

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Deloopings of Hurwitz spaces

Andrea Bianchi

Compositio Math. **160** (2024), 1651–1714.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X2400719X](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X2400719X)

Andrea Bianchi

ABSTRACT

For a partially multiplicative quandle (PMQ) Q we consider the topological monoid $HM(Q)$ of Hurwitz spaces of configurations in the plane with local monodromies in Q. We compute the group completion of $HM(Q)$: it is the product of the (discrete) enveloping group $\mathcal{G}(Q)$ with a component of the double loop space of the relative Hurwitz space $Hur_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1$; here G is any group giving rise, together with Q , to a PMQ–group pair. Under the additional assumption that Q is finite and rationally Poincaré and that G is finite, we compute the rational cohomology ring of $Hur_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1.$

Contents

Received 22 December 2021, accepted in final form 8 January 2024.

[2020 Mathematics Subject Classification](http://www.ams.org/msc/) 55N45, 55P35, 55P62, 55R80, 57T25 (primary).

Keywords: quandle, Hurwitz space, loop space, group completion, rational cohomology.

© 2024 The Author(s). The publishing rights in this article are licensed to Foundation Compositio Mathematica under an exclusive licence.

1. Introduction

In [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Section 2] we introduced the algebraic notion of partially multiplicative quandle (PMQ) and the related notion of PMQ–group pair: roughly speaking, a PMQ is a set endowed with two binary operations, called *conjugation* and *product* (the second being partially defined), subject to axioms capturing the usual interrelations between conjugation and product in a group; and a PMQ–group pair is a pair of a PMQ $\mathcal Q$ and a group G, together with a map of PMQs $\mathcal Q \to G$ and an action of G on Q , satisfying axioms resembling the case in which Q is a conjugation-invariant subset of G. In [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Section 3] we defined a *Hurwitz–Ran space* Hur($\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}; \mathcal{Q}, G$) associated with a *nice couple* $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of subspaces $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ of the closed upper half-plane in $\mathbb C$ and with a PMQ–group pair (Q, G) . In the case $\mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$ the group G plays no essential role and we can write $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{X};\mathcal{Q})$ for the Hurwitz space: the reader may think of this as the *absolute* situation, whereas the general case corresponds to the *relative* situation.

In this article, for a PMQ \mathcal{Q} , we introduce a topological monoid $HM(\mathcal{Q})$ arising from Hurwitz spaces: an element of $\text{HM}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a finite configuration P of points in a rectangle $(0, t) \times (0, 1)$ of variable width $t \geq 0$, together with a Q-valued monodromy, defined on certain loops of $\mathbb{C} \setminus P$. The monoid product is defined according to a well-established principle, relying on the fact that a rectangle of width $t + t'$ can be regarded as the union of two rectangles of widths t and t' joined along a vertical side. If $\mathcal Q$ is a PMQ with trivial product, then HM($\mathcal Q$) recovers the monoid of Hurwitz spaces appearing in [\[EVW16,](#page-63-3) Subsection 2.6] and [\[RW19,](#page-64-0) Subsection 4.2].

1.1 Statement of results

Throughout the article we fix a PMQ–group pair $(Q, G) = (Q, G, \varepsilon, \mathfrak{r})$ (see [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definition 2.15]) and assume that G is generated by the image of the map of PMQs $\mathfrak{e}: \mathcal{Q} \to G$.

In addition to the aforementioned topological monoid $HM(Q)$, we will introduce in this article an auxiliary topological monoid $HM(Q, G)$. The two main theorems of the article, that we briefly describe in this subsection, show together that a component of the group completion of $HM(Q)$ is equivalent to a component of the *double loop space* of a certain relative Hurwitz space $Hur_+([0,1]^2, \partial[0,1]^2; \mathcal{Q}, G)_\mathbb{I}$.

For present and future convenience of the reader, we recall that the index '+' selects the subspace of configurations with non-empty support in a Hurwitz space; the index '1' selects the subspace of configurations with trivial total monodromy $1 \in G$; an index given by a finite subset of C, such as ' $\partial \overline{\delta}^{\Gamma}$ ', selects configurations whose support contains the given finite subset; and

the index G, G^{op} refers to a quotient of another Hurwitz space by a certain free action of the group $G \times G^{\text{op}}$. This notation is introduced in detail in [\[Bia23a\]](#page-63-2).

In favourable cases, the rational cohomology ring of $\text{Hur}_+([0, 1]^2, \partial[0, 1]^2; \mathcal{Q}, G)_1$ can be computed explicitly solely in terms of the PMQ Q and one can then use standard rational homotopy theory to access $H^*(\Omega_0^2 \text{Hur}_+([0,1]^2, \partial[0,1]^2; \mathcal{Q}, G)_1; \mathbb{Q})$, which by the group completion theorem is the ring of stable rational cohomology classes of components of $\text{HM}(\mathcal{Q})$.

The first, main result of the article is the following theorem, describing the weak homotopy type of the bar constructions $BHM(Q)$ and $BHM(Q, G)$ in terms of certain relative Hurwitz spaces. The nice couples ($\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}$) and ($\diamond, \partial \diamond$) are explicitly given in Definition [2.23;](#page-12-0) for instance, \sim is the closed rhombus with vertices $1/2$, $\sqrt{-1}/2$, $1/2 + \sqrt{-1}$ and $1 + \sqrt{-1}/2$.

Theorem A (Theorem 4.1). *There are weak homotopy equivalences*

 $B\text{H}^{\circ}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{Q}) \simeq \text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial}\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}; \quad B\text{H}^{\circ}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{Q}, G) \simeq \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial \diamond; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}.$

Now the space Hur($\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{G, G^{\text{op}}}$ admits the space Hur($\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}; \mathcal{Q}, G$) $_{\check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}}$ ₁ as a finite covering space and the latter space is weakly equivalent to $\text{HM}_{+}(Q, G)_{1}$. Similarly, the space $Hur_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1$ is weakly equivalent to a covering space of $Hur(\diamond,\partial;\mathcal{Q},G)_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}$: see § [4.4](#page-30-0) for more details. Passing to loop spaces and double loop spaces, we obtain a weak homotopy equivalence

$$
\Omega B\text{H}^\circ\text{M}(\mathcal{Q})\simeq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})\times \Omega_0^2 \text{Hur}_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1,
$$

where $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ is the (discrete) enveloping group of \mathcal{Q} .

Under the additional assumption that G is a finite group and Q is finite and *rationally Poincaré*, the second, main result of the article computes the rational cohomology ring of $Hur_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1$ in terms of a certain algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$, that we briefly recall after the statement.

THEOREM B (Theorem 6.1). Let (Q, G) be a PMQ–group pair with Q finite and rationally *Poincar´e and with* G *finite. Then there is an isomorphism of rings*

$$
H^*(\operatorname{Hur}_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q}).
$$

We recall that a PMQ is *rationally Poincaré* (or Q-Poincaré) if it is locally finite and each component of $Hur_+((0, 1)^2; \mathcal{Q})$ is a rational homology manifold [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 9.4]. The graded commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ is defined as the sub-algebra of conjugation-invariant elements of $\mathbb{O}[\mathcal{Q}]$, the rational PMQ-algebra associated with the PMQ \mathcal{Q} (see [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definition 4.26]). When Q is Poincaré we can consider $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]$ as a graded Q-algebra, by putting the generator $[a] \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]$ in degree equal to the dimension of $\text{Hur}_+((0,1)^2;\mathcal{Q})_a$, for $a \in \mathcal{Q}$. The degree of [a]] agrees, in fact, with $2h(a)$, where $h: \mathcal{Q} \to \mathbb{N}$ is the intrinsic norm of \mathcal{Q} (see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 9.7. This makes also $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ into a graded Q-algebra and then Theorem [B](#page-3-0) gives an isomorphism of graded Q-algebras.

The rational cohomology ring of $\Omega_0 BHM(Q)$, i.e. the stable rational cohomology ring of the components of $\text{HM}(\mathcal{Q})$, can then in principle be computed by 'looping twice' the rational cohomology of the space $Hur_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1$, using that the latter space is simply connected. More precisely, this requires the computation of a minimal Sullivan model for the space $Hur_+([0, 1]^2, \partial[0, 1]^2; \mathcal{Q}, G)_\mathbb{I}$. We conclude the article with an explicit computation, dealing with the case in which Q is a finite PMQ with trivial product; this recovers, in particular, [\[RW19,](#page-64-0) Corollary 5.4].

1.2 Outline of the article

In §[2](#page-4-2) we introduce the topological monoids $HM(Q)$ and $HM(Q, G)$ and compute the associated discrete monoids of path components $\pi_0(HM(Q))$ and $\pi_0(HM(Q, G))$, see Theorems [2.15](#page-10-1) and [2.19.](#page-11-1)

In §[3](#page-14-0) we recall the simplicial space $B_{\bullet}M$ associated with a unital, topological monoid M and we distinguish between 'bar construction' BM and 'thin bar construction' $\bar{B}M$, i.e. the geometric realisations of $B_{\bullet}M$ as a semisimplicial space and, respectively, a simplicial space. We prove in Theorem [3.4](#page-16-1) a homotopy equivalence $\text{HM}_{+}(\mathcal{Q}, G) \simeq \Omega \text{BHM}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$ and check that the group completion theorem [\[MS76,](#page-64-1) [FM94\]](#page-63-4) applies to the topological monoid $HM(Q)$.

The main result of $\S 4$ $\S 4$ is Theorem [4.1,](#page-18-2) whose direct consequence is that the bar constructions $BHM(Q)$ and $BHM(Q, G)$ admit covering spaces that are homotopy equivalent to the Hurwitz spaces $\text{HM}_{+}(\mathcal{Q}, G)_{1}$ and $\text{Hur}_{+}([0, 1]^{2}, \partial[0, 1]^{2}; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{1}$, respectively. The main applica-tion is Theorem [4.22,](#page-32-0) computing the homology of the group completion of $\text{HM}(\mathcal{Q})$ as the tensor product of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})]$ and the homology of a component of the *double* loop space Ω^2 Hur₊([0, 1]², ∂ [0, 1]²; \mathcal{Q}, G ₁; here $\mathcal{G}(Q)$ is the enveloping group of \mathcal{Q} .

In §[5](#page-33-0) we replace $\text{Hur}_+([0,1]^2,\partial[0,1]^2;\mathcal{Q},G)_1$ by a smaller, homotopy equivalent subspace $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, assuming that $\mathcal Q$ is augmented. Assuming further that $\mathcal Q$ is a normed PMQ, we prove that $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ admits a norm filtration, whose strata are fibre bundles over the space $BG := \text{Hur}(\partial[0,1]^2;G)_{0:1}$; the space BG is, in turn, shown to be an Eilenberg–MacLane space of type $K(G, 1)$.

In §[6](#page-44-0) we assume that $\mathcal Q$ is a finite and $\mathbb Q$ -Poincaré PMQ and G is a finite group and compute the rational cohomology ring of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, using the Leray spectral sequence associated with the filtration on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$: Theorem [6.1](#page-45-1) identifies $H^*(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G); \mathbb{Q})$ with the ring $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]$ of conjugation $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ -invariants of the PMQ-ring $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]$. As an application, we compute the stable rational cohomology of classical Hurwitz spaces, recovering, in particular, [\[RW19,](#page-64-0) Corollary 5.4].

Throughout the article we make heavy use of the results of [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Sections 2–6] and [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Sections 2–6]: we cite every time which specific fact we are needing, so that the reader does not need to be familiar with all details of [\[Bia21\]](#page-63-1) and [\[Bia23a\]](#page-63-2).

1.3 Motivation

This is the third article in a series about Hurwitz spaces. Our main motivation to study generalised Hurwitz spaces comes from the relation between Hurwitz spaces and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces given by considering the family of PMQs $\mathfrak{S}_d^{\text{geo}}$, for $d \geq 2$: Theorems [A](#page-3-1) and [B](#page-3-0) are applied in [\[Bia23b\]](#page-63-5) to give an alternative proof of the Mumford conjecture on the stable rational cohomology of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces, originally proved by Madsen and Weiss [\[MW07\]](#page-64-2).

Moreover, this article shows how generalised Hurwitz spaces can be useful also in the study of classical Hurwitz spaces as topological monoids: the (double) delooping of the classical monoid of Hurwitz spaces is described by Theorem \bf{A} \bf{A} \bf{A} as a relative Hurwitz space.

2. Hurwitz spaces as topological monoids

We start by fixing some conventions to simplify the notation. We fix a PMQ–group pair $(Q, G) = (Q, G, \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{r})$ throughout the article; recall that $\mathfrak{e} \colon Q \to G$ is a map of PMQs and $\mathfrak{r} \colon G \to$ Aut**_{PMO}**(Q ^{op} is a map of groups, giving a right action of G on Q, see [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definition 2.15]. We assume in the entire article that the image of ϵ generates G as a group. Two examples that the reader may keep in mind are as follows:

- G is a group, $\mathcal{Q}_+ \subset G$ is a conjugation-invariant subset and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_+ \sqcup \{1_Q\}$ with the adjoined element $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ being the unit of \mathcal{Q} ; we put the trivial product on \mathcal{Q} , we define \mathfrak{e} by $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Q}} \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{G}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_+ \hookrightarrow G$; we let the action of G fix $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and conjugate elements of \mathcal{Q}_+ ;
- $G = \mathfrak{S}_d$ is the dth symmetric group for some $d \geq 2$, $\mathcal{Q} = \mathfrak{S}_d^{\text{geo}}$ is the geodesic PMQ from [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Subsection 7.1], obtained from \mathfrak{S}_d by restricting the product, \mathfrak{e} is the identity of the common underlying set and \mathfrak{S}_d acts on $\mathfrak{S}_d^{\text{geo}}$ by usual conjugation of permutations.

We usually denote by $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ a nice couple, i.e. a couple of semialgebraic subspaces of the closed upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{C}$, with \mathcal{Y} closed in \mathcal{X} : see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 2.3]. In the entire article, we abbreviate the Hurwitz space Hur($\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G$), defined in [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Section 3], as Hur(\mathfrak{C}); in particular, if $\mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$, we abbreviate $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Q})$ as $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{X})$.

Recall from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 2.9] that if $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a nice couple and if $P \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a finite subset, we can define a PMQ $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P)$ as the subset of $\mathfrak{G}(P) := \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus P, *)$ of conjugacy classes of small simple loops spinning clockwise around exactly one point of P among those lying in $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ (together with the neutral element $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{G}(P)}$; the inclusion $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}(P)$ and the conjugation action of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ on $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P)$ make $(\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P), \mathfrak{G}(P))$ into a PMQ–group pair.

Notation 2.1. Let $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{H}$ be closed semialgebraic subspace. Then for every semialgebraic subspace $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{H}$ we obtain a nice couple $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ by setting $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{Y} \cap \mathcal{X}$; for all finite subsets $P \subset \mathcal{X}$ we then have that $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\mathbb{H},\mathbb{Y})}(P)$ are the same subset of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$.

We will abuse notation and abbreviate $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P)$ as $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ also in certain situations in which there may be some ambiguity on the nice couple $\mathfrak C$ we are considering; we leverage on the fact that all nice couples $\mathfrak C$ that might reasonably be involved in the argument are obtained as above, for a fixed and evident subspace $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{H}$, so that the fundamental PMQ $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P)$ is unambiguously identified as a subset of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$.

Notation 2.2. We usually denote by $P = \{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ a finite collection of distinct points in H, for some $k ≥ 0$. If a nice couple $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is under consideration, we will usually assume $P \subset \mathcal{X}$ and that there is $0 \leq l \leq k$ such that z_1, \ldots, z_l are precisely the points of P lying in $X \setminus Y$. We let $* = -\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ be our preferred choice of basepoint. If f_1, \ldots, f_k is an admissible generating set of $\mathfrak{G}(P) = \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus P, *)$ (see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 2.8]), then we usually assume that f_i is represented by a small simple loop spinning clockwise around z_i .

A configuration $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C};\mathcal{Q},G)$ is usually presented as (P, ψ, φ) , with P as above and $(\psi, \varphi): (\mathfrak{Q}(P), \mathfrak{G}(P)) \to (\mathcal{Q}, G)$ a map of PMQ–group pairs. Similarly, a configuration $\mathfrak{c} \in$ Hur($\mathcal{X};\mathcal{Q}$) is usually presented as (P,ψ) , with P as above and $\psi\colon \mathfrak{Q}(P)\to\mathcal{Q}$ a map of PMQs.

2.1 Definition of the Hurwitz–Moore spaces

We first introduce notation for rectangles and horizontal strips in the plane.

Notation 2.3. For $t \geq 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{R}_t \subset \mathbb{H}$ the standard closed rectangle $[0, t] \times [0, 1]$ of width t and height 1; we also denote $\mathcal{R}_{\infty} = [0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$ the half-infinite, closed strip and by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}} =$ $(-\infty, +\infty) \times [0, 1]$ the infinite, closed strip.

For $0 \le t \le +\infty$ we denote by $\mathcal{R}_t = (0, t) \times (0, 1)$ the standard open rectangle (or half-infinite strip) of width t and height 1 and by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}} = (-\infty, +\infty) \times (0, 1)$ the infinite open strip. Also let $\partial \mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{R}_t \setminus \mathcal{R}_t$ for all $0 \le t \le \infty$ and $\partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$, denote the boundary of \mathcal{R}_t and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$, respectively. We use the abbreviations $(\mathcal{R}_t, \partial)$ and $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \partial)$ for the nice couples $(\mathcal{R}_t, \partial \mathcal{R}_t)$ and $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}})$, respectively.

For $0 \le t \le +\infty$ we denote by $\mathcal{R}_t = (0, t) \times [0, 1]$ the standard, vertically closed rectangle (or vertically closed half-infinite strip) of width t and height 1 and by $\check{\partial} \mathcal{R}_t = (0, t) \times \{0, 1\} \subset \mathcal{R}_t$

FIGURE 1. Left: the rectangle $\mathcal{R}_{1/2}$. Right: the nice couple $(\mathcal{R}_{3/4}, \check{\partial} \mathcal{R}_{3/4})$.

the horizontal boundary of $\check{\mathcal{R}}$. Similarly, we denote $\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\check{\partial} \check{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}} = \partial \check{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}} = (-\infty, +\infty) \times$ $\{0,1\}$. We use the abbreviations $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_t, \breve{\partial})$ and $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \breve{\partial})$ for the nice couples $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_t, \breve{\partial}\breve{\mathcal{R}}_t)$ and $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}};\partial \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}})$, respectively.

Whenever $t = 1$ we drop it from the notation, so we abbreviate \mathcal{R}_1 as $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_1$ as \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_1 as \mathcal{R} . See [Figure 1.](#page-6-0)

Note that $\check{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \emptyset$. For $t \leq t'$ the identity of \mathbb{C} restricts to an inclusion $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t \subset \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}$ and induces an inclusion of Hurwitz spaces $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t) \subseteq \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}).$

DEFINITION 2.4. The *open Hurwitz–Moore* space associated with the PMQ Q, denoted by $HM(\mathcal Q)$ and abbreviated as HM in the entire article, is the subspace of $[0, \infty) \times Hur(\mathcal{R}_{\infty})$ containing couples (t, \mathfrak{c}) such that $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\infty})$ is a configuration supported on \mathcal{R}_t , i.e. \mathfrak{c} takes the form (P, ψ) with $P \subset \mathcal{R}_t$.

Similarly, the *vertically closed Hurwitz–Moore space* associated with (Q, G) , denoted by $\text{HM}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$ and abbreviated as HM in the entire article, is the subspace of $[0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\infty}, \partial)$ containing couples (t, \mathfrak{c}) such that \mathfrak{c} is supported on \mathcal{R}_t , i.e. $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi, \varphi)$ with $P \subset \mathcal{R}_t$.

Note that, for fixed $t \geq 0$, the slice of HM containing couples of the form (t, \mathfrak{c}) is homeomorphic to $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_t)$: thus, H M , as a set, is the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{t\geq 0} \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_t)$. Similarly, H M is in natural bijection with the set $\bigsqcup_{t\geq 0} \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_t, \breve{\partial}).$

Notation 2.5. For a nice couple \mathfrak{C} we denote by $(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \in \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ the unique configuration supported on the empty set, i.e. of the form (P, ψ, φ) with $P = \emptyset$. The complement of $\{(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})\}$ is denoted by $Hur_+(\mathfrak{C};\mathcal{Q},G)$.

Note that $(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$ is the only point in the spaces $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_0)$ and $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_0, \check{\partial})$, since $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \emptyset$. In other words, we have $\text{Hur}_+(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_0) = \text{Hur}_+(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_0, \mathring{\partial}) = \emptyset$.

Notation 2.6. We write HM as the disjoint union $[0, \infty) \times \{(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})\} \sqcup \text{HM}_+,$ where we set

$$
\breve{\operatorname{HM}}_+ \colon = ([0,\infty) \times \operatorname{Hur}_+(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_\infty,\breve{\partial})) \cap \breve{\operatorname{HM}} \subset [0,\infty) \times \operatorname{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_\infty,\breve{\partial}).
$$

By the previous discussion, every couple $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \in \overline{HM}_+$ satisfies $t > 0$.

LEMMA 2.7. *The inclusions* $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \text{HM}$ *and* $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}) \subset \text{HM}$ *are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. The proof is almost identical in the two cases, so we will focus on the second case, which is slightly more difficult.

For $s > 0$ the map $\Lambda_s : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $\Lambda_s(z) = (s \Re(z), \Im(z))$ is a morphism of nice couples $\Lambda_s: (\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty}, \check{\partial}) \to (\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty}, \check{\partial})$. Putting all values of $s \geq 0$ together we obtain a continuous map $\Lambda: \mathbb{C} \times$ $(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; by [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 4.4] we obtain a continuous map

$$
\Lambda_*\colon \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty},\check{\partial}) \times (0,\infty) \to \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty},\check{\partial}).
$$

Define $\tilde{\Lambda}$: $(0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty}, \tilde{\partial}) \times (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty}, \tilde{\partial})$ by the formula $\tilde{\Lambda}(t, \mathfrak{c}; s)$ $(ts, \Lambda_*(\mathfrak{c}, s))$. We are now able to define a homotopy \mathcal{H}^{Λ} : H $\check{M} \times [0, 1] \to H\check{M}$ by setting

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda}(t, \mathfrak{c}; s) = \begin{cases} (ts + 1 - s, \tilde{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{c}, (ts + 1 - s)/t)) & \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}_+; \\ (ts + 1 - s, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})) & \text{for } \mathfrak{c} = (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \text{ and all } t \ge 0. \end{cases}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda}((1, \mathfrak{c}), s) = (1, \mathfrak{c})$ for all $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O})$, including $(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$ and all $0 \leq s \leq 1$; moreover, the map $\mathcal{H}(-;1)$ is the identity of HM, whereas the map $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda}(-;0)$ has image inside $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}, \breve{\partial}).$

The reason for the name *Moore* in Definition [2.4](#page-6-1) is that, as we will see in § [2.2,](#page-7-0) there is a natural structure of topological monoid on both HM and HM. In contrast, $Hur(R)$ and $Hur(R)$ are only endowed with the structure of E_1 -algebras in a natural way. The spaces HM and HM play the role of the strictification of the E_1 -algebras Hur(\mathcal{R}) and Hur(\mathcal{R}) to actual topological monoids, just as the Moore loop space $\Omega^{\text{Moore}} X$ of a pointed topological space X is a strictly associative and strictly unital replacement of the E_1 -algebra given by the usual loop space ΩX .

2.2 Topological monoid structure

In this subsection we define a topological monoid structure on HM and HM. For HM the basic idea is to *juxtapose* two configurations $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_t)$ and $\mathfrak{c}' \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{t'})$ to obtain a larger configuration supported on the rectangle $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'}$; for HM the idea is similar, but using vertically closed rectangles and juxtaposing also their horizontal boundaries.

In the entire subsection we focus on HM and write in parentheses the changes needed in the analogous discussion about HM. Whenever we write $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ for a subset $P \subset \mathbb{H}$, we use Notation [2.1](#page-5-1) with $\mathbb{Y} = \emptyset$ (respectively, $\mathbb{Y} = \check{\partial} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$, see Notation [2.3\)](#page-5-2).

Notation 2.8. For $t, t' \geq 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{R}_{t'} + t$ the space $(t, t + t') \times (0, 1)$. Similarly, we denote by $(\mathcal{R}_{t'}, \check{\partial}) + t$ the nice couple $((t, t + t') \times [0, 1], (t, t + t') \times \{0, 1\})$, compare with Notation [2.3.](#page-5-2)

For a finite subset $P \subset \mathbb{H}$ as in Notation [2.2](#page-5-3) and for $t \geq 0$ we denote by $P + t$ the subset $\{z_1 + t, \ldots, z_k + t\} \subset \mathbb{H}.$

Note that for P, t, t' as in Notation [2.8,](#page-7-1) if $P \subset \mathcal{R}_{t'}$ (respectively $P \subset \mathcal{R}_{t'}$), then $P + t \subset$ $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'} + t \subset \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'}$ (respectively, $P + t \subset \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'} + t \subset \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'}.$

DEFINITION 2.9 (Definition 6.7 in [\[Bia23a\]](#page-63-2)). For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define a homeomorphism $\tau_t: (\mathbb{C}, *) \to$ $(\mathbb{C}, *)$ by

$$
\tau_t(z) = \begin{cases}\nz & \text{if } \Im(z) \le -1, \\
z + t & \text{if } \Im(z) \ge 0, \\
z + (\Im(z) + 1)t & \text{if } -1 \le \Im(z) \le 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

Note that for $t, t' \geq 0$ we have $\tau_t(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}) = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'} + t$ (respectively, $\tau_t(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}, \mathring{\partial}) = (\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}, \mathring{\partial}) + t$).

Notation 2.10 (Notation 6.8 in [\[Bia23a\]](#page-63-2)). For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\mathbb{C}_{\Re \geq t} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the subspace containing all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(z) \geq t$. Similarly, we define $\mathbb{C}_{\Re>t}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\Re\leq t}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\Re\leq t}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\Re=t}$, the latter being

a vertical line. For all $-\infty \le t \le t' \le +\infty$ we define a subspace $\mathbb{S}_{t,t'} \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\mathbb{S}_{t,t'} = \tau_t(\mathbb{C}_{\Re \geq 0}) \cap \tau_{t'}(\mathbb{C}_{\Re \leq 0}),
$$

where we use the conventions $\tau_{-\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{\Re>0}) = \tau_{+\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{\Re<0}) = \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau_{-\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{\Re<0}) = \tau_{+\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{\Re>0}) = \emptyset$.

For all $t, t' \geq 0$, we have that $\mathbb{S}_{0,t+t'}$ is contractible and can be written as the union of the contractible spaces $\mathbb{S}_{0,t}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{t,t+t'}$ along the contractible space $\mathbb{S}_{t,t}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_t \subset \mathbb{S}_{0,t}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{R}_t \subset \mathring{S}_{0,t}$), whereas $\mathcal{R}_{t'} + t \subset \mathring{S}_{t,t+t'}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{R}_{t'} + t \subset \mathring{S}_{t,t+t'}$). Note also that τ_t restricts to a homeomorphism $\mathbb{S}_{0,t'} \to \mathbb{S}_{t,t+t'}.$

Recall [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definitions 3.15 and 3.16]: if $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a contractible subspace containing $* = -\sqrt{-1}$, then for any nice couple of subspaces $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ we can give an alternative definition of Hur(X, Y), denoted by Hur^T(X, Y), using T instead of the entire C as 'ambient space': indeed, for any finite set $P \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{T} \setminus P, *)$ is canonically identified with $\mathfrak{G}(P) =$ $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus P, *)$ and similarly for fundamental PMQs. We thus get an identification $\mathfrak{i}_\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{C}$: Hur $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \stackrel{\cong}{\to}$ $Hur^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}).$

If, moreover, $\xi: (\mathbb{C}, *) \to (\mathbb{C}, *)$ is a semialgebraic and orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the plane preserving the upper half-plane $\mathbb H$ and if $\mathbb T', \mathcal X', \mathcal Y'$ are three other subspaces of $\mathbb C$ as above such that ξ maps $\mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}'$, $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'$ and $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}'$, then ξ induces a map $\xi_* \colon \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \to$ $\mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}'}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}).$

There is finally a 'disjoint union' map $-\sqcup -$: $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}_1}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{Y}_1) \times \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}_2}(\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{Y}_2) \rightarrow$ $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}_1 \cup \mathbb{T}_2}(\mathcal{X}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{Y}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{Y}_2)$, defined when $\mathbb{T}_1 \cap \mathbb{T}_2$ is contractible and disjoint from both $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$ (in particular, this implies that \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 are disjoint).

DEFINITION 2.11. For $t, t' \geq 0$ we define the maps $\mu_{t,t'} : \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_t) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{t'}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{t+t'})$ and $\mu_{t,t'}\colon \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_t, \breve{\partial}) \times \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}, \breve{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'}, \breve{\partial})$ as the following compositions:

$$
\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t) \times \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}) \xrightarrow{\text{flux}^{\text{S}_{0,t},i^{\text{C}}_{\text{S}_{0,t'}})}} \text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{0,t}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t) \times \text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{0,t'}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'})
$$
\n
$$
\text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{0,t}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t) \times \text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{t,t+t'}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'} + t) \xrightarrow{\text{dur}^{\text{S}_{0,t+t'}}} \text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{0,t+t'}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t \cup (\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'} + t))
$$
\n
$$
\text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{0,t+t'}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'}) \xrightarrow{\text{G}_{0,t+t'}} \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'});
$$
\n
$$
\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t, \mathring{\partial}) \times \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}, \mathring{\partial}) \xrightarrow{\text{div}^{\text{S}_{0,t}};i^{\text{C}_{\text{S}_{0,t'}}}} \text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{0,t}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_t, \mathring{\partial}) \times \text{Hur}^{\text{S}_{0,t'}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t'}, \mathring{\partial})
$$

$$
\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,t}}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_t, \check{\partial}) \times \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{t,t+t'}}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{t'} + t, \check{\partial}) \xrightarrow{\hspace{0.5cm} \text{ul}} \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,t+t'}}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_t \cup (\check{\mathcal{R}}_{t'} + t), \check{\partial})
$$
\n
$$
\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,t+t'}}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'}, \check{\partial}) \xrightarrow{\hspace{0.5cm} \text{ul}} \text{Hur}^{\langle \check{\mathcal{R}}_{t}, t+t', \check{\partial} \rangle} \text{Hur}^{\langle \check{\mathcal{R}}_{t+t'}, \check{\partial} \rangle}.
$$

DEFINITION 2.12. Recall Definition [2.11.](#page-8-0) We define a map of sets

$$
\mu\colon \mathring{\text{HM}}\times \mathring{\text{HM}} \to \mathring{\text{HM}} \quad \text{(respectively, } \mu\colon \mathring{\text{HM}}\times \mathring{\text{HM}} \to \mathring{\text{HM}})
$$

by the formula $\mu((t, \mathfrak{c}), (t', \mathfrak{c}')) = (t + t', \mu_{t, t'}(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}'))$. See [Figure 2.](#page-9-0)

FIGURE 2. Left: two configurations in Hur^{S_{0,1/2}} ($\check{\mathcal{R}}_{1/2}$, $\check{\partial}$) ≃ Hur $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{1/2}, \check{\partial})$ ⊂ HM. Right: their product in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,1}}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}},\tilde{\partial}) \cong \text{Hur}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}},\tilde{\partial}) \subset \text{HM}.$

PROPOSITION 2.13. The map $\mu: H\mathbf{M} \times H\mathbf{M} \to H\mathbf{M}$ (respectively, $\mu: H\mathbf{M} \times H\mathbf{M} \to H\mathbf{M}$) is *continuous and makes* HM (*respectively,* HM $)$ *into a topological monoid, with unit* $(0, (\emptyset, 1, 1))$ *.*

The proof of Proposition [2.13](#page-8-1) is in [Appendix A.1.](#page-56-1)

Recall the notion of total monodromy from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definitions 6.1 and 6.3]: for a generic nice couple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ we have a map ω : Hur $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \to G$ sending a configuration (P, ψ, φ) to the value of the monodromy ψ at the 'large loop', i.e. the element of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ represented by a simple loop spinning clockwise around all points of P.

If $\mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$, one can lift this to a total monodromy $\hat{\omega}$: Hur $(\mathcal{X}) \to \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the completion of the PMQ Q , as in [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definition 2.19]. Concretely, \hat{Q} can be defined as the free, *non-unital* monoid generated by elements \hat{a} for $a \in \mathcal{Q}$, satisfying $\hat{a}\hat{b} = \hat{b}\hat{a}\hat{b}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$ and satisfying $\hat{a}\hat{b} = ab$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that the product ab is already defined in \mathcal{Q} . The non-unital monoid \hat{Q} happens to have a unit, namely \hat{I} and a natural binary operation of conjugation can be defined on it, so that it becomes a PMQ with complete product; there is a natural inclusion of PMQs $\mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, which is the universal map from \mathcal{Q} to a complete PMQ. In the lift $\hat{\omega}$ of ω we need $\mathcal{\hat{Q}}$ rather than Q as target because the large loop in $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is not, in general, an element of the fundamental PMQ $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ (unless P is a singleton), so we cannot directly evaluate ψ on it; but we can factor the large loop as a product of elements in $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$, evaluate ψ on the factors and compute in $\mathcal Q$ the corresponding product of elements of $\mathcal Q$.

Notation 2.14. For (t, \mathfrak{c}) and (t', \mathfrak{c}') in HM (in HM) we denote by $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \cdot (t', \mathfrak{c}')$ the configuration $\mu((t, \mathfrak{c}), (t', \mathfrak{c}')).$

We denote by $\hat{\omega}$: HM $\rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ (respectively, ω : HM $\rightarrow G$) the composition

 $\hat{\text{HM}} \subset [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty}) \longrightarrow \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty}) \stackrel{\hat{\omega}}{\longrightarrow} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ $(\text{resp. } H\check{M} \subset [0,\infty) \times \text{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty},\check{\partial}) \longrightarrow \text{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty},\check{\partial}) \stackrel{\omega}{\longrightarrow} G).$

where the first map is the projection on the second component and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ denotes the completion of the PMQ Q .

2.3 Computation of $\pi_0(HM)$

In this subsection we study the discrete monoid of path components of HM. We will prove the following theorem, which is similar to [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 6.4].

THEOREM 2.15. *Recall Notations* [2.6](#page-6-2) and [2.14.](#page-9-1) The map $\hat{\omega}$: $\pi_0(H\text{M}) \rightarrow \hat{Q}$ is a map of unital *monoids and it restricts to a bijection* $\pi_0(H\mathbf{M}_+) \cong \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Notation 2.16. We denote by $z_c = \frac{1}{2} +$ $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ the centre of $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}$.

DEFINITION 2.17. For all $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ we define a configuration $\mathfrak{c}_a = (\{z_c\}, \psi_a) \in \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}})$, where ψ_a sends the (unique) element f_c in $\mathfrak{Q}(\{z_c\}) \setminus \{\mathbb{1}\}\)$ to a.

For a space X we denote by $\pi_0: X \to \pi_0(X)$ the map assigning to each point of X its path component. We denote by \cdot the product of the discrete monoid $\pi_0(H\)$.

LEMMA 2.18. The monoid $\pi_0(HM)$ is generated by $\pi_0(0, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}))$, which is the unit and by the *elements of the form* $\pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_a)$, for $a \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, the following equalities hold in $\pi_0(HM)$:

- if $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$, then $\pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_a) \cdot \pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_b) = \pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_b) \cdot \pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_{a^b})$;
- if $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$ and the product ab is defined in \mathcal{Q} , then $\pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_a) \cdot \pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_b) = \pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_{ab})$.

The proof of Lemma [2.18](#page-10-2) is in [Appendix A.2.](#page-57-0)

Proof of Theorem [2.15.](#page-10-1) First we prove that $\hat{\omega}$: H $\mathbf{\hat{M}} \to \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a map of monoids. Let $(t, \mathfrak{c}), (t', \mathfrak{c}') \in$ HM and use Notation [2.2:](#page-5-3) we can choose simple loops $\gamma \subset \mathbb{S}_{-\infty,t}$ and $\gamma' \subset \mathbb{S}_{t,+\infty}$, spinning clockwise around P and $P' + t$, respectively; the product $[\gamma] \cdot [\gamma'] \in \mathfrak{G}(P \cup (P' + t))$ is represented by a simple loop spinning clockwise around $P \cup (P' + t)$. Denoting $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \cdot (t', \mathfrak{c}') = (t + t', (P'', \psi''))$, by definition of ψ'' we have

$$
\hat{\omega}((t,\mathfrak{c})\cdot (t',\mathfrak{c}'))=\psi''([\gamma]\cdot [\gamma'])=\psi([\gamma])\cdot \psi'([\gamma'])=\hat{\omega}(t,\mathfrak{c})\cdot \hat{\omega}(t'\mathfrak{c}')\in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}.
$$

Note that $\hat{\omega}((0,(\emptyset,1,1))) = 1$, so $\hat{\omega}$ is a map of unital monoids; moreover, $\hat{\omega}(1,\mathfrak{c}_a) = \hat{a} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Q}$, so that $\hat{\omega}$: $\pi_0(H\tilde{M}) \to \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ hits the generators of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ and is thus surjective.

By Lemma [2.18,](#page-10-2) the corresponding relations among the elements $\pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_a) \in \pi_0(HM)$ hold, so that the assignment $\hat{a} \mapsto \pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_a)$ defines a map of non-unital monoids $\Omega: \mathcal{Q} \to \pi_0(HM)$; note that, though both the source and the target of Ω are indeed unital monoids, $\pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_1) = \Omega(1)$ is not the unit of $\pi_0(HM)$, so that Ω is not a map of unital monoids.

In fact Ω , as a map of sets, is a right inverse of $\hat{\omega}$, i.e. $\hat{\omega} \circ \Omega$ is the identity of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$. Moreover, Ω hits all elements of $\pi_0(H\tilde{M})$ of the form $\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_a)$ and by Lemma [2.18](#page-10-2) every element of $\pi_0(H\tilde{M}_+)$ can be written as a product of one or more elements of the form $\pi_0(1, \mathfrak{c}_a)$. It follows that Ω is a bijection between Q and $\pi_0(HM_+)$ and this concludes the proof. \Box

FIGURE 3. The nice couples $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ and $(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$.

2.4 Computation $\pi_0(HM)$

We conclude the section by computing $\pi_0(HM)$. Recalling Notations [2.5](#page-6-3) and [2.6,](#page-6-2) it suffices to compute $\pi_0(HM_+)$. The canonical structure we have on this last set is that of *non-unital* monoid, since the multiplication μ of HM restricts to a map $\text{HM}_{+} \times \text{HM}_{+} \rightarrow \text{HM}_{+}$. The total monodromy gives again a morphism of non-unital monoids

$$
\omega\colon \pi_0(\check{\operatorname{HM}}_+)\to G.
$$

THEOREM 2.19. *Recall the map of PMQs* $e: Q \to G$ *, which is part of the PMQ–group pair structure on* (Q, G) *. Suppose that the image* $e(Q) \subset G$ *generates* G *as a group. Then the map* $\omega: \pi_0(HM_+) \to G$ is bijective.

In other words, the unital monoid $\pi_0(HM)$ is isomorphic to $G \sqcup \{1\}$, where the extra element 1 plays the role of the monoid unit and the old unit $\mathbb{1}_G$ ∈ G still satisfies $\mathbb{1}_G \cdot g = g \cdot \mathbb{1}_G = g$ for all $g \in G$, but $\mathbb{1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_G = \mathbb{1}_G \cdot \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}_G$.

We observe that the hypothesis that G is generated by $\mathfrak{e}(\mathcal{Q})$ is necessary in Theorem [2.19:](#page-11-1) if, for instance, $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathbb{1}\}\$ and G is any non-trivial group, then $\pi_0(HM_+)$ can rather be identified (as a set) with $G \times G$ and ω with the product map $G \times G \rightarrow G$.

The rough idea of the proof of Theorem [2.19](#page-11-1) is the following: given a configuration (t, \mathfrak{c}) , we can shrink or stretch it until we have $t = 1$; we can move points of c to either horizontal side of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, reducing to a configuration c supported on $\tilde{\partial}$; we can let all points on either component of $\tilde{\partial}$ collide with each other, reducing to a configuration $\mathfrak c$ supported on at most two points lying on $\check{\partial}$; finally, we can use that $\mathfrak{e}(Q)$ generates G to 'trade' factors of the total monodromy from one component of ∂ to the other, reaching a configuration c supported on a single point.

The rest of the subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.19 . We replace HM by the homotopy equivalent space Hur($\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \tilde{\partial}$), see Lemma [2.7.](#page-6-4)

Notation 2.20. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{lr}$ the horizontally closed square $[0, 1] \times (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{H}$ and by $\partial \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{lr} =$ $\{0,1\}\times(0,1)$ the union of the vertical sides of \mathcal{R}^{lr} . We abbreviate the nice couple $(\mathcal{R}^{lr},\partial\mathcal{R}^{lr})$ as $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \tilde{\partial})$. See [Figure 3.](#page-11-2)

We fix once and for all a semialgebraic homeomorphism $\xi^{\rm rot}$: $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which fixes the basepoint we fix office and for an a semalgebraic homeomorphism ζ^1 : $\zeta \to \zeta$ which fixes the basepoint $* = -\sqrt{-1}$ and restricts to the homeomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ given by the 90° clockwise rotation around z_c (see Notation [2.16\)](#page-10-3).

By functoriality we have a homeomorphism ξ_*^{rot} : $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}_{\cdot},\breve{\partial})$ → $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}},\breve{\partial})$. We will prove Theorem [2.19](#page-11-1) by classifying connected components of $Hur_+(\mathcal{R}^{\text{lr}}, \mathcal{O})$; from now on we will focus on the latter space.

LEMMA 2.21. Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial});$ then \mathfrak{c} is connected to a configuration \mathfrak{c}' supported in $\breve{\partial} \breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}$.

To prove Lemma [2.21](#page-12-1) we will use the following family of homotopies of C.

DEFINITION 2.22. For all $0 < t < 1$ we define homotopies $\mathcal{H}_t^1, \mathcal{H}_t^r : \mathbb{C} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ by the following formulas:

$$
\mathcal{H}_t^1(z,s) = \begin{cases}\nz & \text{if } \Re(z) \le 0 \text{ or } \Re(z) \ge 1, \\
z - s\Re(z) & \text{if } 0 \le \Re(z) \le t, \\
z - \left(\frac{1}{1 - st} - 1\right)(1 - \Re(z)) & \text{if } t \le \Re(z) \le 1; \\
\text{if } \Re(z) \le 0 \text{ or } \Re(z) \ge 1, \\
z + \left(\frac{1}{1 - s + st} - 1\right)\Re(z) & \text{if } 0 \le \Re(z) \le t, \\
z + s(1 - \Re(z)) & \text{if } t \le \Re(z) \le 1.\n\end{cases}
$$

Roughly speaking, \mathcal{H}_t^l collapses the vertical strip $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}$ to the vertical line $\mathbb{C}_{\Re=0}$ and expands the vertical strip $[t,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ to the vertical strip $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$; similarly $\mathcal{H}_t^{\rm r}$ collapses $[t,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}_{\Re=1}$ and expands $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}$ to $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Both homotopies restrict at each time s to an endomorphism of the nice couple $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$, so they induce homotopies

$$
(\mathcal{H}_t^1)_*, \; (\mathcal{H}_t^r)_*: \operatorname{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}) \times [0, 1] \to \operatorname{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}).
$$

Proof of Lemma [2.21.](#page-12-1) Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) Let $0 < t < 1$ be close enough to 1 so that for all $z \in P$ we have $\Re(z) = 1$ or $\Re(z) \le t$. Then $\mathcal{H}_t^1(-; 1)$ sends P inside $\breve{\partial} \breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}$ and, therefore, $(\mathcal{H}_t^1)_*$ induces a path in $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{lr},\breve{\partial})$ from c to a configuration $\mathfrak{c}' := (\mathcal{H}_t^1)_*(\mathfrak{c},1)$ which is supported in $\partial \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}$.

The following rhombus will help us to define a homotopy of $\mathbb C$ that squeezes the two segments in $\check{\partial}$ to the two central points.

DEFINITION 2.23. We define \diamond as the closed subspace of $\mathbb H$ given by

$$
\diamond = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{H} : \left| \Re(z) - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \Im(z) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.
$$

Geometrically, \diamond is a closed rhombus centred at the point z_c (see Notation [2.16\)](#page-10-3). The boundary $\partial \diamond$ contains points z for which equality holds in the formula above. The corners of \diamond are denoted by $z_0^1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}$, $z_0^r = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}$, $z_0^u = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{-1}$ and $z_0^d = \frac{1}{2}$. We denote by $\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}$ the subspace of \diamond given by

$$
\check{\diamond}^{\rm lr} = (\diamond \setminus \partial \diamond) \cup \{z^{\rm l}_{\diamond}, z^{\rm r}_{\diamond}\};
$$

we use the notation $\partial \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}} = \{z^{\text{l}}_{\diamond}, z^{\text{r}}_{\diamond}\} = \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}} \cap \partial \check{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}$ and we abbreviate the nice couple $(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial} \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}})$ as $(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})$; compare with Notation [2.3](#page-5-2) and see [Figure 3.](#page-11-2)

We have an inclusion of nice couples $(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}) \subset (\check{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})$, inducing an inclusion $\text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}) \subset$ $Hur(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{lr},\breve{\partial}).$

LEMMA 2.24. *The inclusion* $\text{Hur}(\breve{\otimes}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial}) \subset \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

Before proving Lemma [2.24](#page-12-2) we define a suitable homotopy of C.

DEFINITION 2.25. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let $\mathfrak{d}^{\circ}(z) = \min\{|\Re(z) - \frac{1}{2}|\,;\frac{1}{2}\}\.$ We define a homotopy \mathcal{H}° : $\mathbb{C} \times$ $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the following formula:

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}(z,s) = \begin{cases} z - s\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)\sqrt{-1} & \text{if } \Im(z) \ge 1, \\ z - 2s\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z) \left(\Im(z) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sqrt{-1} & \text{if } 0 \le \Im(z) \le 1, \\ z + s \left(\frac{\Im(z)}{2} + \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z) \right) \sqrt{-1} & \text{if } \Im(z) \le 0. \end{cases}
$$

The homotopy \mathcal{H}^{\diamond} satisfies the following properties:

- for all $0 \le s \le 1$, the map $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}(-;s): \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ induces an endomorphism of the nice couple $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ and an endomorphism of the nice couple $(\breve{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$;
- $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}(-;0)$ is the identity of \mathbb{C} ;
- $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}(-,1)$ sends $\check{\mathcal{R}}^{lr}$ onto $\check{\mathcal{O}}^{rlr}$ and $\check{\mathcal{O}}\check{\mathcal{R}}^{lr}$ onto $\check{\mathcal{O}}\check{\mathcal{S}}^{lr}$.

Proof of Lemma [2.24.](#page-12-2) By [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 4.4] the homotopy \mathcal{H}^{\diamond} induces a homotopy $\mathcal{H}_{*}^{\diamond}$: $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}},\breve{\partial}) \times [0,1] \rightarrow \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}},\breve{\partial})$ starting from the identity and ending with a map $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$. The homotopy $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}_{*}$ preserves the subspace $\text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ at all times and, thus, witnesses that the inclusion of $\text{Hur}(\breve{\otimes}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ in $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ is a homotopy equivalence. \Box

Note also that if $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ is supported in $\breve{\partial} \breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}$, then the entire path $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}_{*}(\mathfrak{c}, -)$ consists of configurations supported in $\partial \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{lr}$. Using Lemmas [2.21](#page-12-1) and [2.24](#page-12-2) together, we can therefore connect any $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ to a configuration $\mathfrak{c}' \in \text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})$ supported in $\breve{\partial} \breve{\delta}^{\text{lr}} = \{z^{\text{l}}_{\diamond}, z^{\text{r}}_{\diamond}\}.$

We next define auxiliary configurations, supported on the three points $z_c, z_\diamond^1, z_\diamond^r$: by moving z_c towards z_0^1 or towards z_0^r , we can construct paths between configurations supported on $\breve{\partial} \breve{\delta}^{lr} =$ $\{z^{\mathrm{l}}_{\diamond}, z^{\mathrm{r}}_{\diamond}\}.$

DEFINITION 2.26. Recall Definition [2.17.](#page-10-4) For all $g, h \in G$ and $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ we define a configuration $\mathfrak{c}_{q,a,h} = (P, \psi, \varphi) \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})$ as follows:

- $P = \{z_c, z_\diamond^1, z_\diamond^r\}$; let $f_c, f_\diamond^1, f_\diamond^r$ be an admissible generating set for $\mathfrak{G}(P)$, where f_c is represented by a loop in $\mathbb{S}_{0,1} \setminus P$, f^1_{\diamond} by a loop in $\mathbb{S}_{-\infty,1/2} \setminus P$ and f^r_{\diamond} by a loop in $\mathbb{S}_{1/2,\infty} \setminus P$;
- ψ maps $f_c \mapsto a$;
- φ maps $f_c \mapsto \mathfrak{e}(a), f_\diamond^1 \mapsto g$ and $f_\diamond^r \mapsto h$.

We also define configurations $c_{\emptyset,a,h}$, $c_{g,\emptyset,h}$, $c_{g,a,\emptyset}$, $c_{g,\emptyset,\emptyset}$, $c_{\emptyset,a,\emptyset}$ and $c_{\emptyset,\emptyset,h}$ in a similar way: for every occurrence of φ we remove the corresponding point from P and we define ψ and ψ on the relevant elements of the admissible generating set by the same formulas.

Proof of Theorem [2.19.](#page-11-1) Note first that $\omega(\mathfrak{c}_{1_G,\mathcal{N},h}) = h \in G$: this shows surjectivity of $\omega: \pi_0(\text{Hur}_+(\breve{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})) \rightarrow G.$

Lemma [2.21](#page-12-1) and the proof of Lemma [2.24](#page-12-2) imply that every configuration $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}_{+}(\check{\mathcal{R}}^{lr},\check{\partial})$ can be connected to a configuration supported on $\partial \breve{\delta}^{\text{lr}}$, i.e. of the form $\mathfrak{c}_{a,\emptyset,h}$, $\mathfrak{c}_{a,\emptyset,\emptyset}$ or $\mathfrak{c}_{\emptyset,\emptyset,h}$.

For all $g, h \in G$ the homotopies $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^{\Gamma}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^{\Gamma}$ give paths joining the configuration $\mathfrak{c}_{\emptyset,1,h}$ to $\mathfrak{c}_{1_G,\emptyset,h}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\emptyset,\emptyset,h}$, respectively; the same homotopies give paths joining the configuration $\mathfrak{c}_{q,1,\emptyset}$ to $\mathfrak{c}_{g,\emptyset,\emptyset}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{g,\emptyset,\mathbb{1}_G}$, respectively. Thus, $\mathfrak{c}_{\emptyset,\emptyset,h}$ is connected to $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbb{1}_G,\emptyset,h}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{g,\emptyset,\emptyset}$ is connected to $\mathfrak{c}_{q,\emptyset,1_G}$: we conclude that every configuration in Hur $(\mathcal{R}^{\text{lr}},\check{\partial})$ can be connected to a configuration of the form $\mathfrak{c}_{q,\emptyset,h}$.

Similarly, for all $g, h \in G$ and $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ the homotopies $\mathcal{H}^1_{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{H}^r_{1/2}$ give paths joining the configuration $\mathfrak{c}_{g,a,h}$ to $\mathfrak{c}_{g\mathfrak{e}(a),\emptyset,h}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{g,\emptyset,\mathfrak{e}(a)h}$, respectively. Thus, $\mathfrak{c}_{g\mathfrak{e}(a),\emptyset,h}$ is connected to $\mathfrak{c}_{g,\emptyset,\mathfrak{e}(a)h}$.

Since we assumed that $\mathfrak{e}(\mathcal{Q})$ generates G, we can write $g = \mathfrak{e}(a_1)^{\pm 1} \cdots \mathfrak{e}(a_r)^{\pm 1}$. Using r instances of the paths described above, or their inverses, we can connect any configuration of the form $c_{a,\emptyset,h}$ to the corresponding configuration $c_{1,a,\emptyset,gh}$. We have thus proved that every configuration in Hur₊($\check{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{lr}}$, $\check{\partial}$) can be connected to a configuration of the form $\mathfrak{c}_{1_G, \emptyset, h}$ and these are sent bijectively to G along ω .

3. Bar constructions of Hurwitz spaces

In this section we study the bar constructions of the topological monoids HM and HM. Many arguments of this and the next section are adapted from [\[Hat14\]](#page-63-6), so familiarity with this paper may be valuable.

Recall that a topological monoid M is *group-like* if the monoid $\pi_0(M)$ is a group; a standard argument ensures, in this case, that for every $m \in M$ the maps given by left multiplication $\mu(m, -): M \to M$ and right multiplication $\mu(-, m)$ are self-homotopy equivalences of M. For left multiplication, for instance, one chooses an element $m' \in M$ with $\mu(m', m)$ and $\mu(m, m')$ contained in the same component of the neutral element e; then a homotopy inverse of $\mu(m, -)$ is given by $\mu(m', -)$. Note that this argument strongly relies on M having a *strict* neutral element e.

Unfortunately HM is a unital, but not group-like topological monoid; on the other hand its subspace HM_{+} (see Notation [2.6\)](#page-6-2) is a non-unital, but group-like topological monoid: see Theorem [2.19.](#page-11-1) We will consider the space HM_{+} as a left module over HM in order to exploit the good properties of both spaces.

3.1 Bar constructions

We recall the classical definition of bar construction with respect to a topological monoid M and a left M-module X.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let M be a topological monoid, let X be a left M-module and denote by μ both multiplication maps $M \times M \to M$ and $M \times X \to X$. We define a *semisimplicial* space $B_{\bullet}(M,X)$. For $p \ge 0$, the space $B_p(M,X)$ of p-simplices is $M^p \times X$. The face maps $d_i: B_p(M, X) \to B_{p-1}(M, X)$ are defined as follows:

- $d_0: (m_1, \ldots, m_p, x) \mapsto (m_2, \ldots, m_p, x);$
- $d_i: (m_1, \ldots, m_p) \mapsto (m_1, \ldots, \mu(m_i, m_{i+1}), \ldots, m_p, x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$;
- $d_p: (m_1, \ldots, m_p) \mapsto (m_1, \ldots, m_{p-1}, \mu(m_p, x)).$

The space $B(M, X)$ is the thick geometric realisation of the semisimplicial space $B_{\bullet}(M, X)$, i.e. it is the quotient of $\coprod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times M^p \times X$ by the equivalence relation ~ generated by $(d^i(\underline{w}), \underline{m}, x) \sim$ $(w, d_i(m, x))$, for all choices of the following data:

- $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq p$;
- a point $\underline{w} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{p-1})$ in Δ^{p-1} , represented by its barycentric coordinates $w_0, \ldots, w_{p-1} \geq$ 0 with $w_0 + \cdots + w_{p-1} = 1$;
- a point $(\underline{m}, x) = (m_1, \ldots, m_p, x) \in M^p \times X$.

Here $d^i: \Delta^{p-1} \to \Delta^p$ denotes the standard *i*th face inclusion.

When $X = *$ is a point, we also write BM for $B(M, *)$; when $X = M$ with left multiplication coming from the monoid structure, we also write EM for $B(M, M)$.

In fact Definition [3.1](#page-14-2) only uses that M is an associative non-unital monoid; in §[3.3](#page-17-0) we will recall the *thin* bar construction, which is a simplicial space whose degeneracy maps are defined using the unit $e \in M$.

It is a standard fact that if M is a topological monoid, X is a left M -module and for all $m \in M$ the map $\mu(m; -)$: $X \to X$ is a self-homotopy equivalence of X, then the natural projection map $p_X : B(M, X) \to BM = B(M, *)$ induced by the constant, M-equivariant map $X \to *$ is a quasi-fibration with fibres homeomorphic to X. See, for instance, [\[Hat14,](#page-63-6) Lemma D.1].

LEMMA 3.2. Recall Definitions [2.4](#page-6-1) and [2.12](#page-8-2) and let $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \in \text{HM}$; then the left multiplication $\mu((t, \mathfrak{c}), -)$ *restricts to a self-homotopy equivalence of* HM₊*; moreover, if* $\omega(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathbb{1} \in G$ *, then* $\mu((t, \mathfrak{c}), -)|_{\dot{HM}_+}$ is homotopic to the identity of HM_+ . It follows that

$$
p_{\text{H}\check{\text{M}}_+}:B(\text{H}\check{\text{M}},\text{H}\check{\text{M}}_+)\to B\text{H}\check{\text{M}}
$$

is a quasifibration with fibre $H\tilde{M}_+$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for one configuration (t, \mathfrak{c}) in each connected component of HM: the statement is obvious for $(t, \mathfrak{c}) = (0, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})) \in H\mathcal{M}$, which is the neutral element of HM. Using Theorem [2.19](#page-11-1) we can then assume that $t = 1$ and c has the form $\mathfrak{c}^d_g := (P, \psi, \varphi)$ for some $g \in G$, where:

- $P = \{z_{\diamond}^{\mathrm{d}}\}$ consists of the only point $z_{\diamond}^{\mathrm{d}}$ (see Definition [2.23\)](#page-12-0);
- ψ : $\mathfrak{Q}(P) = \{ \mathbb{1} \} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is the trivial map of PMQs;
- $\varphi: \mathfrak{G}(P) \to G$ sends the unique standard generator of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ to g.

We start with the case $g = \mathbb{1}$. We claim that $\mu((1, \mathfrak{c}_1^d), -)|_{H\mathbb{M}_+}$ is homotopic to the identity of $H\check{M}_+$; by Lemma [2.7](#page-6-4) it suffices to prove that the restriction

$$
\mu((1,\mathfrak{c}_1^d),-)\colon \mathrm{Hur}_+(\breve{\mathcal{R}},\breve{\partial}) \to \mathrm{H\breve{M}}_+
$$

is homotopic to the natural inclusion $Hur_+(\check{\mathcal{R}},\check{\partial}) \hookrightarrow \check{HM}_+$.

First we prove that the maps $\mu((1, c_1^d), -)$ and $\mu((1, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})), -)$ are homotopic maps $Hur_+(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \tilde{\partial}) \to Hur_+(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2, \tilde{\partial})$. We use an argument similar to the proof [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 7.10]. Recall from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.1] that the Ran space $\text{Ran}_+(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2)$ is the space of non-empty finite subsets of \mathcal{R}_2 ; it is weakly contractible [\[Lur17,](#page-63-7) Theorem 5.5.1.6] and using the notion of stan-dard explosion from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Subsection 7.2], one can find a homotopy $\mathscr{E}^{z_0^d}$: Ran₊(\breve{R}_2) × [0, 1] \rightarrow $\text{Ran}_+(\mathcal{R}_2)$ contracting $\text{Ran}_+(\mathcal{R}_2)$ onto the configuration $\{z_\diamond^d\}$. Recall also that there is an *external product* − × −: $\text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{H}) \times \text{Ran}_+(\mathcal{R}_2) \to \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{H})$, which essentially superposes to a configuration in $Hur_+(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2, \tilde{\partial})$ another configuration with trivial monodromies (i.e. a configuration in $\text{Ran}_+(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2)$; see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 5.7 and Notation 5.9].

We consider the following homotopy $\mathcal{H}^{z_0^d}$: $\text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \check{\partial}) \times [0, 1] \to \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \check{\partial})$

$$
\text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{S}) \times [0, 1] \xrightarrow[\text{Id} \times \mathcal{E}^{\times \mathcal{S}^{\text{d}}]} \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{S}) \times \text{Ran}_+(\mathcal{R}_2) \times [0, 1]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{S}) \times \text{Ran}_+(\mathcal{R}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times \mathcal{E}^{\times \mathcal{S}^{\text{d}}}} \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{S}),
$$

where ε : Hur₊(\mathcal{R}_2 , \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow Ran₊(\mathcal{R}_2) is the canonical map $(P, \psi, \varphi) \mapsto P$. Roughly speaking, each point in the support of a configuration in $\text{Hur}_+(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_2, \tilde{\partial})$ is split at time 0 into two points: the first keeps the original local monodromy and does not move; the second carries a trivial local

monodromy and moves straightly to $z^{\rm d}_{\diamond}$; at time 1 all the second points have merged at $z^{\rm d}_{\diamond}$. We observe the following:

- the composition $\mathcal{H}^{z_{\circ}^d}(-,0) \circ \mu((1,(\emptyset,1,1)),-)\colon \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R},\check{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2,\check{\partial})$ is equal to $\mu((1,(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})), -),$ since $\mathcal{H}^{z_0^d}(-, 0)$ is the identity of $\text{Hur}_+(\check{\mathcal{R}}_2, \check{\partial});$
- the composition $\mathcal{H}^{z_{\circ}^d}(-,1) \circ \mu((1,(\emptyset,1,1)),-)\colon \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R},\check{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}_2,\check{\partial})$ is equal to $\mu((1,\mathfrak{c}_\mathbb{1}^\mathbf{d}),-)$.

We thus obtain that $\mu((1, \mathfrak{c}_1^d), -)$ and $\mu((1, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})), -)$ are homotopic as maps $Hur_+(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}) \to$ $\text{Hur}_+(\check{\mathcal{R}}_2, \check{\partial}) \subset \text{HM}_+.$

We then note that $(1,(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}))$ is connected by a path to $(0,(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}))$ in HM; as a consequence $\mu((1,(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})), -)$ and $\mu((0,(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})), -)$ are homotopic as maps $\text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}) \to \text{HM}_+$ and the second map is the natural inclusion. This concludes the case $q = 1$.

Now let $g \neq 1$; by Theorem [2.19](#page-11-1) the three configurations $\mu((1, \mathfrak{c}_{g}^d), (1, \mathfrak{c}_{g^{-1}}^d)), \mu((1, \mathfrak{c}_{g^{-1}}^d),$ $(1, c_g^d)$ and $(1, c_1^d)$ are in the same connected component of HM₊: hence, $\mu((1, c_g^d), -)$ and $\mu((1, \mathfrak{c}_{g^{-1}}^d), -)$ are homotopy inverses as maps $H\check{M}_+ \to H\check{M}_+$.

It is a classical fact that if M is a *unital*, topological monoid, then EM is contractible. In the following proposition we prove an analogous statement for $B(HM, HM_{+})$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. The space $B(H\tilde{M}, H\tilde{M}_+)$ is weakly contractible.

The proof of Proposition [3.3](#page-16-2) is in [Appendix A.3.](#page-58-0) As a consequence of Lemma [3.2](#page-15-0) and Proposition [3.3](#page-16-2) we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *There is a weak equivalence* $H\text{M}_{+} \simeq \Omega B H\text{M}$.

3.2 Pontryagin ring and group completion

If M is a unital topological monoid, $H_*(M)$ is an associative, graded ring with unit, called a Pontryagin ring. We usually denote by $x \cdot y \in H_*(M)$ the Pontryagin product of two homology classes $x, y \in H_*(M)$. The unit $1 \in H_0(M)$ is the homology class corresponding to the connected component of e in $\pi_0(M)$. The subset $\pi_0(M) \subset H_0(M) \subset H_*(M)$ is closed under multiplication.

DEFINITION 3.5. A topological monoid M is *weakly braided* if there is a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{br}: M \times M \to M \times M$ such that:

- if $p_1, p_2 \colon M \times M \to M$ are the two natural projections, then $p_1 \circ \mathfrak{br} = p_2$ as maps $M \times M \to M$ $M:$
- μ and $\mu \circ \mathfrak{br}$ are homotopic as maps $M \times M \to M$.

Note that if M is weakly braided, then the ring localisation $H_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}]$ can be constructed by right fractions: for all $x \in H_*(M)$ and $a \in \pi_0(M)$ there exist $y \in H_*(M)$ and $b \in \pi_0(M)$ with $x \cdot b = a \cdot y$. This follows from setting $b = a$ and $y = (p_2)_* \circ br_*(x \times a)$, where \times denotes the homology cross-product and $p_2: M \times M \to M$ is as in Definition [3.5.](#page-16-3)

LEMMA 3.6. The topological monoid HM is weakly braided.

Proof. We define $\mathfrak{br}: \mathbb{HM} \times \mathbb{HM} \to \mathbb{HM} \times \mathbb{HM}$ by the formula

$$
\mathfrak{br}((t,\mathfrak{c}),(t',\mathfrak{c}'))=((t',\mathfrak{c}'),(t,\mathfrak{c}^{\hat{\omega}(\mathfrak{c}')})),
$$

where $\hat{\omega}$ is the $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -valued total monodromy (see Notation [2.14\)](#page-9-1) and we use the action by global conjugation [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 6.6]. It is clear that br is a homeomorphism and that the first

property in Definition [3.5](#page-16-3) holds. To check the second property, note that br restricts to a map

$$
\mathfrak{br} \colon \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}) \times \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}) \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}) \times \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}).
$$

By Lemma [2.7](#page-6-4) it suffices to prove that μ and $\mu \circ \mathfrak{br}$ are homotopic when considered as maps $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_2)$. Let $\mathcal{R}^{1/2} \subset \mathcal{R}$ be the open unit square $(1/4, 3/4) \times (1/4, 3/4)$ of side length 1/2 centred at $z_c \in \mathcal{R}$; we can regard $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{1/2})$ as an open subspace of $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R})$, containing all configurations supported in $\mathcal{R}^{1/2}$. Note that by restricts to a map

$$
\mathfrak{br} \colon \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}) \times \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}) \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}) \times \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}).
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}$: $\mathbb{C} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a semialgebraic isotopy of \mathbb{C} fixing * at all times, such that $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(-,0) = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(-,1)$ restricts to a homeomorphism $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}^{1/2}$. Then by functoriality there is a deformation of $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}})$ into the subspace $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2})$. Thus, it suffices to prove that the following restricted maps are homotopic:

$$
\mu
$$
, $\mu \circ \mathfrak{br} : \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}) \times \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_2).$

Let \mathcal{H}^{br} : $\mathbb{C} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a semialgebraic isotopy of \mathbb{C} fixing pointwise $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_2$ at all times, such that $\mathcal{H}^{br}(-, 0) = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{br}(-, 1): \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ has the following properties:

- $\mathcal{H}^{br}(-, 1)$ restricts to $\tau_1: \mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2} \to \tau_1(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2})$ (see Definition [2.9\)](#page-7-2);
- $\mathcal{H}^{br}(-, 1)$ restricts to $\tau_{-1}: \tau_1(\mathcal{R}^{1/2}) \to \mathcal{R}^{1/2}$;
- $\mathcal{H}^{br}(-, 1)$ restricts to a self-homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2} \cup \tau_1(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}))$ representing a clockwise half Dehn twist, for instance we may assume that there is a simple loop $\gamma \subset \mathbb{S}_{1,2} \setminus \tau_1(\mathcal{R}^{1/2})$ spinning clockwise around $\tau_1(\mathcal{R}^{1/2})$, such that $\mathcal{H}^{br}(-, 1) \circ \gamma$ is a simple loop contained in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{0,1} \setminus \mathcal{R}^{1/2}$ and spinning clockwise around $\mathcal{R}^{1/2}$.

Then the composition of μ with \mathcal{H}_{*}^{br} gives a homotopy from μ to $\mu \circ \mathfrak{br}$ as maps $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{1/2}) \times$ $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{1/2}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_2).$

Recall that for any topological monoid M there is a canonical map $M \to \Omega BM$: the induced map in homology $H_*(M) \to H_*(\Omega BM)$ sends the multiplicative subset $\pi_0(M) \subset H_*(M)$ to the set of invertible elements of the Pontryagin ring $H_*(\Omega BM)$. Therefore, there is an induced map of rings

$$
H_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}] \to H_*(\Omega BM).
$$

We recall the group completion theorem (see [\[MS76\]](#page-64-1) and [\[FM94,](#page-63-4) Theorem Q.4]).

Theorem 3.7 (Group completion theorem). *Let* M *be a topological monoid and suppose that the localisation* $H_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}]$ *can be constructed by right fractions. Then the canonical map*

$$
H_*(M)[\pi_0(M)^{-1}] \to H_*(\Omega BM)
$$

is an isomorphism of rings.

Using Theorem [3.7](#page-17-1) together with Lemma [3.6](#page-16-4) we obtain an isomorphism of rings

$$
H_*(\mathop{\rm H\dot{M}}\nolimits)[\pi_0(\mathop{\rm H\dot{M}}\nolimits)^{-1}] \cong H_*(\Omega B \mathop{\rm H\dot{M}}\nolimits).
$$

3.3 Thin bar construction

Recall Definition [3.1:](#page-14-2) the semisimplicial space $B_{\bullet}(M, X)$ can be enhanced to a simplicial space by defining the degeneracy map $s_i: B_k(M, X) \to B_{k+1}(M, X)$, for $0 \le i \le k$, by the following formula, where e denotes the neutral element of M ,

$$
s_i \colon (m_1, \ldots, m_k, x) \mapsto (m_1, \ldots, m_i, e, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_k, x).
$$

DEFINITION 3.8. The simplicial space defined above is denoted by $\bar{B}_{\bullet}(M,X)$; its geometric realisation *as a simplicial space* is denoted by $\bar{B}(M,X)$ and called the *thin bar construction*. It is the quotient of BM by the equivalence relation $\bar{\sim}$ generated by $[s^i(\underline{w}), \underline{m}, x] \bar{\sim} [\underline{w}, s_i(\underline{m}, x)]$ for all choices of the following data:

- $p > 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq p$;
- a point $\underline{w} = (w_0, \ldots, w_{p+1})$ in Δ^{p+1} , represented by its barycentric coordinates;
- a point $(\underline{m}, x) = (m_1, \ldots, m_p, x) \in M^p \times X$.

Here $s^i: \Delta^{p+1} \to \Delta^p$ denotes the *i*th degeneracy. The natural projection map is denoted by $p_{\bar{B}} : B(M, X) \to \bar{B}(M, X)$. In the case $X = *$ we also write $\bar{B}M$ for $\bar{B}(M, *)$.

It is a classical fact that if M is well-pointed, then $p_{\bar{B}} : BM \to \bar{B}M$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, as $\bar{B}_{\bullet}(M)$ is a *good* simplicial space in the sense of [\[Seg73,](#page-64-3) Appendix 2]. The monoids HM and HM are well-pointed, as the connected component of the unit $(0, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}))$ is contractible in both cases.

4. Deloopings of Hurwitz spaces

In this section we describe the weak homotopy types of BHM and BHM using suitable, relative Hurwitz spaces. Recall from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 6.9] that a left–right-based (lr-based) nice couple (z^1, \mathfrak{C}, z^r) is a nice couple $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, together with a choice of two points $z^1, z^r \in \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$
\Re(z^1) = \min\{\Re(z) \mid z \in \mathcal{X}\} < \max\{\Re(z) \mid z \in \mathcal{X}\} = \Re(z^r).
$$

We denote by $\text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C})_{z^1,z^r} = \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C};\mathcal{Q},G)_{z^1,z^r}$ the subspace of $\text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C})$ of configurations whose support contains $\{z^1, z^r\}$; recall from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 6.12] that there is an action of $G \times G^{\text{op}}$ on the Hurwitz space $\text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C})_{z\downarrow z^r}$, i.e. there are compatible actions of G on left and on right on this space; by [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemma 6.16] the quotient map

$$
p_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}: \mathrm{Hur}(\mathfrak{C})_{z^{\mathrm{l}},z^{\mathrm{r}}}\to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathfrak{C})_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}:=\mathrm{Hur}(\mathfrak{C})_{z^{\mathrm{l}},z^{\mathrm{r}}}/G\times G^{\mathrm{op}}
$$

is a covering map, with $G \times G^{\text{op}}$ as the group of deck transformations.

THEOREM 4.1. *Recall Definitions* [2.4,](#page-6-1) [2.23](#page-12-0) and [3.1.](#page-14-2) Let (Q, G) be a PMQ–group pair and assume *that* e(Q) *generates* G *as a group; then there are weak homotopy equivalences*

$$
\sigma\colon B\mathrm{H}\mathrm{H}\to \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}},\check{\partial})_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}};\quad \sigma\colon B\mathrm{H}\mathrm{H}\to \mathrm{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}.
$$

Here we consider the lr-based nice couples $(z^1_\diamond, (\check{\diamond}^{lr}, \check{\partial}), z^r_\diamond)$ *and* $(z^1_\diamond, (\diamond, \partial), z^r_\diamond)$ *.*

We will use a classical approach, going back to Segal [\[Seg73\]](#page-64-3), which allows us to model the classifying space of a monoid M, arising from configuration spaces, with another, *relative* configuration space. We will follow tightly the strategy of the proof of [\[Hat14,](#page-63-6) Proposition 3.1] and to some extent we will use the same notation: we do this for convenience of the reader. We focus on the case of HM and write in parentheses the necessary changes for HM.

We will first define the comparison map σ in the two cases and then show that it induces isomorphisms on all homotopy groups.

4.1 Definition of the comparison map

By Definition [3.1](#page-14-2) the space BHM (respectively, BHM) arises as a quotient of the disjoint union $\prod_{p\geq 0}^{\infty} \Delta^p \times \widehat{HM}^p$ (respectively, $\prod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times \widehat{HM}^p$). We will first define σ on this disjoint union and then prove that the given assignment induces a map on the quotient.

Notation 4.2. We usually denote by $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ a point in $\prod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times \overrightarrow{HM}^p$ (respectively, $\prod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times$ HM^p), where:

- $\underline{w} = (w_0, \ldots, w_p)$ is a system of barycentric coordinates in Δ^p , i.e. $w_0, \ldots, w_p \geq 0$ and w_0 + $\cdots + w_n = 1;$
- $\underline{t} = (t_1,\ldots,t_p)$ and $\underline{c} = (c_1,\ldots,c_p)$, such that (t_i,c_i) is an element in H M (in H M) for all $1 \leq i \leq p$.

We usually present c_i as (P_i, ψ_i) (respectively, as (P_i, ψ_i, φ_i)).

Given $(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ as in Notation [4.2,](#page-18-3) note that the product $(t_1, \mathfrak{c}_1) \cdots (t_p, \mathfrak{c}_p)$ has the form $(t_1 + \cdots + t_p, \mathfrak{c})$, with \mathfrak{c} supported on the set

$$
P = P_1 \cup (P_2 + t_1) \cup (P_3 + t_1 + t_2) \cup \cdots \cup (P_p + t_1 + \cdots + t_{p-1}).
$$

By Definition [2.4](#page-6-1) we have $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\infty})$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\infty}, \check{\partial})$), but in the following we will consider c as a configuration in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial})$, see Notation [2.3\)](#page-5-2).

DEFINITION 4.3. The above assignment $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c}) \mapsto \mathfrak{c}$ gives a continuous map

$$
\hat{\mu} \colon \coprod_{p \geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{M})^p \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \quad \left(\text{respectively, } \hat{\mu} \colon \coprod_{p \geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{M})^p \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial}) \right).
$$

Note that $\hat{\mu}$ factors, on each subspace $\Delta^p \times (\hat{HM})^p$ (respectively, $\Delta^p \times (\hat{HM})^p$), through the projection on the factor $(HM)^p$ (respectively, $(H\tilde{M})^p$). The first subspace Δ^0 is sent to the empty product in HM (in HM), i.e. to the neutral element $(0, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})).$

DEFINITION 4.4. For $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ as in Notation [4.2,](#page-18-3) define $a_0 = 0$ and $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^i t_j$ for all $1 \le i \le p$. Define the *barycentre* of $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ as $b = \sum_{i=0}^{p} w_i a_i$. Set $a_i^+ = \max\{a_i, b\}$ and $a_i^- = \min\{a_i, b\}$ for all $0 \le i \le p$ and define the *upper barycentre* and the *lower barycentre* as $b^+ = \sum_{i=0}^p w_i a_i^+$ and $b^- = \sum_{i=0}^p w_i a_i^-$.

See [Figure 5\(](#page-22-0)left). Note that the barycentres b, b^+, b^- vary continuously on $\coprod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathring{\rm HM})^p$ (on $\prod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\widetilde{HM})^p$), but do not factor to continuous functions on $B\widetilde{HM}$ (respectively, $B\widetilde{HM}$): indeed, if $w_0 = 0$, the triple $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ is equivalent to the triple $(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{\mathfrak{c}}')$ obtained by removing w_0 , t_1 and c_1 ; all barycentres b, b^+, b^- drop by t_1 when passing from the first to the second triple. Nevertheless, the *differences* $b^+ - b$ and $b - b^-$ factor to continuous functions defined on BH \dot{M} $(respectively, BHM).$

Note also that for all $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ we have $a_0 \leq b^- \leq b \leq b^+ \leq a_p$. More precisely, let $i_{\min} \geq 0$ be minimal with $w_{i_{\min}} > 0$ and let $i_{\max} \leq p$ be maximal with $w_{i_{\max}} > 0$; then $a_{i_{\min}} \leq b^- \leq b \leq$ $b^+ \le a_{i_{\text{max}}}$, with all these inequalities strict unless they are all equalities: in this case all t_i with $i_{\min} < i \leq i_{\max}$ are equal to 0 and all corresponding c_i are equal to $(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$, so that $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ is equal to the product $(t_1,\mathfrak{c}_1)\cdots(t_{i_{\text{min}}},\mathfrak{c}_{i_{\text{min}}})\cdot(t_{i_{\text{max}}+1},\mathfrak{c}_{i_{\text{max}}+1})\cdots(t_p,\mathfrak{c}_p)$. In particular, if $b^$ $b = b^+$, then we have that $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ is supported away from $\mathbb{S}_{b,b}$, in fact it is supported away from $\mathbb{S}_{b-\varepsilon,b+\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

DEFINITION 4.5. We define a continuous function $\varepsilon: \prod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\hat{\text{HM}})^p \to [0, 1]$ (respectively, $\varepsilon: \prod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\text{H}\check{\mathbf{M}})^p \to [0,1])$: for $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ as in Notation [4.2,](#page-18-3) we denote by $P \subset \mathbb{R} \times [0,1]$ the support of $\hat{\mu}(w; t, \mathbf{c})$ and set

$$
\varepsilon \colon (\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}) = \frac{1}{2} \sup \{ t \in [0, 1] \, | \, P \cap \mathbb{S}_{b^- - t, b^+ + t} = \emptyset \},
$$

where upper and lower barycentres are computed with respect to $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$. We denote $b_{\varepsilon}^- = b^- - \varepsilon$ and $b_{\varepsilon}^{+} = b^{+} + \varepsilon$.

We observe that ε satisfies the following properties:

- for all $(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ satisfying $b^- = b^+$, we have $\varepsilon(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}) > 0$;
- for all $(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ with $\varepsilon(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{c}) > 0$, the configuration $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}, \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ is supported away from $\mathbb{S}_{b^-\text{--}\varepsilon,b^+\text{+-}\varepsilon}.$

The advantage of replacing b^- and b^+ by b^-_ε and b^+_ε is that we now have strict inequalities $b_{\varepsilon}^- < b < b_{\varepsilon}^+$ for all $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$. As we will see, the disadvantage that $b_{\varepsilon}^+ - b_{\varepsilon}^-$ does not factor through a function defined on $B\text{H\check{M}}$ (respectively, on $B\text{H\check{M}}$) will be inessential.

Recall the proof of Lemma [2.7:](#page-6-4) for $s > 0$ the map $\Lambda_s : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an endomorphism of the nice couple $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \emptyset)$ (respectively, $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial})$), depending continuously on s. We obtain a continuous map

$$
\Lambda_*: \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times (0, \infty) \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \quad \text{(respectively, } \Lambda_*: \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathring{\partial}) \times (0, \infty) \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathring{\partial})\text{)}.
$$

Similarly, recall Definition [2.9:](#page-7-2) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the map τ_t is an endomorphism of the nice couple $(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}},\emptyset)$ (respectively, $(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}},\check{\partial})$), depending continuously on t. We obtain a continuous map

 $\tau_*\colon \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \times \mathbb{R} \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}})$ (respectively, $\tau_*\colon \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathring{\partial}) \times \mathbb{R} \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathring{\partial})$).

DEFINITION 4.6. We define a map

$$
\hat{\mu}^b \colon \coprod_{p \ge 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathring{\text{HM}})^p \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \quad \left(\text{respectively, } \hat{\mu}^b \colon \coprod_{p \ge 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathring{\text{HM}})^p \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \right)
$$

by the following assignment:

$$
(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}) \mapsto \Lambda_*\bigg(\tau_* (\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}); -b^-_{\varepsilon}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})); \frac{1}{b^+_{\varepsilon}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}) - b^-_{\varepsilon}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})}\bigg).
$$

Roughly speaking, the map $\hat{\mu}^b$ has the effect of a horizontal translation and a dilation of the configuration $\hat{\mu}(w; t, \mathfrak{c})$: the effect of the translation and dilation is to map the rectangle $[b^-_\varepsilon,b^+_\varepsilon]\times[0,1]$ homeomorphically onto the unit square $\mathcal{R}.$

DEFINITION 4.7. Let $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ denote the interior of \circ (see Definition [2.23\)](#page-12-0) and denote $\mathbb{R} + \sqrt{-1}/2 =$ $\{t + \sqrt{-1}/2 \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}\subset \mathbb{H}$. We introduce several nice couples:

$$
\bullet \ \breve{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square} = (\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}); \quad \bullet \ \breve{\mathfrak{C}}^{\diamond} = \left(\breve{\delta}^{\mathrm{lr}} \cup \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right), \left(\breve{\delta}^{\mathrm{lr}} \cup \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right) \right) \setminus \mathring{\delta} \right);
$$

$$
\bullet \ \mathfrak{C}^{\square} = (\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}); \quad \bullet \ \mathfrak{C}^{\diamond} = \left(\diamond \cup \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right), \left(\diamond \cup \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right) \right) \setminus \mathring{\diamond} \right).
$$

Since Id_C is a map of nice couples $(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \emptyset) \to \check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square}$ (respectively, $(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial}) \to \mathfrak{C}^{\square}$), it induces a $\text{map}\ \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square}) \text{ (respectively, } \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\square})\text{). } \text{See Figure 4.}$ $\text{map}\ \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square}) \text{ (respectively, } \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\square})\text{). } \text{See Figure 4.}$ $\text{map}\ \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square}) \text{ (respectively, } \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\square})\text{). } \text{See Figure 4.}$

Notation 4.8. By abuse of notation we will also denote by $\hat{\mu}^b$ the composition

$$
\Pi_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{H}^{\circ})^p \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}^b} \mathbf{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_{*}} \mathbf{Hur}(\mathring{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square})
$$
\n
$$
\left(\text{respectively } \Pi_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{HM})^p \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu}^b} \mathbf{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_{*}} \mathbf{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\square})\right)
$$

FIGURE 4. Top: nice couples $\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\diamond}$. Bottom: nice couples \mathfrak{C}^{\square} and \mathfrak{C}^{\diamond} .

DEFINITION 4.9. We define maps κ^- and κ^+ : $\mathbb{C} \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ by the formulas

$$
\kappa^{-}(z,s) = \begin{cases}\nz & \text{if } \Re(z) \ge 0, \\
z - \Re(z) & \text{if } -s \le \Re(z) \le 0, \\
z + s & \text{if } \Re(z) \le -s;\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\kappa^{+}(z,s) = \begin{cases}\nz & \text{if } \Re(z) \le 1, \\
z - \Re(z) + 1 & \text{if } 1 \le \Re(z) \le 1 + s, \\
z - s & \text{if } \Re(z) \ge 1 + s.\n\end{cases}
$$

Roughly speaking, both κ^- and κ^+ fix the vertical strip $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $s \geq 0$; the map $\kappa^{-}(-, s)$ collapses the strip $[-s, 0] \times \mathbb{R}$ to the vertical line $\mathbb{C}_{\Re=0}$ and translates $(-\infty, s] \times \mathbb{R}$ to the right; instead $\kappa^+(-, s)$ collapses the strip $[1, 1 + s] \times \mathbb{R}$ to the vertical line $\mathbb{C}_{\Re^{-1}}$ and translates $[1 + s, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ to the left.

Both $\kappa^-(-,s)$ and $\kappa^+(-,s)$ are morphisms of nice couples $\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square} \to \check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{C}^{\square} \to \mathfrak{C}^{\square}$) for all $s \geq 0$. We obtain continuous maps

$$
\kappa_*^-,\,\kappa_*^+:\mathrm{Hur}(\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square})\times[0,\infty)\to \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square})
$$

(respectively, $\kappa_*^-,\,\kappa_*^+:\mathrm{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\square})\times[0,\infty)\to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\square})$).

Notation 4.10. Recall Definition [2.25;](#page-12-3) we use the notation $\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond := \mathcal{H}^\diamond(-; 1)$: $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Note that \mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond} is a morphism of nice couples $\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square} \to \check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\diamond}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{C}^{\square} \to \mathfrak{C}^{\diamond}$).

FIGURE 5. Left: the product $(t_1, c_1)(t_2, c_2)(t_3, c_3)$ of three configurations in HM; for a given $\underline{w} \in \mathring{A}^3$ the barycentres $b, b^+_\varepsilon, b^-_\varepsilon$ are shown as dotted, vertical lines. We use the letter 'q' to represent the Q-valued monodromies around points in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Right: the image of $(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{c})$ along $\check{\sigma}$; the question marks suggest that, because of the quotient by the $G \times G^{\text{op}}$ -action, the monodromies of the loops spinning around z^1_\diamond and z^r_\diamond are just not defined.

DEFINITION 4.11. We define a map

$$
\hat{\mu}^{\square} \colon \coprod_{p \ge 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathring{\mathrm{HM}})^p \to \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\square}) \quad \left(\text{respectively, } \hat{\mu}^{\square} \colon \coprod_{p \ge 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathring{\mathrm{HM}})^p \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\square}) \right)
$$

by the following assignment, where $p, a_p, b_{\varepsilon}^-$ and b_{ε}^+ depend on $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$:

$$
\hat{\mu}^{\square}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}) = \kappa_{*}^{-} \left(\kappa_{*}^{+} \left(\hat{\mu}^{b}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}); \frac{a_{p} - b_{\varepsilon}^{+}}{b_{\varepsilon}^{+} - b_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \right); \frac{b_{\varepsilon}^{-} - a_{0}}{b_{\varepsilon}^{+} - b_{\varepsilon}^{-}} \right).
$$

We further define

$$
\hat{\mu}^{\diamond} \colon \coprod_{p \geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{M})^p \to \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\diamond}) \quad \left(\text{respectively, } \hat{\mu}^{\diamond} \colon \coprod_{p \geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{M})^p \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\diamond}) \right)
$$

as the composition $(\mathcal{H}_1^{\diamondsuit})_* \circ \hat{\mu}^{\square}$.

Roughly speaking, $\hat{\mu}^{\Box}$ improves the effect of $\hat{\mu}^b$ as follows: $\hat{\mu}^b(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ is a configuration supported in the rectangle $[(b_{\varepsilon} - a_0)/(b_{\varepsilon}^+ - b_{\varepsilon}^-), 1 + (a_p - b_{\varepsilon}^+)/(b_{\varepsilon}^+ - b_{\varepsilon}^-)] \times [0,1]$ and the further application of κ_*^- and κ_*^+ collapse $\hat{\mu}^b(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ to a configuration supported in \mathcal{R} . The further

composition $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}$ changes the configuration $\hat{\mu}^{\square}(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{c})$ to a configuration $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{c})$ supported in \Diamond .

Note that there is a natural inclusion of spaces $\text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}},\check{\partial}) \subset \text{Hur}(\check{\mathfrak{C}}^{\diamond})$ (respectively, $\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial) \subset \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}^{\diamond})$. The following lemma summarises the previous discussion.

LEMMA 4.12. *The map* $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}$ *has values inside* Hur($\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}$, $\check{\partial}$) *(inside* Hur(\diamond , ∂)*)*.

Proof. Let (w, t, c) be as in Notation [4.2.](#page-18-3) Then $\hat{\mu}^b(w; t, c)$ is supported in the rectangle $((-b_\varepsilon^- - a_0)/(b_\varepsilon^+ - b_\varepsilon^-), 1 + (a_p - b_\varepsilon^+)/(b_\varepsilon^+ - b_\varepsilon^-)) \times (0, 1)$ (in the rectangle $((-b_\varepsilon^- - a_0)/(b_\varepsilon^+ - b_\varepsilon^-))$ $(b_{\varepsilon}^{\dagger}), 1+(a_p-b_{\varepsilon}^{\dagger})/(b_{\varepsilon}^{\dagger}-b_{\varepsilon}^{\dagger})) \times [0,1]$. This rectangle is mapped to $\mathcal{R}^{\rm lr}$ (to \mathcal{R}) by the composition $\kappa_*^-(\kappa_*^+(-; (a_p - b_\varepsilon^+)/(b_\varepsilon^+ - b_\varepsilon^-)); (b_\varepsilon^- - a_0)/(b_\varepsilon^+ - b_\varepsilon^-))$ and the map \mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond} sends $\mathcal{R}^{\rm lr}$ to $\breve{\diamond}^{\rm lr}$ (respectively, $\mathcal R$ to \diamond).

We consider now the external product

$$
- \times - : \text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}) \times \text{Ran}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}) \to \text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})
$$

(respectively,
$$
- \times - : \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial) \times \text{Ran}(\diamond) \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial))
$$

from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 5.7 and Notation 5.9] and evaluate the second component at $\check{\partial} \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}} = \{z^{\text{l}}_{\diamond}, z^{\text{r}}_{\diamond}\},\$ thus obtaining a map $-\times \check{\partial} \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}\colon \text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}) \to \text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial} \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}$ (respectively, $-\times$ $\breve{\partial} \breve{\diamond}^{lr}$: Hur $(\diamond, \partial) \rightarrow \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\breve{\partial} \breve{\diamond}^{lr}}$.

DEFINITION 4.13. We denote by $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{lr}}$ the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\coprod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{H}^\circ \mathbf{M})^p &\xrightarrow{\quad \hat{\mu}^\circ} \mathbf{H} \text{ur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial}) \xrightarrow{\quad - \times \partial \check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}} \mathbf{H} \text{ur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial} \check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}} \\
(\text{resp. } \underline{\text{II}}_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times (\mathbf{H}^\circ \mathbf{M})^p \xrightarrow{\quad \hat{\mu}^\circ} \mathbf{H} \text{ur}(\diamond, \partial) \xrightarrow{\quad - \times \check{\partial}^{\text{lr}}} \mathbf{H} \text{ur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\check{\partial} \check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $\check{\sigma}$ the composition of $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{\mathrm{lr}}}$ with the covering projection

$$
p_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}\colon \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}} \to \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}} (\mathrm{respectively}, p_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}\colon \mathrm{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}} \to \mathrm{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}).
$$

Here we regard $(\check{\diamond}^{lr}, \check{\partial})$ (respectively, (\diamond, ∂)) as an lr-based nice couple, using the two points z^1_{\diamond} and $z_{\diamond}^{\mathrm{r}}$ of $\breve{\partial} \breve{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}$. See [Figure 5.](#page-22-0)

Roughly speaking, $\hat{\mu}^{\circ}_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{lr}}$ improves the effect of $\hat{\mu}^{\circ}$ by forcing the presence of z^l_{\circ} and z^r_{\circ} in support of the configuration $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{c})$, which is already supported in $\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}$ (in \diamond); if either point $z^1_\diamond, z^r_\diamond$ is already in support of $\hat{\mu}^\diamond(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$, then its local monodromy does not change when passing to $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}_{\check{\partial}\delta^{\text{dr}}}(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{\mathfrak{c}})$. The further composition $\check{\sigma}$ forgets the monodromy information around the two points $z^{\mathrm{I}}_{\diamond}$ and $z^{\mathrm{r}}_{\diamond}$ of the support of $\hat{\mu}^{\diamond}_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{\mathrm{lr}}}(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{\mathfrak{c}})$.

Notation 4.14. We endow $B\hat{H}M$ and $\overline{B}\hat{H}M$ (respectively, $B\hat{H}M$ and $\overline{B}\hat{H}M$) with the basepoint corresponding to the (unique) 0-simplex in $B_{\bullet}(\tilde{HM},*)$ (in $B_{\bullet}(H\tilde{M},*))$. Similarly $Hur(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}},\check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ (respectively, Hur $(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{op}}$) is endowed with the basepoint given by $p_{G,G^{op}}((\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \times \breve{\partial}^{\&r})$. We denote this basepoint by $\mathfrak{c}^{\text{lr}} \in \text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{c}^{\text{lr}} \in \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}.$

PROPOSITION 4.15. *The map* $\check{\sigma}$ *from Definition [4.13](#page-23-0) sends every sequence* $(w; t, \mathfrak{c})$ *satisfying* $\varepsilon(w;t,\mathfrak{c}) > 0$ *to the basepoint* \mathfrak{c}^{lr} *. Moreover,* $\check{\sigma}$ descends to a pointed map σ : BHM \rightarrow $Hur(\delta^l, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{op}}$ *(respectively,* $\sigma \colon \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}) \to Hur(\delta, \partial)_{G,G^{op}}$ *); the map* σ *descends further to a pointed map* $\bar{\sigma}$: $\bar{B}\tilde{H\M} \to \text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ *(respectively,* $\bar{\sigma}$: $\bar{B}\tilde{H\M} \to \text{Hur}(\check{\delta}, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$).

The proof of Proposition [4.15](#page-23-1) is in [Appendix A.4.](#page-60-0) Since the quotient map $BHM \rightarrow \overline{B}HM$ (respectively, $BHM \to \bar{B}HM$) is a weak equivalence, Theorem [4.1](#page-18-2) reduces to proving that the map $\bar{\sigma}$ is a weak equivalence. In fact, we will prove surjectivity of σ_* and injectivity of $\bar{\sigma}_*$ on homotopy groups.

4.2 Surjectivity on homotopy groups

We fix $q \ge 0$ and want to show that $\sigma_* \colon \pi_q(BH\text{M}) \to \pi_q(\text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}})$ (respectively, $\sigma_*: \pi_q(BHM) \to \pi_q(Hur(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}})$ is surjective. For $q = 0$ this will imply, in particular, that $\text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ (respectively, $\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$) is connected.

Notation 4.16. We denote by $H\dot{M}_{\phi} \subset H\dot{M}$ (respectively, $H\dot{M}_{\phi} \subset H\dot{M}$) the component of the neutral element: it contains all couples $(t,(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}))$ for $t \geq 0$.

Note that \widehat{HM}_{\emptyset} (respectively, \widehat{HM}_{\emptyset}) is a contractible topological monoid, hence the subspace $BHM_{\emptyset} \subset BHM$ (respectively, $BHM_{\emptyset} \subset BHM$) is also contractible. Moreover, the map σ sends $B\text{H}\text{M}_{\emptyset}$ (respectively, $B\text{H}\text{M}_{\emptyset}$) constantly to the basepoint \mathfrak{c}^{lr} .

In order to prove that σ induces an isomorphism on q-homotopy groups, it suffices to prove that the map $\sigma_* \colon \pi_q(BH\hat{M}, BH\hat{M}_\emptyset) \to \pi_q(Hur(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G, G^{\text{op}}})$ (respectively, $\sigma_*: \pi_q(BH\tilde{M}, BH\tilde{M}_{\emptyset}) \to \pi_q(Hur(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}})$ is an isomorphism, i.e. we can consider relative homotopy groups.

To show that σ_* is surjective, represent an element of $\pi_q(\text{Hur}(\breve{\otimes}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})_{G, G^{\text{op}}})$ (of $\pi_q(\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}})$) by a map

$$
f: D^q \to \text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G, G^{\text{op}}}
$$
 (respectively, $f: D^q \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}})$

sending ∂D^q to the basepoint \mathfrak{c}^{lr} . Thus, for all $v \in D^q$ we have an orbit $f(v)=[\mathfrak{c}_v]_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}$ of the action of $G \times G^{op}$ on $\text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}$ (on $\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}$). We choose for all $v \in D^q$ a representative $\mathfrak{c}_v = (P_v, \psi_v, \varphi_v)$ of $f(v)$; note that the sets $P_v \subset \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}$ (respectively, $P_v \subset \diamond$) do not depend on this choice; similarly the evaluation of ψ_v and φ_v is independent of the choice of \mathfrak{c}_v on those elements of $\mathfrak{Q}(P_v)$ and $\mathfrak{G}(P_v)$ that can be represented by a loop contained in $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \setminus P_v$.

For all $v \in D^q$ we can find an open interval $J_v \subset (0,1)$ and a neighbourhood $v \in V_v \subseteq D^q$ such that for all $v' \in V_v$ the finite set $\Re(P_{v'})$ is disjoint from J_v . Using the compactness of D^q , we can then choose a cover of D^q by finitely many open sets V_i with corresponding open intervals $J_i \subset (0,1)$, satisfying $\Re(P_v) \cap J_i = \emptyset$ for all $v \in V_i$. After shrinking the intervals J_i appropriately, we can assume they are disjoint. To fix notation, we assume that we have r open sets V_1,\ldots,V_r , such that the corresponding intervals J_1, \ldots, J_r appear in this order, from left to right, on $(0, 1)$.

We choose numbers $A_i \in J_i$: note that \mathbb{S}_{A_i,A_i} is disjoint from P_v for all $v \in V_i$ and that the numbers A_1, \ldots, A_r are all distinct. We fix weights $W_i : D^q \to [0, 1]$ giving a partition of unity on D^q subordinate to the covering $\{V_1,\ldots,V_r\}$. We also assume that for each $v \in D^q$ there are at least *two* distinct indices $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $W_i(v) > 0$.

Notation 4.17. Let $0 < t < t' < 1$. We denote by $\delta_{t,t'}^{\text{lr}}$ (respectively, $(\delta_{t,t'}, \partial)$) the space δ^{lr} $(t, t') \times \mathbb{R}$ (the nice couple $(\diamond \cap (t, t') \times \mathbb{R}, \partial \diamond \cap (t, t') \times \mathbb{R})$).

Recall Notation [2.3:](#page-5-2) we denote by $\mathcal{R}_{t,t'}$ (by $(\mathcal{R}_{t,t'}, \check{\partial})$) the space $(t,t') \times (0,1)$ (the nice couple $((t, t') \times [0, 1], (t, t') \times \{0, 1\}).$

LEMMA 4.18. For all $0 < t < t' < 1$ the map \mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond} induces a homeomorphism $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{t,t'}) \cong$ $\text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}_{t,t'}^{\text{lr}})$ (respectively, $\text{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{t,t'}, \breve{\partial}) \cong \text{Hur}(\diamond_{t,t'}, \partial)).$

Proof. Note that \mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond} restricts to a semialgebraic homeomorphism of the subspace

$$
\mathbb{T} := ((0,1) \times \mathbb{R}) \cup \{*\} \subset \mathbb{C}.
$$

The space $\mathbb T$ is contractible and the interior $\mathbb{\mathring{T}}$ contains the spaces $\mathcal{\mathring{R}}_{t,t'}$ and $\mathcal{\mathring{S}}_{t,t'}^{\text{lr}}$ (the spaces $\mathcal{\mathring{R}}_{t,t'}$ and $\Diamond_{t,t'}$). Moreover, the space $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t,t'}$ (the nice couple $(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t,t'}, \mathring{\partial})$) is mapped along \mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond} homeomorphically to the space $\delta_{t,t'}^{\text{lr}}$ (to the nice couple $(\delta_{t,t'}, \partial)$). It follows that \mathcal{H}_1° induces a homeomorphism $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{t,t'}) \cong \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\breve{\mathcal{S}}_{t,t'}^{\text{lr}})$ (respectively, $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{t,t'}, \breve{\partial}) \cong \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\diamond_{t,t'}, \partial)$) and the statement is a consequence of the natural homeomorphism $i_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$: Hur $(\mathfrak{C}) \cong \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathfrak{C})$ holding for all nice couples \mathfrak{C} contained in the interior of \mathbb{T} .

Notation 4.19. For all $v \in D^q$ we list the indices $1 \leq i_0 < \cdots < i_{p_v} \leq r$ satisfying $W_{i_i}(v) > 0$, for some $p_v \ge 1$ depending on v: here, recall our assumption that for each $v \in D^q$ there are at least two indices i with $W_i(v) > 0$. We denote by $A_0^v, \ldots, A_{p_v}^v$ the list $A_{i_0}, \ldots, A_{i_{p_v}}$. We set $B^v = \sum_{j=0}^{p_v} W_{i_j}(v) A_{i_j}^v$. For all $0 \le j \le p_v$ we set $A_i^{v,+} = \max\{A_i^v, B^v\}$ and $A_i^{v,-} = \min\{A_i^v, B^v\}$. We set $B^{v,+} = \sum_{j=0}^{p_v} W_{i_j}(v) A_{i_j}^{v,+}$ and $B^{v,-} = \sum_{j=0}^{p_v} W_{i_j}(v) A_{i_j}^{v,-}$.

Note that the numbers B^v , $B^{v,+}$ and $B^{v,-}$ vary continuously in $v \in D^q$ and attain values in (0, 1). Note also that we have a sequence of strict inequalities $0 < A_0^v < B^{v,-} < B^v < B^{v,+} <$ $A_{p_v}^v < 1$. In the following, for all $1 \leq j \leq p_v$ we construct a configuration $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j} = (P_{v,j}, \psi_{v,j}, \varphi_{v,j})$ in $\text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}^{\text{lr}},\breve{\partial})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\partial)$): roughly speaking, $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}$ will be the part of \mathfrak{c}_v contained in the vertical strip $(A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v) \times \mathbb{R}$. See [Figure 6.](#page-26-0)

To define $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}$, note that P_v is disjoint from the vertical lines $\{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v\} \times \mathbb{R}$; as a consequence P_v is contained in the disjoint union $\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}_{A^v_{j-1}, A^v_j} \sqcup (\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}} \setminus \mathbb{S}_{A^v_{j-1}, A^v_j})$ (respectively, $\diamond_{A^v_{j-1}, A^v_j} \sqcup$ $(\diamond \setminus \mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v})$; note that the first space $\check{\diamond}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v}^{\text{lr}}$ (respectively, $\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v}$) is contained in the interior of $\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v}$, whereas the second space $\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}} \setminus \mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v}$ (respectively, $\diamond \setminus \mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v}$) is contained in the interior of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v}$. We use the restriction map

$$
\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}^{\mathbb{C}}: \mathrm{Hur}\Big(\check{\diamond}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}^{\mathrm{lr}}\sqcup\big(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}\setminus \mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}\big), \check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}\Big) \to \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}\Big(\check{\diamond}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}^{\mathrm{lr}}\Big)\\ \Big(\text{respectively, } \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}^{\mathbb{C}}: \mathrm{Hur}\Big(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}\sqcup\big(\diamond\setminus \mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}\big), \partial\Big) \to \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}\Big(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}, \partial\Big)\Big)
$$

from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.15] and define $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}$ as the image of \mathfrak{c}_v along this map. We can then use the canonical identification

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}^{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathrm{Hur}\Big(\check{\otimes}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}^{\mathrm{lr}}\Big) &\cong \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}\Big(\check{\otimes}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}^{\mathrm{lr}}\Big)\\ \Big(\text{respectively, } \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}^{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathrm{Hur}\big(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\partial\big)\to \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}\big(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\partial\big)\Big) \end{aligned}
$$

to regard $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}$ as a configuration in $\text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v}, \partial)$).

Note that $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}$ does not depend on the choice of a representative \mathfrak{c}_v of $f(v)=[\mathfrak{c}_v]_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}$. Let $\mathbb T$ be as in the proof of Lemma [4.18;](#page-24-1) then we can regard $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}$ as a configuration in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}_{A_{j-1}^v, A_j^v})$ (in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\partial)$) using the canonical identification $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}}^{\mathbb{T}}$.

By Lemma [4.18](#page-24-1) we can consider for all $1 \leq j \leq p_v$ the configuration $(\mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond})_*^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}_{v,j})$ lying in the space $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\mathring{\mathcal{C}})$ (in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\mathring{\mathcal{C}})$); using the identification $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we can regard $(\mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond})_*^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}_{v,j})$ as lying in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\check{\partial})$). Composing further with the map

FIGURE 6. Left: a configuration $\mathfrak{c}_v \in \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\partial \delta^{pr}}$, in the case $p_v = 1$. Right: the clipped configuration $\mathfrak{c}_{v,1} \in \text{Hur}(\diamond_{A_0^v, A_1^v}, \partial)$.

 $\tau_{-A_{j-1}^v}$ we obtain configurations

$$
\mathfrak{c}'_{v,j} := (\tau_{-A_{j-1}^v})_*((\mathcal{H}_1^\diamond)^{-1}_*(\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}))
$$

lying in $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{A_j^v-A_{j-1}^v})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{A_j^v-A_{j-1}^v},\check{\partial}))$, for $1 \leq j \leq p_v$.

In other words, we obtain couples $(A_1^v - A_0^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,1}), \ldots, (A_{p_v}^v - A_{p_v-1}^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,p_v})$ in HM (in HM); the product $(A_1^v - A_0^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,1}) \cdots (A_{p_v}^v - A_{p_v-1}^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,p_v})$ of these configurations has the form $(A_{p_v}^v - A_{p_v-1}^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,p_v})$ (A_0^v, \mathfrak{c}') , for some $\mathfrak{c}' \in \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{A_{pv}^v} - A_0^v)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{c}' \in \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{A_{pv}^v} - A_0^v, \check{\partial}))$): we then have, roughly speaking, that $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond}_{1}(\tau_{A_0^v}(\mathfrak{c}'))$ recovers the part of \mathfrak{c}_v in the vertical strip $(A_0^v, A_{p_v}^v) \times \mathbb{R}$.

We can define a map $g: D^q \to B\check{HM}$ (respectively, $g: D^q \to B\check{HM}$) by the formula

$$
v \mapsto (W_{i_0}(v), \dots, W_{i_{p_v}}(v); (A_1^v - A_0^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,1}), \dots, (A_{p_v}^v - A_{p_v-1}^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,p_v})).
$$

To see that g is continuous, note that if a weight $W_{i_j}(v)$ goes to 0, then the number A_j^v is dropped from the list $A_0^v, \ldots, A_{p_v}^v$ and the following happens:

• if $1 \leq j \leq p_v - 1$, then the configurations $(A_j^v - A_{j-1}^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,j})$ and $(A_{j+1}^v - A_j^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,j+1})$ are replaced in the formula above by their product in $H\tilde{M}$ (in $H\tilde{M}$), according to the identifications defining $B\overline{\text{HM}}$ (respectively, $B\overline{\text{HM}}$); this is compatible with the fact that the configurations $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{v,j+1}$ are 'adjacent' in δ^{lr} (in \circ) and if A_j^v is dropped these two configurations are replaced in the construction by their 'concatenation' in $\text{Hur}(\breve{\otimes}^{\text{lr}}_{A_{j-1}^v,A_{j+1}^v})$ (respectively, $\text{Hur}(\diamond A_{j-1}^v, A_{j+1}^v, \partial)$, which is up to canonical identifications the configuration $i_{s_{A_{j-1}^v, A_{j+1}^v}}^{\mathbb{C}}(c_v)$;

• if $j = 0$ or $j = p_v$, then the configuration $(A_1^v - A_0^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,1})$ or $(A_{p_v}^v - A_{p_v-1}^v, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,p_v})$ is dropped in the formula above, according to the identifications defining of B^{THM} (respectively, B^{THM}); this is compatible with the fact that the configuration $c_{v,0}$ or c_{v,p_v} is also dropped in the construction, as soon as A_0^v or $A_{p_v}^v$ is dropped.

Note also that g sends ∂D^q inside $B\hat{H}M_{\emptyset}$ (inside $B\hat{H}M_{\emptyset}$): in fact, if $f(v) = c^{\text{lr}}$, then all configurations $\mathfrak{c}'_{v,j}$ are supported on the empty set. For $v \in D^q$ we remark, moreover, the equalities $B^{v,-} - A_0^v = b^-(g(v))$ and $B^{v,+} - A_0^v = b^+(g(v))$, by virtue of which the lower and upper barycentres of $q(v)$ can be recovered from the numbers $B^{v,-}$ and $B^{v,+}$ and vice versa, once the 'translation parameter' A_0^v is known; in particular, $B^{v,+} - B^{v,-} = b^+(g(v)) - b^-(g(v))$.

We are left to prove that σg is homotopic to f, relative to ∂D^q , i.e. they represent the same element in $\pi_q(\text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})_{G, G^{\text{op}}})$ (in $\pi_q(\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}})$).

DEFINITION 4.20. Recall Definitions [2.22](#page-12-4) and [2.25.](#page-12-3) For all $0 < t < 1$ we define homotopies $\mathcal{H}_t^{\diamond,1},\mathcal{H}_t^{\diamond,\mathrm{r}}\colon \mathbb{C}\times[0,1]\to\mathbb{C}$ by the following formula:

$$
\mathcal{H}_t^{\diamond,\bullet}(z,s) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_t^{\bullet}(z,s) + (\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z) - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(\mathcal{H}_t^{\bullet}(z,s)))\sqrt{-1} & \text{if } z \notin \mathring{\diamond}, \ \Im(z) \ge \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathcal{H}_t^{\bullet}(z,s) - (\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z) - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(\mathcal{H}_t^{\bullet}(z,s)))\sqrt{-1} & \text{if } z \notin \mathring{\diamond}, \ \Im(z) \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathcal{H}_t^{\bullet}(z,s) - \left(\Im(z) - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(\mathcal{H}_t^{\bullet}(z,s)) - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)}{1 - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)} \sqrt{-1} & \text{if } z \in \mathring{\diamond}, \ z \ne z_{\circ}^1, z_{\circ}^r. \end{cases}
$$

Here \bullet is either l or r.

Roughly speaking, $\mathcal{H}_t^{\diamond,1}$ collapses the part of \diamond contained in $[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}$ to z^1_\diamond and expands the other part $\Diamond \setminus [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}$ inside \Diamond ; similar remarks hold for $\mathcal{H}_t^{\Diamond, r}$.

Note that for $z \in \diamond$ close to z^1_\diamond we have the equalities

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(\mathcal{H}_t^1(z,s)) - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)}{\frac{1}{2} - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)} = s \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(\mathcal{H}_t^r(z,s)) - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)}{\frac{1}{2} - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)} = \frac{-s + st}{1 - s + st}.
$$

Similarly, for $z \in \diamond$ close to z^{r}_{\diamond} we have

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(\mathcal{H}_t^1(z,s)) - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)}{\frac{1}{2} - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)} = \frac{st}{1 - st} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(\mathcal{H}_t^r(z,s)) - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)}{\frac{1}{2} - \mathfrak{d}^{\diamond}(z)} = s.
$$

In particular, the homotopies $\mathcal{H}_t^{\diamond,1}, \mathcal{H}_t^{\diamond,r}$ are continuous; note also that they depend continuously on $t \in (0, 1)$, so that we can define continuous maps

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\diamond, l}, \, \mathcal{H}^{\diamond, r} : \mathbb{C} \times [0, 1] \times (0, 1) \to \mathbb{C}
$$

by $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond, \mathbf{l}}(z, s, t) = \mathcal{H}^{\diamond, \mathbf{l}}_t(z, s)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond, \mathbf{r}}(z, s, t) = \mathcal{H}^{\diamond, \mathbf{r}}_t(z, s)$. Note also that, for $\bullet = \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r}$, the following properties hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond, \bullet}_{t}(-, s)$ is an endomorphism of the nice couple $(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})$ (respectively, (\diamond, ∂)) for all $(s, t) \in$ $[0, 1] \times (0, 1);$
- (2) $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond,\bullet}_{t}(-,s)$ fixes pointwise the subspaces $\mathbb{C}_{\Re\leq 0}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\Re\geq 1}$ and preserves the subspaces \diamond , $[0,1] \times (-\infty, \frac{1}{2}] \setminus \delta$ and $[0,1] \times [\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \setminus \delta$; in particular, it fixes the points z_0^1, z_0^r ;
- (3) $\mathcal{H}_t^{\diamond, \bullet}(-, 0) = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

It follows from property (1) and [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 4.4] that for $\bullet = 1$, there is an induced map

$$
\mathcal{H}_{*}^{\diamond,\bullet}\colon \text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial}) \times [0, 1] \times (0, 1) \to \text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})
$$

(respectively, $\mathcal{H}_{*}^{\diamond,\bullet}\colon \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial) \times [0, 1] \times (0, 1) \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)).$

Property (2) ensures that for all $(s,t) \in [0,1] \times (0,1)$ the map $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond,\bullet}_{*}(-,s,t)$ restricts to a self-map of the subspace Hur $(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial} \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}$ (respectively, Hur $(\check{\delta}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial} \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}$) and is equivariant with respect to the $G \times G^{\text{op}}$ action on this subspace. In particular, there is an induced map

$$
\mathcal{H}_*^{\diamond,\bullet}\colon \mathrm{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}},\breve{\partial})_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}\times [0,1]\times (0,1)\rightarrow \mathrm{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}},\breve{\partial})_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}
$$

(respectively, $\mathcal{H}_*^{\diamond, \bullet}$: $\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}} \times [0, 1] \times (0, 1) \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}}.$

Property (3) ensures that $\mathcal{H}^{\diamond,\bullet}_{*}(-,0,t)$ is the identity of $\text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}},\check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ (of $\text{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$) for all $t \in (0,1)$. We can now define a map $H: D^q \times [0,1] \to \text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ (respectively, $H: D^q \times [0,1] \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}})$ by setting

$$
H(v,s) = \mathcal{H}_*^{\diamond,1}\bigg(\mathcal{H}_*^{\diamond,r}(f(v),s,B^{v,+}),s,\frac{B^{v,-}}{B^{v,+}}\bigg),
$$

where we recall that $0 < B^{v,-} < B^{v,+} < 1$. By the construction of g we have $H(-, 1) = \sigma g$. This concludes the proof that σ_* is surjective on π_q .

In the particular case $q = 0$ we obtain that, since BHM and BHM are connected, then $\text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ and $\text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ are also connected. The fact that $\text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ is connected can also be proved by combining [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemma 6.16 and Proposition 7.10], Theorem [2.19](#page-11-1) and Lemma [2.24:](#page-12-2) the space $\text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})_{G, G^{\text{op}}}$ is the quotient of the space $\text{Hur}(\breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})_{\breve{\partial} \breve{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}}$ by the action of $G \times G^{\text{op}}$ and on $\pi_0(\text{Hur}(\breve{\otimes}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})_{\breve{\otimes} \breve{\otimes}^{\text{lr}}}) \cong G$ this action can be identified with the action by left and right multiplication, which is transitive. The fact that $\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ is connected is instead new in our discussion, although it could have been proved directly using simpler arguments.

4.3 Injectivity on homotopy groups

For $q \ge 0$ we want now to prove that $\sigma_* \colon \pi_q(BH\hat{M}) \to \pi_q(Hur(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}})$ (respectively, $\sigma_*: \pi_q(BH\check{M}) \to \pi_q(Hur(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}})$ is injective. We fix a basepoint $* \in S^q \subset D^{q+1}$ and start with a pointed map $\tilde{f} : S^q \to B\tilde{H}M$ (respectively, $\tilde{f} : S^q \to B\tilde{H}M$) and a map $f : D^{q+1} \to$ $\lim_{\delta \to 0} (\mathcal{O}_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}})^* \to \lim_{\delta \to 0} (\mathcal{O}_{G$ $S^q = \partial D^{q+1}$ is equal to $\sigma \tilde{f}$.

We can construct a map $q: D^{q+1} \to B\tilde{H}M$ (respectively, $q: D^{q+1} \to B\tilde{H}M$) in the same way as we constructed $g: D^q \to B\tilde{H}M$ (respectively, $g: D^q \to B\tilde{H}M$) in the previous subsection: using compactness of D^{q+1} , we can find a suitable cover V_1,\ldots,V_r of D^{q+1} and disjoint intervals $J_1,\ldots,J_r\subset (0,1)$, ordered from left to right, such that for all $v\in V_i$, if c_v is a representative of $f(v)=[\mathfrak{c}_v]_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}$, then \mathfrak{c}_v is supported on a set P_v with $\Re(P_v) \cap J_i = \emptyset$. We also fix $A_i \in J_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and a partition of unity $W_1, \ldots W_r$ subordinate to the covering V_i ; again we assume that for all $v \in D^{q+1}$ there are at least two indices $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $W_i(v) > 0$. The rest of the construction is the same as in the previous subsection; note that, in general, $g(*)$ is a point in the contractible subspace BHM_{\emptyset} (respectively, BHM_{\emptyset}), but $g(*)$ is not necessarily the basepoint of BHM (of BHM). For our scopes it suffices to prove that $g|_{S^q}$ is homotopic to \tilde{f} as maps $S^q \to BH\tilde{M}$ (as maps $S^q \to BH\tilde{M}$), through a homotopy sending $* \in S^q$ inside $BH\tilde{M}_{\emptyset}$ (respectively, $B\check{HM}_{\emptyset}$) at all times. We are thus replacing $\pi_q(B\check{HM})$ (respectively, $\pi_q(B\check{HM})$) with the set of homotopy classes of maps of pairs from $(S^q, *)$ to (BHM, BHM_q) (respectively, to (BHM, BHM_{ϕ}) .

At this point of the discussion it becomes convenient to switch our focus to the thin bar con-struction. Recall Definition [3.8:](#page-17-2) the projection $p_{\bar{B}}$: BHM \rightarrow BHM (respectively, $p_{\bar{B}}$: BHM \rightarrow \bar{B} HM) is a weak homotopy equivalence; similarly, note that the subspace \bar{B} HM $_{\phi} \subset \bar{B}$ HM (respectively, $\bar{B}H\bar{M}$ ₀ $\subset \bar{B}H\bar{M}$) is contractible. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $p_{\bar{B}} \circ g|_{S^q}$ and $p_{\bar{B}} \circ f$ are homotopic as maps $S^q \to \overline{B}HM$ (as maps $S^q \to \overline{B}HM$), by a homotopy sending $* \in S^q$ inside $\bar{B}HM_{\emptyset}$ (inside $\bar{B}HM_{\emptyset}$) at all times. Exhibiting such homotopy will be much easier than comparing $q|_{S_q}$ and f directly.

One of the advantages of the thin bar construction occurs already in the construction of the map $g: D^{q+1} \to B^H$ M (respectively, $g: D^{q+1} \to B^H$ M). Recall that we had to shrink the intervals J_1, \ldots, J_r to make them disjoint, in order to ensure that the numbers A_1, \ldots, A_r are all distinct. This was crucial when defining $g(v)$ for $v \in D^{q+1}$ (or, in the previous subsection, for $v \in D^q$: we started from the list of indices $1 < i_0 < \cdots < i_{p_v} < l$ satisfying $W_{i_j}(v) > 0$; we denoted by $A_0^v, \ldots, \ldots, A_{p_v}^v$ the list $A_{i_0}, \ldots, A_{i_{p_v}}$ and used the portions of $f(v)$ contained in the slices $\zeta_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v}^{\rm lr}$ (in $(\diamond_{A_{j-1}^v,A_j^v},\partial)$) to define the configurations $\mathfrak{c}'_{v,1},\ldots,\mathfrak{c}'_{v,p_v}$. The fact that the numbers A_1, \ldots, A_r are all distinct was crucial in ensuring that all slices have strictly positive width and, most important, the numbers $W_{i_0}(v), \ldots, W_{i_{p_v}}(v)$ naturally form an *ordered* list of $p+1$ numbers: this is crucial, as we want to use these numbers to define the barycentric coordinates of a point in Δ^p .

Suppose instead that we repeat the above construction of g , but using directly the thin bar construction; in other words, consider the composition of g with the projection $p_{\overline{B}}$: then the fact that A_1, \ldots, A_r are all distinct ceases to be important. Indeed, suppose that for some $v \in D^{q+1}$ we write a list $A_0^v, \ldots, \ldots, A_{p_v}^v$ as above and suppose that for some $1 \leq j \leq p_v$ we have $A_{j-1}^v = A_j^v$: this means, in particular, that $\mathfrak{c}'_{v,j} = (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$. On the one hand, we cannot unequivocally determine which of the barycentric coordinates $W_{i_{j-1}}(v)$ and $W_{i_j}(v)$ should come first in the list of barycentric coordinates for $g(v)$; on the other hand, the two possibilities give rise to the same configuration in BHM (in BHM). For simplicity, in the following we keep assuming that the numbers $0 < A_1 < \cdots < A_r < 1$ are distinct and we keep considering g and f as maps with values in BHM (in BHM).

Fix $v \in S^q$ and let $\tilde{f}(v) \in BHM$ (respectively, $\tilde{f}(v) \in BHM$) be represented, for some $\tilde{p}_v \geq 0$, by the \tilde{p}_v -tuple $(t_1^v, t_1^v), \ldots, (t_{\tilde{p}_v}^v, t_{\tilde{p}_v}^v)$ of configurations in HM (in HM), with barycentric coordinates $w_0^v, \ldots, w_{\tilde{p}_v}^v$. Let the numbers $a_0^v, \ldots, a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v$ and the barycentres $b_v, b_{\varepsilon,v}^-$ and $b_{\varepsilon,v}^+$ be computed as in Definitions [4.4](#page-19-0) and [4.5](#page-19-1) with respect to $(t_1^v, t_1^v), \ldots, (t_{\tilde{p}_v}^v, t_{\tilde{p}_v}^v)$ and $w_0^v, \ldots, w_{\tilde{p}_v}^v$.

Using the notation from §[4.2,](#page-24-0) for $v \in S^q$ let $1 \leq i_0 < \cdots < i_{p_v} \leq r$ be the list of all indices i_j satisfying $W_{i_j}(v) > 0$; again let $A_0^v, \ldots, \ldots, A_{p_v}^v$ denote the corresponding list of numbers $0 < A_{i_0} < \cdots < A_{i_{p_v}} < 1$. Recall that $g(v) \in BHM$ (respectively, $g(v) \in BHM$) is constructed using the numbers $W_{i_0}(v), \ldots, W_{i_{p'}}(v)$ as barycentric coordinates and using the portions of \mathfrak{c}_v contained in the slices $[A_{i_0}, A_{i_1}] \times \mathbb{R}, \ldots, [A_{i_{p'-1}}, A_{i_{p'}}] \times \mathbb{R}$ to obtain configurations $(A_{i_j} - A_{i_j})$ $A_{i_{j-1}}, \mathfrak{c}'_{v,j})$ in HM (in HM).

For $0 \leq j \leq p_v$ define $\alpha_j^v = b_{\varepsilon,v}^- + (b_{\varepsilon,v}^+ - b_{\varepsilon,v}^-)A_j^v$. Then $b_{\varepsilon,v}^- \leq \alpha_0^v \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{p'}^v \leq b_{\varepsilon,v}^+$ and the inequality $b_{\varepsilon,v}^- < b_{\varepsilon,v}^+$ is strict. To fix notation, let

$$
\beta_0^v \le \dots \le \beta_{\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1}^v
$$

be the union of the lists of numbers $a_0^v, \ldots, a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v$ and $\alpha_0^v, \ldots, \alpha_{p_v}^v$: all numbers β_j^v , as well as the numbers b_v , $b_{\varepsilon,v}^-$ and $b_{\varepsilon,v}^+$, belong to the interval $[a_0^v - \varepsilon, a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v + \varepsilon] = [-\varepsilon, a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v + \varepsilon]$.

In writing the list $\beta_0^v \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1}^v$ we *choose* a shuffle of the sets $\{0,\ldots,\tilde{p}_v\}$ and $\{0,\ldots,p_v\}$ into $\{0,\ldots,\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1\}$, i.e. a pair of strictly increasing and commonly

surjective maps

 $\tilde{\eta}$: $\{0,\ldots,\tilde{p}_v\} \to \{0,\ldots,\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1\}, \quad \eta$: $\{0,\ldots,p_v\} \to \{0,\ldots,\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1\}.$

In the generic case, the numbers $a_0^v, \ldots, a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v, \alpha_0^v, \ldots, \alpha_{p_v}^v$ are all distinct and the choice of the shuffle is unique, but nothing prevents that, for some $v \in S^q$, some of these numbers become equal.

Let $\hat{w}_0^v, \ldots, \hat{w}_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1}^v$ denote the corresponding shuffle of the lists of barycentric coordinates, i.e. $\hat{w}_{\tilde{\eta}(j)}^v = w_j^v$ and $\hat{w}_{\eta(j)}^v = W_{i_j}(v)$. Define also

$$
\mathbf{e} \colon \{0, \ldots, \tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1\} \times [0, 1] \to [0, 1], \quad \mathbf{e} \colon (\tilde{\eta}(j), s) \mapsto s, \quad \mathbf{e} \colon (\eta(j), s) \mapsto 1 - s.
$$

Let $(a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v, \mathfrak{c})$ be the product $(t_1^v, \mathfrak{c}_1^v) \cdots (t_{\tilde{p}_v}^v, \mathfrak{c}_{\tilde{p}_v}^v)$ in HM (in HM) and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) Then for all $0 \leq j \leq \tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1$ the vertical line $\mathbb{C}_{\Re \Rightarrow \beta_j}$ is disjoint from P. We can then cut the rectangle $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v}$ (respectively, $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{a_{\tilde{p}_v}^v}$) along these vertical lines and define configurations $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_j^v$ in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\beta_{j-1},\beta_j})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\beta_{j-1},\beta_j},\delta)$) as the parts of c lying in the regions $\mathbb{S}_{\beta_{j-1},\beta_j}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq$ $\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1$: formally, we evaluate the restriction maps $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{\beta_{j-1},\beta_j}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ on \mathfrak{c} . Let $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_j^v$ be the configuration in $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\beta_j-\beta_{j-1}})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\beta_j-\beta_{j-1}}, \check{\partial})$) given by $(\tau_{-\beta_{j-1}})_*(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_j^v)$, for all $1 \leq j \leq \tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1$.

We define a homotopy $H: S^q \times [0,1] \to \overline{B}H\dot{M}$ (respectively, $H: S^q \times [0,1] \to \overline{B}H\dot{M}$) by the formula

$$
H(v,s) = (\mathbf{e}(0,s)\hat{w}_0^v, \dots, \mathbf{e}(\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1,s)\hat{w}_{\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1}^v; (\beta_1 - \beta_0, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1^v), \dots, (\beta_{\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1} - \beta_{\tilde{p}_v + p_v}, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1}^v)).
$$

The continuity of the formula relies on the fact that we are using the thin bar construction: if varying $v \in S^q$ two consecutive values β_{j-1} and β_j become equal, then the corresponding configuration $(\beta_j - \beta_{j-1}, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{j-1}^v)$ becomes equal to $(0, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}))$ and can, thus, be dropped from the list: the weights $e(j-1, s)\hat{w}_{j-1}^v$ and $e(j, s)\hat{w}_j^v$ are replaced by their sum $e(j-1, s)\hat{w}_{j-1}^v$ + $e(j, s)\hat{w}_j^v$ and we obtain a description of the same configuration in $\bar{B}H\hat{M}$ (in $\bar{B}H\hat{M}$) which is formally *symmetric* in the indices $j - 1$ and j.

For $s = 1$ the list of weights $e(0, s)\hat{w}_0^v, \dots, e(p + p' + 1, s)\hat{w}_{\tilde{p}_v + p_v + 1}^v$ reduces to the list of weights $w_0^v, \ldots, w_{\tilde{p}_v}^v$, shuffled with $p_v + 1$ occurrences of 0; if we drop the zeros and perform the corresponding products of consecutive elements in the list $(\beta_1 - \beta_0, \hat{\mathfrak{e}}_1^v), \ldots, (\beta_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1} - \hat{\mathfrak{e}}_v)$ $\beta_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v}, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1}^v$), we recover $\tilde{f}(v)$.

Similarly, for $s = 0$ we obtain the weights $W_{i_1}(v), \ldots, W_{i_{p_v}}(v)$ shuffled with $\tilde{p}_v + 1$ occurrences of 0; in particular, since $\beta_0 = a_0 = 0$ and $\beta_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1} = a_p$, at least one zero at the beginning and at least one zero at the end of the list of all weights are dropped. If we perform the corresponding products of consecutive elements in the list $(\beta_1 - \beta_0, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_1^v), \ldots, (\beta_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1} - \beta_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v}, \hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{\tilde{p}_v+p_v+1}^v)$ and if we drop the corresponding elements at the two ends of the list, we recover $g(v)$.

Finally, note that for $v = * \in S^q$ we have that all configurations $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_j^v$ are supported on the empty set, so that $H(v, -)$ is a path in $\overline{B}HM_{\emptyset}$ (in $\overline{B}HM_{\emptyset}$). This concludes the proof that σ_* is injective on homotopy groups.

4.4 Homology of the group completion of HM˚

The second part of Theorem [4.1](#page-18-2) implies, together with Theorem [3.4,](#page-16-1) that there is a weak equivalence

$$
\check{\text{HM}}_+ \simeq \Omega \operatorname{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}}.
$$

If we select one connected component on each side, using Theorem [2.19](#page-11-1) for the left-hand side, we obtain a weak equivalence

$$
\check{\text{HM}}_{+,\mathbb{1}} \simeq \Omega_0 \operatorname{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}}.
$$

By [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemma 6.16] we have that Hur $(\diamond, \partial)_{\alpha \geq 0}$ is a (disconnected) covering of Hur $(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}}$: more precisely, there is a free and properly discontinuous action of $G \times G^{op}$ on the former space and the latter is the quotient by this action. Hence, also the connected component $\text{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{\partial\alpha}$ _{in} ⊆ Hur $(\diamond,\partial)_{\partial\alpha}$ ^r is a covering of Hur $(\diamond,\partial)_{G,G}$ _{op}, with deck transformation group given by the stabiliser in $\tilde{G} \times G^{op}$ of this component, which is the 'diagonal' copy of G, consisting of pairs $(g, g^{-1,op})$ for varying $g \in G$. We obtain a weak equivalence

$$
\check{\text{HM}}_{+,\mathbb{1}} \simeq \Omega_0 \operatorname{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{\breve{\partial}^{\check{\otimes}^{\text{lr}}};\mathbb{1}},
$$

and Lemmas [2.7](#page-6-4) and [2.24](#page-12-2) and [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 7.10] yield a weak equivalence

$$
\mathrm{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}},\check{\partial})_{\check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}};1}\simeq \mathrm{Hur}_+(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}},\check{\partial})_{\mathbbm{1}}\simeq \mathrm{H}\check{\mathrm{M}}_{+,\mathbbm{1}}\simeq \Omega_0 \,\mathrm{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{\check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}};1}\simeq \Omega_0 \,\mathrm{Hur}_+(\diamond,\partial)_{\mathbbm{1}}.
$$

Now we use the first part of Theorem [4.1,](#page-18-2) together with the fact that $Hur(\check{\delta}^{lr},\check{\partial})_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{lr},1}$ is a covering of Hur(δ^{lr} , $\check{\partial}_{G,G^{op}}$, again by [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemma 6.16]; taking one component of loop spaces we obtain a weak equivalence

$$
\Omega_0 B\widetilde{\mathrm{HM}} \simeq \Omega_0 \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G, G^{\mathrm{op}}} \simeq \Omega_0 \mathrm{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\mathrm{lr}}, 1}.
$$

Putting the above weak equivalences together, we obtain

$$
\Omega_0 B\text{H}_M^{\circ} \simeq \Omega_0 \operatorname{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{\check{\partial}^{\text{sl}^{\text{lr}}},1} \simeq \Omega_0^2 \operatorname{Hur}_+(\diamond,\partial)_1.
$$

Finally, Theorem [3.7](#page-17-1) (which is applicable thanks to Lemma [3.6\)](#page-16-4) and Theorem [2.15,](#page-10-1) imply the following homology isomorphism,

$$
H_*(\mathop{\rm H\dot M}\nolimits)[\pi_0(\mathop{\rm H\dot M}\nolimits)^{-1}]\cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})]\otimes H_*(\Omega_0^2\mathop{\rm Hur}\nolimits_+(\diamond,\partial)_1).
$$

Here $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ denotes the enveloping group of the PMQ \mathcal{Q} , as in [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definition 2.9]: concretely, this is the group generated by elements [a] for a ranging in Q, under the relations $[a][b]=[b][a^b]$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $[a]\hat{b} = \hat{ab}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that the product ab is already defined in \mathcal{Q} . In fact, $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ is the universal group receiving a map of PMQs from \mathcal{Q} ; moreover, $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ coincides with the enveloping group of both the monoid \tilde{Q} and its free unitalisation $\tilde{Q} \sqcup \{1\} \cong \pi_0(HM)$.

In fact, the combination of Theorems [2.19,](#page-11-1) [3.4](#page-16-1) and [4.1](#page-18-2) implies the following isomorphisms of discrete monoids (which happen to be groups):

$$
\pi_1(\operatorname{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{G,G^{\mathrm{op}}})\cong \pi_1(B\mathrm{H\check{M}})\cong \pi_0(\mathrm{H\check{M}}_+)\cong G.
$$

On the other hand, [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemma 6.16] implies that $Hur(\diamond, \partial)_{\delta \delta^{l}r-1}$ is a covering of Hur $(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}}$ with group of deck transformations G and Hur $(\diamond, \partial)_{\partial \delta^{1r}, 1}$ is connected by Theorem [2.19.](#page-11-1) In the next lemma we prove that $Hur(\diamond, \partial)_{\breve{\partial}^{\text{str}} \mathbb{I}}$ is, in fact, the universal cover of $\text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}}.$

LEMMA 4.21. *The space* Hur $(\diamond, \partial)_{\partial \delta^{l}$ ₁ *is simply connected.*

Proof. Recall the weak equivalence $\sigma: B\check{HM} \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\check{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{lr},1}$. For $g \in G$ let $(1, c_g) \in \check{HM}_+$ be the pair with \mathfrak{c}_g being a configuration supported on the unique point $\frac{1}{2}$, carrying local monodromy $g \in G$. Consider the loop $\gamma_g : [0,1] \to B^{\text{HM}}$ sending $t \in [0,1]$ to the class of $(t, 1-t; (1, c_g)) \in \Delta^1 \times \text{H}\mathcal{M} \subset \coprod_{p\geq 0} \Delta^p \times \text{H}\mathcal{M}^p$ in the quotient. Observe that the class of γ_g in $\pi_1(BHM)$ corresponds to the class of $(1, c_q) \in \pi_0(H\tilde{M}_+),$ which along the total monodromy

corresponds to the element $q \in G$; in particular, every element of $\pi_1(BHM)$ is represented by a loop γ_q .

Recall now Definition [2.22](#page-12-4) and the proof of Theorem [2.19](#page-11-1) and let $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{q^{-1},q,1_G} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{0,1;\mathbb{I}}$ be the configuration supported on the set $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$, such that the G-valued total monodromy sends small loops spinning clockwise around $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ to $g^{-1}, g, \mathbb{1}$, respectively. Let $\hat{\gamma}_g: [0, 1] \rightarrow$ $Hur(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{0,1;1}$ be the path defined by

$$
\hat{\gamma}_g(t) = \begin{cases}\n\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^1(\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{g^{-1},g,1_G}, 1) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \\
\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^1(\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{g^{-1},g,1_G}, 1 - \frac{1 - 2(1 - t)^2}{2t(1 - t)}) & \text{if } 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \le t \le \frac{1}{2}; \\
\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^r(\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{g^{-1},g,1_G}, \frac{1 - 2(1 - t)^2}{2t(1 - t)} - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le t \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \\
\mathcal{H}_{1/2}^r(\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_{g^{-1},g,1_G}, 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \le t \le 1.\n\end{cases}
$$

Consider also the map $(\mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond})_*\colon \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{0,1;1} \to \text{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{\breve{\partial}\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}};1}$ induced by $\mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond};$ then $\tilde{\gamma}_g := (\mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond})_* \circ$ $\hat{\gamma}_g: [0,1] \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\breve{\partial}^{\text{str}}:1}$ is a path lifting the loop $\sigma \circ \gamma_g: [0,1] \to \text{Hur}(\diamond, \partial)_{\breve{\partial}^{\text{str}}:1}$ along the $\mathrm{covering}~\mathrm{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{\breve{\partial}\lozenge^{\mathrm{lr}};1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hur}(\diamond,\partial)_{G,G^\mathrm{op}}.$

Both configurations $\tilde{\gamma}_g(0)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_g(1)$ are supported on $\check{\partial} \check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}$; the local monodromies around z^1_{\diamond} and z^r_\diamond are $\mathbb{1}_G$ and $\mathbb{1}_G$, respectively, for the first configuration and are g^{-1} and g, respectively, for the second. It follows that the path $\tilde{\gamma}_g$ is a loop if and only if $g = \mathbb{I}_G$. This shows that Hur(\Diamond , ∂)_{$\check{\partial}^{\otimes^{\text{lr}};1}$} → Hur(\Diamond , ∂)_{*G*,G^{op} is a universal covering and, in particular, Hur(\Diamond , ∂)_{$\check{\partial}^{\otimes^{\text{lr}};1}$} is simply connected.} \Box connected. \Box

From now on it is convenient to replace the nice couple (\circ, ∂) with the nice couple (\mathcal{R}, ∂) . For this, fix an orientation-preserving, semialgebraic homeomorphism $\xi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ fixing $*$ and restricting to a homeomorphism of couples $(\circ, \partial) \cong (\mathcal{R}, \partial)$; then ξ induces a homeomorphism ξ_* : Hur $(\diamond, \partial) \cong \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial)$, restricting to a homeomorphism Hur₊ $(\diamond, \partial)_1 \cong \text{Hur}_+(\mathcal{R}, \partial)_1$. Using again [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 7.10] we can then replace $Hur_+(\mathcal{R}, \partial)_1$ by the weakly equivalent space $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{0:1}$, where $0 \in \partial \mathcal{R}$ is the lower left vertex.

We rephrase the last homology isomorphism, together with the discussion about simply connectedness, as the following theorem.

Theorem 4.22. *In the hypotheses of Theorem [4.1](#page-18-2) there is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups*

$$
H_*(\mathop{\text{H}\rolimits\!\!\mathsf{M}}(\mathcal{Q}))[\pi_0(\mathop{\text{H}\rolimits\!\!\mathsf{M}}(\mathcal{Q}))^{-1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})] \otimes H_*(\Omega_0^2 \mathop{\text{Hur}}\nolimits(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1}).
$$

Moreover, the space $Hur(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0:1}$ *is simply connected.*

We immediately observe that the left-hand side of the isomorphism in Theorem [4.22](#page-32-0) only depends on the PMQ $\mathcal Q$ and not on the PMQ–group pair $(\mathcal Q, G)$ (in particular, not on the group G). In fact, the isomorphism of Theorem [4.22](#page-32-0) is an isomorphism of rings, if we consider on $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})]\otimes H_*(\Omega_0^2\operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{0;1})$ the correct structure of twisted tensor product of rings, which we briefly describe in the following.

The group $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ acts on the right on $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{0;1} = \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial;\mathcal{Q},G)_{0;1}$ by global conjugation: in fact, G acts on the right on this space by global conjugation and we consider the map of groups $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{e})\colon \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})\to G$. Consequently, $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ acts on the right on $H_*(\Omega_0^2\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{0;1})$ by automorphisms of rings.

For $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ and $x_1, x_2 \in H_*(\Omega_0^2 \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial)_{0,1})$ we define the twisted product $(g_1 \otimes x_1)$. $(g_2 \otimes x_2) := (g_1g_2 \otimes (x_1^{g_2} \cdot x_2)$. This assignment extends to an associative product on $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})] \otimes$ $H_*(\Omega_0^2 \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial)_{0,1})$ and with this ring structure the isomorphism of Theorem [4.22](#page-32-0) is an isomorphism of rings.

The isomorphism of Theorem [4.22](#page-32-0) is a bit surprising at first glance, because $\text{HM}(\mathcal{Q})$ is not, in general, weakly equivalent to an E_2 -algebra.

5. The space $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$

For concrete homology computations the space Hur($\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G$)_{0:1} is too large. In this section, we introduce a homotopy equivalent subspace

$$
\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G) \subset \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial;\mathcal{Q},G)_{0;1};
$$

under the assumption that Q is *augmented*. If, in addition, we assume that Q is *normed*, then $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ admits a natural filtration by closed subspaces. In the next section, assuming further that Q is finite and *rationally Poincaré*, we will exploit this filtration to compute explicitly the rational cohomology ring of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$.

Recall from [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definitions 4.1 and 4.9] that a PMQ is *augmented* if the set $\mathcal{Q}_+ := \mathcal{Q} \setminus \{1\}$ is an ideal for the partial product, i.e. if for all $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $ab = \mathbb{I}$ we have $a, b = \mathbb{I}$. *normed* PMQ is a PMQ Q together with a morphism of PMQs $N: Q \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $N^{-1}(0) =$ {1}. Every normed PMQ is also augmented.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0:\mathbb{I}}$ and use Notation [2.2,](#page-5-3) so that the support P of c splits as $\{z_1,\ldots,z_l\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ and $\{z_{l+1},\ldots,z_k\} \subset \partial \mathcal{R}$. Let $\beta \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a clockwise oriented simple closed curve in $\mathcal{R} \setminus P$ such that the disc bounded by β contains all points z_1, \ldots, z_l .

The configuration c lies in the subspace $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G) \subset \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0:\mathbb{I}}$ if the conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ corresponding to β is contained in the PMQ $\mathfrak{Q}^{\text{ext}}(P)_{\psi} \subset \mathfrak{G}(P)$ (see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 2.13]).

If $\mathcal Q$ is augmented, we define $\mathbb B(\mathcal Q_+,G)$ as the intersection

$$
\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G):=\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q},G)\cap\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial;\mathcal{Q}_+,G)_{0;1}\subset\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial;\mathcal{Q},G)_{0;1}.
$$

Roughly speaking, a configuration $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0,1}$ lies in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$ if, using Notation [2.2,](#page-5-3) the l values of the monodromy ψ around the l points of $P \cap \mathcal{R}$ can be multiplied in Q. See [Figure 7.](#page-34-1)

DEFINITION 5.2. Let $\mathfrak C$ be a nice couple and let (Q, G) be a PMQ–group pair with Q augmented. Let $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi, \varphi) \in \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$; a point $z \in P$ is *inert* for \mathfrak{c} if $z \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ and ψ sends to $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ each element of $\mathfrak{Q}(P)$ represented by a small loop spinning clockwise around z.

If Q is augmented, a configuration c lies in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ if it lies in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$ and, moreover, no point of the support of c is inert: in other words, ψ attains values different from 1 around all l points of $P \cap \mathcal{R}$.

Example 5.3. Suppose that Q is finite and normed and let $N_{\text{max}} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the maximal norm of an element of Q. Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$ and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) Then at most N_{max} of the l points in $P \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ can be non-inert; in particular, if $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, then $l \leq N_{\text{max}}$. There is also another evident restriction on the behaviour of ψ : if f_1,\ldots,f_k is an admissible generating set for $\mathfrak{G}(P)$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{l} N(\psi(f_i)) \leq N_{\text{max}}$.

Example 5.4. Let Q be the abelian PMQ $\{1,\bullet\}$ with trivial partial multiplication and let $G = \{\mathbb{1}\}\$ be the trivial group. Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) Then $P \cap \mathcal{R}$ is either empty or it

FIGURE 7. The configuration c lies in the space $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ if $g_6e(a_1)g_4e(a_2)g_5e(a_3) = \mathbb{1} \in G$, none of a_1, a_2, a_3 is equal to $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and the product $a_1 a_2 (a_3^{g_5^{-1}})$ is defined in \mathcal{Q} .

contains exactly one point. We can define a map $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \to \mathcal{R}/\partial \mathcal{R} \cong S^2$ by looking at the position of the unique point of P in \mathcal{R} and taking the quotient point $[\partial \mathcal{R}] \in \mathcal{R}/\partial \mathcal{R}$ if $P \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$. In fact, the map $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \to \mathcal{R}/\partial \mathcal{R}$ is a quasifibration with fibre the Ran space Ran $(\partial \mathcal{R})$, so it is a weak homotopy equivalence.

We will see in Proposition [5.5](#page-34-2) that the inclusion $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \subset \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial \mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0:1}$ is also a homotopy equivalence; hence, in this case, Theorem [4.22](#page-32-0) reduces to a classical result of Segal [\[Seg73\]](#page-64-3) stating that the group completion of the topological monoid $\prod_{n\geq 0} \text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is $\Omega^2 S^2$. Passing from Hur $(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}_+, G)_{0}$ to its subspace $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ should thus be regarded as the analogue of passing from the relative configuration space Conf(\mathcal{R}, ∂) to the sphere $\mathcal{R}/\partial\mathcal{R}$ by a scanning argument.

5.1 Deformation retraction onto $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$

In this subsection we will prove that $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1}$ admits a deformation retraction onto its subspace $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$; if $\mathcal Q$ is augmented, the same argument will give by restriction a deformation retraction of Hur($\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}_+, G_{0;1}$ onto its subspace $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$. Using [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 7.4], we will therefore obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.5. For any PMQ–group pair (Q, G) the inclusion of $\mathbb{B}(Q, G)$ into Hur(R, ∂; Q, G)0;¹ *is a homotopy equivalence. If* Q *is augmented, the following is a square of* *inclusions which are homotopy equivalences:*

$$
\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow\subset \qquad \qquad \downarrow\subset
$$

\n
$$
\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}_+, G)_{0,1} \xrightarrow{\subset} \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0,1}
$$

The rough idea of the proof of Proposition [5.5](#page-34-2) is that each configuration $c \in$ Hur $(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1}$ can be gradually magnified around the centre $z_c \in \mathcal{R}$, letting gradually more and more points collide with $\partial \mathcal{R}$: such points are downgraded to points in the support of c around which only the G-valued monodromy is defined and they remain fixed during further magnification. At some finite time we obtain a configuration satisfying the properties of Definition [5.1](#page-33-1) and we stop the magnification.

DEFINITION 5.6. Let $z_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{R}}$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let $\mathfrak{d}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(z) \in [1,\infty]$ be the infimum of all $s \geq 1$ such that $z_0 + s(z - z_0) \notin \mathcal{R}$; note that $\mathfrak{d}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(z) = \infty$ if and only if $z = z_0$. We define a map $\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}$: $\mathbb{C} \times$ $[1,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$
\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(z,s) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } z \notin \mathring{\mathcal{R}}, \\ z_0 + s(z - z_0) & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } z_0 + s(z - z_0) \in \mathcal{R}, \\ z_0 + \mathfrak{d}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(z) \cdot (z - z_0) & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } z_0 + s(z - z_0) \notin \mathcal{R}. \end{cases}
$$

Roughly speaking, the map $\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(-,s)$ expands the square $(s-1)/sz_0 + (1/s)\mathring{\mathcal{R}}$, which has side length $1/s$, to the entire \mathcal{R} , by a homothety centred at z_0 of rescaling factor s and collapses

$$
\mathcal{R}\bigg\backslash \bigg(\frac{s-1}{s}z_0+\frac{1}{s}\mathring{\mathcal{R}}\bigg)
$$

onto $\partial \mathcal{R}$. Note that for all $s \geq 1$ the map $\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(-,s)$ is an endomorphism of the nice couples (\mathcal{R}, ∂) ; note also that $\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(0, s) = 0$ for all $s \geq 1$ and that $\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}}(-, 1)$ is the identity of \mathbb{C} . Thus, we obtain a continuous map

$$
(\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}})_* \colon \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1} \times [1, \infty) \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1},
$$

such that $(\mathcal{H}_{z_0}^{\mathbb{B}})_*(-,1)$ is the identity of $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1}$.

DEFINITION 5.7. Let $z_0 \in \mathcal{R}$. For each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ denote by $\beta_{z_0, \varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{R}$ the simple closed curve whose support is the square $(1 - \varepsilon)z_0 + \varepsilon(\partial \mathcal{R})$, i.e. the boundary of the square of side length ε obtained from $\partial \mathcal{R}$ by a homothety centred at z_0 of rescaling factor ε ; we orient $\beta_{z_0,\varepsilon}$ clockwise. Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial)_{\mathbb{1}}$ and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) We denote by $\mathfrak{W}_{z_0}(\mathfrak{c}) \in [0,1]$ the supremum of all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ satisfying the following properties:

- $P \cap \beta_{z_0,\varepsilon} = \emptyset;$
- every element $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{G}(P)$ in the conjugacy class corresponding to $\beta_{z_0,\varepsilon}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{Q}^{\text{ext}}(P)_{\psi} \subseteq$ $\mathfrak{G}(P)$.

Note that $\mathfrak{W}_{z_0}(\mathfrak{c}) \leq 1$ for all $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_1$; moreover, $\mathfrak{W}_{z_0}(\mathfrak{c}) = 1$ if and only if $\mathfrak{c} \in$ $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$. Note, on the other hand, that $\mathfrak{W}_{z_0}(\mathfrak{c}) > 0$, as for a generic and very small $\varepsilon > 0$ the curve $\beta_{z_0,\varepsilon}$ is disjoint from P and encloses at most one point of P, so it corresponds to a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ which is contained in $\mathfrak{Q}(P) \subset \mathfrak{Q}^{\text{ext}}(P)_{\psi}$. Note also that the assignment $\mathfrak{c} \mapsto \mathfrak{W}_{z_0}(\mathfrak{c})$ is continuous in c.

Notation 5.8. Recall Notation [2.16.](#page-10-3) We simplify the notation and write $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{B}} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{B}}_{z_c}$ and $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{W}_{z_c}$.

Proof of Proposition [5.5.](#page-34-2) We define a homotopy

$$
H^{\mathbb{B}} \colon \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1} \times [0, 1] \to \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1},
$$

$$
H^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathfrak{c}, s) = \mathcal{H}_*^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\mathfrak{c}, 1 - s + s\frac{1}{\mathfrak{W}(\mathfrak{c})}\right).
$$

Note that $H^{\mathbb{B}}(-,0)$ is the identity of Hur $(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0:\mathbb{I}}$, that $H^{\mathbb{B}}(-,1)$ takes values in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$ and that $H^{\mathbb{B}}(-,s)$ restricts to the identity of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G) \subset \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

This proves the first homotopy equivalence in the statement. The second homotopy equivalence is completely analogous: we have constructed the deformation retraction of Hur($\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G$ _{0:1} onto its subspace $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G)$ using enriched functoriality with respect to maps of nice couples; this implies that $H^{\mathbb{B}}(-,s)$ restricts at all times to a self-map of Hur $(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}_+, G)_{0,1}$ and, in particular, the restriction of $H^{\mathbb{B}}(-, 1)$ on $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}_+, G)_{0,1}$ takes values in Hur $(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}_+, G)_{0,1} \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, G) = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G).$

5.2 Norm filtration

In the rest of the section we assume that Q is endowed with a norm $N: Q \to \mathbb{N}$. Our aim is to introduce a filtration F_{\bullet} on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$. It will be convenient to define a norm filtration F_{\bullet} more generally on the Hurwitz space $\text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ associated with any nice couple \mathfrak{C} .

DEFINITION 5.9. Let $\mathfrak C$ be a nice couple, let $\mathfrak c \in \text{Hur}(\mathfrak C; \mathcal Q, G)$ and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) Let f_1, \ldots, f_k be an admissible generating set for $\mathfrak{G}(P)$. We define a function of sets $N: \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; Q, G) \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
N(\mathfrak{c})=N(\psi(f_1))+\cdots+N(\psi(f_l)),
$$

and call $N(\mathfrak{c})$ the *norm* of the configuration \mathfrak{c} .

For $\nu \geq 0$ we define the *ν*th filtration layer F_{ν} Hur($\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G$) as the subspace of configurations c with $N(c) \leq \nu$. We also set F_{-1} Hur $(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G) = \emptyset$.

For $\nu \geq 0$ we denote by \mathfrak{F}_{ν} Hur $(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ the ν th filtration stratum

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G) := F_{\nu} \operatorname{Hur3}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G) \setminus F_{\nu-1} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G).
$$

Recall from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 2.5] that given a nice couple $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and a finite subset $P \subset \mathcal{X}$, an *adapted covering* of P is a collection U of disjoint, semialgebraic open discs in \mathbb{C} containing each a single point of P and such that each point in $P \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ is surrounded by a disc disjoint from *y*. The topology on $\text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; Q, G)$ has a basis given by the open neighbourhoods $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c};\underline{U})$, for varying $\mathfrak{c} = (P,\psi,\varphi) \in \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C};\mathcal{Q},G)$ and varying U among adapted covers of P.

LEMMA 5.10. For all $\nu \geq 0$ we have that $Hur(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G) \setminus F_{\nu-1}$ Hur $(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ is open in $Hur(\mathfrak{C};\mathcal{Q},G).$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi, \varphi) \in \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; Q, G)$ and assume that $N(\mathfrak{c}) \geq \nu$. Let U be an adapted covering of P. We claim that the open neighbourhood $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}, U)$ is contained in Hur($\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G$) $F_{\nu-1}$ Hur($\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G$).

Let $\mathfrak{c}' \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}, \underline{U})$ and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) For all $1 \leq i \leq l$ let $P'_i = P' \cap U_i$ and let $P'_i =$ $\{z'_{i,1},\ldots,z'_{i,k'_i}\}\.$ Choose an admissible generating set f_1,\ldots,f_k of $\mathfrak{G}(P) \cong \mathfrak{G}(U)$. We can regard $\mathfrak{G}(\underline{U})$ as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}(P')$. We can choose an admissible generating set $f'_1,\ldots,f'_{k'}$ of $\mathfrak{G}(P')$ with the following property: for all $1 \leq i \leq l$, if $f'_{i,1}, \ldots, f'_{i,k'_{i}}$ are the elements represented by loops spinning around the points $z'_{i,1},\ldots,z'_{i,k'_i}$, respectively, then the product $f'_{i,1}\cdots f'_{i,k'_i}$ is equal to f_i in $\mathfrak{G}(P')$. The hypothesis $\mathfrak{c}' \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}, \underline{U})$ implies the following equality in \mathcal{Q} , for all $1 \leq i \leq l$:

$$
\psi'(f'_{i,1})\cdot\dots\cdot\psi'(f'_{i,k'_i})=\psi(f_i),
$$

1686

whence, using the norm on Q , we obtain

$$
N(\psi'(f'_{i,1})) + \cdots + N(\psi'(f'_{i,k'_{i}})) = N(\psi(f_{i})).
$$

Summing over $1 \le i \le l$ and recalling that $P'_1 \cup \cdots \cup P'_l$ might be a proper subset of $P' \setminus \mathcal{Y} =$ $\{z'_1, \ldots, z'_{l'}\},$ we obtain

$$
\nu \le N(\mathfrak{c}) = \sum_{i=1}^l N(\psi(f_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{k'_i} N(\psi'(f'_{i,j})) \le \sum_{i=1}^{l'} N(\psi'(f'_i)) = N(\mathfrak{c}'),
$$

which shows that $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}, U)$ is contained in $\text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G) \setminus F_{\nu-1} \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$.

Notation 5.11. For every subspace $X \subset \text{Hur}(\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ and for $\nu \geq -1$, we use the notation $F_{\nu}X =$ F_ν Hur($\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G \cap X$. For $\nu \geq 0$, we use the notation $\mathfrak{F}_\nu X = \mathfrak{F}_\nu$ Hur($\mathfrak{C}; \mathcal{Q}, G \cap X$.

We will use Notation [5.11](#page-37-1) mainly in the case $X = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \subset \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G)$.

5.3 A model for *BG*

Our next goal is to analyse the strata $\mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$. We start with $\mathfrak{F}_{0} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, which can be identified with Hur($\partial \mathcal{R}, \partial \mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G$ _{0;1}. By [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5] we can equivalently consider the space Hur($\partial \mathcal{R}; G$ _{0;1}, where the group G is considered as a (complete) PMQ. In this subsection we prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.12. *The space* $\text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}; G)_{0:\mathbb{1}}$ *is an Eilenberg–Maclane space of type* $K(G, 1)$ *.*

DEFINITION 5.13. We denote by $\sqcap \subset \partial \mathcal{R}$ the union of the three closed sides of \mathcal{R}

$$
\Box := \{0\} \times [0,1] \cup [0,1] \times \{1\} \cup \{1\} \times [0,1].
$$

LEMMA 5.14. *The spaces* $Hur(\Box; G)_{0:1}$ *and* $Hur(\Box; G)_{0,1:1}$ *are contractible.*

Proof. Note that \Box is contractible; more precisely, we can fix a semialgebraic homotopy \mathcal{H}^{\Box} : $\mathbb{C} \times$ $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:

- $\mathcal{H}^{\Box}(-,s)$ is a *lax* endomorphism of the nice couple (\Box,\emptyset) , for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$ (see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 4.2]);
- $\mathcal{H}^{\Box}(0,s)=0\in\mathbb{C}$ for all $0\leq s\leq 1;$
- $\mathcal{H}^{\Box}(-,0) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}};$
- $\mathcal{H}^{\Box}(-,1)$ maps \Box constantly to 0.

By functoriality, using that G is a complete PMQ, we obtain a homotopy

$$
\mathcal{H}_*^{\square} \colon \mathrm{Hur}(\square;G)_{0;1} \times [0,1] \to \mathrm{Hur}(\square;G)_{0;1}.
$$

Note that the map $\mathcal{H}^{\Pi}_{*}(-,1)$ takes values in $Hur(\{0\},G)_{0;1}$, which is just a point. Thus, the homotopy $\mathcal{H}_{*}^{\square}$ exhibits $\text{Hur}(\square; G)_{0;1}$ as a contractible space.

By [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 7.10], the inclusion $\text{Hur}(\sqcap; G)_{0,1;1} \subset \text{Hur}(\sqcap; G)_{0;1}$ is a homotopy equivalence, hence $\text{Hur}(\sqcap; G)_{0,1;1}$ is also contractible. \Box

Now let ξ^{\Box} : $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a semialgebraic map with the following properties:

- (1) ξ^{\Box} is a lax endomorphism of the nice couple $(\partial \mathcal{R}, \emptyset)$, in particular it restricts to a map $\partial \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{R}$;
- (2) ξ^{\Box} fixes $\mathbb{C}_{\Re{0}}$ pointwise;
- (3) ξ ^{\Box} maps the horizontal segment $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$ constantly to 0;
- (4) ξ^{\Box} restricts to a homeomorphism $\mathbb{C} \setminus (0,1] \times \{0\} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$

FIGURE 8. Left: a configuration $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}; G)_{0,1}$. Right: a configuration \mathfrak{c}' in the fibre $(\xi^{\sqcap}_{*})^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}) \subset \text{Hur}(\sqcap;G)_{0,1;\mathbb{1}}.$

Note that ξ^{\Box} is a lax morphism of nice couples $(\Box, \emptyset) \to (\partial \mathcal{R}, \emptyset)$; we obtain by functoriality a map ξ^{\Box} : Hur $(\Box; G)_{0,1;1} \to \text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}; G)_{0;1}$, see [Figure 8.](#page-38-0)

We will prove that ξ_*^{\square} is a covering map.

LEMMA 5.15. *For* $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}; G)_{0;1}$, the fibre of ξ^{\square}_* over \mathfrak{c} is a non-empty and discrete subspace *of* $\text{Hur}(\Box; G)_{0,1:1}$.

Proof. Write $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi)$, where $P = \{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ and $\psi \colon \mathfrak{Q}_{(\partial \mathcal{R}, \emptyset)}(P) \to G$ is a map of PMQs; without loss of generality suppose $z_k = 0$. Assume that we are given $\mathfrak{c}' = (P', \psi') \in (\xi^{\square})^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$. Note that if $\xi_*^{\Box}(\mathfrak{c}') = \mathfrak{c}$, then, in particular, $\xi^{\Box}(P') = P$ and by properties (3) and (4) of ξ^{\Box} we must have $P' = (\xi \cap)^{-1}(P) \cap \square \subset \mathbb{C}$. To fix notation, let $z_i' = (\xi \cap)^{-1}(z_i) \in P'$ for $1 \le i \le k - 1$ and let $z'_{k} = 0 \in P'$ and $z'_{k+1} = 1 \in P'$.

Fix an admissible generating set f_1, \ldots, f_k for $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ and assume that f_k is represented by a loop supported in a small neighbourhood of the vertical segment $\{0\} \times [-1,0] \subset \mathbb{C}$ joining $*$ to 0. Then we can consider $(\xi^{\sqcap})^{-1}$ as a map $\mathbb{C} \setminus P \to \mathbb{C} \setminus ([0,1] \times \{0\} \cup P') \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus P'$ and map the generators f_1, \ldots, f_k to elements of $\mathfrak{G}(P')$. Note that f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1} are mapped to simple loops spinning clockwise around the points z'_1, \ldots, z'_{k-1} , whereas f_k is mapped to a simple loop spinning clockwise around the segment $[0,1] \subset \mathbb{C}$. We decompose $(\xi^{\sqcap})_*^{-1}(f_k)$ as a product of two elements f'_k, f'_{k+1} , represented by simple loops in $\mathbb{C} \setminus P'$ spinning clockwise around z'_k and z'_{k+1} , respectively, and define $f'_{i} = (\xi^{[n]})_{*}^{-1}(f_{i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$: thus, we obtain an admissible generating set f'_1, \ldots, f'_{k+1} for $\mathfrak{G}(P')$. Note that for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ the generator f'_i can be represented by a simple loop in $\mathbb{C} \setminus P'$ supported in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (P' \cup [0,1] \times \{0\})$; similarly f'_k and f'_{k+1} can be represented by loops supported on small neighbourhoods of the straight segments in C joining ∗ with 0 and 1, respectively.

Since $\xi_*^{\Box}(\mathfrak{c}') = \mathfrak{c}$, we have in G the equalities $\psi'(f'_i) = \psi(f_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $\psi(f_k) =$ $\psi'(f_k')\psi'(f_{k+1}')$.

Vice versa, for any factorisation of $\psi(f_k) \in G$ as the product gh of two elements in G, we can define a configuration $\mathfrak{c}' = (P', \psi')$ by setting $P' = (\xi^{\sqcap})^{-1}(P) \cap \sqcap$ and by defining φ' by sending $f'_i \mapsto \psi(f_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $f'_k \mapsto g$ and $f'_{k+1} \mapsto h$. This shows that $(\xi \cap)^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ is non-empty.

Now note that, for c' as above and for any adapted covering \underline{U}' of P' , we have that c' is the unique configuration in $\mathfrak{U}(P';\underline{U}') \subset \text{Hur}(\square)_{0,1;1}$ supported on the set P'. In fact, the normal neighbourhoods $\mathfrak{U}(\bar{\mathfrak{c}};\underline{U}')$, for fixed \underline{U}' and varying $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}$ in $(\xi^{\sqcap})^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$, are disjoint: compare with the proof of [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 3.8]. This proves that $(\xi^{\sqcap})^{-1}(\mathfrak{c})$ is a discrete topological space. \Box

LEMMA 5.16. There is a free action of G on $\text{Hur}(\square; G)_{0,1;1}$ whose orbits are precisely the fibres $of \xi^{\square}_{*}.$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c}' \in \text{Hur}(\sqcap; G)_{0,1;\mathbb{1}}$ and write $\mathfrak{c}' = (P', \psi')$ with $P' = \{z'_1, \ldots, z'_{k+1}\}$, where we assume $z'_k = 0, z'_{k+1} = 1.$ For $g \in G$ we can define a new configuration $g * \mathfrak{c}' = (P', g * \psi') \in \text{Hur}(\square)_{0,1;1}$ by setting $g * \psi'(f'_i) = \psi'(f_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $g * \psi'(f'_k) = \psi'(f'_k)g^{-1}$ and $g * \psi'(f'_{k+1}) =$ $g\psi'(f_k'),$ where f_1',\ldots,f_{k+1}' is an admissible generating set of $\mathfrak{G}(P')$ as in the proof of Lemma [5.15.](#page-38-1)

This defines a left action of G on the set $Hur(\Box; G)_{0,1,1}$. This action can also be obtained by identifying Hur($\sqcap; G$)_{0,1;1} with Hur($\sqcap, \sqcap; G, G$)_{0,1;1} via [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemma 5.4] and by considering $(0, (\square, \square), 1)$ as a left–right-based nice couple [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 6.9] and by restricting the action of $G \times G^{op}$ on $Hur(\square, \square; G, G)_{0,1;1}$ to the diagonal subgroup $G \subset G \times G^{op}$, which leaves the subspace $Hur(\Pi, \Pi; G, G)_{0,1;1}$ invariant. This proves, in particular, that the action is continuous.

For \mathfrak{c}' as above, we use the notation $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi) := \xi_*^{\square}(\mathfrak{c}'),$ with $P = \{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ and assume $z_k = 0$ and $z_i = \xi \cap (z_i)$ for all $1 \le i \le k-1$. Choose an admissible generating set f_1, \ldots, f_k of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ as in Lemma [5.15.](#page-38-1) Then $\psi(f_i) = \psi'(f'_i) = g * \psi'(f'_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and

$$
\psi(f_k) = \psi'(f'_k)\psi'(f'_k) = \psi'(f'_k)g^{-1}g\psi'(f'_k) = (g * \psi'(f'_k))(g * \psi'(f'_k)).
$$

hat $\xi_*^{\square}(\mathfrak{c}') = \xi_*^{\square}(g * \mathfrak{c}').$

It follows t $\zeta_*^{\square}(\mathfrak{c}') = \xi_*^{\square}(g * \mathfrak{c}')$

LEMMA 5.17. *The map* ξ_*^{\Box} *is open.*

Proof. Let **c** and **c'** be as in the proof of Lemma [5.15,](#page-38-1) i.e. ξ_*^{\square} : **c'** \mapsto **c** and let <u>U'</u> be an adapted covering of P'. We want to find an adapted covering \underline{U} of P such that $\xi^{\Pi}_*(\mathfrak{U}(P';\underline{U}'))$ contains $\mathfrak{U}(P,U)$. We choose U with the following properties:

- for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ the intersection $U_i \cap \partial$ is contractible;
- for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ the intersection $(\xi^{\sqcap})^{-1}(U_i) \cap \sqcap$ is contained in U_i' ;
- the intersection $(\xi \cap)^{-1}(U_k) \cap \square$ is contained in $U'_k \cup U'_{k+1}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}} = (\tilde{P}, \tilde{\psi}) \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}, \underline{U})$: we want to find a configuration $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}' \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}', \underline{U}')$ with $\xi_*^{\square}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}') = \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}$. Write $\tilde{P} = \{\tilde{z}_1, \ldots, \tilde{z}_{\tilde{k}}\}$, with $\tilde{z}_{\tilde{k}} = 0$. Then the finite set $\tilde{P}' := (\xi^{\square})^{-1}(\tilde{P}) \cap \square$ is contained in \underline{U}' and it intersects non-trivially every component of <u>U'</u>. We write $\tilde{P}' = \{\tilde{z}'_1, \ldots, \tilde{z}'_{\tilde{k}+1}\}\$ and assume $\tilde{z}'_{\tilde{k}} = 0$ and $\tilde{z}'_{\tilde{k}+1} = 1$.

Let $\tilde{f}'_1,\ldots,\tilde{f}'_{\tilde{k}+1}$ be an admissible generating set for $\mathfrak{G}(\tilde{P}')$ as in the proof of Lemma [5.15.](#page-38-1) Note that we can regard f'_k and f'_{k+1} as elements of $\mathfrak{G}(\tilde{P}')$ by the composition $\mathfrak{G}(P') \cong \mathfrak{G}(\underline{U}') \subset \mathfrak{G}(V)$ $\mathfrak{G}(\tilde{P}')$; moreover, the sequence of elements $\tilde{f}'_1,\ldots,\tilde{f}'_{\tilde{k}-1},f'_k,f'_{k+1}$ is also a free generating set for $\mathfrak{G}(\tilde{P}'),$ although in general it is not an admissible generating set: in fact, f'_k can be decomposed as a product of distinct elements \tilde{f}'_i , with one element equal to \tilde{f}'_k and similarly f'_{k+1} can be decomposed as a product with one factor equal to $\tilde{f}'_{\tilde{k}+1}$. Nevertheless we can define a morphism of groups $\tilde{\varphi}' : \mathfrak{G}(\tilde{P}') \to G$ by setting $\tilde{\varphi}' : \tilde{f}'_i \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{f}_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \tilde{k} - 1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}' : f'_i \mapsto \varphi'(f'_i)$ for $i =$ $k, k+1$. We can restrict $\tilde{\varphi}'$ to $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\square,\emptyset)}(P')$ and obtain a morphism of PMQs $\tilde{\psi}' : \mathfrak{G}_{(\square,\emptyset)}(P') \to G$.

We can use the previous to define a configuration $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}' = (\tilde{P}', \tilde{\psi}') \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}'; \underline{U}')$, satisfying $\xi_*^\square(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'$ $) = \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}.$

In the last step of the proof, note that $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'$ is, in fact, the *unique* configuration in $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}'; \underline{U})$ with $\xi_*^{\Box}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}') = \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}$. This shows, in particular, that for $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}', \underline{U}'$ and \underline{U} as in the proof of Lemma [5.17,](#page-39-0) there is a unique map of sets $\mathfrak{s} : \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}; \underline{U}) \to \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}'; \underline{U}')$ which is a section of ξ_*^{\square} , i.e. such that $\xi_*^{\square} \circ \mathfrak{s}$ is equal to the inclusion of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c};\underline{U})$ in $\text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R};G)_{0,1}$.

LEMMA 5.18. Let $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}, \underline{U}'$ and \underline{U} be as in the proof of Lemma [5.17](#page-39-0) and let $\mathfrak{s}: \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}; \underline{U}) \to \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}'; \underline{U}')$ *be the section defined above. Then* s *is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}; \underline{U})$, denote $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}' = \mathfrak{s}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}) \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}'; \underline{U}')$ and use the notation from the proof of Lemma [5.17.](#page-39-0) By continuity of ξ_*^{\Box} there is an adapted covering $\underline{\tilde{U}}'$ of \tilde{P}' with $\underline{\tilde{U}}' \subset \underline{U}'$ and such that ξ_*^{\Box} maps $\mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'; \underline{\tilde{U}})$ inside $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}; \underline{U})$.

First, note that ξ^{\square}_* is injective on $\mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'; \underline{\tilde{U}}')$: for a configuration $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'' \in \mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'; \underline{\tilde{U}}')$ we have, in fact, $\mathfrak{s}(\xi^{\square}_*(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'')) = \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}''.$

By Lemma [5.17](#page-39-0) we know that ξ^{Π} is open; it follows that the map ξ^{Π} : $\mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}';\underline{\tilde{U}}') \rightarrow$ Hur($\partial \mathcal{R}; G$ _{0;1} is a homeomorphism onto its image and, hence, \mathfrak{s} is continuous on the open set $\xi_*^{\Box}(\mathfrak{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}';\underline{\tilde{U}}'))$, which contains $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Proof of Proposition [5.12.](#page-37-2) The combination of Lemmas [5.15,](#page-38-1) [5.17](#page-39-0) and [5.18](#page-40-1) shows that the map ξ_*^{\Box} : Hur $(\Box; G)_{0,1;1} \to \text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}; G)_{0;1}$ is a covering. Lemma [5.14](#page-37-3) shows that the total space is contractible, in particular connected and Lemma 5.16 exhibits G as the group of deck transformations of ξ . [∗] . -

Notation 5.19. We denote by βG the space

$$
\mathcal{B}G := \mathrm{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}; G)_{0;1} \cong \mathrm{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}, \partial \mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G)_{0;1} = F_0 \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) = \mathfrak{F}_0 \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G).
$$

5.4 Bundles over *BG*

In this subsection we define for all $\nu \geq 0$ a bundle map $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu} : \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \to \mathcal{B}G$; the fibre of \mathfrak{p}_{ν} can be identified with

$$
\coprod_{a\in\mathcal{Q}_\nu}\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a,
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ is the subset of elements of norm ν . In the case $\nu = 0$, the map \mathfrak{p}_0 is just the identity of $BG = \mathfrak{F}_0 \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ and the fibre is a point, i.e. the space $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_1$.

In the next section we will investigate the rational cohomology of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ using the Leray spectral sequence associated with the filtration $F_{\bullet} = F_{\bullet} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$: the first page of this spectral sequence contains the *relative* cohomology groups $H^*(F_{\nu}, F_{\nu-1})$, rather than the cohomology groups of the strata \mathfrak{F}_{ν} . To acquire information about these relative cohomology groups, we introduce in this subsection certain subspaces $F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}} = F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, for $\nu \geq 0$. We will prove, between this subsection and the next section, that $F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}} \subset F_{\nu}$, that $F_{\nu-1}$ is contained in the interior of $F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}$ when the latter is regarded as a subspace of F_{ν} and that the inclusion $F_{\nu-1} \subset F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. In particular, after setting $\partial^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} := \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \cap F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}$, we will obtain in Lemma [6.2](#page-45-2) an isomorphism

$$
H^*(F_{\nu}, F_{\nu-1}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}, \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu}).
$$

In this subsection we will prove that \mathfrak{p}_{ν} exhibits $(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}, \partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu})$ as a couple of bundles over $\mathcal{B}G$, with fibre a suitable couple of spaces

$$
(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu,\partial^\mathrm{fat}\mathrm{\,Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu)=\bigg(\coprod_{a\in\mathcal{Q}_\nu}\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a,\coprod_{a\in\mathcal{Q}_\nu}\partial^\mathrm{fat}\mathrm{\,Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a\bigg);
$$

the computation of the rational cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}, \partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}; \mathbb{Q})$ will then be possible using the Serre spectral sequence associated with \mathfrak{p}_{ν} .

DEFINITION 5.20. Recall Definition [5.9.](#page-36-1) Denote by $\partial^{fat}R$ the closed neighbourhood of ∂R in $\mathcal{R} = [0,1]^2$ given by $\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \setminus (z_c/2 + (1/2)\mathcal{R})$, where $z_c/2 + (1/2)\mathcal{R}$ is the image of \mathcal{R} along the homothety centred at z_c of rescaling factor $\frac{1}{2}$; in other words, $z_c/2 + (1/2)\mathring{\mathcal{R}}$ is the open square of side length $\frac{1}{2}$ centred at z_c .

The identity of $\mathbb C$ induces a map

$$
(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_{*}\colon \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial; \mathcal{Q}, G) \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G).
$$

Recall that $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial^{\text{fat}}\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ has a filtration by subspaces F_{ν} Hur $(\mathcal{R}, \partial^{\text{fat}}\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ for $\nu \ge -1$; we define $F_{\nu}^{\text{fat}} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ as the intersection

$$
F_{\nu}^{\text{fat}}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G) := (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_{*}^{-1}(F_{\nu}\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q},G)) \cap F_{\nu+1}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G).
$$

In particular, we have $F_{-1}^{\text{fat}}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G) = \emptyset$. Roughly speaking, for $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G)$, we can use Notation [2.2](#page-5-3) and suppose without loss of generality that $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{l'}\} = P \cap (1/4, 3/4)^2$ for some $0 \leq l' \leq l$; if f_1, \ldots, f_k is an admissible generating set for $\mathfrak{G}(P)$, then c belongs to $F_{\nu}^{\text{fat}} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ if the following hold:

•
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} N(\psi(f_i)) \leq \nu + 1, \text{ that is, } \mathfrak{c} \in F_{\nu+1} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G);
$$

$$
\bullet \ \sum_{i=1}^{l'} N(\psi(f_i)) \leq \nu.
$$

Another characterisation is the following: $F^{\text{fat}}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ is the preimage of the space $F_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ along the restricted map $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{B}}(-,2): F_{\nu+1}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G)$, see Definition [5.6.](#page-35-0) Note that, in fact, $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{B}}(-,2)$ restricts to a self-map of $F_{\nu+1}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G)$. By construction, we have inclusions

$$
F_{\nu}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G) \subset F_{\nu}^{\text{fat}}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G) \subset F_{\nu+1}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G).
$$

Notation 5.21. For a subspace $X \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ and $\nu \geq -1$ we denote by $F_{\nu}^{\text{fat}}X$ the intersection $X \cap F_{\nu}^{\text{fat}} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$. For $\nu \geq 0$ we denote by $\partial^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} X$ the intersection $\mathfrak{F}_{\nu} X \cap F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}} X$.

Example 5.22. Let $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ and let $X = \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}$; the inclusion of nice couples $(\mathcal{R}, \emptyset) \subset (\mathcal{R}, \partial)$ induces an inclusion of spaces $X \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$; let $\nu = N(a) \geq 0$ and note that $F_{\nu-1}X = \emptyset$ and, hence, $X = F_{\nu}X = \mathfrak{F}_{\nu}X$. The space $F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}X$ contains all configurations $\mathfrak{c} \in X$ such that, using Notation [2.2,](#page-5-3) P intersects non-trivially $\partial^{fat} \mathcal{R}$: in fact, the condition $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$ implies that the monodromy ψ attains values of norm ≥ 1 (i.e. different from $1 \in \mathcal{Q}$) around all points of P. The space $\partial^{fat}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}X$ coincides with $F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}X$ in this case and by abuse of notation we will also write

$$
\partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \, \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a = \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_\nu \, \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a.
$$

Example 5.23*.* Let $X = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$; then $F_{-1}^{\text{fat}}X = \partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_0X = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{F}_0X = \mathcal{B}G$; hence, the identity of βG can be regarded as a pair of bundles

$$
\mathfrak{p}_0\colon (\mathfrak{F}_0\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G),\,\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_0\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G))\to \mathcal{B}G
$$

with fibre the couple $(Hur(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_1, \partial^{fat} Hur(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_1) = (\{(\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})\}, \emptyset)$. In the rest of the subsection we generalise this example to the other strata of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$.

FIGURE 9. The configuration c from [Figure 7](#page-34-1) belongs to $\partial^{fat}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}$, where $\nu = N(a_1) + N(a_2) +$ $N(a_3)$, because the two points z_1 and z_3 lie in $\partial^{fat}R$. On the right, the image of c along \mathfrak{p}_{ν} ; note that the loop with label g_4 on the left is *not* constructed using an arc contained in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}$; as a consequence the monodromy on right around the 'same' loop is changed by conjugation: we have, in fact, $g'_1 = g_4^{\mathfrak{e}(a_2)(\mathfrak{e}(a_3)^{g_5^{-1}})}$, $g'_2 = g_5$ and $g'_3 = g_6\mathfrak{e}(a_1)\mathfrak{e}(a_2)g_5(\mathfrak{e}(a_3)^{g_5^{-1}})$.

Fix in the following $\nu \geq 1$, let $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) Let ζ_1, \ldots, ζ_k be embedded arcs in $\mathbb C$ joining $*$ with the points z_1,\ldots,z_k of P, intersecting pairwise only at the endpoint ∗ and such that $\zeta_i \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}$ for $l + 1 \leq i \leq k$. Recall that, since $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, the point 0 belongs to P; we use the convention that $z_k = 0$. Let f_1, \ldots, f_k be the admissible generating set of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ obtained by replacing each ζ_i by a loop contained in a small neighbourhood of ζ_i and spinning clockwise only around z_i .

We define a new configuration $\mathfrak{c}' = (P', \psi') \in \mathcal{B}G = \text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}; G)_{0;1}$ as follows:

- P' is the intersection $P \cap \partial \mathcal{R}$;
- ψ' sends, for $l + 1 \leq i \leq k 1$, the generator f_i to $\varphi(f_i) \in G$ and it sends f_k to the unique element $\psi'(f_k) \in G$ such that the resulting configuration $\mathfrak{c}' = (P', \psi')$ satisfies $\omega(\mathfrak{c}') = \mathbb{1} \in G$.

Note that G is treated as a complete PMQ when defining c' . See [Figure 9.](#page-42-0)

DEFINITION 5.24. The previous assignment $\mathfrak{c} \mapsto \mathfrak{c}'$ defines a map of sets

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{\nu} \colon \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \to \mathcal{B}G.
$$

To check that the previous is a good definition, we need to verify that the choice of the arcs ζ_1,\ldots,ζ_k is not relevant in computing $\mathfrak{p}_\nu(\mathfrak{c})$. The generator f_i is uniquely defined up to

conjugation by a power of the element $[\gamma] \in \mathfrak{G}(P)$ represented by a loop γ spinning clockwise around R. It follows that $\psi(f_i)$ is well-defined, as an element of G, up to conjugation by a power of $\psi([\gamma])$, i.e. up to conjugation by a power of $\omega(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathbb{1} \in G$: here we use that the total monodromy attains constantly the value $1 \in G$ on configurations of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$; this shows that $\psi(f_i) \in G$ is well-defined for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $\psi(f_k)$ is also uniquely determined by the values $\psi(f_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and by its characterising property. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu}(\mathfrak{c})$ is well-defined.

We next check that \mathfrak{p}_{ν} : $\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \to \mathcal{B}G$ is continuous. Roughly speaking, \mathfrak{p}_{ν} splits a configuration in $\mathfrak{F}_\nu\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ in two parts, the part supported on $\partial \mathcal{R}$ and the part supported on $\mathcal R$ and it pushes all points in the second part to 0, thus giving rise to a new configuration supported only on $\partial \mathcal{R}$. Continuity of \mathfrak{p}_{ν} depends on the fact that if we perturb a configuration *staying inside the stratum* $\mathfrak{F}_\nu \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, then no point in \mathcal{R} can collide with $\partial \mathcal{R}$ and vice versa no point in $\partial \mathcal{R}$ can move in the interior, as this would let the internal total norm jump (down and up, respectively). Here it is important that, working with $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, the local monodromy of a point lying in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is required to have positive norm.

Formally, let $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ and let U be an adapted covering of P: in particular, we have $z_i \in U_i \subset \mathring{\mathcal{R}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. Denote by $\mathfrak{c}' = \mathfrak{p}_{\nu}(\mathfrak{c}) \in \mathcal{B}G$ and let \underline{U}' be the restricted, adapted covering of P', i.e. $\underline{U}' = (U_{l+1}, \ldots, U_k)$. Then \mathfrak{p}_{ν} sends the intersection $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}; \underline{U}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ inside $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}';\underline{U}')\subset\mathcal{B}G$: this essentially follows from the observation that, for $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}=(\tilde{P},\tilde{\psi},\tilde{\varphi})\in$ $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c};\underline{U}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G)$, we have $\tilde{P} \subset U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_l \cup (\underline{U}' \cap \partial \mathcal{R})$.

Notation 5.25. Let $\nu \geq 0$, let $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a contractible subspace containing *, let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathring{\mathbb{T}}$ be a semialgebraic subspace and let X be a subspace of $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Q})$. We denote $X_{\nu} = \coprod_{a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}} (X \cap$ $Hur^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{X};\mathcal{Q})_a).$

PROPOSITION 5.26. *The map* \mathfrak{p}_{ν} : $\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G) \to \mathcal{B}G$ *is a bundle map with fibre* Hur $(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu}$. *The restricted map* \mathfrak{p}_{ν} : $\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \to BG$ *is also a bundle map with fibre* $\partial^{\text{fat}}\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu$. *The two bundles admit compatible local trivialisations, i.e. they form a couple of bundles.*

Proof. Choose a small *closed* interval $J \subset (0,1) \times \{0\} \subset \partial \mathcal{R}$ and choose an arc ζ_J joining $*$ with the midpoint of J. Let \mathbb{T} be the union $\mathbb{T} = \mathcal{R} \cup J \cup \zeta_J \subset \mathbb{C}$ and note that \mathbb{T} is contractible and contains R in its interior. We can define a map of sets

$$
i_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G)\cap\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}\setminus J,\partial\mathcal{R}\setminus J;\mathcal{Q},G)\rightarrow\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu,
$$

in the spirit of [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.15]. To define this map, let

$$
\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \cap \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R} \setminus J, \partial \mathcal{R} \setminus J; \mathcal{Q}, G).
$$

Using Notation [2.2,](#page-5-3) this means that $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ and the support P of c does not intersect J. The inclusion $\mathbb{T} \setminus P \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus P$ gives rise to an inclusion of groups $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathbb{T}}(P \cap \mathcal{R}) \subset \mathfrak{G}(P)$ and, by restriction, an inclusion of PMQs $\mathfrak{Q}^{\mathbb{T}}_{(\mathcal{R},\emptyset)}(P \cap \mathcal{R}) \subset \mathfrak{Q}_{(\mathcal{R},\partial)}(P)$. We define $\mathfrak{i}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathfrak{c})$ to be the configuration $\mathfrak{c}' = (P', \psi')$, where $P' = P \cap \mathring{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\psi' : \mathfrak{Q}^{\mathbb{T}}_{(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}, \emptyset)}(P') \to \mathcal{Q}$ is the composition of the above inclusion with $\psi \colon \mathfrak{Q}_{(\mathcal{R},\partial)}(P) \to \mathcal{Q}.$

To show that $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is continuous at $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \cap \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R} \setminus J, \partial \mathcal{R} \setminus J; \mathcal{Q}, G)$, let \underline{U} be an adapted covering of P with $\underline{U} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus J$, let $\mathfrak{c}' = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{c})$ as above and let \underline{U}' be the restriction of \underline{U} to $P' \subset P$, i.e. U' consists of those components of U that intersect non-trivially P'; equivalently, \underline{U}' consists of those components of \underline{U} that are contained in \mathcal{R} .

We claim that $i_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ sends $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}, \underline{U}) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ inside $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}', \underline{U}') \subset \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu$; since every small enough adapted covering \underline{U}' of P' with respect to $(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}, \emptyset)$ can be extended to an adapted covering U of P with respect to $(\mathcal{R} \setminus J, \partial \mathcal{R} \setminus J)$, the claim suffices to prove continuity of $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

For the claim, let $\hat{\mathfrak{c}} = (\hat{P}, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\varphi}) \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}, U) \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$; fix an admissible generating set f_1,\ldots,f_k of $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ extending an admissible generating set f_1,\ldots,f_l of $\mathfrak{G}^T(P')$ and regard f_1,\ldots,f_k as elements of $\mathfrak{G}(\hat{P})$ by the inclusion $\mathfrak{G}(P) \cong \mathfrak{G}(\underline{U}) \subset \mathfrak{G}(\hat{P})$. Let $\hat{P}_i = \hat{P} \cap U_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and write $\hat{P}_i = \{z_{i,1},\ldots,z_{i,k_i}\}.$ Choose an admissible generating set $(\hat{f}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq k,1 \leq j \leq k_i}$ of $\mathfrak{G}(\hat{P})$, such that the equality $f_i = \hat{f}_{i,1} \cdots \cdots \hat{f}_{i,k_i}$ holds in $\mathfrak{G}(\hat{P})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. The hypothesis on $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ implies that for all $1 \leq i \leq l$ the product $\hat{\psi}(\hat{f}_{i,1})\cdots\hat{\psi}(\hat{f}_{i,k_i})$ is defined in \mathcal{Q} and is equal to $\psi(f_i)$, in particular $\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N(\hat{\psi}(\hat{f}_{i,j})) = N(\psi(f_i))$. Summing over $1 \leq i \leq l$ and recalling that $\hat{\mathfrak{c}} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, we obtain the equality $\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} N(\hat{\psi}(\hat{f}_{i,j})) = \nu$. This implies that \hat{P} can only intersect the open sets $U_{l+1},...,U_k$ in points of $\partial \mathcal{R}$ or in *inert* points for $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$; since $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ has no inert
point, we have that \hat{P} is contained in the union $\partial P + U'$. It follows that $\hat{s}' = \hat{s}^{C$ point, we have that \hat{P} is contained in the union $\partial \mathcal{R} \cup \underline{U}'$. It follows that $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}' = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{\mathfrak{c}})$ is supported on U'; the fact that $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}'$ is contained in $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}', \underline{U}')$ follows now directly from the definition of $i_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and from the already mentioned equalities $\hat{\psi}(\hat{f}_{i,1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot \hat{\psi}(\hat{f}_{i,k_i}) = \psi(f_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$.

Now note that the intersection $\mathfrak{F}_\nu \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \cap \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R} \setminus J, \partial \mathcal{R} \setminus J; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ is precisely the preimage along \mathfrak{p}_{ν} of the open subspace $\text{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R}\setminus J;G)_{0;1}\subset \mathcal{B}G$. The product map $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu}\times \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ gives a homeomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{\nu} \times \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathfrak{p}_{\nu}^{-1}(\mathrm{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R} \setminus J; G)_{0;1}) \cong \mathrm{Hur}(\partial \mathcal{R} \setminus J; G)_{0;1} \times \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu}.
$$

Since the open sets Hur $(\partial \mathcal{R} \setminus J; G)_{0,1}$ form an open covering of $\mathcal{B}G$, for varying J, we obtain that \mathfrak{p}_{ν} is a bundle map, i.e. it admits local trivialisations. The fibre of the bundle is homeomorphic to the space $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu}$.

The local trivialisation $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu} \times \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ restricts to a local trivialisation of the restriction of \mathfrak{p}_{ν} to $\partial^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$, with restricted fibre $\partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu}$. \Box

6. Rational cohomology

In this section we assume that Q is a finite, Q-Poincaré PMQ and G is a finite group and compute the rational cohomology of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$. Recall from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition] that a PMQ Q is Q-Poincaré if Q is locally finite and for all $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ the space Hur $(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a is a Qhomology manifold of some dimension: in this case Q admits an intrinsic norm $h: \mathcal{Q} \to \mathbb{N}$ and Hur($\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+$)_a is an orientable Q-homology manifold of dimension $2h(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Q}$. See [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 9.7].

Our interest for the space $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ and its cohomology comes from Theorem [4.22](#page-32-0) and Proposition [5.5,](#page-34-2) relating $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$ to the topological monoid HM(\mathcal{Q}). Note that, for a fixed PMQ Q, we are free to choose a group G completing Q to a PMQ–group pair $(Q, G, \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{r})$. If Q is finite we can, for instance, take $G = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Q})$, which is a finite group; here $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Q})$ denotes the kernel of the map $\rho: \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q}) \to \text{Aut}_{\textbf{PMQ}}(\mathcal{Q})^{\text{op}}$, giving the right action of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q})$ on \mathcal{Q} by conjugation: if $\mathcal Q$ is finite, then Aut_{PMO} $(\mathcal Q)^{op}$ is also finite and contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathcal G(\mathcal Q)/\mathcal K(\mathcal Q)$. See also [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Lemma 2.13]. Thus, if we are given a *finite*, Q-Poincaré PMQ Q, we can complete $\mathcal Q$ to a PMQ–group pair by adjoining a suitable finite group G .

Recall from [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definition 4.29] that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]$ is defined as the subring of the PMQ–group ring $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]$ consisting of the invariants under conjugation by G:

$$
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]^G.
$$

As a Q-vector space, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ is spanned by elements $\llbracket S \rrbracket = \sum_{a \in S} \llbracket a \rrbracket$, for each conjugacy class $S \subset \mathcal{Q}$. In this section we consider $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]^G$ as a graded, associative ring, by putting the generator [a] in degree $2h(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{Q}$; similarly $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a graded ring with [S] in degree $2h(a)$, for any $a \in S$. By [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Lemma 4.31] the ring $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a commutative ring, hence by our choice of

degrees it is a graded-commutative ring, supported in even degrees. We will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.1. Let (Q, G) be a PMO–group pair with Q finite and \mathbb{Q} -Poincaré and with G *finite. Then there is an isomorphism of rings*

$$
H^*(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G);\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q}).
$$

In this entire section we use the abbreviation $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G)$.

6.1 Two spectral sequence arguments

Since the space B is equipped with a filtration by subspaces $F_{\nu} \mathbb{B}$, we can compute $H^*(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$ by the associated Leray spectral sequence, whose first page reads $E_1^{p,\nu} = H^{p+\nu}(F_\nu \mathbb{B}, F_{\nu-1} \mathbb{B}).$

LEMMA 6.2. *For* $\nu \geq 0$ the inclusion $F_{\nu-1} \mathbb{B} \subset F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}} \mathbb{B}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, we *have cohomology isomorphisms*

$$
H^*(F_{\nu}\mathbb{B}, F_{\nu-1}\mathbb{B}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(F_{\nu}\mathbb{B}, F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}\mathbb{B}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}, \partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}; \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Proof. Recall Definitions [5.6](#page-35-0) and [5.7.](#page-35-1) For $\mathfrak{c} \in F_{\nu} \mathbb{B}$ let $\mathfrak{W}_{\nu}(\mathfrak{c})$ be the supremum in [1/2, 1] of all $1/2 < \varepsilon < 1$ for which $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{B}}_{*}(\mathfrak{c},1/\varepsilon) \in F_{\nu-1}\mathbb{B}$. We define a homotopy

$$
H_{\nu}^{\mathbb{B}}\colon F_{\nu}\mathbb{B}\times[0,1]\to F_{\nu}\mathbb{B},\quad (\mathfrak{c},s)\mapsto \mathcal{H}_{*}^{\mathbb{B}}\bigg(\mathfrak{c},1-s+\frac{s}{\mathfrak{W}_{\nu}(\mathfrak{c})}\bigg).
$$

The homotopy $H_{\nu}^{\mathbb{B}}$ restricts to a deformation retraction of $F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}\mathbb{B}$ onto $F_{\nu-1}\mathbb{B}$, whence the first cohomology isomorphism follows. The second cohomology isomorphism follows from excision: in fact, $F_{\nu-1}\mathbb{B}=(\mathfrak{W}_{\nu})^{-1}(1)$ and the open set $(\mathfrak{W}_{\nu})^{-1}((1/2,1])$ is contained in $F_{\nu-1}^{\text{fat}}(\mathbb{B})$, so we can apply excision. \Box

We can now focus on the relative cohomology groups $H^*(\mathfrak{F}_\nu \mathbb{B}, \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_\nu \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{Q})$.

PROPOSITION 6.3. *For* $\nu \geq 0$, the cohomology groups $H^*(\mathfrak{F}_\nu \mathbb{B}, \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_\nu \mathbb{B}; \mathbb{Q})$ are concentrated in $degree * = 2\nu$; the group $H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}, \partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B}; \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})_{2\nu}$, i.e. the degree- 2ν part *of* $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ *.*

Proof. We use the Serre spectral sequence $\mathcal{E}(\nu)$ associated with the couple of bundles $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu} : (\mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}, \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu} \mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}G$: its second page reads

$$
\mathcal{E}(\nu)_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{B}G; H^q(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu; \mathbb{Q})).
$$

The first step is to compute $H^*(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a,\partial^{\text{fat}}\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a;\mathbb{Q})$ for $a\in\mathcal{Q}_\nu$ and the argu-ment for this will be similar to the proof of Lemma [6.2.](#page-45-2) Consider the closed unit square $\mathcal R$ and define $\partial^{\text{fat}}\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} := \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} \setminus \text{Hur}((z_\text{c}/2 + (1/2)\mathcal{R});\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} \text{ and } \partial\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} :=$ $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a \ $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a. The subspace $\partial^{\text{fat}}\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a \subset Hur $(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a contains configurations whose support intersects $\partial^{fat}R$ (see Definition [5.20\)](#page-41-0), whereas $\partial \text{Hur}(R; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}$ Hur $(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a contains configurations whose support intersects $\partial \mathcal{R}$.

Recall Definition [5.6:](#page-35-0) for all $s \geq 1$ the map $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{B}}(-,s)$: $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a lax endomorphism of the nice couple (\mathcal{R}, \emptyset) ; if we consider $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a as a connected component of $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$, under the inclusion $\mathcal{Q} \subset \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, we obtain by functoriality a homotopy

$$
\mathcal{H}_*^{\mathbb{B}}\colon \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a\times[1,\infty)\to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a.
$$

For $\mathfrak{c} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a denote by $\mathfrak{W}_a(\mathfrak{c})$ the supremum in [1/2, 1] of all $1/2 < \varepsilon < 1$ for which $\mathcal{H}_{*}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathfrak{c},1/\varepsilon) \in \partial \operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a$. We define a homotopy

$$
H_a^{\mathbb{B}}\colon \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}\times [0,1] \to \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a,\quad (\mathfrak{c},s)\mapsto \mathcal{H}_*^{\mathbb{B}}\bigg(\mathfrak{c},\,1-s+\frac{s}{\mathfrak{W}_a(\mathfrak{c})}\bigg).
$$

The homotopy $H_a^{\mathbb{B}}$ restricts to a deformation retraction of $\partial^{\text{fat}}\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a onto $\partial\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a; moreover, the subspace $\partial \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}$ of $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}$ is contained in the interior of ∂^{fat} Hur $(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$ _a and

$$
\partial^{\text{fat}} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a \setminus \partial \operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a = \partial^{\text{fat}} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a.
$$

We thus obtain cohomology isomorphisms

$$
H^*(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a; \mathbb{Q})
$$

$$
\cong H^*(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a; \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Since $\mathcal Q$ is $\mathbb Q$ -Poincaré, as a consequence of [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Lemma 9.5 and Proposition 9.7] the cohomology $H^*(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a; \mathbb{Q})$ vanishes in degrees $*\neq 2\nu = 2h(a)$ and it is equal to Q in degree $* = 2\nu$. Going back to the Serre spectral sequence, the group $\mathcal{E}(\nu)_2^{p,q}$ vanishes for $q \neq 2\nu$ and $\mathcal{E}(\nu)_2^{p,2\nu}$ is equal to the twisted cohomology group $H^p(\mathcal{B}G; \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{Q}_\nu} \mathbb{Q})$; this already shows that the spectral sequence collapses on its second page. Moreover, since \tilde{G} is a finite group and we are considering twisted cohomology with coefficients in a G-representation over \mathbb{Q} , all cohomology groups except possibly H^0 vanish, i.e. the entire page $\mathcal{E}(\nu)_2$ vanishes except possibly $\mathcal{E}(\nu)_2^{0,2\nu} = H^0(\mathcal{B}G; \bigoplus_{a \in \mathcal{Q}_\nu} \mathbb{Q}).$

The action of G on $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu}}\mathbb{Q}$ is the Q-linearisation of the action of G on the set \mathcal{Q}_{ν} by conjugation; the invariants of the action of G on $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu}}\mathbb{Q}$ are therefore the Q-vector space spanned by conjugacy classes of Q of norm ν : this vector space is isomorphic to the degree- 2ν part of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$.

Proposition 6.3 implies that the E_1 -page of the Leray spectral sequence associated with the filtered space $\mathbb B$ is supported on the main diagonal, i.e. $E_1^{p,\nu} = 0$ whenever $p \neq \nu$. This implies that the spectral sequence collapses on its first page and, thus, we obtain an isomorphism of graded Q-vector spaces

$$
H^*(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+,G);\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q}).
$$

It will be convenient to specify a particular isomorphism of graded Q-vector spaces. Recall that, since Q is Q-Poincaré, it is locally finite and coconnected; in particular, for all $\nu \geq 0$ and for all $b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}$ there is a canonical fundamental class

$$
[\text{Arr}(\mathcal{Q})_b, \text{NAdm}(\mathcal{Q})_b] \in H_{2\nu}([\text{Arr}(\mathcal{Q})_b], \text{NAdm}(\mathcal{Q})_b]; \mathbb{Q}),
$$

see [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Definition 6.25]. Recall also the map of pairs

$$
v = v_b: (|\text{Arr}(\mathcal{Q})_b|, |\text{NAdm}(\mathcal{Q})_b|) \to (\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b; \partial \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b);
$$

by $[\text{Bia23a}, \text{Lemma 8.23}]$ v is a continuous bijection and the hypothesis that Q is locally finite implies that $|\text{Arr}(\mathcal{Q})_b|$ is compact, hence v_b is a homeomorphism. We thus obtain a fundamental class

$$
[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b;\partial \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b] \in H_{2\nu}(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b;\partial \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b;\mathbb{Q}).
$$

Using the homotopy equivalences of pairs

$$
(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b;\partial\, \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b) \simeq (\mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b;\partial^\mathrm{fat}\, \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b)
$$

and excision we obtain a fundamental class

$$
[\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{b}; \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b] \in H_{2\nu}(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b; \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b; \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Notation 6.4. Let $\llbracket S \rrbracket \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})_{2\nu}$ be the generator corresponding to the conjugacy class $S \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}$. We regard $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ as the (unique) cohomology class

$$
\llbracket S \rrbracket \in H^{2\nu}(\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu, \partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_\nu; \mathbb{Q})
$$

satisfying the following property: for all $b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}$, the Kronecker pairing of [S] with the fundamental homology class $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b, \partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b]$ is 1 if $b \in S$ and is 0 if $b \notin S$.

Note that $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is invariant under the action of G by conjugation, hence $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ corresponds to a cohomology class in $H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B},\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$, which we also denote [S]. Finally, we use the canonical isomorphisms

$$
H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B},\partial^{\mathrm{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftarrow} H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu}\mathbb{B},\partial^{\mathrm{fat}}F_{\nu-1}^{\mathrm{fat}}\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightarrow} H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu}\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftarrow} H^{2\nu}(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})
$$

to regard [S] as a cohomology class in $H^{2\nu}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_+, G); \mathbb{Q})$.

6.2 A strategy to compute the cup product

We fix $\nu, \nu' \geq 0$ throughout the rest of the section; our aim is to compute the cup product $H^{2\nu}(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^{2\nu'}(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$. We fix $\llbracket S \rrbracket \in H^{2\nu}(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$ and $\llbracket S' \rrbracket \in H^{2\nu'}(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$: our aim is to express the cup product $[[S]] \smile [[S]] \in H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$ as a linear combination of generators $[[T]]$, for T varying among conjugacy classes of Q contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu+\nu'}$.

The restriction map $H^*(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(F_{\nu+\nu'}\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism in degrees $* \leq 2\nu + 2\nu'$: therefore, it suffices to compute the cup product $H^{2\nu} \otimes H^{2\nu'} \to H^{2\nu+2\nu'}$ for the space $F_{\nu+\nu'}\mathbb{B}$. In the rest of the subsection we use the abbreviation $F_{\bullet} = F_{\bullet} \mathbb{B}$.

The argument to compute the cup product on $F_{\nu+\nu'}$ is based on certain subspaces F_{lr} , $F_{\text{l}}^{\text{fat}}$
and $F_{\text{r}}^{\text{fat}}$ of $F_{\nu+\nu'}$; there are inclusions $F_{\nu+\nu'-1} \subset F_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fact}}$ as well as $F_{\nu-1} \subset F_{\text{l}}^{\text$ and we will prove that the last two inclusions are, in fact, homotopy equivalences. Postponing the actual definition of F_{lr} , $F_{\text{l}}^{\text{fat}}$ and $F_{\text{r}}^{\text{fat}}$, we introduce some notation.

Notation 6.5. We introduce several subspaces of $F_{\nu+\nu'}$:

$$
F_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat} = F_{\rm l}^{\rm fat} \cup F_{\rm r}^{\rm fat}; \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} = F_{\nu+\nu'} \setminus F_{\rm lr};
$$

$$
\partial_{\rm l}^{\rm fat} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'} = \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'} \cap F_{\rm l}^{\rm fat}; \quad \partial_{\rm r}^{\rm fat} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'} = \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'} \cap F_{\rm r}^{\rm fat}; \quad \partial_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'} = \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'} \cap F_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat};
$$

$$
\partial_{\rm l}^{\rm fat} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} = \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \cap F_{\rm l}^{\rm fat}; \quad \partial_{\rm r}^{\rm fat} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} = \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \cap F_{\rm r}^{\rm fat}; \quad \partial_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} = \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \cap F_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat}.
$$

There is the following square of inclusions of subspaces, where both horizontal arrows are inclusions of a closed subspace of $F_{\nu+\nu'}$ in the interior of a larger subspace of $F_{\nu+\nu'}$:

This implies that the inclusions of couples $(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'},\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'})\subset (F_{\nu+\nu'},F_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}})$ and $(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'})\subset$ $(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}})$ satisfy excision. Finally, the bundle projection $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu+\nu'} : \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'} \to \mathcal{B}G$ exhibits also the subspaces $\partial_l^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}$, $\partial_r^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}$, $\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}$, $\partial_l^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}$, $\partial_l^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}$ and $\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}$ as bundles over $\mathcal{B}G$, with suitable fibres: local trivialisations for these bundles can be obtained by restricting the local trivialisations of $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu+\nu'}$ given in the proof of Proposition [5.26.](#page-43-0)

The previous technical results will allow us to write two commutative diagrams of cohomology groups, where we understand Q-coefficients for cohomology. We state the two diagrams as propositions for future reference.

Proposition 6.6. *There is a commutative diagram of cohomology groups as follows.*

$$
H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'}) \otimes H^{2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'}) \longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'})
$$

\n
$$
\cong \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow
$$

\n
$$
H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_1^{\text{fat}}) \otimes H^{2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_r^{\text{fat}}) \longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}})
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}, \partial_1^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}) \otimes H^{2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}, \partial_r^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}) \longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}, \partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'})
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}, \partial_1^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}) \otimes H^{2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}, \partial_r^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}) \longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}, \partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}).
$$

We will consider $[[S]] \otimes [[S']]$ as an element in $H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_1^{\text{fat}}) \otimes H^{2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_r^{\text{fat}})$ in the previous diagram and compute explicitly the image of the cup product $[[S]] \smile [[S]]$ in $H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'},\partial^{\mathrm{fat}}_{\mathrm{lr}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}) \cong H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial^{\mathrm{fat}}_{\mathrm{lr}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}).$

Proposition 6.7. *There is a commutative diagram of cohomology groups as follows.*

$$
H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'})\n\uparrow \qquad \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat}) \longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{\nu+\nu'-1}^{\rm fat})\n\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong
$$
\n
$$
H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}, \partial_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}) \longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}, \partial_{\rm l}^{\rm fat}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'})
$$

We will compute the image along the natural map θ of $[[S]] \smile [[S']]$ and identify it with the class $\llbracket S \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket S' \rrbracket \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})_{2\nu+2\nu'}$.

6.3 Proof of Propositions [6.6](#page-48-1) and [6.7](#page-48-2)

DEFINITION 6.8. Recall Definition [5.20.](#page-41-0) We let $z_{c,l} = 2/7 + \sqrt{-1}/2$ and $z_{c,r} = 5/7 + \sqrt{-1}/2$; note that the homothety centred at $z_{c,l}$ with rescaling factor $1/4$ maps $\mathring{\mathcal{R}}$ to the open square $(1/4, 3/8) \times (7/16, 9/16)$, i.e. $(1/4, 3/8) \times (7/16, 9/16) = 7z_{c,1}/8 + (1/8)\mathcal{R}$; similarly $(5/8, 3/4) \times$ $(7/16, 9/16) = 7z_{c,r}/8 + (1/8)\mathcal{R}$. See [Figure 10.](#page-49-0)

For $\bullet = 1$, r, we define a subspace $\partial_{\bullet}^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}$ of \mathcal{R} by $\partial_{\bullet}^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R} \setminus (7z_{c,\bullet}/8 + (1/8)\mathcal{R})$. The identity of $\mathbb C$ is a map of nice couples $(\mathcal R, \partial \mathcal R) \to (\mathcal R, \partial_{\bullet}^{\text{fat}} \mathcal R)$, giving rise to a map $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb C})_* \colon F_{\nu+\nu'} =$ $F_{\nu+\nu'}\mathbb{B}\to\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R},\partial_{\bullet}^{\text{fat}}\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q},G)$. Recall Definition [5.9:](#page-36-1) we define $F_1^{\text{fat}}\subset F_{\nu+\nu'}$ as the preimage along $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_*$ of $F_{\nu-1} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial_1^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G)$ and, respectively, $F_r^{\text{fat}} \subset F_{\nu+\nu'}$ as the preimage along $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_{*}$ of $F_{\nu'-1} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \partial_{r}^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}, G).$

Roughly speaking, a configuration $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi, \varphi) \in F_{\nu+\nu'}$ lies in F_1^{fat} if the sum of the norms of the values of the monodromy ψ around points of P lying in the open square $(1/4, 3/8) \times$ $(7/16, 9/16)$ does not exceed $\nu - 1$; similarly for F_r^{fat} , referring to the open square $(5/8, 3/4) \times$ $(7/16, 9/16)$ and replacing the threshold $\nu - 1$ with $\nu' - 1$. To keep the notation simple, we avoid adding the indices ν and ν' to F_1^{fat} and F_r^{fat} . Note that $F_{\nu-1} \subset F_1^{\text{fat}}$ and $F_{\nu'-1} \subset F_r^{\text{fat}}$.

FIGURE 10. The complement of the left (respectively, right) white square is $\partial_l^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}$ (respectively, $\partial_{\rm r}^{\rm fat} \mathcal{R}$), whereas the total grey area is $\partial^{\rm fat} \mathcal{R}$; the dotted vertical line splits the total grey area into $\partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}^{\text{l}}$ and $\partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}^{\text{r}}$.

LEMMA 6.9. *The inclusions* $F_{\nu-1} \subset F_1^{\text{fat}}$ and $F_{\nu'-1} \subset F_r^{\text{fat}}$ are homotopy equivalences.

Proof. We focus on the inclusion $F_{\nu-1} \subset F_1^{\text{fat}}$, the other one being analogous. Recall Definition [5.6](#page-35-0) and the proof of Lemma [6.2.](#page-45-2) For $\mathfrak{c} \in F_{\nu+\nu'}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{W}_{1,\nu}(\mathfrak{c})$ the supremum in $[1/8, 1]$ of all $1/8 < \varepsilon < 1$ for which $(\mathcal{H}_{z_{\text{c},l}}^{\mathbb{B}})_*(\mathfrak{c},1/\varepsilon) \in F_{\nu-1}\mathbb{B}$. We define a homotopy

$$
H_{\mathbf{l},\nu}^{\mathbb{B}}\colon F_{\nu+\nu'}\times[0,1]\to F_{\nu+\nu'},\quad (\mathfrak{c},s)\mapsto \big(\mathcal{H}_{z_{\mathbf{c},1}}^{\mathbb{B}}\big)_*\bigg(\mathfrak{c},1-s+\frac{s}{\mathfrak{W}_{\mathbf{l},\nu}(\mathfrak{c})}\bigg).
$$

The homotopy $H_{1,\nu}^{\mathbb{B}}$ restricts to a deformation retraction of F_1^{fat} onto $F_{\nu-1}$.

Lemma [6.9](#page-48-3) implies the top left isomorphism in Proposition [6.6.](#page-48-1)

LEMMA 6.10. The space $F_{\nu+\nu'-1}^{\text{fat}}$ is contained in the union $F_1^{\text{fat}} \cup F_r^{\text{fat}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c} \in F^{\text{fat}}_{\nu+\nu'-1}$, use Notation [2.2](#page-5-3) and for $\bullet = 1$, r denote by $P_{\bullet} \subset P$ the intersection of P with the open square $(7z_{c,\bullet}/8 + (1/8)\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are indices $0 \leq l' \leq l'' \leq l$ such that $P_1 = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{l'}\}$ and $P_r = \{z_{l'+1}, \ldots, z_{l''}\}$. Let f_1, \ldots, f_k be an admissible generating set. Then the hypothesis $\mathfrak{c} \in F^{\text{fat}}_{\nu+\nu'-1}$, together with the fact that $(7z_{c,1}/8 + (1/8)\mathring{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(7z_{c,r}/8 + (1/8)\mathring{\mathcal{R}})$ are disjoint and contained in $(z_c/2 + (1/2)\mathring{\mathcal{R}})$, implies the inequality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l'} N(\psi(f_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{l''} N(\psi(f_i)) \leq \nu + \nu' - 1.
$$

It follows that at least one of the following two inequalities holds:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l'} N(\psi(f_i)) \leq \nu - 1, \quad \sum_{i=l'+1}^{l''} N(\psi(f_i)) \leq \nu' - 1;
$$

the first inequality implies $\mathfrak{c} \in F_1^{\text{fat}}$, the second implies $\mathfrak{c} \in F_r^{\text{fat}}$ Γ .

 \Box

Notation 6.11. We introduce several subspaces of \mathcal{R} , see [Figure 10:](#page-49-0)

$$
\mathcal{R}^{1} = [0, 1/2] \times [0, 1], \quad \mathcal{R}^{r} = [1/2, 1] \times [0, 1],
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}^{1} = (0, 1/2) \times (0, 1), \quad \mathcal{R}^{r} = (1/2, 1) \times (0, 1),
$$

$$
\partial \mathcal{R}^{1} = \mathcal{R}^{1} \setminus \mathcal{R}^{1}, \quad \partial \mathcal{R}^{r} = \mathcal{R}^{r} \setminus \mathcal{R}^{r},
$$

$$
\partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}^{1} = \mathcal{R}^{1} \setminus \left(\frac{7z_{c,1}}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{R}\right), \quad \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}^{1} = \mathcal{R}^{1} \setminus \left(\frac{7z_{c,1}}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{R}\right),
$$

$$
\partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}^{1} = \mathcal{R}^{1} \setminus \left(\frac{7z_{c,1}}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{R}\right), \quad \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}^{1} = \mathcal{R}^{1} \setminus \left(\frac{7z_{c,1}}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{R}\right).
$$

DEFINITION 6.12. Recall Definition [5.9.](#page-36-1) The identity of $\mathbb C$ induces maps of nice couples $(\mathcal R;\partial) \to$ $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{I}})$ and $(\mathcal{R}, \partial) \to (\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{r}})$. We define $F_{\text{lr}} \subset F_{\nu+\nu'}$ as the subspace of configurations c such that at least one of the following conditions holds:

- $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_{*}(\mathfrak{c}) \in \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{1}; \mathcal{Q}_{+}, G)$ has norm $\leq \nu 1$;
- $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}})_{*}(\mathfrak{c}) \in \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{r}; \mathcal{Q}_{+}, G)$ has norm $\leq \nu' 1$.

Roughly speaking, the complement $\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}$ of F_{\ln} in $F_{\nu+\nu'}$ contains those configurations $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi, \varphi)$ such that $P \subset \mathcal{R} \setminus \{1/2\} \times (0, 1)$, the sum of the norms of the values of ψ around points of $P \cap \mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ is equal to ν and the sum of the norms of the values of ψ around points of $P \cap \mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{r}}$ is equal to ν' .

LEMMA 6.13. The space F_{lr} is contained in the interior of $F_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}}$, considered as subspace of $F_{\nu+\nu'}$.

Proof. Given $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi, \varphi) \in F_{\text{lr}}$, it suffices to note that for any adapted covering U of P with the sets U_i of diameter at most 1/8, the restricted normal neighbourhood $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{c}; \underline{U}) \cap F_{\nu+\nu'}$ is contained in F_{1r}^{fat} . \mathbf{r} . \Box

Lemma [6.13](#page-50-1) implies, together with the inclusion $F_{\nu+\nu'-1} \subset F_{\rm lr}$, that the couple $(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{\rm lr}^{\rm fat})$ satisfies excision with respect to the subspaces $F_{\nu+\nu'-1}$ and $F_{\rm lr}$, i.e. the two bottom right vertical isomorphisms in Proposition [6.6](#page-48-1) hold. This concludes the proof of Proposition [6.6.](#page-48-1)

In the same way, the two bottom vertical isomorphisms of Proposition 6.7 follow from excision of the subspace $F_{\nu+\nu'-1}$, whereas the top diagonal isomorphism follows from the computation of $H^*(\mathbb{B};\mathbb{Q})$ using the Leray spectral sequence. This concludes also the proof of Proposition [6.7.](#page-48-2)

6.4 Conclusion of the proof of Theorem [6.1](#page-45-1)

As remarked already, $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu+\nu'}$ exhibits all subspaces of $\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'}$ occurring in the bottom rows of Propositions 6.6 and 6.7 as bundles over βG : the proof of Proposition [5.26](#page-43-0) provides local trivialisations also for these bundles. Our next aim is to compute the cohomology groups of these bundles and of the couples of bundles they form.

DEFINITION 6.14. For $\nu, \nu' \ge 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\nu} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}$ the subset of couples (a, b) for which the product ab is defined in \mathcal{Q} . We set

$$
\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'}:=\coprod_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^!;\mathcal{Q}_+)_a\times \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{r}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b.
$$

We regard $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'}$ as a subspace of $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'}$ by regarding each product $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} \times \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{b}$ as a subspace of $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{ab}$ under the inclusion given by

$$
\mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{1}; \mathcal{Q}_{+})_{a} \times \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{r}; \mathcal{Q}_{+})_{b}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{0,1/2}}^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{1/2,1}}^{\mathbb{C}}
$$
\n
$$
\mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,1/2}}(\mathcal{R}^{1}; \mathcal{Q}_{+})_{a} \times \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{1/2,1}}(\mathcal{R}^{r}; \mathcal{Q}_{+})_{b}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow_{-\sqcup -}
$$
\n
$$
\mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,1}}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_{+})_{ab} \xrightarrow{\qquad (\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{0,1}}^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_{+})_{at}
$$

The generic fibre of the bundle $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu+\nu'}: \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \to BG$ is homeomorphic to the space Hur $(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'}$. To see this, note that the same argument of the proof of Proposition [5.26](#page-43-0) identifies the fibre of $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu+\nu'}\colon \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \to \mathcal{B}G$ with the subspace of $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^1 \cup \mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'}$ containing configurations $\mathfrak c$ with the following properties:

- $i_{\mathbb{S}_{0,1/2}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ sends c to a configuration in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,1/2}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^1;\mathcal{Q}_+)$ with total monodromy in \mathcal{Q}_{ν} ;
- $i_{\mathbb{S}_{1/2,1}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ sends c to a configuration in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{1/2,1}}(\mathcal{R}^r;\mathcal{Q}_+)$ with total monodromy in $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}$;
- the product of the elements $\omega(i_{\mathbb{S}_{0,1/2}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{c}))$ and $\omega(i_{\mathbb{S}_{1/2,1}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{c}))$ is defined in \mathcal{Q} .

We can further identify the fibres of the following restrictions of $\mathfrak{p}_{\nu+\nu'}$:

- the fibre of $\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \to \mathcal{B}G$ is identified with $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'}$, as already mentioned;
- the fibre of $\partial_l^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \to \mathcal{B}G$ is identified with the intersection of $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'}$ with the product $\partial^{fat} \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu} \times \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu'},$ i.e. with the space

$$
\partial^{\text{fat}}_l \operatorname{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'} := \coprod_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}} \partial^{\text{fat}} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^l;\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} \times \operatorname{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r;\mathcal{Q}_+)_b,
$$

where $\partial^{\text{fat}}\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^!; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} := \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^!; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} \setminus \text{Hur}(7z_{\text{c},l}/8 + (1/8)\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a};$

• the fibre of $\partial_{r}^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \to \mathcal{B}G$ is identified with the intersection of $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'}$ with the product $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu} \times \partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu'}$, i.e. with the space

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{fat}} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'} := \coprod_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a} \times \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{b},
$$

where $\partial^{\text{fat}}\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r;\mathcal{Q}_+)_b := \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r;\mathcal{Q}_+)_b \setminus \text{Hur}(7z_{c,r}/8 + (1/8)\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b;$

• the fibre of $\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'} \to \mathcal{B}G$ is identified with the union $\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'} := \partial_{\text{l}}^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};$ $(\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'} \cup \partial_r^{\text{fat}} \operatorname{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu,\nu'}.$

By using functoriality with respect to suitable semialgebraic homeomorphisms of \mathbb{C} , we can identify the couples of spaces

$$
(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^1; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^1; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a) \cong (\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a);
$$

\n
$$
(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b) \cong (\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b).
$$

Notation 6.15. For $d \geq 0$ we denote by $\mathbb{Q}\langle\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\rangle[d]$ the graded Q-vector space concentrated in degree d, with basis the set $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}$.

For $d, d' \geq 0$ we denote by $\mu_{\nu,\nu'} : \mathbb{Q} \langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'} \rangle [d] \otimes \mathbb{Q} \langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'} \rangle [d'] \to \mathbb{Q} \langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'} \rangle [d+d']$ the pairing given by $(a, b) \otimes (a, b) \mapsto (a, b)$ for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu, \nu'}$ and $(a, b) \otimes (a', b') \mapsto 0$ for $(a, b) \neq (a', b') \in$ $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}$.

Consider the bottom row of Proposition [6.6:](#page-48-1) all groups involved are cohomology groups of couples of bundles over βG . The cup product on fibres reads as follows, where we simplify the notation by writing Hur for $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$:

$$
H^{2\nu}(\text{Hur}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_1^{\text{fat}}) \otimes H^{2\nu'}(\text{Hur}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_r^{\text{fat}}) \xrightarrow{\smile} H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\text{Hur}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{fat}})
$$

$$
\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong
$$

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\right\rangle[2\nu] \otimes \mathbb{Q}\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\right\rangle[2\nu'] \xrightarrow{\mu_{\nu,\nu'}} \mathbb{Q}\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\right\rangle[2\nu+2\nu'].
$$

Notation 6.16. For $a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{c}_{1,a} \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^1;\mathcal{Q}_{+})_a$ the unique configuration supported on $\{z_{c,l}\}$; similarly, for $b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{c}_{r,b} \in \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r;\mathcal{Q}_+)_b$ the unique configuration supported on $\{z_{c,r}\}.$

The three cohomology groups in the top row of the previous diagram have bases in bijection with the set $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}$. For instance, $H^{2\nu}_{\lambda}(\text{Hur}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_{1}^{\text{fat}}))$ has a basis given by the cohomology duals of the homology classes $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}}] \otimes [\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}]$. Here $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}}] \in$ $H_{2\nu}(\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^1; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}, \partial^{\text{fat}}; \mathbb{Q})$ is the fundamental homology class and $[\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}] \in H_0(\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b; \mathbb{Q})$ denotes the 'ground' homology class.

A similar description gives a basis for $H^{2\nu'}(\text{Hur}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_r^{\text{fat}})$ in bijection with $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}$, whereas for $H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\text{Hur}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial^{\text{fat}}_{\text{lr}})$ we consider the cohomology duals of the homology classes $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{\text{!}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}}] \otimes [\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{\text{!}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_b, \partial^{\text{fat}}]$. Taking G-invariants, we obtain an explicit computation of the bottom row of Proposition [6.6](#page-48-1) as follows:

$$
H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_{1}^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'})\otimes H^{2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_{r}^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'})\longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_{lr}^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'})\n\downarrow{\simeq}\n\downarrow{\simeq}\n\qquad \qquad \downarrow{\simeq}\n\qquad \qquad \left(\mathbb{Q}\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\right\rangle[2\nu]\right)^{G}\otimes\left(\mathbb{Q}\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\right\rangle[2\nu'])\right)^{G}\n\qquad \qquad \downarrow{\simeq}\n\qquad \qquad \left(\mathbb{Q}\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\right\rangle[2\nu+2\nu']\right)^{G}.
$$

LEMMA 6.17. Let $S \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}$ be a conjugacy class of \mathcal{Q} and consider $\|S\|$ as a cohomology class $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}$ the group $\in H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_1^{\text{fat}}) \cong H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{\nu-1}) \cong H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'});$ the restriction of [S] to $H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial^{\text{fat}}_{1}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'})$ is the element

$$
\sum_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\cap S\times\mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}}(a,b)\in\mathbb{Q}\langle\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\rangle[2\nu].
$$

Similarly, for a conjugacy class $S' \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}$, the class $[S'] \in H^{2\nu'}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_r^{\text{fat}})$ *restricts to*

$$
\sum_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\cap\mathcal{Q}_{\nu}\times S'}(a,b)\in\mathbb{Q}\langle\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\rangle[2\nu']\cong H^{2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_r^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}).
$$

Proof. We focus on the first part of the statement, the second being analogous. Fix $(a, b) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}$; the couple of spaces $(Hur(\mathcal{R}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}, \partial^{fat}) \times \mathfrak{c}_{r,b}$ can be embedded into the couple of bundles $(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_1^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'})$ as part of the fibre over the basepoint of BG. We consider the homology class

$$
[\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^!; \mathcal{Q}_{+})_a, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}] \otimes [\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}] \in H_{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}_{1} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}; \mathbb{Q});
$$

such classes generate the group $H_{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial_1^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'};\mathbb{Q})$, so in order to identify the restriction of $\llbracket S \rrbracket \in H^{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'}, F^{\text{fat}}_1; \mathbb{Q})$ to $H^{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}, \partial^{\text{fat}}_1 \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}; \mathbb{Q})$, it suffices to compute the Kronecker pairing of the restricted $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ with all homology classes of the form $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}}] \otimes [\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}]$. Let j be the composite

$$
\mathfrak{j} \colon (\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{l}}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{a}, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}) \times \{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{r},b}\} \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}_{\mathrm{l}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'}) \subset (F_{\nu+\nu'}, F^{\mathrm{fat}}_{\mathrm{l}});
$$

then we can also consider the homology class $\mathfrak{j}_*([\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^l;\mathcal{Q}_+)_a,\partial^\text{fat}] \otimes [\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{r},b}])$ in $H_{2\nu}(F_{\nu+\nu'},F^{\text{fat}}_1;\mathbb{Q})$ and compute its Kronecker pairing with $\llbracket S \rrbracket$.

Fix a homotopy $\mathcal{H} \colon \mathbb{C} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:

- for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$, $\mathcal{H}(-,s)$ is a semialgebraic self-map of $\mathbb C$ fixing $\mathbb C \setminus \mathbb R$ pointwise and sending $\partial_l^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}$ into itself;
- $\mathcal{H}(-,0)$ is the identity of \mathbb{C} ;
- $\mathcal{H}(-, 1)$ restricts to a homeomorphism of couples $(\mathcal{R}^1, \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R}^1) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightarrow} (\mathcal{R}, \partial^{\text{fat}} \mathcal{R})$ and it sends $z_{c,r} \mapsto$ $z_{\diamond}^{\rm r}$.

Then H induces a homotopy of maps of couples

$$
\mathcal{H}_{*}\colon (F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{1}^{\text{fat}}) \times [0,1] \to (F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_{1}^{\text{fat}}),
$$

and composing this homotopy with j we obtain a homotopy of maps of couples

$$
H = \mathcal{H} \circ (j \times \mathrm{Id}) : (\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^1; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}) \times \{\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}\} \times [0,1] \to (F_{\nu+\nu'}, F_1^{\mathrm{fat}}).
$$

Since $H(-, 0) = j$, we have

$$
j_*([\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^l;\mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}] \otimes [\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}]) = H(-,1)_*([\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^l;\mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}] \otimes [\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}]),
$$

so we can focus on the Kronecker pairing of the latter homology class with $\llbracket S \rrbracket$.

Now note that, by construction, $H(-, 1)$ can be considered as a map of couples

$$
(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{l}};\mathcal{Q}_{+})_a, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}}) \times \{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{r},b}\} \to (\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}, \partial^{\mathrm{fat}} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu}) \subset (F_{\nu+\nu'}, F^{\mathrm{fat}}_{\mathrm{l}});
$$

more precisely $H(-,1)$ restricts to a homeomorphism of $(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^{\text{!}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a},\partial^{\text{fat}}) \times {\{\mathfrak{c}_{\text{r},b}\}}$ onto $(Hur(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{fat})$, where the latter couple is considered as part of the fibre of $\mathfrak{p}_\nu : (\mathfrak{F}_\nu, \partial^{fat}\mathfrak{F}_\nu) \rightarrow$ BG over the unique configuration $\mathfrak{c}_{r,\mathfrak{e}(b)} = (P,\psi)$ satisfying the following properties:

- $\mathfrak{c}_{r,\mathfrak{e}(b)}$ is supported on the set $P = \{0, z_{\diamond}^r\};$
- if γ is a simple loop in $\mathbb{S}_{0,\infty}$ spinning clockwise around $z_{\diamond}^{\mathsf{r}}$, then $\psi([\gamma]) = \mathfrak{e}(b) \in G$.

It follows that $H(-,1)_*([Hur(\mathring{R}^1; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}}] \otimes [\mathfrak{c}_{r,b}])$ is the image of the homology class $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{a},\partial^{\text{fat}}] \in H_{2\nu}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu},\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu})$ under the inclusion $(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu},\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu}) \subset (F_{\nu+\nu'},F^{\text{fat}}_{1});$ the Kronecker pairing of the latter class with $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is 1 if and only if a belongs to S.

Lemma [6.17](#page-52-0) implies that for $S \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}$ and $S' \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\nu'}$, the restriction of the cup product $[[S] \smile [S']$ to the cohomology group in the bottom right corner of Proposition [6.6,](#page-48-1) can be identified with the G-invariant element

$$
\sum_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\cap S\times S'} (a,b)\in(\mathbb{Q}\langle\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\rangle[2\nu+2\nu'])^G\cong H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'},\partial^{\mathrm{fat}}_{\mathrm{Ir}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu,\nu'};\mathbb{Q}).
$$

The following lemma concludes the proof of Theorem [6.1.](#page-45-1)

Lemma 6.18. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$
H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; Q_+)_{\nu+\nu'}, \partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}}; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; Q_+), \partial^{\text{fat}}); \mathbb{Q})
$$

$$
\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong
$$

$$
\mathbb{Q}\langle Q_{\nu,\nu'}\rangle \left[2\nu+2\nu'\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}^{-1}\mathbb{I}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]_{2\nu+2\nu'}.
$$

Here the vertical maps are the canonical isomorphisms, given by the bases of the top homology groups of elements of the form $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^l; \mathcal{Q}_+)_a, \partial^{\text{fat}}] \otimes [\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}^r; \mathcal{Q}_+)_b, \partial^{\text{fat}}]$, for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu, \nu'}$ and, *respectively, of the form* $[\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_c, \partial^{\text{fat}}]$ *, for* $c \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu+\nu'}$ *. Moreover,* $[-] \cdot [-]$ *denotes the map* $(a, b) \mapsto [a] \cdot [b]$ *. Passing to G-invariants, we obtain the following commutative diagram:*

$$
H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'},\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'};\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\theta} H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'},\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'};\mathbb{Q})
$$

$$
\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong
$$

$$
\left(\mathbb{Q}\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\right\rangle\left[2\nu+2\nu'\right]\right)^{G} \xrightarrow{\llbracket -\rrbracket \cdot \llbracket -\rrbracket} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})_{2\nu+2\nu'}.
$$

Proof. We first argue that commutativity of the first diagram implies commutativity of the second. The Serre spectral sequences computing the cohomology of the couples of bundles $(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'},\partial^{\text{fat}}_{\text{Ir}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'})$ and $(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'},\partial^{\text{fat}}\mathfrak{F}_{\nu+\nu'})$ have both a second page concentrated in the single entry in position $(0, 2\nu + \nu')$, with value, respectively, the G-invariants

$$
H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'},\partial_{\mathrm{lr}}^{\mathrm{fat}};\mathbb{Q})^G\quad\text{and}\quad H^{2\nu+2\nu'}(\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+),\partial^{\mathrm{fat}});\mathbb{Q})^G.
$$

This implies that the spectral sequences collapse and that the top row of the second diagram is obtained from the top row of the first diagram by taking G-invariants. This, together with the fact that all arrows in the first diagram are G-equivariant, shows that the second diagram is obtained from the first by taking G-invariants.

It suffices therefore to prove commutativity of the first diagram. Recall that $\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'}$ is an orientable Q-homology manifold; moreover, both differences $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'} \setminus$ $\partial_{\text{lr}}^{\text{fat}}\operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'}$ and $\operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'}\setminus\partial^{\text{fat}}\operatorname{Hur}(\mathcal{R};\mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'}$ are relatively compact inside Hur $(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)_{\nu+\nu'}$. We can therefore apply Poincaré–Lefschetz duality and reduce to proving commutativity of the following diagram, where we use the abbreviation Hur for $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{Q}_+)$:

$$
H_0(\mathrm{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'}\setminus\partial^{\mathrm{fat}}_{\mathrm{lr}}\mathrm{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'};\mathbb{Q})\longrightarrow H_0(\mathrm{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'}\setminus\partial^{\mathrm{fat}}\mathrm{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'};\mathbb{Q})\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong
$$

$$
\mathbb{Q}\langle\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}\rangle[0]\longrightarrow \qquad \qquad \frac{\llbracket-\rrbracket\cdot\llbracket-\rrbracket}{\qquadqquad \qquad } \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{c\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu+\nu'}}\mathbb{Q}.
$$

Here the bottom right group is abstractly isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Q}]_{2\nu+2\nu'}$, but lives naturally in degree 0. The vertical isomorphisms are given as follows:

• the left vertical map comes from the identification of $\text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'} \setminus \partial^{\text{fat}}_{\text{Ir}} \text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'}$ with

$$
\coprod_{(a,b)\in\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}}\mathrm{Hur}\bigg(\frac{7z_{\mathrm{c},\mathrm{l}}}{8}+\frac{1}{8}\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+\bigg)_a\times \mathrm{Hur}\bigg(\frac{7z_{\mathrm{c},\mathrm{r}}}{8}+\frac{1}{8}\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+\bigg)_b
$$

induced by the maps $i_{\mathbb{S}_{0,1/2}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $i_{\mathbb{S}_{1/2,1}}^{\mathbb{C}}$; the second space has connected components in bijection with $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}$, by taking the total monodromies of the two factors;

• the right vertical map comes from the identification of $\text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'} \setminus \partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'}$ with

$$
\mathrm{Hur}\bigg(\frac{z_c}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\mathring{\mathcal{R}};\mathcal{Q}_+\bigg)_{\nu+\nu'},
$$

the second space has connected components in bijection with $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu+\nu'}$ by taking the total monodromy.

Commutativity of the last diagram follows from the observation that for all $(a, b) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,\nu'}$, if we set $c = ab \in \mathcal{Q}$, then the inclusion of $\text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'} \setminus \partial_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'}$ into $\text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'} \setminus \partial^{\text{fat}} \text{Hur}_{\nu+\nu'}$

restricts to an inclusion

$$
\mathrm{Hur}\bigg(\frac{7z_{c,1}}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+\bigg)_a \times \mathrm{Hur}\bigg(\frac{7z_{c,r}}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+\bigg)_b \subset \mathrm{Hur}\bigg(\frac{z_c}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathring{\mathcal{R}}; \mathcal{Q}_+\bigg)_c. \qquad \square
$$

6.5 Stable rational cohomology of classical Hurwitz spaces

We apply Theorem [6.1](#page-45-1) in the case of a finite PMQ $\mathcal Q$ with trivial multiplication: recall from [\[Bia21,](#page-63-1) Example 6.20] that every PMQ with trivial product is Poincaré, in particular it is \mathbb{Q} -Poincaré. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ is isomorphic in this case to $\mathbb{Q}[x_S | S \in \text{conj}(\mathcal{Q}_+)]/(x_S^2)$, i.e. the quotient of the polynomial ring over $\mathbb Q$ with one variable x_S in degree two for each conjugacy class $S \subset \mathcal{Q}_+$, modulo the ideal generated by the squares x_S^2 . A minimal Sullivan model for $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q})$ is given by the commutative differential graded algebra $(A(Q), d)$, where

$$
\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[x_S \,|\, S \in \text{conj}(\mathcal{Q}_+)] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[y_S \,|\, S \in \text{conj}(\mathcal{Q}_+)],
$$

with x_S in degree two and y_S in degree three and where the unique non-trivial differentials are $d(y_S) = x_S^2$ for all $S \in \text{conj}(\mathcal{Q}_+)$. Looping twice the minimal Sullivan model (i.e. decreasing all degrees of the x_S and y_S by 2) and restricting to one connected component, we obtain that the rational cohomology of $\Omega_0 B H(M(\mathcal{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}[y'_S \mid S \in \text{conj}(\mathcal{Q}_+)],$ i.e. it is the free exterior algebra over $\mathbb Q$ generated by classes y'_S in degree one, one for each $S \in \text{conj}(\mathcal Q_+)$: the class y'_S is obtained by looping twice $y_S \in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{Q})$.

There is a special case of interest, namely when Q has the form $c \sqcup {\{\mathbb{1}_Q\}}$, for $c \subset G$ a finite, conjugacy invariant subset of a group G: then by [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 7.3] the space $HM_+(\mathcal{Q})$ is homotopy equivalent to the topological monoid $\text{Hur}_G^c := \coprod_{n\geq 0} \text{Hur}_{G,n}^c$ of classical Hurwitz spaces with monodromies in c, see [\[EVW16,](#page-63-3) Subsection 2.6] and $[\overline{RW19}]$, Subsection 4.2]. Adding a disjoint unit, we obtain a homotopy equivalence of topological monoids $\mathring{\text{HM}}(\mathcal{Q}) \simeq \{1\} \sqcup \mathring{\text{Hur}}^c_G;$ we can now recall that the weak homotopy type of the group completion of a topological monoid does not change up to homotopy if we add a disjoint unit to the monoid and, thus, we obtain weak equivalences

$$
\Omega B\mathrm{H}^\circ M(\mathcal{Q}) \simeq \Omega B(\{\mathbb{1}\} \sqcup \mathrm{Hur}_G^c) \simeq \Omega B\,\mathrm{Hur}_G^c.
$$

Thus, we obtain a computation of the rational cohomology of $\Omega B Hur_G^c$, which is computed already in [\[RW19,](#page-64-0) Corollary 5.4]; a claim of the result already appears in the withdrawn preprint [\[EVW12\]](#page-63-8), as a combination of the statement of [\[EVW12,](#page-63-8) Theorem 2.8.1] for $n = 2$ and $X = BG$ and the discussion in [\[EVW12,](#page-63-8) Subsection 5.6]. See also the conjecture in [\[EVW16,](#page-63-3) Subsection 1.5, which predicts the previous computation for c being the conjugacy class of transpositions in a symmetric group on at least three letters.

Acknowledgements

This series of articles is a generalisation and a further development of my PhD thesis [\[Bia20\]](#page-63-9). I am grateful to my PhD supervisor Carl-Friedrich Bödigheimer, Bastiaan Cnossen, Florian Kranhold, Jeremy Miller, Martin Palmer, Dan Petersen, Oscar Randal-Williams, Ulrike Tillmann and Nathalie Wahl for helpful comments and mathematical explanations related to this article. I am also thankful to the anonymous referee for a deep and meticulous analysis of a previous version of the article and for several suggestions on how to improve the exposition.

Conflicts of interest None.

Financial support

This work was partially supported by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy (EXC-2047/1, 390685813), by the *European Research Council* under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (ERC StG 716424 - CASe, PI Karim Adiprasito), and by the *Danish National Research Foundation* through the *Copenhagen Centre for Geometry and Topology* (DNRF151).

Journal information

Compositio Mathematica is owned by the Foundation Compositio Mathematica and published by the London Mathematical Society in partnership with Cambridge University Press. All surplus income from the publication of *Compositio Mathematica* is returned to mathematics and higher education through the charitable activities of the Foundation, the London Mathematical Society and Cambridge University Press.

Appendix A. Deferred proofs

A.1 Proof of Proposition [2.13](#page-8-1)

The two cases HM and HM are analogous, so we will focus on the case of HM, which is slightly more difficult.

Recall Definition [2.4.](#page-6-1) We define in a symmetric way H \overline{M} ⁻ as the subspace of $[0, \infty) \times$ Hur($\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{\partial}$) containing couples (t, \mathfrak{c}) with \mathfrak{c} supported in $\mathcal{S}_{-t,0}$.

Note that HM^- is contained in the subspace $[0,\infty)\times\text{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}^-},\check{\partial})$, where we define $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}^-},\check{\partial})$ as the nice couple $((-\infty,0) \times [0,1],(-\infty,0) \times \{0,1\}).$

By [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Proposition 4.4] the assignment $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \mapsto (t, (\tau_{-t})_*(\mathfrak{c}))$ gives a continuous map τ^- : H $\check{M} \to [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}}, \check{\partial})$; note that τ^- has values in the subspace H \check{M}^- ; in fact, τ^- is a homeomorphism $H\text{M} \cong H\text{M}^{-}$.

Recall [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.16]. The following composition of continuous maps takes values in HM $\subset [0,\infty) \times \text{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{R}},\check{\partial})$ and it coincides with $\mu: \text{HM} \times \text{HM} \rightarrow \text{HM}$ as a map of sets:

$$
\text{HM} \times \text{HM} \xrightarrow{\tau^{-} \times \text{Id}} \text{HM} \times \text{HM} \xrightarrow{\tau^{-} \times \text{Id}} \text{HM} \times \text{HM}
$$
\n
$$
[0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}^{-}}, \mathcal{J}) \times [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\infty}, \mathcal{J})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{Id} \times \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{0, \infty}}^{\mathbb{C}} \text{Val} \times \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{0, \infty}}^{\mathbb{C}}
$$
\n
$$
[0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{-\infty, 0}}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}^{-}}, \mathcal{J}) \times [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0, \infty}}(\mathcal{R}_{\infty}, \mathcal{J})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow_{-\sqcup -}
$$
\n
$$
[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}^{-}} \cup \mathcal{R}_{\infty}, \mathcal{J})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow_{\sqsubset}
$$
\n
$$
[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}^{-}} \cup \mathcal{R}_{\infty}, \mathcal{J})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow_{\sqsubset}
$$
\n
$$
[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{J}) \xrightarrow{\hat{\tau}} [0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{J}).
$$

Here, by abuse of notation, we denote by $-\sqcup$ – the map $(t, \mathfrak{c}, t', \mathfrak{c}') \mapsto (t, t', \mathfrak{c} \sqcup \mathfrak{c}')$; the map $\hat{\tau}$ is defined by $(t, t', \mathfrak{c}) \mapsto (t + t', (\tau_t)_*(\mathfrak{c}))$. This shows continuity of the product μ .

To prove associativity of μ , let $\mathfrak{c}_i = (P_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i)$ be a configuration in Hur $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{t_i}, \breve{\partial})$ for $i =$ 1, 2, 3. Under the identification $i_{s_0,t_i}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we can regard c_i as a configuration in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,t_i}}(\mathcal{R}_{t_i},\mathcal{Y})$. Then $(\tau_{t_1})_*(\mathfrak{c}_2)$ is a configuration in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{t_1,t_1+t_2}}(\mathcal{R}_{t_2}+t_1,\check{\partial})$ and $(\tau_{t_1+t_2})_*(\mathfrak{c}_3)$ is a configuration in $\lim_{t \to \infty} \widetilde{S}_{t_1+t_2,t_1+t_2+t_3}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{t_3}+t_1+t_2,\check{\partial})$). The compositions $\mathfrak{c}_1 \sqcup ((\tau_{t_1})_*(\mathfrak{c}_2) \sqcup (\tau_{t_1+t_2}^{\mathfrak{c}_1^{\mathfrak{c}_2^{\mathfrak{c}_3}})(\tau_{t_1+t_2}^{\mathfrak{c}_3^{\mathfrak{c}_4^{\mathfrak{c}_4}})(\tau_{t_2}^{\mathfrak{c}_3^{\math$ $(c_1 \sqcup (\tau_{t_1})_*(c_2)) \sqcup (\tau_{t_1+t_2})_*(c_3)$ represent the same configuration in Hur^{S_{0,t1}+t₂+t₃ ($\mathbb{R}_{t_1} \cup \mathbb{R}_{t_2}$ +} $t_1 \cup \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{t_3} + t_1 + t_2$, $\tilde{\partial}$) and by inclusion and change of ambient to \mathbb{C} , the same configuration in $\text{Hur}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{t_1+t_2+t_3}, \tilde{\partial})$. This proves associativity of μ .

The fact that $(0, (\emptyset, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}))$ is a two-sided unit for μ follows directly from Definition [2.11,](#page-8-0) together with the fact that τ_0 is the identity of \mathbb{C} .

A.2 Proof of Lemma [2.18](#page-10-2)

We start by proving that the elements $\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_a)$ generate $\pi_0(H\tilde{M})$ as a monoid. Let $(t,\mathfrak{c})\in$ HM and use Notation [2.2.](#page-5-3) If $P = \emptyset$, then we can continuously reduce t to 0, so that $\pi_0(t, \mathfrak{c}) =$ $\pi_0(0,(\emptyset,1,1))$ is the neutral element of $\pi_0(HM)$. Suppose from now on that $P\neq\emptyset$.

Suppose first that $P = \{z\}$ consists of a single point. By Lemma [2.7](#page-6-4) we can connect (t, \mathfrak{c}) with a configuration of the form $(1, \mathfrak{c}')$; we can then connect $(1, \mathfrak{c}')$ with a configuration of the form \mathfrak{c}_a : for this we can use any homotopy of $\mathbb C$ through semialgebraic homeomorphisms which is at all times supported on $\mathcal R$ (i.e. $\mathbb C \setminus \mathcal R$ is fixed pointwise at all times) and pushes the unique point $z' \in P'$ to z_c . It follows that (t, \mathfrak{c}) and $(1, \mathfrak{c}_a)$ are connected in HN.

Suppose now that $|P| \geq 2$. We can perturb the positions of the points $z_i \in P$ inside \mathcal{R}_t and assume that their real parts $\Re(z_i)$ are all different: again, we use a semialgebraic isotopy of $\mathbb C$ supported on \mathcal{R}_t , starting from the identity of $\mathbb C$ and ending with a semialgebraic homeomorphism of $\mathbb C$ mapping P to a set of points with distinct real parts.

Without loss of generality we assume that P already has this property and we also assume $\Re(z_1) < \cdots < \Re(z_k)$; choose positive real numbers $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = t$ such that $t_{i-1} <$ $\Re(z_i) < t_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. In particular, we can regard c as a configuration in

$$
\mathrm{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_1} \cup \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_2-t_1} + t_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_k-t_{k-1}} + t_{k-1}).
$$

Recall [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.15] and for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ let $\mathfrak{c}_i \in \text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{t_i-t_{i-1}})$ be the image of c along the following composition:

$$
\text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_1} \cup \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_2-t_1} + t_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_k-t_{k-1}} + t_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{t_{i-1},t_i}}} \text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{t_{i-1},t_i}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_i-t_{i-1}} + t_{i-1})
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{S}_{t_{i-1},t_i}}^{t_{i-1},t_i}} \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_i-t_{i-1}} + t_{i-1})
$$

Then (t, \mathfrak{c}) is equal to the product $(t_1 - t_0, \mathfrak{c}_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot (t_k - t_{k-1}, \mathfrak{c}_k)$ in HM and each \mathfrak{c}_i is supported on the single point $\tau_{-t_{i-1}}(z_i)$. It follows that the elements $\pi_0(1, c_a)$ generate $\pi_0(HM)$ as a monoid.

Now let $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}$ and note that $(1, \mathfrak{c}_a) \cdot (1, \mathfrak{c}_b)$ has the form $(2, \mathfrak{c})$, for some $\mathfrak{c} = (P, \psi) \in$ Hur(\mathcal{R}_2) with $P = \{z_1 = z_c, z_2 = z_c + 1\}$. Let f_1, f_2 be the admissible generating set for $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ with f_1 represented by a loop supported on $\mathbb{S}_{-\infty,1}$ and f_2 represented by a loop supported on $\mathbb{S}_{1,+\infty}$.

We can fix a semialgebraic isotopy $\mathcal{H} \colon \mathbb{C} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ supported on \mathcal{R}_2 , starting from the identity of $\mathbb C$ and swapping at time 1 the two points of P according to a clockwise half Dehn twist. We use the notation $\mathfrak{c}' = (P, \psi') := \mathcal{H}_*(\mathfrak{c}; 1) \in \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_2)$.

The homeomorphism $\mathcal{H}(-, 1): \mathbb{C} \setminus P \to \mathbb{C} \setminus P$ induces an automorphism of the fundamental group $\mathcal{H}(-,1)_* : \mathfrak{G}(P) \to \mathfrak{G}(P)$ which restricts to an automorphism of the fundamental PMQ $\mathcal{H}(-,1)_*\colon \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_2}(P) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_2}(P).$

Note that $\mathcal{H}(-,1)_*$ sends $f_2 \mapsto f_1$ and $f_1^{f_2} \mapsto f_2$. By definition, we have $\psi' = \psi \circ \mathcal{H}(-,1)_*^{-1}$, hence $\psi'(f_1) = \psi(f_2) = b$ and $\psi'(f_2) = \psi(f_1^{f_2}) = a^b$. It follows that $(P, \psi') = (1, \mathfrak{c}_b) \cdot (1, \mathfrak{c}_{a^b})$, hence $\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_a)\cdot\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_b)=\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_b)\cdot\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_{a^b}).$

Suppose now that the product ab is defined in Q . Again by Lemma [2.7](#page-6-4) we can connect $(2,\mathfrak{c}) := (1,\mathfrak{c}_a) \cdot (1,\mathfrak{c}_b)$ to a configuration of the form $(1,\mathfrak{c}'')$, with $\mathfrak{c}'' \in \text{Hur}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}})$; for instance we can take $\mathfrak{c}'' = \Lambda_*(\mathfrak{c},1/2)$, where the semialgebraic isotopy $\Lambda: \mathbb{C} \times (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ was introduced in the proof of Lemma [2.7.](#page-6-4) In fact, we have $\mathfrak{c}'' \in \text{Hur}_{+}(\mathcal{R})_{ab}$; by [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Corollary 6.5] the space $\text{Hur}_+(\mathring{R})_{ab}$ is contractible, in particular it is connected; hence, $\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_a)\cdot \pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_b) = \pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}'') =$ $\pi_0(1,\mathfrak{c}_{ab})$.

A.3 Proof of Proposition [3.3](#page-16-2)

DEFINITION A.1. We introduce a subspace $\text{HM}^{\flat}_+ \subset \text{HM}_+$. A couple $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \in \text{HM}_+$ belongs to HM_{+}^{\flat} if $t \geq 1$ and the point $z_t^{\flat} := t - \frac{1}{2}$ belongs to the support of \mathfrak{c} .

Note that HM^{\flat}_{+} is invariant under the action of H M by left multiplication.

DEFINITION A.2. Let $\mathfrak{c}_\mathbb{1}^d \in \text{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}, \check{\partial})$ be as in the proof of Lemma [3.2](#page-15-0) and note that $(1, \mathfrak{c}_\mathbb{1}^d) \in$ HM^\flat_+ ; in fact, the right multiplication map $\mu(-; (1, \mathfrak{c}_1^d))$: $\text{HM} \to \text{HM}$ has image inside HM^\flat_+ . We define $\text{H}\text{M}^\sharp_+ \subset \text{H}\text{M}^\flat_+$ as the image of $\mu(-; (1, \mathfrak{c}_\mathbb{I}^d)).$

See [Figure A.1.](#page-6-0) Note that also the subspace $H \check{M}_+^{\sharp}$ is invariant under the action of H \check{M} by left multiplication. Moreover, $\mu(-, (1, \mathfrak{c}_1))$: H $\text{M} \to \text{H} \text{M}^{\sharp}$ is a homeomorphism of H $\text{M}-$ left modules and $\text{HM}^{\sharp}_{+} \subset \text{HM}^{\flat}_{+}$ contains precisely all couples (t, \mathfrak{c}) such that, using Notation [2.2,](#page-5-3) the following hold:

- $\{z_t^{\flat}\}\subseteq P\subset \{z_t^{\flat}\}\cup \breve{\mathcal{R}}_{t-1};$
- $\psi : \mathfrak{Q}(P) \to \mathcal{Q}$ factors through $\mathfrak{Q}(P \setminus \{z_t^{\flat}\})$ along the point-forgetting map $i_{P \setminus \{z_t^{\flat}\}}^P : \mathfrak{Q}(P) \to$ $\mathfrak{Q}(P \setminus \{z_t^{\flat}\}),$ see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Notation 2.17];
- similarly, $\varphi \colon \mathfrak{G}(P) \to G$ factors through $\mathfrak{G}(P \setminus \{z_t^{\flat}\})$ along $i_{P \setminus \{z_t^{\flat}\}}^P \colon \mathfrak{G}(P) \to \mathfrak{G}(P \setminus \{z_t^{\flat}\})$.

Passing to bar constructions, we obtain inclusions of spaces

$$
B(H\check{M}, H\check{M}_+^{\sharp}) \hookrightarrow B(H\check{M}, H\check{M}_+^{\flat}) \hookrightarrow B(H\check{M}, H\check{M}_+).
$$

The first space $B(H\check{M}, H\check{M}^{\sharp}_{+})$ is homeomorphic to $EH\check{M}$ and, hence, is contractible, as H \check{M} is a unital monoid. We will prove that the inclusions $B(H\text{M}, H\text{M}^{\n} _+) \hookrightarrow B(H\text{M}, H\text{M} _+)$ and $B(H\text{M}, \text{H}\text{M}^{\sharp}_{+}) \hookrightarrow B(H\text{M}, \text{H}\text{M}^{\sharp}_{+})$ are weak homotopy equivalences: this will conclude the proof of Proposition [3.3.](#page-16-2)

LEMMA A.3. *The inclusion* $\text{HM}^{\flat}_{+} \subset \text{HM}_{+}$ *is a homotopy equivalence.*

- *Proof.* The argument is similar to that of the proof of Lemma [3.2,](#page-15-0) but a bit more care is needed. We define a continuous map \mathscr{E}^{\flat} : $(0,\infty) \times \text{Ran}_+(\mathcal{R}_{\infty}) \times [0,1] \to \text{Ran}_+(\mathcal{R}_{\infty})$ by the formula
	-

 $\mathscr{E}^{\flat}(t, \{z_1,\ldots,z_k\}, s) = \{(1-s)z_1 + st, \ldots, (1-s)z_k + st\}.$

FIGURE A.1. Two configurations in HM^{\flat}_+ ; note that only the right one belongs to HM^{\sharp}_+ .

We also let j: $(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be given by the formula

$$
\mathfrak{j}(t) = \begin{cases} t - \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } t \ge 1, \\ \frac{t}{2} & \text{for } t \le 1. \end{cases}
$$

We consider then the homotopy \mathcal{H}^{\flat} : H $\text{M}_{+} \times [0, 1] \to (0, \infty) \times \text{Hur}(\check{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty}, \check{\partial})$ given by the formula

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\flat}(t,\mathfrak{c};s)=(t,\ \mathfrak{c}\times \mathscr{E}^{\flat}(\mathfrak{j}(t),\varepsilon(\mathfrak{c}),s)).
$$

Let H $\text{M}_{+,t>1}$ denote the subspace of H M_{+} containing all couples (t, \mathfrak{c}) with $t \geq 1$. Then the homotopy \mathcal{H}^{\flat} has the following properties:

- $\mathcal{H}^{\flat}(-,s)$ sends $H\check{M}_+$ inside $H\check{M}_+$ for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$;
- $\mathcal{H}^{\flat}(-,0)$ is the identity of HM_{+} ;
- $\mathcal{H}^{\flat}(-,s)$ preserves the subspaces HM_{+}^{\flat} and $\text{HM}_{+,t\geq 1}$ at all times;
- $\mathcal{H}^{\flat}(-,1)$ sends $\text{H\textbf{M}}_{+,t\geq 1}$ inside $\text{H\textbf{M}}_{+}^{\flat}$.

This shows that the inclusion $\text{HM}_{+}^{\flat} \hookrightarrow \text{HM}_{+,t\geq 1}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, there is a deformation retraction of HM_{+} onto $\text{HM}_{+,t>1}$ given by the formula

$$
((t, \mathfrak{c}); s) \mapsto \mu((\max\{0, s-t\}, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})), (t, \mathfrak{c})).
$$

 \Box

It follows that the inclusion $\text{HM}^{\flat}_{+} \subset \text{HM}_{+}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

By Lemma [A.3](#page-58-1) the inclusion of semisimplicial spaces

$$
B_{\bullet}(\breve{\operatorname{HM}},\breve{\operatorname{HM}}_{+}^{\flat})\subset B_{\bullet}(\breve{\operatorname{HM}},\breve{\operatorname{HM}}_{+})
$$

is levelwise a homotopy equivalence; after (thick) geometric realisation we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY A.4. *The inclusion* $B(H\text{M}, H\text{M}^{\,p}) \subset B(H\text{M}, H\text{M}_{+})$ *is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

For the second step of the proof of Proposition [3.3](#page-16-2) we define a homotopy

$$
\mathcal{H}^\sharp\colon \breve{\mathrm{HM}}^\flat_+\times [0,1]\to \breve{\mathrm{HM}}^\flat_+
$$

by setting $\mathcal{H}^{\sharp} \colon ((t, \mathfrak{c}); s) \mapsto (t + s, \mathfrak{c} \times \{z_{t+s}^{\flat}\})$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \in \text{H(M}^{\flat}_{+};$ here $\mathfrak{c} \times \{z_{t+s}^{\flat}\}$ is the evaluation at $(\mathfrak{c}, \{z_{t+s}^{\flat}\})$ of the external product

$$
-\times-:\mathrm{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty},\breve{\partial})\times \mathrm{Ran}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty})\to \mathrm{Hur}(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty},\breve{\partial}).
$$

Roughly speaking, the homotopy \mathcal{H}^{\sharp} has the following effects on configurations $(t, \mathfrak{c}) \in \text{HM}_{+}^{\flat}$.

- it increases by 1 the first component t of a couple $(t, c) \in \text{HM}^{\flat}_{+}$;
- it splits z_t^b , which already belongs to the support of c, into two points; one point remains at the position z_t^{\flat} and 'keeps the local monodromy' (which is only defined as an element of G, because $z_t^{\flat} \in \check{\partial} \mathcal{R}_{\infty}$); the other point moves gradually to a distance 1 to right and has trivial local monodromy $\mathbbm{1}$ (also only defined as element of G).

Note that \mathcal{H}^{\sharp} has the following properties:

- $\mathcal{H}^{\sharp}(-;0)$ is the identity of HM^{\flat}_{+} ;
- $\mathcal{H}^{\sharp}(-; 1)$ has values inside HM^{\sharp}_{+} ;
- for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$ the map $\mathcal{H}^{\sharp}(-;s)$ is equivariant with respect to the action of H M on $H\overset{\flat}{M}^{\flat}_+$ by left multiplication.

As a consequence, \mathcal{H}^{\sharp} induces a homotopy of $B(H\check{M}_{+}, H\check{M}_{+}^{\flat})$ starting from the identity and ending with a map $B(H\text{M}_+, \text{HM}^{\flat}_+) \to B(H\text{M}_+, \text{HM}^{\sharp}_+)$. We obtain the following lemma, which is the last step needed to prove Proposition [3.3.](#page-16-2)

LEMMA A.5. The space $B(H\text{M}_+, H\text{M}_+^{\flat})$ admits a deformation into its contractible subspace $B(\text{H}\text{M}_+,\text{H}\text{M}_+^{\sharp})$. As a consequence $B(\text{H}\text{M}_+,\text{H}\text{M}_+^{\flat})$ is weakly contractible.

A.4 Proof of Proposition [4.15](#page-23-1)

We first give a rough idea of the proof: the value of $\check{\sigma}$ at a given sequence $(w; t, \mathfrak{c})$ in $\Delta^p \times (HM)^p$ (respectively, in $\Delta^p \times (HM)^p$) is obtained by combining several steps: the first step is computing the product $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})=(t_1, c_1) \cdots (t_p, c_p)$ in HM (in HM). The product map $\hat{\mu}$, however, does not factor through BHM (respectively, BHM): one of the reasons is that if $w_0 = 0$, the sequence $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ is equivalent in BHM (respectively, in BHM) to the sequence obtained by forgetting w_0 and (t_1, \mathfrak{c}_1) ; yet the product $(t_1, \mathfrak{c}_1) \cdots (t_p, \mathfrak{c}_p)$ is, in general, different from the product $(t_2, \mathfrak{c}_2) \cdots (t_p, \mathfrak{c}_p)$. In fact, letting $0 \leq i_{\min} \leq i_{\max} \leq p$ be as in the discussion before Definition [4.5,](#page-19-1) we might reduce $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ to an equivalent sequence $(w_{i_{\min}},\ldots,w_{i_{\max}};t_{i_{\min}+1},\ldots,t_{i_{\max}};\mathfrak{c}_{i_{\min}+1},\ldots,\mathfrak{c}_{i_{\max}}),$ yet the product $(t_1,\mathfrak{c}_1)\cdots(t_p,\mathfrak{c}_p)$ is, in general, different from the subproduct $(t_{i_{\min}+1}, \mathfrak{c}_{i_{\min}+1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot (t_{i_{\max}}, \mathfrak{c}_{i_{\max}})$.

We solve this problem by using the barycentres $b_{\varepsilon}^- < b_{\varepsilon}^+$ and by considering only the part of the configuration $(t_1, \mathfrak{c}_1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (t_p, \mathfrak{c}_p)$ lying in the strip $\mathbb{S}_{b^-_e, b^+_e}$: more precisely, using a suitable expansion and translation (implemented via the homotopies τ_* and Λ_*), we map the strip $\mathbb{S}_{b^-_e,b^+_e}$

to the strip $\mathcal{S}_{0,1}$ and then we collapse the two parts of the obtained configuration lying outside the strip $\mathbb{S}_{0,1}$: we first collapse all points lying on left (respectively, on right) of $\mathbb{S}_{0,1}$ to the segment $0 \times [0,1]$ (respectively, $1 \times [0,1]$) via the homotopies κ^+_* and κ^-_* , we further collapse these vertical segments to the two points z^1_\diamond and z^r_\diamond via $(\mathcal{H}^\diamond_1)_*$ and finally we get rid of the residual information of the G-valued local monodromy at $z^{\rm l}_{\diamond}$ and $z^{\rm r}_{\diamond}$ by quotienting by the action of $G \times G^{\rm op}$. The key observation is that the part of $(t_1, c_1) \cdots (t_p, c_p)$ lying in the strip $\mathbb{S}_{b_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}^+}$ only depends on the subproduct $(t_{i_{\min}+1},c_{i_{\min}+1})\cdots(t_{i_{\max}},c_{i_{\max}})$. The conditions on ε , moreover, ensure that either $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e. $b_{\varepsilon}^- = b^-$ and $b_{\varepsilon}^+ = b^+$, or the part of $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ lying inside $\mathbb{S}_{b_{\varepsilon}^-, b_{\varepsilon}^+}$ is 'empty' and, in particular, independent of the positive value of ε ; this is the rough reason why $\check{\sigma}$ and, hence, σ and $\bar{\sigma}$, do not depend on ε .

Before starting the proof of Proposition [4.15,](#page-23-1) we give a definition.

DEFINITION A.6. Let $\mathfrak C$ be a nice couple, let $\mathfrak c, \mathfrak c' \in \text{Hur}(\mathfrak C; \mathcal Q, G)$ be two configurations and let ∗ ∈ T ⊂ C be a contractible subspace. We say that c and c- *agree on* T if the following hold, using Notation [2.2:](#page-5-3)

- $P \cap \mathbb{T} = P' \cap \mathbb{T};$
- for every loop $\gamma \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus P$ representing an element $[\gamma]$ in $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{C}}(P)$ we have $\psi(\gamma) = \psi'(\gamma)$;
- for every loop $\gamma \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus P$ representing an element $[\gamma]$ in $\mathfrak{G}(P)$ we have $\varphi(\gamma) = \varphi'(\gamma)$.

Consider the particular case in which \mathfrak{C} splits as a disjoint union of nice couples $\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_2$ with $\mathfrak{C}_1 \subset \mathbb{T}$ and \mathfrak{C}_2 contained in the interior of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T}$: then [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.15] gives configurations $\iota_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{c})$ and $\iota_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{c}')$ in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathfrak{C}_1;\mathcal{Q},G)$ and the condition that \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{c}' agree on \mathbb{T} is equivalent to the equality $i_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{c}) = i_{\mathbb{T}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{c}').$

Now let $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ be as in Notation [4.2](#page-18-3) and assume $w_j = 0$ for a fixed $0 \le j \le p$; let $(\underline{t}', \underline{c}') =$ $d_j(t, \mathbf{r})$ (see Definition [3.1\)](#page-14-2), let \underline{w}' be obtained from \underline{w} by removing the (vanishing) jth coordinate and present <u>w</u>' as $(w'_0,\ldots,w'_{p-1}),$ <u>t</u>' as (t'_1,\ldots,t'_{p-1}) and **c**' as (c'_1,\ldots,c'_{p-1}) . We want to prove that $\check{\sigma}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c}) = \check{\sigma}(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{c}')$: this implies that $\check{\sigma}$ descends to a map σ defined on BHM (on BHM).

Let $a_0,\ldots,a_p, b, b^+, b^-$ be computed as in Definition [4.4](#page-19-0) with respect to $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ and, similarly, Let $a'_0, \ldots, a'_{p-1}, b', (b^+)', (b^-)'$ be computed with respect to $(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{\mathfrak{c}}')$. We observe that $\varepsilon(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ 0 if and only if the support P of $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ intersects non-trivially the strip \mathbb{S}_{b-,b^+} . Similarly, $\varepsilon(\underline{w}';t,\underline{\mathfrak{c}})=0$ if and only if the support P' of $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}';t',\underline{\mathfrak{c}}')$ intersects non-trivially $\mathbb{S}_{(b^-)',(b^+)'}.$ We now observe that for $j > 0$ we have $P \cap \mathbb{S}_{b-,b^+} = P' \cap \mathbb{S}_{(b^-)',(b^+)'},$ whereas for $j = 0$ we have $\tau(P \cap \mathbb{S}_{b^-,b^+}, -t_1) = P' \cap \mathbb{S}_{(b^-)',(b^+)'}.$ In particular, either intersection is empty if and only if the other is or, in other words, $\varepsilon(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c}) > 0$ if and only if $\varepsilon(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{c}') > 0$. In this case, however, we have that both $\check{\sigma}(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{\mathfrak{c}})$ and $\check{\sigma}(\underline{w}';\underline{t}',\underline{\mathfrak{c}}')$ coincide with the basepoint \mathfrak{c}^{lr} of $\text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}},\check{\partial})_{G,G^{\text{op}}}$ (respectively, of Hur $(\diamond, \partial)_{G,G^{\text{op}}})$ and, in particular, they are equal. This proves also the first statement of Proposition [4.15.](#page-23-1)

From now on we assume $\varepsilon(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c}) = \varepsilon(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{c}') = 0$, allowing us to use the lower and upper barycentres instead of their ε -variations in the computations of the rest of the argument. Note that $b - b^- = b' - (b^-)'$ and $b^+ - b = (b^+)' - b'$. In particular, in the following we assume b^+ $b^- = (b^+)' - (b^-)' > 0.$

First, we give an alternative description of the configuration $\hat{\mu}^b(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{c})$. We regard c_i , for $1 \leq i \leq p$, as a configuration in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,t_i}}(\mathcal{R}_{t_i})$ (in $\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{0,t_i}}(\mathcal{R}_{t_i}, \mathcal{N})$) and consider the configuration

$$
(\tau_{a_{i-1}-b^{-}})_{*}(\mathfrak{c}_{i}) \in \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{a_{i-1}-b^{-},a_{i}-b^{-}}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_{i}} + a_{i} - b^{-})
$$

(respectively, $(\tau_{a_{i-1}-b^{-}})_{*}(\mathfrak{c}_{i}) \in \mathrm{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{a_{i-1}-b^{-},a_{i}-b^{-}}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_{i}} + a_{i} - b^{-}, \check{\partial}))$

.

Using the disjoint union map $-\sqcup$ – from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.16] we obtain a configuration

$$
\mathfrak{c} := (\tau_{a_0-b^-})_*(\mathfrak{c}_1) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup (\tau_{a_{p-1}-b^-})_*(\mathfrak{c}_p),
$$

which *a priori* belongs to

$$
\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{a_0-b^-,a_p-b^-}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_1}+a_0-b^-\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_p}+a_{p-1}-b^-)
$$
\n
$$
\text{(respectively, Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{a_0-b^-,a_p-b^-}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_1}+a_0-b^-\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{t_p}+a_{p-1}-b^-, \check{\partial})),
$$

but can be naturally considered as a configuration in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}})$ (respectively, $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}},\check{\partial})$). The equality $(\tau_{-b-})_*(\hat{\mu}(w;t,\mathfrak{c}))=\mathfrak{c}$ follows directly from Definitions [2.11](#page-8-0) and [4.6.](#page-20-0) It follows then from Definition [4.6](#page-20-0) that $\hat{\mu}^b(w;t,\mathfrak{c})$ is equal to $\Lambda_*(\mathfrak{c};1/(b^+-b^-))$. In a similar way, we obtain a configuration

$$
\mathfrak{c}' := (\tau_{a'_0 - (b^-)'})_*(\mathfrak{c}'_1) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup (\tau_{a'_{p-2} - (b^-)'})_*(\mathfrak{c}'_{p-1}),
$$

which we consider as a configuration in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}})$ (in $\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}},\check{\partial})$) and identifications $\mathfrak{c}'=$ $(\tau_{-(b^-)'})_*(\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}';\underline{t}',\underline{\mathbf{c}}'))$ and $\hat{\mu}^b(\underline{w}';\underline{t}',\underline{\mathbf{c}}') = \Lambda_*(\mathbf{c}';1/((b^+)'-(b^-)')).$

LEMMA A.7. *The configurations* c and c' agree on the vertical strip $[0, b^+ - b^-] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We use Notation [2.2](#page-5-3) and argue the statement differently, depending on the value of j.

- If $1 \leq j \leq p-1$, then $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}'$.
- If $j = 0$, note that $a_1 b^- = a'_0 (b^-)' \ge 0$ and $a_p b^- = a'_{p-1} (b^-)' \ge b^+ b^-$; then both $\mathfrak c$ and $\mathfrak c'$ can be regarded as configurations in

$$
\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{t_1} + a_0 - b^- \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{a_p - a_1} + a_1 - b^-),
$$

(respectively,
$$
\text{Hur}(\mathcal{R}_{t_1} + a_0 - b^- \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{a_p - a_1} + a_1 - b^-,\delta)
$$

,

and the restriction map $i_{s_{a_1-b^-,a_p-b^-}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ from [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 3.15] sends c and c' to the same configuration in the space

$$
\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{a_1-b^-,a_p-b^-}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{a_p-a_1}+a_1-b^-) \quad \text{(respectively, Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{a_1-b^-,\infty}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{a_p-a_1}+a_1-b^-));
$$

this common image is essentially the configuration \mathfrak{c}' . Now we use that $\mathbb{S}_{0,b^+-b^-} \subset \mathbb{S}_{a_1-b^-,a_p-b^-}$ to conclude that c and c' also agree on \mathbb{S}_{0,b^+-b^-} and, finally, we note that agreeing on \mathbb{S}_{0,b^+-b^-} is equivalent to agreeing on $[0, b^+ - b^-] \times \mathbb{R}$, as all configurations are supported in H.

• If $j = p$, note that $a_0 = a'_0$, $b^- = (b^-)'$ and $b^+ = (b^+)' \le a_{p-1} = a'_{p-1}$; then both c and c' can be regarded as configurations in

$$
\text{Hur}(\mathcal{\tilde{R}}_{a_{p-1}} + a_0 - b^- \sqcup \mathcal{\tilde{R}}_{t_p} + a_{p-1} - b^-),
$$

(respectively,
$$
\text{Hur}(\mathcal{\tilde{R}}_{a_{p-1}} + a_0 - b^- \sqcup \mathcal{\tilde{R}}_{t_p} + a_{p-1} - b^-,\check{\partial})),
$$

and $\mathfrak{i}_{s_{a_0-b^-,a_{p-1}-b^-}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ sends \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{c}' to the same configuration in the space

$$
\text{Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{-\infty,a_{p-1}-b^{-}}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{a_{p-1}} + a_0 - b^{-})
$$
\n
$$
\text{(respectively, Hur}^{\mathbb{S}_{-\infty,a_{p-1}-b^{-}}}(\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{a_{p-1}} + a_0 - b^{-})\text{)}
$$

this common image is essentially the configuration \mathfrak{c}' . Now we use that $\mathbb{S}_{0,b^+-b^-} \subset$ $\mathbb{S}_{a_0-b^-,a_{p-1}-b^-}$ to conclude that c and c' also agree on \mathbb{S}_{0,b^+-b^-} and again we note that agreeing on \mathbb{S}_{0,b^+-b^-} is equivalent to agreeing on $[0,b^+-b^-] \times \mathbb{R}$.

 \Box

By applying $\Lambda_*(-;1/(b^+ - b^-)) = \Lambda_*(-;1/((b^+)' - (b^-)'))$ we obtain that, in a similar way, $\hat{\mu}^b(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathbf{c}})$ and $\hat{\mu}^b(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{\mathbf{c}}')$ agree on the vertical strip $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Note that the vertical strip $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ is preserved by the homotopies κ^{-} , κ^{+} and \mathcal{H}^{\diamond} at all times and the homotopies κ^- , κ^+ restrict even to the identity on [0, 1] × R. This, together with Lemma [4.12,](#page-23-2) implies that $\hat{\mu}^{\circ}_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}c := \tilde{\sigma}(w_i; t_i, \mathfrak{c}_i)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}' := \hat{\mu}^{\circ}_{\check{\partial}\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}(w'_i; t'_i, \mathfrak{c}'_i)$ are both supported on the set $\tilde{P} := \mathcal{H}_1^{\diamond}(P) \cup \partial \check{\diamond}^{\text{lr}}$. Let $\tilde{k} = |\tilde{P}|$ and $\tilde{l} = |P \setminus \partial \diamond |$.

Recall that $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}} = (\tilde{P}, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\varphi})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}' = (\tilde{P}, \tilde{\psi}', \tilde{\varphi}')$ are configurations in $\text{Hur}(\breve{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \breve{\partial})_{\breve{\partial}\breve{\delta}^{\text{lr}}}$ (in Hur(δ , ∂)_∂_{isk} i. We can choose an lr-based admissible generating set $\tilde{f}_1,\ldots,\tilde{f}_{\tilde{k}}$ of $\mathfrak{G}(\tilde{P})$ (see [\[Bia23a,](#page-63-2) Definition 6.10]) with the following properties:

- two generators, denoted \tilde{f}^1 and \tilde{f}^r , are represented by loops spinning clockwise around z^1_\diamond and $z_{\diamond}^{\rm r}$, respectively;
- the other generators are represented by loops contained in the strip $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$; in particular, we assume that $\tilde{f}_1,\ldots,\tilde{f}_\tilde{f}$ correspond to points in $P \setminus \partial \diamond$.

Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'$ agree on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}'$ agree on the admissible generators $\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{\tilde{l}}$, whereas $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}'$ agree on all admissible generators $\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{\tilde{k}}$ except, possibly, the two generators \tilde{f}^1 and \tilde{f}^r . It follows that $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'$ have the same image in the quotient $\text{Hur}(\check{\delta}^{\text{lr}}, \check{\partial})_{G, G^{\text{op}}}$ (respectively, $\text{Hur}(\check{\diamond}, \partial)_{G, G^{\text{op}}})$, i.e. $\check{\sigma}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}) = \check{\sigma}(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{\mathfrak{c}}')$. This concludes the proof that $\check{\sigma}$ descends to a map σ defined on BHM (on BHM).

For the second statement of the proposition, let $(w; t, \mathbf{c})$ be as in Notation [4.2](#page-18-3) and assume $(t_j, \mathfrak{c}_j) = (0, (\emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}))$ for a fixed $0 \leq j \leq p$; let $(\underline{t}', \underline{\mathfrak{c}}') = d_j(\underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}})$, so that vice versa $(\underline{t}, \underline{\mathfrak{c}}) = s_j(\underline{t}', \underline{\mathfrak{c}}')$ and let \underline{w}' be obtained from \underline{w} by replacing the consecutive entries w_j and w_{j+1} with their sum $w_j + w_{j+1}$; present \underline{w}' as $(w'_0, \ldots, w'_{p-1}), \underline{t}'$ as (t'_1, \ldots, t'_{p-1}) and \underline{c}' as (c'_1, \ldots, c'_{p-1}) . We want to prove that $\check{\sigma}(\underline{w};\underline{t},\underline{\mathfrak{c}})=\check{\sigma}(\underline{w}';\underline{t}',\underline{\mathfrak{c}}')$: this implies that $\check{\sigma}$ descends to a map σ defined on BHM (on BHM).

For this it suffices to note that $\hat{\mu}(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c}) = \hat{\mu}(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{c}')$ and also the barycentres b, b^-, b^+ are equal when computed with respect to $(\underline{w}; \underline{t}, \underline{c})$ or $(\underline{w}'; \underline{t}', \underline{c}')$. The formula for $\check{\sigma}$ only depends on these parameters.

REFERENCES

- Bia20 A. Bianchi, *Moduli spaces of branched coverings of the plane*, PhD thesis, Universität Bonn (2020), [https://bonndoc.ulb.uni-bonn.de/xmlui/handle/20.500.11811/8434.](https://bonndoc.ulb.uni-bonn.de/xmlui/handle/20.500.11811/8434)
- Bia21 A. Bianchi, *Partially multiplicative quandles and simplicial Hurwitz spaces*, Preprint (2021), [arXiv:2106.09425.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.09425)
- Bia23a A. Bianchi, *Hurwitz–Ran spaces*, Geom. Dedicata **217** (2023), article 84.
- Bia23b A. Bianchi, *Moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces as Hurwitz spaces*, Adv. Math. **430** (2023), article 109217.
- EVW12 J. Ellenberg, A. Venkatesh and C. Westerland, *Homological stability for Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture over function fields, II*, Preprint (2012), [arXiv:1212.0923.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.0923)
- EVW16 J. Ellenberg, A. Venkatesh and C. Westerland, *Homological stability for Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture over function fields*, Ann. of Math. **183** (2016), 729–786.
- FM94 E. M. Friedlander and B. Mazur, *Filtrations on the homology of algebraic varieties*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **529** (1994), with an appendix by Daniel Quillen.
- Hat14 A. Hatcher, *A short exposition of the Madsen-Weiss theorem*, Preprint (2014), [arXiv:1103.5223.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5223)
- Lur17 J. Lurie, *Higher algebra*, Preprint (2017), [http://people.math.harvard.edu/](http://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf)∼lurie/papers/HA. [pdf.](http://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf)

- MW07 I. Madsen and M. Weiss, *The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. **165** (2007), 843–941.
- MS76 D. McDuff and G. Segal, *Homology fibrations and the group-completion theorem*, Invent. Math. **31** (1976), 279–284.
- RW19 O. Randal-Williams, *Homology of Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen–Lenstra heuristic for function fields (after Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland)*, in *S´eminaire Bourbaki 2018/2019, expos´e 1164*, Astérisque, vol. 422 (Société Mathématique de France, 2019), 469-497.
- Seg73 G. Segal, *Configuration spaces and iterated loop spaces*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 213–221.

Andrea Bianchi anbi@math.ku.dk

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, Copenhagen 2100, Denmark