



# A logarithmic lower bound for the second Bohr radius

Nilanjan Das

*Abstract.* The purpose of this note is to obtain an improved lower bound for the multidimensional Bohr radius introduced by L. Aizenberg (2000, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 128, 1147–1155), by means of a rather simple argument.

Bohr's theorem [4] states that for each bounded holomorphic self-mapping  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  of the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^k \leq 1,$$

and this quantity  $1/3$  is the best possible. In an attempt to generalize this result in higher dimensions, the *first Bohr radius*  $K(R)$  for a bounded complete Reinhardt domain  $R \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  was defined in [3] by Boas and Khavinson. Namely,  $K(R)$  is the supremum of all  $r \in [0, 1]$  such that for each holomorphic function  $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$  on  $R$  with  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in R$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}| \leq 1$$

for all  $z \in rR$ . Let us clarify here that a complete Reinhardt domain  $R$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a domain such that if  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in R$ , then  $(\lambda_1 z_1, \lambda_2 z_2, \dots, \lambda_n z_n) \in R$  for all  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Of particular interest to us are the Reinhardt domains

$$B_{\ell_p}^n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\|_p < 1\},$$

where  $\ell_p^n$  is the Banach space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  equipped with the  $p$ -norm  $\|z\|_p := (\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^p)^{1/p}$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , while  $\|z\|_{\infty} := \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |z_k|$ . Also, we use the standard multi-index notation:  $\alpha$  denotes an  $n$ -tuple  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  of nonnegative integers,  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ , and for  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $z^{\alpha}$  is the product  $z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Indeed,  $K(\mathbb{D}) = 1/3$ , and it is known from [3, Theorem 3] that  $K(R) \geq K(B_{\ell_{\infty}}^n)$  for any complete Reinhardt domain  $R$ . Through the substantial progress made in a series of papers from 1997 to 2011, it was finally concluded by Defant and Frerick in [5] that

---

Received by the editors June 16, 2023; revised June 20, 2023; accepted June 21, 2023.

Published online on Cambridge Core June 27, 2023.

The author of this article is supported by a Research Associateship provided by the Stat-Math Unit of ISI Kolkata.

AMS subject classification: 32A05, 32A10.

Keywords: Bohr's theorem, holomorphic functions, Minkowski spaces.



there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for each  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{\min\{p, 2\}}} \leq K(B_{\ell_p^n}) \leq c \left( \frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{\min\{p, 2\}}}$$

for all  $n > 1$ .

On the other hand, Aizenberg [1] introduced a *second Bohr radius*  $B(R)$  for a bounded complete Reinhardt domain  $R \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , which is the largest  $r \in [0, 1]$  such that for each holomorphic function  $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$  on  $R$  satisfying  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in R$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha} \sup_{z \in rR} |a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}| \leq 1.$$

Clearly,  $B(\mathbb{D}) = 1/3$  and  $B(B_{\ell_{\infty}^n}) = K(B_{\ell_{\infty}^n})$ . It was also shown in [1] that  $B(R) \geq 1 - (2/3)^{1/n} > 1/(3n)$  for any bounded complete Reinhardt domain  $R \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), and that

$$(0.2) \quad B(B_{\ell_1^n}) < \frac{0.446663}{n}.$$

Further advances were made by Boas in [2], showing that for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,

$$(0.3) \quad \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\max\{p, 2\}}} \leq B(B_{\ell_p^n}) < 4 \left( \frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\max\{p, 2\}}} \quad (n > 1).$$

To the best of our knowledge, except for the subsequent article [6], the problem of estimating  $B(B_{\ell_p^n})$  has not been considered ever since. This is probably because no specific application of this second Bohr radius seems to be known. However, we believe that this is a problem of independent interest. Our aim is to point out that the results of [2, 5] readily yield a much refined lower bound for  $B(B_{\ell_p^n})$ . This bound shows that analogous to  $K(B_{\ell_p^n})$ ,  $B(B_{\ell_p^n})$  must also contain a  $\log n$  term. It may also be noted that for a variety of bounded complete Reinhardt domains  $R \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , parts of our arguments could be adopted to derive results for  $B(R)$  from previously known results for  $K(R)$ .

To facilitate our discussion, let us now denote by  $\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathcal{P}^m(\ell_p^n))$  the unconditional basis constant associated with the basis consisting of the monomials  $z^{\alpha}$ , for the space  $\mathcal{P}^m(\ell_p^n)$  of  $m$ -homogeneous complex-valued polynomials  $P$  on  $\ell_p^n$ . This space is equipped with the norm  $\|P\| = \sup_{\|z\|_p \leq 1} |P(z)|$ . We mention here that a Schauder basis  $(x_n)$  of a Banach space  $X$  is said to be unconditional if there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^t \varepsilon_k \alpha_k x_k \right\| \leq c \left\| \sum_{k=1}^t \alpha_k x_k \right\|$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $\varepsilon_k, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\varepsilon_k| \leq 1, 1 \leq k \leq t$ . The best constant  $c$  is called the unconditional basis constant of  $(x_n)$ . Now, it is known from [6, p. 56] (see also Lemma 2.1 of [6]) that

$$(0.4) \quad \chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_p^n)) = \frac{1}{(K_m(B_{\ell_p^n}))^m},$$

where  $K_m(B_{\ell_p^n})$  is the supremum of all  $r \in [0, 1]$  such that for each  $m$ -homogeneous complex-valued polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha z^\alpha$  with  $|P(z)| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in B_{\ell_p^n}$ , we have  $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha z^\alpha| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in rB_{\ell_p^n}$ . Clearly,  $K_m(B_{\ell_p^n}) \geq K(B_{\ell_p^n})$ . These facts are instrumental in proving Theorem 0.1.

**Theorem 0.1** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for each  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,*

$$B(B_{\ell_p^n}) \geq C \frac{(\log n)^{1 - \frac{1}{\min\{p, 2\}}}}{n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\max\{p, 2\}}}}$$

for all  $n > 1$ .

**Proof** It is observed in [2, p. 335] that

$$B(B_{\ell_p^n}) \geq \frac{B(B_{\ell_\infty^n})}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Since  $B(B_{\ell_\infty^n}) = K(B_{\ell_\infty^n}) \geq C(\sqrt{\log n}/\sqrt{n})$  for some constant  $C > 0$  (see (0.1)), the proof for the case  $p \in [2, \infty]$  follows immediately from the above inequality.

For the case  $p \in [1, 2)$ , a little more work is needed. Given any holomorphic function  $f(z) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha z^\alpha$  on  $B_{\ell_p^n}$  with  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in B_{\ell_p^n}$ , it is evident that for any fixed  $z \in B_{\ell_p^n}$ ,  $h(u) := f(uz) = a_0 + \sum_{m=1}^\infty (\sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha z^\alpha) u^m : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  is a holomorphic function of  $u \in \mathbb{D}$ . The well-known Wiener’s inequality asserts that

$$\sup_{\|z\|_p \leq 1} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha z^\alpha \right| \leq 1 - |a_0|^2$$

for all  $m \geq 1$ . The definition of  $\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_p^n))$  guarantees that for the choices of  $\varepsilon_\alpha$  such that  $\varepsilon_\alpha a_\alpha = |a_\alpha|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha| \right) \frac{1}{n^{\frac{m}{p}}} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha| \left( \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^\alpha \leq \sup_{\|z\|_p \leq 1} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \varepsilon_\alpha a_\alpha z^\alpha \right| \\ &\leq \chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_p^n)) \sup_{\|z\|_p \leq 1} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha z^\alpha \right| \\ &\leq (1 - |a_0|^2) \frac{1}{(K_m(B_{\ell_p^n}))^m} \end{aligned}$$

(see (0.4)). That is to say,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha| \leq (1 - |a_0|^2) \frac{n^{\frac{m}{p}}}{(K_m(B_{\ell_p^n}))^m} \leq (1 - |a_0|^2) \frac{n^{\frac{m}{p}}}{(K(B_{\ell_p^n}))^m}.$$

A little computation reveals that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} \sup_{z \in rB_{\ell_p^n}} |a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}| &= |a_0| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r^m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_{\alpha}| \left(\frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{m^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq |a_0| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r^m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_{\alpha}| \\ &\leq |a_0| + (1 - |a_0|^2) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{rn^{\frac{1}{p}}}{K(B_{\ell_p^n})}\right)^m. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from the above inequality that  $\sum_{\alpha} \sup_{z \in rB_{\ell_p^n}} |a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}| \leq 1$  whenever

$$r \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{K(B_{\ell_p^n})}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right),$$

i.e.,  $B(B_{\ell_p^n}) \geq K(B_{\ell_p^n})/(3n^{1/p})$ . In view of the inequalities (0.1), this completes the proof. ■

**Remark 0.2** It should be mentioned that the logarithmic term in the known upper bound for  $B(B_{\ell_p^n})$  in (0.3) differs from the logarithmic term in the lower bound for  $B(B_{\ell_p^n})$  obtained in Theorem 0.1. Hence, it remains unknown whether this lower bound is asymptotically optimal. Let us also note that for  $p = 1$ , (0.3) asserts that  $B(B_{\ell_1^n})$  is bounded above by  $(4 \log n)/n$ , but from (0.2) it is clear that the  $4 \log n$  term can be replaced by a constant less than 1. Therefore, it seems that there is room for improvement on the upper bound of  $B(B_{\ell_p^n})$  in (0.3) as well, at least for a certain range of  $p$ .

**Acknowledgments** The author thanks the reviewer for many constructive comments and suggestions.

### References

- [1] L. Aizenberg, *Multidimensional analogues of Bohr’s theorem on power series*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128(2000), no. 4, 1147–1155.
- [2] H. P. Boas, *Majorant series. Several complex variables (Seoul, 1998)*. J. Korean Math. Soc. 37(2000), no. 2, 321–337.
- [3] H. P. Boas and D. Khavinson, *Bohr’s power series theorem in several variables*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1997), no. 10, 2975–2979.
- [4] H. Bohr, *A theorem concerning power series*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 13(1914), no. 2, 1–5.
- [5] A. Defant and L. Frerick, *The Bohr radius of the unit ball of  $\ell_p^n$* . J. Reine Angew. Math. 660(2011), 131–147.
- [6] A. Defant, D. García, and M. Maestre, *Estimates for the first and second Bohr radii of Reinhardt domains*. J. Approx. Theory 128(2004), no. 1, 53–68.

*Theoretical Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata, Kolkata 700108, India*  
 e-mail: [nilanjand7@gmail.com](mailto:nilanjand7@gmail.com)