

NOTE ON WEIGHT SPACES OF IRREDUCIBLE
LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS

F. W. Lemire

(received March 26, 1968)

Let L denote a finite dimensional, simple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero. It is well known that every weight space of an irreducible representation (ρ, V) admitting a highest weight function is finite dimensional. In a previous paper [2], we have established the existence of a wide class of irreducible representations which admit a one-dimensional weight space but no highest weight function. In this paper we show that the weight spaces of all such representations are finite dimensional. More precisely, we prove:

THEOREM 1. If (ρ, V) is an irreducible representation of L which admits a finite dimensional weight space, then every weight space of (ρ, V) is finite dimensional.

Let U denote the universal enveloping algebra of L ; then each representation (irreducible representation) (ρ, V) of L can be uniquely extended to a representation (resp. irreducible representation) of U which we shall again denote by (ρ, V) . A map χ from the centre Z of U to the field of scalars F is called a character [1] of (ρ, V) if

$$\rho(z)v = \chi(z)v \quad (\forall z \in Z) (\forall v \in V).$$

Using Theorem 1 we prove:

THEOREM 2. If (ρ, V) is an irreducible representation of U which admits a finite dimensional weight space, then (ρ, V) admits a character.

1. Weight spaces. Let $\{Y_\beta, H_\alpha, X_\beta \mid \alpha \in \Delta, \beta \in \Gamma^+\}$ denote the Cartan basis of L where Δ and Γ^+ denote the simple and positive roots of L with respect to a fixed Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{H} of L . Then by the Poincaré - Birkhoff - Witt Theorem, U admits a basis \mathcal{B} consisting of all elements of the form:

$$(1) \quad \prod_{\beta \in \Gamma^+} Y_{\beta}^{m(\beta)} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} H_{\alpha}^{k(\alpha)} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in \Gamma^+} X_{\beta}^{n(\beta)}$$

where the exponents $m(\beta)$, $k(\alpha)$ and $n(\beta)$ are non-negative integers and the products \prod each preserve a fixed order over their respective index sets. Let U_{ξ} denote the linear subspace of U generated by the set of all elements of \mathcal{B} for which

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma^+} (m(\beta) - n(\beta)) \beta = \xi.$$

Clearly the underlying space of U is equal to the direct sum of all subspaces U_{ξ} where ξ ranges over all linear integral combinations of the simple roots of L .

For any element $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*$, the dual space of the Cartan subalgebra, we define

$$V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V \mid \rho(H)v = \lambda(H)v \ (\forall H \in \mathcal{H})\}$$

The linear functional λ is called a weight function and V_{λ} is called the corresponding weight space of (ρ, V) if and only if $V_{\lambda} \neq \{0\}$. The following lemma connects the weight spaces V_{λ} of (ρ, V) and the subspaces U_{ξ} of U .

LEMMA 1. If (ρ, V) is an irreducible representation of U , V_{λ} is a weight space of (ρ, V) , and v_0 is a non-zero element of V_{λ} then for any weight space V_{γ} of (ρ, V) we have

$$\rho(U_{\gamma - \lambda})v_0 = V_{\gamma}.$$

Proof. Using the properties of the Cartan basis of L for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and each $u \in U_{\xi}$ we have $[H, u] = \xi(H)u$. From this observation it follows that $\rho(U_{\xi})v_0 \subseteq V_{\lambda + \xi}$. On the other hand since (ρ, V) is irreducible we have

$$V = \sum_{\xi} \rho(U_{\xi})v_0 \subseteq \sum_{\xi} V_{\lambda + \xi} = V.$$

Therefore, for each ξ , $\rho(U_{\xi})v_0 = V_{\lambda + \xi}$.

Now let $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m\}$ be a fixed order on the Cartan basis of L . Then we may associate with each $Y \in \mathcal{B}$ an ordered m -tuple $(Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(m)})$ where $Y_{(i)}$ is the exponent of E_i in Y . We define a partial order on \mathcal{B} setting $X \leq Y$ if and only if $X_{(i)} \leq Y_{(i)}$ for

$i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Moreover we set $X < Y$ if and only if $X \leq Y$ and $X \neq Y$. For each linear integral combination ξ of simple roots of L we define an ξ -minimal element to be an element $Y \in U_\xi \cap \mathcal{B}$ such that for each element $Y' \in U_\xi \cap \mathcal{B}$ with $1 \neq Y' \leq Y$ we have $Y' = Y$.

LEMMA 2. There exists only a finite number of ξ -minimal elements for each linear integral combination ξ of simple roots of L .

Proof. (This proof was communicated to me by I. Bouwer and represents a considerable simplification of my original proof.)

It clearly suffices to show that there exists a constant K such that for each ξ -minimal element Y , $Y_{(i)} \leq K$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Select any ξ -minimal element X and define

$$K_1 = \max \{X_{(i)} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

It follows from the definition of ξ -minimality that each ξ -minimal element Y has at least one component less than or equal to K_1 .

Inductively assume that we have already defined an integer K_r such that each ξ -minimal element Y has at least r components less than or equal to K_r . We now define K_{r+1} as follows:

Let $I^{(r)} = \{(n_1, \dots, n_r) \mid n_i \text{ integer with } 1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r \leq m\}$ and $J^{(r)} = \{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) \mid m_i \text{ integer with } 0 < m_i \leq K_r \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$. For each pair $(\underline{n}, \underline{m}) \in I^{(r)} \times J^{(r)}$ define

$$P(\underline{n}, \underline{m}) = \{Y \mid Y \text{ is } \xi\text{-minimal and } Y_{(n_i)} = m_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

If $P(\underline{n}, \underline{m}) = \emptyset$ ignore it. If, however, $P(\underline{n}, \underline{m}) \neq \emptyset$ choose $X \in P(\underline{n}, \underline{m})$ and define $K(\underline{n}, \underline{m}) = \max \{X_{(i)} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Since the set

$I^{(r)} \times J^{(r)}$ is finite we define $K_{r+1} = \max [\{K(\underline{n}, \underline{m}) \mid (\underline{n}, \underline{m}) \in I^{(r)} \times J^{(r)}\} \cup \{K_r\}]$.

We now claim that for each ξ -minimal element Y there are at least $r + 1$ components of Y which are less than or equal to K_{r+1} .

Assume to the contrary that Y is ξ -minimal and has exactly r components say (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) such that $Y_{(n_i)} \leq K_{r+1}$. By definition of K_r we may assume that $Y_{(n_i)} \leq K_r \leq K_{r+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then by definition of K_{r+1} there exists an ξ -minimal

element Y' such that $Y'_{(n_i)} = Y_{(n_i)}$ for $n_i \in \{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$ and $Y'_{(i)} \leq K_{r+1}$ for all other components. This contradiction proves our claim.

By continuing this construction to the m^{th} step we obtain the required bound on the components of ξ -minimal elements.

A straightforward proof using induction on the number of factors shows that $U_{\xi_1} U_{\xi_2} \subseteq U_{\xi_1 + \xi_2}$. In particular $U_0 U_0 \subseteq U_0$ --- i.e. U_0 is a subalgebra of U which is called the cycle subalgebra of U . With this in mind we have the following immediate corollary of Lemma 2.

COROLLARY. Each subspace U_{ξ} of U is a finitely generated U_0 -module. In fact the ξ -minimal elements form a generating set of U_{ξ} qua U_0 -module.

Using the above lemmas we can now prove the first theorem.

THEOREM 1. If (ρ, V) is an irreducible representation of L admitting a finite dimensional weight space, then every weight space of (ρ, V) is finite dimensional.

Proof. Let V_{λ} denote a finite dimensional weight space of (ρ, V) . Then by Lemma 1, if v_0 is a non-zero element of V_{λ} we have $\rho(U_0)v_0 = V_{\lambda}$. Since V_{λ} is finite dimensional there exists elements $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in U_0$ such that $\{\rho(e_i)v_0 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ forms a basis for V_{λ} .

If V_{γ} denotes a second weight space of (ρ, V) then by Lemma 1 we have

$$V_{\gamma} = \rho(U_{\gamma-\lambda})v_0.$$

Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ denote the set of $(\gamma-\lambda)$ -minimal elements. Then applying Lemmas 1 and 2 it is clear that $\rho(U_{\gamma-\lambda})v_0$ and hence V_{γ} is generated by

$$\{\rho(u_i e_j)v_0 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

as a vector space over F . Therefore V_{γ} is a finite dimensional vector space over F .

2. Characters. As an application of the results of §1 we have

THEOREM 2. Every irreducible representation (ρ, V) of L ; which admits a finite dimensional weight space admits a character.

Proof. Let (ρ, V) denote an irreducible representation of L then each weight space V_λ of (ρ, V) induces an irreducible representation $(\rho_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ of U_0 where $\rho_\lambda(c)v = \rho(c)v$ ($\forall c \in U_0$) ($\forall v \in V_\lambda$). Applying Theorem 1 every weight space of (ρ, V) is finite dimensional. Thus we may apply Schur's Lemma to observe that for each element x of the centre of U_0 , $\rho_\lambda(x)$ is a scalar multiple of the identity map on the weight space V_λ .

If Z denotes the centre of U , then it is clear that Z is a subset of the centre of U_0 . Thus for each weight function λ of (ρ, V) we define a map $\chi_\lambda : Z \rightarrow F$ by the condition that

$$\rho_\lambda(z) = \chi_\lambda(z) 1_{V_\lambda}.$$

Since (ρ, V) is an irreducible representation, V is equal to the direct sum of its weight spaces. To complete the proof we need only show that for any two weight functions λ and γ of (ρ, V) we have $\chi_\lambda(z) = \chi_\gamma(z)$ for all $z \in Z$.

Select two non-zero vectors $v \in V_\lambda$ and $w \in V_\gamma$.

Since (ρ, V) is irreducible, there exists an element $u \in U$ such that $\rho(u)v = w$. Then for any $z \in Z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\gamma(z)w &= \rho(z)w = \rho(z)\rho(u)v = \rho(u)\rho(z)v \\ &= \chi_\lambda(z)\rho(u)v = \chi_\lambda(z)w. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. Harish Chandra, Some applications of the universal enveloping algebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1951) 28-99.
2. F. W. Lemire, Irreducible representations of a simple Lie algebra admitting a one dimensional weight space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
3. Jean-Pierre Serre, Algèbres de Lie semi-simples complexes. Benjamin, New York, 1966.

University of British Columbia