West Nile and St. Louis Encephalitis Viruses in California: Guidelines for Human Testing, Surveillance and Reporting



California Department of Public Health Richmond, California

May 2024

Table of Contents

Why is Surveillance of WNV/SLEV Important?	3
California Department of Public Health	3
Contact Information for CDPH	3
Clinical and Laboratory Criteria for Diagnosis of WNV/SLEV	4
Table 1: Clinical Case Definition	4
Table 2: Laboratory Criteria for Diagnosis	5
Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (VRDL) Testing for WNV and SLEV	6
Recommended Specimens for Collection	6
Serologic (IgM/IgG) Screening Tests	6
Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT)	6
Molecular Testing	7
Table 3. VRDL Diagnostic versus Surveillance Assays	7
Factors to Consider when Interpreting Arboviral Laboratory Serology Results	7
Transmission of VRDL Laboratory Test Results to Submitters	8
Imported Arboviral Diseases	8
Reporting Probable or Confirmed Cases of WNV/SLEV to CDPH	8
Asymptomatic WNV Infections including Blood Donors	9
West Nile Virus (WNV) Case Reporting	9
Saint Louis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV) Case Reporting	9
CDPH Case Counts	10
Vector Control Notification	10
WNV/SLEV Associated Fatalities	10
Appendix A: WNV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart	11
Annendix B: SLEV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart	12

Why is Surveillance of WNV/SLEV Important?

West Nile virus (WNV) is the leading cause of mosquito-borne (arboviral) disease in the United States and the most common vector-borne disease in California. It is usually transmitted to people by the bite of an infected Culex spp. mosquito. Most arboviral infections are asymptomatic; however, clinical disease can range from mild febrile illness to severe neuroinvasive disease. Arboviruses from the same genus stimulate production of anti-arboviral antibodies that cross react with each other. In geographic areas where two or more closely related arboviruses occur, serologic testing for more than one virus may be needed to determine the specific causative agent. For example, such testing might be needed to distinguish antibodies resulting from infections within the genus *Flavivirus* (including but not limited to: West Nile, St. Louis encephalitis, Powassan, Zika, dengue, Japanese encephalitis, and yellow fever viruses). St. Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV), a virus closely related to WNV, is co-circulating with WNV in many California counties. SLEV should be considered as a causative agent of both neuroinvasive illness and non-neuroinvasive illness if arboviral infection is suspected. Neuroinvasive illness due to flaviviruses is usually characterized by the acute onset of fever with headache, myalgia, stiff neck, altered mental status, seizures, or limb weakness, leading to either encephalitis, meningitis, acute flaccid paralysis (AFP), or other neurological dysfunction. AFP in these cases can result from anterior ("polio") myelitis, peripheral neuritis, or post-infectious peripheral demyelinating neuropathy (i.e., Guillain-Barré syndrome). Less common neurological manifestations, such as cranial nerve palsies or retinopathy, may also be a manifestation of neuroinvasive illness. Both WNV and SLEV can also cause non-neuroinvasive disease. This can take the form of an acute febrile illness that can include such symptoms (among others) as headache, myalgia, arthralgia, rash, and/or gastrointestinal symptoms.¹

California Department of Public Health

The California Department of Public Health (CDPH) provides guidance to medical providers and local health departments regarding interpretation of laboratory results, disease classification, and case reporting.² The Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (VRDL) at CDPH works in conjunction with local public health laboratory partners to provide laboratory testing for specimen submitters. The Vector-Borne Disease Section (VBDS) at CDPH works with local physicians, communicable disease controllers, epidemiologists, and vector control agencies to ensure prevention, surveillance, and control of vector-borne diseases including, but not limited to, WNV and SLEV.

Contact Information for CDPH

CDPH Program Name	Contact Name	Phone/Fax/Email
Viral and Rickettsial Disease	VRDL Main Line	(510) 307-8585
Laboratory (VRDL)		
	VRDL Email	VRDL.Submittal@cdph.ca.gov
		, ,
Vector-Borne Disease Section	Mary Beth Danforth	(916) 449-5179
(VBDS)	WNV/SLEV Epidemiologist- Subject	Mary.Danforth@cdph.ca.gov
	Matter Expert	
	VBDS Fax (for case report forms)	(510) 412-6263

¹ CDC WNV Website: https://www.cdc.gov/west-nile-virus/

² CDPH WNV Website: https://westnile.ca.gov

Clinical and Laboratory Criteria for Diagnosis of WNV/SLEV

Identification of human cases early in the WNV/SLEV season is important for guiding mosquito surveillance, control, and public education activities that reduce the risk of additional infections. Thus, WNV and SLEV testing is recommended for individuals with the following clinical syndromes, particularly during WNV/SLEV season, which usually ranges from June through November. The clinical aspects of the CSTE case definition for arboviral disease (neuroinvasive vs. non-neuroinvasive) can be found in Table 1, with laboratory criteria for diagnosis (probable / confirmed) described in Table 2.

Table 1: Clinical Case Definition³

Clinical Description	Clinical Criteria	
NEUROINVASIVE	Meningitis (NOTE: enterovirus should also be considered for individuals	
Disease	≤ 18 years of age) , encephalitis, acute flaccid paralysis, or other acute signs of central or peripheral neurologic dysfunction, as documented by a physician, AND	
	Absence of a more likely clinical explanation.	
	Other clinically compatible symptoms of neuroinvasive arboviral disease include headache, myalgia, rash, arthralgia, vertigo, vomiting, paresis, and/or nuchal rigidity (neck stiffness).	
NON-NEUROINVASIVE	Fever (chills) as reported by the patient and documented by a health-care	
Disease	provider, AND	
	Absence of neuroinvasive disease, AND	
	Absence of a more likely clinical explanation.	
	Other clinically compatible symptoms of non-neuroinvasive arboviral disease include headache, myalgia, arthralgia, rash, or gastrointestinal symptoms.	

³ <u>CSTE Case Definition for Arboviral Diseases</u>: https://ndc.services.cdc.gov/case-definitions/arboviral-diseases-neuroinvasive-and-non-neuroinvasive-2015/

Table 2: Laboratory Criteria for Diagnosis³

Case Classification	Clinical Description	Laboratory Criteria
PROBABLE	Neuroinvasive Disease: A case that meets the above clinical criteria for neuroinvasive disease and the following laboratory criteria:	Virus-specific IgM antibodies in CSF or serum but with no other testing completed, in a county where both WNV and SLEV were detected that calendar year. ⁴
	Non-neuroinvasive Disease: A case that meets the above clinical criteria for non-neuroinvasive disease and the following laboratory criteria:	Virus-specific IgM antibodies in serum but with no other testing. ⁵
CONFIRMED	Neuroinvasive Disease: A case that meets the above clinical criteria for neuroinvasive disease and ONE OR MORE of the following laboratory criteria:	 Detection of specific nucleic acid or isolation of virus from serum, blood, CSF, other body fluids, OR Four-fold or greater change in virus-specific antibody titers in paired sera, OR Virus-specific IgM antibodies in serum with confirmatory virus-specific neutralizing antibodies in the same or a later specimen, OR Virus-specific IgM antibodies in CSF, and a negative result for other IgM antibodies in CSF for arboviruses endemic to the region where exposure occurred
	Non-Neuroinvasive Disease: A case that meets the above clinical criteria for non-neuroinvasive disease and ONE OR MORE of the following laboratory criteria:	 Detection of specific nucleic acid or isolation of virus from serum, blood, other body fluids, OR Four-fold or greater change in virus-specific antibody titers in paired sera, OR Virus-specific IgM antibodies in serum with confirmatory virus-specific neutralizing antibodies in the same or a later specimen.

_

⁴ Case-patients meeting probable case definition for both WNV and SLEV (i.e., IgM against both WNV and SLEV are detected, but neutralizing antibody against both WNV and SLEV are detected or neutralizing antibody against neither WNV and SLEV are detected), should be reported as probable WNV cases.

Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (VRDL) Testing for WNV and SLEV

Please visit our VRDL website for detailed information about testing guidance for WNV and SLEV.⁵

Recommended Specimens for Collection

NOTE: CSF must be submitted with an accompanying serum sample

- ≥3-5 cc **Serum** (Red top or serum separator tubes acceptable)
- 1-2 cc Cerebral Spinal Fluid (CSF) (Sterile screwcap tube)

VRDL is investigating the diagnostic efficacy on RT-PCR on whole blood and urine samples. If possible, please also include the following for all specimen submissions:

- ≥3-5 cc Whole Blood (Purple Top EDTA tubes)
- 0.1-5 mL **Urine** (clean catch)

See <u>Table 3</u> for a listing of diagnostic versus surveillance use only tests provided by VRDL and the projected turnaround time for results. **NOTE: Tests designated for surveillance use MAY NOT be used for clinical diagnoses. Diagnostic tests have a result turnaround time of 14 calendar days. Surveillance tests have a turnaround time of up to 21 calendar days.**

Serologic (IgM/IgG) Screening Tests

Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA) or Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA) serology testing: Either an IFA or EIA test may be used for frontline screening of IgM antibodies for WNV and SLEV at VRDL. A positive flavivirus antibody finding in CSF is valuable in cases with neuroinvasive disease; however, a negative result does not rule out flavivirus infection, as antibody levels in CSF tend to be lower than those in serum, and thus may be below assay detection limits. Because of this, submission of CSF samples without accompanying serum is discouraged.

IgG serology can be used as an adjunct test for WNV/SLEV when paired acute and convalescent sera are submitted for testing. **Paired sera** showing a significant rise ($\ge 4x$) in IgG antibody titer may indicate a recent infection, whereas a **single IgG** titer result cannot differentiate between old and new infections.

Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT)

The Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT) can detect virus-specific neutralizing antibodies in a sample. Due to the high degree of serological cross-reactivity among flaviviruses, the PRNT is the most specific serological test available for distinguishing between WNV and SLEV. Nevertheless, PRNT results may be confounded by vaccination/previous exposure to any flaviviruses due to the production of generic cross-reactive, flavivirus-neutralizing antibodies.

NOTE: IgG antibodies and neutralizing antibodies are not always the same. Neutralizing antibodies represent a subset of total antibodies (IgA, IgD, IgE, IgG, and IgM) that, via binding to a virus, interfere with its ability to infect a cell (i.e., neutralization), whereas not all IgG antibodies will neutralize virus. IFA

⁵ VRDL WNV-SLEV Testing Guidance:

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/WNV-SLEV-TestingGuidance.pdf

NOTE: An electronically-completed <u>VRDL General Purpose Specimen Submittal Form</u> must be submitted for each sample submitted for testing.

and EIA tests aim to measure the quantity of antibodies which bind to a specific viral antigen, whereas the PRNT measures the antibody's ability to neutralize virus in culture.

Molecular Testing

Molecular tests, such as real-time PCR, are not recommended as the primary test for laboratory diagnosis of symptomatic arboviral infections due to the low sensitivity for WNV and SLEV in serum and CSF. This is likely because most individuals present to healthcare providers when symptoms develop, which is when fewer viral particles are expected to be circulating in their systems. However, studies have documented that real-time PCR of whole blood may be more sensitive and may also detect viral nucleic acids for longer after symptom onset than other specimen types. If WNV or SLEV nucleic acids are detected, the result is highly specific to the virus and confirmatory serology testing is not required. For these reasons, VRDL is currently assessing a real-time PCR test for the detection of WNV/SLEV RNA in whole blood and recommends submission of whole blood.

Table 3. VRDL Diagnostic versus Surveillance Assays

Virus	Specimen	Real-time PCR	Serology	PRNT
WNV	Serum	Not an acceptable sample type	Diagnostic	Surveillance
	CSF	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	Surveillance
	Whole blood	Surveillance	Not an acceptable sample type	Not an acceptable sample type
SLEV	Serum	Not an acceptable sample type	Diagnostic	Surveillance
	CSF	Surveillance	Surveillance	Surveillance
	Whole blood	Surveillance	Not an acceptable sample type	Not an acceptable sample type

Factors to Consider when Interpreting Arboviral Laboratory Serology Results

Arboviral serologic assays: Assays for the detection of IgM and IgG antibodies commonly include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), microsphere immunoassay (MIA), or immunofluorescence assay (IFA). These assays provide a presumptive diagnosis and should have confirmatory testing performed. Confirmatory testing involves the detection of arboviral-specific neutralizing antibodies utilizing assays such as plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT).

Other information to consider. Vaccination history, detailed travel history, date of onset of symptoms, and knowledge of potentially cross-reactive arboviruses known to circulate in the geographic area should be considered when interpreting results.

Rise and fall of IgM antibodies: For most infections, IgM antibodies are detectable between 3- and 8-days post symptom onset and generally persist for 30-90 days, but longer duration has also been documented (e.g., ≥5 years for WNV). Serum collected within 3 days of symptom onset may not have detectable IgM antibodies from the current illness. If the serum sample is IgM negative but WNV is strongly suspected, another serum sample should be collected 3-5 days after the first serum for repeat testing.⁶

⁶ <u>CDC Diagnostic Testing Algorithm for Suspected WNV Disease</u>: https://www.cdc.gov/west-nile-virus/hcp/diagnosis-testing/diagnostic-testing-algorithm.html

Persistence of IgM antibodies: Arboviral IgM antibodies may be detected in some patients for months or years after their acute illness. Therefore, the presence of these virus-specific IgM antibodies may indicate a past infection and be unrelated to the current illness. Finding virus-specific IgM antibodies in CSF or a fourfold or greater change in virus-specific antibody titers between acute- and convalescent-phase serum specimens provides additional laboratory evidence that the arbovirus was the likely cause of the patient's recent illness. Clinical and epidemiologic history should also be carefully considered.

Persistence of IgG and other neutralizing antibodies: Arboviral IgG and other neutralizing antibodies can persist for many years following a symptomatic or asymptomatic infection. Therefore, the presence of these antibodies alone is only evidence of previous infection and clinically-compatible cases with the presence of IgG, but not IgM, should be evaluated for other etiologic agents.

<u>Transmission of VRDL Laboratory Test Results to Submitters</u>

Following completion of testing, laboratory results are sent to the local public health laboratory or local health department through secure email or fax. Local public health laboratories or health departments receiving results from VRDL are expected to forward test results to the submitter and share significant findings with the epidemiologists and health department where the patient resides. **NOTE: Local health departments should follow up on all IgM-positive results.**

Imported Arboviral Diseases

Human disease due to dengue, Zika, chikungunya or yellow fever viruses are nationally notifiable to CDC using specific case definitions. However, many other exotic arboviruses (e.g., Japanese encephalitis, tickborne encephalitis, Venezuelan equine encephalitis, and Rift Valley fever viruses) are important public health risks in the United States because competent vectors exist here that could permit transmission of imported arboviral pathogens. Health-care providers and public health officials should maintain a high index of clinical suspicion for cases of potentially exotic or unusual arboviral etiology, particularly in international travelers. If a suspected case occurs, it should be immediately reported to appropriate local and state health authorities.

Reporting Probable or Confirmed Cases of WNV/SLEV to CDPH

Acute WNV/SLEV infection are nationally notifiable conditions. Under Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations, Section 2505, laboratories are required to report positive WNV test results to the local health department where the patient resides. Please also report probable and confirmed cases of SLEV in the same manner. To determine whether an individual should be reported to CDPH as a case, local health departments should refer to the case definitions for WNV/SLEV,³ and/or reach out to Mary Beth Danforth (916-449-5179; Mary.Danforth@cdph.ca.gov), the CDPH WNV and SLEV subject matter expert, with any questions or concerns. Please note that this case definition is intended for public health surveillance purposes only and should not be used for clinical diagnoses.

Local health departments must report cases of WNV/SLEV illness and WNV-positive blood donors [Presumptive Viremic Donors (PVD)] via CalREDIE, by FAX to 510-412-6263, or by secure email to <a href="https://www.wnv.email.org/wnw.wnv.email.org/wnw.wnv.email.org/wnv.email

Asymptomatic WNV Infections including Blood Donors

Asymptomatic infection with WNV, which is generally identified in blood donors but also in organ donors, is also reportable. Blood or organ donors who test positive for WNV via molecular assays may not necessarily be ill or have positive IgM or IgG antibody test results. Local health departments should report blood donors that meet at least one of the following criteria as a presumptively viremic donor to CDPH-VBDS:

- a) One reactive nucleic acid-amplification (NAT) test with signal-to-cutoff (S/CO) ≥ 17 **OR**
- b) Two reactive NATs (any S/CO)

Additional serological testing is not required if either of these criteria are met. Local health departments should follow up with the donor two weeks after the date of donation to assess if the patient subsequently developed symptoms and reclassify the infection per their clinical presentation.

West Nile Virus (WNV) Case Reporting

Report the case as:

- West Nile virus non-neuroinvasive (specify clinical syndrome as 'febrile illness' or 'other clinical presentation' [if non-febrile])
 - Non-neuroinvasive cases should NOT have any neuroinvasive symptoms indicated (e.g. seizures, paresis/paralysis, coma, ataxia, etc.)
- West Nile virus neuroinvasive (specify clinical syndrome[s] as 'encephalitis', 'meningitis', 'acute flaccid paralysis', and/or 'other neuroinvasive presentation')
 - Clinical syndrome must be neuroinvasive (can also include others as secondary and tertiary syndromes)
 - Please consult the CDPH epidemiologists when considering 'other neuroinvasive presentation' as this should only be indicated in the strict absence of other neuroinvasive symptoms
- West Nile virus asymptomatic (specify as asymptomatic)
 - WNV laboratory results must be included in the case report
 - Specimen collection date must be included in the case report form in CalREDIE as well as Blood Donor Identification Number (e.g., W1234567890)

See Appendix A: WNV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart

Saint Louis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV) Case Reporting

Report the case as "St. Louis encephalitis virus"

- If the case was initially entered as a WNV incident, change "Disease Being Reported" to "St. Louis Encephalitis Virus Infection"
- The SLEV incident must indicate symptoms and whether or not disease was neuroinvasive (clinical syndrome[s] 'encephalitis', 'meningitis', 'acute flaccid paralysis', and/or 'other neuroinvasive presentation'), or non-neuroinvasive ('febrile illness' or 'other clinical presentation' [if non-febrile])
- Both SLEV and WNV laboratory results must be included in the SLEV case report
- Onset date must be included in the case report

See Appendix B: SLEV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart

CDPH Case Counts

- All WNV and SLEV cases reported to CDPH by 5:00 PM Wednesday during the WNV and SLEV transmission season (May through December) will be included in the CDPH's weekly update. Those case counts will be posted every Friday during arbovirus season on the California WNV website (http://westnile.ca.gov). SLEV cases are reported on the SLEV tab of the California WNV website.
- Cases reported via CalREDIE that meet the following criteria will be included in CDPH case counts and reports, and reported to the CDC ArboNET reporting system each week:
 - Process Status: Closed by LHD
 - Disease: West Nile virus neuroinvasive, non-neuroinvasive, or asymptomatic, OR St. Louis Encephalitis virus
 - Resolution Status: Confirmed or Probable
 - Onset date (if blood/organ donor, then date of specimen collection/donation)

NOTE: Cases that do not meet the above criteria will **NOT** be counted / reported (e.g. cases listed as Under Investigation or Suspect). If you believe a case is missing from the case count on the CDPH website or elsewhere for that season (or previous seasons), please contact VBDS WNV epidemiologist, Mary Beth Danforth at (916) 449-5179 or Mary.Danforth@cdph.ca.gov.


Vector Control Notification

Health departments should notify their local vector control agency of any human WNV activity as soon as possible, so that enhanced mosquito surveillance and control measures can be implemented to reduce the risk of additional transmission. CDPH encourages reporting, at a minimum, the cross street where the case patient resides, or if known, where the suspect mosquito exposure occurred. Please contact Mary Beth Danforth with any questions or concerns related to communication of cases with local vector control agencies. Ideally this contact will occur prior to confirmation of the case, as prevention efforts should be undertaken as soon as possible.

WNV/SLEV Associated Fatalities

Determining whether WNV or SLEV has led to the death of a patient can be difficult. WNV/SLEV may not always be listed as a contributory or underlying cause of death on death certificates, and fatalities can occur well after acute infection. Many case-patients also have underlying conditions that could contribute to the immediate causes of death. In general, if a patient was diagnosed with WNV/SLEV and never recovered from the sequelae (e.g., they were discharged to a convalescent hospital until date of death), a health department should consider designating the patient as a WNV- or SLEV-associated fatality.

Appendix A: WNV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart



Appendix B: SLEV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart

