

Response to the Review Comments

We feel great thanks for your professional review work on our article. As you are concerned, there are several problems that need to be addressed. According to your nice suggestions, we have made extensive corrections to our previous draft, the detailed corrections are listed below.

Point 1: I suggest adding to the keywords: "bird monitoring".

Response 1: With regard to your comment 1, we have made the following changes: we have added "bird monitoring" to the keywords.

Point 2: The goal of the study (lines 150-152) should be moved to the Introduction section

Response 2: With regard to your comment 2, we have made the following changes: we have reorganized the section on the goal of the study and moved it to the introduction, making the organization of the article more logical.

Point 3: did not find an explanation in the text why these 10 species were chosen for recognition: are they large and easy to identify? Or is there some other meaning in this choice?

Response 3: I apologize for any confusion I may have caused and would like to explain this part again. As mentioned in this paper, many bird species are currently facing extinction. When it comes to bird conservation, special attention is usually given to protecting endangered species. The ten bird species selected for this study are all endangered key protected animals. Therefore, I believe that these birds deserve special attention, and that is why I chose them as the subjects of our experiments.

Point 4: I think that the word "bird category" should be replaced everywhere in the text on "bird species", since the purpose of the study is to recognize certain species of birds.

Response 4: Thank you very much for pointing out my oversight. "Category" and "Species" are two distinct concepts in biology. "Category" usually refers to the different levels of species or biological classification, while "Species" refers to the biological concept of a species. I have now made the necessary correction to replace "category" with "species" in the text. Thank you again for bringing this to my attention.

Point 5: It is necessary to repeat the title line of long tables on the following pages for ease of perception (tables 6,7,9).

Response 5: Thank you very much for your comment on the long tables in our paper. We have made the necessary revisions by repeating the header row of Tables 6, 7, and 9 on the respective pages, making these tables clearer and easier to read. Once again, we appreciate your feedback, and your suggestions have been very helpful in improving the clarity of our paper.

Point 6: Latin species names in biology are usually written in italic font.

Response 6:

Thank you very much for pointing out my oversight. I have now made the necessary corrections to the Latin species names in the text by italicizing them, in order to more accurately represent their naming convention. Thank you again for bringing this to my attention.

Point 7: You need to update the reference [3].

Response 7: Thank you very much for pointing out my oversight. I have updated the reference [3] in the text accordingly.