



Shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function

Nathan Ng, Quanli Shen, and Peng-Jie Wong

Abstract. In this article, we prove that the Riemann hypothesis implies a conjecture of Chandee on shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function. The proof is based on ideas of Harper concerning sharp upper bounds for the $2k$ th moments of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line.

1 Introduction

This article concerns the shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function

$$I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \int_0^T \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k dt,$$

where $T \geq 1$ and $\alpha_1 := \alpha_1(T)$, $\alpha_2 := \alpha_2(T)$ are real-valued functions satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad |\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2| \leq 0.5T.$$

These are generalizations of the $2k$ th moments of the Riemann zeta function

$$I_k(T) = \int_0^T \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^{2k} dt,$$

since $I_k(T) = I_k(T, 0, 0)$. The theory of the moments of the Riemann zeta function is an important topic in analytic number theory (see the classic books [8, 12, 18, 24]). Unconditionally, Heap and Soundararajan [6] (for $0 < k < 1$) and Radziwiłł and Soundararajan [17] (for $k \geq 1$) proved that

$$I_k(T) \gg T(\log T)^{k^2}.$$

Assuming the Riemann hypothesis, Harper [5] showed that for any $k \geq 0$,

$$(1.2) \quad I_k(T) \ll T(\log T)^{k^2}.$$

Harper's argument builds on the work of Soundararajan [21], who showed that under the Riemann hypothesis, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

Received by the editors September 8, 2022; revised May 15, 2023; accepted September 5, 2023.

Published online on Cambridge Core September 25, 2023.

This research was partially supported by the NSERC Discovery grant RGPIN-2020-06032 of N.N. P.-J.W. was supported by an NCTS postdoctoral fellowship, and he is currently supported by the NSTC grant III-2115-M-110-005-MY3.

AMS subject classification: 11M06.

Keywords and phrases: Moments of the Riemann zeta function, shifted moments, sharp upper bounds.



$$(1.3) \quad I_k(T) \ll T(\log T)^{k^2+\varepsilon}.$$

Based on a random matrix model, Keating and Snaith [9] conjectured that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(1.4) \quad I_k(T) \sim C_k T(\log T)^{k^2},$$

for a precise constant C_k . By the classical works of Hardy and Littlewood [4] and Ingham [7], the asymptotic (1.4) is known, unconditionally, for $k = 1, 2$. Recently, the first author [14] showed that a certain conjecture for ternary additive divisor sums implies the validity of (1.4) for $k = 3$. In [15], the authors have shown that the Riemann hypothesis and a certain conjecture for quaternary additive divisor sums imply that (1.4) is true in the case $k = 4$. This work [15] crucially uses the bounds for the shifted moments of the zeta function established in Theorem 1.3.

In [1], the more general shifted moments

$$(1.5) \quad M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha) = \int_0^T \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^{2k_1} \cdots \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_m)\right) \right|^{2k_m} dt,$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, were introduced. Chandee [1, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2] proved the following upper and lower bounds for $M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Chandee) *Let k_i be positive real numbers. Let $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(T)$ be real-valued functions of T such that $\alpha_i = o(T)$. Assume that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i \log T$ and $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \log T$ exist or equal $\pm\infty$. Assume that for $i \neq j$, $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ and $\alpha_i - \alpha_j = O(1)$. Then the Riemann hypothesis implies that for T sufficiently large, one has*

$$(1.6) \quad M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha) \ll_{\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon} T(\log T)^{k_1^2 + \cdots + k_m^2 + \varepsilon} \prod_{i < j} \left(\min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|}, \log T \right\} \right)^{2k_i k_j}.$$

Furthermore, if k_i are positive integers, then for T sufficiently large, unconditionally, one has

$$M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha) \gg_{\mathbf{k}, \beta} T(\log T)^{k_1^2 + \cdots + k_m^2} \prod_{i < j} \left(\min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|}, \log T \right\} \right)^{2k_i k_j},$$

where

$$\beta = \max_{\{(i, j) \mid |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| = O(1/\log(T))\}} \left\{ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \log T \right\}.$$

For the upper bound, Chandee used the techniques of Soundararajan [21]; for the lower bound, Chandee’s argument is based on the work of Rudnick and Soundararajan [19]. It should be noted that there is an omission in Chandee’s theorem statement of the upper bound (1.6). There should also be the additional condition

$$(1.7) \quad k_1 + \cdots + k_m < 1.^1$$

¹The authors discovered that the proof of (1.6) requires the additional constraint (1.7). This is because on [1, line 5, p. 557] the parameter k must satisfy $x^k \leq \frac{T}{\log T}$. The condition (1.7) follows after a short calculation which makes use of the definition of the parameter A (see [1, p. 555]). It is possible that by a different choice of the parameter A , the condition (1.7) could be removed.

Based on Keating and Snaith’s random matrix model [9], Chandee [1, Conjecture 1.2] made the following conjecture on shifted moments that generalized a conjecture of Kösters [11] as follows.

Conjecture 1.2 (Chandee) *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Then one has*

$$I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \begin{cases} \asymp_k T(\log T)^{k^2}, & \text{if } \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \log T = 0, \\ \asymp_{k,c} T(\log T)^{k^2}, & \text{if } \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \log T = c \neq 0, \\ \asymp_k T \left(\frac{\log T}{|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|} \right)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}, & \text{if } \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \log T = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any positive real k , $M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha) = I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ for $\mathbf{k} = (\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2})$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 of Chandee has established the conjectured lower bound for $I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. It remains to prove the sharp upper bound for $I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ in order to establish Conjecture 1.2. In this article, assuming the Riemann hypothesis, we establish Chandee’s conjecture by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $k \geq 1$ be real. Let α_1 and α_2 be real-valued functions $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(T)$ of T which satisfy the bound (1.1) and*

$$(1.8) \quad |\alpha_1 + \alpha_2| \leq T^{0.6}.$$

Then the Riemann hypothesis implies that for T sufficiently large, we have

$$I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}},$$

where $\mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is defined by

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) := \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|}, \log T \right\}, & \text{if } |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \leq \frac{1}{100}, \\ \log(2 + |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|), & \text{if } |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| > \frac{1}{100}. \end{cases}$$

We establish this result by following the breakthrough work of Harper [5].

Remarks

- (1) This result contains Harper’s bound (1.2) as a special case by setting $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$.
- (2) Soundararajan’s method [21] can be easily adapted to the case of shifted moments as in [1] as it has a natural additive structure. On the other hand, it is not obvious how to adapt Harper’s method to the case of shifted moments. When there are two shifts, the argument works by a stroke of luck since we can take advantage of the identity

$$(1.10) \quad \cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2) = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}\right)$$

in (3.7). Harper’s method is of certain “multiplicative nature” which allows us to apply Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 directly. The above trigonometric identity introduces an extra “rotation” into Harper’s method. Our main contribution is to show that such an extra rotation can be handled so that Harper’s argument still works (see, for instance, our equations (4.7) and (4.12)). It is not clear how to extend the result to three shifts as there seems to be no good trigonometric identity

for $\cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2) + \cos(\theta_3)$. Similarly, if one wants to extend the result to $M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha)$ where the components of $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ are not necessarily equal and $m \geq 2$, one encounters the same issue. Despite this, it is highly desirable to obtain sharp bounds for $M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha)$ in the general case. Recently, bounds for shifted moments of L -functions have been used in establishing asymptotics for certain moments of L -functions (see [20, 22]), and it is possible that sharp bounds for $M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha)$ could be used in similar contexts.

- (3) This theorem improves the upper bound portion of Theorem 1.1 in the case that $k_1 = k_2 = k$. Note that there is no restriction on k as in (1.7), and we do not have the strict condition $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \ll 1$. Note that we apply Lemma 2.4 instead of [1, Lemma 3.5, p. 556].
- (4) In applications to moment problems, it is crucial to have bounds for shifted moments when the shifts can be far apart. In our application to $I_4(T)$ [15], we require a bound for $I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ when $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \leq \sqrt{T}$.
- (5) In this article, we also fill in a gap in Harper's argument. In the proof of his Lemma 3, he provides a sketch, mentioning it is very similar to his Lemma 1. However, when one tries to follow his argument, one encounters integrals of the shape $\int \prod_p \cos(t \log p) \prod_q \cos(2t \log q) dt$. Consequently, one may not invoke Proposition 2 in his article. To address this issue, we established Lemma 2.3, which is required in the proof of his Lemma 3 and is also used in our Lemma 3.3.
- (6) In this theorem and throughout this article, whenever we write "sufficiently large T ," we mean that there exists $T_0 := T_0(k)$ a positive parameter depending on k such that $T \geq T_0$.

Remark added on September 8, 2023. Recently, Curran [2] extended Theorem 1.3 to the general shifted moments $M_{\mathbf{k}}(T, \alpha)$, defined in (1.5), and analyzed the case that the differences $|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|$ are unbounded. Also, under the generalized Riemann hypothesis (for Dirichlet L -functions), Szabó [23] proved a sharp upper bound on moments of shifted Dirichlet L -functions, which improves the previous work of Munsch [13].

Conventions and notation. In this article, given two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we shall interchangeably use the notation $f(x) = O(g(x))$, $f(x) \ll g(x)$, and $g(x) \gg f(x)$ to mean that there is $M > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M|g(x)|$ for sufficiently large x . Given fixed parameters ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_r , the notation $f(x) \ll_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r} g(x)$ means that the $|f(x)| \leq M g(x)$ where $M = M(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r)$ depends on the parameters ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_r . The letter p will always denote a prime number. In addition, $p_i, p'_i, \mathfrak{p}_i, q_i$, and q_i with $i \in \mathbb{N}$ shall denote prime numbers.

2 Some tools

We shall require the following tools, which are fundamental for the argument. First, by a minor modification of the main Proposition of [21] (see also [5, Proposition 1]), we have the following proposition providing an upper bound for the Riemann zeta function.

Proposition 2.1 *Assume that the Riemann hypothesis holds. Let $\lambda_0 = 0.491 \dots$ denote the unique positive solution of $e^{-\lambda_0} = \lambda_0 + \lambda_0^2/2$. Let T be large. Then, for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $2 \leq x \leq T^2$, and $t \in [c_1 T, c_2 T]$, where $0 < c_1 < c_2$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right| \\ & \leq \Re \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{\log x} + it}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} + \sum_{p \leq \min\{\sqrt{x}, \log T\}} \frac{1}{2p^{1+2it}} \right) + \frac{(1+\lambda) \log T}{2 \log x} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have the following variant of [16, Lemma 4], which Harper formulates in [5, Proposition 2].

Lemma 2.2 *Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$, where p_i are distinct primes, and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for T large, one has*

$$\int_T^{2T} \prod_{i=1}^r (\cos(t \log p_i))^{a_i} dt = Tg(n) + O(n),$$

where the implied constant is absolute, and

$$g(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \frac{a_i!}{((a_i/2)!)^2}$$

if every a_i is even, and $g(n) = 0$ otherwise. Consequently, for T large and any real number γ , we have

$$\int_T^{2T} \prod_{i=1}^r (\cos((t + \gamma) \log p_i))^{a_i} dt = (T + \gamma)g(n) + O(|\gamma|) + O(n),$$

where the implied constants are absolute.

Moreover, we shall require the following further variant of [16, Lemma 4] of Radziwiłł.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} p_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}} \cdots p_s^{a_s}$, where p_i are distinct primes, and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} (\cos(t \log p_i))^{a_i} \prod_{r+1 \leq i \leq s} (\cos(2t \log p_i))^{a_i} dt \\ & = Tg(n) + O((p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \cdot (p_{r+1}^{2a_{r+1}} \cdots p_s^{2a_s})), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant is absolute. Consequently, for any real γ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} \prod_{i=1}^r (\cos((t + \gamma) \log p_i))^{a_i} \prod_{r+1 \leq i \leq s} (\cos(2(t + \gamma) \log p_i))^{a_i} dt \\ & = (T + \gamma)g(n) + O(|\gamma|) + O((p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \cdot (p_{r+1}^{2a_{r+1}} \cdots p_s^{2a_s})), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constants are absolute.

Proof Following Radziwiłł [16, Proof of Lemma 4], for $c \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos(ct \log p_i))^{a_i} &= \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} (e^{ict \log p_i} + e^{-ict \log p_i})^{a_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{a_i/2} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \ell_i \leq a_i \\ \ell_i \neq a_i/2}} \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{\ell_i} e^{i(a_i - 2\ell_i)ct \log p_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{a_i}{a_i/2} = 0$ if $a_i/2$ is not a positive integer. Hence, setting $c_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $c_i = 2$ for $r + 1 \leq i \leq s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} (\cos(c_i t \log p_i))^{a_i} &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \left(\frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{a_i/2} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \ell_i \leq a_i \\ \ell_i \neq a_i/2}} \binom{a_i}{\ell_i} e^{i(a_i - 2\ell_i)c_i t \log p_i} \right) \\ &= g(n) + \sum'_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{\ell_i} e^{i(a_i - 2\ell_i)c_i t \log p_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where the primed sum is over $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s) \neq (\frac{a_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{a_s}{2})$ such that $0 \leq \ell_j \leq a_j$ for every $1 \leq j \leq s$. Thus, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} (\cos(t \log p_i))^{a_i} \prod_{r+1 \leq i \leq s} (\cos(2t \log p_i))^{a_i} dt \\ = Tg(n) + \sum'_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{\ell_i} \int_T^{2T} (*) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The integrand $(*)$ is

$$\exp(it(b_1 \log p_1 + \dots + b_r \log p_r + 2b_{r+1} \log p_{r+1} + \dots + 2b_s \log p_s)),$$

where $b_i = a_i - 2\ell_i$. (Note that, as later, b_1, \dots, b_s cannot be all zero, and $|b_i| \leq a_i$.) We then see

$$\left| \int_T^{2T} (*) dt \right| \leq \frac{2}{|b_1 \log p_1 + \dots + b_r \log p_r + 2b_{r+1} \log p_{r+1} + \dots + 2b_s \log p_s|}.$$

(Note that the denominator is nonzero since $(b_1, \dots, b_s) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ and p_1, \dots, p_s are distinct.) Grouping together those terms with $b_i > 0$ and $b_i < 0$, respectively, we can write

$$|b_1 \log p_1 + \dots + b_r \log p_r + 2b_{r+1} \log p_{r+1} + \dots + 2b_s \log p_s| = |\log(M/N)|,$$

where $M \neq N$ are positive integers. Without loss of generality, we may assume $M > N$ and obtain $|\log(M/N)| = \log(M/N)$, which is

$$\geq \log\left(\frac{N+1}{N}\right) = \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2N} \geq \frac{1}{2(p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r})(p_{r+1}^{2a_{r+1}} \dots p_s^{2a_s})}.$$

Therefore, the primed sum in (2.1) is

$$\ll \sum'_{\substack{0 \leq \ell_i \leq a_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq s}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{\ell_i} \cdot (p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}) \cdot (p_{r+1}^{2a_{r+1}} \dots p_s^{2a_s}).$$

Finally, observing that

$$\sum'_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{\ell_i} \leq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \sum_{0 \leq \ell_i \leq a_i} \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} \binom{a_i}{\ell_i} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \frac{1}{2^{a_i}} (1+1)^{a_i} = 1,$$

we complete the proof. ■

Lastly, we recall the following variant of Mertens' estimate (see, e.g., [3, p. 57] or [13, Lemma 2.9]).

Lemma 2.4 *Let a and $z \geq 1$ be real numbers. Then one has*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{\cos(a \log p)}{p} \begin{cases} = \log \left(\min \left\{ \frac{1}{|a|}, \log z \right\} \right) + O(1), & \text{if } |a| \leq \frac{1}{100}, \\ \leq \log \log(2 + |a|) + O(1), & \text{if } |a| > \frac{1}{100}, \end{cases}$$

where the implied constants are absolute.

3 Setup and outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3. To do so, we follow closely Harper [5]. We let $\beta_0 = 0$ and

$$\beta_i = \frac{20^{i-1}}{(\log \log T)^2}$$

for every integer $i \geq 1$. Define $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{k,T} = 1 + \max\{i \mid \beta_i \leq e^{-1000k}\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mathcal{J}$, we set

$$(3.1) \quad G_{i,j}(t) = G_{i,j,T,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(t) = \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}$, we set

$$(3.2) \quad F_i(t) = G_{i,\mathcal{J}}(t) = \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{J}} \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{J}}}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_{\mathcal{J}}}}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{S}(0) = \mathcal{S}_{T,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(0) := \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] \mid |\Re G_{1,\ell}(t)| > \beta_1^{-3/4} \text{ for some } 1 \leq \ell \leq \mathcal{J} \right\}.$$

For $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J} - 1$, we let $\mathcal{S}(j) = \mathcal{S}_{k,T,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(j)$ stand for the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] \mid |\Re G_{i,\ell}(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4} \text{ for every } (i, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \text{ such that} \right. \\ & \quad \left. 1 \leq i \leq j \text{ and } i \leq \ell \leq \mathcal{J}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{but } |\Re G_{j+1,\ell'}(t)| > \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \text{ for some } j+1 \leq \ell' \leq \mathcal{J} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{k,T,\alpha_1,\alpha_2} := \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] \mid |\Re F_i(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4} \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J} \right\}.$$

Note that $\beta_{j+1} \leq \beta_{\mathcal{J}} \leq 20e^{-1000k}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J} - 1$.

Observe

$$(3.4) \quad [T, 2T] = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\mathcal{J}-1} \mathcal{S}(j) \cup \mathcal{T}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we shall establish

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k dt \\
 (3.5) \quad & + \int_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k dt \\
 & \ll T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 2.1 with $\lambda = 1$, for sufficiently large T , $2 \leq x \leq T^2$, and $t \in [T, 2T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_i)\right) \right| \\
 & \leq \Re \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x} + i(t + \alpha_i)}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} + \sum_{p \leq \min\{\sqrt{x}, \log T\}} \frac{1}{2p^{1+2i(t + \alpha_i)}} \right) + \frac{\log T}{\log x} + O(1). \\
 (3.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

We further note that the “main term” for the upper bound of $\log \left(\left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k \right)$ derived from (3.6) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & k \Re \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x} + i(t + \alpha_1)}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} + k \Re \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x} + i(t + \alpha_2)}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} \\
 & = k \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\cos(-(t + \alpha_1) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x}}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} + k \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\cos(-(t + \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x}}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} \\
 & = k \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x}}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} (2 \cos(-(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)) \log p) \cos(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)) \\
 & = 2k \Re \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x}, \\
 (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the trigonometric identity (1.10). Arguing similarly for the second sum in (3.6), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log \left(\left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k \right) \\
 & \leq 2k \Re \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log x} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(x/p)}{\log x} + \sum_{p \leq \min\{\sqrt{x}, \log T\}} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \right) \\
 & + \frac{2k \log T}{\log x} + O(k). \\
 (3.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3 will be deduced from the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *In the notation and assumption as above and Theorem 1.3, for any sufficiently large T , we have*

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \exp \left(2k \Re \epsilon \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} \log T + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \right) dt \ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} (\mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2))^{\frac{k^2}{2}},$$

where $\mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is defined in (1.9).

Lemma 3.2 *In the notation and assumption as above, we have*

$$\text{meas}(\mathcal{S}(0)) \ll_k T e^{-(\log \log T)^2/10}.$$

In addition, for $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J} - 1$, we have

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp \left(2k \Re \epsilon \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} \log T + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \right) dt \ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\log(1/\beta_j)}{21\beta_{j+1}} \right).$$

We shall remark that although Lemma 3.1 and the second part of Lemma 3.2 are not used directly in the proof of Theorem 1.3, they will be required for the proof of the following lemma (see, for instance, the argument leading to (6.11)).

Lemma 3.3 *In the notation and assumption as above, we have*

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \exp \left(2k \Re \epsilon \left(\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} \log T + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}} \right) \right) dt \ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} (\mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2))^{\frac{k^2}{2}}, \tag{3.9}$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J} - 1$, we have

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp \left(2k \Re \epsilon \left(\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} \log T + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}} \right) \right) dt \ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\log(1/\beta_j)}{21\beta_{j+1}} \right). \tag{3.10}$$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We must show that inequality (3.5) holds. It suffices to show that each of the two terms on the left-hand side of (3.5) is $\ll T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}$. By (3.8), we know that $\log(|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1))|^k |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2))|^k)$ is at most

$$2k\Re\left(\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}}\right) + \frac{2k}{\beta_j} + O(k).$$

Hence, (3.9) of Lemma 3.3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1))|^k |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2))|^k dt \\ & \ll \int_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \exp\left(2k\Re\left(\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}}\right)\right) dt \\ & \times e^{2k/\beta_j + O(k)} \\ (3.11) \quad & \ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the fact that $e^{2k/\beta_j} \ll_k 1$ as $\beta_j \geq e^{-1000k}$ by the definition of \mathcal{J} . Similarly, for $1 \leq j \leq J - 1$, we can bound $\log(|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1))|^k |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2))|^k)$ above by

$$2k\Re\left(\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}}\right) + \frac{2k}{\beta_j} + O(k).$$

It then follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1))|^k |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2))|^k dt \\ & \ll e^{2k/\beta_j} \cdot e^{-(21\beta_{j+1})^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})} T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $20\beta_j = \beta_{j+1} \leq \beta_j \leq 20e^{-1000k}$, $\log(1/\beta_{j+1}) \geq 900k$, and so

$$e^{2k/\beta_j} \cdot e^{-(21\beta_{j+1})^{-1} \log(1/\beta_{j+1})} = e^{2k/\beta_j - (\log(1/\beta_{j+1}))/420\beta_j} \leq e^{-0.1k/\beta_j}.$$

Observe that $J \leq \frac{2}{\log 20} \log \log \log T$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} e^{-0.1k/\beta_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} e^{-2k(\log \log T)^2/20^j} \\ & \leq e^{-2k(\log \log T)^2} + \int_1^{\frac{2}{(\log 20)} \log \log \log T} e^{-2k(\log \log T)^2/20^x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the change of variables $20^{-x} = u$ (with $dx = \frac{-1}{\log 20} \frac{du}{u}$), we see that the integral above equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{\log 20} \int_{\frac{1}{20}}^{\frac{1}{(\log \log T)^2}} e^{-2k(\log \log T)^2 u} \frac{du}{u} \ll (\log \log T)^2 \int_{\frac{1}{(\log \log T)^2}}^{\frac{1}{20}} e^{-2k(\log \log T)^2 u} du \\
 (3.13) \qquad \qquad \qquad & \ll \frac{e^{-2k}}{2k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13), we arrive at

$$(3.14) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k dt \ll T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}.$$

For $j = 0$, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(0)} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k dt \\
 (3.15) \qquad \leq & \text{meas}(\mathcal{S}(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^{2k} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^{2k} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality again and the upper bound (1.3) with $\varepsilon = 1$, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^{2k} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^{2k} dt \\
 & \ll \left(\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^{4k} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^{4k} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \ll T(\log T)^{4k^2+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This, combined with (3.15) and Lemma 3.2, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(0)} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k dt \\
 (3.16) \qquad \qquad \qquad & \ll \sqrt{T} e^{-(\log \log T)^2/20} \cdot \sqrt{T} (\log T)^{2k^2+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \ll T.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by combining inequalities (3.11), (3.14), and (3.16), we establish (3.5), which together with (3.4) yields

$$(3.17) \qquad I_k(2T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) - I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \ll T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}.$$

Recall that under the Riemann hypothesis, $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \ll (1 + |t|)^\varepsilon$ (see [21, Corollary C]). Hence,

$$(3.18) \qquad I_k(\sqrt{T}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_1)\right) \right|^k \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(t + \alpha_2)\right) \right|^k dt \ll T^{2k\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now, let \log_2 denote the base 2 logarithm, and let $j = j(T)$ be the smallest integer such that $j \geq \log_2 \sqrt{T}$. Plugging the values $T/2, \dots, T/2^j$ into (3.17), we obtain

$$I_k(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) - I_k(T/2^j, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \ll (T/2 + \dots + T/2^j) \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \leq T \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}.$$

This, together with (3.18), completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. ■

4 Proof of Lemma 3.1

Observe that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} = \sum_{i=1}^J F_i(t),$$

where F_i is defined by (3.2). By (4.1), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \exp\left(2k\Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}}\right) dt = \int_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \exp(k\Re F_i(t))^2 dt,$$

where we recall that \mathcal{J} is defined in (3.3). To proceed, we need the following lemma, which establishes that each factor $\exp(k\Re F_i(t))$ can be replaced by a Taylor polynomial of length $100k\beta_i^{-3/4}$.

Lemma 4.1 *If $t \in \mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \exp(k\Re F_i(t))^2 \ll \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k\Re F_i(t))^n}{n!} \right)^2.$$

Proof We shall follow the argument used in [10, Lemma 5.2, pp. 484–486]. We begin by recalling that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and positive integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$, Taylor’s theorem (with explicit remainder in the Lagrange form) asserts that there exists ξ between 0 and x such that

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{x^n}{n!} + \frac{e^\xi x^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}.$$

Thus, we derive

$$(4.3) \quad e^x \left(1 - \frac{e^{|x|}|x|^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}\right) \leq e^x \left(1 - \frac{e^{\xi-x} x^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

as $\xi - x \leq |\xi - x| \leq |0 - x| = |x|$, which follows from the fact that ξ is closer (than 0) to x .

Note that when $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq J$, $\beta_i \leq \beta_J \leq 20e^{-1000k}$, which gives $\beta_i^{-3/4} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq J$. Hence, taking $x = k\Re F_i(t)$ and $N = \lceil 100k\beta_i^{-3/4} \rceil$ in (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad e^{k\Re F_i(t)} \left(1 - \frac{e^{|k\Im F_i(t)|} |k\Re F_i(t)|^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1}}{([100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1)!} \right) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}]} \frac{(k\Re F_i(t))^n}{n!}.$$

Using the fact $n! \geq (\frac{n}{e})^n$, we see

$$\frac{e^{|k\Re F_i(t)|} |k\Re F_i(t)|^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1}}{([100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1)!} \leq \frac{e^{|k\Re F_i(t)|} |k\Re F_i(t)|^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1} e^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1}}{([100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1)^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1}}.$$

As $|\Re F_i(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4}$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the right of the above expression is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{|k\beta_i^{-3/4}|} |k\beta_i^{-3/4}|^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1} e^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1}}{([100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1)^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1}} &\leq e^{101k\beta_i^{-3/4} + 1 - 100 \log(100)k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \\ &\leq e^{-10k\beta_i^{-3/4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$1 - \frac{e^{|k\Re F_i(t)|} |k\Re F_i(t)|^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1}}{([100k\beta_i^{-3/4}] + 1)!} \geq 1 - e^{-10k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \geq e^{-\frac{1}{10k}\beta_i^{3/4}} (\geq 0),$$

where the (second) last inequality follows from the fact that $1 - e^{-x} \geq e^{-1/x}$ for $x > 0$. Inserting this into (4.4), we then deduce

$$(4.5) \quad e^{k\Re F_i(t)} e^{-\frac{1}{10k}\beta_i^{3/4}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[100k\beta_i^{-3/4}]} \frac{(k\Re F_i(t))^n}{n!}.$$

Note that $\prod_{i=1}^J e^{-\frac{1}{10k}\beta_i^{3/4}}$ equals

$$e^{-\frac{1}{10k} \sum_{i=1}^J \beta_i^{3/4}} = e^{-\frac{1}{10k} \beta_J^{3/4} \sum_{i=0}^{J-1} 20^{-3i/4}} \geq e^{-\frac{1}{10k} \beta_J^{3/4} \frac{1}{1-20^{-3/4}}} \geq e^{-\frac{1}{10k} 20^{3/4} e^{-750k} \frac{1}{1-20^{-3/4}}},$$

which, together with (4.5), completes the proof of the lemma. ■

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \exp(k\Re F_i(t))^2 dt \ll \mathcal{I} := \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k\Re F_i(t))^j}{j!} \right)^2 dt.$$

In order to simplify the presentation, we set

$$y^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \text{ and } y^- = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2).$$

Expanding out all of the j th powers and opening the square, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S} &= \sum_{\tilde{j}, \tilde{\ell}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \frac{k^{j_i}}{j_i!} \frac{k^{\ell_i}}{\ell_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \\
 (4.7) \quad &\times \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log p(i, r)) \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log q(i, s)) dt \\
 &\times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first sum is over all

$$\tilde{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{\mathcal{J}}), \tilde{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mathcal{J}}), \text{ with } 0 \leq j_i, \ell_i \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4},$$

the second sum is over

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{p} &= (p(1, 1), \dots, p(1, j_1), p(2, 1), \dots, p(2, j_2), \dots, p(\mathcal{J}, j_{\mathcal{J}})) \text{ and} \\
 \tilde{q} &= (q(1, 1), \dots, q(1, \ell_1), q(2, 1), \dots, q(2, \ell_2), \dots, q(\mathcal{J}, \ell_{\mathcal{J}}))
 \end{aligned}$$

whose components are primes which satisfy

$$T^{\beta_i-1} < p(i, 1), \dots, p(i, j_i), q(i, 1), \dots, q(i, \ell_i) \leq T^{\beta_i}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \\
 (4.8) \quad &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \frac{1}{p(i, r)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{J}} \log T}}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{J}}}/p(i, r))}{\log T^{\beta_{\mathcal{J}}}} \frac{1}{q(i, s)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\mathcal{J}} \log T}}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_{\mathcal{J}}}/q(i, s))}{\log T^{\beta_{\mathcal{J}}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Following the argument in [5, p. 10] (see the third displayed equation there), we have

$$(4.9) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \leq T^{0.1}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (4.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log p(i, r)) \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log q(i, s)) dt \\
 (4.10) \quad &= (T + \gamma^+) g \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \right) + O(|\gamma^+|) + O(T^{0.1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(4.11) \quad C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \leq D(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p(i, r)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q(i, s)}}.$$

By (4.10), (4.11), and the bound $|\cos x| \leq 1$ for real x , it follows that (4.7) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I} &= (T + \gamma^+) \sum_{\substack{j, \ell \\ 1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}}} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq j_i} \frac{k^{j_i}}{j_i!} \frac{k^{\ell_i}}{\ell_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J} \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \right) \\
 (4.12) \quad &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J} \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \\
 &+ O \left((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1}) \sum_{\substack{j, \ell \\ 1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}}} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq j_i} \frac{k^{j_i}}{j_i!} \frac{k^{\ell_i}}{\ell_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} D(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the argument of Harper [5, p. 10], it can be shown that the big-O term above is at most $(|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1}) T^{0.1} (\log \log T)^{2k}$.

The inner summand in (4.12) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J} \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \right) \\
 &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J} \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since g is supported on squares, this expression is nonzero if and only if

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J} \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) = p_1^2 \cdots p_N^2$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J} \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \\
 (4.13) \quad &= \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_N) \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.7) and (4.11)–(4.13), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I} &\ll T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \sum_{0 \leq j, \ell \leq 100\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{k^{j+\ell}}{j!\ell!} \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1} < p_1, \dots, p_j, q_1, \dots, q_\ell \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{g(p_1 \cdots p_j q_1 \cdots q_\ell)}{\sqrt{p_1 \cdots p_j q_1 \cdots q_\ell}} \\
 &\times \cos(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log p_j) \cos(\gamma^- \log q_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log q_\ell) \\
 &+ O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1}) T^{0.1} (\log \log T)^{2k}) \\
 &= T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 200\beta_i^{-3/4}} k^m \sum_{\substack{j+\ell=m \\ 0 \leq j, \ell \leq 100\beta_i^{-3/4}}} \frac{1}{j!\ell!} \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1} < p_1, \dots, p_m \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{g(p_1 \cdots p_m)}{\sqrt{p_1 \cdots p_m}} \\
 &\times \cos(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log p_m) + O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1}) T^{0.1} (\log \log T)^{2k})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 200\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{k^m 2^m}{m!} \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p_1, \dots, p_m \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{g(p_1 \cdots p_m)}{\sqrt{p_1 \cdots p_m}} \\ \times \cos(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log p_m) + O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1})T^{0.1}(\log \log T)^{2k}),$$

where the last inequality makes use of the nonnegativity of the inner summand. Since g is supported on squares, we must have that m is even, say $m = 2n$ with $n \geq 0$. By relabeling the prime variables as q_1, \dots, q_{2n} , we see that

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{I} \ll T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{k^{2n} 2^{2n}}{(2n)!} \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < q_1, \dots, q_{2n} \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{g(q_1 \cdots q_{2n})}{\sqrt{q_1 \cdots q_{2n}}} \\ \times \cos(\gamma^- \log q_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log q_{2n}) + O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1})T^{0.1}(\log \log T)^{2k}).$$

Next, we observe that $q_1 \cdots q_{2n}$ is a square if and only if it equals $p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2$ for some primes $p_u \in [T^{\beta_{i-1}}, T^{\beta_i}]$ with $1 \leq u \leq n$. Grouping terms according to $q_1 \cdots q_{2n} = p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2$ gives

$$\sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < q_1, \dots, q_{2n} \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{g(q_1 \cdots q_{2n})}{\sqrt{q_1 \cdots q_{2n}}} \cos(\gamma^- \log q_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log q_{2n}) \\ = \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p_1, \dots, p_n \leq T^{\beta_i}} \sum_{\substack{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < q_1, \dots, q_{2n} \leq T^{\beta_i} \\ q_1 \cdots q_{2n} = (p_1 \cdots p_n)^2}} \frac{g(p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2)}{\sqrt{p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2}} \\ \times \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_n) \#\{(p'_1, \dots, p'_n) \mid p'_1 \cdots p'_n = p_1 \cdots p_n\}^{-1} \\ = \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p_1, \dots, p_n \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{g(p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2)}{\sqrt{p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2}} \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_n) \\ (4.15) \quad \times \frac{\#\{(q_1, \dots, q_{2n}) \mid q_1 \cdots q_{2n} = (p_1 \cdots p_n)^2\}}{\#\{(p'_1, \dots, p'_n) \mid p'_1 \cdots p'_n = p_1 \cdots p_n\}}.$$

In the above, the factor $\#\{(p'_1, \dots, p'_n) \mid p'_1 \cdots p'_n = p_1 \cdots p_n\}^{-1}$ accounts for possible repetitions when counting squares $p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2$. With this observation, we see that the first term on the right of (4.14) equals

$$(4.16) \quad T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(2k)^{2n}}{(2n)!} \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p_1, \dots, p_n \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{g(p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2)}{p_1 \cdots p_n} \\ \times \frac{\#\{(q_1 \cdots q_{2n}) \mid q_1 \cdots q_{2n} = p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2\}}{\#\{(q_1 \cdots q_n) \mid q_1 \cdots q_n = p_1 \cdots p_n\}} \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_n),$$

where each q_i again denotes a prime in $(T^{\beta_{i-1}}, T^{\beta_i}]$.

By [5, equation (4.2)], we know

$$(4.17) \quad g(p_1^2 \cdots p_n^2) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{(2\alpha_j)!}{(\alpha_j!)^2}$$

and

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{\#\{(q_1 \dots q_{2n}) \mid q_1 \dots q_{2n} = p_1^2 \dots p_n^2\}}{\#\{(q_1 \dots q_n) \mid q_1 \dots q_n = p_1 \dots p_n\}} = \frac{(2n)!}{\prod_{j=1}^r (2\alpha_j)!} \left(\frac{n!}{\prod_{j=1}^r \alpha_j!} \right)^{-1}$$

whenever $p_1 \dots p_n$ is a product of r distinct primes with multiplicities $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ (in particular, $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r = n$). Therefore, the expression (4.16) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{k^{2n}}{n!} \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p_1, \dots, p_n \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_1) \dots \cos^2(\gamma^- \log p_n)}{p_1 \dots p_n} \\ & \times \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^r \alpha_j!} \\ & \leq T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{1}{n!} \left(k^2 \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p} \right)^n \\ & \leq T \exp \left(k^2 \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$(4.19) \quad \mathcal{I} \ll T \exp \left(k^2 \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} \right) + (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1}) T^{0.1} (\log \log T)^{2k}.$$

Since $\beta_j < 1$ and $\cos^2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(2\theta))$, from (2.2), it follows that

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} & \leq \sum_{p \leq T} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \leq T} \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \leq T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \log T + \frac{1}{2} \log(\mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is defined in (1.9). Therefore, by (1.8), (4.19), and (4.20),

$$\mathcal{I} \ll_k T (\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} + T^{0.8} (\log \log T)^{2k} \ll T (\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}.$$

This combined with (4.2) and (4.6) completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

5 Proof of Lemma 3.2

In this section, we shall prove Lemma 3.2. To begin, we first observe that

$$\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} = \sum_{i=1}^j G_{i,j}(t),$$

where $G_{i,j}(t)$ is defined as in (3.1). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \right) dt \\ &= \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \exp(k \Re G_{i,j}(t))^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, we shall require the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *If $t \in \mathcal{S}(j)$ with $1 \leq j \leq J - 1$, we have*

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \exp(k \Re G_{i,j}(t))^2 \ll \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k \Re G_{i,j}(t))^n}{n!} \right)^2.$$

Proof It can be proved by repeating the argument for Lemma 4.1 while using $|\Re G_{i,j}(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4}$ when $t \in \mathcal{S}(j)$ (instead of $|\Re F_i(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4}$) and the fact that $\prod_{i=1}^j e^{-\frac{1}{10k}\beta_i^{3/4}} \geq \prod_{i=1}^J e^{-\frac{1}{10k}\beta_i^{3/4}}$. ■

Now, setting

$$A_{j,\ell} := \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid |\Re G_{i,j}(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq j, \text{ but } |\Re G_{j+1,\ell}(t)| > \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \right\},$$

by Lemma 5.1, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \exp(k \Re G_{i,j}(t))^2 dt \\ & \ll \int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k \Re G_{i,j}(t))^n}{n!} \right)^2 dt \\ (5.1) \quad & \leq \sum_{\ell=j+1}^J \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k \Re G_{i,j}(t))^n}{n!} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{A_{j,\ell}}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{A_{j,\ell}}(t)$ is the indicator function of $A_{j,\ell}$.² By the definition of $A_{j,\ell}$, we know

$$\mathbb{1}_{A_{j,\ell}}(t) \leq (\beta_{j+1}^{3/4} |\Re G_{j+1,\ell}(t)|)^M$$

for any positive integer M . From this point on, we set

$$(5.2) \quad M = 2 \lceil 1/(10\beta_{j+1}) \rceil.$$

It then follows that the last integral in (5.1) is

²For $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, the indicator function of A is defined by $\mathbb{1}_A(t) = 1$ if $t \in A$ and $\mathbb{1}_A(t) = 0$ if $t \notin A$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad &\leq \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k\Re G_{i,j}(t))^n}{n!} \right)^2 (\beta_{j+1}^{3/4} |\Re G_{j+1,\ell}(t)|)^M dt \\
 &= (\beta_{j+1}^{3/4})^M \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k\Re G_{i,j}(t))^n}{n!} \right)^2 (\Re G_{j+1,\ell}(t))^M dt
 \end{aligned}$$

as M is even. We shall write the last expression as $(\beta_{j+1}^{3/4})^M S$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
 S := &\sum_{m_1, n_1=0}^{L_1} \cdots \sum_{m_j, n_j=0}^{L_j} \frac{k^{m_1+n_1+\cdots+m_j+n_j}}{(m_1)!(n_1)! \cdots (m_j)!(n_j)!} \\
 &\times \int_T^{2T} (\Re G_{j+1,\ell}(t))^M \prod_{i=1}^j (\Re G_{i,j}(t))^{m_i+n_i} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

and $L_i := 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$. Recalling the definition (3.1) of $G_{i,j}(t)$ and the fact that $\Re p^{-i(t+\frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2))} = \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\Re G_{i,j}(t))^{m_i} \\
 &= \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p_1 \leq T^{\beta_i}} \cdots \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p_{m_i} \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{\cos(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log p_1) \log(T^{\beta_j}/p_1)}{p_1^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta_j \frac{1}{\log T}} \log T^{\beta_j}} \\
 &\times \cdots \times \frac{\cos(\gamma^- \log p_{m_i}) \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log p_{m_i}) \log(T^{\beta_j}/p_{m_i})}{p_{m_i}^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta_j \frac{1}{\log T}} \log T^{\beta_j}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\Re G_{j+1,\ell}(t))^M \\
 &= \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p_1 \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \cdots \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p_M \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{\cos(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log p_1) \log(T^{\beta_\ell}/p_1)}{p_1^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta_\ell \frac{1}{\log T}} \log T^{\beta_\ell}} \\
 &\times \cdots \times \frac{\cos(\gamma^- \log p_M) \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log p_M) \log(T^{\beta_\ell}/p_M)}{p_M^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta_\ell \frac{1}{\log T}} \log T^{\beta_\ell}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we are using p_u , for $1 \leq u \leq M$, to denote a prime variable. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.4) \quad &S = \sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i} k^{n_i}}{m_i! n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p}} C_3(\tilde{p}) \\
 &\times \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log p(i, r)) \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log q(i, s)) \\
 &\times \prod_{u=1}^M \cos((t+\gamma^+) \log p_u) dt \\
 &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \prod_{u=1}^M \cos(\gamma^- \log p_u),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_j)$, $\tilde{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_j)$, with $0 \leq m_i, n_i \leq L_i = 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}$, and \tilde{p} , \tilde{q} , and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ are tuples:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p} &= (p(1,1), \dots, p(1, m_1), p(2,1), \dots, p(2, m_2), \dots, p(j, m_j)), \\ \tilde{q} &= (q(1,1), \dots, q(1, n_1), q(2,1), \dots, q(2, n_2), \dots, q(j, n_j)), \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} &= (\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_M), \end{aligned}$$

whose components satisfy

$$(5.5) \quad T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p(i, r), q(i, s) \leq T^{\beta_i} \text{ and } T^{\beta_j} < \mathfrak{p}_u \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}.$$

Here, we also used the notation

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \frac{1}{p(i, r)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T}} \log T^{\beta_j}} \frac{1}{q(i, s)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T}} \log T^{\beta_j}}, \\ C_3(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}) &= \prod_{u=1}^M \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}_u^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_\ell \log T}} \log T^{\beta_\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that similar to (4.9), by (5.5), we have

$$(5.6) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \leq T^{0.1} \text{ and } \prod_{u=1}^M \mathfrak{p}_u \leq (T^{\beta_{j+1}})^M \leq T^{0.2}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1 and (5.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log p(i, r)) \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log q(i, s)) \\ (5.7) \quad &\times \prod_{u=1}^M \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log \mathfrak{p}_u) dt \\ &= (T + \gamma^+) g \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \times \prod_{u=1}^M \mathfrak{p}_u \right) + O(|\gamma^+|) + O(T^{0.3}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.7) in (5.4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i}}{m_i!} \frac{k^{n_i}}{n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}} C_3(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ (5.8) \quad &\times \left((T + \gamma^+) g \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \times \prod_{u=1}^M \mathfrak{p}_u \right) + O(|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3}) \right) \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \prod_{u=1}^M \cos(\gamma^- \log \mathfrak{p}_u). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|C_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})| \leq D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \text{ and } |C_3(\tilde{p})| \leq D_3(\tilde{p}),$$

where

$$D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p(i, r)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q(i, s)}} \text{ and } D_3(\tilde{p}) = \prod_{u=1}^M \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_u}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i} k^{n_i}}{m_i! n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p}} C_3(\tilde{p}) \\ (5.9) \quad &\times (T + \gamma^+) g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \times \prod_{u=1}^M p_u \right) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \prod_{u=1}^M \cos(\gamma^- \log p_u) \\ &+ \mathcal{E}, \end{aligned}$$

where the error term \mathcal{E} , contributed by the big-O term in (5.8), satisfies

$$\mathcal{E} \ll \sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i} k^{n_i}}{m_i! n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p}} D_3(\tilde{p}) (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3}).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\tilde{p}} D_3(\tilde{p}) = \left(\sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \right)^M \leq (T^{\beta_{j+1}})^M \leq T^{\frac{2}{10}} = T^{0.2},$$

where we used the definition (5.2). Hence, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{E} \ll (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3}) T^{0.2} \sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i} k^{n_i}}{m_i! n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}).$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i} k^{n_i}}{m_i! n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{0 \leq m \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{k^m}{m!} \left(\sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \right)^m \right)^2.$$

The inner sum on the right satisfies

$$\left(\sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \right)^m \leq T^{\beta_i m} \leq T^{\beta_i \cdot 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} = T^{100k\beta_i^{1/4}},$$

and thus

$$\sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i}}{m_i!} \frac{k^{n_i}}{n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^j T^{200k\beta_i^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left(\sum_{0 \leq m \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{k^m}{m!} \right)^2.$$

Since $\prod_{i=1}^j T^{200k\beta_i^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq T^{400k\beta_j^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq T^{0.1}$, we then obtain

$$\sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i}}{m_i!} \frac{k^{n_i}}{n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \leq T^{0.1} \prod_{i=1}^j e^{2k} = T^{0.1} e^{2jk} \leq T^{0.1} e^{2k \cdot \frac{2}{\log 20} \log \log \log T},$$

which is $\leq T^{0.1}(\log \log T)^{2k}$. Here, we use the facts $j \leq \mathcal{J} - 1 \leq \frac{2}{\log 20} \log \log \log T$ and $\frac{2}{\log 20} = 0.66\dots$. Inserting this last bound in (5.10) yields

$$(5.11) \quad \mathcal{E} \ll (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3})T^{0.2} \cdot T^{0.1}(\log \log T)^{2k} = (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3})T^{0.3}(\log \log T)^{2k}.$$

Combining (5.9) and (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i}}{m_i!} \frac{k^{n_i}}{n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p}} C_3(\tilde{p}) \\ &\quad \times (T + \gamma^+)g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \times \prod_{u=1}^M \mathfrak{p}_u \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \prod_{u=1}^M \cos(\gamma^- \log \mathfrak{p}_u) \\ &\quad + O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3})T^{0.3}(\log \log T)^{2k}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\gamma^+| \leq T$ and the main term is nonnegative since g is supported on squares, following an argument similar to that establishing (4.13). Therefore, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (5.12) \quad S &\ll T \sum_{\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \frac{k^{m_i}}{m_i!} \frac{k^{n_i}}{n_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} D_2(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p}} D_3(\tilde{p}) \\ &\quad \times g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \times \prod_{u=1}^M \mathfrak{p}_u \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \prod_{u=1}^M \cos(\gamma^- \log \mathfrak{p}_u) \\ &\quad + O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3})T^{0.3}(\log \log T)^{2k}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the two integers within g are co-prime and g is multiplicative, we have

$$g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \times \prod_{u=1}^M \mathfrak{p}_u \right) = g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ 1 \leq r \leq m_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_i}} p(i, r)q(i, s) \right) g \left(\prod_{u=1}^M \mathfrak{p}_u \right).$$

This, together with (5.12), implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.13) \quad S &\ll T \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 200k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{k^m 2^m}{m!} \\
 &\times \sum_{T^{\beta_{j-1}} < p_1, \dots, p_m \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{g(p_1 \cdots p_m)}{\sqrt{p_1 \cdots p_m}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log p_m) \\
 &\times \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p_1, \dots, p_M \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{g(p_1 \cdots p_M)}{\sqrt{p_1 \cdots p_M}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p_1) \cdots \cos(\gamma^- \log p_M) \\
 &+ O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3}) T^{0.3} (\log \log T)^{2k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since g is supported on squares, by an argument similar to that leading from (4.14) to (4.19), we find that the previous expression is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.14) \quad &\ll T \exp\left(k^2 \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p}\right) \times \frac{M!}{2^M (M/2)!} \left(\sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p}\right)^{M/2} \\
 &+ O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3}) T^{0.3} (\log \log T)^{2k}) \\
 &\ll T \exp\left(k^2 \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p}\right) \left(\frac{1}{20\beta_{j+1}} \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p}\right)^{[1/(10\beta_{j+1})]} \\
 &+ O((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3}) T^{0.3} (\log \log T)^{2k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the first exponential factor in (5.14) is derived by using the same argument from (4.14) to (4.19) while replacing \mathcal{J} by j ; the second parentheses follow from (4.15), (4.17), and (4.18) with $n = \frac{M}{2}$. In addition, the last estimate is due to the following application of Stirling’s approximation:

$$\frac{M!}{2^M (M/2)!} \ll \frac{(M/e)^M}{2^M (M/2e)^{M/2}} \ll \left(\frac{M}{2e}\right)^{M/2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{10e\beta_{j+1}}\right)^{M/2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{20\beta_{j+1}}\right)^{M/2}.$$

Hence, by (5.1), (5.3), (5.13), and (5.14), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.15) \quad &\int_{t \in \mathcal{S}(j)} \exp\left(2k\Re t \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \beta_j \frac{1}{\log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}}\right) dt \\
 &\ll_k (\mathcal{J} - j) T \exp\left(k^2 \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p}\right) \\
 &\times \left(\frac{\beta_{j+1}^{1/2}}{20} \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{\cos^2(\gamma^- \log p)}{p}\right)^{[1/(10\beta_{j+1})]} \\
 &+ (\mathcal{J} - j)((|\gamma^+| + T^{0.3}) T^{0.3}) (\log \log T)^{2k},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma^- = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$. Recall that $\mathcal{J} \leq \log \log T$, $\beta_0 = 0$, $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{(\log \log T)^2}$, and

$$\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_1}} \frac{1}{p} \leq \log \log T.$$

Observe that for $j = 0$, the left of (5.15) is $\text{meas}(\mathcal{S}(0))$. Therefore, by using the trivial bound $\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p) \leq 1$ and the assumption $|\gamma^+| = \frac{|\alpha_1 + \alpha_2|}{2} \ll T^{0.6}$, we derive $\text{meas}(\mathcal{S}(0)) \ll T e^{-(\log \log T)^2/10}$.

For $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J} - 1$, we have $\mathcal{J} - j \leq \frac{\log(1/\beta_j)}{\log 20}$ and

$$\sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} \leq \sum_{T^{\beta_j} < p \leq T^{\beta_{j+1}}} \frac{1}{p} = \log \beta_{j+1} - \log \beta_j + o(1) \leq 10.$$

Also, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} &\leq \sum_{p \leq T} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} \\ &= \sum_{p \leq T} \frac{1 + \cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above two bounds, (2.2), and the assumption $|\gamma^+| \ll T^{0.6}$, we see that the left of (5.15) is

$$\ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\log(1/\beta_{j+1})}{21\beta_{j+1}}\right),$$

as desired.

6 Proof of Lemma 3.3

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is similar to the proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. One key difference is that we need to invoke Lemma 2.2 in place of Lemma 2.1. In this section, we shall establish the estimate (3.9). As the proof of (3.10) is similar, the details shall be omitted. The integral in (3.9) shall be denoted $\int_{\mathcal{J}} \exp(\varphi(t)) dt$ where $\exp(\varphi(t))$ is the integrand in (3.9). First, we decompose this integrand in terms of integer parameters m satisfying $0 \leq m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$. For each such m , we define

$$P_m(t) = \sum_{2^m < p \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}},$$

and the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(m) &:= \left\{ t \in [T, 2T] \mid |\Re P_m(t)| > 2^{-m/10}, \right. \\ (6.1) \quad &\left. \text{but } |\Re P_n(t)| \leq 2^{-n/10} \text{ for every } m+1 \leq n \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}} = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}} P_m(t) + O(1),$$

where the error term follows from Mertens' estimate (Lemma 2.3 with $a = 0$) as

$$\sum_{\log T < p \leq 2 \log T} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log(2 \log T) - \log \log \log T + O(1) = \log \frac{\log(2 \log T)}{\log \log T} + O(1),$$

which is $\ll 1$. We now have the decomposition

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}} \exp(\varphi(t)) dt = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}} \int_{\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp(\varphi(t)) dt + \int_{\mathcal{T} \cap (\cap_m \mathcal{P}(m)^c)} \exp(\varphi(t)) dt.$$

In order to establish (3.9), we shall bound each of the integrals on the right side of (6.3).

If t does not belong to any $\mathcal{P}(m)$, then $|\Re P_n(t)| \leq 2^{-n/10}$ for all $n \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$. (Indeed, for those t belonging to none of $\mathcal{P}(m)$, $0 \leq m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$, if $|\Re P_m(t)| > 2^{-m/10}$ for some $0 \leq m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$, then $|\Re P_L(t)| > 2^{-L/10}$ for some $m+1 \leq L \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$ as $t \notin \mathcal{P}(m)$. Choosing L to be maximal, we then have $|\Re P_n(t)| \leq 2^{-n/10}$ for every $L+1 \leq n \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$, which means $t \in \mathcal{P}(L)$, a contradiction.) For such an instance, $\Re \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}} = O(1)$. Hence, the contribution of such t to the integral $\int_{\mathcal{T}}$ can be bounded by using Lemma 3.1. That is,

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{T} \cap (\cap_m \mathcal{P}(m)^c)} \exp(\varphi(t)) dt \ll \int_{\mathcal{T} \cap (\cap_m \mathcal{P}(m)^c)} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \right) dt \ll_k T(\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} (\mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2))^{\frac{k^2}{2}}.$$

It remains to estimate the contribution from $t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)$, with $0 \leq m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$, to $\int_{\mathcal{T}}$ (more precisely, the first integral on the right of (6.3)). To do so, we first consider the case that $0 \leq m \leq \frac{2 \log \log \log T}{\log 2}$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Re \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}} |\Re P_n(t)| + O(1) \\ & \leq \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m} |\Re P_n(t)| + \sum_{m+1 \leq n \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}} |\Re P_n(t)| + O(1) \\ & \leq \sum_{p \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{2p} + \sum_{m+1 \leq n \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}} \frac{1}{2^{n/10}} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the first $O(1)$ is due to (6.2), and the last inequality makes use of the definition of $\mathcal{P}(m)$ in (6.1). Therefore, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Re \left(\sum_{p \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{p \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} + \sum_{p \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{2p} + \sum_{m+1 \leq n \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}} \frac{1}{2^{n/10}} + O(1) \\ & \ll 2^{m/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp \left(2k \Re \left(\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2))}} \right) \right) dt \\ & \leq e^{O(k_2 m^2)} \int_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{2^{m+1} < p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \right) dt \\ & \leq e^{O(k_2 m^2)} \int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \exp \left(2k \Re \sum_{2^{m+1} < p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \right) \\ & \quad \times (2^{m/10} \Re P_m(t))^{2[2^{3m/4}]} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

(Here, we used the identity $|\Re P_m(t)|^{2[2^{3m/4}]} = (\Re P_m(t))^{2[2^{3m/4}]}$ as $2[2^{3m/4}]$ is an even integer.) Let $N = 2[2^{3m/4}]$. To proceed further, we require the following variant of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 6.1 Assume $0 \leq m \leq \frac{2 \log \log \log T}{\log 2}$. If $t \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \exp(k \Re \tilde{F}_i(t))^2 \ll \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}} \frac{(k \Re \tilde{F}_i(t))^n}{n!} \right)^2,$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_i(t) = \sum_{T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p \leq T^{\beta_i}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(p).$$

Proof We first claim that if $T^{\beta_{r-1}} < 2^{m+1} \leq T^{\beta_r}$ for some $1 \leq r \leq J$, then $r = 1$. Indeed, we would otherwise have $2^{m+1} > T^{\beta_1} = T^{\frac{1}{(\log \log T)^2}}$, which contradicts the assumption $0 \leq m \leq \frac{2 \log \log \log T}{\log 2}$. Consequently, when $i \geq 2$, we know $|\Re \tilde{F}_i(t)| = |\Re F_i(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4}$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}$. On the other hand, for $i = 1$, we can write

$$\tilde{F}_1(t) = \sum_{1 < p \leq T^{\beta_1}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= F_1(t) - \sum_{1 < p \leq T^{\beta_1}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} \log T + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(1, 2^{m+1})}(p) \\
 &= F_1(t) + O(2^{m/2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $2^{m/2} \leq \log \log T$ as $0 \leq m \leq \frac{2 \log \log \log T}{\log 2}$, and recall $|\Re F_1(t)| \leq \beta_1^{-3/4} = (\log \log T)^{3/2}$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}$. It then follows that $|\Re \tilde{F}_1(t)| \leq 1.01 \beta_1^{-3/4}$ (for all T sufficiently large). Therefore, we can establish the desired upper bound by a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 4.1 while using $|\Re \tilde{F}_i(t)| \leq 1.01 \beta_i^{-3/4}$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}$ (in the place of $|\Re F_i(t)| \leq \beta_i^{-3/4}$). ■

Writing

$$\Re P_m(t) = \sum_{2^m < q \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{\cos((2t + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)) \log q) \cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log q)}{2q},$$

by Lemma 6.1, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \\
 &:= \int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \exp\left(2k \Re \sum_{2^{m+1} < p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} \log T + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}}\right) (\Re P_m(t))^N dt \\
 &= \sum_{j, \tilde{\ell}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \frac{k^{j_i}}{j_i!} \frac{k^{\ell_i}}{\ell_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq j_i} \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(p(i, r)) \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(q(i, s)) \sum_{\tilde{q}} C_4(\tilde{q}) \\
 &\quad \times \int_T^{2T} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq j_i} \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log p(i, r)) \cos((t + \gamma^+) \log q(i, s)) \\
 &\quad \quad \times \prod_{v=1}^N \cos(2(t + \gamma^+) \log q_v) dt \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq j_i} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \prod_{v=1}^N \cos(\gamma^- \log q_v),
 \end{aligned}$$

(6.6)

where $\tilde{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{\mathcal{J}})$, $\tilde{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mathcal{J}})$, with $0 \leq j_i, \ell_i \leq 100k\beta_i^{-3/4}$, and \tilde{p} , \tilde{q} , and \tilde{q} are tuples:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{p} &= (p(1, 1), \dots, p(1, j_1), p(2, 1), \dots, p(2, j_2), \dots, p(\mathcal{J}, j_{\mathcal{J}})), \\
 \tilde{q} &= (q(1, 1), \dots, q(1, \ell_1), q(2, 1), \dots, q(2, \ell_2), \dots, q(\mathcal{J}, \ell_{\mathcal{J}})), \\
 \tilde{q} &= (q_1, \dots, q_M)
 \end{aligned}$$

whose components are primes which satisfy

$$T^{\beta_{i-1}} < p(i, 1), \dots, p(i, j_i), q(i, 1), \dots, q(i, \ell_i) \leq T^{\beta_i} \text{ and } 2^m < q_v \leq 2^{m+1}.$$

Here, $C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ is defined as in (4.8), and

$$C_4(\tilde{q}) = \prod_{v=1}^N \frac{1}{2q_v}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we see that the last integral in (6.6) is

$$(T + \gamma^+) g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq J \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \times \prod_{v=1}^N q_v \right) + O(|\gamma^+|) + O(T^{0.1} 2^{6(\log \log T)(\log T)^{3/4}}),$$

where the last big-O term is due to the bounds (4.9) and

$$q_1^2 \dots q_N^2 \leq 2^{2(m+1)N} \leq 2^{6(\log \log T)(\log T)^{3/4}}$$

by $2^m < q_1, \dots, q_N \leq 2^{m+1}$ and $2^m \leq \log T$. Thus, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{I}} &= \sum_{\tilde{j}, \tilde{\ell}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq J} \frac{k^{j_i}}{j_i!} \frac{k^{\ell_i}}{\ell_i!} \sum_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}} C(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq J \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(p(i, r)) \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(q(i, s)) \times \sum_{\tilde{q}} C_4(\tilde{q}) \\ &\times \left((T + \gamma^+) g \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq J \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} p(i, r) q(i, s) \right) g \left(\prod_{v=1}^N q_v \right) \right. \\ (6.7) \quad &\left. + O(|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1} 2^{6(\log \log T)(\log T)^{3/4}}) \right) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq J \\ 1 \leq r \leq j_i \\ 1 \leq s \leq \ell_i}} \cos(\gamma^- \log p(i, r)) \cos(\gamma^- \log q(i, s)) \prod_{v=1}^N \cos(\gamma^- \log q_v). \end{aligned}$$

(Here, we used the fact that none of $p(i, r)$ and $q(i, s)$, appearing in g , equals q_v for any v . It is because of the factor $\mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(p(i, r)) \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(q(i, s))$, which forces $p(i, r), q(i, s) > 2^{m+1} \geq q_v$.) From an argument similar to the one below (4.11), it follows that the contribution of the big-O term on the right of (6.7) to $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ is

$$\ll (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1} 2^{6(\log \log T)(\log T)^{3/4}}) \sum_{2^m < q_1, \dots, q_N \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{q_1 \dots q_N} T^{0.1} (\log \log T)^{2k},$$

which is $\ll (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1+o(1)}) T^{0.1} (\log \log T)^{2k}$ as the sum above is equal to

$$\left(\sum_{2^m < q \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{q} \right)^N \leq \left((2^{m+1} - 2^m) \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^N = 1.$$

Now, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 (leading from (4.12) to (4.19)), we can bound \mathcal{I} by

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll T \exp\left(k^2 \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p} \mathbb{1}_{(2^{m+1}, T^{\beta_j}]}(p)\right) \\ &\quad \times 2^{mN/10} \sum_{2^m < q_1, \dots, q_N \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{g(q_1 \cdots q_N)}{q_1 \cdots q_N} + (|\gamma^+| + T^{0.1+o(1)}) T^{0.1} (\log \log T)^{2k} \\ &\ll T \exp\left(k^2 \sum_{2^{m+1} < p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p}\right) \times \frac{2^{mN/10} N!}{2^N (N/2)!} \left(\sum_{2^m < q \leq 2^{m+1}} \frac{1}{q^2}\right)^{N/2} \\ &\quad + T^{0.7} (\log \log T)^{2k} \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

as $|\gamma^+| = \left|\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}\right| \ll T^{0.6}$. Hence, by (6.6) and (6.8), combined with (2.2), the left of (6.5) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll e^{O(k2^{m/2})} (2^{m/5} \cdot 2^{3m/4} \cdot 2^{-m})^{[2^{3m/4}]} T (\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \\ (6.9) \quad &\ll e^{O(k2^{m/2}) - 2^{3m/4}} T (\log T)^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \mathcal{F}(T, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we evaluate the contribution from $t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)$ with $\frac{2 \log \log \log T}{\log 2} < m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$. We shall consider

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} 1 dt \leq \int_{t \in \mathcal{T}} (2^{m/10} \Re \epsilon P_m(t))^{2[2^{3m/4}]} dt.$$

Following the previous argument in (6.5) with the exponential factor replaced by 1, one can show that $\text{meas}(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)) \ll Te^{-2^{3m/4}}$. So, for $2^m \geq (\log \log T)^2$, we see $\text{meas}(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)) \ll Te^{-(\log \log T)^{3/2}}$. In addition, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp\left(2k \Re \epsilon \left(\sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. + \sum_{p \leq \log T} \frac{\cos((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{2p^{1+i(2t+(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}}\right)\right) dt \\ &\ll e^{k \log \log \log T} \int_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp\left(2k \Re \epsilon \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}}\right) dt \\ &\ll (\log \log T)^k \left(\int_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp\left(4k \Re \epsilon \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j \log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}}\right) dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times (\text{meas}(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

As Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\int_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp \left(4k \Re e \sum_{p \leq T^{\beta_j}} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \log p)}{p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} \frac{1}{\log T} + i(t + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))}} \frac{\log(T^{\beta_j}/p)}{\log T^{\beta_j}} \right) dt \ll T(\log T)^{4k^2},$$

we see that (6.10) is bounded by

$$(6.11) \quad \ll_k T e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\log \log T)^{3/2}}.$$

Finally, we conclude the proof by combining (6.3) with the bounds (6.9) ($0 \leq m \leq \frac{2 \log \log \log T}{\log 2}$) and (6.11) ($\frac{2 \log \log \log T}{\log 2} < m \leq \frac{\log \log T}{\log 2}$) for $\int_{\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{P}(m)} \exp(\varphi(t)) dt$ and the bound (6.4).

Acknowledgment The authors thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] V. Chandee, *On the correlation of shifted values of the Riemann zeta function*. Q. J. Math. 62(2011), no. 3, 545–572.
- [2] M. J. Curran, *Correlations of the Riemann zeta function*. Preprint, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.10123>
- [3] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan, *Multiplicative number theory: the pretentious approach*. Preprint. <https://dms.umontreal.ca/andrew/PDF/Book.To2.5.pdf>
- [4] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta function and the theory of the distribution of primes*. Acta. Math. 41(1918), 119–196.
- [5] A. Harper, *Sharp conditional bounds for moments of the Riemann zeta function*. Preprint, 2013. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.4618>
- [6] W. Heap and K. Soundararajan, *Lower bounds for moments of zeta and L-functions revisited*. Mathematika 68(2022), 1–14.
- [7] A. E. Ingham, *Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta function*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 27(1926), 273–300.
- [8] A. Ivić, *The Riemann zeta-function. Theory and applications*, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2003, Reprint of the 1985 original [Wiley, New York].
- [9] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith, *Random matrix theory and $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$* . Commun. Math. Phys. 214(2000), 57–89.
- [10] S. Kirila, *An upper bound for discrete moments of the derivative of the Riemann zeta-function*. Mathematika 66(2020), 475–497.
- [11] H. Kösters, *On the occurrence of the sine kernel in connection with the shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function*. J. Number Theory 130(2010), 2596–2609.
- [12] Y. Motohashi, *Spectral theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 127, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, x + 228 pp.
- [13] M. Munsch, *Shifted moments of L-functions and moments of theta functions*. Mathematika 63(2017), 196–212.
- [14] N. Ng, *The sixth moment of the Riemann zeta function and ternary additive divisor sums*. Discrete Analysis (2021), Article no. 6, 60 pp.
- [15] N. Ng, Q. Shen, and P.-J. Wong, *The eighth moment of the Riemann zeta function*. Preprint, 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.13891>
- [16] M. Radziwiłł, *Large deviations in Selberg’s central limit theorem*. Preprint, 2011. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5092>
- [17] M. Radziwiłł and K. Soundararajan, *Continuous lower bounds for moments of zeta and L-functions*. Mathematika 59(2013), 119–128.
- [18] K. Ramachandra, *On the mean-value and omega-theorems for the Riemann zeta-function*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, 85, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

- [19] Z. Rudnick and K. Soundararajan, *Lower bounds for moments of L-functions*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **102**(2005), 6837–6838.
- [20] Q. Shen, *The fourth moment of quadratic Dirichlet L-functions*. Math. Z. **298**(2021), nos. 1–2, 713–745.
- [21] K. Soundararajan, *Moments of the Riemann zeta function*. Ann. Math. **170**(2009), no. 2, 981–993.
- [22] K. Soundararajan and M. P. Young, *The second moment of quadratic twists of modular L-functions*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **12**(2010), no. 5, 1097–1116.
- [23] B. Szabó, *High moments of theta functions and character sums*. Preprint, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14561>
- [24] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta function*. 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB, Canada
e-mail: nathan.ng@uleth.ca

SDU-ANU Joint Science College, Shandong University, Weihai, China
e-mail: qlshen@outlook.com

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung City, Taiwan
e-mail: pjwong@math.nsysu.edu.tw