

Quantitative irrationality for sums of reciprocals of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers

Tapani Matala-Aho · Marc Prévost

Received: 1 May 2003 / Accepted: 5 November 2003
© Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2006

Abstract Irrationality measures are given for the values of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n / W_{an+b}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $1 \leq b \leq a$, $(a, b) = 1$ and W_n is a rational valued Fibonacci or Lucas form, satisfying a second order linear recurrence. In particular, we prove irrationality of all the numbers

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f_{an+b}}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l_{an+b}},$$

where f_n and l_n are the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively.

Keywords Irrationality measure · Padé approximation · Cyclotomic polynomial · q -series

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary—11J82, 11B39

1. Introduction and results

Let

$$W_n = W_n(r, s) = \gamma\alpha^n + \delta\beta^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

be a Fibonacci $F_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$ or Lucas $L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n$ form.

T. Matala-Aho
Matemaattisten tieteidien laitoks, Linnanmaa, PL 3000, 90014 Oulun Yliopisto, Finland
e-mail: tma@cc.oulu.fi

M. Prévost
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, Université du Littoral,
50 Rue F. Buisson, B.P. 699, 62228 Calais Cédex, France
e-mail: prevost@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr

In the sequel we will investigate some arithmetical properties of the values of the meromorphic function

$$W(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{W_{an+b}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\alpha^{a(n+1)}/\beta^{an} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha = (r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4s})/2$, $\beta = (r - \sqrt{r^2 + 4s})/2$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $r^2 + 4s > 0$. Here we may suppose without a loss of generality that $|\alpha| > |\beta|$ and hence $F_n L_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

André-Jeannin [1] proved the irrationality of the series (2) in the Fibonacci case, where $a = b = 1$, and soon after followed some irrationality measure considerations of the series (2) in the case $a = 1$, see [4, 9, 12, 13]. However, not much is known about the arithmetic character of the series (2) with arbitrary parameters a, b except the transcendence coming from Nesterenko’s method [6, 10] for the numbers

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L_n}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n+1}}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L_{2n}},$$

where α and β are algebraic numbers satisfying $\alpha\beta = 1$ in the first case and $\alpha\beta = -1$ for the other two cases. When the indices grow at geometrical rate or more, the situation is dramatically different because Mahler’s method applies. Namely, let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $W_0, W_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be not both zero. Then Nishioka’s general arguments [11] lead even to algebraic independence results, for example of the numbers

$$\theta(d, l) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{W_{d^n+l}}, \quad d, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+, d \geq 3.$$

In order to present our Theorem 1 we first define $c = c(W)$ by

$$c(F)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{\phi(a)}{2a^2} - \frac{3}{\pi^2} \sum_{l=1, (l,a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} \right) \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1}$$

and respectively

$$c(L)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{\phi(a)\kappa^2}{6a^2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{l=1, (l,2a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} \right) \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1}, \quad \kappa = \gcd(a, 2).$$

Also we set $r = R/d$ and $s = S/d$, where $d, R \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $S \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. In the following let \mathbb{I} be an imaginary quadratic field and let $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}}$ be its ring of integers.

Theorem 1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $1 \leq b \leq a$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and let W_n be a Fibonacci or Lucas form, where d, R and S satisfy*

$$R > |dS|^{c(W)} - \frac{dS}{|dS|^{c(W)}}. \tag{3}$$

Take $t \in \mathbb{I}^* \setminus \{\alpha^{a(n+1)}/(d\beta^{an}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $N_0 = N_0(\epsilon)$ such that for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ with $|N| \geq N_0$

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{W_{an+b}} - \frac{M}{N} \right| > |N|^{-m_W(a)-\epsilon}, \tag{4}$$

with $m_W(a) = \log(|\alpha|^2/|dS|)/\log(|\alpha|^{1/c(W)}/|dS|)$.

Corollary 1. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, if $s = 1$, and $r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, if $s = -1$; take $t \in \mathbb{I}^* \setminus \{\alpha^{a(n+1)}/\beta^{an} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $m_F(2) = \frac{3\pi^2}{\pi^2-6} = 7.65163\dots$, $m_F(3) = \frac{64\pi^2}{20\pi^2-135} = 10.12395\dots$, $m_F(6) = \frac{1200\pi^2}{325\pi^2-2808} = 29.63686\dots$, $m_L(2) = \frac{3\pi^2}{\pi^2-6} = 7.65163\dots$, $m_L(3) = \frac{48\pi^2}{13\pi^2-108} = 23.3314\dots$, $m_L(6) = \frac{1200\pi^2}{13(25\pi^2-216)} = 29.6368\dots$

2. Common multiples and factors

The following results are essential for our method even in proving just the irrationality of the numbers

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f_{an+b}}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l_{an+b}}.$$

Moreover, Lemmas 2 and 3 imply almost optimal common multiples and big common factors for the coefficients in the Padé approximation formulae (61) thus yielding the sharp irrationality measures listed in Corollary 1.

Lemma 1. Let $(a, b) = 1$, $\kappa = (a, 2)$, let p be a prime and let $\phi(n)$ and $\mu(d)$ denote the Euler and the Möbius functions, respectively. Then

$$\sum_{d=1, (d,a)=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2-1}, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \phi(a_j) = n^2 \frac{3a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p}{p+1} + O(n \log n) \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \phi(a_j + b) = n^2 \frac{3a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2-1} + O(n \log n), \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \phi(2(a_j + b)) = n^2 \frac{4a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2-1} + O(n \log n) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\sum_{d=1, d \equiv l \pmod a}^n \left[\frac{n}{d} \right] \phi(d) = \frac{n^2}{2a} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log^2 n) \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{d=1, d \equiv l \pmod a}^n \left[\frac{nk}{2d} \right] \phi(2d) = \frac{n^2 k^2}{6a} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log^2 n) \tag{10}$$

for all $(l, a) = 1$.

The result (5) is well known and (6–7) are proved in Bavencoffe [2], see also Bézivin [3]. Accordingly, we consider just the claims (8), (9), and (10).

Proof: First we consider (8). Let

$$S = \sum_{j=0}^n \phi(2(aj + b))$$

If $2|a$, then $(2, aj + b) = 1$ for all $j \geq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{j=0}^n \phi(aj + b) = n^2 \frac{3a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n) \\ &= n^2 \frac{4a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

by (7). If $2 \nmid a$, then

$$S = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{d|2(aj+b)} \mu(d) \frac{2(aj+b)}{d} = \sum_{dl=2(aj+b)} \mu(d)l = S_1 + S_2 + S_3, \tag{12}$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{dl=2(aj+b), 2 \nmid d} \mu(d)l, \quad S_2 = \sum_{dl=2(aj+b), 2|d} \mu(d)l$$

and

$$S_3 = \sum_{dl=2(aj+b), 4|d} \mu(d)l.$$

Here

$$S_1 = \sum_{d=1, (d, 2a)=1}^{2(an+b)} \mu(d) \sum_{l \equiv 2b/d \pmod{2a}}^{\lfloor \frac{2(an+b)}{d} \rfloor} l = an^2 \sum_{(d, 2a)=1} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} + O(n \log n), \tag{13}$$

where we use (5) to get

$$S_1 = n^2 \frac{6a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|2a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n) = n^2 \frac{8a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n). \tag{14}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{d'=1, (d', 2a)=1}^{an+b} \mu(2d') \sum_{\substack{l \equiv b/d' \\ l \equiv 0 \pmod{a}}}^{\lfloor \frac{an+b}{d'} \rfloor} l = -\frac{an^2}{2} \sum_{(d', 2a)=1} \frac{\mu(d')}{d'^2} + O(n \log n) \\ &= -n^2 \frac{3a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|2a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n) = -n^2 \frac{4a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Because $S_3 = 0$ we get (8).

We next consider (9) by writing

$$H_l = \sum_{d=1, d \equiv l \pmod{a}}^n \left[\frac{n}{d} \right] \phi(d) = \sum_{k=1}^n k \sum_{n/(k+1) < ia+l \leq n/k} \phi(ia+l) \tag{16}$$

and using (7) to get

$$\begin{aligned} H_l &= \frac{3a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \sum_{k=1}^n k \left(\left(\frac{n}{ka} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{n}{(k+1)a} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + O \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k \left(\frac{n}{ka} \log \frac{n}{ka} - \frac{n}{(k+1)a} \log \frac{n}{(k+1)a} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{3n^2}{\pi^2 a} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{n^{n+1}}{a} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \log \frac{n}{ka} \right) \\ &= \frac{n^2}{2a} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log^2 n). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The proof of (10) follows by an argument similar to that just given. □

Now set

$$E_k = E_k(\alpha, \beta) = \beta^{\phi(k)} \Phi_k(\alpha/\beta), \tag{18}$$

where $\Phi_d = \Phi_d(x)$ is the d th cyclotomic polynomial. If $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $E_k(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, ($k \geq 2$), see Carmichael [5]. We also note that Fibonacci and Lucas forms are given by

$$F_k = \frac{\alpha^k - \beta^k}{\alpha - \beta} = \beta^k \frac{x^k - 1}{\alpha - \beta} = \prod_{d|k} E_d(\alpha, \beta) \tag{19}$$

and

$$L_k = \alpha^k + \beta^k = \frac{F_{2k}}{F_k} = \prod_{d|k, d|2k} E_d(\alpha, \beta). \tag{20}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and let W_n be a Fibonacci or Lucas form. Then there exists $M_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that*

$$\text{lcm}[W_b, W_{a+b}, \dots, W_{an+b}] \mid M_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \tag{21}$$

and

$$M_n \leq |\alpha^a|^{M_w(a)n^2 + O(n \log n)} \tag{22}$$

with

$$M_F(a) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \sum_{l=1, (l,a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} < \frac{1}{2} \tag{23}$$

and

$$M_L(a) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \sum_{l=1, (l,2a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{24}$$

Proof: If $W = F$, then we may choose a common multiple

$$M_n = \prod_{\substack{d|ak+b \\ \text{for some } k \leq n}}^{an+b} E_d. \tag{25}$$

Suppose the numbers $1 \leq b_l \leq a - 1, (l, a) = 1$ satisfy

$$b_l \equiv b/l \pmod{a} \quad \text{for all } l, 1 \leq l \leq a - 1. \tag{26}$$

Thus $d \equiv b_l \pmod{a}$ for every divisor d satisfying $dl = ak + b$. Hence

$$M_n = \prod_{l=1, (l,a)=1}^{a-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/l - (lb_l - b)/(la) \rfloor} E_{aj+b_l} \left| \prod_{l=1, (l,a)=1}^{a-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/l \rfloor} E_{aj+b_l}, \tag{27}$$

where

$$\deg_\alpha \prod_{j=0}^n E_{aj+b} = \sum_{j=0}^n \phi(aj + b) = n^2 \frac{3a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n), \tag{28}$$

yielding

$$\deg_{\alpha} M_n = n^2 \frac{3a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \sum_{l=1, (l,a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} + O(n \log n). \tag{29}$$

If $W = L$ we continue similarly to the case $W = F$. First we note that, if $2|l$ in $dl = ak + b$, then

$$ak + b = 2l'd, \quad l' \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \tag{30}$$

and if $d|ak' + b$, where $k' \geq k$, then

$$ak' + b = 2hl'd \tag{31}$$

for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. From the representation

$$L_{ak'+b} = \frac{\prod_{D|4hl'd} E_D}{\prod_{D|2hl'd} E_D} \tag{32}$$

we see that the term E_{2d} cancels. Thus we obtain a common multiple

$$M_n = \prod_{\substack{d=1 \\ dl=ak+b, 2 \nmid l, \text{ for some } k \leq n}}^{an+b} E_{2d}. \tag{33}$$

We now choose

$$M_n = \prod_{l=1, (l,2a)=1}^{a-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/l+(b-lb)/(la) \rfloor} E_{2(aj+b_l)} \Bigg| \prod_{l=1, (l,2a)=1}^{a-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/l \rfloor} E_{2(aj+b_l)}, \tag{34}$$

where

$$\deg_{\alpha} \prod_{j=0}^n E_{2(aj+b)} = \sum_{j=0}^n \phi(2(aj + b)) = n^2 \frac{4a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} + O(n \log n). \tag{35}$$

Thus

$$\deg_{\alpha} M_n = n^2 \frac{4a}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \sum_{l=1, (l,2a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} + O(n \log n). \tag{36}$$

It is now clear that

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^{n/d} - 1)^{\mu(d)}, \tag{37}$$

together with (29) and (36) implies (22), (23), and (24).

To complete our argument we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_L(a) &= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|2a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \sum_{l=1, (l, 2a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} \\
 &= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|2a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(p^k)^2} \sum_{l=1, (l, 2a)=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{l^2} < \frac{3}{\pi^2} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L^2} = \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{38}$$

and hence that $M_W(a) < 1/2$ in both cases. □

Lemma 3. *Set $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and let W_n be a Fibonacci or Lucas form. Then there exists $G_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that*

$$G_n \mid \prod_{j=1}^n W_{a(h+j)+b} \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{N}
 \tag{39}$$

and

$$G_n \geq |\alpha^a|^{G_W(a)n^2 + O(n \log^2 n)},
 \tag{40}$$

with

$$G_F(a) = \frac{\phi(a)}{2a^2} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1}
 \tag{41}$$

and

$$G_L(a) = \frac{\phi(a)\kappa^2}{6a^2} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1}.
 \tag{42}$$

Proof: Let $W = F$. It is known that

$$E_d \mid F_{a(h+j)+b} \quad \text{if and only if } d \mid a(h+j) + b
 \tag{43}$$

and, if we suppose $(a, d) = 1$, then (43) holds exactly for every

$$j \equiv -h - b/a \pmod{d}
 \tag{44}$$

and thus

$$\#\{1 \leq j \leq n : E_d \mid F_{a(h+j)+b}\} \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor.
 \tag{45}$$

So we may choose

$$G_n = \prod_{d=1, (a,d)=1}^n E_d^{\lfloor n/d \rfloor},
 \tag{46}$$

which, by (9), has degree

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{\alpha} G_n &= \sum_{l=1, (l,a)=1}^{a-1} \sum_{d=1, d \equiv l \pmod{a}}^n \left[\frac{n}{d} \right] \phi(d) \\ &= \phi(a) \frac{n^2}{2a} \prod_{p|a} \frac{p^2}{p^2-1} + O(n \log^2 n). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Now set $W = L$. By (20) we know that

$$E_D \mid L_k \quad \text{if and only if } D \mid 2k \text{ and } D \not\mid k. \tag{48}$$

We consider factors $D = 2d$, $d \mid k$ and $(d, a) = 1$. Let $k = a(h + j) + b$. First we suppose $2 \nmid a$, hence $2 \nmid d$ and $D = 2d$. So

$$\#\{1 \leq j \leq n : E_D \mid L_{a(h+j)+b}\} \geq \left[\frac{n}{d} \right]. \tag{49}$$

If $2 \mid a$, then we look only for the instances

$$k = (2l + 1)d = a(h + j) + b. \tag{50}$$

Now we take

$$j_0 = \min\{1 \leq j \leq n : a(h + j) \equiv b - d \pmod{2d}\}$$

and thus (50) holds exactly for every $j \equiv j_0 \pmod{2d}$ giving

$$\#\{1 \leq j \leq n : E_{2d} \mid L_{a(h+j)+b}\} \geq \left[\frac{n}{2d} \right]. \tag{51}$$

So

$$G_n = \prod_{d=1, (a,d)=1}^n E_{2d}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2d} \rfloor}, \tag{52}$$

which by (10) has degree

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{\alpha} G_n &= \sum_{l=1, (l,a)=1}^{a-1} \sum_{d=1, d \equiv l \pmod{a}}^n \left[\frac{n}{2d} \right] \phi(2d) \\ &= \phi(a) \frac{n^2 \kappa^2}{6a} \prod_{p|a, p \geq 3} \frac{p^2}{p^2-1} + O(n \log^2 n). \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

□

3. Padé approximations

In the following Padé approximations the q -series factorials

$$(b, a)_0 = 1, (b, a)_n = (b - a)(b - aq) \dots (b - aq^{n-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

$(a)_n = (1, a)_n$ and the q -binomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_k (q)_{n-k}} \tag{54}$$

are used with the W -nomials (Fibonomials)

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_W = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{W(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad \deg_\alpha \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_W = k(n - k)$$

defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_W = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_W = 1, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_W = \frac{W_1 \dots W_n}{W_1 \dots W_k W_1 \dots W_{n-k}}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ for any form W_n . More generally, for a given $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we set

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{W_{m \times}} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_Z, \quad Z_h = W_{mh} \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{55}$$

If $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then (see [9])

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{F_{m \times}} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } k, n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (0 \leq k \leq n). \tag{56}$$

The series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(B)_n}{(C)_n} z^n$$

is a special case of Heine’s q -series for which closed form (n, n) Padé approximations were constructed in [7].

Lemma 4. *Let*

$$Q_n^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} (Bq^{n-k+1})_k (Cq^n)_{n-k} (-z)^k, \tag{57}$$

and

$$R_n^*(z) = z^{2n+1} q^{n^2} \frac{(q)_n (B)_{n+1} (B, C)_n}{(C)_{2n+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{n+1})_i (Bq^{n+1})_i}{(q)_i (Cq^{2n+1})_i} z^i. \tag{58}$$

Then there exists a polynomial $P_n^*(z)$ of degree $\leq n$ such that

$$Q_n^*(z)f(z) - P_n^*(z) = R_n^*(z). \tag{59}$$

In the equations above we set

$$B = -\frac{\delta}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^b, \quad C = Bq, \quad q = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^a, \quad z = \frac{t}{\alpha^a} \tag{60}$$

to get the approximation formula

$$Q_n(t)W(t) - P_n(t) = R_n(t), \tag{61}$$

where

$$Q_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n q_{n,k} t^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{F_{\alpha \times}} W_{a(n-k+1)+b} \cdots W_{a(2n-k)+b} (\alpha\beta)^{a\binom{k}{2}} (-t)^k, \tag{62}$$

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} t^k, \quad p_{n,k} = \sum_{i+j=k} q_{n,i} / W_{aj+b} \tag{63}$$

and

$$R_n(t) = (-\delta)^n t^{2n+1} \beta^{an^2+bn} \alpha^{a(n^2-3n-2)/2} S_n(t), \tag{64}$$

with

$$S_n(t) = \frac{(1-B)(q)_n^2}{(Bq^{n+1})_{n+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{n+1})_i (Bq^{n+1})_i}{(q)_i (Bq^{2n+2})_i} \left(\frac{t}{\alpha^a}\right)^i.$$

The following results follow similarly to the corresponding lemmas in [9].

Lemma 5. *Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and let W_n be a Fibonacci or Lucas form. Then*

$$q_{nk} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad G_n | q_{nk} \quad \text{for all } k, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (0 \leq k \leq n) \tag{65}$$

and

$$M_n p_{nk} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } k, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (0 \leq k \leq n). \tag{66}$$

If we set $t = u/v$,

$$q_n = v^n M_n G_n^{-1} Q_n(u/v), \quad p_n = v^n M_n G_n^{-1} P_n(u/v),$$

$$r_n = v^n M_n G_n^{-1} R_n(u/v),$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}} \setminus \{0\}$, we obtain the numerical approximations

$$q_n W(u/v) - p_n = r_n, \quad q_n, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{67}$$

Lemma 6. *Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and let W_n be a Fibonacci or Lucas form. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that*

$$|q_n| \leq |\alpha^a|^{(3/2+M_W(a)-G_W(a)+\delta)n^2} \tag{68}$$

and

$$|r_n| \leq |\beta^a|^{n^2} |\alpha^a|^{(1/2+M_W(a)-G_W(a)+\delta)n^2} \tag{69}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

Lemma 7. *Let (W_n) be a series defined by (1) such that $W_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gamma\delta r s t \neq 0$. Then*

$$q_n p_{n+1} - p_n q_{n+1} \neq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{70}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 8 below is standard and may be obtained as was Theorem 3.3 in [8].

Lemma 8. *Let $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y > 1$. Let*

$$q_n \Phi - p_n = r_n, \quad q_n, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{71}$$

be numerical approximation forms satisfying

$$q_n p_{n+1} - p_n q_{n+1} \neq 0, \tag{72}$$

$$|q_n| \leq y^{An^2}, \quad |r_n| \leq y^{-Bn^2} \tag{73}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ with some positive A and B . Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $N_0 = N_0(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\left| \Phi - \frac{M}{N} \right| > |N|^{-(1+A/B)-\epsilon} \tag{74}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{I}}$ with $|N| \geq N_0$.

Thus we may call $\mu = (A + B)/B$ an irrationality measure for Φ . Our bounds in Lemma 6 are of the form

$$|q_n| \leq y^{(A+\delta)n^2}, \quad |r_n| \leq y^{-(B-\delta)n^2} \tag{75}$$

where we are free to choose $\delta > 0$. So we get an irrationality measure

$$\mu = \frac{A + \delta + B - \delta}{B - \delta} \leq \frac{A + B}{B} + \epsilon \quad (76)$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$ whenever $\delta \leq B^2\epsilon/(A + B + B\epsilon)$. Hence also in this case we call $(A + B)/B$ an irrationality measure of Φ .

Proof of Theorem 1: Let

$$c = c_W(a) = 1/(1/2 + G_W(a) - M_W(a)). \quad (77)$$

By Lemmas 2, 3, 5 and 6 we have

$$A = 3/2 + M_W(a) - G_W(a), \quad (78)$$

$$B = G_W(a) - M_W(a) - 1/2 - \log |\beta|/\log |\alpha| > 0, \quad (79)$$

and $c > 0$, which give

$$\mu = \frac{1 - \log |\beta|/\log |\alpha|}{G_W(a) - M_W(a) - 1/2 - \log |\beta|/\log |\alpha|} = \frac{\log |\alpha|/|\beta|}{\log |\alpha|^{1/c-1}/|\beta|}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgments The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee for improving the English of the paper.

References

1. André-Jeannin, R.: Irrationalité de la somme des inverses de certaines suites récurrentes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math. **308**, 539–541 (1989)
2. Bavencoffe, E.: PPCM de suites de polynômes Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse **1**(2), 147–168 (1992)
3. Bézivin, J.-P.: Plus Petit commun multiple des termes consécutifs d'une suite récurrente linéaire. Collect. Math. **40**, 1–11 (1989)
4. Bundschuh, P., Väänänen, K.: Arithmetical investigations of a certain infinite product. Compositio Math. **91**, 175–199 (1994)
5. Carmichael, R.D.: On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$. Ann. Math. **15**, 30–70 (1913–1914)
6. Duverney, D., Nishioka, K., Nishioka, K., Shiokawa, I.: Transcendence of Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction and reciprocal sums of Fibonacci numbers. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci. **73**, 140–142 (1997)
7. Matala-aho, T.: Remarks on the arithmetic properties of certain hypergeometric series of Gauss and Heine. Acta Univ. Oulu. Ser. A Sci. Rerum Natur. **219**, 1–112 (1991)
8. Matala-aho, T.: On Diophantine approximations of the solutions of q -functional equations. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **132A**, 639–659 (2002)
9. Matala-aho, T., Prévost, M.: Irrationality measures for the series of reciprocals from recurrence sequences. J. Number Theory **96**, 275–292 (2002)
10. Nesterenko, Y.: Modular functions and transcendence questions (Russian). Mat. Sb. **187**, 65–96 (1996)
11. Nishioka, Ku.: Algebraic independence of reciprocal sums of binary recurrences. Monatsh. Math. **123**, 135–148 (1997)
12. Prévost, M.: On the Irrationality of $\sum \frac{t^n}{A\alpha^n + B\beta^n}$. J. Number Theory **73**, 139–161 (1998)
13. Väänänen, K.: On series of reciprocals of Lucas sequences. Math. Univ. Oulu Preprint, 1997