnity: Vicarious or derivative liability test



DEFAULT

- § 10:151 Effect of express indemnity clause on equitable indemnity
- § 10:152 Effect of tort reform legislation on common-law indemnity
- § 10:153 Proportional indemnity
- § 10:154 Indemnity and contribution applied to economic loss recoveries
- § 10:155 Equitable indemnity: Claims by product manufacturers

**XVI. CONTRIBUTION**

- § 10:156 Contribution: Origin and nature
- § 10:157 Contribution: Need for joint liability in tort
- § 10:158 Contribution: Effect of tort reform legislation on right of contribution
- § 10:159 Third-party defendant's liability to plaintiff: Derivative nature of contribution
- § 10:160 Ability of contribution to secure recovery for economic loss

**XVII. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENTS ON INDEMNITY AND CONTRIBUTION RIGHTS**

- § 10:161 Seeking indemnity via preclusive effect of settlements
- § 10:162 Consequences of settling underlying actions upon subsequent indemnity action: Indemnitee's burdens
- § 10:163 Settlement in aid of contribution or indemnity-type recovery: Loan receipts and Mary Carter agreements
- § 10:164 Effect of settlements on indemnity claims
- § 10:165 Contribution: Settlement and set-off issues
- § 10:166 Good faith settlement as bar to right of contribution

**Volume 4Pt1**

**CHAPTER 11. INSURANCE**

**I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

**A. WHAT IS INSURANCE?**

- § 11:1 Introduction
- § 11:2 Risk pooling and indemnification
- § 11:3 Fortuity doctrine
- § 11:4 Indemnity agreements distinguished from insurance contracts
- § 11:5 Surety contracts distinguished from insurance contracts
- § 11:6 Guaranty and warranty distinguished from insurance

**B. STANDARDIZATION OF POLICY LANGUAGE**

- § 11:7 Generally
- § 11:8 How the same standard form provides different coverage to differently situated insureds
- § 11:9 Missing policies

**C. INSURANCE BINDERS**

- § 11:10 Binding coverage before issuance of a policy
- § 11:11 Coverage disputes where insurance afforded through a binder

**D. ANATOMY OF AN INSURANCE POLICY**

- § 11:12 Generally
- § 11:13 Declarations
- § 11:14 Insuring agreement
- § 11:15 Supplementary payments
- § 11:16 Definitions
- § 11:17 Exclusions
- § 11:18 Endorsements
- § 11:19 Conditions
- § 11:20 Schedules

**E. LIMITS, SUBLIMITS, DEDUCTIBLES AND SELF-INSURED RETENTIONS**

- § 11:21 Limits of liability: Per occurrence, aggregate and sublimits
- § 11:22 Deductibles and self-insured retentions (SIRs)
- § 11:23 Who bears loss within the deductible

DEFAULT

- § 11:24 Interpreting deductibles expressed in percentages
- § 11:25 Who may satisfy insured's SIR obligation
- § 11:26 When is satisfaction of a SIR a condition precedent to the insurer's policy obligations?
- § 11:27 Satisfaction of SIR as precondition to insurers' defense obligations
- § 11:28 Determining how many SIR limits apply
- § 11:29 Fronting policies

F. CERTIFICATES OF INSURANCE

- § 11:30 Certificates of insurance—Generally
- § 11:31 Authority of agent issuing certificate of insurance to alter terms of coverage
- § 11:32 Fraud liability for inaccurate certificates
- § 11:33 Insured's right to rely on certificate
- § 11:34 Certificate as a condition of additional insured status

G. DRAFTING CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT INSURANCE PROVISIONS

- § 11:35 How not to draft policy language
- § 11:36 AIA document A201 insurance article as a guide
  - § 11:36.10 American Institute of Architects' (AIA) 2017 Insurance Exhibit
  - § 11:36.20 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Growing complexity and diversity of insurance products for design and construction risks
  - § 11:36.30 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Prompting parties to discuss insurance coverage options and avoiding overreaching requirements
  - § 11:36.40 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Defining with more specificity the types and extent of insurance coverage
  - § 11:36.50 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Information expressly required to be provided by the parties in completing the exhibit
  - § 11:36.60 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Tailoring coverage by exercising options and specifying limits and durations
  - § 11:36.70 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Insurance requirements contained in the 2017 general conditions document
  - § 11:36.80 AIA Insurance Exhibit: Summary of major changes

- § 11:37 Description of coverages
- § 11:38 Contractor's liability insurance
- § 11:39 Property insurance
- § 11:40 Drilling down on general liability insurance
- § 11:41 Commercial general liability checklist
- § 11:42 Drilling down on property coverage
- § 11:43 Builder's risk/installation floaters checklist
- § 11:44 Contractor's equipment floater coverage
- § 11:45 Additional insured coverage
- § 11:46 Subrogation waivers
- § 11:47 Coverage limits
- § 11:48 Excess and umbrella coverage
- § 11:49 Umbrella/excess liability checklist
- § 11:50 Workers' compensation and employer's liability insurance
- § 11:51 Professional liability insurance
- § 11:52 Pollution liability insurance
- § 11:53 Carrier financial thresholds
- § 11:54 Evidence of coverage

## II. INTERPRETING INSURANCE CONTRACTS

### A. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 11:55 General overview
- § 11:55.10 Interpreting policy language: Blending traditional contract interpretation theory with concepts tailored for adhesion agreements
- § 11:56 Interpreting policy language through the lens of particular belief systems as to nature or purpose of insurance
- § 11:57 Role of insurance applications in policy interpretation

### B. RULES OF INSURANCE POLICY INTERPRETATION

- § 11:58 Many rules of insurance policy interpretation
- § 11:59 Plain and ordinary meaning
- § 11:60 Application of the *ejusdem generis* rule
- § 11:61 Construing policy language *in pari materia*
- § 11:62 Role of headings and titles
- § 11:63 Importance of good punctuation
- § 11:64 Construing undefined terms

DEFAULT

§ 11:64.50 Ambiguities construed against the drafter rule

**C. AMBIGUITY AND THE ROLE OF  
EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE**

§ 11:65 When is policy language ambiguous?

§ 11:66 Is the determination whether language is  
ambiguous for the court or jury?

§ 11:67 Analytical conflict—Role of extrinsic evidence

§ 11:68 Effect of negotiations on policy interpretation

§ 11:69 Parol evidence rule and the use of extrinsic  
evidence in interpreting insurance language

**D. PARTICULAR INTERPRETATION  
CHALLENGES**

§ 11:70 Interpreting exclusionary language

§ 11:71 Interpreting policy endorsements

§ 11:72 Confusion over policy limits—Interpretive  
approaches

§ 11:73 Construing policy language “arising out of”

§ 11:74 Interpreting policy language by way of analogy  
to policies of a different type

§ 11:75 Interpreting one edition of a standard insurance  
policy form by reference to a different edition

§ 11:76 Interpreting foreign-language insurance policies

**E. REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS DOCTRINE**

§ 11:77 Reasonable expectations doctrine

§ 11:78 Various formulations of the reasonable  
expectations doctrine

§ 11:79 Illusory coverage

**F. POLICY REFORMATION**

§ 11:80 Reforming policy terms

§ 11:81 Rewriting policy language to correct a  
“scrivener’s error”

§ 11:82 Reforming policy language because of mutual  
mistake

§ 11:83 Modifying policy language

**III. WHERE AND WHEN TO SUE AND WHAT  
LAW TO APPLY**

**A. VENUE AND FORUM**

§ 11:84 Forum selection provisions

**B. TIME REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMENCING SUIT**

§ 11:85 Suit limitation provisions

**C. BURDENS OF PROOF**

§ 11:86 Shifting burdens of proof and persuasion in insurance coverage disputes

**D. CHOICE OF LAW**

§ 11:87 Generally

§ 11:88 Choice of law: So many rules, so little clarity

§ 11:89 Survey of choice-of-law decisions: A cornucopia of complexity

§ 11:90 First Restatement vs. Second Restatement

§ 11:91 Choice of law provisions

§ 11:92 Federal court sitting in diversity applying state law

§ 11:93 Federal court predictions of state insurance law

**E. FEDERAL COURT JURISDICTION OVER INSURANCE COVERAGE DISPUTES**

§ 11:94 Declining to exercise jurisdiction under *Colorado River* abstention doctrine

§ 11:95 Declining to exercise federal jurisdiction over insurance coverage declaratory judgment actions: *Wilton/Brillhart* abstention doctrine

**IV. DUTIES OF INSURED**

**A. DUTY TO GIVE NOTICE**

§ 11:96 Insured's duty to provide timely notice

§ 11:96.50 Notice as condition precedent vs. covenant

§ 11:97 When does the insured's obligation to give notice arise?

§ 11:98 Insured's duty to give notice "as soon as practicable"

§ 11:99 Who must give notice?

DEFAULT

- § 11:100 To whom must notice be given?
- § 11:100.50 Sufficiency of notice
- § 11:101 Notice under claims-made policies
- § 11:101.50 Claims-made-and-reported policies and renewal of coverage
- § 11:102 New York changes course on notice:  
Emergence of prejudice as a required showing
- § 11:103 New York's statutory law requiring insurers to timely disclaim coverage
- § 11:104 Insured's duty to timely submit proof of loss
- § 11:105 Notice owed to excess insurers

B. DUTY OF TRUTHFULNESS

- § 11:106 Insured's duty to be truthful
- § 11:107 Need to establish prejudice
- § 11:108 Misrepresentations classified so as to permit insurer to void policy *ab initio*: Void vs. avoidable distinction
- § 11:109 Materiality of misrepresentations sufficient to warrant rescission of policy
- § 11:109.50 Prior knowledge representations
- § 11:110 Unintentional misrepresentations in applications for insurance
- § 11:111 Waiving the right to rescind based on misrepresentation
- § 11:112 Role of agent or broker in application process
- § 11:113 Agent or broker status influencing coverage—Imputing agent's knowledge to insured or insurer

C. DUTY OF COOPERATION

- § 11:114 Insured's duty to cooperate: General principles
- § 11:115 Insured's duty to safeguard covered property
- § 11:116 Insured's duty to make damaged property available for inspection
- § 11:117 Pre-suit compliance: Examination under oath (EUO)
- § 11:118 Insured's duties with respect to settlement—Consent judgments
- § 11:119 Insured's duty to protect insurer's subrogation rights

- § 11:120 Policy endorsements requiring insured to secure similar coverages from subcontractors
- § 11:121 Insured's duty to appeal unfavorable rulings
- § 11:122 Insured's admission of liability in the course of litigation as a breach of the duty to cooperate
- § 11:123 Loss of right to demand cooperation—Insurer conduct as basis of estoppel
- § 11:124 Role of legislation in insured's duty to cooperate

#### D. DUTY TO SEEK CONSENT

- § 11:125 No-action clauses
- § 11:126 Anti-assignment clauses
- § 11:127 Anti-assignment clauses and changes in corporate structure
- § 11:128 Coverage for successor liabilities
- § 11:129 Consent clauses
- § 11:130 No voluntary payments clauses
- § 11:131 No voluntary payments clause: Is a showing of prejudice required?

### V. DUTIES OF LIABILITY INSURER

#### A. DUTY TO DEFEND

##### 1. Prerequisites for the Duty to Arise

- § 11:132 When does obligation to defend arise?
- § 11:132.50 Insurer's defense obligation is broad
- § 11:133 Who is an insured for defense purposes: Employees, partners and officers
- § 11:134 Must a suit exist in order to trigger an insurer's duty to indemnify?
- § 11:135 Triggering the duty to defend: "Suit" requirement broadly defined
- § 11:136 Insured's demand for a defense vs. insurer's actual notice of a claim
- § 11:137 Effect of a self-insured retention limit upon the duty to defend
- § 11:138 Selective or targeted tenders
- § 11:139 Pre-tender defense costs

##### 2. Determining Whether a Duty to Defend Exists

- § 11:140 When is duty to defend determination ripe for



DEFAULT

- consideration?
  - § 11:141 Comparing pleading with policy: the “four corners” or “eight corners” test
  - § 11:142 Drilling down on the “four-corners/eight-corners” test for determining duty to defend
  - § 11:143 Defense duty analysis under a claims-made policy form
  - § 11:144 Cross-suits exclusion: no duty to defend suits between insureds
3. Role of Extrinsic Evidence in Evaluating Whether a Duty to Defend Exists
- § 11:145 Duty to defend and role of evidence extrinsic
  - § 11:146 Extrinsic evidence and the duty to defend: Texas vs. California
  - § 11:147 Exceptions to Texas rule forbidding resort to extrinsic evidence when evaluating defense obligations
  - § 11:147.50 Exceptions allowing use of extrinsic evidence narrowly construed
4. Scope of the Duty
- § 11:148 Common expressions of the duty’s scope
  - § 11:149 Scope of the duty to defend broader than duty to indemnify
  - § 11:149.30 Relationship between duty to defend and duty to indemnify: Does lack of the former determine the latter and must a “suit” exist for there to be a duty to indemnify?
  - § 11:149.50 Duty to defend determines timing of challenging the duty to indemnify
  - § 11:150 Duty to defend where claims implicate intentional conduct
  - § 11:151 Duty to defend affirmative defenses
5. Insurer’s Obligation to Investigate and Respond to Tender
- § 11:152 To defend or not to defend—that is the quandary
  - § 11:153 Insurer’s duty to promptly give notice of denial or limitation of coverage
  - § 11:154 Reservation-of-rights letters
  - § 11:155 Adequacy and necessity of reservation-of-rights letters

## 6. Insurer's Reservation of Rights

- § 11:156 Dance of the porcupines: Consequences of insurer's reserving rights to disclaim indemnity on the duty to defend

## 7. Insurer's Right to Recoup Defense Costs

- § 11:157 Insurer's right to recoup defense costs from insured
- § 11:158 Insured's obligation to reimburse insurer for costs incurred in defending noncovered claims
- § 11:159 In for one, in for all: Complete defense rule vs. insurer's right of recoupment
- § 11:159.10 Insurer's right to reimbursement of defense costs: A challenging road to travel

## 8. Application of the Defense Duty in Specific Situations

- § 11:160 Duty to defend additional insureds
- § 11:161 Duty to defend joint ventures
- § 11:162 Defending the defenseless—Suspended corporations
- § 11:163 Duty to defend within context of an Owner Controlled Insurance Program
- § 11:164 Surety as beneficiary of insurer's duty to defend
- § 11:165 Loan receipt agreements

## 9. Appointment of Defense Counsel

- § 11:166 Selection of defense counsel
- § 11:167 Conditions giving rise to insured's right to independent counsel
- § 11:168 Common conflict-of-interest situations in construction disputes
- § 11:169 Insured's resistance to appointed counsel and the duty of cooperation
- § 11:170 Insurer reimbursement obligations regarding independent counsel
- § 11:171 Extent of insurer's obligation to pay independent counsel rates

## 10. Duties of Defense Counsel

- § 11:172 Duties of defense counsel
- § 11:173 Obligations of defense counsel to give general

DEFAULT

coverage advice to insured

## 11. Breaching the Duty to Defend

- § 11:174 Consequences of breaching duty to defend
- § 11:175 Consequences of breaching duty to defend:  
Punishment or restitution?
- § 11:176 Effect of another insurer's defense on breaching  
insurer's liability
- § 11:177 Insured's right to settle underlying dispute by  
way of a consent or stipulated judgment upon  
insurer's refusal to defend
- § 11:178 Consequences of breaching duty to defend on  
responsibility for allocating settlement  
amounts among covered and non-covered  
claims
- § 11:179 Recovery of attorney's fees in coverage actions

## 12. Termination of the Duty to Defend

- § 11:180 Extinguishing the defense obligation  
through exhausting policy limits
- § 11:181 Termination of defense duty through  
settlements of less than all claims
- § 11:181.50 Termination of defense duty upon dismissal of the  
only potentially covered claim

## 13. Supplementary Payments

- § 11:182 Duty to defend the insured's indemnitees
- § 11:183 Insurer's obligation to pay indemnitee's defense  
costs
- § 11:184 Coverage for insured's obligation to pay  
claimant's attorneys' fees

## B. DUTY TO SETTLE

### 1. Nature of the Duty

- § 11:185 Generally
- § 11:186 Standards governing insurer's evaluation of  
settlement offers
- § 11:187 Insurer's duty to initiate settlement

### 2. Control Over the Right to Make Settlement

- § 11:188 Consequences of maintaining control of  
settlement while reserving rights: Insurer's

options upon receipt of reasonable settlement demand

- § 11:189 Circumstances under which insured may control settlement

### 3. Insurer's Settlement Duties in Particular Situations

- § 11:190 Insurer's settlement duties where policy limits insufficient to secure release of all insureds

- § 11:191 Insurer's settlement duties when funds insufficient to resolve all claims

- § 11:192 Scope of insurer's settlement duties where claim is in excess of policy limits

### 4. Settlement Duties Owed Among Insurers

- § 11:193 Settlement duties owed by primary insurer to excess insurer

- § 11:194 Settlement duties owed by excess insurers to primary insurers

### 5. Reimbursement and Allocation Issues

- § 11:195 Right of insurer to seek reimbursement from insured for sums paid to settle non-covered claims

- § 11:196 Right of reimbursement and other allocation issues

- § 11:197 Allocation of settlement between covered and non-covered claims

### 6. Breaching the Duty to Settle

- § 11:198 Factors relevant to evaluating whether insurer improperly failed to settle within policy limits

- § 11:199 Insurer's liability for a judgment in excess of policy limits: Florida's law of insurer bad faith

- § 11:199.30 Bad faith exposure arising from settlement duties of third-party liability insurers

- § 11:199.50 Bad faith exposure arising from first-party insurers' duty to settle

## VI. COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY (CGL) COVERAGE

### A. OVERVIEW

DEFAULT

- § 11:200 Recurring issues
- § 11:201 Coverage A insuring agreement
- § 11:202 Coverage B: Personal injury distinguished from bodily injury

**B. OCCURRENCE ELEMENT**

**1. Intentionality**

- § 11:203 Occurrence and foreseeability
- § 11:204 Injury arising from poor workmanship
- § 11:205 Intention and expectation
- § 11:206 Degrees of intentionality
- § 11:207 Interpreting occurrence element where coverage grant applies to intentional torts
- § 11:208 Substantially certain test

**2. Continuous or Repeated Exposures**

- § 11:209 Occurrence as a continuous or repeated exposure

**3. Poor Workmanship and the Occurrence Element**

- § 11:210 Some basic misunderstandings about the relationship between poor workmanship and an “occurrence”
- § 11:211 Repair of defective work and the occurrence element
- § 11:212 Florida, Texas, and Tennessee rule “faulty workmanship” an “occurrence”
- § 11:213 Faulty workmanship an “occurrence”—A developing trend?
- § 11:214 South Carolina, Kentucky, Alabama, Indiana, Mississippi and Georgia rule on whether faulty workmanship is an “occurrence”
- § 11:215 Connecticut, Ohio, North Dakota, and West Virginia rule on whether faulty workmanship is an “occurrence”
- § 11:216 “Occurrence” analysis—A fact-based inquiry
- § 11:216.50 Legislative enactments related to the “occurrence” element
- § 11:217 Liability policy as performance bond

**4. Determining the Number of Occurrences**

- § 11:218 Determining number of “occurrences”
- § 11:219 Applying the “cause test” in determining the number of occurrences
- § 11:220 Importance of the proper characterization of what constitutes the “occurrence” when determining the number of occurrences
- § 11:221 Importance of whether and how the policy defines “occurrence” in determining the number of occurrences

5. Legislative Attempts to Influence the Occurrence Analysis

- § 11:222 Legislative developments addressing “occurrence”

C. BODILY INJURY ELEMENT

- § 11:223 Generally
- § 11:224 Bodily injury and the need for physical manifestation

D. PROPERTY DAMAGE ELEMENT

1. Physical Injury to Tangible Property

- § 11:225 Evolution of the “property damage” requirement
- § 11:226 Physical injury to tangible property—  
Odorless gas
- § 11:226.50 Physical injury to tangible property—Dumping of unwanted debris on property

2. Property Damage and Poor Workmanship:  
Does it Matter Whose Property is Injured?

- § 11:227 “Property damage” and “third-party property”
- § 11:228 Focus on “what” property was damaged rather than “whether” property was damaged—  
Conflating insuring grant and policy exclusions
- § 11:229 Does it matter what property is damaged for the insuring clause?

3. Loss of Use as Property Damage

- § 11:230 Loss of use injury
- § 11:231 Loss of use of property that is not physically

injured

#### 4. Coverage Scope: Damages “Because of” Property Damage

- § 11:232 Scope of coverage for damages “because of” property damage
- § 11:232.30 Attorneys’ fees as damages “because of” bodily injury or property damage
- § 11:232.70 Costs incurred by insured to comply with an injunction as damages
- § 11:233 Property damage and economic loss
- § 11:234 Economic loss as covered damage
- § 11:235 Role of economic loss doctrine in coverage analysis
- § 11:236 Attorney’s fees as an element of damages

#### 5. Specific Situations

- § 11:237 Repair of defective work as “property damage”
- § 11:238 Coverage for costs incurred to prevent “property damage”
- § 11:239 Coverage for rip and tear damages to non-defective work
- § 11:240 Incorporation of defective products or work
- § 11:241 Property damage and electronic data

### E. “DAMAGES” FOR PURPOSES OF INSURING GRANT

- § 11:242 Are sums paid in settlement “damages” under the CGL policy?
- § 11:243 Are attorneys’ fees awarded against an insured “damages” for purposes of the CGL policy?
- § 11:244 Injunctive relief and punitive damages as covered “damages” under CGL policy

### F. LEGAL COMPULSION TO PAY

- § 11:245 When is one “legally obligated to pay?”
- § 11:246 Must suit be brought and a judgment secured for coverage to exist on grounds that only then is the insured “legally obligated to pay” damages?
- § 11:247 Coverage for breach of contract liability

### G. EXCLUSIONS

### 1. Exclusions Regarding Volitional Conduct

- § 11:248 Intentional acts exclusions
- § 11:249 Expected or intended injury exclusion

### 2. Ongoing Operations Exclusions

- § 11:250 Concept of “business risk”
- § 11:251 Generally
- § 11:252 Property owned, rented, or occupied exclusion
- § 11:253 Alienated premises exclusion
- § 11:254 Property loaned to named insured exclusion
- § 11:255 Care, custody, or control exclusion
- § 11:256 Property being worked on exclusion
- § 11:257 Faulty workmanship exclusion

### 3. Completed Operations Exclusions

- § 11:258 Work product exclusion
- § 11:259 Completed operations work exclusion—  
Generally
- § 11:260 Products-Completed Operations Hazard
- § 11:261 Completed operations work exclusion—  
Application of Products-Completed Operations  
Hazard work exclusion to faulty repairs  
performed on completed work
- § 11:262 When is work complete for purposes of  
completed-operations coverage?
- § 11:263 Subcontractor exception to completed  
operations work exclusion

## Volume 4Pt2

### 4. Impaired Property Exclusion

- § 11:264 Exclusions addressing “loss of use” damages—  
Impaired property exclusion and its  
predecessors

### 5. Exclusion Pertaining to Product Recalls

- § 11:265 Sistership exclusion

### 6. Contractual Liability Exclusion

- § 11:266 Overview of contractual liability exclusion
- § 11:267 Scope of contractual liability coverage
- § 11:268 Contractual liability exclusion broadly



DEFAULT

- construed
- § 11:268.50 Broadly drafted manuscript contractual liability exclusions or endorsements
- § 11:269 Contractual liability exclusion in Texas: a long and winding road
- § 11:270 “Insured contract” exception to contractual liability exclusion
- § 11:271 Liability in any event exception

## 7. Exclusions for Pollution-Related Injury

- § 11:272 Historical evolution of the pollution exclusion
- § 11:273 “Sudden and accidental” exception
- § 11:274 Application to construction operations
- § 11:275 Coverage for environmental injuries under coverage B (personal injury coverage)
- § 11:276 Absolute pollution exclusion
- § 11:277 Varying interpretations of “absolute pollution” exclusion
- § 11:278 What is a pollutant?
- § 11:279 When is the insured “performing operations” for purposes of the pollution exclusion
- § 11:280 Pollution and the concurrent causation doctrine

## 8. Coverage Challenges Presented by Indoor Pollution

- § 11:281 Generally
- § 11:282 Literal reading of pollution exclusion
- § 11:283 Reasonable expectations of coverage for harm due to indoor pollution
- § 11:284 Is pollution exclusion ambiguous in context of indoor pollution?
- § 11:285 Pollution exclusion enforced in the indoor pollution context
- § 11:286 Release or discharge requirement in the context of indoor pollution
- § 11:287 Sick-building coverage and “occurrence” requirement
- § 11:288 Sick buildings and bodily injury
- § 11:289 Sick buildings and property damage
- § 11:290 Sick buildings and consequential losses
- § 11:291 Sick buildings and loss of use damages
- § 11:292 Sick buildings and timing of property damage—Trigger theories

## 9. Coverage and Exclusions for Specific Environmental Matters

- § 11:293 Insurance coverage for mold damage
- § 11:294 Specific mold exclusions
- § 11:295 Coverage for silica claims
- § 11:296 Coverage for *E. Coli* bacteria-related loss
- § 11:297 Welding fume exposure claims
- § 11:298 Coverage for lead paint claims
- § 11:299 Coverage for Chinese drywall losses

## 10. Exclusions Pertaining to Professional Services

- § 11:300 Elimination of professional risks from CGL coverage form
- § 11:301 “Professional services” exclusion
- § 11:302 Causal nexus between professional services and the injury
- § 11:303 Application of the professional-services exclusion where the insured also performs construction services
- § 11:304 Interplay between “professional services” exclusion and “separation of insureds” provision

## 11. Employee and Independent Contractor Exclusions

- § 11:305 Employee exclusion—Generally
- § 11:306 Employee exclusion—Independent contractors exclusion
- § 11:307 Employee exclusion—Coverage responsibility for another’s employees
- § 11:308 Broadly-drafted employee exclusions and additional insured coverage
- § 11:309 “Contractor-subcontractor” exclusion
- § 11:310 Interplay between “employee exclusion” and “separation of insureds” or “severability of interests” provisions

## 12. *Montrose* and Prior Work or Injury Exclusions

- § 11:311 Prior injury exclusions
- § 11:312 Prior work exclusions

## 13. Type of Work Exclusions

DEFAULT

- § 11:313 Exterior insulation and finish system (EIFS) exclusions
- § 11:314 Roofing exclusions
- § 11:315 Commercial work exclusion

14. Joint Venture Limitations or Exclusions

- § 11:316 Joint venture exclusions
- § 11:317 Insuring the joint venture

15. Insured vs. Insured Exclusions

- § 11:318 Cross-liability exclusions

16. Miscellaneous Exclusions

- § 11:319 Earth movement exclusion
- § 11:320 Watercraft exclusion
- § 11:321 Automobile exclusion

H. ADDITIONAL INSURED COVERAGE

1. Creation of Coverage

- § 11:322 Additional insured coverage generally
- § 11:323 Creation of coverage—Writing requirement
- § 11:324 Writing requirement as an “insured contract”
- § 11:325 When is additional insured coverage primary and noncontributory to insured’s own insurance?
- § 11:326 Additional insured endorsement requirement of a written agreement is not equivalent to a privity requirement
- § 11:327 Atypical requirements
- § 11:328 Blanket and scheduled endorsements
- § 11:329 Does contract obligation to name contractor an additional insured under “all policies” include excess insurance?

2. Scope of Coverage

- § 11:330 Scope of additional insured coverage—Narrow view
- § 11:331 Nexus between named insured’s work and additional insured coverage
- § 11:332 Coverage for additional insured’s own negligence—Broad view
- § 11:333 Slippery scope of additional insured coverage

- § 11:334 “Caused, in whole or in part, by” endorsements
- § 11:335 Scope of named insured’s work or operations
- § 11:335.50 Intersection between additional insured coverage and workers’ compensation laws
- § 11:336 Nexus between named insured’s activities and claim against additional insured
- § 11:337 General supervisory coverage
- § 11:338 2004 ISO additional insured endorsements
- § 11:338.50 2013 ISO additional insured endorsement revisions
- § 11:339 Completed operations versus ongoing operations
- § 11:340 Meaning of “out of” ongoing operations
- § 11:341 Application of policy exclusions to an additional insured
- § 11:342 Cross-suits exclusion and additional insured coverage

### 3. Rights of Additional Insureds

- § 11:343 Additional insured’s right to notice of cancellation
- § 11:344 Defense obligations owed to additional insureds
- § 11:345 Conflict issues created by additional insureds
- § 11:346 Determining whether additional insured coverage is primary or excess
- § 11:347 Effect of named insured’s representations on additional insured coverage

### 4. Relationship Between Named Insured’s Indemnity Obligations and Additional Insured Coverage

- § 11:348 Indemnity agreements and allocation of coverage
- § 11:349 Additional insured coverage and state anti-indemnity laws
- § 11:350 Additional insured coverage contrasted with coverage for indemnity obligations

### 5. Miscellaneous Additional Insured Matters

- § 11:351 Additional insured coverage contrasted with Owner’s and Contractor’s Protective (OCP) liability coverage
- § 11:352 Third-party beneficiary status in lieu of additional insured coverage

DEFAULT

## I. DETERMINING WHEN INJURY OR DAMAGE OCCURS FOR PURPOSES OF TRIGGERING COVERAGE

### A. How and When is Coverage Triggered?

- § 11:353 When does damage occur?
- § 11:354 Proving injury occurred during policy period
- § 11:355 Common misconceptions as to when coverage is triggered
- § 11:356 Conflating “injury” with “occurrence”
- § 11:357 Coverage triggers influenced by definition of “occurrence”

### B. Trigger Theories

- § 11:358 Coverage trigger theories under occurrence-based policies
- § 11:359 Consequences of different coverage triggers on loss allocation
- § 11:360 Manifestation trigger
- § 11:361 Exposure trigger
- § 11:362 Injury-in-fact trigger
- § 11:363 Continuous injury trigger

### C. Aggregating Triggered Policies: Stacking

- § 11:364 Aggregating triggered policies: Stacking coverage
- § 11:365 Stacking limits of triggered policies—California permits stacking unless policy language expressly states otherwise

### D. Miscellaneous Coverage Trigger Issues

- § 11:366 Coverage triggers and ownership of injured property
- § 11:367 Coverage triggers and limits of coverage
- § 11:367.50 Allocation of defense costs between insurer and insured where injuries occur over multiple policy periods

## VII. ALLOCATING LOSS AND EXPENSE AMONG INSURERS

### A. ALLOCATION PURSUANT TO “OTHER INSURANCE” PROVISIONS

- § 11:368 Overview of “other insurance” allocation

- § 11:369 Types of “other insurance” provisions
- § 11:370 “Other insurance” provisions contrasted with true excess coverage
- § 11:371 Concept of “being on the same risk” for purposes of allocation through “other insurance”
- § 11:372 Role insurance premiums play in applying “other insurance” provisions
- § 11:373 “Other insurance” and additional insured coverage
- § 11:374 Common applications of “other insurance” provisions in construction disputes
- § 11:375 Effect of indemnity agreements on the “other insurance” provision

#### B. ALLOCATION OF LOSS AMONG SUCCESSIVE INSURERS

- § 11:376 Allocation among successively-triggered policies: All sums vs. *pro rata* theories
- § 11:377 Allocating loss where “other insurance” provisions do not apply
- § 11:378 Equitable contribution vs. equitable subrogation: Allocating loss to insurers released by insured

#### C. ALLOCATION OF DEFENSE COSTS

- § 11:379 Theories for allocating defense costs among insurers: Contribution vs. subrogation
- § 11:380 Approaches for allocating defense costs among insurers
- § 11:381 Allocation of defense costs among successive insurers
- § 11:382 Equitable contribution—Shifting burdens of proof where non-participating insurer fails to provide a defense
- § 11:383 Right of defending insurer to seek declaratory relief against non-participating insurers

#### D. MISCELLANEOUS ALLOCATION MATTERS

- § 11:384 Effect of targeted-tender rule on insurers’ contribution rights
- § 11:385 Role deductibles and self-insured retentions play in allocation of loss or expense among

DEFAULT

- insurers
- § 11:386 Sharing of loss or recovery among carriers where no coverage is designated primary
- § 11:387 Rights of contribution among primary and additional insured carriers

## **VIII. INSURER'S RECOVERY RIGHTS FROM OTHERS: SUBROGATION AND WAIVER OF SUBROGATION**

### **A. CREATION AND NATURE OF EQUITABLE REMEDY OF SUBROGATION**

- § 11:388 What is subrogation?
- § 11:389 Contribution and indemnity distinguished
- § 11:390 Extent of recovery afforded under subrogation
- § 11:391 Subrogation and the volunteer defense
- § 11:392 Superior equities doctrine as a limitation on subrogation recovery
- § 11:393 Subrogation rights against parties insured under state insurance guaranty funds
- § 11:394 Assignment of subrogation rights
- § 11:395 Effect of additional insured status on insurer's subrogation rights

### **B. ALLOCATION OF SUBROGATION PROCEEDS**

- § 11:396 Common subrogation allocation approaches
- § 11:397 Insured's right to be "made whole"
- § 11:398 Subrogation and the collateral source rule
- § 11:399 Reduction of damage award due to insurance recoveries—Application of the collateral-source rule

### **C. ANTI-SUBROGATION RULE**

- § 11:400 Prohibition against insurers seeking recovery from insureds
- § 11:401 New York approach to the anti-subrogation rule
- § 11:402 Application of the no-subrogation rule to contractors and design professionals

### **D. WAIVING THE RIGHT OF SUBROGATION**

- § 11:403 Waiver of subrogation provisions—Generally

- § 11:404 Creation of the waiver
- § 11:405 Waivers of subrogation and notice
- § 11:406 Application of waivers of subrogation to owner's other contractors and own forces
- § 11:407 Application of waivers of subrogation to claims by liability insurers
- § 11:408 Relationship of waiver to other contract remedy clauses
- § 11:409 Scope of the waiver
- § 11:410 Scope of the waiver: Are suppliers covered?
- § 11:411 Temporal scope of waiver of subrogation provision
- § 11:412 Gross negligence as a means around the waiver
- § 11:413 Post-loss waivers

## **IX. PROMISES TO PROCURE INSURANCE FOR OTHERS**

- § 11:414 Language giving rise to obligation to procure insurance for another
- § 11:415 Construction industry practice of lower-tier parties purchasing insurance for upper-tier participants: Consequences of breach
- § 11:416 Effect of self-insured retentions on duty to procure insurance
- § 11:417 Insurance for failure to secure insurance for another

## **X. PROPERTY INSURANCE**

### **A. COMMON COVERAGE FORMS AND GENERAL MATTERS**

- § 11:418 Historical development and general overview of property insurance
- § 11:419 Builder's risk policy form overview
- § 11:420 Types of property insurance relevant to construction undertakings
- § 11:421 Inland marine coverage
- § 11:422 Boiler and machinery insurance
- § 11:423 Is builder's risk coverage a form of fire insurance?
- § 11:424 Limits and sublimits
- § 11:425 Does a property insurer have duty of indemnification?



DEFAULT

§ 11:426 Insurer recommended repair contractors

**B. INSURABLE INTEREST REQUIREMENT**

§ 11:427 Concept of “insurable interest”

§ 11:428 Property policies “insurable-interest” clause

§ 11:429 Insurable interest in property slated for demolition

**C. FORTUITY REQUIREMENT**

§ 11:430 Fortuity requirement and coverage for defective work

**D. SUE AND LABOR CLAUSE**

§ 11:431 Sue and labor clause

§ 11:432 Insured’s duty to mitigate loss under common law and sue and labor clause and recovery of business losses

**E. INSURING AGREEMENT: DIRECT PHYSICAL LOSS**

§ 11:433 Requirement of physical loss

§ 11:434 Distinguishing between loss and cause of loss

§ 11:435 Direct physical loss limitation on increased completion costs due to covered loss

**F. PROPERTY INSURED**

§ 11:436 Covered property

§ 11:437 Common exclusions to Covered Property

§ 11:438 Real property vs. personal property

**G. PERSONS INSURED**

§ 11:439 Persons insured

§ 11:440 Achieving insured status under property insurance

§ 11:441 Standard mortgage clause

**H. CAUSES OF LOSS**

§ 11:442 Coverage implications of multiple causes of loss

§ 11:443 Efficient proximate cause doctrine

§ 11:444 Efficient proximate cause test contrasted with

- concurrent cause doctrine
- § 11:445 Contracting out of “efficient proximate cause” doctrine—Sequential loss provisions
- § 11:446 Anti-concurrent causation clauses
- § 11:447 Challenges in applying anti-concurrent causes
- § 11:448 Apportioning loss between covered and noncovered causes

## I. COVERAGE FOR LOSS DUE TO POOR WORKMANSHIP

- § 11:449 Is poor workmanship or defective construction a covered peril/cause of loss?
- § 11:450 Workmanship exclusion
- § 11:451 Faulty workmanship exclusion and contextual ambiguity
- § 11:452 Faulty work as “product” or “process”
- § 11:453 Ensuing loss exception to faulty workmanship exclusion
- § 11:454 Ensuing loss as distinct and separable from the excluded damage
- § 11:455 Ensuing loss exception to faulty workmanship exclusion—The curious case of collapse and what ensues, peril or loss?
- § 11:456 Coverage for faulty work and “efficient proximate cause” doctrine

## J. COVERAGE FOR COLLAPSE

- § 11:457 Various policy approaches to collapse
- § 11:458 Competing definitions and public policy approaches to collapse coverage
- § 11:459 Collapse coverage via endorsement
- § 11:460 Collapse coverage under California law

## K. EXCLUSIONS

- § 11:461 Overview of property policy exclusions
- § 11:462 Mold exclusion and the ensuing loss exception
- § 11:463 Consequential loss exclusion
- § 11:464 Ordinance or law exclusion
- § 11:465 Freeze/thaw or pressure/weight of water exclusion
- § 11:466 Water backup or overflow exclusion
- § 11:467 Continuous seepage or leakage of water

DEFAULT

- exclusion
- § 11:468 Water without roof damage exclusion
- § 11:469 Surface water exclusion
- § 11:470 Rotting exclusion
- § 11:471 Inherent vice exclusion
- § 11:472 Latent defects exclusion
- § 11:473 Rust and corrosion exclusion
- § 11:474 Shrinking and cracking exclusion
- § 11:475 Earth movement exclusion
- § 11:476 Theft exclusion
- § 11:477 Theft exclusion—Burglary ingress/egress exception
- § 11:478 Vacancy exclusion
- § 11:479 Sinkhole exclusion
- § 11:480 Wind exclusion
- § 11:481 Property coverage for pollution-related injuries

**L. COVERAGE EXTENSIONS**

- § 11:482 Builder's risk soft cost coverage
- § 11:483 Coverage for debris removal
- § 11:484 Testing and commissioning coverage
- § 11:485 Law or ordinance endorsements
- § 11:486 Increased cost of construction coverage

**M. TIME ELEMENT COVERAGE**

**1. Extra Expense Coverage**

- § 11:487 Extra expense loss

**2. Business Interruption Coverage**

- § 11:488 Business interruption loss
- § 11:488.50 Business interruption coverage for COVID-19 losses
- § 11:489 Overview of business interruption insurance
- § 11:490 Traditional business interruption coverage
- § 11:491 Establishing physical damage to covered property
- § 11:492 A covered "cause of loss" must cause physical damage
- § 11:493 Business interruption must be caused by the physical damage
- § 11:494 Business interruption causation element in light of area-wide disruption due to

- massive infrastructure failure—The Katrina effect
- § 11:495 Extent of suspension or business interruption necessary to trigger coverage
- § 11:496 Establishing an actual business interruption loss
- § 11:497 Period of indemnity

### 3. Miscellaneous Time Element Covers

- § 11:498 Contingent business interruption coverage
- § 11:499 Civil authority coverage
- § 11:500 Ingress/egress coverage
- § 11:501 Service interruption coverage

## N. VALUATION OF LOSS

- § 11:502 Calculation of loss
- § 11:503 Replacement cost value vs. actual cash value
- § 11:504 Determining actual cash value
- § 11:505 Calculation of actual cash value on replacement cost minus depreciation
- § 11:506 Cost elements within actual cash value
- § 11:507 Replacement cost value—“Build first” requirement
- § 11:508 Replacement cost value—“Doctrine of prevention”
- § 11:509 Policy value statutes and replacement cost valuation
- § 11:510 “Like kind and quality” provision
- § 11:511 Increased cost to complete undamaged work
- § 11:512 Valuation methodologies—Blanket vs. scheduled policies
- § 11:513 Establishing actual cash value—Proof considerations
- § 11:514 Coinsurance penalties

## O. APPRAISAL PROCESS

- § 11:515 Overview of appraisal process
- § 11:516 Appraiser qualifications
- § 11:517 Scope of appraisers' authority
- § 11:518 Preconditions to appraisal
- § 11:519 Waiving the right to proceed to appraisal
- § 11:520 Limiting authority of umpire to decide only

DEFAULT

- § 11:521 matters upon which appraisers disagree
- § 11:521 Challenging appraisal awards
- § 11:522 Grounds for overturning appraisal awards
- § 11:523 State laws regulating the appraisal process

## **XI. PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE**

### **A. CLAIMS-MADE POLICY FORM**

- § 11:524 Claims-made policy forms: History and general matters
- § 11:525 Notice, gaps, and tails—Duty of notification
- § 11:526 Retroactive date and “gaps”
- § 11:527 “Extended reporting” periods or “tails”

### **B. PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURING GRANT**

- § 11:528 Contractor design cover insuring agreement
- § 11:529 Coverage for “negligent acts, errors or omissions”
- § 11:530 Insuring agreement of professional liability insurance
- § 11:531 When is an insured “legally obligated to pay” under a professional liability policy?

### **C. POLICY CONDITIONS, LIMITATIONS AND EXCLUSIONS**

- § 11:532 When is a claim a “claim” under a claims-made policy?
- § 11:533 Definitions and exclusions
- § 11:534 Are lost fees “damages” under a professional liability policy?
- § 11:535 Coverage for liability based on warranty or guaranty
- § 11:536 Issues involving limits of liability
- § 11:537 Protection against contract claims

### **D. MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS**

- § 11:538 Coverage for construction management services
- § 11:539 Insurance coverage for design-build projects
- § 11:540 Additional insured coverage under professional liability policies

## **XII. EXCESS AND UMBRELLA INSURANCE**

### **A. FOLLOW-FORM COVERAGE**

- § 11:541 Introduction to excess insurance
- § 11:542 Excess “follow-form” coverage
- § 11:543 “Following the form” coverage and anti-assignment provisions
- § 11:544 Role of policy language in scope and conditions of coverage

### **B. UMBRELLA INSURANCE**

- § 11:545 Umbrella coverage

### **C. ATTACHMENT POINT OF COVERAGE: EXHAUSTION OF UNDERLYING INSURANCE**

- § 11:546 When does an excess insurer’s indemnity obligation attach?
- § 11:547 Excess insurer’s duties where primary limits “exhausted” through something less than a full tendering of policy limits
- § 11:548 Exhaustion of primary coverage: Interpretation issues
- § 11:549 Exhaustion of self-insured retention limits
- § 11:550 Vertical vs. horizontal exhaustion doctrines
- § 11:551 Functional exhaustion
- § 11:552 Horizontal exhaustion in excess layers
- § 11:553 Horizontal exhaustion and the selective- or targeted-tender rule
- § 11:554 Challenges horizontal exhaustion presents to traditional construction industry risk allocation

### **D. DEFENSE AND SETTLEMENT OBLIGATIONS OF EXCESS INSURERS**

- § 11:555 Defense obligations
- § 11:556 Excess insurers’ duty to defend
- § 11:557 Rights and obligations regarding settlement
- § 11:558 Construing “ultimate net loss”
- § 11:559 Excess policy’s no-action provision

### **E. OBLIGATIONS OF EXCESS INSURER**

DEFAULT

## UPON INSOLVENCY OF UNDERLYING CARRIER

- § 11:560 Excess insurer's responsibilities when primary insurer becomes insolvent
- § 11:561 "Drop down" coverage
- § 11:562 Must an excess insurer provide a defense to an insured whose primary carrier is insolvent or exhausted?

## F. EXCESS INSURANCE AND ADDITIONAL INSURED COVERAGE

- § 11:563 Follow-form excess insurer's responsibility for providing coverage to primary policy's additional insureds
- § 11:564 Coverage gaps due to language of additional insured endorsements and application of exhaustion doctrine

## XIII. WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS LIABILITY INSURANCE

- § 11:565 Claims between employees
- § 11:566 Boundary disputes with CGL coverage—  
Determining employment status
- § 11:567 Effect of employment status on employer liability

## XIV. AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE

- § 11:568 Auto insurance in the construction industry

## XV. WRAP-UP INSURANCE

- § 11:569 Basics of wrap-up insurance
- § 11:570 Determining who are insureds under wrap-up programs
- § 11:571 Regulation of wrap-up programs

## XVI. INSURING CYBER-RISK EXPOSURES

- § 11:572 Underwriting cyber risk: Challenges presented by the application process
- § 11:573 Types of cyber coverage
- § 11:574 Recovery for cyber-related loss under coverage

- § 11:575 A of commercial general liability policy  
Recovery for cyber-related loss under coverage  
B of the commercial general liability policy
- § 11:576 Coverage for cyber loss under commercial  
property policies

## **XVII. MISCELLANEOUS INSURANCE**

- § 11:577 Terrorism insurance
- § 11:578 Delayed completion and force majeure  
insurance
- § 11:579 First-party design coverage—Owner's  
protective professional indemnity coverage
- § 11:580 Systems performance coverage (efficacy  
insurance)
- § 11:581 Inherent defects insurance
- § 11:582 Subcontractor default insurance
- § 11:583 Pollution liability coverage
- § 11:584 Insurance for leased, rented, or borrowed  
equipment
- § 11:585 Political risk insurance
- § 11:586 Stop-loss coverage
- § 11:587 Contractor's rework endorsement

## **XVIII. CANCELLATION OF INSURANCE**

- § 11:588 Cancellation of insurance
- § 11:589 Policy cancellation and timing of injury

## **XIX. INSURANCE AGENTS AND BROKERS**

- § 11:590 Agent and broker liability
- § 11:591 Agent liability: No available coverage defense

## **XX. MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS**

- § 11:592 Insurance guaranty associations
- § 11:593 Discovering insurance records

# **Volume 4A**

## **CHAPTER 12. SURETYSHIP: ASSURING CONTRACT PERFORMANCE**

### **I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**



DEFAULT

- § 12:1 Role of construction surety
- § 12:2 Suretyship: A tripartite relationship
- § 12:3 Historical development of suretyship
- § 12:4 Suretyship and the Statute of Frauds
- § 12:5 Rise of compensated suretyship
- § 12:6 Regulation of the surety industry: Antitrust and claims practice laws
- § 12:7 Suretyship and “bad faith”
- § 12:8 Suretyship distinguished from guaranty
- § 12:9 Suretyship distinguished from insurance
- § 12:10 Interpretation of suretyship obligations
- § 12:11 Surety bond underwriting
- § 12:12 Surety’s reinsurance of bond risk

**II. PERFORMANCE BONDS**

- § 12:13 Generally
- § 12:14 Types of performance bond obligations—  
Generally
- § 12:15 Types of performance bond obligations—What  
“performance” is required—Typical  
performance bond surety’s options upon its  
principal’s default
- § 12:16 Types of performance bond obligations—  
Performance bond surety’s options upon its  
principal’s default—American Institute of  
Architects’ performance bond
- § 12:17 Types of performance bond obligations—  
ConsensusDOCS performance bond
- § 12:18 Types of performance bond obligations—  
“Indemnity bond”
- § 12:19 Types of performance bond obligations—  
“Indemnity bond”—Federal Standard Form 25  
Performance Bond
- § 12:20 Types of performance bond obligations—  
“Completion bond”
- § 12:21 Types of performance bond obligations—  
“Manuscript bond”
- § 12:22 Financial limit of performance bond obligation:  
“Penal sum”
- § 12:23 Duration of performance bond obligation
- § 12:24 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Generally
- § 12:25 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—

- Named obligee
- § 12:26 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Successor obligees
- § 12:27 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Assignees of performance bond obligees
- § 12:28 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Subrogees of performance bond obligees
- § 12:29 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Third-party beneficiary claimants
- § 12:30 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Third-party beneficiary claimants—Unpaid  
subcontractors and suppliers
- § 12:31 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Third-party beneficiary claimants—Other  
prime contractors
- § 12:32 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Third-party beneficiary claimants—Personal  
injury/wrongful death claimants
- § 12:33 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Third-party beneficiary claimants—Adjacent  
business or property owners
- § 12:34 Beneficiaries of performance bond obligation—  
Owner and lender third-party rights against a  
subcontractor's performance bond
- § 12:35 Damages recoverable under the performance  
bond
- § 12:36 Triggering performance bond surety's obligation  
to perform—Generally
- § 12:37 Triggering performance bond surety's obligation  
to perform—"Default" and "material breach"
- § 12:38 Triggering performance bond surety's obligation  
to perform—Termination of contract for default
- § 12:39 Triggering performance bond surety's obligation  
to perform—Termination of contract for  
default—Validity of termination clause
- § 12:40 Triggering performance bond surety's obligation  
to perform—Termination of contract for  
default—Importance of good-faith motive
- § 12:41 Triggering performance bond surety's obligation  
to perform—Termination of contract for  
default—Requirement for independent  
discretion by contractually authorized  
representative
- § 12:42 Triggering performance bond surety's obligation  
to perform—Termination of contract for

DEFAULT

- § 12:43 default—Importance of proper “cure notice”  
Triggering performance bond surety’s obligation to perform—Termination of contract for default—Obligee’s waiver of the right to terminate for default
- § 12:44 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Generally
- § 12:45 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Substantial performance
- § 12:46 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s duties incident to project design
- § 12:47 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s duties incident to project design—Owner’s implied warranty of design adequacy
- § 12:48 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s duties incident to project design—Owner’s implied warranty of commercial availability of specified construction materials
- § 12:49 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s duties incident to project design—Government contractor defense
- § 12:50 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s implied duty of disclosure
- § 12:51 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s Blended design and performance specifications
- § 12:52 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s approval of contractor plant and equipment or “work plan”
- § 12:53 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s implied warranty of design versus contractor’s warranty of materials
- § 12:54 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s responsibility for latent ambiguities in design
- § 12:55 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s implied duty of cooperation
- § 12:56 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s responsibility for differing site conditions
- § 12:57 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s failure to properly administer contract
- § 12:58 Performance bond surety’s “contract defenses”—Owner’s failure to properly administer contract—Change order resolution

- § 12:59 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Owner's failure to properly administer  
contract—Owner nonpayment
- § 12:60 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Owner's failure to properly administer  
contract—"Cardinal" changes
- § 12:61 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Owner's failure to properly administer  
contract—Failing to give direction
- § 12:62 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Impossibility/impracticability of performance
- § 12:63 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Owner's implied waiver of contract  
requirements
- § 12:64 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Owner's insistence upon strict compliance in  
face of economic waste
- § 12:65 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Hypertechnical inspection
- § 12:66 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Fraud, misrepresentation and duress
- § 12:67 Performance bond surety's "contract defenses"—  
Release or settlement of claims
- § 12:68 Contractor's dispute resolution rights against  
owner
- § 12:69 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Generally
- § 12:70 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Material alteration of bonded contract
- § 12:71 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Changes in the obligee or the principal
- § 12:72 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Obligee's improper payment or nonpayment of  
contract funds
- § 12:73 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Statutory exoneration defenses
- § 12:74 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Obligee's failure to give timely notice of default
- § 12:75 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Contractual and statutory limitations on time  
for commencement of suit
- § 12:76 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Fraud or misrepresentation
- § 12:77 Performance bond surety's "bond defenses"—  
Extension of time

DEFAULT

- § 12:78 Performance bond surety's options upon triggering of its bond obligation—Generally
- § 12:79 Performance bond surety's options upon triggering of its bond obligation—Financing the principal
- § 12:80 Performance bond surety's options upon triggering of its bond obligation—Surety's option to take over and complete
- § 12:81 Performance bond surety's options upon triggering of its bond obligation—Surety's tender of completing contractor
- § 12:82 Performance bond surety's options upon triggering of its bond obligation—Surety's "buy back" of bond
- § 12:83 Performance bond surety's options upon triggering of its bond obligation—Surety's Declination ("Do Nothing") Option

**III. DESIGN-BUILD FROM PERFORMANCE BOND SURETY'S PERSPECTIVE**

- § 12:84 Generally
- § 12:85 Surety concerns about design-build risks
- § 12:86 Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks
- § 12:87 Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—First level of analysis—Bond coverage
- § 12:88 Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—Second level of analysis—Unique design-build considerations
- § 12:89 Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—Second level of analysis—Design inadequacy and miscoordination risks
- § 12:90 Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—Second level of analysis—Scope of design/performance risks
- § 12:91 Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—Second level of analysis—Construction conformance risks
- § 12:92 Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—Second level of analysis—Disclaimers and limitations of liability or damages
- § 12:93 Design-build from performance bond surety's perspective—Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—Second level of analysis—Differing site conditions risks

- § 12:94 Design-build from performance bond surety's perspective—Surety's assessment of specific design-build risks—Second level of analysis—Insurance gaps, conflicts and deficiencies in coverage
- § 12:95 Organization of bond principal to limit surety's exposure
- § 12:96 Surety's rights in design-build setting

#### **IV. SURETY'S EQUITABLE RIGHTS**

- § 12:97 Generally
- § 12:98 Exoneration and quia timet
- § 12:99 Indemnification, reimbursement, and restitution
- § 12:100 Subrogation
- § 12:101 Contribution—Co-suretyship and sub-suretyship

#### **V. SURETY'S RIGHTS TO RETAINAGE AND OTHER CONTRACT FUNDS**

- § 12:102 Theories of entitlement
- § 12:103 Surety salvage—Claim recoveries vs. contract funds
- § 12:104 Surety salvage—Federal projects
- § 12:105 Surety salvage—Governmental immunity
- § 12:106 Surety salvage—Performance bond vs. payment bond
- § 12:107 Surety salvage—Bankruptcy

#### **VI. BANKRUPTCY AND THE COMPLETING SURETY**

- § 12:108 Generally
- § 12:109 Prepetition activities that may influence the surety's rights and obligations postpetition—Generally
- § 12:110 Prepetition activities that may influence the surety's rights and obligations postpetition—Prepetition financing of the principal by the surety
- § 12:111 Prepetition activities that may influence the surety's rights and obligations postpetition—Prepetition termination of bonded contracts

DEFAULT

- § 12:112 Prepetition activities that may influence the surety's rights and obligations postpetition—Principal's waiver of the automatic stay
- § 12:113 Prepetition activities that may influence the surety's rights and obligations postpetition—Filing the general indemnity agreement as a financing statement
- § 12:114 Postpetition challenges and options for the completing surety—Generally
- § 12:115 Postpetition challenges and options for the completing surety—Notifying obligee of interest in bonded contract funds prior to lifting the automatic stay
- § 12:116 Postpetition challenges and options for the completing surety—Securing bonded contract funds
- § 12:117 Postpetition challenges and options for the completing surety—Gaining control of the bonded contracts—Saga of the executory contract
- § 12:118 Postpetition challenges and options for the completing surety—Securing the debtor's subcontractors and equipment in aid of contract performance
- § 12:119 Postpetition challenges and options for the completing surety—Postpetition financing by the surety

## **CHAPTER 13. INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE OF WORK**

### **I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- § 13:1 Inspection's goal of assuring conformance
- § 13:2 Distinction between "quality control" and "quality assurance"
- § 13:3 Implications of legal doctrines of strict compliance and economic waste in judging conformance of work
- § 13:4 Implications of owner observation of work—Mitigation, practical interpretation, and constructive waiver
- § 13:5 Implications of owner "approval" of contractor's work plan, plant, or equipment
- § 13:6 Implications of owner "approval" of materials

- § 13:7 Implications of owner design inadequacy
- § 13:8 Implications of owner “field control”
- § 13:9 Implications of degree of hazardous risk

## **II. INSPECTION RIGHTS**

- § 13:10 Inspection rights in federal procurement
- § 13:11 Inspection rights in private construction

## **III. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) INSPECTIONS**

- § 13:12 Generally
- § 13:13 OSHA enforcement proceedings
- § 13:14 OSHA enforcement proceedings—Appeals from OSHA citations
- § 13:15 OSHA enforcement proceedings—Effect of OSHA violations on related civil litigation
- § 13:16 OSHA enforcement proceedings—Vicarious liability for OSHA violations

## **IV. BUILDING CODES AND INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS**

- § 13:17 State and local building codes requirements
- § 13:18 The International Building Code and Inspection Requirements—Generally
- § 13:19 The International Building Code and Inspection Requirements—Building official inspections
- § 13:20 The International Building Code and Inspection Requirements—“Special inspections” of structural work
- § 13:21 The International Building Code and Inspection Requirements—Fire code inspections under international fire code
- § 13:22 The International Building Code and Inspection Requirements—Liability of public building officials for negligent inspections—“Public duty” doctrine
- § 13:23 The International Building Code and Inspection Requirements—Sovereign immunity and inspection liability regarding Discretionary and Ministerial Acts

## **V. INSPECTION LIABILITY**



DEFAULT

- § 13:24 Inspection liability of federal government agencies under Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA)
- § 13:25 Inspection liability of lenders
- § 13:26 Inspection liability of insurers
- § 13:27 Inspection Liability regarding suitability of existing structure
- § 13:28 Inspection liability of design professionals for death or bodily injury
- § 13:29 Inspection liability of owner agents for failure to catch defects in the work
- § 13:30 Inspection liability of owner agents to third parties for failing to discover another's breaches
- § 13:31 Inspection liability of owner agents to third parties for failing to discover another's breaches—Liability of inspectors for economic loss—Privity and economic loss doctrine
- § 13:32 Inspection liability of owner agents to third parties for failing to discover another's breaches—Proving proximate cause of loss or damage due to inspector's failure to catch defective work

**VI. CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO INSPECT WORK**

- § 13:33 Generally

**VII. INSPECTION FOR PURPOSE OF DETERMINING PAYMENT**

- § 13:34 Generally
- § 13:35 Owner's failure to conduct required tests

**VIII. INSPECTION AND TESTING PROCEDURES THAT CHANGE TERMS OF CONTRACT**

- § 13:36 "Hypertechnical" inspection and constructive change doctrine
- § 13:37 Unspecified testing procedures for determining conformance
- § 13:38 Laboratory versus field tests
- § 13:39 Hyper-technical inspection
- § 13:40 Hyper-technical inspection—Unduly strict

- inspection and the performance of extra work
- § 13:41 Hyper-technical inspection—Reasonableness standard
- § 13:42 Inspection that delays work

## **IX. INSPECTOR AUTHORITY**

- § 13:43 Generally
- § 13:44 Actual authority to alter contract
- § 13:45 Apparent authority to alter contract
- § 13:46 Ratification of inspector's actions
- § 13:47 Acceptance of nonconforming work
- § 13:48 Federal Contracting—Generally
- § 13:49 Federal contracting—Implied authority
- § 13:50 Federal contracting—Contractual significance of inspector's approval or silence
- § 13:51 Evidentiary significance of inspector's failure to object

## **X. INSPECTION OF GOODS UNDER UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE**

- § 13:52 Generally

## **XI. ACCEPTANCE OF WORK**

- § 13:53 Express and implied acceptance as form of waiver
- § 13:54 Contractual approaches to handling consequences of acceptance
- § 13:55 Acceptance of goods under Uniform Commercial Code
- § 13:56 Acceptance doctrine—Defense to third-party liability
- § 13:57 Acceptance in federal contracting—Conclusiveness of acceptance and waiver of rights
- § 13:58 Acceptance in federal contracting—Significance of acceptance in government contracting contrasted with private contracting
- § 13:59 Acceptance in federal contracting—Latent defect exception to the conclusiveness of acceptance
- § 13:60 Acceptance in federal contracting—Latent defect exception to the conclusiveness of acceptance—Establishing existence of a “latent” defect

DEFAULT

- § 13:61 Acceptance in federal contracting—Latent defect exception to the conclusiveness of acceptance—“Latent” defect was present at time of acceptance
- § 13:62 Acceptance in federal contracting—Latent defect exception to the conclusiveness of acceptance—“Latent” defect not discoverable through reasonable inspection procedures
- § 13:63 Acceptance in federal contracting—Latent defect exception to the conclusiveness of acceptance—What is reasonable inspection?
- § 13:64 Acceptance in federal contracting—Doctrines of strict compliance and economic waste in federal contracting
- § 13:65 Acceptance in federal contracting—Cause in fact—Allocating responsibility where both contractor and government are at fault
- § 13:66 Acceptance in federal contracting—Necessity of linking “defect” to claimed damages
- § 13:67 Acceptance in federal contracting—Latent design defects and credit based upon useful life analysis
- § 13:68 Acceptance in contracting—Limitations on government’s remedies for latent defects
- § 13:69 Acceptance in federal contracting—Untimely claims

**XII. BUYER’S RIGHTS UPON TENDER OF NONCONFORMING GOODS**

- § 13:70 General U.C.C. principles
- § 13:71 Revoking acceptance of defective goods that substantially impair value to buyer
- § 13:72 Revocation rights after substantial alteration of accepted goods
- § 13:73 Buyer’s obligation to act timely in accepting or rejecting goods
- § 13:74 Revocation must be timely made
- § 13:75 Damages available based upon acceptance, rejection, or revocation

**XIII. ACCEPTANCE AS FORM OF WAIVER OR ESTOPPEL LIMITING RECOVERY FOR DEFECTIVE WORK IMPLICATIONS OF FINAL PAYMENT**

- § 13:76 Generally
- § 13:77 Common-law doctrine of waiver
- § 13:78 Determining intention—When can owner be deemed to have knowingly accepted nonconforming work?
- § 13:79 Dual agent's acceptance of nonconforming work
- § 13:80 Acceptance by owner of work containing observable defects constituting waiver of right to assert claim for such defects
- § 13:81 Conduct estopping owner from seeking to recover for nonconforming work

## **CHAPTER 14. DIFFERING SITE CONDITIONS**

### **I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- § 14:1 Construction industry's "great risk"
- § 14:2 Geotechnical engineering: Science of soil mechanics and art of soil exploration

### **II. UNANTICIPATED SOIL AND SITE CONDITIONS CAUSING CHANGES IN CONSTRUCTION MEANS AND METHODS**

- § 14:3 Generally
- § 14:4 Inadequate bearing capacity
- § 14:5 "Tough" clay and cemented soils
- § 14:6 "Soft" clay soils
- § 14:7 Boulders
- § 14:8 Rock
- § 14:9 Groundwater
- § 14:10 Soils unsuitable as fill
- § 14:11 Surface water from extraordinary weather
- § 14:12 High tides, unanticipated lake and river elevations and heavy currents
- § 14:13 Permafrost
- § 14:14 Man-made obstructions and nonsoil/water conditions
- § 14:15 Soil exploration and site survey deficiencies
- § 14:16 Environmental issues

### **III. SOIL EXPLORATION FOR DESIGN AND**

DEFAULT

## **CONSTRUCTION: FORESEEING PROBLEMS AND PREVENTING DISPUTES**

- § 14:17 Generally
- § 14:18 Standard guidelines for soil exploration
- § 14:19 Standard guidelines for soil exploration—  
Recognizance of area
- § 14:20 Standard guidelines for soil exploration—Field  
exploration of site
- § 14:21 Standard guidelines for soil exploration—  
Interpretation and reporting of results
- § 14:22 Owner and design professional liability for  
negligent geotechnical exploration and  
reporting

## **IV. AMERICAN COMMON-LAW ALLOCATION OF DIFFERING SITE CONDITIONS**

- § 14:23 Generally
- § 14:24 Contractor risk under doctrine of “sanctity of  
contract”
- § 14:25 Owner risk of contract scope—Implications of  
“extra work” outside scope of contractor’s  
“undertaking”
- § 14:26 Owner risk of contract scope—Interpretation of  
contract scope
- § 14:27 Owner risk of contract scope—“Extra work”  
clauses
- § 14:28 Owner risk of defective design—Implications of  
dependency of foundation design upon soils and  
of owner’s implied warranty of adequacy of its  
detailed design plans and specifications
- § 14:29 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Implications  
of affirmative misrepresentation of site  
conditions
- § 14:30 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Positive  
misrepresentation
- § 14:31 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Materiality of  
misrepresentation
- § 14:32 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Inducement to  
bid or enter into contract
- § 14:33 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Justifiable  
reliance upon misrepresentation
- § 14:34 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Public policy  
considerations concerning enforcement of

- disclaimers
- § 14:35 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Interpretation of disclaimers—Specific representations prevail over general disclaimers
  - § 14:36 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Policy considerations for disclaiming interpretative opinions but not factual information
  - § 14:37 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Policy considerations for refusing to enforce duty to conduct detailed site exploration under general site examination requirement
  - § 14:38 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Policy considerations for disallowing general knowledge about soils conditions in locale to override specific misrepresentations
  - § 14:39 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Policy considerations surrounding disclaimers that exclude misrepresented soils information from contract documents
  - § 14:40 Owner risk of misrepresentation—Policy considerations allowing enforcement of specific disclaimers of fact
  - § 14:41 Owner risk of nondisclosure—Implications of wrongful withholding of information
  - § 14:42 Owner's risk of mistake—Implications of mutual or induced mistake regarding site conditions
  - § 14:43 Acceptance of Risk—Implications of a voluntary promise to pay additional compensation
  - § 14:44 Owner's risk of impossibility—Excuse for contractual nonperformance

## V. MODERN DIFFERING SITE CONDITION CLAUSES

- § 14:45 Origins of modern clauses addressing differing site conditions and site inspection: changed conditions clause, differing site conditions clause and site inspection clause
- § 14:46 Modern differing site conditions clauses—In general
- § 14:47 Purpose of modern clauses
- § 14:48 Grounds for relief under modern differing site conditions clauses—Type I and Type II claims
- § 14:49 Grounds for relief under modern differing site conditions clauses—Subsurface or latent

DEFAULT

- existing physical conditions at site
- § 14:50 Grounds for relief under modern differing site conditions clauses—Actual conditions must differ “materially”
- § 14:51 Type I conditions—Erroneous “indications” in contract documents
- § 14:52 Type I conditions—Reliance upon reasonably inferred indications
- § 14:53 Type II conditions—Unknown and of unusual nature
- § 14:54 Justifiable reliance in face of contractual site investigation duties and disclaimers
- § 14:55 Justifiable reliance in face of contractual site investigation duties and disclaimers—Site investigation duty
- § 14:56 Justifiable reliance in face of contractual site investigation duties and disclaimers—Enforceability of disclaimers
- § 14:57 Notice of differing site conditions
- § 14:58 Owner’s postnotice investigation
- § 14:59 Differing sites conditions clause in relation to unit price and variation in estimated quantities provisions

## Volume 5

### **CHAPTER 15. RISKS OF CONSTRUCTION TIME: DELAY, SUSPENSION, ACCELERATION AND DISRUPTION**

- § 15:1 Time: Critical construction resource
- § 15:2 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science
- § 15:3 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science—Early views of construction time and evolution of construction scheduling
- § 15:4 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science—Bar chart
- § 15:5 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science—Critical path method
- § 15:6 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and

- complex science—Critical path method—
  - Origins of critical path method as
  - scheduling tool
- § 15:7 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science—Critical path method— Constraints on effectiveness of CPM schedule
- § 15:8 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science—Critical path method— Developing realistic CPM “baseline” schedule
- § 15:9 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science—Critical path method— Concept of “float”
- § 15:10 Construction scheduling: Invaluable art and complex science—Critical path method— Updating schedule during construction
- § 15:11 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”
- § 15:12 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Parameters of contract time: defining start and completion dates
- § 15:13 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Parameters of contract time: defining start and completion dates—Time of commencement
- § 15:14 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Parameters of contract time: defining start and completion dates—Time of completion
- § 15:15 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Parameters of contract time: defining start and completion dates—Time of completion—Substantial completion
- § 15:16 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Parameters of contract time: defining start and completion dates—Time of completion—Final completion
- § 15:17 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Materiality of contract time
- § 15:18 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Materiality of contract time—Materiality of time created during performance
- § 15:19 Contract time within “limits of the



DEFAULT

- undertaking”—Materiality of contract time—Materiality of time created by exercise of unilateral right to fix time of performance
- § 15:20 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Materiality of contract time—Waiver of materiality of timely performance
- § 15:21 Contract time within “limits of the undertaking”—Implication of scheduled early completion
- § 15:22 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”
- § 15:23 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”—Implications of foreseeability of performance risks at time of contracting
- § 15:24 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”—Implications of legal “control”
- § 15:25 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”—Implications of exercise of actual physical “control”
- § 15:26 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”—Implications of fault, negligence or bad faith
- § 15:27 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”—Implications of failure to avoid or mitigate
- § 15:28 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”—Implications of legal impracticability
- § 15:28.50 Common-law allocation of risks impacting timely performance: Doctrine of Force Majeure and concept of “control”—Remedies for force majeure: Time extension or termination
- § 15:29 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance

- § 15:30 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”
- § 15:31 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to foreseeable site, design or construction problems
- § 15:32 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay of subcontractors or suppliers
- § 15:33 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to contractor’s failure to properly coordinate its subcontractors and suppliers
- § 15:34 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay in commencing work
- § 15:35 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to financial difficulty
- § 15:36 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to foreseeable “normal” weather
- § 15:37 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to foreseeable strikes and other labor problems

DEFAULT

- § 15:38 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to defective or nonconforming work
- § 15:39 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to untimely availability of conforming materials or equipment
- § 15:40 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—“Inexcusable” delay due to untimely or defective contractor design
- § 15:41 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Inexcusable” delay: Delay within the contractor’s “control”—Other “inexcusable” causes
- § 15:42 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties
- § 15:43 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties—“Excusable” delay due to “abnormal” weather
- § 15:44 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties—“Excusable” delay due to unforeseeable strikes and labor problems
- § 15:45 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties—“Excusable” delay due to sovereign

- acts of government
- § 15:46 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties—“Excusable” delay due to acts of God
- § 15:47 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties—“Excusable” delay due to acts of the public enemy
- § 15:48 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties—“Excusable” delay due to unavailability of materials
- § 15:49 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Excusable” delay: Delay outside the “control” of both contracting parties—“Excusable” delay due to unenumerated causes
- § 15:50 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”
- § 15:51 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to providing untimely or restricted access to site
- § 15:52 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to untimely issuance of notice to proceed
- § 15:53 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to failure to

DEFAULT

- properly administer contract payment and other material provisions
- § 15:54 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to untimely delivery of conforming owner-furnished materials, equipment or work
- § 15:55 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to failure to coordinate multiple contractors
- § 15:56 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to failure to coordinate participating owners
- § 15:57 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to failure to provide contract “direction”
- § 15:58 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to untimely response to shop drawings and submittals
- § 15:59 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to unreasonable inspection or rejection
- § 15:60 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to failure to furnish adequate design documents and to timely correct their deficiencies

- § 15:61 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to inaccurate, withheld or untimely material information
- § 15:62 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to failure to manage site conditions within one’s control
- § 15:63 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to interference with contractor’s work force or work plan
- § 15:64 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to change orders or failure to manage change order process
- § 15:65 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to differing site conditions
- § 15:66 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Compensable” delay: Delay within the contractee’s “control”—“Compensable” delay due to otherwise noncompensable delays that would not have been encountered but for earlier compensable delay
- § 15:67 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Concurrent” delay
- § 15:68 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Concurrent” delay—Analysis of impact of concurrent events upon critical and noncritical activities: Importance of

DEFAULT

- CPM scheduling
- § 15:69 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Concurrent” delay—Consequence of “pacing”
- § 15:70 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—“Apportioned” delay
- § 15:71 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Timely notice of delay
- § 15:72 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks
- § 15:73 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual conversion for “inexcusable” into “excusable” delay: Time extension clause
- § 15:74 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contract conversion of “excusable” into “compensable” delay: “Pay for excusable delay” clause
- § 15:75 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual conversion of “compensable delay” into “excusable delay”: “No damage for delay” clause
- § 15:76 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual conversion of “compensable delay” into “excusable delay”: “No damage for delay” clause—“Fraud, misrepresentation or other bad faith” exception
- § 15:77 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay

- performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual conversion of “compensable delay” into “excusable delay”: “No damage for delay” clause—“Active interference” exception
- § 15:78 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual conversion of “compensable delay” into “excusable delay”: “No damage for delay” clause—“Unreasonable delay” exception
- § 15:79 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual conversion of “compensable delay” into “excusable delay”: “No damage for delay” clause—“Not contemplated by the parties” exception
- § 15:80 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual conversion of “compensable delay” into “excusable delay”: “No damage for delay” clause—Waiver of the “no damage for delay” clause or its exceptions
- § 15:81 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual limitation of amount recoverable as delay damages: “Limitation on delay damages” clause
- § 15:82 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Contractual modification of common-law allocation of delay risks—Contractual “liquidation” of “inexcusable” delay damages: “Liquidated damages” clause
- § 15:82.51 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—Undercompensatory liquidated damages



DEFAULT

- § 15:82.52 Delay: Using principle of “control” to assess liability for time impacts that delay performance—  
Interpretation of Liquidated Damage Clauses:  
Remedies vs. Alternative Performances
- § 15:83 Suspension of work: Contractually authorized  
“compensable” delay
- § 15:84 Suspension of work: Contractually authorized  
“compensable” delay—Origin of “suspension  
of work” clause
- § 15:85 Suspension of work: Contractually authorized  
“compensable” delay—Standard form  
“suspension of work” clauses
- § 15:86 Suspension of work: Contractually authorized  
“compensable” delay—Directed suspension
- § 15:87 Suspension of work: Contractually authorized  
“compensable” delay—Constructive  
suspension
- § 15:88 Suspension of work: Contractually authorized  
“compensable” delay—Voluntary suspension
- § 15:89 Acceleration: Compression of contract time
- § 15:90 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Acceleration” distinguished from “delay”  
and “disruption”
- § 15:91 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Normal” acceleration distinguished from  
“abnormal” acceleration
- § 15:92 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
Compensable “directed” acceleration
- § 15:93 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
Contractual conversion of compensable  
acceleration to noncompensable acceleration:  
“No pay for acceleration” clause
- § 15:94 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Constructive” acceleration
- § 15:95 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Constructive” acceleration—“Excusable” or  
“compensable” delay justifying an extension  
of time
- § 15:96 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Constructive” acceleration—Notice of  
delaying event and request for extension of  
time
- § 15:97 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Constructive” acceleration—Wrongful  
refusal or failure to grant additional time

- § 15:98 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Constructive” acceleration—Express or  
implied direction to accelerate
- § 15:99 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Constructive” acceleration—Notice of a  
claim for constructive acceleration
- § 15:100 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
“Constructive” acceleration—Actual  
acceleration and incurrence of damages
- § 15:101 Acceleration: Compression of contract time—  
Noncompensable “voluntary” acceleration
- § 15:102 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity
- § 15:103 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—“Disruption” distinguished  
from delay and suspension
- § 15:104 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—“Disruption” distinguished  
from “acceleration”
- § 15:105 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—Causes of productivity  
variation
- § 15:106 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—Causes of productivity  
variation—Resource vulnerabilities to  
environmental conditions
- § 15:107 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—Causes of productivity  
variation—Resource vulnerabilities to  
environmental conditions—Temperature and  
humidity
- § 15:108 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—Causes of productivity  
variation—Resource vulnerabilities to  
environmental conditions—Wind
- § 15:109 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—Causes of productivity  
variation—Resource vulnerabilities to  
environmental conditions—Precipitation
- § 15:110 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected  
productivity—Causes of productivity  
variation—Resource vulnerabilities to  
environmental conditions—Ground  
conditions
- § 15:111 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected

DEFAULT

- productivity—Causes of productivity variation—Resource vulnerabilities to quality, capacity and availability limitations
- § 15:112 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—Causes of productivity variation—Resource vulnerabilities to project mismanagement
- § 15:113 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—Causes of productivity variation—Resource vulnerabilities to “wear and tear”
- § 15:114 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—Measurement of “abnormal” disruption
- § 15:115 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—Measurement of “abnormal” disruption—Baseline for disruption measurement
- § 15:116 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—Measurement of “abnormal” disruption—“Measured mile” method
- § 15:117 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—Measurement of “abnormal” disruption—Other disruption measurement methods
- § 15:118 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—“Compensable disruption”
- § 15:119 “Disruption”: Reduction in expected productivity—“Cumulative impact”
- § 15:120 Proving time impacts
- § 15:121 Proving time impacts—Burden of proof
- § 15:122 Proving time impacts—Problems in proving time impacts with a bar chart schedule
- § 15:123 Proving time impacts—Use of critical path method in time impact analysis
- § 15:124 Proving time impacts—Use of critical path method in time impact analysis—Determination of time impact during construction
- § 15:125 Proving time impacts—Use of critical path method in time impact analysis—Determination of time impact during construction—Consideration of “float”
- § 15:126 Proving time impacts—Use of critical path method in time impact analysis—

- Determination of time impact during construction—Sorting out concurrencies
- § 15:127 Proving time impacts—Use of critical path method in time impact analysis—Vulnerability of CPM analysis to abuse
- § 15:128 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact
- § 15:129 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Baseline” for analysis: Understanding contractor’s “as planned” schedule
- § 15:130 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Contemporaneous” analysis method
- § 15:131 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Historical” analysis methods
- § 15:132 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Historical” analysis methods—“As-planned versus as-built analysis” method
- § 15:133 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Historical” analysis methods—“Total time” method
- § 15:134 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Historical” analysis methods—“Impacted as-planned” method
- § 15:135 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Historical” analysis methods—“Collapsed as-built” method
- § 15:136 Proving time impacts—Selecting appropriate analytical method for proving time impact—“Window analysis” method

## **CHAPTER 16. GOVERNMENTAL REGULATION: LICENSING AND PERMITTING**

- § 16:1 Governmental regulation of construction
- § 16:2 Governmental regulation of construction—Regulatory role of building codes
- § 16:2.10 Governmental regulation of construction—Building

DEFAULT

- code non-compliance and negligence per se
- § 16:2.20 Governmental regulation of construction—Building code compliance and standard of care
- § 16:3 Governmental regulation of construction—Regulatory role of occupational safety and workplace laws
- § 16:4 Licensing design professional
- § 16:5 Licensing design professional—Statutory schemes
- § 16:6 Licensing design professional—Statutory schemes—Regulated acts of design professionals
- § 16:6.10 Licensing design professional—Statutory schemes—State requirements for sealing engineering documents: Responsible charge
- § 16:6.15 Licensing design professional—Statutory schemes—What is “responsible charge”?
- § 16:6.20 Licensing design professional—Statutory schemes—Regulations governing sealing drawings and other instruments of service
- § 16:6.30 Licensing design professional—Statutory schemes—Improper sealing: Establishing causation and damages
- § 16:7 Licensing design professional—Statutory schemes—Regulatory Exemptions
- § 16:8 Licensing design professional—Corporate practice by licensed professionals
- § 16:9 Licensing design professional—Design-build practice
- § 16:10 Licensing design professional—Architecture vs. engineering
- § 16:11 Licensing the construction professional
- § 16:12 Licensing the construction professional—Policy and purpose
- § 16:13 Licensing the construction professional—Regulatory scope
- § 16:14 Licensing the construction professional—Regulatory scope—Exemptions
- § 16:15 Licensing the construction professional—Construction managers
- § 16:16 Licensing the construction professional—Prequalification requirements
- § 16:17 Consequences of noncompliance
- § 16:18 Consequences of noncompliance—Civil

- sanctions
- § 16:19 Consequences of noncompliance—Civil sanctions—Absence of express statutory prohibition
- § 16:20 Consequences of noncompliance—Civil sanctions—Substantial compliance vs. strict compliance
- § 16:21 Consequences of noncompliance—Civil sanctions—Theories of recovery
- § 16:22 Consequences of noncompliance—Right to recover money back from unlicensed construction professional
- § 16:23 Consequences of noncompliance—Right to recover money back from unlicensed construction professional—Derivative effects of nonlicensure
- § 16:24 Consequences of noncompliance—Administrative sanctions: Overview
- § 16:25 Consequences of noncompliance—Administrative sanctions: Overview—Administrative sanctions: Authority of license boards
- § 16:26 Consequences of noncompliance—Administrative sanctions: Overview—Administrative sanctions: Procedural due process requirements
- § 16:27 Criminal sanctions
- § 16:28 Foreign incorporation requirements: Taxing authority
- § 16:29 Foreign incorporation requirements: Taxing authority—Level of activity required before one is deemed to have transacted business

## **CHAPTER 17. DESIGN PROFESSIONALS: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

- § 17:1 Rise of design professions
- § 17:2 Rise of design professions—Project delivery systems: Traditional approach and beyond
- § 17:3 Rise of design professions—Evolving landscape of designer liability
- § 17:4 Designer's three distinct professional roles: Independent designer, agent administrator and quasi-adjudicator

DEFAULT

- § 17:5 Designer's three distinct professional roles: Independent designer, agent administrator and quasi-adjudicator—Designer as “independent contractor” for design services
- § 17:6 Designer's three distinct professional roles: Independent designer, agent administrator and quasi-adjudicator—Designer as agent during construction
- § 17:7 Designer's three distinct professional roles: Independent designer, agent administrator and quasi-adjudicator—Designer as agent during construction—Imputing designer's actions to owner
- § 17:8 Designer's three distinct professional roles: Independent designer, agent administrator and quasi-adjudicator—Designer as agent during construction—Scope of designer's authority
- § 17:9 Designer's three distinct professional roles: Independent designer, agent administrator and quasi-adjudicator—Designer as interpreter and arbiter
- § 17:10 Standard of care: Duty of care
- § 17:11 Standard of care: Duty of care—Contract and tort approaches determining designer's standard of care
- § 17:11.30 Standard of care: Duty of care—To whom does the design professional owe a duty of care?
- § 17:11.70 Standard of care: Duty of care—Foreseeability and control as twin influences in defining the boundaries of duty
- § 17:12 Standard of care: Duty of care—Contract and tort approaches determining designer's standard of care—Reasons for distinguishing between designer liability in tort and contract
- § 17:13 Standard of care: Duty of care—Contracting to higher standard of care
- § 17:13.10 Standard of care: Duty of care—Federal government's design-within-funding-limitations clause
- § 17:13.20 Standard of care: Duty of care—Warranting an end result or level of effort
- § 17:13.30 Standard of care: Duty of care—Incorporating standard of care language into the contract

- § 17:13.40 Standard of care: Duty of care—Altering the designer's standard of care via the scope of its contractual undertaking
- § 17:13.50 Standard of care: Duty of care—Effect of contract on duty owed to non-privity parties
- § 17:14 Standard of care: Duty of care—Establishing standard of care: Need for expert testimony
- § 17:14.10 Standard of care: Duty of care—Professional vs. ordinary negligence
- § 17:14.15 Standard of care: Duty of care—Establishing breach of a duty without expert testimony
- § 17:14.20 Standard of care: Duty of care—Must the expert be licensed to opine about a licensed professional?
- § 17:14.25 Standard of care: Duty of care—Evaluating the expert's opinions
- § 17:14.30 Standard of care: Duty of care—Trial court as gatekeeper of expert testimony
- § 17:14.35 Standard of care: Duty of care—Conclusory and speculative opinions inadmissible
- § 17:14.50 Standard of care: Duty of care—Certificate of merit statutes
- § 17:15 Standard of care: Duty of care—Violating building code or other government standards
- § 17:16 Theories of design professional liability
- § 17:17 Theories of design professional liability—Contractual liability
- § 17:17.50 Third-party beneficiary liability
- § 17:18 Theories of design professional liability—Contractual liability—Standard form design agreements
- § 17:19 Theories of design professional liability—Negligence Liability
- § 17:20 Theories of design professional liability—Negligence Liability—Comparative fault
- § 17:21 Theories of design professional liability—Contribution
- § 17:21.10 Theories of design professional liability—Contribution and malpractice liability: New York law
- § 17:22 Theories of design professional liability—Contribution—Effect of settlements on tort contribution rights
- § 17:23 Theories of design professional liability—



DEFAULT

- § 17:24 Contribution—Joint and several liability  
Theories of design professional liability—  
Warranty liability
- § 17:25 Theories of design professional liability—  
Strict liability
- § 17:26 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability in fraud
- § 17:27 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for negligent misrepresentation
- § 17:28 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for defamation
- § 17:29 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for tortious interference with  
contractual relations
- § 17:30 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability to third-party beneficiaries
- § 17:31 Theories of design professional liability—  
Indemnity liability
- § 17:32 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for environmental injury
- § 17:33 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for environmental injury—  
Expanding role of environmental statutory  
liability in construction
- § 17:34 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for nuisance
- § 17:35 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for abnormally dangerous activities
- § 17:36 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability for trespass
- § 17:37 Theories of design professional liability—  
Liability under Americans with Disabilities  
Act
- § 17:38 Theories of design professional liability—  
Personal liability of design professionals
- § 17:38.50 “Green” development and the designer’s rights and  
responsibilities for sustainable design
- § 17:38.51 Why green buildings?
- § 17:38.52 Green cost premium: Perception vs. reality
- § 17:38.53 Managing green expectations
- § 17:38.54 Green payback
- § 17:38.55 U.S. Green Building Council’s leadership in energy  
and environmental design (LEED) rating system
- § 17:38.56 Energy performance contracting

- § 17:38.57 State and local incentives for sustainable development
- § 17:38.58 Challenges presented by state and local green initiatives
- § 17:38.59 Green building codes and negligence per se
- § 17:38.60 Challenges of green contracting
- § 17:38.61 Standard of care
- § 17:38.62 Green liability
- § 17:38.90 Designing for the future, the incorporation of resilience concepts when assessing the impact of climate change over project life
- § 17:39 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals
- § 17:39.50 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for failing to advise owner about flaws in another professional's report
- § 17:40 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for defective plans and specifications
- § 17:40.50 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Designer liability for preliminary design documents
- § 17:41 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for defective plans and specifications—Construction means and methods
- § 17:42 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for defective plans and specifications—Design errors cast as misrepresentations
- § 17:43 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent cost estimation and construction budget services
- § 17:44 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent cost estimation and construction budget services—Establishing cost estimation liability
- § 17:45 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent cost estimation and construction budget services—Cost estimation damages
- § 17:46 Specific undertakings that pose liability

DEFAULT

- concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent cost estimation and construction budget services—Cost estimation errors as fraud or misrepresentation
- § 17:47 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent cost estimation and construction budget services—Cost estimation liability to third parties
- § 17:48 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for material selection and substitutions
- § 17:49 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for material selection and substitutions—Restrictive specifications
- § 17:50 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for material selection and substitutions—Unsuitable materials
- § 17:51 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for poorly coordinated specifications
- § 17:52 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent construction observation, inspection, and site visitations
- § 17:53 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Design professional’s responsibility for safe work site
- § 17:54 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Design professional’s responsibility for safe work site—Right to stop work
- § 17:55 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Design professional’s responsibility for safe work site—Temporary construction
- § 17:56 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Design professional’s responsibility for safe work site—Volunteering to undertake responsibility for safety
- § 17:57 Specific undertakings that pose liability

- concerns for design professionals—Design professional's responsibility for safe work site—Illinois law as representative of shifting attitudes toward designer responsibility for worker injuries
- § 17:58 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Design professional's responsibility for safe work site—Knowledge of unsafe conditions
- § 17:59 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Design professional's responsibility for safe work site—Accepted work doctrine
- § 17:59.50 Design immunity defense
- § 17:60 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Design professional's responsibility for safe work site—OSHA liability
- § 17:61 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent or untimely shop drawing review
- § 17:62 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification
- § 17:63 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification—Schedule of values
- § 17:64 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification—Front-end loading
- § 17:65 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification—Withholding payment certification
- § 17:66 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification—Certification of substantial completion
- § 17:67 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification—Certifications for benefit of third parties
- § 17:68 Specific undertakings that pose liability

DEFAULT

- concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification—Effect of designer’s certification upon owner
- § 17:69 Specific undertakings that pose liability concerns for design professionals—Liability for negligent certification—Noncertification of contractor claims
- § 17:70 Delegation of duties—Introduction
- § 17:71 Delegation of duties—Design team consultants
- § 17:72 Delegation of duties—Designer’s recovery rights from his consultants: Indemnity and contribution
- § 17:73 Delegation of duties—Delegation of design responsibility to contractor team
- § 17:73.10 Design delegation: Rights and responsibilities
- § 17:74 Delegation of duties—Delegation of design responsibility to contractor team—Incorporation of outside design elements into plans and specifications
- § 17:75 Delegation of duties—Delegation of design responsibility to contractor team—Liability following placement of designer’s seal upon documents
- § 17:76 Delegation of duties—Delegation of design responsibility to contractor team—Performance specifications
- § 17:77 Delegation of duties—Delegation of design responsibility to contractor team—Design delegation AIA style
- § 17:78 Delegation of duties—Liability for plan stamping
- § 17:79 Designer as interpreter of plans and specifications and arbiter of disputes: Designer as decision-maker
- § 17:80 Designer as interpreter of plans and specifications and arbiter of disputes: Designer as decision-maker—Liability over aesthetics
- § 17:81 Designer’s role as arbiter: Two world views
- § 17:82 Designer’s role as arbiter: Two world views—Immunity
- § 17:83 Designer’s role as arbiter: Two world views—Immunity—Review rights
- § 17:84 Designer’s role as arbiter: Two world views—

BRUNER & O'CONNOR ON CONSTRUCTION LAW

- Extent of immunity: When is designer acting as arbiter?
- § 17:85 Ownership and use of design works: Protection by contract
- § 17:86 Ownership and use of design works: Protection by contract—Copyright protection for architectural works: Status of copyright protection for architects prior to 1990
- § 17:87 Ownership and use of design works: Protection by contract—Copyright protection for architectural works under Architectural Works Copyright Protection Act of 1990
- § 17:87.50 Ownership and use of design works: Protection by contract—Copyright litigation in the construction industry
- § 17:87.70 Statute of limitations governing actions under Federal Copyright Act
- § 17:88 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule
- § 17:89 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Origins of rule
- § 17:90 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Application of rule to services
- § 17:91 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Florida's experience with economic loss rule
- § 17:92 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Tort duty arising from nature of parties' relationship
- § 17:92.50 Economic loss doctrine: Negligent misrepresentation exception as applied to construction professionals
- § 17:93 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Sudden and calamitous event exception
- § 17:94 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Property damage
- § 17:95 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Mixed transactions
- § 17:96 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Evolving concepts of duty and foreseeability: Doctrine in flux
- § 17:97 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—California's experience with economic loss doctrine

DEFAULT

- § 17:97.50 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—New York’s experience with the economic loss doctrine
- § 17:98 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Limitation-of-liability provisions
  - § 17:98.04 No-damages-for-delay provisions
  - § 17:98.05 Contractual provisions immunizing design professionals from liability
  - § 17:98.10 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Waivers of consequential damages
  - § 17:98.20 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Gross negligence and limitation of liability clauses: New York law
  - § 17:98.21 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—New York’s highest court attempts to distinguish “gross negligence” from “ordinary negligence”
  - § 17:98.22 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Gross negligence from the standpoint of duty and damages: New York law
  - § 17:98.23 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Purpose behind rule of not enforcing exculpatory clauses in cases of gross negligence
  - § 17:98.30 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Willful misconduct and limitation of liability clauses
  - § 17:98.35 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Exculpatory provisions in contracts procured through fraud
  - § 17:98.40 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Enforceability of exculpatory provisions under New York law
  - § 17:98.50 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Statutory restrictions on the enforcement of exculpatory provisions
- § 17:99 Damages theories limiting recovery: Economic loss rule—Betterment
- § 17:100 Damages theories limiting recovery—Many faces of the defense of betterment—Proration for expended useful life

## **CHAPTER 18. CONTRACT BREACH AND TERMINATION**

- § 18:1 Construction’s formidable task: Determining breach

- and assessing its materiality as basis for contract termination for cause
- § 18:2 Determining construction contract “breach” and “material breach”
- § 18:3 Consequences of wrong assessment of materiality of breach upon termination for cause
- § 18:4 Amorphous legal standard of material breach
- § 18:5 Construction industry’s approach to determining materiality of breach
- § 18:6 Construction industry’s approach to determining materiality of breach—Role of design professional in evaluation of material breach
- § 18:7 Construction industry’s approach to determining materiality of breach—Role of design professional in evaluation of material breach—Design professional’s certificates
- § 18:8 Construction industry’s approach to determining materiality of breach—Role of design professional in evaluation of material breach—Design professional’s evaluation of quality of work
- § 18:9 Construction industry’s approach to determining materiality of breach—Role of design professional in evaluation of material breach—Design professional’s evaluation of progress
- § 18:10 Construction industry’s approach to determining materiality of breach—Role of code enforcement officials in evaluation of material breach
- § 18:11 Construction industry’s approach to determining materiality of breach—Role of independent experts in evaluation of material breach
- § 18:12 Doctrine of substantial performance and its impact upon materiality
- § 18:13 Doctrine of economic waste and its impact upon materiality
- § 18:14 Doctrine of mitigation and its impact upon materiality
- § 18:15 Principle of cure and its implications upon materiality
- § 18:16 Principle of cure and its implications upon



DEFAULT

- materiality—Principle of “first uncured material breach” as justification for future contract nonperformance
- § 18:17 Doctrine of waiver: Elimination of materiality of breach
- § 18:18 Doctrine of waiver: Elimination of materiality of breach—Waiver of materiality of timely performance
- § 18:19 Doctrine of waiver: Elimination of materiality of breach—Waiver of materiality of specifications
- § 18:20 Doctrine of waiver: Elimination of materiality of breach—Waiver of materiality of other contract conditions
- § 18:21 Doctrines of impossibility, impracticability, frustration, and force majeure, and their impact upon materiality
- § 18:22 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)
- § 18:23 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)—Anticipatory repudiation
- § 18:24 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)—Failure of contractor to make adequate progress
- § 18:25 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)—Defective work
- § 18:26 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)—Nonpayment
- § 18:27 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)—

- Cardinal change
- § 18:28 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)— Violation of laws, ordinances, and regulations, and criminal activity
- § 18:29 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)— Insolvency or bankruptcy
- § 18:30 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)— Failure to maintain required licenses and permits
- § 18:31 Construction breaches judged sufficiently material to future performance to warrant termination (when otherwise unwaived, uncured, unexcused, and unmitigated)— Failure to obtain and maintain required bonds and insurance
- § 18:32 Contract termination for cause
- § 18:33 Contract termination for cause—Termination for cause clauses
- § 18:34 Contract termination for cause—Termination for cause clauses—American Institute of Architects termination for cause clauses
- § 18:35 Contract termination for cause—Termination for cause clauses—American Institute of Architects termination for cause clauses— Termination by contractor for cause
- § 18:36 Contract termination for cause—Termination for cause clauses—American Institute of Architects termination for cause clauses— Termination by owner for cause
- § 18:37 Contract termination for cause—Termination for cause clauses—Federal termination for default clause
- § 18:38 Contract termination for cause—Termination for cause clauses—Termination for cause clauses and public policy
- § 18:39 Contract termination for cause—Termination

DEFAULT

- for cause procedure
- § 18:40 Contract termination for cause—Adequacy of evaluation of materiality of breach
- § 18:41 Contract termination for cause—Adequacy of evaluation of materiality of breach—Adequacy of cure notice
- § 18:42 Contract termination for cause—Adequacy of evaluation of materiality of breach—Independence and good faith of termination decision
- § 18:43 Contract termination for cause—Adequacy of evaluation of materiality of breach—Recurement following termination for cause
- § 18:44 Contract termination for cause—Adequacy of evaluation of materiality of breach—Damages for wrongful termination
- § 18:45 Contract termination for convenience
- § 18:45.50 Contract termination for convenience—“Constructive” termination for convenience
- § 18:46 Contract termination for convenience—Termination for convenience clauses
- § 18:47 Contract termination for convenience—Judicial limitation of right to terminate for convenience
- § 18:48 Contract termination for convenience—Partial termination for convenience or deductive change
- § 18:49 Contract termination for convenience—Termination for convenience process
- § 18:50 No-cost contract termination

## Volume 6

### CHAPTER 19. REMEDIES AND DAMAGE MEASURES

#### I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 19:1 “Gordian Knot” of modern construction remedies
- § 19:2 Classical remedies governing construction disputes

#### II. CLASSICAL TORT REMEDIES

- § 19:3 Generally
- § 19:4 Expansion of tort damages—Remedy of punitive damages
- § 19:5 Limitation on tort damages—Principle of “proximate cause”
- § 19:6 Limitation on tort damages—Rule of “avoidable consequences”
- § 19:7 Limitation on tort damages—Concept of “benefit”
- § 19:8 Limitation on tort damages—Principle of apportionment
- § 19:9 Limitation on tort damages—Contract constraint
- § 19:10 Limitation on tort damages—Doctrine of economic loss
- § 19:11 Limitation on tort damages—Doctrine of economic loss—Contract or tort
- § 19:12 Limitation on tort damages—Doctrine of economic loss—Injury to person or damage to “other property” requirement
- § 19:13 Limitation on tort damages—Doctrine of economic loss—A doctrine in flux

### **III. CLASSICAL CONTRACT REMEDIES**

- § 19:14 Generally
- § 19:15 Limitation on contract damages—Uncertainty
- § 19:16 Limitation on contract damages—Foreseeability of consequential damages
- § 19:17 Limitation on contract damages—Foreseeability of consequential damages—Legal “guideposts” for determining foreseeability
- § 19:18 Limitation on contract damages—Foreseeability of consequential damages—Practical “foreseeability” of construction damages
- § 19:19 Limitation on contract damages—Foreseeability of consequential damages—“Foreseeability” after contract award
- § 19:20 Limitation on contract damages—Duty of damage avoidance and mitigation
- § 19:21 Limitation on contract damages—Duty of damage avoidance and mitigation—“Reasonableness” of mitigation effort
- § 19:22 Limitation on contract damages—Duty of damage avoidance and mitigation—Subjectiveness of mitigation effort

DEFAULT

- § 19:23 Limitation on contract damages—Duty of damage avoidance and mitigation—Mitigation through recoveries from third parties—Collateral source rule
- § 19:24 Limitation on contract damages—Duty of damage avoidance and mitigation—Mitigation through acquisition of replacement work
- § 19:25 Limitation on contract damages—Severin doctrine
- § 19:26 Limitation on contract damages—Concept of “betterment”
- § 19:27 Limitation on contract damages—Concept of “betterment”—Usage “betterment”
- § 19:28 Limitation on contract damages—Concept of “betterment”—Quality “betterment”
- § 19:29 Limitation on contract damages—Concept of “betterment”—Durability “betterment”
- § 19:30 Limitation on contract damages—Doctrine of economic waste
- § 19:31 Limitation on contract damages—Principle of disproportionality
- § 19:32 Limitation on contract damages—Principle of causal apportionment
- § 19:33 Limitation on contract damages—Emotional distress
- § 19:34 Classical contract remedies—Limitation on contract damages: No Right to Contribution

**IV. CLASSICAL RESTITUTION REMEDIES**

- § 19:35 Generally
- § 19:36 Restitution’s confusing landscape—Quantum meruit or unjust enrichment
- § 19:37 Restitutionary “unjust enrichment” benefit measurement under a quasi contract implied in law
- § 19:38 Restitutionary quantum meruit “value” measurement under a contract implied in fact
- § 19:39 Restitutionary quantum meruit “value” measurement under a contract implied in fact—Nonbreaching party’s quantum meruit measure of “value”—Reasonable “cost”
- § 19:40 Restitutionary quantum meruit “value” measurement under a contract implied in fact—Breaching contractor’s measure of “value”

- § 19:41 Restitutionary quantum meruit “value” measurement under a contract implied in fact—Irrelevancy of “profit” or “loss” to implied contract measures
- § 19:42 Restitutionary disgorgement
- § 19:43 Restitutionary remedies of equitable lien and constructive trust

## **V. STATUTORY REMEDIES**

- § 19:44 Generally
- § 19:45 Uniform Commercial Code damage measures
- § 19:46 Uniform Commercial Code damage measures—U.C.C. preemption of common-law remedies and endorsement of “freedom to contract”
- § 19:47 Uniform Commercial Code damage measures—Buyer’s U.C.C. damage measures
- § 19:48 Uniform Commercial Code damage measures—Seller’s U.C.C. damage measures
- § 19:49 The federal “equitable adjustment” damage measure
- § 19:50 The federal “equitable adjustment” damage measure—Meaning of “equitable adjustment”?
- § 19:51 The federal “equitable adjustment” damage measure—Measurement of “equitable adjustment”

## **VI. AGREED REMEDIES AND DAMAGE MEASURES**

- § 19:52 Generally
- § 19:53 Agreed remedies and damage measures—Types of damages limitation and exculpation clauses used in construction contracting
- § 19:54 Broad indemnity agreements
- § 19:55 Waivers of consequential damages
- § 19:56 No damage for delay clauses
- § 19:57 Conditional payment provisions
- § 19:58 Exclusive remedy provisions
- § 19:59 Waivers of subrogation
- § 19:60 Force majeure provisions
- § 19:61 Termination for convenience clauses
- § 19:62 Disclaimers of implied warranties
- § 19:63 Disclaimers of liability

DEFAULT

- § 19:64 Disclaimers of specific responsibilities
- § 19:65 Undercompensatory liquidated damages
- § 19:66 Undercompensatory liquidated damages—  
Interpretation of liquidated damage clauses:  
Remedies vs. alternative performances
- § 19:67 Limitation of liability provisions
- § 19:68 Interpreting limitation of liability provisions
- § 19:69 Competing public policies affecting the  
enforceability of exculpatory provisions
- § 19:70 Role of bargaining in the enforcement of  
limitation of liability provisions
- § 19:71 Specific exceptions to enforceability of  
exculpatory clauses
- § 19:72 Statutory defenses to limitation of liability  
provisions
- § 19:73 Application of limitation of liability provisions to  
claims by third parties

**VII. JUDICIAL APPROACHES REGARDING  
REMEDIES AND DAMAGES MEASURES**

- § 19:74 Cutting through “Gordian Knot” of construction  
remedies and damages measures

**VIII. MODERN CONSTRUCTION DAMAGE  
MEASURES**

- § 19:75 Generally

**IX. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT COST  
ACCOUNTING**

- § 19:76 The “art” of construction contract cost  
accounting

**X. OWNER COMMON-LAW DAMAGE  
MEASURES FOR CONTRACTOR BREACH  
OF CONTRACT**

- § 19:77 Generally
- § 19:78 Owner’s contract completion damages
- § 19:79 Owner’s contract damage measure for  
contractor’s defective or nonconforming  
workmanship
- § 19:80 Owner’s contract damage measure for

- contractor's defective or nonconforming workmanship—"Cost to repair" rule
- § 19:81 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's defective or nonconforming workmanship—"Diminution in value" rule
- § 19:82 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's defective or nonconforming workmanship—Damage measures—"Cost to repair" versus "diminution in value"
- § 19:83 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's defective or nonconforming workmanship—Dual use of both "cost of repair" and "diminution in value" damage measures
- § 19:84 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's defective or nonconforming workmanship—"Stigma" damages remaining after repair as an element in "diminution of value" damage measure
- § 19:85 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's defective or nonconforming workmanship—"Cost to repair" reduced for betterment
- § 19:86 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's delayed completion
- § 19:87 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's delayed completion—Owner's delay damages for loss of resale profits
- § 19:88 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's delayed completion—Owner's delay damages for loss of project use
- § 19:89 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's delayed completion—Owner's delay damages for loss of project use—Extended construction financing costs
- § 19:90 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's delayed completion—Owner's delay damages for loss of project use—Substitute facilities costs
- § 19:91 Owner's contract damage measure for contractor's delayed completion—Owner's delay damages for loss of project use—Extended project administration

## **XI. CONTRACTOR'S COMMON-LAW MEASURE FOR OWNER BREACH OF CONTRACT**



DEFAULT

- § 19:92 Generally
- § 19:93 Practical damages issues
- § 19:94 Contractor's common-law damage measures for owner's material breach
- § 19:95 Contractor's common-law damage measure where contract is not substantially performed due solely to contractor's material breach
- § 19:96 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions
- § 19:97 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach
- § 19:98 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Direct labor costs
- § 19:99 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Overtime
- § 19:100 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Wage rate escalation
- § 19:101 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Lost labor efficiency
- § 19:102 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Indirect labor burden costs
- § 19:103 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Direct material costs

- § 19:104 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Equipment costs
- § 19:105 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Small tool costs
- § 19:106 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Job site and "general conditions" overhead
- § 19:107 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Bond and insurance costs
- § 19:108 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Indirect home office overhead costs
- § 19:109 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Financing costs: Interest as damages
- § 19:110 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Profit on claim
- § 19:111 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Lost anticipated profits on contract
- § 19:112 Contractor's common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or

DEFAULT

- authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Lost profits on other contracts
- § 19:113 Contractor’s common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Impairment of bank credit or bonding capacity and lost future profits
- § 19:114 Contractor’s common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Loss of business reputation
- § 19:115 Contractor’s common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Segregated damage approach—Building segregated damage claim
- § 19:116 Contractor’s common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Unsegregated damage measures: “Total cost,” “ modified total cost,” and “jury verdict”
- § 19:117 Contractor’s common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Unsegregated damage measures: “Total cost,” “ modified total cost,” and “jury verdict”—Total cost
- § 19:118 Contractor’s common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Unsegregated damage measures: “Total cost,” “ modified total cost,” and “jury verdict”—Modified total cost
- § 19:119 Contractor’s common-law compensatory damage measures for contract breaches or authorized changes resulting in extra work, time impacts, and disruptions—Unsegregated damage measures: “Total cost,” “ modified

total cost,” and “jury verdict”—Jury verdict

## **XII. PREJUDGMENT INTEREST; ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES**

- § 19:120 Prejudgment interest
- § 19:121 Attorneys' fees and expenses

## **XIII. FRAUD OR MISREPRESENTATION IN COMPUTATION OF DAMAGE CLAIMS**

- § 19:122 Generally

# **CHAPTER 20. INTERNATIONAL CONSTRUCTION**

## **I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- § 20:1 Globalization of international construction
- § 20:2 Risks in international construction

## **II. HARMONIZATION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW**

- § 20:3 Trends toward harmonization
- § 20:4 Harmonization influence of a “restatement” of international contract law—UNIDROIT Principles—Generally
- § 20:5 Harmonization influence of a “restatement” of international contract law—UNIDROIT Principles of contract enforcement and excuse
- § 20:6 Harmonization influence of a “restatement” of international contract laws—UNIDROIT Principles of hardship and force majeure
- § 20:7 Harmonization influence of a “restatement” of international contract law—UNIDROIT contract damage principles
- § 20:8 Harmonization influence of public and private construction contract formation model laws—the UNCITRAL model laws and guides
- § 20:9 Harmonization influence of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG)
- § 20:10 Harmonization influence of standardized international construction contract forms

DEFAULT

- § 20:11 Harmonization influence of commonly accepted construction dispute adjudication procedures
- § 20:12 Harmonization of ethical business practices—The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and international anti-bribery initiatives
- § 20:13 Harmonization of international technical standards

## **Volume 7**

### **CHAPTER 21. ARBITRATION**

#### **I. HISTORY AND CURRENT CHALLENGES**

- § 21:1 Genesis of construction arbitration
- § 21:2 Historical development of arbitration—From pariah to prince
- § 21:3 Arbitration contrasted with other forms of alternative dispute resolution
- § 21:4 Problems with arbitration and new “rapid resolution” alternative dispute resolution initiatives
- § 21:5 A re-evaluation of arbitration—The AIA backs away from mandatory arbitration
- § 21:6 Scrutiny of arbitration as a forum for consumer and employment disputes
- § 21:7 Restrictions on federal contractors to require arbitration of employment-related disputes—Franken amendment
- § 21:8 False Claims Act implications from prohibition on use of arbitration for resolving employment disputes when working on certain federal projects
- § 21:9 Supreme court arbitration law: an edifice of its own making

#### **II. WHAT IS ARBITRATION?**

- § 21:10 Confidentiality and arbitration
- § 21:11 Is mediation a form of arbitration governed by the FAA?
- § 21:12 Is the insurance appraisal process arbitration?
- § 21:13 Jury waiver provisions vs. arbitration agreements

### **III. FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT**

- § 21:14 FAA evolution from “procedural” to “substantive” law
- § 21:15 Divided Supreme Court solidifies the federal “substantive” law of arbitration
- § 21:16 Triggering FAA jurisdiction—Interstate commerce
- § 21:17 When do construction contracts involve “interstate commerce”?
- § 21:18 Must the transaction subject to arbitration “substantially affect” interstate commerce to be captured by the FAA?
- § 21:19 Consenting to FAA jurisdiction

### **IV. FEDERAL POLICY FAVORING ARBITRATION**

- § 21:20 Federal policy favoring arbitration
- § 21:21 Application of the federal policy favoring arbitration to questions regarding which parties are subject to an arbitration agreement
- § 21:22 Impact of federal policy favoring arbitration on question of whether parties agreed to arbitrate
- § 21:23 Reversing the presumption favoring arbitration where the question is who decides whether arbitration is mandated

### **V. JUDICIAL JURISDICTIONAL MATTERS**

#### **A. FEDERAL COURT JURISDICTION**

- § 21:24 FAA and federal question jurisdiction
- § 21:25 Federal district court jurisdiction and jurisdictional amount

#### **B. JUDICIAL AUTHORITY TO ISSUE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF RESPECTING ARBITRATION**

- § 21:26 Authority of courts to issue injunctions respecting arbitration
- § 21:27 Court jurisdiction over requests for preliminary injunctive relief in aid of arbitration

#### **C. MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTIONAL**

DEFAULT

## ISSUES

- § 21:28 Bankruptcy code invalidation of arbitration agreements—Core vs. noncore proceedings
- § 21:29 Arbitration and the law-of-the-case doctrine

## VI. FAA PREEMPTION

- § 21:30 FAA preemption of state law
- § 21:30.10 FAA preemption and state construction disputes’ venue laws
- § 21:31 FAA preemption of state procedural laws
- § 21:32 Applicability of state law to FAA arbitrability disputes
- § 21:33 FAA preemption of inconsistent state arbitrability law
- § 21:34 FAA preemption of inconsistent state general contract law
- § 21:35 FAA preemption of state arbitration vacatur law
- § 21:36 FAA preemption of state judicial decision law
- § 21:37 FAA preemption after *Preston v. Ferrer*—The rise of *Mastrobuono* and fall of *Volt*
- § 21:38 Judicial interpretive models preempted by the FAA—“Express and unequivocal” standard for arbitration agreements inconsistent with FAA

## VII. ARBITRATION AND FORUM SELECTION

- § 21:39 Arbitration agreements as specialized forum selection provisions
- § 21:39.50 Effect of forum-selection clauses on the right to remove an action in federal court for purposes of compelling arbitration

## VIII. LAW GOVERNING THE ARBITRATION AGREEMENT

- § 21:40 Determining whether parties have agreed to apply non-FAA law to their arbitration agreement
- § 21:41 Determining which state’s law governs the enforceability of arbitration agreements
- § 21:42 Consequences of choosing to arbitrate pursuant to a state’s arbitration statute

- § 21:43 Extent to which choice-of-law provisions influence law governing arbitration agreements
- § 21:44 Choice-of-law in international arbitration agreements

## **IX. ARBITRATION PREREQUISITES**

- § 21:45 Valid written agreement to arbitrate
- § 21:46 Role of signatures in the enforcement of arbitration agreements
- § 21:47 Searching for assent to arbitrate
- § 21:48 Valid written agreement to arbitrate—  
Assessing whether language is sufficient to mandate arbitration
- § 21:48.50 Enforceability of incomplete arbitration provisions
- § 21:49 Electronic writings and the FAA
- § 21:50 Searching for the assent to arbitrate in the electronic age
- § 21:51 Oral agreements and the duty to arbitrate

## **X. CONTRACTUAL PRECONDITIONS TO ARBITRATION**

- § 21:52 Matters of procedural arbitrability for arbitrators to decide
- § 21:53 Compliance with arbitral time limitations—Who decides?
- § 21:54 Mediation as condition precedent to arbitration
- § 21:55 Licensing as condition precedent to arbitration
- § 21:56 Claim submission to design professional as condition precedent to arbitration

## **XI. ARBITRABILITY**

### **A. WHAT IS ARBITRABILITY?**

- § 21:57 Arbitrability—An introduction
- § 21:58 When is a dispute properly characterized as one over arbitrability rather than merits?
- § 21:58.50 Arbitrability: Distinguishing the whether from the what
- § 21:59 Many faces of arbitrability—Broad characterizations vs. narrower approaches of the concept
- § 21:60 U.S. Supreme Court precedent suggests a



DEFAULT

- § 21:61 constrained view of arbitrability  
Contrasting arbitrability with challenges to  
the validity of arbitration agreements

## B. WHO DECIDES ARBITRABILITY MATTERS?

- § 21:62 Who decides arbitrability—*Rent-a-Center* and  
*Granite Rock*
- § 21:63 Clearly and unmistakably evidencing an intent  
to arbitrate arbitrability
- § 21:64 Effect of incorporating rules in arbitration  
agreement that grant arbitrability authority to  
arbitrator
- § 21:65 Arbitrability of claims for rescission—For court  
or arbitrator?
- § 21:66 Arbitrability of claims of illegality—Who  
decides?

## C. STANDARD OF REVIEW

- § 21:67 Standard of review for arbitrator's arbitrability  
determinations
- § 21:68 Standard of review of arbitral arbitrability  
determinations based on whether party has  
preserved an objection to arbitrator's  
jurisdiction

## D. MISCELLANEOUS ARBITRABILITY ISSUES

- § 21:69 Choosing state arbitrability law
- § 21:70 Arbitrability of claims after termination or  
repudiation of entire contract
- § 21:71 Arbitrability in cases of multiple contracts only  
some of which contain an arbitration clause

## XII. SEPARABILITY DOCTRINE

- § 21:72 Challenges to evading the separability doctrine
- § 21:73 Broad vs. narrow applications of the separability  
or severability doctrine—The ultimate reach of  
*Prima Paint*
- § 21:74 Criticisms of the separability doctrine and a  
different path under state arbitration law
- § 21:75 Separability and choice-of-law provisions
- § 21:76 Claims of fraud in inducement of contract

- § 21:77 Claims of fraud in execution of contract as an attempt to evade application of Separability Doctrine
- § 21:78 Authority to contract and the separability doctrine
- § 21:79 Application of separability doctrine to questions of contract formation

### **XIII. ASSENT TO ARBITRATE**

#### **A. ESTABLISHING ASSENT**

- § 21:80 Enforceability of arbitration agreement—Incomplete negotiations
- § 21:81 Determining whether an agreement to arbitrate exists—Authority to contract challenges
- § 21:82 Application of presumption favoring arbitration to questions over whether parties assented to arbitrate
- § 21:83 Application of state law in determining assent to arbitrate
- § 21:84 When is it appropriate for a court to permit discovery on the question of whether an agreement to arbitrate exists?
- § 21:84.50 Who decides whether an agreement to arbitrate exists?

#### **B. EFFECTS OF CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS ON ASSENT**

- § 21:85 Modifications to agreements containing arbitration provisions—Consequences for the right to arbitrate
- § 21:86 Modification of standard form agreements—Care required to avoid confusion over assent
- § 21:87 Partial deletion of arbitration language in standard form agreements—Challenges for assent
- § 21:88 Effect of subsequent amendments and agreements containing arbitration provisions on prior non-arbitrable understandings
- § 21:89 Effect of merger doctrine on prior understandings containing an arbitration agreement

#### **C. PARTICULAR ASSENT ISSUES**

DEFAULT

- § 21:90 Arbitration agreements contained in home or product warranty documents—Issues with assent
- § 21:90.50 Arbitrating disputes arising out of goods or services secured through online commerce
- § 21:90.60 Enforcing arbitration agreements in written warranties—Constructive or inquiry notice as a substitute for actual assent
- § 21:90.70 Assent to arbitrate by remote purchasers
- § 21:91 Arbitrating disputes arising in connection with the bidding, solicitation and contract award process
- § 21:92 Achieving assent through incorporation doctrine—Arbitrating claims against sureties

**XIV. ARBITRATION AND NON-SIGNATORIES**

**A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES**

- § 21:93 Rights and obligations of non-signatories to arbitrate
- § 21:94 Exceptions to the general rule that non-signatories are not bound to arbitration agreements

**B. BASES FOR BINDING OR ENABLING NON-SIGNATORIES TO ARBITRATE**

- § 21:95 Non-signatory arbitral status under agency principles
- § 21:96 Non-signatory arbitral status under alter ego theory
- § 21:97 Non-signatory arbitral status under partnership or joint venture law
- § 21:98 Third-party beneficiaries as non-signatories
- § 21:99 Assignees, subrogees, and successors as non-signatories
- § 21:100 A non-signatory's assumption of the obligation to arbitrate
- § 21:101 Non-signatories bound by equitable estoppel
- § 21:102 Equitable estoppel as a means to compel arbitration among non-privity construction participants
- § 21:103 Doctrine of intertwining or alternative estoppel theory as a basis for binding non-signatories

**C. WHO DECIDES NON-SIGNATORY CHALLENGES?**

- § 21:104 Who decides a non-signatory's rights or burdens under an arbitration agreement?
- § 21:105 Non-signatory's right to seek review of a denial to stay litigation

**D. SPECIFIC NON-SIGNATORY SITUATIONS**

- § 21:106 Corporate officers and directors as non-signatories to corporation's arbitration agreement
- § 21:107 Binding corporate directors and officers to an arbitration award rendered against their company
- § 21:108 Sureties as non-signatories

**XV. ARBITRATION AND THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE**

- § 21:109 Arbitration agreements subject to the Uniform Commercial Code
- § 21:110 Arbitration agreements subject to the Uniform Commercial Code Statute of Frauds
- § 21:111 Importance of properly characterizing parties' communications—U.C.C. § 2-207
- § 21:112 Arbitration provisions as "supplementary terms" or U.C.C. "gap-filler" terms
- § 21:113 When arbitration provisions in acceptances become part of the agreement—The "material alteration" rule
- § 21:114 Relevance of course of dealing and trade usage in determining whether arbitration clause constitutes a material alteration

**XVI. INTERPRETING ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS**

- § 21:115 Permissive vs. mandatory language
- § 21:116 Addressing ambiguity
- § 21:117 Multiple arbitration clauses in contract documents
- § 21:118 Incorporation of obligation to arbitrate by reference to other writings
- § 21:119 Role of extrinsic evidence in determining the

DEFAULT

- scope of an arbitration agreement
- § 21:120 Conflicts between general remedies provisions and arbitration provisions

## **XVII. SCOPE OF ARBITRATION AGREEMENT: BROAD VS. NARROW CLAUSES**

### **A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES**

- § 21:121 Scope of arbitration agreement—Basic tenets
- § 21:122 Scope of arbitration agreement—Broad vs. narrow arbitration agreements
- § 21:123 Federal policy favoring arbitration influences determinations over the scope of arbitration agreements
- § 21:124 Demise of “intertwining” doctrine

### **B. BROAD ARBITRATION LANGUAGE**

- § 21:125 Hallmark of a broad arbitration clause—“Arising out of or related to” language
- § 21:126 Agreeing to arbitrate “any” or “all” claims—Language of inclusion and incorporation
- § 21:127 Arbitration of pre-agreement disputes—Broad arbitration agreement’s ability to reach back in time

### **C. NARROWING THE SCOPE OF THE ARBITRATION AGREEMENT**

- § 21:128 Narrow arbitration clauses and the presumption favoring arbitration
- § 21:129 Determining the scope of narrow arbitration agreements

### **D. SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF TAILORING SCOPE OF ARBITRATION**

- § 21:130 American Institute of Architects’ (AIA) arbitration clause’s exception for disputes relating to aesthetic effect
- § 21:131 Restricting arbitration to claims over or under a specified threshold
- § 21:132 Eliminating specific types of damages from scope of arbitration agreement—AIA arbitration clause and its kind

- § 21:133 Carving out mechanics' lien actions from the scope of the arbitration agreement
- § 21:134 Limiting arbitration to change order or pricing disputes

## Volume 8

### **XVIII. ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS**

- § 21:135 Challenges to enforcement of arbitration agreements under federal common law
- § 21:136 Challenges to enforcement of arbitration agreements based on public policy

### **XIX. STATE LAW DEFENSES TO ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS**

#### **A. UNCONSCIONABILITY**

- § 21:137 Defense of unconscionability
- § 21:138 Unconscionability challenges to arbitration—General trends
- § 21:139 Applying the concept of procedural unconscionability to arbitration agreements
- § 21:140 Analyzing arbitration agreements through the lens of substantive unconscionability
- § 21:141 Recurring unconscionability challenges
- § 21:142 Variations in state unconscionability law
- § 21:143 Appellate review of unconscionability determinations—Standards and sufficiency of the record
- § 21:144 Severing unconscionable language
- § 21:145 Who decides unconscionability challenges to the underlying agreement as a whole?
- § 21:145.50 Who decides unconscionability challenges to the agreement to arbitrate?
- § 21:146 Effective-vindication exception to enforcement of arbitration agreements

#### **B. CONSIDERATION**

- § 21:147 Defense of lack of mutuality of obligation

DEFAULT

(consideration)

### C. DURESS OR COERCION

§ 21:148 Duress or coercion as a defense to arbitrability

### D. OTHER DEFENSES

§ 21:149 Unilateral power to amend or terminate arbitration agreement

§ 21:150 Provisions giving one of the parties unilateral or sole discretion to elect arbitration

§ 21:151 Challenges to enforcement of arbitration agreements based on non-compliance with state licensing law

## XX. COMPELLING ARBITRATION

§ 21:152 Compelling arbitration—FAA § 4

§ 21:153 Federal court jurisdiction over § 4 petitions to compel arbitration

§ 21:154 Federal subject matter jurisdiction over petitions to compel arbitration—The well-pleaded complaint rule

§ 21:155 May a magistrate judge compel arbitration?

§ 21:156 Federal court jurisdiction to compel arbitration in a specific locale—Limitations based on arbitrator's authority over hearing location

§ 21:157 Recovery of attorneys' fees for successfully prosecuting a motion to compel arbitration or defending against a motion to vacate an arbitration award

§ 21:158 Burdens of proof respecting § 4 petitions to compel arbitration

§ 21:159 Statutes of limitation applicable to actions compelling arbitration under the FAA

## XXI. STAYING ARBITRATION

§ 21:160 Standards and jurisdiction

§ 21:161 Motions to stay arbitration

## XXII. STAYING LITIGATION IN FAVOR OF ARBITRATION

§ 21:162 Staying court actions in favor of arbitration

- § 21:163 Stay of litigation upon appeal of order denying motion to compel arbitration—A split of authority
- § 21:164 Right of non-signatories to seek stay of litigation in favor of arbitration

### **XXIII. ARBITRATING PARTICULAR CLAIMS**

- § 21:165 Arbitrating tort claims
- § 21:166 Arbitrating third-party claims—Contribution and indemnity actions
- § 21:167 Arbitrating subrogation actions
- § 21:168 Arbitrating Miller Act bond claims
- § 21:169 Arbitrating mechanics' liens claims
- § 21:170 Arbitrating statutory Magnuson-Moss warranty claims
- § 21:171 Arbitrating state consumer protection act claims
- § 21:172 Arbitrating statutory false claim disputes
- § 21:173 Arbitration disputes under design-build contracts

### **XXIV. ARBITRATION AND STATUTES OF LIMITATION**

- § 21:174 Arbitrating statutes of limitation defenses
- § 21:175 Is arbitration an "action" for purposes of statutory bars?
- § 21:176 Arbitrating statutes of limitation defenses—Contractual attempts to preserve statute of limitations defenses for judicial consideration
- § 21:177 What is "initiating arbitration" sufficient to stop the running of a contractual limitations period?

### **XXV. WAIVING THE RIGHT TO ARBITRATE**

#### **A. WAIVER GENERALLY**

- § 21:178 Waiver of right to arbitrate—Standards in flux
- § 21:179 Standard of review
- § 21:180 Revival of the right to arbitrate after initially waiving the right
- § 21:181 A sea change to the right to arbitrate in the Lone Star state—Texas high court rewrites the law of waiver



DEFAULT

**B. WHO DECIDES WAIVER CHALLENGES?**

§ 21:182 Who decides questions of waiver?

**C. WHAT LAW TO APPLY TO WAIVER CHALLENGES?**

§ 21:183 Determining proper law to apply to questions of waiver

**D. FACTORS TO CONSIDER WHEN EVALUATING WAIVER CHALLENGES**

§ 21:184 Many factors relevant to establishing waiver

§ 21:185 Knowledge of right to arbitrate

§ 21:186 Inconsistent acts

§ 21:187 Prejudice

**E. EFFECT OF “NO-WAIVER” PROVISIONS ON WAIVER CHALLENGES**

§ 21:188 Effect of general no-waiver clauses on right to arbitrate

§ 21:189 Effect of “no-waiver” language in arbitration agreement

**F. SPECIFIC WAIVER SITUATIONS**

§ 21:190 Prosecuting mechanics’ liens and waiving the right to arbitrate

§ 21:191 Waiver and injunctive relief where one of the parties refuses to pay its share of arbitration costs

§ 21:192 Waiver of the right to arbitrate based on exceeding contractual time limitation to demand arbitration

**XXVI. DISCOVERY IN ARBITRATION**

§ 21:193 Arbitrator’s authority to issue subpoenas

§ 21:194 Judicial enforcement of arbitrators’ subpoenas

§ 21:195 Court-ordered discovery in aid of international arbitration

**XXVII. ARBITRATOR SELECTION**

§ 21:196 Challenges to party-arbitrator appointments

- § 21:197 Arbitrator disqualification
- § 21:198 Court intervention in the arbitrator selection process

## **XXVIII. AUTHORITY OF ARBITRATORS**

- § 21:199 Arbitrator authority to issue sanctions
- § 21:200 Arbitrator authority to disqualify counsel
- § 21:201 Arbitrator authority to award rescission of contract
- § 21:202 Limitations on the parties' delegation of authority to the arbitrator
- § 21:203 Arbitral immunity

## **XXIX. ARBITRATION AND CLASS ACTIONS**

- § 21:204 Arbitration agreements as class action waivers
- § 21:205 Arbitrating class actions—Recurring themes
- § 21:206 Class arbitration as a matter of arbitrability
- § 21:207 Judicial authority to review interim awards respecting class arbitration
- § 21:208 Drafting arbitration agreements with class action waivers

## **XXX. CONFIRMING ARBITRATION AWARDS**

- § 21:209 Confirming arbitration awards—Deferential standard of review
- § 21:210 Time requirements for confirming arbitration awards
- § 21:211 Confirming arbitration awards—Language authorizing the court to confirm award

## **XXXI. MODIFICATION AND CORRECTION OF ARBITRATION AWARDS**

- § 21:212 Grounds for modifying awards under the FAA
- § 21:213 Evident material miscalculations or misdescriptions
- § 21:214 Matters not submitted to arbitrators but upon which an award was nevertheless entered
- § 21:215 Awards imperfect in form not affecting the merits
- § 21:216 Resubmission of matters to arbitrator for clarification

DEFAULT

- § 21:217 Modification of arbitration awards under FRCP Rule 60

**XXXII. VACATING ARBITRATION AWARDS**

**A. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- § 21:218 Federal court jurisdiction over motions to vacate arbitration awards
- § 21:219 Federal court jurisdiction over petitions to compel vs. vacate arbitration awards
- § 21:220 Federal district court authority to compel arbitration—Limitations based on hearing locale in foreign districts
- § 21:221 Arbitration and abstention—Limiting the exercise of federal court jurisdiction
- § 21:222 Federal court jurisdiction to entertain arbitration motions after state court rulings—*Rooker-Feldman* doctrine
- § 21:223 Venue for motions to confirm or vacate arbitration awards
- § 21:224 Time within which motion to vacate or confirm must be brought
- § 21:225 When does time period to vacate begin to run?
- § 21:226 Interim awards—Significance of the lack of finality
- § 21:227 Service of notice to vacate—Foreign corporations

**B. GOVERNING LAW**

- § 21:228 Differences between FAA and state arbitration law—Vacating awards

**C. STANDARD OF REVIEW**

- § 21:229 Judicial expressions of the deference paid to arbitration awards
- § 21:230 Restricted judicial review for vacating awards—Heavy burden borne by those seeking to overturn awards
- § 21:231 Challenges for vacatur where decision and record do not provide basis for award
- § 21:232 FAA provides exclusive grounds for review of arbitration awards
- § 21:233 Judicial grounds for vacatur after *Hall*

*Street*

- § 21:234 Seeking broader judicial review of arbitral awards than permitted under FAA
- § 21:234.50 Challenging arbitral appellate panel awards

**D. WAIVING RIGHT TO SEEK VACATUR**

- § 21:235 Waiving the right to seek vacatur of an arbitration award
- § 21:236 Waiving the right to object to arbitrator partiality

**E. CORRUPTION AND FRAUD**

- § 21:237 Corruption as a basis for vacatur
- § 21:238 Fraud as a basis for vacatur
- § 21:239 Fraud and undue means: Indistinct concepts as grounds to vacate arbitration awards

**F. ARBITRATOR DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS: UNDUE MEANS AND EVIDENT PARTIALITY**

- § 21:240 Failure to disclose information suggesting potential bias
- § 21:241 Disclosure and the lawyer-arbitrator
- § 21:242 Nondisclosure of prior relationships
- § 21:243 Duty to investigate existence of material facts for disclosure purposes
- § 21:244 Vacatur based on inadequate or untimely arbitrator disclosure

**G. EVIDENT PARTIALITY**

- § 21:245 A standard in flux
- § 21:246 Behavior unrelated to disclosure

**H. MISCONDUCT**

- § 21:247 Misconduct as the refusing to postpone hearing or to hear evidence
- § 21:248 *Ex parte* communications as misconduct

**I. EXCEEDING POWERS**

- § 21:249 General principles

DEFAULT

- § 21:250 Defining what it means to “exceed” one’s powers
- § 21:251 Attorneys’ fees
- § 21:252 Awarding interest
- § 21:253 Punitive damages
- § 21:254 Award affecting rights of third parties
- § 21:255 Interim relief
- § 21:256 Reasoned awards
- § 21:256.10 What is a reasoned award?
- § 21:256.20 Proper remedy for failing to issue a reasoned award
- § 21:257 Reformation relief as exceeding one’s powers
- § 21:258 Arbitrator’s obligation to explain reasoning or basis of award
- § 21:259 Awarding equitable or injunctive relief as exceeding one’s powers

**J. MANIFEST DISREGARD OF THE LAW**

- § 21:260 A history
- § 21:261 Defining the standard
- § 21:262 A difficult standard to establish
- § 21:263 Manifest disregard of law as a vacatur ground after *Hall Street*

**K. PUBLIC POLICY**

- § 21:264 Vacating arbitration awards on public policy grounds

**L. POST-AWARD DISCOVERY**

- § 21:265 Post-award discovery in aid of a motion to vacate

**XXXIII. PRECLUSIVE EFFECTS OF ARBITRATION AWARDS**

**A. COMMON ISSUES**

- § 21:266 Preclusion generally—*Res judicata* and collateral estoppel
- § 21:267 Lack of clear or complete record
- § 21:268 Determining which jurisdiction’s preclusion law applies
- § 21:269 Who decides the preclusive effect of an

arbitration award on a subsequent arbitration?

- § 21:270 Public policy considerations affecting the preclusive effect of arbitration awards

**B. RES JUDICATA**

- § 21:271 Application of res judicata to give preclusive effect to arbitral awards

**C. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL**

- § 21:272 Application of collateral estoppel to arbitration awards  
§ 21:273 Offensive versus defensive collateral estoppel

**D. PARTICULAR PRECLUSION SITUATIONS**

- § 21:274 Preclusion and the design professional  
§ 21:275 Preclusion and the surety  
§ 21:276 Preclusive effects of arbitration awards on mechanics' lien actions

**XXXIV. CONSOLIDATION AND JOINDER OF ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS**

- § 21:277 General principles  
§ 21:278 Evolution of the AIA's approach to arbitration and joinder  
§ 21:279 State arbitration law and consolidation of arbitrations  
§ 21:280 Judicial attitudes toward consolidation and joinder  
§ 21:281 Is consolidation or joinder a matter for the court or arbitrator to decide?

**XXXV. HEARING LOCALE**

- § 21:282 Enforceability of arbitration hearing locale designations  
§ 21:283 State laws regulating arbitration forum locale in construction contracting  
§ 21:284 Who decides challenges to locale of arbitration hearings?

**XXXVI. ARBITRATING WITH SOVEREIGNS**

DEFAULT

- § 21:285 Arbitrating with public authorities
- § 21:286 Arbitrating with tribal entities
- § 21:287 Role of sovereign immunity in arbitration

**XXXVII. APPEALS FROM DISTRICT COURT  
ORDERS RESPECTING ARBITRATION**

- § 21:288 Appealability of trial court orders pertaining to arbitration
- § 21:289 Appealability of denials to compel arbitration—  
Generally
- § 21:290 Appealability of denials to compel arbitration—  
Stay of litigation
- § 21:291 Appealability of orders granting motion to  
compel arbitration
- § 21:292 Appeal issues involving orders relating to a  
stay of litigation under Section 3 of the FAA
- § 21:293 Appealability of trial court orders pertaining to  
arbitration—FAA § 16 and the ambiguity of  
finality
- § 21:294 Use of mandamus to review orders to compel  
arbitration
- § 21:295 Sanctions for frivolous appeals
- § 21:296 United States Supreme Court rejects the  
“embedded/independent” doctrine and adopts  
traditional “final decision” approach for  
appealing orders respecting arbitration

**XXXVIII. ARBITRATION AND THE  
UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW**

- § 21:297 Arbitration and the unauthorized practice of  
law
- § 21:298 Effect of a finding of unauthorized practice of  
law on arbitration award
- § 21:299 Vacatur challenges based on unlicensed out-of-  
state lawyers’ participation in arbitration

**XXXIX. ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN  
ARBITRAL AWARDS**

- § 21:300 Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards—  
Generally
- § 21:301 Enforceability of arbitral awards under New  
York Convention—Enforcement prerequisites

- § 21:302 Defenses to enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
- § 21:303 Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in United States—New York Convention and U.S. law
- § 21:304 Requirement of a written arbitral agreement
- § 21:305 Location of arbitration in a signatory country
- § 21:306 Domesticity of award
- § 21:307 U.S. enforcement of awards under New York Convention—U.S. pro-enforcement policy
- § 21:308 U.S. interpretation of New York Convention “refusal” provisions
- § 21:309 U.S. enforcement of international agreements that expand “refusal” provisions or limit judicial review
- § 21:310 U.S. enforcement of arbitral awards nullified in foreign country
- § 21:311 Enforcement of international arbitral awards by foreign jurisdictions

## **XL. MEDIATION**

- § 21:312 General principles of mediation
- § 21:313 Uniform Mediation Act
- § 21:314 Confidentiality of mediation communications
- § 21:315 Failure to mediate in good faith
- § 21:316 Mediation disclosure requirements
- § 21:317 Critical issues in the preparation of mediated settlement agreements

## **XLI. APPELLATE ARBITRATION**

- § 21:318 Introduction
- § 21:319 Modern concerns about narrow grounds for award vacatur and judicial standards of award review
- § 21:320 Proposed approaches to overcoming concerns about arbitration’s narrow vacatur grounds and limited judicial standard of review
- § 21:321 Differences in institutional appellate arbitration rules
- § 21:322 Appellate arbitration as a viable approach

# **Volume 9**

## **APPENDICES**



DEFAULT

Appendix A-1. Construction Defects

Appendix A-2. Enforcement Mechanics & Materialmens  
Liens

