

Epidemiology for Clinical Psychopharmacology

This Section of *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* appears in each issue of the Journal to stress the role of the epidemiological approach to promote advances in the field of clinical psychopharmacology, with a particular attention to controversial findings. The ultimate aims are to help develop a more critical attitude towards the results of research studies published in the international literature, to promote original research projects with higher methodological standards, and to implement the most relevant results of research in every-day clinical practice. These contributions are written in house by the journal's editorial team or commissioned by the Section Editor (no more than 1000 words, short unstructured abstract, 4 key-words, one Table or Figure and up to ten references).

Corrado Barbui, Section Editor

Cite this article: Barbui C, Ostuzzi G (2019). Medicine-based evidence: the case of antidepressants in patients with coronary artery disease. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **28**, 18–20. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796018000380>

Received: 18 June 2018

Revised: 21 June 2018

Accepted: 2 July 2018

First published online: 30 July 2018

Key words:

Adverse effects; antidepressants; chronic conditions; psychopharmacology

Author for correspondence:

Corrado Barbui, E-mail: corrado.barbui@univr.it

Medicine-based evidence: the case of antidepressants in patients with coronary artery disease

C. Barbui and G. Ostuzzi

Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, Section of Psychiatry, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

Abstract

In individuals with coronary artery disease and concurrent depressive symptomatology, the evidence on the beneficial and harmful effects of antidepressants is very limited. Recently, a study was carried out to describe depressive symptoms and the treatments provided under real-world circumstances to cardiac patients who entered the Mayo Clinic cardiac rehabilitation program. Antidepressant use was associated with reductions in depressive symptoms, but also with poorer cardiovascular outcomes. In this commentary, the results of this study are discussed in view of their clinical implications for everyday clinical practice and for the production of knowledge.

Although randomised controlled trials (RCTs), and systematic reviews of data extracted from RCTs, are at the pinnacle of the evidence-based hierarchy, aiming to assist health care professionals in making decisions, in clinical practice making decisions in the absence of evidence, or in the presence of incomplete evidence, is a common problem (Barbui, 2017). In such situations of clinical uncertainty, health care professionals have increased responsibility, as the provision of care would need to be as much in line as possible with the limited evidence available and would also require strict monitoring (Barbui *et al.*, 2002).

An interesting example of a clinical situation with imperfect evidence is represented by patients with coronary artery disease and concurrent depressive symptomatology. This comorbidity is epidemiologically and clinically relevant (Zuidersma *et al.*, 2013). Up to 30% of patients who have been hospitalised for a myocardial infarction experience increased depressive symptoms, and 15–20% suffer from major depression (Thombs *et al.*, 2006). Clinically, the presence of depressive symptoms has a negative impact on treatment adherence, quality of life and, interestingly, on major adverse cardiovascular events, including death (Wu and Kling, 2016). For these reasons, existing guidelines suggest to routinely screen cardiac patients for depressive symptoms, and to provide cognitive-behavioural treatments and/or antidepressants for those with a formal diagnosis (Lichtman *et al.*, 2009). One of the selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) is generally recommended, as it is the case for patients with medical comorbidities (NICE, 2009). However, very few experimental studies have been conducted, and the credibility of evidence is low (Lichtman *et al.*, 2009).

Against this scenario, a recent study was carried out to describe the treatments provided under real-world circumstances to cardiac patients with depression in the area of Rochester and Olmsted, USA and the long-term depressive and cardiovascular outcomes (Grace *et al.*, 2018). The investigators collected data on patients with coronary artery disease who entered the Mayo Clinic cardiac rehabilitation program between 2002 and 2012. Patients were administered the patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) before and after the program. Information on medicine use and major adverse cardiovascular events were extracted from a population-based record linkage system that electronically stores a variety of clinical information. The cardiac rehabilitation program included group stress management sessions, a video describing how stress affects the body, as well as techniques such as relaxation, exercise and deep breathing. Patients were also provided videos to take home demonstrating relaxation, tai chi/qigong and mindfulness-based approaches to stress management.

During the study period, 1694 patients initiated the cardiac rehabilitation program and 1266 (74.7%) of these completed the follow-up PHQ-9 and were included in the analysis. Interestingly, in this unselected sample of patients, depressive symptoms were moderate or severe in less than 10% of patients only, while the majority of cases scored in the minimal range of severity. A total of 446 (35.2%) participants were taking one or more psychopharmacological medications at any point during the period of this study; 433 (34.2%) of them were on antidepressants and 118 (9.3%) were taking more than one antidepressant, with a median of two drugs per patient. Most participants were on one of the SSRIs, in particular, citalopram, sertraline and paroxetine. Depressive symptoms decreased significantly from pre- to post-program. Use of antidepressants was associated with lower depressive symptoms

after cardiac rehabilitation; however, after propensity matching based on pre-cardiac rehabilitation depressive symptoms, participants taking tricyclics had significantly more cardiovascular events than those not taking tricyclics (hazard ratio (HR) = 2.46; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.37–4.42), as well as those taking atypical antidepressants *v.* not (HR = 1.59; 95% CI 1.05–2.41) and those on SSRI (HR = 1.45; 95% CI 1.07–1.97). There was no increased risk with the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SNRI) (HR = 0.89; 95% CI 0.43–1.82). The study's main conclusion was that antidepressant use was associated with reductions in depressive symptoms, but also with poorer cardiovascular outcomes (Grace *et al.*, 2018).

These results raise interesting questions, as depression in cardiac patients has been associated with poorer cardiovascular outcomes in a number of studies, possibly because the presence of depression in this population is associated with less treatment adherence, deregulation of systemic inflammatory mediators (Empana *et al.*, 2005), higher risk of smoking and sedentary lifestyle (Lichtman *et al.*, 2014), and, ultimately, poorer quality of life and higher rates of cardiovascular events, including death (Thombs *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, it could be expected that improving depressive symptoms would lead to better cardiovascular outcomes. By contrast, in the present study, only depressive symptoms improved, but not cardiovascular outcomes. The reasons for this apparent paradox are still unknown. One possibility is that the beneficial effects that improving depression had on cardiovascular outcomes were counterbalanced by the negative effects of antidepressants on the same cardiovascular outcomes. For example, the SSRIs may have some safety problems in this population. In particular, SSRIs may be associated with hypotension in the elderly (Press *et al.*, 2016) and with abnormal bleeding (Ceylan and Alpsan-Omay, 2005), while citalopram and escitalopram may cause abnormal changes in the electrical activity of the heart (Nose and Barbui, 2014; Nose *et al.*, 2016), and there are also some reports of adverse cardiac effects in geriatric samples taking venlafaxine (Johnson *et al.*, 2006), a SNRI, although this antidepressant class was not associated with increased risk in this study. Based on these considerations, current guidelines suggesting one of the SSRIs in this population would need to be corroborated by new clinical and epidemiological studies describing the long-term consequences of antidepressant exposure. Additionally, randomised evidence and systematic reviews should attempt to ascertain the safety profile of individual SSRIs and SNRIs. This would represent an invaluable step ahead in the treatment of this comorbidity. Additionally, given the unwanted side-effects of antidepressants, current guidelines might consider to offer non-pharmacological interventions as initial treatment.

Methodologically, this study is an important example of how clinical practice may be used to produce knowledge (Barbui *et al.*, 2018). Collecting clinical data under real-world circumstances should be considered an essential component of the evidence-based medicine process: while the evidence produced under experimental conditions should be implemented in clinical practice, the evidence collected in clinical practice should be used to (a) support audits of medical practice checking the degree of coherence between what evidence would suggest and what is actually provided in practice, and, if the degree of coherence is low, to ascertain which factors, besides scientific evidence, might play a role in determining clinician's attitudes and patient's preferences (e.g. costs, ethical and cultural elements, stigma associated with particular medications, etc.); (b) facilitate comparisons of outcome indicators between practices and investigate possible

differences, which is important for accountability reasons, as patients, families, other interested stakeholders and the healthcare professionals themselves are interested to know what types of treatments are provided and the outcomes associated with their provision; (c) encourage a research attitude among professionals, thus helping produce 'real world' knowledge, or 'medicine-based' evidence, to be used to guide the design of pragmatic trials in such areas (Knottnerus and Dinant, 1997). This approach would ultimately lead to the development of permanent infrastructures for experimental and non-experimental studies in typical patients and settings.

Acknowledgement. None.

Financial support. No financial support was received for this paper from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest. None.

References

- Barbui C (2017) Evidence, knowledge, implementation: glossary of terminology. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **26**, 103–104.
- Barbui C, Tognoni G and Garattini S (2002) Clinical databases of patients receiving antidepressants. The missing link between research and practice? *Journal of Affective Disorders* **70**, 191–196.
- Barbui C, Ostuzzi G and Godman B (2018) Public health psychopharmacology: a new research discipline comes of age? *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **27**, 24–28.
- Ceylan ME and Alpsan-Omay MH (2005) Bleeding induced by SSRIs. *European Psychiatry* **20**, 570–571.
- Empana JP, Sykes DH, Luc G, Juhan-Vague I, Arveiler D, Ferrieres J, Amouyel P, Bingham A, Montaye M, Ruidavets JB, Haas B, Evans A, Jouven X and Ducimetiere P (2005) Contributions of depressive mood and circulating inflammatory markers to coronary heart disease in healthy European men: the prospective epidemiological study of myocardial infarction (PRIME). *Circulation* **111**, 2299–2305.
- Grace SL, Medina-Inojosa JR, Thomas RJ, Krause H, Vickers-Douglas KS, Palmer BA and Lopez-Jimenez F (2018) Antidepressant use by class: association with major adverse cardiac events in patients with coronary artery disease. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **87**, 85–94.
- Johnson EM, Whyte E, Mulsant BH, Pollock BG, Weber E, Begley AE and Reynolds CF (2006) Cardiovascular changes associated with venlafaxine in the treatment of late-life depression. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **14**, 796–802.
- Knottnerus JA and Dinant GJ (1997) Medicine based evidence, a prerequisite for evidence based medicine. *BMJ* **315**, 1109–1110.
- Lichtman JH, Bigger JT, Blumenthal JA, Frasure-Smith N, Kaufmann PG, Lesperance F, Mark DB, Sheps DS, Taylor CB and Froelicher ES (2009) AHA science advisory. Depression and coronary heart disease. Recommendations for screening, referral, and treatment. A science advisory from the American heart association prevention committee to the council on cardiovascular nursing, council on clinical cardiology, council on epidemiology and prevention, and interdisciplinary council on quality of care outcomes research. Endorsed by the American psychiatric association. *Progress in Cardiovascular Nursing* **24**, 19–26.
- Lichtman JH, Froelicher ES, Blumenthal JA, Carney RM, Doering LV, Frasure-Smith N, Freedland KE, Jaffe AS, Leifheit-Limson EC, Sheps DS, Vaccarino V and Wulsin L (2014) Depression as a risk factor for poor prognosis among patients with acute coronary syndrome: systematic review and recommendations: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **129**, 1350–1369.
- NICE (2009) Depression in adults with a chronic physical health problem: recognition and management. Clinical guideline. Available at <http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg91/resources/depression-in-adults-with-a-chronic-physical-health-problem-recognition-and-management-pdf-975744316357>.
- Nose M and Barbui C (2014) Do antidepressants prolong the QT interval? *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **23**, 19–20.

- Nose M, Bighelli I, Castellazzi M, Martinotti G, Carra G, Lucii C, Ostuzzi G, Sozzi F and Barbui C** (2016) Prevalence and correlates of QTc prolongation in Italian psychiatric care: cross-sectional multicentre study. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **25**, 532–540.
- Press Y, Punchik B and Freud T** (2016) Orthostatic hypotension and drug therapy in patients at an outpatient comprehensive geriatric assessment unit. *Journal of Hypertension* **34**, 351–358.
- Thombs BD, Bass EB, Ford DE, Stewart KJ, Tsilidis KK, Patel U, Fauerbach JA, Bush DE and Ziegelstein RC** (2006) Prevalence of depression in survivors of acute myocardial infarction. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* **21**, 30–38.
- Wu Q and Kling JM** (2016) Depression and the risk of myocardial infarction and coronary death: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Medicine (Baltimore)* **95**, e2815.
- Zuidersma M, Conradi HJ, van Melle JP, Ormel J and de Jonge P** (2013) Self-reported depressive symptoms, diagnosed clinical depression and cardiac morbidity and mortality after myocardial infarction. *International Journal of Cardiology* **167**, 2775–2780.