*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 109 (2024), 365–375 doi[:10.1017/S0004972723000655](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0004972723000655)

# ON CERTAIN CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTION[S](#page-0-0)

# MD F[I](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9187-6937)ROZ ALI $\mathbf{\mathbb{C}}^{\boxtimes}$  and MD [N](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-8748-2476)UREZZAMAN

(Received 10 April 2023; accepted 29 May 2023; first published online 17 July 2023)

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{K}_u$  denote the class of all analytic functions *f* in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , normalised by *f*(0) = *f*'(0) − 1 = 0 and satisfying  $|zf'(z)/g(z) - 1| < 1$  in D for some starlike function *g*. Allu, Sokól<br>and Thomas <sup>['</sup>On a close-to-convex analogue of certain starlike functions' *Bull Aust Math*, Soc. 108 and Thomas ['On a close-to-convex analogue of certain starlike functions', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 108 (2020), 268–281] obtained a partial solution for the Fekete–Szegö problem and initial coefficient estimates for functions in  $K_u$ , and posed a conjecture in this regard. We prove this conjecture regarding the sharp estimates of coefficients and solve the Fekete–Szegö problem completely for functions in the class K*u*.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 30C45; secondary 30C55.

*Keywords and phrases*: analytic functions, univalent functions, starlike functions, convex functions, close-to-convex function.

## 1. Introduction

Let H be the class of all analytic functions in the unit disk  $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let B be the subclass of H consisting of all functions f in H with  $|f(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_0$  be the subclass of B with  $f(0) = 0$  and A be the subclass of H consisting of all functions *f* normalised by  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$  with the Taylor series expansion

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.
$$
 (1.1)

Further, let S be the subclass of A that are univalent (that is, one-to-one) in  $\mathbb{D}$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called starlike (respectively, convex) if  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is a starlike domain (respectively, a convex domain) with respect to the origin. The set of all starlike functions and convex functions in S are denoted by  $S^*$  and C, respectively. It is well known that a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is starlike (respectively, convex) if and only if  $\text{Re } z f'(z)/f(z) > 0$  (respectively,  $\text{Re } (1 + z f''(z)/f'(z)) > 0$ ) for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . For further information about these classes, we refer to [\[5,](#page-9-0) [7\]](#page-9-1).

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be close-to-convex if the complement of the image-domain  $f(\mathbb{D})$  in  $\mathbb C$  is the union of rays that are disjoint (except that the origin of one ray may lie on another one of the rays) and the class of all close-to-convex



<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

functions is denoted by  $K$ . This class was introduced by Kaplan [\[10\]](#page-9-2). A function *f* ∈  $\mathcal{A}$  is close-to-convex if and only if there exists a starlike function  $g \in S^*$  and a real number  $\alpha \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  such that (see [\[5,](#page-9-0) [10\]](#page-9-2))

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\alpha}\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.
$$

In 1968, Singh [\[16\]](#page-10-0) introduced and studied the class  $S_u^*$  consisting of functions *f* in A such that

$$
\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|<1\quad\text{for }z\in\mathbb{D}.
$$

It is easy to see that every function in  $S^*_{u}$  also belongs to  $S^*$ . Singh [\[16\]](#page-10-0) obtained the distortion theorem, coefficient estimate and radius of convexity for the class  $S_u^*$ . Recently, Allu *et al.* [\[1\]](#page-9-3) introduced a close-to-convex analogue of the class  $S^*_{\mu}$  denoted by  $\mathcal{K}_u$ . A function *f* in  $\mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_u$  if there exists a starlike function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$  such that

$$
\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<1\quad\text{for }z\in\mathbb{D}.
$$

Clearly, every function in  $K_u$  is close-to-convex.

It is well known that if  $f \in S$  is of the form [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1), then  $|a_n| \le n$  for all  $n \ge 2$ , and equality holds for the rotations of the Koebe function  $k(z) = z/(1 - z)^2$ . Singh [\[16\]](#page-10-0) proved that if  $f \in S^*$  then  $|a| < 1/(n-1)$  for all  $n > 2$  and this inequality is sharp. In proved that if  $f \in S_n^*$ , then  $|a_n| \le 1/(n-1)$  for all  $n \ge 2$ , and this inequality is sharp. In 2020 All *n* et al. [1] studied coefficient bounds for the functions  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) 2020, Allu *et al.* [\[1\]](#page-9-3) studied coefficient bounds for the functions  $f(z)$  of the form [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1) in the class  $\mathcal{K}_u$  and obtained the sharp bounds  $|a_2| \leq 3/2$  and  $|a_3| \leq 5/3$  and proposed a conjecture that  $|a_n| \leq (2n-1)/n$  for  $n \geq 4$ .

The Fekete–Szegö problem is to find the maximum value of the coefficient functional

$$
\Phi_{\mu}(f) = |a_3 - \mu a_2^2|, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C},
$$

when *f* of the form [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1) varies over a class of functions  $\mathcal F$ . In 1933, Fekete–Szegö [\[6\]](#page-9-4) used the Löwner differential method to prove that

$$
\max_{f \in S} \Phi_{\mu}(f) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2e^{-2\mu/(1-\mu)} & \text{for } 0 \le \mu < 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } \mu = 1. \end{cases}
$$

In 1987, Koepf [\[12\]](#page-9-5) obtained the sharp bound of  $\Phi_{\mu}(f)$  for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  for the class K:

$$
\max_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \Phi_{\mu}(f) = \begin{cases} |3 - 4\mu| & \text{if } \mu \in \left( -\infty, \frac{1}{3} \right] \cup [1, \infty), \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{9\mu} & \text{if } \mu \in \left[ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right], \\ 1 & \text{if } \mu \in \left[ \frac{2}{3}, 1 \right]. \end{cases}
$$

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972723000655>Published online by Cambridge University Press

[3] Close-to-convex functions 367

The Fekete–Szegö problem has been studied for different subclasses of  $S$  (see [\[9,](#page-9-6) [13](#page-9-7)[–15,](#page-9-8) [17\]](#page-10-1)). Allu *et al.* [\[1\]](#page-9-3) considered the class  $\mathcal{K}_u$  and obtained an estimate of the Fekete–Szegö functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  with  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, they were only able to show sharpness when  $\mu \le 0$ ,  $2/3 \le \mu \le 1$  and  $\mu > 10/9$ show sharpness when  $\mu \le 0$ ,  $2/3 \le \mu \le 1$  and  $\mu \ge 10/9$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}U$  denote the subclass of H consisting of all locally univalent functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{L}U := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f'(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}\}\.$  For a locally univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{L}U$ , the pre-Schwarzian derivative is defined by

$$
P_f(z) = \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)},
$$

and the pre-Schwarzian norm (the hyperbolic sup-norm) is defined by

$$
||P_f|| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|P_f(z)|.
$$

This norm has significant meaning in the theory of Teichmüller spaces. For a univalent function *f*, it is well known that  $||P_f|| \leq 6$  and the estimate is sharp. However, if  $||P_f|| \le 1$ , then *f* is univalent in D (see [\[2,](#page-9-9) [3\]](#page-9-10)). In 1976, Yamashita [\[18\]](#page-10-2) proved that  $||P_f||$  is finite if and only if *f* is uniformly locally univalent in D. Moreover, if  $||P_f|| < 2$ , then *f* is bounded in  $D$  (see [\[11\]](#page-9-11)). We will obtain results related to the pre-Schwarzian norm for functions  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$ .

We first prove the conjecture  $|a_n| \leq (2n-1)/n$  for  $n \geq 2$  for functions in  $\mathcal{K}_u$  as proposed by Allu *et al*. [\[1\]](#page-9-3). We next obtain the sharp estimate of the Fekete–Szegö functional  $\Phi_{\mu}(f)$  for the class  $\mathcal{K}_{\mu}$  for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Finally, we obtain estimates of the pre-Schwarzian norm for functions in  $K_u$ .

## 2. Main results

Before stating our main results, we will discuss some preliminaries which will help us to prove our results. The first lemma is part of a result proved by Choi *et al*. [\[4\]](#page-9-12).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>LEMMA 2.1. *For*  $A, B$  ∈  $\mathbb{C}$  *and*  $K, L, M$  ∈  $\mathbb{R}$ *, let* 

$$
\Omega(A, B, K, L, M) = \max_{\substack{|u_1| \leq 1 \\ |v_1| \leq 1}} (|A|(1 - |u_1|^2) + |B|(1 - |v_1|^2) + |Ku_1^2 + Lv_1^2 + 2Mu_1v_1|).
$$

*Further consider the following four conditions involving A*, *B*, *K*, *L*, *M:*

 $(A1)$   $|A| \ge \max\{|K|$  $\sqrt{1 - \frac{M^2}{KL}}$ ,  $|M| - |K|\}$ ;  $(A2)$   $|K| + |M| \leq |A| < |K|$  $\sqrt{1 - \frac{M^2}{KL}}$ 

(B1) 
$$
|B| \ge \max \left\{ |L| \sqrt{1 - \frac{M^2}{KL}}, |M| - |L| \right\};
$$

(B2) 
$$
|L| + |M| \le |B| < |L|\sqrt{1 - \frac{M^2}{KL}}
$$
.

*If KL* ≥ 0 *and D* = (|*K*| − |*A*|)(|*L*| − |*B*|) −  $M^2$ , *then* 

$$
\Omega(A, B, K, L, M) = \begin{cases} |A| + |L| - \frac{M^2}{|K| - |L|} & \text{if } |A| > |M| + |K| \text{ and } D < 0, \\ |B| + |K| - \frac{M^2}{|L| - |B|} & \text{if } |B| > |M| + |L| \text{ and } D < 0, \\ |K| + 2|M| + |L| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

 $If KL < 0$ , then  $\Omega(A, B, K, L, M) = |A| + |B| + \max\{0, R\}$ , where

$$
R = \begin{cases} |K| - |A| + \frac{M^2}{|B| + |L|}, & when (B1) holds but (A1) and (A2) do not hold, \\ |L| - |B| + \frac{M^2}{|A| + |K|}, & when (A1) holds but (B1) and (B2) do not hold. \end{cases}
$$

For two functions f and g in H, we say that  $f(z)$  is majorised by  $g(z)$  if  $|f(z)| \le$ | $g(z)$ | for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  or equivalently, if there exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $f(z) = \omega(z)g(z)$ . Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  be two power series convergent in some disk  $E_R = \{z : |z| < R, R > 0\}$ . We say that  $f(z)$  is dominated by  $F(z)$  and we write  $f(z) \ll F(z)$  if for any integer  $n \ge 0$ ,  $|a_n| \le |A_n|$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>LEMMA 2.2 [\[8,](#page-9-13) Theorem 6.7]. *If*  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , *is majorised by g and g* ∈  $S^*$ *, then*  $|a_n|$  ≤ *n for all n* ≥ 1*, that is,*  $f(z) \ll k(z)$ *, where*  $k(z) = z/(1 - z)^2$  *is the*<br>*Koebe function Koebe function.*

Our first result confirms the conjecture of Allu *et al*. in [\[1\]](#page-9-3).

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  be of the form [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). Then,

$$
|a_n| \le \frac{2n-1}{n} \quad \text{for all } n \ge 2.
$$

*Moreover, the estimate is sharp.*

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  be of the form [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). Then there exists a starlike function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<1.
$$

Further, there exists a function  $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{B}_0$  such that

$$
zf'(z) = g(z)(1 + \omega(z)),
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>that is,

$$
zf'(z) = g(z) + zg(z)\omega_1(z)
$$
\n(2.1)

for some  $\omega_1(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since,  $g(z)\omega_1(z)$  is majorised by  $g(z)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , by Lemma [2.2,](#page-3-0) the function  $g(z)\omega_1(z)$  is dominated by  $k(z)$ , that is,  $g(z)\omega_1(z) \ll k(z)$ . Thus, from [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1),

$$
zf'(z) \ll k(z) + zk(z),
$$

and consequently,

$$
|a_n|\leq \frac{2n-1}{n}.
$$

The estimate is sharp for the function  $f_1 \in \mathcal{K}_u$  given by

$$
f_1(z) = \frac{2z}{1 - z} + \log(1 - z).
$$

For functions in K*u*, Allu *et al*. [\[1\]](#page-9-3) obtained an estimate of the Fekete–Szegö functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  with  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . The result is sharp only when  $\mu \le 0$ ,  $2/3 \le \mu < 1$  and  $\mu > 10/9$  In the next theorem we will give the sharp bounds of  $|a_2 - \mu a^2|$  $\mu \leq 1$  and  $\mu \geq 10/9$ . In the next theorem, we will give the sharp bounds of  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ <br>for all values of  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Our proof is completely different from that in [1]. Our main for all values of  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Our proof is completely different from that in [\[1\]](#page-9-3). Our main tool to get the sharp bound is Lemma [2.1.](#page-2-0)

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). Then for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{5}{3} - \frac{9}{4} \mu & \text{if } \mu \le 0, \\ \frac{4(5 - 3\mu)}{3(4 + 3\mu)} & \text{if } 0 \le \mu \le \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \le \mu \le 1, \\ \frac{3\mu - 5}{3(3\mu - 4)} & \text{if } 1 \le \mu \le \frac{10}{9}, \\ \frac{9}{4} \mu - \frac{5}{3} & \text{if } \mu \ge \frac{10}{9}. \end{cases}
$$

*Moreover, all the inequalities are sharp.*

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  be of the form [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). Then there exists a starlike function  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  in  $S^*$  such that

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<1.
$$

Thus, there exists  $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  in  $\mathcal{B}_0$  such that

$$
f'(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z}(1 + \omega(z)).
$$
 (2.2)

From [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0), comparing the coefficients of  $z^2$  and  $z^3$  on both sides,

$$
a_2 = \frac{b_2}{2} + \frac{c_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_3 = \frac{c_2}{3} + \frac{b_3}{3} + \frac{1}{3}b_2c_1. \tag{2.3}
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Since *g*  $\in$  *S*<sup>\*</sup>, it follows that there exists another  $\rho \in B_0$  of the form  $\rho(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n$ such that

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1 + \rho(z)}{1 - \rho(z)}.
$$
\n(2.4)

On comparing the coefficients of  $z^2$  and  $z^3$  on both sides,

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
b_2 = 2d_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_3 = d_2 + 3d_1^2. \tag{2.5}
$$

From [\(2.3\)](#page-4-1) and [\(2.5\)](#page-5-0),

$$
a_2 = d_1 + \frac{c_1}{2}
$$
 and  $a_3 = \frac{c_2}{3} + \frac{d_2}{3} + d_1^2 + \frac{2}{3}d_1c_1$ .

Therefore, for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = Ac_2 + Bd_2 + Kc_1^2 + Ld_1^2 + 2Mc_1d_1,
$$

where

$$
A = \frac{1}{3}
$$
,  $B = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $K = -\frac{\mu}{4}$ ,  $M = \frac{2 - 3\mu}{6}$ ,  $L = 1 - \mu$ .

Thus,

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le |A||c_2| + |B||d_2| + |Kc_1^2 + Ld_1^2 + 2Mc_1d_1|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le |A|(1 - |c_1|^2) + |B|(1 - |d_1|^2) + |Kc_1^2 + Ld_1^2 + 2Mc_1d_1|.
$$

Now, we have to find the maximum value of  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  when  $|c_1| \le 1$ ,  $|d_1| \le 1$ . To do this we will use I emma 2.1 and consider the following five cases this, we will use Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) and consider the following five cases.

*Case 1:* Let  $\mu \leq 0$ . A simple calculation shows that

$$
KL = -\frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{4} \ge 0, \quad D = -\frac{2-3\mu}{6} < 0, \quad |A| \le |M| + |K|, \quad |B| \le |M| + |L|.
$$

Therefore, from Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0)

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le |K| + 2|M| + |L| = \frac{5}{3} - \frac{9}{4}\mu.
$$

The inequality is sharp and the equality holds for the function  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  given by [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) and [\(2.4\)](#page-4-2) with  $\omega(z) = z$  and  $\rho(z) = z$ , that is,

$$
f(z) = \frac{2z}{1-z} + \log(1-z) = z + \frac{3}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{3}z^3 + \cdots
$$

*Case 2:* Let  $0 \leq \mu \leq 2/3$ . A simple calculation shows that

$$
KL = -\frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{4} < 0.
$$

Thus, from Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le |A| + |B| + \max\{0, R\},\tag{2.6}
$$

where *R* can be obtained from Lemma [2.1.](#page-2-0) For  $0 \le \mu \le \frac{2}{3}$ ,

$$
|M| - |K| = \frac{4 - 9\mu}{12} \le \frac{1}{3} = |A|
$$

and

$$
|K|\sqrt{1 - \frac{M^2}{KL}} \le |A| \iff \frac{\mu}{4}\sqrt{1 + \frac{(2 - 3\mu)^2}{9\mu(1 - \mu)}} \le \frac{1}{3}
$$

$$
\iff 3\mu^2 - 20\mu + 16 \ge 0,
$$

which is true for all  $\mu \in [0, 2/3]$ . Thus, the condition (A1) of Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) is satisfied. Again, for  $0 \leq \mu \leq 2/3$ ,

$$
|M| - |L| = \frac{3\mu - 4}{6} \le -\frac{1}{3} \le |B|
$$

and

$$
|L|\sqrt{1-\frac{M^2}{KL}} \le |B| \iff (1-\mu)\sqrt{1+\frac{(2-3\mu)^2}{9\mu(1-\mu)}} \le \frac{1}{3}
$$

$$
\iff 3\mu^2 - 8\mu + 4 \le 0,
$$

which is not true for any  $\mu \in [0, 2/3]$ . Thus, the condition (B1) of Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) is not satisfied. Further, for  $0 \le \mu \le 2/3$ ,

$$
|L| + |M| = \frac{4 - 3\mu}{4} \ge \frac{1}{2} \ge |B|
$$

and so, the condition (B2) of Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) is not satisfied.

Therefore, by Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0)

$$
R = |L| - |B| + \frac{M^2}{|A| + |K|} = \frac{2}{3} - \mu + \frac{(2 - 3\mu)^2}{4(4 + 3\mu)}
$$

and consequently, from [\(2.6\)](#page-5-1),

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{4(5 - 3\mu)}{3(4 + 3\mu)}.
$$

The inequality is sharp and the equality holds for the function  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  given by [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) and  $(2.4)$  with

$$
\omega(z) = \frac{az(z + \bar{a}v_1)}{1 + a\bar{v_1}z} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(z) = z,
$$

where

$$
v_1 = \frac{2(2-3\mu)}{4+3\mu}
$$
 and  $a = \frac{v_2}{1-v_1^2}$  with  $v_1^2 + v_2 = 1$ ,

that is,

$$
f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1 + (a\bar{v_1} + v_1)t + at^2}{(1 - t)^2 (1 + a\bar{v_1}t)} dt = z + \frac{6}{4 + 3\mu} z^2 + \frac{80 + 120\mu - 36\mu^2}{3(4 + 3\mu)^2} z^3 + \cdots
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>*Case 3:* Let  $2/3 \le \mu \le 1$ . It is easy to show that  $KL = -\frac{1}{4}\mu(1-\mu) < 0$ . So, from Lemma 2.1 Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0)

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le |A| + |B| + \max\{0, R\},\tag{2.7}
$$

where *R* can be obtained from Lemma [2.1.](#page-2-0) Proceeding as in Case 2, we can verify that the condition (A1) holds but (B1) and (B2) of Lemma [2.1](#page-2-0) do not hold. Therefore,

$$
R = |K| - |A| + \frac{M^2}{|B| + |L|} = \frac{\mu - 1}{4 - 3\mu} \le 0 \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{3} \le \mu \le 1
$$

and consequently, from [\(2.7\)](#page-7-0),

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}.
$$

The inequality is sharp and the equality holds for the function  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  given by [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) and [\(2.4\)](#page-4-2) with  $\omega(z) = z^2$  and  $\rho(z) = z^2$ , that is,

$$
f(z) = \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} - z = z + \frac{2}{3}z^3 + \cdots.
$$

*Case 4:* Let  $1 \leq \mu \leq 10/9$ . A simple calculation shows that

$$
KL = -\frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{4} \ge 0, \quad D = -\frac{1-\mu}{3} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |B| > |M| + |L|.
$$

Thus, from Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0)

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le |B| + |K| - \frac{M^2}{|L| - |B|} = \frac{5 - 3\mu}{3(4 - 3\mu)}.
$$

The inequality is sharp and the equality holds for the function  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  given by [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) and  $(2.4)$  with

$$
\omega(z) = z
$$
 and  $\rho(z) = \frac{az(z + \bar{a}v_1)}{1 + a\bar{v_1}z}$ ,

where

$$
v_1 = \frac{3\mu - 2}{8 - 6\mu}
$$
 and  $a = -\frac{v_2}{1 - v_1^2}$  with  $v_1^2 + v_2 = 1$ ,

that is,

$$
\frac{g(z)}{z} = \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{2(v_1 + at)}{1 + a\overline{v_1}t - v_1t - at^2} dt\right) = 1 + 2v_1z + (4v_1^2 - 1)z^2 + \cdots
$$

and

$$
f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{g(t)}{t} (1+t) dt = z + \frac{1}{4-3\mu} z^2 + \frac{30\mu - 20 - 9\mu^2}{3(4-3\mu)^2} z^3 + \cdots
$$

*Case 5:* Let  $\mu \ge 10/9$ . A simple calculation shows that

$$
KL = -\frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{4} \ge 0, \quad D = -\frac{1-\mu}{3} < 0, \quad |A| \le |M| + |K|, \quad |B| \le |M| + |L|.
$$

Thus, from Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-0)

$$
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le |K| + 2|M| + |L| = \frac{9\mu}{4} - \frac{5}{3}.
$$

The inequality is sharp and the equality holds for the function  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  given by [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) and [\(2.4\)](#page-4-2) with  $\omega(z) = z$  and  $\rho(z) = z$ , that is,

$$
f(z) = \frac{2z}{1-z} + \log(1-z) = z + \frac{3}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{3}z^3 + \cdots
$$

Finally, we establish a result related to the pre-Schwarzian norm for functions in  $K_u$ . We first note that a function *f* in A belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_u$  if there exists a function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$  such that  $|zf'(z)/g(z) - 1| < 1$ . In other words, if there exists a convex function  $h \in C$  with  $g(z) = zh'(z)$  such that  $g(z) = zh'(z)$  such that

$$
\left|\frac{f'(z)}{h'(z)}-1\right|<1.
$$

THEOREM 2.5. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  and  $h \in \mathcal{C}$  be the associated convex function. Then,

 $|||P_f|| - ||P_h||| \leq 2$ ,

*and the estimate is sharp. Further,*  $||P_f|| \leq 6$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{K}_u$  and  $h \in C$  be the associated convex function such that

$$
\left|\frac{f'(z)}{h'(z)}-1\right|<1.
$$

Then there exists a function  $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{B}_0$  such that

$$
\frac{f'(z)}{h'(z)} = 1 + \omega(z).
$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative on both sides,

$$
\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{\omega'(z)}{1 + \omega(z)}
$$

and so,

$$
\left|\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| - \left|\frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)}\right| \le \left|\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)}\right| = \left|\frac{\omega'(z)}{1 + \omega(z)}\right|.
$$

Thus,

$$
|\|P_f\| - \|P_h\| = \left| \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| - \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \right|
$$
  

$$
\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| - \left| \frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \right|
$$

$$
\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)} \right|
$$

$$
= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \frac{|\omega'(z)|}{|1 + \omega(z)|}.
$$

Since  $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , by the Schwarz–Pick lemma,

$$
|\omega'(z)| \le \frac{1 - |\omega(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}.
$$

Therefore,

$$
|\|P_f\| - \|P_h\| \le \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |\omega(z)|^2}{|1 + \omega(z)|} \le 2.
$$

The above inequality is sharp for the functions

$$
f(z) = -\log(1 - z)
$$
 and  $h(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z}$ .

It is well known that  $\|P_h\| \leq 4$  for  $f \in C$  (see [\[19\]](#page-10-3)), and so  $\|P_f\| \leq 6$ .

### **References**

- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[1] V. Allu, J. Sokól and D. K. Thomas, 'On a close-to-convex analogue of certain starlike functions', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 108 (2020), 268–281.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[2] J. Becker, 'Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen', *J. reine angew. Math* 255 (1972), 23–43.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[3] J. Becker and C. Pommerenke, 'Schlichtheitskriterien und Jordangebiete', *J. reine angew. Math.* 354 (1984), 74–94.
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[4] N. E. Choi, Y. C. Kim and T. Sugawa, 'A general approach to the Fekete–Szegö problem', *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 59 (2007), 707–727.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[5] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259 (Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin–Heidelberg–Tokyo, 1983).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[6] M. Fekete and G. Szegö, 'Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen', *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 8 (1933), 85–89.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[7] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Vol. I and II (Mariner Publishing Co., Tampa, FL, 1983).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[8] D. J. Hallenbeck and T. H. Macgregor, *Linear Problems and Convexity Techniques in Geometric Function Theory*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 22 (Pitman, Boston, MA, 1984).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[9] S. Kanas and A. Lecko, 'On the Fekete–Szegö problem and the domain of convexity for a certain class of univalent functions', *Folia Sci. Univ. Tech. Resoviensis* 73 (1990), 49–57.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[10] W. Kaplan, 'Close-to-convex schlicht functions', *Michigan Math. J.* 1 (1952), 169–185.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[11] Y. C. Kim and T. Sugawa, 'Growth and coefficient estimates for uniformly locally univalent functions on the unit disk', *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 32 (2002), 179–200.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[12] W. Koepf, 'On the Fekete–Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 101 (1987), 89–95.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[13] W. Koepf, 'On the Fekete–Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions II', *Arch. Math.* 49 (1987), 420–433.
- [14] B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'Fekete–Szegö problem for a certain subclass of close-to-convex functions', *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 38(2) (2015), 1393–1410.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[15] R. R. London, 'Fekete–Szegö inequalities for close-to-convex functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 117 (1993), 947–950.

### [11] Close-to-convex functions 375

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[16] R. Singh, 'On a class of starlike functions', *Compos. Math.* 19 (1968), 78–82.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[17] R. Singh and V. Singh, 'On a class of bounded starlike functions', *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 5(8) (1974), 733–754.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[18] S. Yamashita, 'Almost locally univalent functions', *Monatsh. Math.* 81 (1976), 235–240.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[19] S. Yamashita, 'Norm estimates for function starlike or convex of order alpha', *Hokkaido Math. J.* 28(1) (1999), 217–230 (summary in English).

MD FIROZ ALI, National Institute of Technology Durgapur, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Durgapur, Durgapur-713203, West Bengal, India e-mail: [ali.firoz89@gmail.com,](mailto:ali.firoz89@gmail.com) [firoz.ali@maths.nitdgp.ac.in](mailto:firoz.ali@maths.nitdgp.ac.in)

MD NUREZZAMAN, National Institute of Technology Durgapur, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Durgapur, Durgapur-713203, West Bengal, India e-mail: [nurezzaman94@gmail.com](mailto:nurezzaman94@gmail.com)