

# Schatten class composition operators on the Hardy space

Wenwan Yang and Cheng Yuan

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510520, China  
 (wenwan\_yang@163.com, yuancheng1984@163.com)

(Received 27 May 2023; accepted 26 June 2023)

Suppose  $2 < p < \infty$  and  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic self-map of the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ . We show the following assertions:

- (1) If  $\varphi$  has bounded valence and

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p/2} \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} < \infty, \tag{0.1}$$

then  $C_\varphi$  is in the Schatten  $p$ -class of the Hardy space  $H^2$ .

- (2) There exists a holomorphic self-map  $\varphi$  (which is, of course, not of bounded valence) such that the inequality (0.1) holds and  $C_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$  does not belong to the Schatten  $p$ -class.

*Keywords:* composition operators; Hardy spaces; Schatten  $p$ -class

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30H10; 32A35; 47B10; 47B33

## 1. Introduction and main results

### 1.1. Backgrounds and motivations

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the unit disk of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $H(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ . For  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ , the composition operator  $C_\varphi$  is a linear operator defined by  $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$ .

Recall that a positive  $T$  on a separable Hilbert space  $H$  is in the trace class if

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle T e_n, e_n \rangle_H < +\infty$$

for some (or all) orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}$  of  $H$ . For any  $0 < p < \infty$ , the Schatten  $p$ -class  $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$  of  $H$  consists of bounded linear operators  $T : H \rightarrow H$  such that  $(T^*T)^{p/2}$  belongs to the trace class. In particular,  $\mathcal{S}_1(H)$  is the trace class of  $H$ , and  $\mathcal{S}_2(H)$  is called the Hilbert–Schmidt class. It is easy to check that  $T \in \mathcal{S}_p(H)$

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Royal Society of Edinburgh

if and only if  $T^* \in \mathcal{S}_p(H)$ . For more details about Schatten  $p$ -class operators, we refer the readers to Zhu [16].

The Hardy space  $H^2$  is a Hilbert space of analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{H^2}^2 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} < \infty.$$

For  $\alpha > -1$ , the weighted Bergman space  $A_\alpha^2$  consists of holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) < \infty,$$

where  $dA_\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$  and  $dA(z)$  is the normalized area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . When  $\alpha = 0$ , the space  $A_0^2$  is usually denoted by  $A^2$ . Properties of composition operator on  $A_\alpha^2$  and  $H^2$  has been widely investigated for decades, see e.g. [3, 8, 16]. In particular, conditions for  $C_\varphi$  that belong to  $\mathcal{S}_p(A_\alpha^2)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$  are also characterized, see [1, 2, 4–7, 9, 10, 12, 14].

It is well known (see e.g. Zhu [15]) that  $H^2$  can be viewed as the limit case of  $A_\alpha^2$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow -1^+$  in some sense. It is also known that for  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$  if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{N_\varphi(z)}{\log \frac{1}{|z|}} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty,$$

where

$$d\lambda(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} dA(z)$$

is the Möbius invariant measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ , and

$$N_\varphi(z) = \sum_{w \in \varphi^{-1}(z)} \log \frac{1}{|w|}$$

is the Nevanlinna counting function of  $\varphi$ . Similarly,  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_p(A_\alpha^2)$  if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{N_{\varphi, \alpha+2}(z)}{(\log \frac{1}{|z|})^{\alpha+2}} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty,$$

where  $N_{\varphi, \alpha+2}(z)$  is a generalized Nevanlinna counting function of  $\varphi$  given by

$$N_{\varphi, \alpha+2}(z) = \sum_{w \in \varphi^{-1}(z)} \left( \log \frac{1}{|w|} \right)^{\alpha+2}.$$

See Luecking-Zhu [5].

## 1.2. Main results

A holomorphic map  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is of bounded valence if there is a positive integer  $N$  such that for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , the set  $\varphi^{-1}(z)$  contains at most  $N$  points. Zhu [14] shows that if  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is an analytic function of bounded valence, then  $C_\varphi$  is in the Schatten class  $\mathcal{S}_p$  of  $A_\alpha^2$  if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p(\alpha+2)/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

Meanwhile, Zhu [16, Exercise 11.6.7] says that if  $p > 2$  and  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

These observations hint us to give the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $2 < p < \infty$ ,  $\varphi$  has bounded valence and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

*then  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$ .*

For  $p > 2$ , Xia [10] constructs a holomorphic map  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^p d\lambda(z) < \infty$$

and such that  $C_\varphi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$  does not belong to the Schatten class  $\mathcal{S}_p(A^2)$ . Motivated by Xia [10], we prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.2.** *For any  $2 < p < \infty$ , there exists a holomorphic function  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

*but  $C_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$  does not belong to the Schatten class  $\mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$ .*

The proof of theorem 1.1 is based on Wirths-Xiao [9] and Zhu [14]. The proof of theorem 1.2 is modified from Xia [10]. Although the idea of the proof of theorem 1.2 is coming from [10], there are several technical barriers we need to overcome. Thus, we need to adapt Xia's construction for our situation.

*Notation.* Throughout this paper, we only write  $U \lesssim V$  (or  $V \gtrsim U$ ) for  $U \leq cV$  for a positive constant  $c$ , and moreover  $U \approx V$  for both  $U \lesssim V$  and  $V \lesssim U$ .  $\square$

**2. Preliminaries**

For  $\alpha > -1$ , the Dirichlet-type space is a space of holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  for which

$$\|f\|_\alpha^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \|f'\|_{A_\alpha^2}^2 < \infty.$$

It is easy to check that  $A_\alpha^2 = \mathcal{D}_{\alpha+2}$  and  $H^2 = \mathcal{D}_1$  with equivalent norms.

The following lemma is contained in [9, Theorem 3.2].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\alpha > -1$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ . Suppose  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is holomorphic. Then  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$  if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\varepsilon}{|1 - \bar{w}\varphi(z)|^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{2+\alpha} |\varphi'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z) \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(w) < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

for some (any)  $\varepsilon > \max\{1/(2 + \alpha), 2/(2p + p\alpha)\}$ .

For fixed  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$  with

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n z^n,$$

let

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{n! \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha)} a_n \bar{b}_n.$$

Then the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  associated with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}$  is given by

$$K_{\alpha,w}(z) = K_\alpha(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{w}z)^\alpha}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This means that for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ ,

$$f(w) = \langle f, K_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Meanwhile, if we write

$$J_{\alpha,w}(z) = J_\alpha(z, w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} K_\alpha(z, w) = \frac{\alpha z}{(1 - \bar{w}z)^{\alpha+1}},$$

then

$$f'(w) = \langle f, J_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}.$$

Then

$$\|K_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^\alpha}$$

and

$$\|J_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \langle J_{\alpha,w}, J_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = J'_{\alpha,w}(w) = \frac{\alpha(1+\alpha|w|^2)}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha+2}} \approx \frac{1}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha+2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$k_{\alpha,w}(z) = \frac{K_{\alpha,w}(z)}{\|K_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad j_{\alpha,w}(z) = \frac{J_{\alpha,w}(z)}{\|J_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}}.$$

The following lemma comes from [11, Lemma 10].

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose  $\alpha > 0$  and  $T : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$  is a positive operator. Let*

$$\widehat{T}^{\alpha,t}(w) = \langle Tj_{\alpha,w}, j_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

(1) *Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ . If  $\widehat{T}^{\alpha,t} \in L^p(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$ , then  $T$  is in  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ .*

(2) *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If  $T$  is in  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ , then  $\widehat{T}^{\alpha,t} \in L^p(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$ .*

Immediately, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a holomorphic function.*

(1) *If  $0 < p \leq 2$  and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+2} |\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha+2}} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

*then  $C_\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{S}_p$  of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ .*

(2) *If  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $C_\varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{S}_p$  of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ , then (2.4) holds.*

*Proof.* Write  $S = C_\varphi C_\varphi^*$ , then  $S : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$  is a positive operator. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}^{\alpha,t}(w) &= \langle S j_{\alpha,w}, j_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = \langle C_\varphi^* j_{\alpha,w}, C_\varphi^* j_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\langle C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w}, C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}}{\|J_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2} = \frac{\|C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2}{\|J_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ , (2.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} &= \langle C_\varphi f, J_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = f'(\varphi(w)) \varphi'(w) \\ &= \varphi'(w) \langle f, J_{\alpha,\varphi(w)} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = \langle f, \overline{\varphi'(w)} J_{\alpha,\varphi(w)} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w} = \overline{\varphi'(w)} J_{\alpha,\varphi(w)}.$$

Then (2.3) implies that

$$\|C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 \approx \frac{|\varphi'(w)|^2}{(1-|\varphi(w)|^2)^{2+\alpha}}.$$

This gives that

$$\langle C_\varphi C_\varphi^* j_{\alpha,w}, j_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = \frac{\langle C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w}, C_\varphi^* J_{\alpha,w} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}}{\|J_{\alpha,w}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2} \approx \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{2+\alpha} |\varphi'(w)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{2+\alpha}}.$$

An application of lemma 2.2 gives the desired assertions. □

By letting  $p = 2$  in theorem 2.3, we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Suppose  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a holomorphic function. Then  $C_\varphi$  is in the Hilbert–Schmidt class of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha+2}} dA(z) < \infty.$$

There are several well-known characterizations of the Hilbert–Schmidt compositions on  $H^2$  and  $A_\alpha^2$ , see e.g. [3, 13, 16]. Combine these characterizations with corollary 2.4, we have the following corollaries.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Suppose  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is holomorphic. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_2(H^2)$ .
- (2) *The following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2) |\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^3} dA(z) < \infty.$$

- (3) *The following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{N_\varphi(z)}{\log \frac{1}{|z|}} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

- (4) *The following inequality holds:*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(1 - |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^2)} < \infty.$$

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Suppose  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is holomorphic. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_2(A_\alpha^2)$ .
- (2) *The following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+2} |\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha+4}} dA(z) < \infty.$$

- (3) *The following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{N_{\varphi, \alpha+2}(z)}{(\log \frac{1}{|z|})^{\alpha+2}} d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

(4) The following inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2+\alpha}} dA(z) < \infty.$$

### 3. Proof of theorem 1.1

Theorem 1.1 is just the case  $\alpha = 1$  of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Suppose  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $p\alpha > 2$ . Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a holomorphic function which has bounded valence and

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p\alpha/2} d\lambda(z) < \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

then  $C_\varphi$  is in the Schatten class  $\mathcal{S}_p$  of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ .

The condition  $p\alpha > 2$  in the above proposition is necessary. Indeed, if  $0 < p\alpha \leq 2$ , then the involved integral is trivially divergent.

*Proof.* When  $p = 2$ , the condition  $p\alpha > 2$  implies that  $\alpha > 1$ . Notice that in this case  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = A_{\alpha-2}^2$ . According to [14], the condition (3.1) implies that  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_p(A_{\alpha-2}^2)$ .

Now we suppose  $2 < p < \infty$ . According to lemma 2.1, if we can check the inequality (2.1) for some  $\varepsilon > \max\{1/(2 + \alpha), 2/(2p + p\alpha)\}$ , then we have  $C_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ . Write  $q = p/2$ , then  $q > 1$ . Let

$$F(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(2+\alpha)\varepsilon}}{|1 - \bar{w}\varphi(z)|^{(2+\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}} |\varphi'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z).$$

Then it is sufficient to check that  $F \in L^q(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$ .

Let

$$H(w, z) = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(\alpha+2)\varepsilon} (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^\alpha (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}\varphi(z)|^{(2+\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}}$$

and

$$h(z) = \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^\alpha.$$

Then,

$$F(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} H(w, z) h(z) d\lambda(z).$$

Recall that  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is holomorphic. Schwarz's lemma implies that

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} \leq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 1/(2 + \alpha)$ , Forelli–Rudin’s estimate implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} H(w, z) d\lambda(w) &= (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^\alpha (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(\alpha+2)\varepsilon-2} dA(w)}{|1 - \bar{w}\varphi(z)|^{(2+\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^\alpha (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2+\alpha}} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Meanwhile, recall that  $\varphi$  is of bounded valence. Let  $n_\varphi(z)$  be the number of points in  $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ . Then,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} n_\varphi(z) < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} H(w, z) d\lambda(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(\alpha+2)\varepsilon} (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^\alpha |\varphi'(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}\varphi(z)|^{(2+\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}} dA(z) \\ &= (1 - |w|^2)^{(\alpha+2)\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{n_\varphi(z) (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - \bar{w}z|^{(2+\alpha)(1+\varepsilon)}} dA(z) \\ &\lesssim 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Put (3.3) and (3.4) together. Application of Schur’s test tells us that the integral operator with kernel  $H(w, z)$  is bounded on  $L^q(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$ . Recall that condition (3.1) implies that  $h \in L^q(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$ . This gives that  $F \in L^q(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$  as desired.  $\square$

### 4. Proof of theorem 1.2

#### 4.1. Construction of $\varphi$

The construction is modified from Xia [10]. We adapt some parameters for our argument. For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$T_n = \left( 2^{-(n+1)}, 2^{-n} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = \left( (4/3)2^{-(n+1)}, (5/3)2^{-(n+1)} \right].$$

That is,  $S_n$  is the middle third of  $T_n$ . Let  $t_n = (4/3)2^{-(n+1)}$  be the left end-point of  $S_n$ .

For fixed  $p \in (2, \infty)$ , let  $\varepsilon$  be a fixed rational number such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{2}{p} < 1.$$

We can choose a strictly increasing sequence  $k(1) < \dots < k(n) < \dots$  of positive integers such that

$$2^{-(\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon)k(n)} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{\varepsilon k(n)} = 2^{-\frac{2}{p}k(n)+1} \leq (1/3)2^{-(n+1)} = |S_n|$$

for all  $n$  and such that every  $\varepsilon k(n)$  is an integer.



For integers  $n \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\varepsilon k(n)}$ , recall that  $t_n$  is the left end-point of  $S_n$ . Define the intervals

$$J_{n,j} = (a_{n,j}, c_{n,j}) = \left( t_n + 2^{-\left(\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon\right)k(n)} \cdot 2 \cdot (j-1), t_n + 2^{-\left(\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon\right)k(n)} \cdot 2 \cdot j \right)$$

and

$$I_{n,j} = (a_{n,j}, b_{n,j}) = \left( t_n + 2^{-\left(\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon\right)k(n)} \cdot 2 \cdot (j-1), t_n + 2^{-\left(\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon\right)k(n)} \cdot (2j-1) \right).$$

It is easy to check that  $I_{n,j}$  is the left half of  $J_{n,j}$ ,  $J_{n,j}$ 's are pairwise disjoint,

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{\varepsilon k(n)}} J_{n,j} \subset S_n,$$

and the length of the interval  $I_{n,j}$  is denoted by  $\rho_n$ , that is

$$\rho_n = |I_{n,j}| = b_{n,j} - a_{n,j} = 2^{-\left(\frac{2}{p} + \varepsilon\right)k(n)}. \tag{4.1}$$

We now define a measurable function  $u$  on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| = 1\}$  as follows:

$$u(e^{it}) = 2^{-k(n)} \quad \text{if } t \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{\varepsilon k(n)}} I_{n,j}, n \geq 1,$$

$$u(e^{it}) = 1 \quad \text{if } t \in (-\pi, \pi] \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{\varepsilon k(n)}} I_{n,j} \right).$$

The harmonic extension of  $u$  to  $\mathbb{D}$  is also denoted by  $u$ . Let

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} u(e^{it}) dt$$

and

$$\varphi(z) = \exp(-h(z)) \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then,  $\text{Re}(h(z)) = u(z) > 0$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , and thus,

$$|\varphi(z)| = e^{\text{Re}(h(z))} = e^{-u(z)} < 1.$$

This implies  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ . We will need the fact that  $\varphi \in H^2$  with

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^2}^2 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta. \tag{4.3}$$

4.2. Estimates

For  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$ , let

$$P(z, e^{it}) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{it} - z|^2}$$

be the Poisson kernel. It is shown in [10, p. 2508] that if  $1/2 \leq r < 1$  and  $|\theta - t| \leq 5$ , then there exist constants  $0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty$  such that

$$\frac{\alpha(1 - r)}{(1 - r)^2 + (\theta - t)^2} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} P(re^{i\theta}, e^{it}) \leq \frac{\beta(1 - r)}{(1 - r)^2 + (\theta - t)^2}. \tag{4.4}$$

We have the following lemma modified from [10, Lemma 4].

LEMMA 4.1. *For any positive integer  $n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\varepsilon k(n)}$ , let  $G_{n,j}$  be the Carleson box based on  $I_{n,j}$ , i.e.*

$$G_{n,j} = \{re^{i\theta} : \theta \in I_{n,j}, 0 < 1 - r \leq \rho_n\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then there is a constant  $C_1$  independent of  $n, j$  such that

$$\int_{G_{n,j}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) \leq C_1 2^{-\frac{p\varepsilon}{2}k(n)}. \tag{4.6}$$

*Proof.* Given such a pair of  $n, j$ , we write

$$G_{n,j} = \bigcup_{\nu=0}^{k(n)} G_{n,j}^\nu,$$

where

$$G_{n,j}^0 = \left\{ re^{i\theta} : \theta \in I_{n,j}, 0 < 1 - r \leq \rho_n \cdot 2^{-k(n)} \right\},$$

and

$$G_{n,j}^\nu = \left\{ re^{i\theta} : \theta \in I_{n,j}, \rho_n \cdot 2^{-k(n)} \cdot 2^{\nu-1} < 1 - r \leq \rho_n \cdot 2^{-k(n)} \cdot 2^\nu \right\},$$

for  $1 \leq \nu \leq k(n)$ .

It is shown in [10, p. 2509] that there is a constant  $0 < c < 1$  independent of  $n, j$  such that

$$1 - |\varphi(z)| = 1 - e^{-u(z)} \geq 1 - \exp(-c2^{-k(n)+\nu})$$

if  $z \in G_{n,j}^\nu$  and  $0 \leq \nu \leq k(n)$ . Let  $\delta = \inf_{0 < x \leq 1} x^{-1}(1 - e^{-x})$ . Then,

$$\inf_{z \in G_{n,j}^\nu} (1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{p/2} \geq (\delta c)^{p/2} \cdot 2^{-p/2k(n)} \cdot 2^{p/2\nu}, \quad 0 \leq \nu \leq k(n). \tag{4.7}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_{n,j}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) \\ &= \int_{G_{n,j}^0} \left( \frac{1 - |z|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{k(n)} \int_{G_{n,j}^\nu} \left( \frac{1 - |z|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) \\ &\leq \frac{2^{p/2k(n)}}{(\delta c)^{p/2}} \int_{G_{n,j}^0} (1 - |z|^2)^{p/2-2} dA(z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^{k(n)} \frac{2^{p/2k(n)}}{(\delta c)^{p/2} \cdot 2^{p/2\nu}} \int_{G_{n,j}^\nu} (1 - |z|)^{p/2-2} dA(z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Notice that  $p/2 - 2 > -1$ . Straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_{n,j}^0} (1 - |z|^2)^{p/2-2} dA(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_{n,j}} d\theta \int_{1-\rho_n \cdot 2^{-k(n)}}^1 (1 - r^2)^{p/2-2} r dr \\ &\leq C_2 \rho_n^{p/2} \cdot 2^{-(p/2-1)k(n)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for some  $C_2 > 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_{n,j}^\nu} (1 - |z|)^{p/2-2} dA(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_{n,j}} d\theta \int_{1-\rho_n \cdot 2^{-k(n)} \cdot 2^{-\nu}}^{1-\rho_n \cdot 2^{-k(n)} \cdot 2^{\nu-1}} (1 - r)^{p/2-2} r dr \\ &\leq C_3 \rho_n^{p/2} \cdot 2^{-(p/2-1)k(n)} \cdot 2^{(p/2-1)\nu} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for some  $C_3 > 0$ . Put (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_{n,j}} \left( \frac{1 - |z|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) \\ &\leq \frac{C_2 \cdot 2^{k(n)} \cdot \rho_n^{p/2}}{(\delta c)^{p/2}} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{k(n)} \frac{2^{p/2k(n)} \cdot C_3 \rho_n^{p/2} \cdot 2^{-(p/2-1)k(n)} \cdot 2^{(p/2-1)\nu}}{(\delta c)^{p/2} \cdot 2^{p/2\nu}} \\ &= 2^{k(n)} \cdot \rho_n^{p/2} \cdot \left( \frac{C_2}{(\delta c)^{p/2}} + \frac{C_3}{(\delta c)^{p/2}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k(n)} 2^{-\nu} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the inequality (4.1), we get the desired inequality (4.6) by letting

$$C_1 = \frac{C_2}{(\delta c)^{p/2}} + \frac{C_3}{(\delta c)^{p/2}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\nu} = \frac{C_2 + C_3}{(\delta c)^{p/2}}. \quad \square$$

The following lemma is quoted from [10, Lemma 7].

LEMMA 4.2. *There is a  $C_4 > 0$  such that*

$$u(z) \geq C_4 \quad \text{for every } z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{\varepsilon k(n)}} G_{n,j} \right),$$

where  $G_{n,j}$  is defined by (4.5).

**4.3. Proof of theorem 1.2**

Let  $\varphi$  be the holomorphic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  given by (4.2). It is sufficient to check the inequality (1.2) for this  $\varphi$ , and  $C_\varphi \notin \mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$ .

Let

$$G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{\varepsilon k(n)}} G_{n,j},$$

where  $G_{n,j}$  is given by (4.5). For  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus G$ , lemma 4.2 implies that

$$|\varphi(z)| = e^{-\text{Re}(h(z))} = e^{-u(z)} \leq e^{-C_4}.$$

Since  $p/2 - 2 > -1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus G} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-C_4})^{p/2}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus G} (1 - |z|^2)^{p/2-2} dA(z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-C_4})^{p/2}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{p/2-2} dA(z) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Meanwhile, lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^{p/2} d\lambda(z) &\approx \int_G \frac{(1 - |z|)^{p/2-2}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{p/2}} dA(z) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\varepsilon k(n)}} \int_{G_{n,j}} \frac{(1 - |z|)^{p/2-2}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|)^{p/2}} dA(z) \\ &\leq C_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{\varepsilon k(n)} \cdot 2^{-\frac{p\varepsilon}{2} k(n)} \leq C_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(p/2-1)\varepsilon k(n)} < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where the last inequality is following from the fact that  $p/2 - 1 > 0$ . Now (1.2) follows from (4.11) and (4.12) easily.

It remains to check that  $C_\varphi \notin \mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$ , or equivalently,  $\text{tr}((C_\varphi^* C_\varphi)^{\frac{p}{2}}) = \infty$ . Let  $e_\ell(z) = z^\ell$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . It is well known that  $\{e_\ell : \ell \geq 0\}$  is an orthonormal

basis for  $H^2$ . Since  $p/2 > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle (C_\varphi^* C_\varphi)^{p/2} e_\ell, e_\ell \right\rangle_{H^2} &\geq \left( \left\langle C_\varphi^* C_\varphi e_\ell, e_\ell \right\rangle_{H^2} \right)^{p/2} \\ &= \|C_\varphi e_\ell\|_{H^2}^p = \|\varphi^l\|_{H^2}^p = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^{2\ell} d\theta \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$I_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{\varepsilon k(n)}} I_{n,j}.$$

Then,

$$|I_n| = 2^{\varepsilon k(n)} \rho_n = 2^{-\frac{2}{p}k(n)},$$

and

$$|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| = \exp(-u(e^{i\theta})) = \exp(-2^{-k(n)})$$

for almost every  $\theta \in I_n$ . Thus,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^{2\ell} d\theta \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_n} |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^{2\ell} d\theta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\ell \cdot 2^{-k(n)}} \cdot 2^{-\frac{2}{p}k(n)}.$$

Notice that

$$\left( \sum_n a_n \right)^s \geq \sum_n a_n^s$$

if  $s \geq 1$  and  $a_n \geq 0$ . We get

$$\left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^{2\ell} d\theta \right)^{p/2} \geq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\ell \cdot 2^{-k(n)}} \cdot 2^{-\frac{2}{p}k(n)} \right)^{p/2} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-p\ell \cdot 2^{-k(n)}} \cdot 2^{-k(n)}.$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} \left( (C_\varphi^* C_\varphi)^{p/2} \right) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left\langle (C_\varphi^* C_\varphi)^{p/2} e_\ell, e_\ell \right\rangle_{H^2} \geq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(e^{i\theta})|^{2\ell} d\theta \right)^{p/2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-p\ell \cdot 2^{-k(n)}} \cdot 2^{-k(n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 2^{-k(n)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{-p\ell \cdot 2^{-k(n)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{-p \cdot 2^{-k(n)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sup_{x>0} \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x} \leq 1.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-p \cdot 2^{-k(n)}}} \geq \frac{1}{p \cdot 2^{-k(n)}}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{-p \cdot 2^{-k(n)}}} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n)} \cdot \frac{1}{p \cdot 2^{-k(n)}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} = \infty.$$

This implies that  $C_{\varphi} \notin \mathcal{S}_p(H^2)$  and the proof is complete.

### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2022A1515010358).

### References

- 1 H. Benazzouz, O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay and H. Mahzouli. Contact points and Schatten composition operators. *Math. Z.* **279** (2015), 407–422.
- 2 Z. Bendaoud, F. Korrichi, L. Merghni and A. Yagoub. Contact points and Schatten class of composition operators. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **49** (2018), 651–661.
- 3 C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer. *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995).
- 4 D. H. Luecking. Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **73** (1987), 345–368.
- 5 D. Luecking and K. Zhu. Composition operators belonging to the Schatten ideals. *Amer. J. Math.* **114** (1992), 1127–1145.
- 6 J. Pau and A. Perälä. A Toeplitz-type operator on Hardy spaces in the unit ball. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **373** (2020), 3031–3062.
- 7 J. Pau and P. Pérez. Composition operators acting on weighted Dirichlet spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **401** (2013), 682–694.
- 8 J. H. Shapiro. *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*. Springer (New York, 1993).
- 9 K.-J. Wirths and J. Xiao. Global integral criteria for composition operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **269** (2002), 702–715.
- 10 J. Xia. On a proposed characterization of Schatten-class composition operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 2505–2514.
- 11 W. Yang and J. Liu. Schatten classes of Toeplitz operators on Bergman-Besov Hilbert spaces in the unit ball. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **526** (2023), 127257.
- 12 C. Yuan and Z. Zhou. Composition operators belonging to the Schatten class  $\mathcal{S}_p$ . *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **81** (2010), 465–472.
- 13 C. Yuan and Z. Zhou. The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of a composition operator on the Bergman space. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **95** (2017), 250–259.
- 14 K. Zhu. Schatten class composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces of the disk. *J. Operator Theory* **46** (2001), 173–181.
- 15 K. Zhu. Translating certain inequalities between Hardy and Bergman spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **111** (2004), 520–525.
- 16 K. Zhu. *Operator Theory in Function Spaces* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007).