


# 2019 Legislative Report Card

*66th Session of the Montana Legislature*



# Executive Vice President's Message



The Montana Farm Bureau is happy to provide the Legislative Report Card for the 66th Montana Legislative Session. This report card provides our members with a comprehensive look at the voting record of our Montana legislators.

All voting reports, including ours, have a degree of subjectivity. It would be extremely difficult to include all of the bills that Montana Farm Bureau follows nor does any voting record tell the entire story of a legislator's support of Montana Agriculture. Face to face interaction along with attitudes and actions cannot be fully addressed in preparing a report card.

Agriculture is Montana's largest industry and there are many issues addressed during the session that have a profound effect on our farmers and ranchers. Farm Bureau is actively implementing our member's policy on those issues. I would like to especially thank our Legislative Team - Liv Stavick, Chelcie Cargill and Nicole Rolf. These three are among the most respected lobbyists in Helena; they represent Montana Farm Bureau and the agricultural industry with dignity and professionalism. I would also like to recognize the team at the Farm Bureau office; their support of our legislative efforts help make every aspect of our lobbying effort efficient and effective.

We also need to thank our 150 citizen legislators for their service to the state of Montana. Whether we agree or disagree on an issue, they spend a great deal of time away from their homes and jobs in order to represent the interests of their constituents.

Agriculture had a successful session. We were able to successfully represent our members' interests on bills that protect senior water rights, protect private property, provide fair taxation for agricultural land and fund our ag experiment stations and Extension service.

Lastly I would like to thank you, the Farm Bureau members, for your support and involvement in the entire policy development and legislative process. Your participation allows us to continue to represent you in Helena. We look forward to your continued support for Montana Farm Bureau, your Voice of Agriculture in Helena and beyond.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "John Youngberg". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial "J".

# Legislative Report Card Scoring Methodology

Each bill included in the report card is weighted by a factor of 5, 10, or 15 based on its importance to Montana's agricultural industry and relevance to MFBF policy.

## Floor Votes:

Scores for floor votes are assigned a value of 1-4 based on the percentage of legislators who voted in favor of the MFBF position. If more than 90% of legislators vote "yes" on a bill that MFBF supports, it is awarded 1 point. If 75% or more of the vote is in favor of our position the bill is awarded 2 points, for votes of 50% or more 3 points are awarded and for votes where 50% or fewer legislators voted in our favor 4 points are given. Zero points are given for votes against the MFBF position.

### **Example:**

*MFBF supported SB 100 and its weighted score was 10 points. More than 75% of legislators supported our position and voted 'yes' which resulted in 2 points being awarded for this vote. Based on the assigned score and the additional weighted amount, this vote is worth 12 pts. Senators who vote our position on this bill receive 12 points while senators who opposed MFBF receive zero points.*

## Committee Votes:

Legislators receive 1 point for supporting the MFBF position during a committee vote. No points are deducted for votes that don't support the MFBF position.

In the instance of a bill being tabled in committee, legislators who supported MFBF's position will receive the 1 point plus the weighted factor assigned to that bill.

## Sponsorship Points:

Legislators sponsoring bills MFBF supports will receive additional points commensurate with the weighted factor of the bill (5, 10, or 15). Legislators sponsoring bills MFBF opposes will lose the number of points commensurate with the weighted factor of the bill (5, 10, or 15).



# Agriculture's Legislative Award Winners

Being a legislator is a true service to constituents. There are a tremendous number of demands put upon elected officials during the session, as well as during the interim. Hundreds of different interests are constantly asking for support or opposition on what must seem like an endless number of bills. Farm Bureau does our best to help legislators prioritize agriculture in their deliberations. We appreciate the efforts of the legislators who worked to pass positive legislation and went out of their way to help kill bad bills.

## Outstanding Friend of Farm Bureau Award Winners

*This award is given to the Senator and Representative who had the highest overall scores on MFBB's legislative scorecard; these legislators demonstrated their support of Montana agriculture through their voting record, bill sponsorship and leadership within various committees.*



### **Senator Bruce "Butch" Gillespie, SD 9, Ethridge**

Senator Gillespie brought a lifetime of ranching experience to the 66th Montana Legislature. Despite it being his first term, Senator Gillespie proved to be a key member of the Senate Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation Committee. He sponsored legislation beneficial to agriculture and frequently provided valuable insight to his peers. His above perfect score on our Legislative Report Card offers only a fraction of evidence to his incredible devotion to agriculture. Congratulations on a job well done, we look forward to working with you more throughout your legislative career!



### **Representative Alan Redfield, HD 59, Livingston**

In his final term as a member of the House of Representatives, Alan Redfield wasted no time getting to work. As the chairman of the House Taxation Committee and a member of the House Agriculture Committee he carried a heavy load during the 66th Legislature. As a rancher, Rep. Redfield was a key vote and advocate on all issues related to agriculture and rural Montana. He worked hard to defend agriculture this session and we want him to know his efforts don't go unnoticed; thank you for your years of service. MFBB wishes you the very best in all future endeavors!

## Distinguished Service Golden Plow Award Winner

---

*This award is given to a termed-out legislator who has exhibited exemplary service and dedication to agriculture in their tenure as a Montana legislator.*



### **Representative Ray Shaw, HD 71, Sheridan**

Representative Shaw scored over 100% on the MFBF report card, which is remarkable enough, but the fact that he has been a tireless advocate for agriculture during his tenure in the House makes him an easy pick for the Golden Plow Award. Serving as Chair of the House Agriculture Committee, Representative Shaw worked aggressively to get agriculture friendly legislation passed and bills with negative impacts to agriculture killed. He sponsored several bills MFBF supported this session, including HB 520 which increased funding for the Livestock Loss Board. MFBF is proud to have Representative Shaw on our team. The passion and determination with which he defended agriculture will be greatly missed, as well as his sense of humor.

## 2019 Rookie of the Year Award Winner

---

*This award is given to a freshman legislator who went above and beyond in learning the legislative process and doing his best to serve agriculture and rural Montana.*



### **Representative Joel Krautter, HD 35, Sidney**

Representative Krautter is a lawyer in Sidney who came into his first legislative session prepared to work on the behalf of his constituents. He carried HB 50 which provides protection and accountability to the state's farmers by giving the Department of Agriculture the ability enforce agricultural commodity laws. An avid supporter of agriculture and rural Montana communities, he worked diligently to assure every bill he supported left a positive impact on rural Montana and the farming and ranching families that call the landscape home. Rep. Krautter is a smart and level-headed legislator with a bright future ahead of him in the Montana Legislature.

# Golden Windmill Award Winners

---

*These legislators scored in the highest percentages on our Report Card, sponsored priority legislation, served on important committees, secured critical votes and were active advocates for Montana Agriculture. They deserve special applause and recognition.*

## Senate



**Senator Ryan Osmundson, SD 15, Buffalo** is a farmer by trade so it makes sense that he always keeps agriculture's best interests in mind, even while keeping close tabs on Montana's budget as the Chair of the Finance and Claims Committee. His firsthand knowledge of agriculture proved to be incredibly valuable in the Senate where there are fewer boots under the desks each session.



**Senator Brian Hoven, SD 13, Great Falls** proved to be a thoughtful advocate for agriculture as the Chair of the Senate Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation Committee as well as in his service to the Senate Taxation Committee. Senator Hoven received an above perfect score on MFBF's legislative report card, which speaks to his dedication to the number one industry in the state.



**Senator Mike Lang, SD 17, Malta** is a small town business owner and longtime rural Montanan. He understands the needs and concerns of our rural lifestyle. A man of strong conviction, Sen. Lang always considers the best interests of agriculture and Montana's farm and ranch families. We value his thoughtfulness, insight and dependability. Sen. Lang was a pivotal member of the Senate Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation committee and we are honored to work with him.



**Senator Jeff Welborn, SD 36, Dillon** is no stranger to Farm Bureau, having served as county President in Southwest Counties and as the YF&R Committee Chair for several years. A rancher, auctioneer and trailer salesman, it's no wonder Senator Welborn understands agriculture so well. MFBF was lucky to have him serve as Chair of the Senate Natural Resources Committee.



**Senator Dan Salomon, SD 47, Ronan** brings a wealth of agricultural knowledge and experience to the legislature, so it's no surprise he's an asset to rural Montana on the Senate Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation Committee. Sen. Salomon isn't afraid to thoughtfully tackle tough topics and work with a variety of stakeholders to ensure the best solution possible is achieved. Rural Montana is well served by Dan Salomon.

# Golden Windmill Award Winners

---

*These legislators scored in the highest percentages on our Report Card, sponsored priority legislation, served on important committees, secured critical votes and were active advocates for Montana Agriculture. They deserve special applause and recognition.*

## House of Representatives



**Representative Walt Sales, HD 69, Manhattan** brings a wealth of knowledge about water law, which served him and his constituents, as well as the senior water right holders in the rest of the state, very well; both of the water bills he sponsored moved quickly through the process and have become law. Representative Sales is also a rancher himself and was able to share valuable insight on agriculture related legislation with his fellow legislators.



**Representative Ross Fitzgerald, HD 17, Fairfield** was an asset to agriculture in both the House Fish, Wildlife, and Parks Committee and House Natural Resources Committee this session. He also sponsored a bill that increased the maximum annual assessment on wheat and barley, which will allow the MWBC to set the assessment at an amount appropriate to fund research, crop advancement, and market development.



**Representative Wylie Galt, HD 30, Martinsdale** provided a strong voice for agriculture in House leadership this session. A rancher by trade, Representative Galt's expertise on complicated ag issues was extremely valuable, as he was able to communicate ag's position on many tough votes to his colleagues. He also carried multiple bills seeking to improve elk management issues in Montana that MFBF supported.



**Representative Zach Brown, HD 63, Bozeman** is a thoughtful, considerate and influential lawmaker, and serves as an excellent advocate and vote for agriculture. The state is lucky to have his knowledge of water law and passion for revitalizing rural Montana. He sponsored multiple bills that MFBF supported, including HB 45 which seeks to simplify the water right change process and HB 431 which creates a student debt assistance program for beginning farmers and ranchers.

# Montana Farm Bureau's Priority Bill Summaries

## **HB 24: Revise irrigation cost deduction for irrigated property**

*Rep. Alan Redfield, (R) Livingston*

Prior to HB 24, irrigated landowners had to report their energy costs per acre in order for them to be deducted against the value of the land at the end of the year. In addition to the energy costs, a base water cost of \$15 per irrigated acre would be deducted, as well as a standard deduction for labor costs depending on the type of irrigation. Many irrigators already hit or exceeded the maximum per acre deduction of \$50 each year. HB 24 simplifies the valuation process by doing away with the reporting and simply assigning the maximum \$50 deduction for all irrigated acres. MFBF supported this bill and was glad to see it pass both houses and be signed into law by the Governor.

## **HB 45: Clarify criteria for water right permit relying on waiver of adverse effect**

*Rep. Zach Brown, (D) Bozeman*

HB 45 will clarify language passed during the 2017 Legislative Session that defined criteria for applying for a new water appropriation. When a water user applies for a new water right appropriation, DNRC must determine if granting the new appropriation will cause adverse effect to any other water use and whether the water is legally available. It is possible for other water users to waive adverse effect to allow for the new appropriation; however, DNRC must still determine whether water is legally available to satisfy the new appropriation. HB 45 clarifies that it is still required to determine legal availability even if adverse effect has been waived by other water users. MFBF supported HB 45 and it was signed into law by Governor Bullock.

## **HB 50: Provide civil penalty for violations of agricultural commodity laws**

*Rep. Joel Krautter, (R) Sidney*

Prior to HB 50, the Department's only mechanism of enforcing agricultural commodity law was to revoke a dealer or warehouse's license. The revocation of their license invalidates the contracts they've made, often in the middle of a growing season. HB 50 gives the Department the ability to enforce contracts by seeking civil penalties for violations of agricultural commodity laws. MFBF supported HB 50 and was glad to see it become law as it provides accountability that the system currently lacks.

## **HB 52: Revise funding for various economic development programs**

*Rep. Jim Keane, (D) Butte*

HB 52 revises and extends the funding for a variety of economic development programs in the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Commerce. Of specific interest to Montana Farm Bureau is the Growth through Agriculture (GTA) program whose funding increases by \$275,000 under HB 52. The GTA program offers funding in the form of a grant or loan for the purpose of strength-

ening and diversifying Montana's agricultural industry through development of new agricultural products and processes. MFBF has members who have utilized and benefitted from the GTA program, so we were happy to support HB 52 and see it become law.

## **SB 73: Revise agricultural commodity licensing laws**

*Sen. Steve Hinebauch, (R) Wibaux*

SB 73 will revise the definition of a commodity dealer to include anyone engaged in the practice of brokering commodities. Since SB 73 became law, commodity brokers will now be subject to the licensing and bonding requirements of commodity dealers. MFBF supported SB 73. By requiring brokers to be licensed and abide by the same standards as dealers, we are optimistic situations in which brokers enter contracts and then subsequently back out once prices drop will be avoided in the future.

## **SB 81: Extend deadline to correct an application for water right permit or change**

*Sen. Jill Cohenour, (D) Helena*

SB 81 will afford an applicant in a water right change proceeding additional time to respond to deficiencies (typically a request for additional information) in a change application. Previously, an applicant was provided 30 days to respond to a DNRC request before losing their priority date with the option to extend that date to no longer than 90 days. SB 81 extends the afforded 30 days to 120 days. Many water right changes are complicated in nature, and DNRC requires an extensive amount of information from an applicant to quantify the historical elements of a water right and to show that the change conditions are not likely to cause adverse effects to other water users. We supported SB 81 and were glad to see it become law as it eases the burden to provide information on such a time crunch, but does preserve the need for a deadline.

## **HB 132: Clarify definition of bison**

*Rep. Kenneth Holmlund, (R) Miles City*

HB 132 would have clarified what it means to own a bison by adding language that requires payment of the livestock per capita fee. Therefore, bison that have ever been subject to the per capita fee cannot be considered wild. If HB 132 passed, a "wild buffalo or bison" would have been defined as, "bison that have not been reduced to captivity, have never been subject to the per capita fee under 15-24-921, and have not been owned by a person." MFBF supported this bill because it provided uniformity in the law, clarity to the ownership provision and prevented "liquidity" in the definition, meaning it will assure that a bison which was once considered to be domestic can never be called "wild." Unfortunately, HB 132 was vetoed by the Governor.



**HB 220: Revise time period for Water Court decrees**

*Rep. Walt Sales, (R) Manhattan*

MFBF supported HB 220, which was signed into law by the Governor. The bill extends the June 2020 deadline for issuing preliminary or temporary decrees for all water basins in Montana to June 2024. While a simple bill in nature, the date extension is a critical change in light of the June 2019 deadline for claiming exempt from filing water rights. The exempt stockwater claims need to be afforded the same rights as all other water claims in the adjudication process, and the 2020 date for issuing decrees would not have provided that surety.

**HB 286: Revise water right laws related to state water claims**

*Rep. Alan Redfield, (R) Livingston*

HB 286 will clarify that the state of Montana may only obtain an ownership interest in a water right or ground water development works (diverted from private land) if a court determines the state is an owner of that particular water right or if the state is in possession of a deed transferring ownership of the water right to the state.

This is the same requirement that other water users have to meet.

HB 286 was introduced in response to the DNRC State Trust Lands Division claiming partial ownership of water rights used on state trust land, even if the water was developed and diverted from private land. The state did this without providing water right holders any opportunity to comment or object to the change in ownership. MFBF saw this practice as not only an extreme violation of private property rights, but also an incredible disincentive to utilize water on state land. HB 286 will protect the water rights of Montana's farmers and ranchers, so MFBF was happy to support it and see it become law.

**SB 296: Private right of action for waste of water**

*Sen. Steve Fitzpatrick, (R) Great Falls*

SB 296 expresses the legislature's intent that private water users can continue to protect their water rights in court. A recent court decision ruled the legislature intended that only governmental entities – DNRC, county attorneys, and the attorney general – can request an injunction or file a lawsuit to protect private water rights. Since territorial times, water right owners have filed lawsuits, on their own behalf, to protect their water rights. Private water right users cannot rely on governmental entities for protection of their water rights. SB 296 does not create any new procedures; it simply preserves the existing rights of water users to protect their water rights. MFBF supported the bill, which was signed into law by the Governor.

**SB 299: Generally revise laws related to sage grouse conservation**

*Sen. Mike Lang, (R) Malta*

MFBF supported SB 299 and was glad to see it receive the Governor's signature. The bill clarifies that Montana's Sage Grouse Conservation Program must equitably balance conservation and development together, a goal that many stakeholders feel has been overlooked by the Program. It clarifies what existing

land uses and activities are exempt from participating in the Program and stipulates that the operation and maintenance activities of those existing land uses are also exempt from compensatory mitigation requirements. SB 299 also gives MSGOT authority to consider requests for reduction or waivers based off the economic benefit to a local community and the project developer.

**HB 327: Real meat act**

*Rep. Alan Redfield, (R) Livingston*

HB 327 was signed into law by the Governor. The bill will prevent products produced by in vitro cultivation of animal cells, or lab grown protein, from being mislabeled as "meat" in Montana. Cell-cultured edible products are not yet commercially available, but when they enter the marketplace, Montana is now ready to identify them properly. MFBF supported HB 327; we don't want our consumers deceived into purchasing a product that may not be what they expect in taste, texture, nutrition or quality.

**HB 332: Require county approval to relocate bison**

*Rep. Joshua Kassmier, (R) Fort Benton*

HB 332 would have allowed county commissioners to approve or disapprove the transfer of bison into their county. The bill, supported by MFBF, outlined a specific set of criteria that a county commission may use to approve or deny the translocation of bison into their county. We believe in local control and HB 332 provides an avenue for more input and decision making by local authorities. We believe a local government should have the final input on any translocation plan; they are the most accurate authority on whether or not the translocation of bison will be a benefit or detriment to their communities and rural economies. Unfortunately, HB 332 was vetoed by the Governor.

**HB 411: Revise laws related to AIS expenditures and funding**

*Rep. Willis Curdy, (D) Missoula*

HB 411 will alter the funding source for Montana's Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) program. It will require the purchase of an aquatic invasive species prevention pass for vessels, exempt persons age 15 and under from the AIS prevention pass when applying for a fishing license and reduce the nonresident AIS prevention pass for anglers. HB 411 will also decrease fees for hydroelectric facilities and reallocate lodging tax revenue to the invasive species account. While MFBF still believes AIS is a statewide issue and the general fund is the appropriate funding source, we supported HB 411 as AIS pose a serious threat to all forms of economy in Montana, including agriculture. HB 411 became law after receiving the Governor's signature.

**HB 431: Create farmer loan assistance program by revising GTA laws**

*Rep. Zach Brown, (D) Bozeman*

HB 431 will give the Agriculture Development Council the authority to spend \$100,000 or less of their funds toward student loan repayment assistance for

qualified beginning farmers and ranchers. Were the Council not to receive qualified applicants for this program, the money would still be utilized for other GTA grants and loans across the state. MFBF supported HB 431 and was glad to see it become law.

#### **HB 497: Allow additional elk to be harvested during shoulder season**

*Rep. Wylie Galt, (R) Martinsdale*

HB 497 will allow one additional elk tag for hunters. Hunters would be able to utilize all tags during either the general or applicable shoulder season hunts. MFBF supported this bill because the only way to control population growth is through the effective hunting of elk. If landowners can take more elk off their private property and hunters can fill their freezers and potentially support neighbors or community food banks, it's a win-win. HB 497 was signed into law by the Governor.

#### **HB 520: Revise funding for livestock loss board**

*Rep. Ray Shaw, (R) Sheridan*

HB 520 was signed into law by the Governor. The bill will allocate an additional \$100,000 from the general fund to the livestock loss reduction and mitigation state special revenue account each year. The program's budget has remained stagnant at \$200,000 since its inception in 2007 when only losses due to wolf predation were eligible. Since then, both grizzly bears and mountain lions have been added to the list of eligible predators, but with no additional funding allocation. Consequently, the Livestock Loss Board has come to a point where they receive more applications than they can grant reimbursements. MFBF supported HB 520; the increase in funding that HB 520 provides is both incredibly needed and long overdue.

## **Priority Bills That Were Killed in Committee**

*These bills were killed in their respective committee and therefore never received a floor vote. Points received by legislators for supporting MFBF's position on these bills can be found in the Committee Points section of the Report Card.*

#### **HB 31: Revise fire assessment fees**

*Rep. Willis Curdy, (D) Missoula*

HB 31 would have expanded what was a voluntary assessment placed on wildland in the western side of the state to a mandatory fee assessed on all landowners across the state of Montana, excluding incorporated cities and towns. If passed, the fees would amass to one-third of the wildland fire protection preparedness appropriation or \$6 million, whichever was less. While the intent of the bill was to make the current fire funding system more equitable, it failed to accomplish that goal. The bill would have essentially created an additional property tax assessed solely on rural Montana landowners, the same individuals that already contribute their time and money into their local fire districts and prepare

their own private land for fire. MFBF opposed this bill and it was tabled in the House Natural Resources Committee.

#### **HB 76: Expand alternate dispute resolution services of the Department of Agriculture**

*Rep. Kimberly Dudik, (D) Missoula*

HB 76 would have given the Department of Agriculture the authority to provide mediation services to producers, creditors, and other persons involved or affected by an agriculture related dispute. The Department was provided with a grant in 2016 that allowed them to perform alternate dispute resolution services for USDA-related decisions, but under the newest Farm Bill, their grant was expanded to provide mediation services on a far broader category of disputes. Unfortunately, it was tabled in the House Agriculture Committee.

#### **SB 97: Generally revising laws related to common carrier pipelines**

*Sen. Frank Smith, (D) Poplar*

#### **HB 271: Revise laws related to siting pipelines**

*Rep. Bridget Smith, (D) Wolf Point*

SB 97 and HB 271 were very similar, as they both attempted to change the way common carrier pipelines are permitted by adding additional requirements and making the overall process very redundant. Both bills required construction of certain pipelines to be completed in seven years. Coincidentally, or perhaps not so coincidentally, the TransCanada/Keystone XL pipeline's permit will turn seven years old in March. Both of these bills were aimed at stopping the construction of this pipeline. MFBF supports building infrastructure that would allow the movement of oil and other natural resources, while also protecting private property rights, so we opposed both SB 97 and HB 271. Both bills were tabled in their respective chamber's Natural Resources Committee.

#### **SB 185: Prohibit hunting and trapping of wolves near Yellowstone**

*Sen. Mike Phillips, (D) Bozeman*

SB 185 attempted to prohibit the hunting and trapping of wolves in two Park County hunting districts that border Yellowstone National Park (YNP). The sponsor and proponents purported that hunting wolves around YNP threatens the tourism industry in that part of the state and that this population of wolves is too 'socially valuable' to be hunted. MFBF opposed the bill. Our membership doesn't believe that YNP should be able to extend their jurisdiction or wildlife management practices outside of their borders into Montana. Likewise, the legislation was not based on any sound scientific or biologic evidence that the hunting season is detrimental to wolves or any other wildlife in those hunting districts. Thankfully, SB 185 was tabled in the Senate Fish & Game Committee.

**SB 186: Prohibit contests for predatory animals**

*Sen. Mike Phillips, (D) Bozeman*

SB 186 would have effectively halted events like coyote derbies from taking place by banning any person, club or group from giving a prize to another with regard to the taking of a predatory animal. MFBF opposed the bill on the premise that derbies do indeed make a positive difference in controlling predator populations - specifically coyote derbies as coyotes are the number one source of predation of Montana livestock. SB 186 was tabled in the Senate Fish & Game Committee.

**SB 206: Revise the labeling and marketing of certain ag products**

*Sen. Albert Olszewski, (R) Kalispell*

**HB 594: Requiring country of origin label on beef, pork**

*Rep. Bradley Hamlett, (D) Cascade*

Not to be confused with Country of Origin Labeling, SB 206 and HB 594 proposed to make retailers throughout Montana responsible for posting placards detailing country of origin information. The bill imposed fines and potential jail time for retailers, often family-owned Montana businesses, who can't comply. Montana Farm Bureau supports Country of Origin Labeling that is beneficial to the U.S. livestock producer. In order to accomplish that, this conversation needs to be had on a national level. Of additional concern, was the extensive legal note that accompanied both of these bills. If passed, SB 206 and HB 594 would have put the State of Montana in violation of the Federal Meat Inspection Act and may have opened the state up to expensive litigation. For these reasons, MFBF opposed SB 206 and HB 594 and was glad to see them both tabled in their respective chamber's Agriculture Committee.

**HB 287: Generally revise trap-checking requirements**

*Rep. Bridget Smith, (D) Wolf Point*

HB 287 would have required trappers to check traps daily with a provision allowing a substitute trapper in emergency circumstances. MFBF opposed the bill as our membership feels such details should be at the discretion of the landowner and, if applicable, the individual trapping on their land. HB 287 was tabled in the House Fish, Wildlife and Parks Committee.

**HB 315: Provide funding for rehabilitation of St. Mary's Water Project**

*Rep. Jim Keane, (D) Butte*

HB 315 would have authorized the rehabilitation of the St. Mary Water Project by providing an incremental increase of \$5 million in bonding authority over what had been previously authorized. The system, which brings water from the St. Mary River Basin to the Milk River Basin, has been in operation for over 100 years with only minor repairs and improvements since its original inception. MFBF supported the bill as the St. Mary Diversion is a critical resource for irrigators and other water users on the Hi-Line. Unfortunately, the bill was tabled in the House Appropriations Committee.

**HB 452: Revising laws related to tribal consultation re: impacts to heritage properties**

*Rep. Jonathan Windy Boy, (D) Box Elder*

HB 452 would have revised Montana's antiquities laws to require an expanded consultation process between DNRC, tribal authorities, and historic preservation officers. MFBF opposed the bill. Under the Montana Antiquities Act, DEQ is currently required to consult with the State Historical Society regarding heritage properties and paleontological remains on state lands and to avoid taking a permitting action that may substantially alter the heritage properties and paleontological remains. HB 452 expanded state agency oversight of heritage properties onto private lands as well, which is a blatant violation of private property rights. Thankfully, it was swiftly tabled in the House State Administration Committee.

**HB 478: Revise bison transfer laws**

*Rep. Tyson Runningwolf, (D) Browning*

MFBF opposed HB 478, which would have provided exemptions to animal health permitting requirements for bison traveling to a tribal entity from Yellowstone National Park. Allowing brucellosis positive bison to travel anywhere outside of the DSA compromises nearly two decades of time, money and resources spent on controlling this disease and protecting Montana's ranch families. Passage of HB 478 would almost certainly have resulted in the creation of a second DSA in Montana, costing the state and the agriculture industry millions of dollars. Thankfully, the bill was tabled in the House Agriculture Committee.

**HB 707: Revise capital gains tax credit**

**Rep. Kim Abbott, (D) Helena**

Under current law, taxpayers are allowed a credit against any imposed income taxes in an amount equal to 2% of the taxpayer's net capital gains. HB 707 would limit the credit's availability to taxpayers with an adjusted gross income of less than \$1 million. MFBF opposed the bill as it would negatively affect Montana's farmers and ranchers who likely have capital gains, but limited net income. While their gross income may hit \$1 million or more, their net income rarely reflects that. HB 707 could have prevented these individuals from being able to use their capital gains income at the end of the year. Thankfully, HB 707 was tabled in the House Taxation Committee.

# Montana Senate Voting Score Card

“Our Position” Key:  
S - Support  
O - Oppose

Bill	HB 24	HB 45	HB 50	HB 52	SB 73	SB 81	HB 132	HB 220	HB 286	SB 296	SB 299	HB 327	HB 332	HB 411	HB 431	HB 497	HB 520	Floor Vote Points	Sponsor Points	Committee Points	Total Points	Score	Final Grade
Our Position	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S						
Possible Points	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	176					
Senator																							
Ankney, Duane	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	176		12	188	107%	A
Barrett, Dick	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	0	16	0	16	0	7	0	0	16	109		6	115	65%	D
Bennett, Bryce	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134		0	134	76%	C
Blasdel, Mark	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	160		1	161	91%	A
Bogner, Kenneth	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	168		16	184	105%	A
Boland, Carlie	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	0	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	117		1	118	67%	D
Brown, Dee	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	0	0	8	16	161		1	162	92%	A
Cohenour, Jill	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134	5	12	151	86%	B
Cuffe, Mike	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	160		0	160	91%	A
Ellis, Janet	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	0	0	7	8	0	0	102		3	105	60%	D
Ellsworth, Jason	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	160		19	179	102%	A
Esp, John	6	0	11	0	11	0	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	148		13	161	91%	A
Fielder, Jennifer	6	8	11	0	11	0	13	6	0	16	8	16	0	0	0	8	16	119		18	137	78%	C
Fitzpatrick, Steve	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	168	15	1	184	105%	A
Flowers, Pat	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134		11	145	82%	B
Gauthier, Terry	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	168		1	169	96%	A
Gillespie, Bruce	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	176		31	207	118%	A
Gross, Jen	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	0	16	0	0	0	7	8	0	16	101		0	101	57%	F
Hinebauch, Steve	6	8	11	0	11	0	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	156	10	18	184	105%	A
Hoven, Brian	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	176		30	206	117%	A
Howard, David	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	0	8	8	16	169		18	187	106%	A
Jacobson, Tom	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134		23	157	89%	B
Kary, Doug	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	160		0	160	91%	A
Keenan, Bob	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	160		0	160	91%	A
Lang, Mike	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	0	8	8	16	161	5	35	201	114%	A

# Montana Senate Voting Score Card

“Our Position” Key:  
S - Support  
O - Oppose

Bill	HB 24	HB 45	HB 50	HB 52	SB 73	SB 81	HB 132	HB 220	HB 286	SB 296	SB 299	HB 327	HB 332	HB 411	HB 431	HB 497	HB 520	Floor Vote Points	Sponsor Points	Committee Points	Total Points	Score	Final Grade	
Our Position	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S							
Possible Points	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	176						
<b>Senator</b>																								
MacDonald, Margie	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134		3	137	78%	C	
Malek, Sue	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	0	118		1	119	68%	D	
McClafferty, Edie	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	8	16	0	7	8	0	16	142		1	143	81%	B	
McConnell, Nate	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	0	0	7	8	0	16	118		0	118	67%	D	
McNally, Mary	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134		0	134	76%	C	
Olszewski, Albert	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	168	-10	6	164	93%	A	
Osmundson, Ryan	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	168		15	183	104%	A	
Phillips, Mike	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134	-15	1	120	68%	D	
Pomnichowski, JP	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	0	16	0	0	0	7	8	0	0	85		4	89	51%	F	
Regier, Keith	6	0	11	0	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	154		0	154	88%	B	
Richmond, Tom	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	0	8	8	16	169		14	183	104%	A	
Sales, Scott	6	0	11	6	11	0	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	154		12	166	94%	A	
Salomon, Daniel	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	168		18	186	106%	A	
Sands, Diane	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	0	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	117		0	117	66%	D	
Sesso, Jon	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	134		0	134	76%	C	
Small, Jason	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	176		1	177	101%	A	
Smith, Cary	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	160		18	178	101%	A	
Smith, Frank	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	0	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	117	-5	4	116	66%	D	
Tempel, Russ	6	0	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	168		1	169	96%	A	
Thomas, Fred	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	8	16	176		1	177	101%	A	
Vance, Gordon	6	0	11	6	11	0	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	0	8	16	154		1	155	88%	B	
Vuckovich, Gene	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	17	16	8	16	0	7	8	0	16	142		1	143	81%	B	
Webb, Roger	6	0	11	0	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	0	8	8	16	155		1	156	89%	B	
Webber, Susan	6	8	11	6	11	6	0	6	0	16	0	16	0	7	8	0	16	117		4	121	69%	D	
Welborn, Jeffrey	6	8	11	6	11	6	13	6	17	16	8	16	13	7	8	0	16	168		13	181	103%	A	

# Montana House Voting Score Card

“Our Position” Key:  
S - Support  
O - Oppose

Bill	HB 24	HB 45	HB 50	HB 52	SB 73	SB 81	HB 132	HB 220	HB 286	SB 296	SB 299	HB 327	HB 332	HB 411	HB 431	HB 497	HB 520	HB 594	Floor Vote Points	Sponsor Points	Committee Points	Total Points	Score	Grade	
Our Position	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	O						
Possible Points	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193						
<b>Representative</b>																									
Abbott, Kim	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129	-10	1	120	62%	D	
Anderson, Fred	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		28	221	115%	A	
Bachmeier, Jacob	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	146		33	179	93%	A	
Bahr, Jade	0	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	0	8	0	16	0	134		11	145	75%	C	
Ballance, Nancy	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		1	194	101%	A	
Bartel, Dan	6	0	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	152		1	153	79%	C	
Beard, Becky	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	0	16	13	143		40	183	95%	A	
Bedey, David	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	175		1	176	91%	A	
Berglee, Seth	6	7	0	8	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	155		7	162	84%	B	
Bessette, Barbara	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	0	8	0	16	0	111		0	111	58%	F	
Bishop, Laurie	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129		11	140	73%	C	
Brown, Bob	6	7	12	0	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	156		35	191	99%	A	
Brown, Zach	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	8	16	0	154	10	30	194	101%	A	
Burnett, Tom	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	0	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	160		0	160	83%	B	
Buttrey, Edward	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		0	193	100%	A	
Caferro, Mary	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	8	6	8	0	0	0	79		0	79	41%	F	
Curdy, Willis	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	0	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	130	-10	16	136	70%	C	
Custer, Geraldine	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		11	204	106%	A	
DeVries, Greg	6	7	0	0	12	0	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	0	13	135		0	135	70%	C	
Doane, Alan	6	7	0	0	12	6	0	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	126		0	126	65%	D	
Dooling, Julie	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	8	8	16	13	167		39	206	107%	A	
Dudik, Kimberly	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	146	5	1	152	79%	C	
Dunn, David	6	7	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	157		0	157	81%	B	
Dunwell, Mary Ann	0	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	0	0	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	106		0	106	55%	F	
Duram, Neil	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	159		7	166	86%	B	

# Montana House Voting Score Card

“Our Position” Key:  
S - Support  
O - Oppose

Bill	HB 24	HB 45	HB 50	HB 52	SB 73	SB 81	HB 132	HB 220	HB 286	SB 296	SB 299	HB 327	HB 332	HB 411	HB 431	HB 497	HB 520	HB 594	Floor Vote Points	Sponsor Points	Committee Points	Total Points	Score	Grade	
Our Position	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	O						
Possible Points	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193						
<b>Representative</b>																									
Farris-Olsen, Robert	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	0	18	0	0	8	0	8	0	16	0	95		11	106	55%	F	
Fern, Dave	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	8	16	0	154		1	155	80%	B	
Fitzgerald, Ross	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		36	229	119%	A	
Fleming, Frank	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	169		11	180	93%	A	
Fuller, John	6	7	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	157		19	176	91%	A	
Funk, Moffie	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	0	8	0	16	0	140		11	151	78%	C	
Galt, Wylie	6	0	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	170	5	40	215	111%	A	
Garcia, Rodney	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	0	18	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	0	156		11	167	87%	B	
Garner, Frank	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		11	204	106%	A	
Glimm, Carl	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	0	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	145		0	145	75%	C	
Greef, Sharon	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		11	204	106%	A	
Grubbs, Bruce	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		0	193	100%	A	
Gunderson, Steve	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	0	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	160		29	189	98%	A	
Hamilton, Jim	6	7	12	0	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	121		1	122	63%	D	
Hamlett, Brad	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	8	16	0	154	-10	2	146	76%	C	
Harvey, Derek	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129		0	129	67%	D	
Hayman, Denise	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	0	8	0	16	0	140		11	151	78%	C	
Hertz, Greg	6	7	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	157		12	169	88%	B	
Holmlund, Kenneth	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193	10	0	203	105%	A	
Hopkins, Mike	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	0	180		1	181	94%	A	
Jones, Lew	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193		1	194	101%	A	
Karjala, Jessica	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	134		11	145	75%	C	
Kassmier, Joshua	6	0	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	0	173	10	29	212	110%	A	
Keane, Jim	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129	10	1	140	73%	C	
Kelker, Kathy	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129		0	129	67%	D	

# Montana House Voting Score Card

“Our Position” Key:  
S - Support  
O - Oppose

Bill	HB 24	HB 45	HB 50	HB 52	SB 73	SB 81	HB 132	HB 220	HB 286	SB 296	SB 299	HB 327	HB 332	HB 411	HB 431	HB 497	HB 520	HB 594	Floor Vote Points	Sponsor Points	Committee Points	Total Points	Score	Grade	
Our Position	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	O						
Possible Points	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193						
<b>Representative</b>																									
Keogh, Connie	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	0	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	130		11	141	73%	C	
Kerr-Carpenter, Emma	0	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	0	8	0	16	0	134		6	140	73%	C	
Knudsen, Casey	6	0	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	132		56	188	97%	A	
Knudsen, Rhonda	6	7	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	157		47	204	106%	A	
Krautter, Joel	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193	10	7	210	109%	A	
Krotkov, Jasmine	0	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	111		0	111	58%	F	
Lenz, Dennis	6	0	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	152		0	152	79%	C	
Loge, Denley	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	0	180		7	187	97%	A	
Lynch, Ryan	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	0	0	16	0	121		1	122	63%	D	
Mandeville, Forrest	6	7	0	0	12	6	0	6	16	0	17	0	0	6	0	8	16	13	113		11	124	64%	D	
Manzella, Theresa	6	7	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	0	0	6	0	8	16	13	144		29	173	90%	A	
Marler, Marilyn	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	146		6	152	79%	C	
McKamey, Wendy	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	0	164		40	204	106%	A	
Mercer, Bill	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	0	154		1	155	80%	B	
Moore, Eric	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	177		1	178	92%	A	
Moore, Terry	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	0	16	13	143		0	143	74%	C	
Morigeau, Shane	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129		0	129	67%	D	
Mortensen, Dale	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	0	146		68	214	111%	A	
Noland, Mark	6	0	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	132		27	159	82%	B	
Olsen, Andrea	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	0	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	118		11	129	67%	D	
Peppers, Rae	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	0	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	99		1	100	52%	F	
Perry, Zac	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	0	0	101		6	107	55%	F	
Pierson, Gordon	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	8	0	16	0	141		0	141	73%	C	
Pope, Christopher	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129		0	129	67%	D	
Read, Joe	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	0	180		1	181	94%	A	



# Montana House Voting Score Card

“Our Position” Key:

S - Support

O - Oppose

Bill	HB 24	HB 45	HB 50	HB 52	SB 73	SB 81	HB 132	HB 220	HB 286	SB 296	SB 299	HB 327	HB 332	HB 411	HB 431	HB 497	HB 520	HB 594	Floor Vote Points	Sponsor Points	Committee Points	Total Points	Score	Grade	
Our Position	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	O	193					
Possible Points	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193						
<b>Representative</b>																									
Redfield, Alan	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	177	35	41	253	131%	A	
Regier, Matt	6	7	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	139		0	139	72%	C	
Ricci, Vince	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	177		22	199	103%	A	
Runningwolf, Tyson	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	146	-10	17	153	79%	C	
Ryan, Marilyn	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	0	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	113		1	114	59%	F	
Sales, Walt	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193	5	11	209	108%	A	
Schreiner, Casey	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	0	0	113		0	113	59%	F	
Shaw, Ray	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	8	16	13	193	15	40	248	128%	A	
Sheldon-Galloway, Lola	6	0	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	150		12	162	84%	B	
Skees, Derek	6	0	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	8	8	16	13	140		0	140	73%	C	
Smith, Bridget	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	134	-10	1	125	65%	D	
Stewart Peregoy, Sharon	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	0	8	0	16	0	128		0	128	66%	D	
Sullivan, Katie	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	146		11	157	81%	B	
Sweeney, Mark	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	146		17	163	84%	B	
Tschida, Brad	6	0	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	140		0	140	73%	C	
Usher, Barry	6	0	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	158		0	158	82%	B	
Vinton, Sue	6	7	12	0	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	151		7	158	82%	B	
Weatherwax, Marvin	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	0	18	17	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	130		23	153	79%	C	
Webb, Peggy	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	0	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	159		11	170	88%	B	
Welch, Tom	6	7	12	8	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	8	0	16	13	185		12	197	102%	A	
White, Kerry	6	7	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	8	6	0	8	16	13	165		48	213	110%	A	
Windy Boy, Jonathan	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	0	16	0	129	-10	1	120	62%	D	
Winter, Thomas	6	7	12	8	0	6	0	6	16	18	17	0	8	6	8	8	16	0	142		11	153	79%	C	
Woods, Tom	6	7	12	8	12	6	0	6	16	18	0	0	8	6	8	8	0	0	121		0	121	63%	D	
Zolnikov, Daniel	6	0	0	0	12	6	13	6	16	18	17	13	0	6	0	8	16	13	150		1	151	78%	C	

We are the...

# *Voice of Agriculture*<sup>TM</sup>

For more information contact us:



**John Youngberg**  
Executive Vice President  
[johny@mfbf.org](mailto:johny@mfbf.org)



**Liv Stavick**  
Director, State Affairs  
[livs@mfbf.org](mailto:livs@mfbf.org)



**Chelcie Cargill**  
Lobbyist  
[chelciec@mfbf.org](mailto:chelciec@mfbf.org)



**Nicole Rolf**  
Director, National Affairs  
& Regional Manager  
[nicoler@mfbf.org](mailto:nicoler@mfbf.org)

502 S. 19th Ave, Suite 104  
Bozeman, MT 59718  
(406) 587-3153  
[www.mfbf.org](http://www.mfbf.org)

*We Care for the Country*



## MONTANA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION