



COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

The Chern–Ricci flow on complex surfaces

Valentino Tosatti and Ben Weinkove

Compositio Math. **149** (2013), 2101–2138.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X13007471](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007471)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY



The Chern–Ricci flow on complex surfaces

Valentino Tosatti and Ben Weinkove

ABSTRACT

The Chern–Ricci flow is an evolution equation of Hermitian metrics by their Chern–Ricci form, first introduced by Gill. Building on our previous work, we investigate this flow on complex surfaces. We establish new estimates in the case of finite time non-collapsing, analogous to some known results for the Kähler–Ricci flow. This provides evidence that the Chern–Ricci flow carries out blow-downs of exceptional curves on non-minimal surfaces. We also describe explicit solutions to the Chern–Ricci flow for various non-Kähler surfaces. On Hopf surfaces and Inoue surfaces these solutions, appropriately normalized, collapse to a circle in the sense of Gromov–Hausdorff. For non-Kähler properly elliptic surfaces, our explicit solutions collapse to a Riemann surface. Finally, we define a Mabuchi energy functional for complex surfaces with vanishing first Bott–Chern class and show that it decreases along the Chern–Ricci flow.

1. Introduction

The Chern–Ricci flow is a flow of Hermitian metrics on a complex manifold by their Chern–Ricci form. It was introduced by Gill [Gill11] in the setting of manifolds with vanishing first Bott–Chern class. In [TW12], the authors proved a number of further properties of the Chern–Ricci flow, several of which are analogous to those of the Kähler–Ricci flow. We continue this study here, but restrict to complex dimension 2 where some additional structures can be exploited. Our aim is to provide more evidence that the Chern–Ricci flow is a natural evolution equation on complex manifolds and that its behavior reflects the underlying geometry. Other flows of Hermitian metrics have been previously studied by Streets–Tian [ST10, ST11, ST13], motivated in part by the open problem of classifying Class VII surfaces. Ultimately, our hope is that the Chern–Ricci flow may be used as a tool in classification problems. However, our goals in the current paper are more modest: we wish to investigate the behavior of the flow in cases where the geometry of the manifold is already well understood.

Let M be a compact complex surface, and let g_0 be a Gauduchon metric on M . Namely, g_0 is a Hermitian metric whose associated $(1, 1)$ form $\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1}(g_0)_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ satisfies

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\omega_0 = 0.$$

A well-known result of Gauduchon states that every Hermitian metric on M is conformal to a unique Gauduchon metric.

Received 5 November 2012, accepted in final form 13 June 2013, published online 2 December 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 53C44 (primary), 53C55, 32W20 (secondary).

Keywords: Chern–Ricci flow, compact complex surface, Hermitian metric.

The first-named author is supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1236969, a Sloan Research Fellowship and by a Blavatnik Award for Young Scientists. The second-named author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1105373. Part of this work was carried out while the second-named author was on leave from the Mathematics Department of the University of California, San Diego.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2013.

The Chern–Ricci flow $\omega = \omega(t)$ starting at ω_0 is a flow of Gauduchon metrics

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega = -\text{Ric}(\omega), \quad \omega|_{t=0} = \omega_0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\text{Ric}(\omega)$ is the Chern–Ricci form of $\omega = \sqrt{-1}g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$, defined by

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det g.$$

The Chern–Ricci flow makes sense for metrics which are merely Hermitian, and in any dimension (as do flows proposed in for example [LY12, ST11]). However, for the purposes of this paper, we will restrict to the case of Gauduchon metrics in complex dimension 2. Note that if ω_0 satisfies the stronger condition of being d -closed (i.e. Kähler) then (1.1) coincides with the Kähler–Ricci flow.

It was shown in [TW12, Theorem 1.3] that a unique maximal solution to (1.1) exists for $[0, T)$ for a number $T \in (0, \infty]$ determined by ω_0 . If the volume of M with respect to $\omega(t)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow T$, we say that the Chern–Ricci flow is *collapsing* at time T . Otherwise, we say that the Chern–Ricci flow is *non-collapsing*. If $T = \infty$, it is sometimes convenient to normalize the flow and consider $\omega(t)/t$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If the volume of M with respect to $\omega(t)/t$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we say that the *normalized Chern–Ricci flow* is collapsing.

The first part of this paper is concerned with non-collapsing for the flow in finite time, while in the second part of the paper we give a number of explicit examples of collapsing in both finite and infinite time. In the last, short, section of the paper we define the Mabuchi energy functional on surfaces with vanishing first Bott–Chern class and show that it is decreasing along the Chern–Ricci flow.

Finite time non-collapsing

A natural conjecture [TW12], extending results of Song and the second-named author in the Kähler case [SW11, SW13a, SW13b], is that if the Chern–Ricci flow is non-collapsing in finite time, then it blows down finitely many (-1) curves and continues in a unique way on a new complex surface M . We require global Gromov–Hausdorff convergence of the metrics, and smooth convergence away from the (-1) curves. For more details see §3. The goal of the first part of this paper is to make some steps towards proving this conjecture.

Suppose that the Chern–Ricci flow is non-collapsing at time $T < \infty$. Then it was shown in [TW12, §6] that there exist finitely many disjoint (-1) curves E_1, \dots, E_k on M giving rise to a map $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ onto a complex surface N blowing down each E_i to a point $y_i \in N$. Write $M' = M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$ and $N' = N \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$. Then the map π gives an isomorphism from M' to N' .

Our first result is as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that the Chern–Ricci flow (1.1) is non-collapsing at time $T < \infty$. Then with the notation above, as $t \rightarrow T^-$, the metrics $g(t)$ converge to a smooth Gauduchon metric g_T on M' in $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(M')$.*

Remark 1.2. (1) In the Kähler case, this result is due to Tian–Zhang [TZ06].

(2) In [TW12, Theorem 1.6], we proved this result under additional hypotheses (equivalent to condition $(*)$ below).

(3) As described in [TW12, Theorem 1.5], finite time non-collapsing for the Chern–Ricci flow is a common occurrence. In particular, whenever M is a non-minimal complex surface with

Kodaira dimension not equal to $-\infty$, there will be finite time non-collapsing for all choices of initial ω_0 .

(4) To prove Theorem 1.1 we establish a version of the so-called *Tsuji trick* [Tsu88] in the setting of the Chern–Ricci flow and make use of some arguments of [TZ06].

For the next result, we recall some notation from [TW12]. Define a family of $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(1, 1)$ forms

$$\alpha_t := \omega_0 - t\text{Ric}(\omega_0) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \tag{1.2}$$

The non-collapsing condition is equivalent to the condition $\int_M \alpha_T^2 > 0$. The content of our next result is that we can prove a Gromov–Hausdorff convergence result for $(M, g(t))$ as $t \rightarrow T^-$ if α_T is the pullback of a $(1, 1)$ form on N , modulo the image of $\partial\bar{\partial}$ on M .

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose that the Chern–Ricci flow (1.1) is non-collapsing at time $T < \infty$. In addition, we impose the condition*

(*) *there exists $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ and a smooth real $(1, 1)$ form β on N with*

$$\alpha_T + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f = \pi^*\beta,$$

using the notation above.

Then there exists a distance function d_T on N such that (N, d_T) is a compact metric space and $(M, g(t))$ converges in the Gromov–Hausdorff sense to (N, d_T) as $t \rightarrow T^-$. In particular, the diameter of $(M, g(t))$ is uniformly bounded from above as $t \rightarrow T^-$.

Remark 1.4. (1) In the Kähler case, condition (*) holds automatically, and this result is contained in the work of Song and the second-named author [SW13a] (see the discussion at the end of §3). Our proof of Theorem 1.3 makes use of several arguments from [SW13a].

(2) It is not difficult to construct initial data ω_0 so that (*) holds. See Remark 3.1 below.

(3) Condition (*) will not hold for general choices of ω_0 . Indeed we will show in Proposition 3.6 that it is equivalent to $d\omega_0 = \pi^*(d\beta)$ for some β , which implies that $d\omega_0 \equiv 0$ on the exceptional divisors of π , and this last condition does not hold in general (see Remark 3.7 below).

(4) On the other hand there is another condition which is weaker than (*) and always holds, see Proposition 3.8.

We give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in §§2 and 3 respectively.

Examples of collapsing

In the second part of this paper we give a number of explicit examples of collapsing for the Chern–Ricci flow on non-Kähler surfaces. First of all recall that as a consequence of the Kodaira–Enriques classification [BHPV04], all minimal non-Kähler compact complex surfaces fall into the following classes:

- (i) Kodaira surfaces;
- (ii) minimal non-Kähler properly elliptic surfaces;
- (iii) surfaces of class VII with $b_2(M) = 0$;
- (iv) minimal surfaces of class VII with $b_2(M) > 0$,

where a Kodaira surface is a minimal surface with $b_1(M)$ odd and Kodaira dimension 0, a surface of class VII is a surface with $b_1(M) = 1$ and Kodaira dimension $-\infty$, while a

properly elliptic surface is an elliptic surface with Kodaira dimension 1. Furthermore, thanks to [Bog82, Kod66, LYZ94, Tel94] we know that the surfaces in (3) are all either Hopf surfaces (i.e. with universal cover $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$) or Inoue surfaces [Ino74]. Explicit examples of Gauduchon metrics are known for all surfaces in (1), (2), for all Inoue surfaces and for some Hopf surfaces, thanks to [GO98, Vai87, Wal86]. Less explicit Hermitian metrics on some surfaces in (4) were constructed in [Bru11, FP10, LeB91].

Our goal is to construct explicit solutions of the Chern–Ricci flow on surfaces in (2), (3) (see Remark 1.7(4) for the class (1)), and to determine their Gromov–Hausdorff limits as time approaches the maximal existence time of the flow. We consider a family of Hopf surfaces, the Inoue surfaces, and non-Kähler properly elliptic surfaces.

Remark 1.5. We do not address class (4) in this paper. These surfaces are of great interest since, except for the case $b_2(M) = 1$ [Tel05], they are not yet completely classified. Unfortunately, it appears to be more difficult to write down explicit metrics on these manifolds and we could not find solutions to the Chern–Ricci flow along the lines of those we found for (2) and (3). We plan to investigate class (4) in future work.

First some notation. Let $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the Hopf surface $H_{\alpha,\beta} = (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) / \sim$, where

$$(z, w) \sim (\alpha z, \beta w),$$

for complex numbers α, β with $|\alpha| = |\beta| \neq 1$. We will show that for all $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ we can find explicit solutions of the Chern–Ricci flow which collapse to a circle in the sense of Gromov–Hausdorff in finite time. In the case of Inoue surfaces we will find examples of the normalized Chern–Ricci flow collapsing in infinite time to a circle, and for non-Kähler properly elliptic surfaces, collapsing to a Riemann surface. This is interesting because collapsing to a circle never happens for the Kähler–Ricci flow on Kähler surfaces, where collapsed limits spaces always have even real dimension. On the other hand, it is also interesting to compare this to results of Lott, Lott–Sesum for the Ricci flow in real dimension 3 [Lot10, Lot07, LS11], where the collapsed Gromov–Hausdorff limits at infinity of the normalized Ricci flow on geometric 3-manifolds (in the sense of Thurston) are determined [Lot07, Theorem 1.2]. The complex surfaces we consider also have geometric structures (with compatible complex structures), and in fact they are precisely all the surfaces in classes (2) and (3) which have complex geometric structures [Wal86], and the behavior of the Chern–Ricci flow that we discover is very similar to the behavior of the Ricci flow on geometric 3-manifolds.

More precisely we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.6. (a) *Let $H = H_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the Hopf surface as described above. Then there exists an explicit solution $\omega(t)$ of the Chern–Ricci flow on H for $t \in [0, 1/2)$ with*

$$(H, \omega(t)) \xrightarrow{GH} (S^1, d) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 1/2,$$

where d is the standard distance function on the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

(b) *Let S be any Inoue surface. Then there exists an explicit solution $\omega(t)$ of the Chern–Ricci flow on S for $t \in [0, \infty)$ with*

$$\left(S, \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \right) \xrightarrow{GH} (S^1, d) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where d is the standard distance function on the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

(c) Let $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ be any non-Kähler minimal properly elliptic surface. Then there exists an explicit solution $\omega(t)$ of the Chern–Ricci flow on S for $t \in [0, \infty)$ with

$$\left(S, \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \right) \xrightarrow{GH} (C, d_{\text{KE}}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where d_{KE} is the distance function on the Riemann surface C induced by an orbifold Kähler–Einstein metric ω_{KE} on C which satisfies $\text{Ric}(\omega_{\text{KE}}) = -\omega_{\text{KE}}$ away from the images of the multiple fibers of π . We also have that $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ is a smooth form on S and $\omega(t)/t \rightarrow \pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ smoothly on S .

Remark 1.7. (1) It was shown in [TW12, Theorem 1.5] that for any initial ω_0 , the Chern–Ricci flow collapses in finite time for all Hopf surfaces (e.g. $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ as above) and the normalized Chern–Ricci flow collapses in infinite time for all Inoue surfaces and properly elliptic surfaces.

(2) The example in (a) above was given already in [TW12, Proposition 1.8]. What is new here is that we prove the Gromov–Hausdorff convergence to a circle. In fact, we will prove the same result for a family of higher-dimensional Hopf manifolds.

(3) The example in (c) should be compared with Song–Tian’s results on the behavior of the Kähler–Ricci flow on a Kähler elliptic surface $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ over a curve C of genus at least 2 [ST07] (see also [FZ12, GTZ13]).

(4) It is also not difficult to write down explicit, but less interesting, solutions of the Chern–Ricci flow on the Kodaira surfaces. Indeed, there are explicit Chern–Ricci flat Gauduchon metrics on all these manifolds [Vai87, (1.3)] and these give trivial solutions to the flow. In general, it was shown by Gill [Gill11] that, in any dimension, whenever the first Bott–Chern class vanishes the Chern–Ricci flow converges to a Chern–Ricci flat metric. Gill’s result makes use of the C^0 estimate of the authors [TW10] for the Hermitian complex Monge–Ampère equation (see also [Blo11, Che87, DK12, GL10]).

The proof of Theorem 1.6 occupies §§ 4–8.

The Mabuchi energy

Finally, in § 9, we give a definition of the Mabuchi energy functional for complex surfaces with vanishing first Bott–Chern class. The Mabuchi energy is a well-known object in Kähler geometry. We show that this functional decreases along the Chern–Ricci flow. This result can be used to give an alternative proof of the convergence part of a theorem of Gill [Gill11], in the case of Gauduchon surfaces.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose we are in the setting of Theorem 1.1. Let $\omega(t)$ be the solution of the Chern–Ricci flow (1.1), which by assumption exists for $t \in [0, T)$ with $0 < T < \infty$. As stated in the introduction, the non-collapsing condition implies that there is a surjective holomorphic map $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ blowing down disjoint (-1) curves E_1, \dots, E_k . Indeed the exceptional curves E_i are precisely the irreducible curves on M satisfying $\int_{E_i} \alpha_T = 0$ for $\alpha_T = \omega_0 - T\text{Ric}(\omega_0)$ (see [TW12, § 6]). For simplicity we assume that there is just one (-1) curve E which gets mapped by π to the point $y_0 \in N$ (the general case follows from the same proof).

We will first pick some good reference metrics $\hat{\omega}_t$. To do so, we need the following lemma, which is an essential ingredient in establishing a version of Tsuji’s trick [Tsu88] for the Chern–Ricci flow (see Lemma 2.2, part (ii) and Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 below).

LEMMA 2.1. *There exists a smooth Hermitian metric h on the line bundle $[E]$ such that for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a smooth function f on M such that*

$$\alpha_T - \varepsilon R_h + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where R_h is the curvature of h and α_t is given by (1.2).

Proof. The non-collapsing condition together with the results of [TW12, §6] imply that $\alpha_T = \omega_0 - \text{TRic}(\omega_0)$ satisfies

$$\int_M \alpha_T^2 > 0, \quad \int_E \alpha_T = 0, \quad \int_C \alpha_T > 0,$$

for all irreducible curves $C \subset M$ different from E . We also have that

$$\int_M \alpha_T \wedge \omega' > 0,$$

for any Gauduchon metric ω' on M . Indeed,

$$\int_M \alpha_T \wedge \omega' = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \int_M \omega(t) \wedge \omega' \geq 0,$$

and the case $\int_M \alpha_T \wedge \omega' = 0$ cannot happen since Buchdahl’s ‘Hodge Index Theorem’ [Buc99, Lemma 4] would imply that $\int_M \omega'^2 \leq 0$.

Let h be a smooth Hermitian metric on the line bundle $[E]$. Since $[E]$ has self-intersection -1 , its curvature R_h satisfies $\int_E R_h = -1$. If we pick $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and we put $\alpha_{T,\varepsilon} = \alpha_T - \varepsilon R_h$ then

$$\int_M \alpha_{T,\varepsilon}^2 > 0, \quad \int_M \alpha_{T,\varepsilon} \wedge \omega' > 0.$$

We claim that, after possibly changing the Hermitian metric h and choosing ε slightly smaller,

$$\int_C \alpha_{T,\varepsilon} > 0 \quad \text{for all irreducible curves } C \text{ with } C^2 < 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Given the claim, it follows from Buchdahl’s Nakai–Moishezon criterion [Buc00] that there exists a smooth function f (depending on ε) such that $\alpha_{T,\varepsilon} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f > 0$, as required. We separate the proof of the claim into two cases.

(i) *M is non-Kähler.* In this case M has only finitely many curves of negative self-intersection (see [Tel06, Remark 3.3]). Let C be any such curve. Then either $C = E$ or else $\int_C \alpha_T > 0$, because we know that E is the only curve whose intersection with α_T is zero. We have that $\int_E \alpha_{T,\varepsilon} = -\varepsilon E \cdot E = \varepsilon > 0$, and if C is different from E then

$$\int_C \alpha_{T,\varepsilon} = \int_C \alpha_T - \varepsilon C \cdot E.$$

Since there are only finitely many such curves C , it follows that we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small so that

$$\int_C \alpha_{T,\varepsilon} > 0,$$

for all such C . This completes the proof of the claim (2.4) in the non-Kähler case.

(ii) *M is Kähler.* We assume now that M is a Kähler surface, which implies also that N is Kähler, and fix Kähler metrics ω_M, ω_N on M, N respectively. We apply Buchdahl’s Corollary 9 in [Buc99] to the $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -closed $(1, 1)$ form α_T which shows that there exists a $(0, 1)$ form γ and a d -closed $(1, 1)$ form a such that

$$\alpha_T + \partial \gamma + \bar{\partial} \bar{\gamma} = a.$$

By the definition of the blow-down map π , we may write the deRham class $[a]$ as $[a] = [\pi^*\beta] + c[E]$ for a d -closed $(1, 1)$ form β on N and some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (see for example [BHPV04, Theorem I.9.1]). Intersecting with E we see that $c = 0$ and hence

$$\alpha_T + \partial\gamma + \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma} = \pi^*\beta, \tag{2.5}$$

for a possibly different form γ .

We wish to show that $[\beta]$ is a Kähler class on N , and we will use the Nakai–Moishezon criterion in the Kähler case due to Buchdahl [Buc99] and Lamari [Lam99]. From (2.5) we infer that

$$\partial\alpha_T + \partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma} = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\alpha_T + \bar{\partial}\partial\gamma = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

For any irreducible curve $C \subset N$ with $C^2 < 0$ we have

$$\int_C \beta = \int_{\pi^*C} (\alpha_T + \partial\gamma + \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma}) = \int_{\pi^*C} \alpha_T > 0, \tag{2.7}$$

using Stokes' theorem.

Next calculate

$$\int_N \beta^2 = \int_M \pi^*\beta^2 = \int_M \alpha_T^2 + 2 \int_M \alpha_T \wedge (\partial\gamma + \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma}) + 2 \int_M \partial\gamma \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma}.$$

Using (2.6) we have

$$2 \int_M \alpha_T \wedge \partial\gamma = -2 \int_M \partial\alpha_T \wedge \gamma = 2 \int_M \partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma} \wedge \gamma = -2 \int_M \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma} \wedge \partial\gamma = -2 \int_M \partial\gamma \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma},$$

and

$$2 \int_M \alpha_T \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma} = -2 \int_M \bar{\partial}\alpha_T \wedge \bar{\gamma} = 2 \int_M \bar{\partial}\partial\gamma \wedge \bar{\gamma} = -2 \int_M \partial\gamma \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma},$$

and so

$$\int_N \beta^2 = \int_M \alpha_T^2 - 2 \int_M \partial\gamma \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma}.$$

But because $\int_M \omega_M^2 > 0$ and $\int_M \omega_M \wedge (\partial\gamma + \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma}) = 0$ we can apply [Buc99, Lemma 4] and conclude that

$$\int_M (\partial\gamma + \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma})^2 = 2 \int_M \partial\gamma \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma} \leq 0,$$

and so

$$\int_N \beta^2 \geq \int_M \alpha_T^2 > 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Next,

$$\int_N \beta \wedge \omega_N = \int_M \pi^*\beta \wedge \pi^*\omega_N = \int_M \alpha_T \wedge \pi^*\omega_N = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \int_M \omega(t) \wedge \pi^*\omega_N \geq 0,$$

since $d\omega_N = 0$. For $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small $\omega_N + \delta\beta$ is Kähler and

$$\int_N \beta \wedge (\omega_N + \delta\beta) \geq \delta \int_N \beta^2 > 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, combining (2.7)–(2.9) we can apply the Nakai–Moishezon criterion of Buchdahl and Lamari to conclude that there exists a function f on N such that $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ is Kähler on N . It follows from the construction of the blow-down map (see for example [GH78, p. 187]) that we may pick a Hermitian metric h on $[E]$ such that $\pi^*\tilde{\beta} - \varepsilon R_h$ is Kähler on M for all $\varepsilon > 0$

small. Then with this choice of h , for any irreducible curve $C \subset M$ with $C^2 < 0$, we have

$$\int_C \alpha_{T,\varepsilon} = \int_C (\alpha_T - \varepsilon R_h) = \int_C (\pi^* \tilde{\beta} - \varepsilon R_h) > 0,$$

because $\int_C \partial\gamma = 0$ by Stokes' theorem. This finishes the proof of the claim (2.4) and the lemma. \square

Define $\hat{\omega}_T = \alpha_T + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f$ with f given by the lemma. Note that in general $\hat{\omega}_T$ is not a metric, but by the lemma $\hat{\omega}_T - \varepsilon R_h$ is a metric. Define reference forms $\hat{\omega}_t = (1/T)((T-t)\omega_0 + t\hat{\omega}_T)$. By shrinking $\varepsilon > 0$ if necessary we may assume without loss of generality that $\omega_0 - \varepsilon R_h > \frac{1}{2}\omega_0$. Hence,

$$\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h = \frac{1}{T}((T-t)(\omega_0 - \varepsilon R_h) + t(\hat{\omega}_T - \varepsilon R_h)) \geq c_0\omega_0 > 0, \tag{2.10}$$

for some $c_0 > 0$. Note that from now on we assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed.

This argument above crucially uses the fact that we are in complex dimension 2. However, since the calculations that follow do not require this restriction on dimension, we write n instead of 2.

If we let φ solve

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = \log \frac{\omega(t)^n}{\Omega}, \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = 0,$$

with $\Omega = \omega_0^n e^{f/T}$ then we can write the solution of the Chern–Ricci flow $\omega(t)$ as $\omega(t) = \hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ (see [TW12, §4]). Fix a holomorphic section s of $[E]$ vanishing to order 1 along E , so that on $M' = M \setminus E$ we have that $R_h = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log |s|_h^2$. Given what we have proved, the following result can now be established using the arguments of [TZ06] for the Kähler–Ricci flow.

LEMMA 2.2. *There exists a uniform C such that:*

- (i) $\varphi \leq C$;
- (ii) if we let $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi - \varepsilon \log |s|_h^2$, then $\tilde{\varphi} \geq -C$;
- (iii) $\dot{\varphi} \leq C$.

Proof. For (i), note that $\hat{\omega}_t$ is bounded from above for $t \in [0, T]$. Then the upper bound of φ follows from the maximum principle applied to the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n}{\Omega},$$

as in [TW12, Lemma 4.1].

For (ii), first note that $\tilde{\varphi} \rightarrow \infty$ along E and hence for each fixed time t , the function $x \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}(x, t)$ attains a minimum at some point in M' . Then compute at the minimum of $\tilde{\varphi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{\varphi} &= \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi})^n}{\Omega} \\ &\geq \log \frac{(c_0\omega_0)^n}{\Omega} \geq -C, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimate (2.10). The lower bound of $\tilde{\varphi}$ follows from the minimum principle.

Part (iii) follows from considering the evolution of $Q = t\dot{\varphi} - \varphi - nt$ as used in the Kähler–Ricci flow in [TZ06] (in the case of the Chern–Ricci flow, see the second part of [TW12, Lemma 4.1]). Indeed,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)Q = -\text{tr}_\omega \omega_0 \leq 0,$$

so that by the maximum principle, Q is uniformly bounded from above. Using (i), $\dot{\varphi}$ is bounded from above. \square

One comment about notation. If g and g' are Hermitian metrics with corresponding $(1, 1)$ forms ω and ω' , then we will write interchangeably

$$\operatorname{tr}_g g' = \operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega',$$

for the trace of the metric g' with respect to g . Next, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *There exist uniform positive constants C, A such that*

$$\omega^n \geq \frac{1}{C} |s|_h^{2A\varepsilon} \omega_0^n.$$

Proof. We apply the maximum principle to

$$Q = \log \frac{\omega_0^n}{\omega^n} - A\tilde{\varphi},$$

for A a constant to be determined. Note that $Q \rightarrow -\infty$ on E . Compute at a point of $M \setminus E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)Q &= \operatorname{tr}_\omega \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0) - A\dot{\varphi} + A \operatorname{tr}_\omega (\omega - (\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h)) \\ &= -\operatorname{tr}_\omega ((A - 1)(\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h) - \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0)) - A \log \frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} \\ &\quad - \operatorname{tr}_\omega (\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h) + An. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.10) we may choose A sufficiently large so that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$(A - 1)(\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h) - \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0) \geq \omega_0.$$

Note that by the arithmetic-geometric means inequality,

$$\operatorname{tr}_\omega (\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h) \geq c_0 \operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 \geq c \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega^n}\right)^{1/n},$$

for a uniform $c > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)Q &\leq -\operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 + A \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} - c \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega^n}\right)^{1/n} + An \\ &\leq -\operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 + C, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $x \mapsto A \log x - cx^{1/n}$ is bounded from above for $x > 0$. It follows that $\operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 \leq C$ at the maximum of Q and hence ω_0^n/ω^n is uniformly bounded from above at this point. But note that $-\tilde{\varphi}$ is uniformly bounded from above and hence Q is uniformly bounded from above, and the result follows. \square

In the next lemma we make use of a trick of Phong–Sturm [PS10], which we employed in our previous paper [TW12].

LEMMA 2.4. *There exist uniform positive constants C, A such that*

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g \leq \frac{C}{|s|_h^{2A\varepsilon}}.$$

Proof. Choose a constant C_0 so that $\tilde{\varphi} + C_0 \geq 1$. We compute the evolution of

$$Q = \log \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g - A\tilde{\varphi} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi} + C_0},$$

for A to be determined (assume at least that $A\varepsilon > 1$). The idea of Phong–Sturm [PS10], used in their study of the complex Monge–Ampère equation, is to make use of the quantity $1/(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)$. Note that $1/(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)$ is bounded between 0 and 1.

From Lemma 2.2(i) it is sufficient to show that Q is bounded from above. Observe that Q tends to negative infinity on E . From [TW12, Proposition 3.1] (see also [TW12, (4.2)]) we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \log \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g \leq \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(g^{\bar{l}k}(T_0)_{kp}^p \partial_{\bar{l}} \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g) + C \operatorname{tr}_g g_0, \tag{2.11}$$

assuming, without loss of generality, that we are calculating at a point with $\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g \geq 1$. To bound the first term on the right-hand side, we note that at a maximum point of Q we have $\partial_i Q = 0$ and hence

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g} \partial_i \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g - A \partial_i \tilde{\varphi} - \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2} \partial_i \tilde{\varphi} = 0.$$

Thus at this maximum point for Q ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(g^{\bar{l}k}(T_0)_{kp}^p \partial_{\bar{l}} \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{2}{\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2} \right) g^{\bar{l}k}(T_0)_{kp}^p (\partial_{\bar{l}} \tilde{\varphi}) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} + CA^2(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3 \frac{\operatorname{tr}_g g_0}{(\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for a uniform constant C . If at the maximum of Q we have $(\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g)^2 \leq A^2(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3$ then at the same point we have

$$Q \leq \log A + \frac{3}{2} \log(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0) - A\tilde{\varphi} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi} + C_0} \leq C_A,$$

for a constant C_A depending on A , and we are done. If on the other hand at the maximum of Q we have $A^2(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3 \leq (\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g)^2$ then

$$\left| \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(g^{\bar{l}k}(T_0)_{kp}^p \partial_{\bar{l}} \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g) \right| \leq \frac{|\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} + C \operatorname{tr}_g g_0.$$

Now compute at the maximum of Q , using (2.11) and part (iii) of Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) Q \\ & \leq \frac{|\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} + C \operatorname{tr}_g g_0 - \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2} \right) \dot{\varphi} \\ & \quad + \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2} \right) \operatorname{tr}_\omega (\omega - (\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h)) \\ & \quad - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} |\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2 \\ & \leq C \operatorname{tr}_g g_0 + (A + 1) \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} + (A + 1)n - A \operatorname{tr}_\omega (\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h) + C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

But recall that we have that $\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon R_h \geq c_0 \omega_0$, and so we may choose A sufficiently large so that at that point

$$\operatorname{tr}_g g_0 \leq C \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} + C.$$

Hence at the maximum of Q ,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (\operatorname{tr}_g g_0)^{n-1} \frac{\det g}{\det g_0} \leq C \frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} \left(\log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} \right)^{n-1} + C \leq C',$$

because we know that $\omega^n/\Omega \leq C$ (Lemma 2.2(iii)) and $x \mapsto x|\log x|^{n-1}$ is bounded above for x close to zero. From part (ii) of Lemma 2.2, this implies that Q is bounded from above at its maximum, hence everywhere. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Combining Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 gives uniform bounds above and below away from zero for $\omega(t)$ on compact subsets of M' . To obtain $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(M')$ estimates, we apply the local estimates of Gill [Gill11, §4]. Convergence follows immediately from this, as in the proof of Theorem 1.6 of [TW12]. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3. As discussed above, we know that there exist finitely many disjoint (-1) curves E_1, \dots, E_k such that $\int_{E_i} \alpha_T = 0$, where we recall that α_t is given by (1.2). As in the previous section we assume for simplicity that $k = 1$ and write E for the (-1) curve. By assumption $(*)$, there exists a function f on M and a smooth real $(1, 1)$ form β on N such that

$$\alpha_T + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f = \pi^* \beta. \tag{3.13}$$

Remark 3.1. It is straightforward to construct initial data ω_0 on M so that $(*)$ holds. Indeed, let ω_M and ω_N be any Gauduchon metrics on M and N respectively, and fix $T > 0$. Then we claim that for $C > 0$ sufficiently large, there exists a smooth function \tilde{f} on M so that

$$\omega_0 := C\pi^*\omega_N + T \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_M) + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{f}$$

is Gauduchon, and the flow starting at ω_0 will be non-collapsing at T , satisfying $(*)$ with $\beta = C\omega_N$. Indeed, as the reader can verify, it is enough to check that ω_0 is positive definite. If $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is the blow up map, then the canonical bundles on M and N are related by $K_M = \pi^*K_N + [E]$. It follows that we can define a smooth Hermitian metric h on $[E]$ by $h\omega_M^n = \pi^*\omega_N^n$. Then

$$C\pi^*\omega_N + T \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_M) = C\pi^*\omega_N + T\pi^* \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_N) - TR_h,$$

and for $C > 0$ sufficiently large, we have $\frac{1}{2}C\pi^*\omega_N + T\pi^* \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_N) \geq 0$ and (see for example [GH78, p. 187]) $\frac{1}{2}C\pi^*\omega_N - TR_h + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{f} > 0$ for some smooth function \tilde{f} on M . Thus with these choices of C and \tilde{f} , ω_0 is positive definite.

We first show that, after replacing f by another smooth function, we may assume that β is a Gauduchon metric on N .

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists a smooth function f' and a Gauduchon metric ω_N on N such that*

$$\alpha_T + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f' = \pi^* \omega_N. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. From (3.13) it immediately follows that $\partial \bar{\partial} \beta = 0$. Furthermore, we obviously have $\int_N \beta^2 > 0$ and $\int_C \beta = \int_{\pi^*C} \alpha_T > 0$ for all curves C in N . Let γ be any Gauduchon metric on N . Then

$$\int_N \beta \wedge \gamma = \int_M \pi^* \beta \wedge \pi^* \gamma = \int_M \alpha_T \wedge \pi^* \gamma = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \int_M \omega(t) \wedge \pi^* \gamma \geq 0.$$

For $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small $\gamma + \delta\beta$ is positive definite and

$$\int_N \beta \wedge (\gamma + \delta\beta) \geq \delta \int_N \beta^2 > 0.$$

Therefore Buchdahl’s Nakai–Moishezon criterion [Buc00] gives us a function h on N such that $\beta + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h > 0$. Defining $f' = f - \pi^*h$ and $\omega_N = \beta + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h$ we then obtain (3.14). \square

As a consequence of this, we have that $\pi^*\omega_N - \omega_0$ is a d -closed form, so that $d\omega_0 = \pi^*(d\omega_N)$. This implies that the torsion tensors of ω_0 and $\pi^*\omega_N$ are related by

$$(T_0)_{j\ell}^p(g_0)_{p\bar{k}} = (\partial\omega_0)_{j\bar{k}\ell} = (\pi^*\partial\omega_N)_{j\bar{k}\ell} = (\pi^*T_N)_{j\ell}^p(\pi^*g_N)_{p\bar{k}}. \tag{3.15}$$

This equality is crucial in the arguments that follow. We may choose a smooth metric h on the fibers of $[E]$ with curvature R_h , and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small such that

$$\pi^*\omega_N - \varepsilon_0 R_h > 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Indeed this follows again from the argument in for example [GH78, p. 187].

As in the previous section, we write s for a defining section of E , and since the calculations that follow do not require the dimension to be 2, we write n instead of 2. From [SW13a, Lemma 2.4] we have that

$$\omega_0 \leq \frac{C}{|s|_h^2} \pi^*\omega_N. \tag{3.17}$$

Furthermore, if we define $\hat{\omega}_t = (1/T)((T - t)\omega_0 + t\pi^*\omega_N)$ then from (3.16), we have

$$\hat{\omega}_t - \varepsilon_0 R_h \geq c_0 \omega_0, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{3.18}$$

for a uniform $c_0 > 0$. Moreover, $\omega(t) = \hat{\omega}_t + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi(t)$ for $t < T$ where φ solves

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = \log \frac{\omega(t)^n}{\Omega}, \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = 0,$$

with $\Omega = \omega_0^n e^{f/T}$. Since $\hat{\omega}_T = \pi^*\omega_N \geq 0$, we can apply [TW12, Proposition 5.1] to obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. *There exists a uniform constant C such that:*

- (i) $|\varphi| \leq C$;
- (ii) $\dot{\varphi} \leq C$.

Note that we already have (ii) from Lemma 2.2. The point of Lemma 3.3 is that the condition $\hat{\omega}_T \geq 0$ gives us a lower bound for φ . The following two lemmas give analogs of the estimates of [SW13a, Lemma 2.5(i)]. There are significant additional technical difficulties arising from the torsion terms, and we need to employ again the Phong–Sturm trick [PS10]. First see the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. *There exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\omega \leq \frac{C}{|s|_h^2} \pi^*\omega_N.$$

Proof. For notational simplicity denote by $\hat{\omega} = \pi^* \omega_N$, which is a metric on $M' = M \setminus E$. First, we apply the calculation of [TW12, Proposition 3.1] to obtain, on M' ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \log \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g &\leq \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(\hat{g}^{\bar{\ell}i} \hat{g}^{\bar{q}k} (T_0)_{p\bar{\ell}}^p (g_0)_{p\bar{q}} \partial_{\bar{q}} \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) + C \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g} [g^{\bar{j}i} \hat{g}^{\bar{\ell}k} (\hat{\nabla}_i (\overline{(T_0)_{j\bar{\ell}}^p} (g_0)_{k\bar{p}}) + \hat{\nabla}_{\bar{\ell}} ((T_0)_{ik}^p (g_0)_{p\bar{j}})) \\ &\quad - g^{\bar{j}i} \hat{g}^{\bar{\ell}k} \overline{\hat{T}_{j\bar{\ell}}^q} (T_0)_{ik}^p (g_0)_{p\bar{q}}], \end{aligned}$$

where C depends only on the curvature and torsion of ω_N , and where $\hat{\nabla}$ denotes the pullback of the Chern connection of ω_N . We now use the identity (3.15) four times in the last equation to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \log \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g &\leq \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) + C \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g} [g^{\bar{j}i} \hat{\nabla}_i \overline{\hat{T}_{j\bar{\ell}}^{\ell}} + g^{\bar{j}i} \hat{g}^{\bar{\ell}k} \hat{g}_{p\bar{j}} \hat{\nabla}_{\bar{\ell}} \hat{T}_{ik}^p - g^{\bar{j}i} \hat{g}^{\bar{\ell}k} \hat{g}_{p\bar{q}} \overline{\hat{T}_{j\bar{\ell}}^q} \hat{T}_{ik}^p] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) + C \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g} + \frac{C \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g}}{\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Now take $\delta > 0$ and consider

$$Q_\delta = \log \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g + \log |s|_h^{2(1+\delta)} - A\varphi + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi} + C_0},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi - ((1 + \delta)/A) \log |s|_h^2$ and $\tilde{\varphi} + C_0 \geq 1$, so Q_δ goes to negative infinity as x tends to E . Our goal is to prove that $Q_\delta \leq C$ independent of δ , since we can then let δ go to zero and we are done. It is obvious that at the maximum of Q we can assume that $\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g \geq 1$.

At this point the proof proceeds in exactly the same way as in Lemma 2.4. Indeed, at a maximum point of Q_δ we have

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g} \partial_i \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g - A \partial_i \tilde{\varphi} - \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2} \partial_i \tilde{\varphi} = 0,$$

for all i . Thus at this point,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{2}{\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g} \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2} \right) g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i (\partial_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\varphi}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} + CA^2 (\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3 \frac{\operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g}}{(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for a uniform constant C . If at the maximum of Q_δ we have $(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2 \leq A^2 (\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3$ then at the same point we have

$$Q_\delta \leq \log A + \frac{3}{2} \log (\tilde{\varphi} + C_0) - A\tilde{\varphi} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi} + C_0} \leq C_A,$$

for a constant C_A depending on A , and we are done. If on the other hand at the maximum of Q_δ we have $A^2 (\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3 \leq (\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2$ then

$$\left| \frac{2}{(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \operatorname{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) \right| \leq \frac{|\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} + C \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g}.$$

Now compute at the maximum of Q_δ , using (3.19) and part (ii) of Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)Q_\delta \\ &\leq \frac{|\partial\tilde{\varphi}|_g^2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} + C \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g} - \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2}\right)\dot{\varphi} \\ &\quad + \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^2}\right) \operatorname{tr}_\omega \left(\omega - \hat{\omega}_t + \frac{(1 + \delta)R_h}{A}\right) - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + C_0)^3} |\partial\tilde{\varphi}|_g^2 \\ &\leq C \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g} + (A + 1) \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} + (A + 1)n - A \operatorname{tr}_\omega \left(\hat{\omega}_t - \frac{(1 + \delta)R_h}{A}\right) + C. \end{aligned}$$

For A sufficiently large, we have from (3.18),

$$\hat{\omega}_t - \frac{(1 + \delta)R_h}{A} \geq c_0\omega_0.$$

Hence, recalling that $\operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g} \leq C \operatorname{tr}_g g_0$, we see that we may choose A sufficiently large (and independent of δ) so that at that point

$$\operatorname{tr}_g g_0 \leq C \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} + C.$$

Hence at the maximum of Q_δ ,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g \leq \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} (\operatorname{tr}_g g_0)^{n-1} \frac{\det g}{\det g_0} \leq C \frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} \left(\log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n}\right)^{n-1} + C \leq C',$$

because we know that $\omega^n/\Omega \leq C$ (Lemma 3.3(ii)) and $x \mapsto x|\log x|^{n-1}$ is bounded above for x close to zero. On the other hand from (3.17) and part (i) of Lemma 3.3, this implies that Q_δ is bounded from above at its maximum, hence everywhere, uniformly in δ . Using Lemma 3.3(i) again completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We will make use of Lemma 3.4 to prove the following.

LEMMA 3.5. *There exists a uniform $\eta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\omega \leq \frac{C}{|s|_h^{2(1-\eta)}} \omega_0. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. As in the previous lemma, we write $\hat{\omega} = \pi^*\omega_N$. Define on M' ,

$$Q_\delta = \log \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g + A \log((\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)|s|_h^{2(1+\delta)}) - A^2\varphi + \frac{1}{\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C}}, \tag{3.21}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are defined by

$$\tilde{\psi} := -\log((\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)|s|_h^{2(1+\delta)}) + A\varphi, \quad \tilde{\varphi} := \varphi - \frac{1 + \delta}{A} \log |s|_h^2$$

so that in particular

$$\tilde{\psi} = -\log(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) + A\tilde{\varphi}, \tag{3.22}$$

and we may write

$$Q_\delta = \log \operatorname{tr}_{g_0} g - A\tilde{\psi} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C}}. \tag{3.23}$$

The constant \tilde{C} is chosen so that $\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C} \geq 1$ and $\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C} \geq 1$ (we can find such a constant \tilde{C} because $|\varphi|$ is bounded, and $(\operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)|s|_h^2$ is bounded from above by Lemma 3.4). The constant $A > 0$ is to

be determined. Observe that Q_δ is the quantity used in [SW13a, Lemma 2.5] with the addition of two ‘Phong–Sturm terms’ $1/(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})$ and $1/(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})$. We have that Q_δ tends to negative infinity along E .

We wish to show that Q_δ is bounded from above. From Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, it suffices to show that we have a uniform upper bound for $\text{tr}_{g_0} g$, independent of δ at a point where Q_δ achieves a maximum. Recall from (2.11) and (3.19), there exists a uniform constant C_0 such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \log \text{tr}_{g_0} g \leq C_0 \text{tr}_g g_0 + \frac{2}{(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \text{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k}(T_0)_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{g_0} g), \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \log \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g \leq C_0 \text{tr}_g \hat{g} + \frac{2}{(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \text{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g), \tag{3.25}$$

where we are assuming, without loss of generality, that we are working at a point with $\text{tr}_{g_0} g \geq 1$ and $\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g \geq 1$ (note that $\text{tr}_{g_0} g \leq C \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g$). Observe that the inequality (3.25) makes use of condition (*).

Compute using (3.23), (3.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) Q_\delta &\leq C_0 \text{tr}_g g_0 + \frac{2}{(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \text{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k}(T_0)_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{g_0} g) \\ &\quad - \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \tilde{\psi} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \tilde{\varphi} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\psi}|_g^2 - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (3.25),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) Q_\delta &\leq C_0 \text{tr}_g g_0 + \frac{2}{(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \text{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k}(T_0)_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{g_0} g) + C_0(A + 1) \text{tr}_g \hat{g} \\ &\quad + \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) \cdot \frac{2}{(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \text{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) \\ &\quad - \left(A \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) \dot{\varphi} \\ &\quad + \left(A \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) \text{tr}_\omega \left(\omega - \hat{\omega}_t + \frac{(1 + \delta)R_h}{A}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\psi}|_g^2 - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

For all A sufficiently large, we have from (3.18),

$$\frac{1}{2}A\hat{\omega}_t - (1 + \delta)R_h \geq c_0\omega_0.$$

Hence, we may choose A sufficiently large (and independent of δ) so that

$$\begin{aligned} A^2\hat{\omega}_t - A(1 + \delta)R_h &= \frac{1}{2}A^2\hat{\omega}_t + A\left(\frac{1}{2}A\hat{\omega}_t - (1 + \delta)R_h\right) \\ &\geq C_0(A + 1)\hat{\omega} + (C_0 + 1)\omega_0, \end{aligned}$$

for C_0 as above. Hence for this choice of A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)Q_\delta &\leq -\text{tr}_g g_0 + \frac{2}{(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \text{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k}(T_0)_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{g_0} g) \\ &\quad + \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) \cdot \frac{2}{(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \text{Re}(g^{\bar{q}k} \hat{T}_{ki}^i \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) \\ &\quad - B\dot{\varphi} - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\psi}|_g^2 - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2 + C', \end{aligned}$$

for $B = A^2 + A + 1$, where we are making use of Lemma 3.3(ii). At a point where Q_δ achieves its maximum, we have

$$\frac{1}{\text{tr}_{g_0} g} \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{g_0} g = \left(A + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^2}\right) \partial_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\psi} + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^2} \partial_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\varphi}.$$

Observe also that from (3.22)

$$\frac{1}{\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g} \partial_{\bar{q}} \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g = -\partial_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\psi} + A \partial_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\varphi}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)Q_\delta &\leq -\text{tr}_g g_0 + \frac{C''(A+1)^2(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3}{(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \text{tr}_g g_0 + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\psi}|_g^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{C''}{(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^2} \text{tr}_g g_0 + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{C''(A+1)^2(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3}{(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \text{tr}_g \hat{g} + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\psi}|_g^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{C''(A+1)^4(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3}{(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2} \text{tr}_g \hat{g} + \frac{1}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2 \\ &\quad - B\dot{\varphi} - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\psi}|_g^2 - \frac{2}{(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3} |\partial \tilde{\varphi}|_g^2 + C'. \end{aligned}$$

But we may assume without loss of generality that at the maximum of Q_δ , we have $(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^2 \geq 4C''(A+1)^2(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3$ otherwise Q_δ is bounded from above and we're done. Similarly, since $\text{tr}_{g_0} g \leq C \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g$, we may assume that $(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2 \geq 4C''(A+1)^2(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{C})^3$ and $(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g)^2 \geq 4C''(A+1)^4(\tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{C})^3$. Hence

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)Q_\delta \leq -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}_g g_0 - B \log \frac{\omega^n}{\omega_0^n} + C',$$

and we can conclude as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 that $\text{tr}_{g_0} g$ is bounded from above at the maximum of Q_δ . It follows, using Lemma 3.4 and the uniform bound on φ that Q_δ is bounded from above uniformly in δ . Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\log \text{tr}_{g_0} g + A \log(\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g) |s|_h^2 \leq C.$$

The lemma then follows from the same argument as in [SW13a, Lemma 2.5]. Indeed, since $\text{tr}_{g_0} g \leq C \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g$, we have

$$\log(\text{tr}_{g_0} g)^{A+1} |s|_h^{2A} \leq C,$$

and the estimate (3.20) follows with $\eta = 1/(A+1) > 0$. □

We can now easily finish the proof of Theorem 1.3 following the arguments of [SW13a].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Identify a small neighborhood of $y_0 \in N$ with a small ball B centered at the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 , and consider $\omega(t)$ as a metric on $B \setminus \{0\}$. Then we have the following estimates.

(i) Let S_r be a small sphere of radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin in B . Then the diameter of S_r with respect to the metric induced from $\omega(t)$ is uniformly bounded from above, independent of r .

(ii) For any $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$, the length of a radial path $\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda x$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ with respect to the metric $\omega(t)$ is uniformly bounded from above by $C|x|^\eta$ for a uniform constant C .

Indeed, (i) follows from Lemma 3.4 and the argument of [SW13a, Lemma 2.7(i)]. For part (ii), note that from Lemma 3.5 we have

$$|V|_{\omega(t)}^2 \leq C|s|_h^{-2(1-\eta)}|V|_{\omega_0}^2 \leq C'|s|_h^{2\eta},$$

for V the vector field $V = z_i \partial / \partial z_i$ on B . This is because $|V|_{\omega_0}^2 \leq C|s|_h^2$, as can be seen by writing down an explicit metric on B which is uniformly equivalent to ω_0 (see e.g. [SW13a, Lemma 2.6]). Then (ii) follows from the argument of [SW13a, Lemma 2.7(ii)].

Given (i) and (ii), the proof of Theorem 1.3 follows exactly as in [SW13a, § 3]. □

Let us now discuss condition (*) in more detail. As before let $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ be the blowup of finitely many points $y_i \in N$ with exceptional divisors E_i .

PROPOSITION 3.6. *The following are equivalent.*

(*) *There exist a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and a smooth real $(1, 1)$ form β on N such that*

$$\alpha_T + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f = \pi^* \beta. \tag{3.26}$$

(**) *There exists a smooth real $(1, 1)$ form β on N such that*

$$d\omega_0 = \pi^*(d\beta). \tag{3.27}$$

Furthermore, either of these implies that for any i and for every point $x \in E_i$ we have that

$$(d\omega_0)_x \equiv 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. It is obvious that (*) implies (**). As before, for simplicity we can assume that π is the blowup of N at one single point y_0 , with exceptional divisor E . To see that (**) implies (3.28), fix $x \in E$ and write $T_x M = T_x E \oplus H$ for some complementary real 2-dimensional subspace H . For any vector $X \in T_x M$ while $X = X' + X''$ with $X' \in T_x E$ and $X'' \in H$. Note that by definition we have $\pi_* X' = 0$. Then for any three vectors $X, Y, Z \in T_x M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d\omega_0)_x(X, Y, Z) &= (d\beta)_{\pi(x)}(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y, \pi_* Z) \\ &= (d\beta)_{\pi(x)}(\pi_* X'', \pi_* Y'', \pi_* Z'') = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\pi_* X'', \pi_* Y'', \pi_* Z''$ belong to a real 2-dimensional plane, while $d\beta$ is a 3 form.

Now we show that (**) implies (*). Consider the metrics along the Chern–Ricci flow $\omega(t) = \omega_0 - t \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0) + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi(t)$. Viewing $\omega(t)$ as currents, their mass is bounded by

$$\int_M \omega(t) \wedge \omega_0 = \int_M (\omega_0 - t \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0)) \wedge \omega_0 \leq C$$

independent of t , so by weak compactness there is a sequence $t_i \rightarrow T$ and an L^1 function φ_T such that $\varphi(t_i)$ converges to φ_T in L^1 . Furthermore, we know from Theorem 1.1 that φ_T is smooth away from E . Call

$$\omega_T = \omega_0 - T \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0) + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_T,$$

which is a $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed positive real $(1, 1)$ current on M , smooth away from E . Furthermore we have that $d\omega_T = d\omega_0$ is a smooth form. Consider the pushforward current $\pi_*\omega_T$. It is a $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed positive real $(1, 1)$ current on N , smooth away from y_0 , and it satisfies

$$d\pi_*\omega_T = \pi_*d\omega_0 = \pi_*\pi^*(d\beta).$$

Now, for any smooth 2 form γ on N , if we first pull it back as a form $\pi^*\gamma$ and then push it forward as a current $\pi_*\pi^*\gamma$, we get back the same current γ . Indeed, for any test 2 form ψ on N we have

$$\int_N \psi \wedge \pi_*\pi^*\gamma = \int_M \pi^*\psi \wedge \pi^*\gamma = \int_N \psi \wedge \gamma, \tag{3.29}$$

where the last equality holds because π is bimeromorphic. It follows that $d\pi_*\omega_T = d\beta$, or in other words the $(1, 1)$ current $\pi_*\omega_T - \beta$ is d -closed. Therefore it is locally $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact, i.e. there exists an L^1 function F defined on a neighborhood V of y_0 such that

$$\pi_*\omega_T = \beta + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F$$

holds as currents on V . Furthermore, F is smooth away from y_0 (by regularity of the complex Laplacian $\Delta_0 = \text{tr}_{\omega_0}(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial})$). Fix a smooth cutoff function ρ which is identically zero outside V and identically 1 on a smaller neighborhood $U \subset V$ of y_0 . Then the function ρF is defined on the whole of N and smooth away from y_0 . Now consider $\rho F \circ \pi$, which is an L^1 function on M , smooth away from E , so we can define $\pi^*\beta + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\rho F \circ \pi)$, which is a real $(1, 1)$ current on M , positive on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. We have that

$$\gamma = \omega_T - \pi^*\beta - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\rho F \circ \pi)$$

is a real $(1, 1)$ current on M which is d -closed and smooth away from E . Furthermore its restriction $\gamma|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is supported on E , and it is written as the difference of two positive currents. This last condition implies that its coefficients are measures, and so $\gamma|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is a flat current (in the terminology of [Fed69]), and Federer’s support theorem [Fed69, 4.1.15] implies that $\gamma|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} = \lambda[E]$ for some real constant λ . However, integrating γ over E we see that $\lambda = 0$ and so $\gamma|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} = 0$. Therefore we have that $\gamma = \pi^*\eta$ for a smooth d -closed real $(1, 1)$ form η on N . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\rho F \circ \pi - \varphi_T) &= \omega_T - \pi^*(\beta + \eta) - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_T \\ &= \omega_0 - T \text{ Ric}(\omega_0) - \pi^*(\beta + \eta), \end{aligned}$$

which is smooth, so by regularity of Δ_0 , we have that $\rho F \circ \pi - \varphi_T = -f$ a smooth function on M , which satisfies (3.26) with β replaced by $\beta + \eta$. □

Remark 3.7. It is easy to see that the condition $d\omega_0|_E \equiv 0$ does not hold for all choices of ω_0 . Indeed, fix a point x in E and suppose that $(d\omega_0)_x = 0$. Define a $(0, 1)$ form on \mathbb{C}^2 by $\gamma = z_1\bar{z}_2d\bar{z}_1$ and, by identifying a neighborhood of x in E with a ball centered at the origin of \mathbb{C}^2 , and extending γ in an arbitrary way outside of a neighborhood of x , we may consider γ as a $(0, 1)$ form on M . Consider $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 + \varepsilon(\partial\gamma + \bar{\partial}\gamma)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. As long as ε is sufficiently small, $\tilde{\omega}_0$ is a Gauduchon metric. But one can check that $(d\tilde{\omega}_0)_x \neq 0$.

Let us now remark that a weaker version of condition (*) always holds.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *There exist a smooth $(0, 1)$ form γ on M and a smooth real $(1, 1)$ form β on N such that*

$$\alpha_T + \partial\gamma + \overline{\partial}\gamma = \pi^*\beta. \tag{3.30}$$

Proof. We can again assume that there is only one exceptional divisor E . Recall that the Bott–Chern cohomology group of a compact complex manifold M is

$$H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) = \frac{\{d\text{-closed real } (1, 1) \text{ forms}\}}{\{\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\psi \mid \psi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})\}},$$

while the Aeppli cohomology group is

$$H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) = \frac{\{\partial\overline{\partial}\text{-closed real } (1, 1) \text{ forms}\}}{\{\partial\gamma + \overline{\partial}\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M)\}}.$$

These are finite-dimensional real vector spaces, and when $n = 2$ they are isomorphic. In fact, $H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})^*$ through the pairing $H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \times H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by wedge and integration (see e.g. [Sch07]).

First of all recall a few facts from [Fuj81, pp. 737–738]: the Bott–Chern cohomology group $H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the group one obtains by replacing in its definition smooth forms with currents. Therefore one has not only a pullback map $\pi^* : H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ but also a pushforward map $\pi_* : H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R})$ induced by the pushforward of currents. Whenever π is bimeromorphic (such as in our case), then $\pi_*\pi^* = \text{Id}$ (cf. (3.29)), which implies in particular that $\pi^* : H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is injective.

The exact same statements hold for the Aeppli cohomology (see e.g. [Sch07, p. 16]).

Now [Fuj81, Proposition 1.1] gives us the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[E] \rightarrow H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which splits using the map π^* , and so

$$H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_{\text{BC}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore we also have

$$H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

We wish to identify the image $\pi^*H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \subset H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$. We have just proved that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{coker } \pi^* = 1$. We have the Poincaré–Lelong formula

$$2\pi[E] = \eta_E + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \log |s|_h^2, \tag{3.31}$$

where η_E is a d -closed smooth real $(1, 1)$ form cohomologous to $2\pi c_1([E])$, and so it defines a Bott–Chern cohomology class $[\eta_E]_{\text{BC}}$ with the property that for any Aeppli class $[\psi]_{\text{A}}$ on M we have

$$\int_M \eta_E \wedge \psi = 2\pi \int_E \psi.$$

Therefore we can define a linear functional

$$F : H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad F([\psi]_{\text{A}}) = \int_M \eta_E \wedge \psi$$

which is obviously surjective, so $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} \ker F = 1$. But we also have that $\pi^*H_{\text{A}}^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \subset \ker F$ and since we have just proved that these two spaces have the same dimension, it follows

that $\pi^*H_A^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) = \ker F$. In other words, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_A^{1,1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_A^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact.

In our case we have $F([\alpha_T]_A) = 2\pi \int_E \alpha_T = 0$, and so $[\alpha_T]_A = \pi^*[\beta]_A$ for some $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed smooth real $(1, 1)$ form β on N . By definition of Aeppli class, this is precisely (3.30). \square

We end this section by describing more precisely the conjecture mentioned in the introduction. Assume we are in the setup of Theorem 1.1, and continuing with the same notation, we expect that the following results hold.

(i) As $t \rightarrow T^-$, the metrics $g(t)$ converge to a smooth Gauduchon metric g_T on M' in $C_{loc}^\infty(M')$ (i.e. the result of Theorem 1.1). Using π , we may regard g_T as a Gauduchon metric on N' .

(ii) Let d_{g_T} be the distance function on N' given by g_T . Then there exists a unique metric d_T on N extending d_{g_T} such that (N, d_T) is a compact metric space homeomorphic to N and (N, d_T) is the metric completion of (N', d_{g_T}) .

(iii) The metric space $(M, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, d_T)$ as $t \rightarrow T^-$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff sense.

(iv) There exists a smooth maximal solution $g(t)$ of the Chern–Ricci flow on N for $t \in (T, T_N)$ with $T < T_N \leq \infty$ such that $g(t)$ converges to g_T as $t \rightarrow T^+$ in $C_{loc}^\infty(N')$. Furthermore, $g(t)$ is uniquely determined by g_0 .

(v) The metric space $(N, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, d_T)$ as $t \rightarrow T^+$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff sense.

These results were proved for the case of the Kähler–Ricci flow by Song and the second-named author [SW13a, SW11]. With the terminology of [SW13a, SW11], we say that $g(t)$ performs a *canonical surgical contraction* if this occurs.

If the condition $(*)$ is imposed, Theorem 1.3 shows that we obtain (ii) and (iii), except for the statement about identifying (N, d_T) as the metric completion of (N', d_{g_T}) . We do not expect that there is any fundamental obstacle to establishing (iv) and (v) under the condition $(*)$, since the methods used (estimates obtained via the maximum principle, the weak solution constructed by Song–Tian [ST09], the results of Kołodziej [Kol03]) can most likely be generalized to this setting (see e.g. [DK12]). Nevertheless, there are considerable technical challenges here.

We expect there are more difficulties in proving the full statement of (iii) (including the identification of the metric completion of (N', d_{g_T}) as in [SW11]) or removing the assumption $(*)$. These problems may require new techniques.

4. The Hopf surfaces

In this section we give a proof of the first part of Theorem 1.6. In fact, we consider more general Hopf manifolds, of complex dimension n . Define $H = (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}) / \sim$, where

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) \sim (\alpha_1 z_1, \dots, \alpha_n z_n),$$

where $|\alpha_1| = \dots = |\alpha_n| \neq 1$. Following [TW12, §8] we consider the metric

$$\omega_H = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r^2} \sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j,$$

where $r^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2$. We know from [TW12] that the metric

$$\omega(t) = \omega_H - t \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_H) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left((1 - nt) \delta_{ij} + nt \frac{\bar{z}_i z_j}{r^2} \right) \sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

gives an explicit solution of the Chern–Ricci flow on H defined on $[0, 1/n)$, and as t approaches $1/n$ the metrics $\omega(t)$ converge smoothly to the nonnegative $(1, 1)$ -form

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{\bar{z}_i z_j}{r^4} \sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

THEOREM 4.1. *As t approaches $1/n$ we have that $(H, \omega(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (S^1, d)$, where d is the distance function on the circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log |\alpha_1|)/\sqrt{2}\pi$.*

This proves part (a) of Theorem 1.6, since we can always scale ω_0 by a constant to obtain as limit the unit circle.

We recall here that Gromov–Hausdorff convergence of metric spaces can be defined as follows [Ron10]. The Gromov–Hausdorff distance $d_{\text{GH}}((X, d_X), (Y, d_Y))$ between two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) is the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there exist $F : X \rightarrow Y$ and $G : Y \rightarrow X$ with

$$|d_X(x_1, x_2) - d_Y(F(x_1), F(x_2))| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X,$$

and

$$d_X(x, G(F(x))) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in X,$$

together with the two symmetric properties for Y . Note that F, G are not required to be continuous maps. If d_t are metrics on X , we say that $(X, d_t) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (Y, d_Y)$ as $t \rightarrow T$ if $d_{\text{GH}}((X, d_t), (Y, d_Y)) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow T$.

If furthermore we have finite groups H and K acting isometrically on (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) respectively, we can define the equivariant Gromov–Hausdorff distance between these spaces as the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there exist maps F, G as above and maps $\phi : H \rightarrow K$ and $\psi : K \rightarrow H$ such that in addition we have

$$d_X(F(h \cdot x), \phi(h) \cdot F(x)) \leq \varepsilon, \quad d_X(F(\psi(k) \cdot x), k \cdot F(x)) \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all $x \in X, h \in H, k \in K$, together with the two symmetric properties for Y (see [Fuk86], [Ron10, Definition 1.5.2]).

Proof. On $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ write $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}y_i$. A short calculation shows that the $(1, 1)$ form $\omega(1/n)$ defines a nonnegative symmetric tensor h on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$h(X, Y) = \frac{2}{r^4} ((Z \cdot X)(Z \cdot Y) + (JZ \cdot X)(JZ \cdot Y)),$$

for

$$Z = \sum_i (x_i \partial_{x_i} + y_i \partial_{y_i}), \quad JZ = \sum_i (x_i \partial_{y_i} - y_i \partial_{x_i}),$$

where J is the standard complex structure on \mathbb{C}^n . Define a real distribution \mathcal{D} on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{X \mid h(X, Y) = 0 \forall Y\}.$$

Note that the condition $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is equivalent to $Z \cdot X = 0 = JZ \cdot X$. In particular, since Z is the radial vector field on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, the distribution \mathcal{D} is tangent to every sphere S^{2n-1} centered at the origin.

Fix a sphere $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Denote by \mathcal{D}_S the distribution \mathcal{D} restricted to this sphere. We claim that \mathcal{D}_S is bracket generating, namely that \mathcal{D}_S together with its iterated Lie brackets generate the tangent space to TS^{2n-1} . To see this, note that \mathcal{D}_S is given by vectors $X \in TS^{2n-1}$

with $JZ \cdot X = 0$ and hence we may write

$$\mathcal{D}_S = \{X \in TS^{2n-1} \mid \alpha(X) = 0\},$$

for $\alpha = \sum y_j dx_j - x_j dy_j$. But this is the well-known standard contact structure on S^{2n-1} . Indeed the reader can verify that $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^{n-1}$ is a nowhere vanishing volume form on S^{2n-1} . A theorem of Carathéodory (see for example [Mon02]) shows then that \mathcal{D}_S is bracket generating. Note also that since \mathcal{D}_S is orthogonal to $JZ \in TS^{2n-1}$, it follows that TS^{2n-1} is spanned by the distribution \mathcal{D}_S together with the vector field JZ .

Calculate $g_t(Z, Z) = 2$ and

$$g_t(X, Y) = 2(1 - nt)(X \cdot Y)/r^2 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In particular, $g_t(X, Z) = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{D}$. It follows that Z is g_t -orthogonal to TS^{2n-1} since $g_t(Z, JZ) = 0$ by the Hermitian property of g_t , and \mathcal{D}_S, JZ span the tangent space to S^{2n-1} .

Consider the map $F : H \rightarrow S^1$ which maps the equivalence class of (z_1, \dots, z_n) in H to the equivalence class of $r = \sqrt{\sum_i |z_i|^2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^+ / (r \sim |\alpha_1|r) \cong S^1$, and also the map $G : S^1 \rightarrow H \cong S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ which maps a point x to (x, y) for some fixed element $y \in S^{2n-1}$ (identified with the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n). Note that the diffeomorphism $H \cong S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ can be realized explicitly by sending a point $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ to $(r, \mathbf{z}/r)$. We clearly have that $F \circ G = \text{Id}$.

Remark 4.2. The metric ω_H coincides (up to a universal constant factor) with the pullback of the standard product metric on $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ via the isomorphism $H \cong S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ described above.

On the circle S^1 put the metric $2(d \log r)^2 = 2(dr)^2/r^2$, and denote by d its distance function. It is isometric to the standard metric on $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with total length $\sqrt{2} \int_1^{|\alpha_1|} dr/r = \sqrt{2} \log |\alpha_1|$, and therefore radius $(\log |\alpha_1|)/\sqrt{2}\pi$. Now the kernel of $F_* : TH \rightarrow TS^1$ is TS^{2n-1} , and the g_t -orthogonal complement of $\ker F_*$ is spanned by the radial vector field $Z = r\partial/\partial r$. But we also have that

$$g_t(Z, Z) = 2 = g_t\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = F^*(2(d \log r)^2)\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right).$$

Hence $F : (H, g_t) \rightarrow (S^1, 2(d \log r)^2)$ is a Riemannian submersion, i.e. F_* is an isometry when restricted to the g_t -orthogonal complement of $\ker F_*$. Since every Riemannian submersion is distance-nonincreasing,

$$d(F(x), F(y)) \leq d_t(x, y), \tag{4.32}$$

for all $x, y \in H$ and all $0 \leq t < 1/n$, where d_t denotes the distance function on H induced by g_t . This also shows that

$$d(p, q) \leq d_t(G(p), G(q)), \tag{4.33}$$

for all $p, q \in S^1$ and all $0 \leq t < 1/n$, because $F \circ G = \text{Id}$. Furthermore, it is clear that

$$d_t(G(p), G(q)) \leq d(p, q), \tag{4.34}$$

since we can connect the points $G(p)$ and $G(q)$ by the radial path whose g_t -length equals $d(p, q)$.

Finally, given two points $x, y \in H$, choose representatives $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $1 \leq |x|, |y| \leq |\alpha_1|$, and call S_ρ^{2n-1} the sphere with center the origin and radius $\rho = |y|$. Call z the radial projection of x onto S_ρ^{2n-1} . Since \mathcal{D}_S is bracket generating, Carathéodory's theorem [Mon02] implies that we can join the points y and z in S_ρ^{2n-1} by a path in S_ρ^{2n-1} with tangent vectors in \mathcal{D}_S . Furthermore, the length of this path with respect to the Euclidean metric δ_{ij} can be bounded by a constant C independent of x, y (this is because the sub-Riemannian distance induced on S^{2n-1} by \mathcal{D} and δ_{ij} has finite diameter since S_ρ^{2n-1} is compact, see [Mon02, Theorem 2.3]). But

the restriction of g_t on \mathcal{D} equals $(2(1 - nt)/|y|^2)\delta_{ij}$ and so the g_t -length of this path is bounded above by $C(1 - nt)$. We then join x to z by the radial path whose g_t -length equals $d(F(x), F(y))$, by the previous discussion. Altogether we get

$$d_t(x, y) \leq d_t(x, z) + d_t(z, y) \leq d(F(x), F(y)) + C(1 - nt). \tag{4.35}$$

We obviously have

$$d(p, F(G(p))) = 0, \tag{4.36}$$

for every $p \in S^1$. Finally pick a point $x \in H$ and consider the point $G(F(x))$. They lie in the same fiber S^{2n-1} of F , and so we can connect them with a path on S^{2n-1} tangent to \mathcal{D} which has g_t -length less than $C(1 - nt)$, as before. Therefore,

$$d_t(x, G(F(x))) \leq C(1 - nt). \tag{4.37}$$

Combining (4.32)–(4.37) gives the desired Gromov–Hausdorff convergence. □

Remark 4.3. One can also realize these Hopf manifolds and the metric ω_H as a special case of a construction of Calabi–Eckmann [CE53, BV68, Tit62]. Indeed consider the holomorphic \mathbb{C} -action on $(\mathbb{C}^p \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{C}^q \setminus \{0\})$ given by

$$t \cdot (z, w) = (e^t z_1, \dots, e^t z_p, e^{\beta_1 t} w_1, \dots, e^{\beta_q t} w_q),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^p \setminus \{0\}$, $w \in \mathbb{C}^q \setminus \{0\}$ ($0 < p \leq q$), and β_1, \dots, β_q are complex numbers with $\text{Im } \beta_1 = \dots = \text{Im } \beta_q \neq 0$. The quotient $M_{p,q}$ is a complex manifold diffeomorphic to $S^{2p-1} \times S^{2q-1}$.

In the special case when $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_q$ we recover exactly the Calabi–Eckmann manifolds, which are elliptic bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^{p-1} \times \mathbb{C}P^{q-1}$.

If $p = 1$ we have that $M_{1,q}$ is biholomorphic to the Hopf manifold $H = (\mathbb{C}^q \setminus \{0\}) / \sim$ where $(w_1, \dots, w_q) \sim (\alpha_1 w_1, \dots, \alpha_q w_q)$, and $\alpha_j = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\beta_j}$ (note that $|e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\beta_1}| = \dots = |e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\beta_q}| \neq 1$). Indeed every point $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times (\mathbb{C}^q \setminus \{0\})$ is in the same \mathbb{C} -orbit as $(1, w')$ (just pick $t = -\log z$, for any branch of the complex log), which we can view as a point in $\mathbb{C}^q \setminus \{0\}$. Now note that $t \cdot (1, w') = (1, w'')$ if and only if $t \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$, if and only if $w''_j = w'_j e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\beta_j \ell}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $1 \leq j \leq q$. Therefore we have constructed a holomorphic bijection from $M_{1,q}$ to the Hopf manifold H . The inverse biholomorphism $\Psi : H \rightarrow M_{1,q}$ is simply induced by the map $w \mapsto (1, w)$.

There is a natural Hermitian metric on $M_{p,q}$ given by

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^p \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|z|^2} \sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j + \sum_{k,\ell=1}^q \frac{\delta_{k\ell}}{|w|^2} \sqrt{-1} dw_k \wedge d\bar{w}_\ell,$$

and in the case when $p = 1$ we have $\Psi^* \omega_0 = \omega_H$.

5. The Inoue surfaces S_M

Inoue surfaces were discovered in [Ino74], and can be characterized as surfaces of class VII with second Betti number zero and with no holomorphic curves [Bog82, LYZ94, Tel94]. They form three families, $S_M, S_{N,p,q,r}^+$ and $S_{N,p,q,r}^-$, which we will treat separately in the following three sections.

From [TW12, Theorem 1.5] we know that on any Inoue surface the Chern–Ricci flow starting at any Gauduchon metric ω_0 has a solution $\omega(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, with volume that grows linearly in t .

We will consider explicit metrics ω_0 and determine the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of the rescaled metrics $\omega(t)/t$.

In this section we study the Inoue surfaces S_M , whose construction from [Ino74] we now recall. Let $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$ be the upper half plane, and consider the product $H \times \mathbb{C}$. Let $M \in \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix with one real eigenvalue $\alpha > 1$ and two complex conjugate eigenvalues $\beta \neq \bar{\beta}$ (so that $\alpha|\beta|^2 = 1$). The real number α is necessarily irrational. Let (a_1, a_2, a_3) be a real eigenvector for M with eigenvalue α and (b_1, b_2, b_3) be an eigenvector with eigenvalue β . Note that since (a_1, a_2, a_3) , (b_1, b_2, b_3) and $(\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \bar{b}_3)$ are \mathbb{C} -linearly independent, it follows that $(a_1, \text{Re } b_1, \text{Im } b_1)$, $(a_2, \text{Re } b_2, \text{Im } b_2)$ and $(a_3, \text{Re } b_3, \text{Im } b_3)$ are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent. Let Γ be the group of automorphisms of $H \times \mathbb{C}$ generated by

$$f_0(z, w) = (\alpha z, \beta w),$$

$$f_j(z, w) = (z + a_j, w + b_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3.$$

Then $S_M = (H \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ is an Inoue surface. Consider the Tricerri metric [Tri82]

$$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} + y \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{w},$$

where $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$. It is easy to check that ω_0 is Γ -invariant and descends to a Hermitian metric on S_M which is Gauduchon (because y is a harmonic function). Now let $\omega(t) = \omega_0 - t \text{Ric}(\omega_0)$. We calculate

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_0) = -\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}) = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log y = -\frac{1}{4y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

and so

$$\omega(t) = \left(1 + \frac{t}{4}\right) \frac{1}{y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} + y \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{w}, \tag{5.38}$$

which is a Gauduchon metric for all $t > 0$. It satisfies the Chern–Ricci flow, because

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}}(t)) = \left(1 + \frac{t}{4}\right) \frac{1}{y} = \left(1 + \frac{t}{4}\right) \det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}),$$

and so $\text{Ric}(\omega(t)) = \text{Ric}(\omega_0) = -(\partial/\partial t)\omega(t)$.

If we renormalize the metrics by dividing by t and we let t go to infinity we get

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{t} \rightarrow \omega_\infty = \frac{1}{4y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

smoothly on $H \times \mathbb{C}$ (and on S_M). The limit degenerate metric ω_∞ is simply the pullback of one half of the Poincaré metric from H , $\omega_{\text{KE}} = (dx^2 + dy^2)/y^2$, so in particular it is closed (unlike in the case of the Hopf surface). This degenerate metric has appeared for the first time in this context in [HL83].

THEOREM 5.1. *As t approaches $+\infty$ we have that $(S_M, \omega(t)/t) \xrightarrow{GH} (S^1, d)$, where d is the distance function on the circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log \alpha)/2\sqrt{2}\pi$.*

To calculate the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of $(S_M, \omega(t)/t)$ we need to understand the topology of S_M a bit better. The key observation, due to Inoue, is that S_M is a T^3 -bundle over S^1 . Indeed, if we consider the subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ generated by f_1, f_2, f_3 , then Γ' is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^3 (because of the linear independence property mentioned above) and it acts properly discontinuously and freely on $H \times \mathbb{C}$, with quotient the product $X = T^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ (since the numbers a_j are real). The projection $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is induced by $(z, w) \mapsto \text{Im } z$.

Since $\alpha a_j = \sum_k m_{jk} a_k$ and $\beta b_j = \sum_k m_{jk} b_k$ where $M = (m_{jk})$ and $m_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see that f_0 descends to a map $X \rightarrow X$. We have that $S_M = X/\langle f_0 \rangle$, and since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we see that f_0 maps the torus fiber $T_y = \pi^{-1}(y)$ to the torus fiber $T_{\alpha y} = \pi^{-1}(\alpha y)$. In particular, f_0 induces a diffeomorphism of the 3-torus $\psi: T_1 \rightarrow T_\alpha$ and we have that S_M is diffeomorphic to the quotient space $([1, \alpha] \times T^3)/\sim$, where $(1, p) \sim (\alpha, \psi(p))$, which is a T^3 -bundle over S^1 (recall that $\alpha > 1$). We will still call $\pi: S_M \rightarrow S^1$ the projection map.

The kernel of ω_∞ on $H \times \mathbb{C}$ is the integrable distribution $\mathcal{D} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\partial/\partial w)$, whose leaves are of the form $\mathcal{L}_{z_0} = \{(z_0, w) \mid w \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset H \times \mathbb{C}$. We wish to determine the images of these leaves when projected to S_M .

LEMMA 5.2. *If we call $P: H \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S_M$ the projection, then for any $z_0 \in H$ the image $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ is dense inside the T^3 fiber $T_0 = \pi^{-1}(\text{Im } z_0) \subset S_M$.*

Proof. It is clear that $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0}) \subset T_0$. Obviously $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ is just a leaf of the foliation \mathcal{D} on S_M . If $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ were closed in S_M then it would be a complex curve in S_M , but Inoue [Ino74] has shown that there are no such curves. Therefore $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ cannot be closed, and since it is contained in the 3-torus T_0 , it must be dense in T_0 (the closure of any leaf of a linear foliation of a torus is always a torus itself). \square

An alternative direct proof of this lemma can be given along the lines of [BHPV04, Proposition V.19.1].

Proof of Theorem 5.1. For $t > 0$ call d_t the distance function on S_M induced by the metric $\omega(t)/t$, and let $L_t(\gamma)$ denote the length of a curve γ with respect to $\omega(t)/t$. Similarly, d_0 and $L_0(\gamma)$ are defined using ω_0 , and we will denote by $L_\infty(\gamma)$ the length of γ computed using the degenerate metric ω_∞ .

On the circle S^1 we put the metric $\frac{1}{2}(d \log r)^2 = (dr)^2/2r^2$, and denote by d its distance function. It is isometric to the standard metric on $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log \alpha)/2\sqrt{2}\pi$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, we will show that for t sufficiently large the Gromov–Hausdorff distance between (S_M, d_t) and (S^1, d) is less than 3ε .

We regard S^1 as $[1, \alpha]/(1 \sim \alpha)$ and S_M as $([1, \alpha] \times T^3)/\sim$, where $(1, p) \sim (\alpha, \psi(p))$, as before. Call $F: S_M \rightarrow S^1$ the projection of the T^3 -bundle, and let $G: S^1 \rightarrow S_M$ be the discontinuous map induced by the map $\phi: [1, \alpha] \rightarrow [1, \alpha] \times T^3$ given by $\phi(x) = (x, p_0)$ for $x \in [1, \alpha)$ and $\phi(\alpha) = (1, p_0)$, where $p_0 \in T^3$ is a fixed basepoint.

Clearly we have $F \circ G = \text{Id}$, while $G \circ F$ is a fiber-preserving discontinuous map of S_M . In particular for any $a \in S^1$ we have trivially

$$d(a, F(G(a))) = 0. \tag{5.39}$$

First of all observe that from (5.38) there is a constant C_0 so that for all $t \geq 1$ and for any curve γ in S_M we have $L_t(\gamma) \leq C_0 L_0(\gamma)$.

Second, recall that $\mathcal{D} = \ker \omega_\infty$, so from (5.38) again we see that if γ is a curve in S_M with tangent vector always in \mathcal{D} , then $L_t(\gamma) \leq (C_0/\sqrt{t})L_0(\gamma)$.

Third, let p, q be any two points in S_M on a same T^3 -fiber, i.e. $F(p) = F(q)$, and pick any $(z_0, w_0) \in H \times \mathbb{C}$ such that $P(z_0, w_0) = p$, so that the image of the leaf $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ passes through p . Thanks to Lemma 5.2 we know that $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ is dense in the T^3 -fiber, and so there is a connected compact set $K \subset \mathcal{L}_{z_0}$ such that every point in this T^3 -fiber has d_0 -distance less than $\varepsilon/2C_0$ to $P(K)$. On the other hand, every point in $P(K)$ can be joined to p with a curve γ in the T^3 -fiber with tangent vector in \mathcal{D} . Therefore, for any such γ we have $L_t(\gamma) \leq C/\sqrt{t}$, with a uniform constant C independent of γ (it depends only on K).

It follows that there is a point in $P(K)$ which can be joined to p by a curve γ_1 tangent to \mathcal{D} and to q by a curve γ_2 with $L_0(\gamma_2) \leq \varepsilon/2C_0$. Concatenating γ_1 and γ_2 we see that

$$d_t(p, q) \leq L_t(\gamma_1) + L_t(\gamma_2) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} + C_0L_0(\gamma_2) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \varepsilon, \tag{5.40}$$

if t is large enough.

Now let p, q be any two points in S_M , with $F(p) = a, F(q) = b$ where $1 \leq a, b < \alpha$, and we can assume that $a \leq b$. Then p and the point (a, p_0) (rather its equivalence class in S_M) belong to the same T^3 fiber, as do q and (b, p_0) , so from (5.40) we get

$$d_t(p, (a, p_0)) \leq \varepsilon, \quad d_t(q, (b, p_0)) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{5.41}$$

We then join (a, p_0) to (b, p_0) via the image in S_M of the curve $\gamma(s) = (s, p_0)$, $a \leq s \leq b$. The point $\gamma(s)$ has a lift to $H \times \mathbb{C}$ with imaginary part equal to s , so the tangent vector to $\gamma(s)$ is $\partial/\partial y = -\sqrt{-1}(\partial/\partial \bar{z} - \partial/\partial z)$ so from (5.38) we have

$$||\gamma'|_{\omega(t)/t}^2 - |\gamma'|_{\omega_\infty}^2| \leq \frac{C}{t},$$

and so $|L_t(\gamma) - L_\infty(\gamma)| \leq C/\sqrt{t}$. But for this curve γ we have

$$L_\infty(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(s)|_{\omega_\infty} ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_a^b \frac{ds}{s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(b/a) = d(F(p), F(q)),$$

and so combining this with (5.41) we have proved that

$$d_t(p, q) \leq 2\varepsilon + L_t(\gamma) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} + 2\varepsilon + d(F(p), F(q)),$$

for a constant C independent of p, q , so if t is large we get

$$d_t(p, q) \leq d(F(p), F(q)) + 3\varepsilon, \tag{5.42}$$

and so also

$$d_t(G(a), G(b)) \leq d(a, b) + 3\varepsilon, \tag{5.43}$$

for all $a, b \in S^1$.

Note that from (5.40) we also have that for any $p \in S_M$ and for all t large

$$d_t(p, G(F(p))) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{5.44}$$

Now take any two points $p, q \in S_M$ and let γ be a curve joining p to q with $L_t(\gamma) = d_t(p, q)$. Then $F(\gamma)$ is a path in S^1 between $F(p)$ and $F(q)$. Write $L_g(F(\gamma))$ for the length of this curve with respect to the metric $g = \frac{1}{2}(d \log y)^2$, where we are using the coordinate y on S^1 . We claim that $L_g(F(\gamma)) = L_\infty(\gamma)$. Indeed, if V is a tangent vector on S_M we can write locally $V = V_1\partial/\partial x + V_2\partial/\partial y + V_3\partial/\partial u + V_4\partial/\partial v$ where $w = u + \sqrt{-1}v$. But $F_*V = V_2\partial/\partial y$ and from the definition of ω_∞ and g we see that $|F_*V|_g^2 = V_2^2/2y^2 = |V|_{\omega_\infty}^2$. Applying this with $V = \gamma'$ proves the claim.

Noting that $\omega_\infty \leq \omega(t)/t$ we have:

$$d(F(p), F(q)) \leq L_g(F(\gamma)) = L_\infty(\gamma) \leq L_t(\gamma) = d_t(p, q). \tag{5.45}$$

For $a, b \in S^1$, we can apply (5.45) to $p = G(a)$ and $q = G(b)$ to obtain

$$d(a, b) \leq d_t(G(a), G(b)). \tag{5.46}$$

Combining (5.39), (5.42)–(5.46) shows that the Gromov–Hausdorff distance between (S_M, d_t) and (S^1, d) can be made less than 3ε if t is large, as required. \square

6. The Inoue surfaces $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$

In this section we study the Inoue surfaces $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$, starting from their construction from [Ino74]. Let $N = (n_{ij}) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix with two real eigenvalues $\alpha > 1$ and $1/\alpha$. Let (a_1, a_2) and (b_1, b_2) be two real eigenvectors for N with eigenvalues α and $1/\alpha$ respectively (again we automatically have that α is irrational).

Fix integers p, q, r , with $r \neq 0$, and a complex number \mathbf{t} . Using N, a_j, b_j, p, q, r one gets two real numbers (c_1, c_2) as solutions of the linear equation

$$(c_1, c_2) = (c_1, c_2) \cdot N^t + (e_1, e_2) + \frac{b_1 a_2 - b_2 a_1}{r}(p, q),$$

where

$$e_i = \frac{1}{2}n_{i1}(n_{i1} - 1)a_1b_1 + \frac{1}{2}n_{i2}(n_{i2} - 1)a_2b_2 + n_{i1}n_{i2}b_1a_2, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let Γ be the group of automorphisms of $H \times \mathbb{C}$ generated by

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(z, w) &= (\alpha z, w + \mathbf{t}), \\ f_j(z, w) &= (z + a_j, w + b_j z + c_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \\ f_3(z, w) &= \left(z, w + \frac{b_1 a_2 - b_2 a_1}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+ = (H \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ is an Inoue surface.

Since $\alpha > 1$, we can write $\text{Im } \mathbf{t} = m \log \alpha$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$, so that \mathbf{t} is real if and only if $m = 0$. Note that the $(1, 0)$ -forms on $H \times \mathbb{C}$

$$\frac{1}{y} dz, \quad dw - \frac{v - m \log y}{y} dz$$

(where $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y, w = u + \sqrt{-1}v$) are invariant under the Γ -action, and so descend to $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$, so we can define a Hermitian metric $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 &= \sqrt{-1} \left(dw - \frac{v - m \log y}{y} dz \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{w} - \frac{v - m \log y}{y} d\bar{z} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &= \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{w} + \left(\frac{1 + (v - m \log y)^2}{y^2} \right) \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &\quad - \frac{v - m \log y}{y} \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{z} - \frac{v - m \log y}{y} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{w}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.47}$$

This was discovered by Tricerri [Tri82] when $m = 0$ and by Vaisman [Vai87] in general. The key difference between the cases when \mathbf{t} is real or not is that when $m = 0$ the metric ω_0 is locally conformally Kähler, while when $m \neq 0$ it is not, and in fact a theorem of Belgun [Bel00, Theorem 7] shows that the surfaces $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ with \mathbf{t} not real do not admit any locally conformally Kähler metric.

On the other hand, we can easily check that ω_0 is Gauduchon for any value of m :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}\omega_0 &= -\frac{v - m \log y - m}{2y^2} d\bar{w} \wedge dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \frac{1}{2y} d\bar{w} \wedge dw \wedge d\bar{z}, \\ \partial\bar{\partial}\omega_0 &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y^2} dw \wedge d\bar{w} \wedge dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y^2} dz \wedge d\bar{w} \wedge dw \wedge d\bar{z} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\omega(t) = \omega_0 - t \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0)$. We calculate

$$\det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}) = \frac{1}{y^2},$$

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0) = -\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}) = 2\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log y = -\frac{1}{2y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t) &= \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{w} + \left(\frac{1 + (v - m \log y)^2 + t/2}{y^2} \right) \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &\quad - \frac{v - m \log y}{y} \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{z} - \frac{v - m \log y}{y} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{w}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.48}$$

which is a Gauduchon metric for all $t > 0$. It satisfies the Chern–Ricci flow, because

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}}(t)) = \left(1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \frac{1}{y^2} = \left(1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}),$$

and so $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega(t)) = \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_0) = -(\partial/\partial t)\omega(t)$.

If we renormalize the metrics by dividing by t and we let t go to infinity we obtain

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

smoothly on $H \times \mathbb{C}$. The limit degenerate metric is simply the pullback of the Poincaré metric from H .

THEOREM 6.1. *As t approaches infinity we have that $(S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+, \omega(t)/t) \xrightarrow{GH} (S^1, d)$, where d is the distance function on the circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log \alpha)/2\pi$.*

Again we need to understand the topology of $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$. As remarked by Inoue [Ino74] $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ is diffeomorphic to a bundle over S^1 with fiber a compact 3-manifold X . Indeed, if we consider the subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ generated by f_1, f_2, f_3 , then for each fixed $y = \operatorname{Im} z$ the group Γ' acts on $\{(x, y, w) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ properly discontinuously and freely with quotient a 3-manifold X_y . For different values of y they are all diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold X . Then, as in the case of S_M , we can also consider Γ' acting on the whole of $H \times \mathbb{C}$, and the quotient is diffeomorphic to the product $X \times \mathbb{R}^+$, with the projection π to \mathbb{R}^+ induced by $(z, w) \mapsto \operatorname{Im} z$ and with $X_y = \pi^{-1}(y)$. Then again f_0 descends to a map $X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}^+$, because f_0 lies in the normalizer of Γ' (see [Ino74, p. 276]). We have that $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+ = (X \times \mathbb{R}^+)/\langle f_0 \rangle$. Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we see that f_0 maps the fiber X_1 to the fiber X_α , and so it induces a diffeomorphism ψ of X such that $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ is diffeomorphic to the quotient space $([1, \alpha] \times X)/\sim$, where $(1, p) \sim (\alpha, \psi(p))$, which is an X -bundle over S^1 . We will still call $\pi : S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+ \rightarrow S^1$ the projection map.

The kernel of ω_∞ on $H \times \mathbb{C}$ is the integrable distribution (i.e. foliation) $\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\partial/\partial w)$, whose leaves are of the form $\mathcal{L}_{z_0} = \{(z_0, w) \mid w \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset H \times \mathbb{C}$. We wish to determine the images of these leaves when projected to $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$. The main idea in the following Lemma comes from [Bru10].

LEMMA 6.2. *If we call $P : H \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ the projection, then for any $z_0 \in H$ the image $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ is dense inside the fiber $X_0 = \pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Im} z_0) \subset S_M$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.2. It is clear that $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0}) \subset X_0$. Obviously $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$ is just a leaf of the foliation \mathcal{D} on $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$. No such leaf can be closed in $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$, since otherwise

it would be a complex curve in $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$, contradicting the fact that no curves exist [Ino74]. Since $\mathcal{D} \subset TX_0$, the same is true for the induced foliation $\mathcal{D}|_{X_0}$.

Now consider the 1-form dx , where $x = \operatorname{Re} z$. It is invariant under Γ' , so it descends to a 1-form on $X \times \mathbb{R}^+$, and since f_0 maps the fiber X_0 to a different fiber, it follows that dx is a well-defined closed 1-form in an open neighborhood of X_0 . When restricted to X_0 , the 1-form dx defines the foliation $\mathcal{D}|_{X_0}$, in the sense that $\ker dx = \mathcal{D}|_{X_0}$. Since we have just seen that no leaf of $\mathcal{D}|_{X_0}$ is closed in X_0 , we can apply the general theory of foliations defined by closed 1-forms [God91, 4.3, p. 46] and conclude that every leaf of $\mathcal{D}|_{X_0}$ is dense in X_0 . In particular this is the case for $P(\mathcal{L}_{z_0})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. With these preliminaries in place, the proof is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 5.1, and therefore we only indicate the necessary modifications.

For $t > 0$ call d_t the distance function on $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ induced by the metric $\omega(t)/t$, and let $L_t(\gamma)$ denote the length of a curve γ with respect to $\omega(t)/t$. Similarly, d_0 and $L_0(\gamma)$ are defined using ω_0 , and we will denote by $L_\infty(\gamma)$ the length of γ computed using the degenerate metric ω_∞ .

On the circle S^1 we put the metric $(d \log r)^2 = (dr)^2/r^2$, and denote by d its distance function, which is isometric to the standard metric on $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log \alpha)/2\pi$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, we will show that for t sufficiently large the Gromov–Hausdorff distance between $(S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+, d_t)$ and (S^1, d) is less than 3ε .

We regard S^1 as $[1, \alpha]/(1 \sim \alpha)$ and $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ as $([1, \alpha] \times X)/\sim$, where $(1, p) \sim (\alpha, \psi(p))$, as before. Call $F : S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+ \rightarrow S^1$ the projection of the X -bundle, and let $G : S^1 \rightarrow S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ be the discontinuous map induced by the map $\phi : [1, \alpha] \rightarrow [1, \alpha] \times X$ given by $\phi(x) = (x, p_0)$ for $x \in [1, \alpha)$ and $\phi(\alpha) = (1, p_0)$, where $p_0 \in X$ is a fixed basepoint.

Clearly we have $F \circ G = \operatorname{Id}$, while $G \circ F$ is a fiber-preserving discontinuous map of $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$. In particular for any $a \in S^1$ we have trivially

$$d(a, F(G(a))) = 0. \tag{6.49}$$

Exactly as in Theorem 5.1 we prove that for any two points $p, q \in S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ on the same X -fiber we have $d_t(p, q) \leq \varepsilon$, if t is large enough. From this we deduce that for any two points p, q and for all t large we have

$$d_t(p, q) \leq d(F(p), F(q)) + 3\varepsilon, \tag{6.50}$$

and so also

$$d_t(G(a), G(b)) \leq d(a, b) + 3\varepsilon, \tag{6.51}$$

for all $a, b \in S^1$ and

$$d_t(p, G(F(p))) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{6.52}$$

Now take any two points $p, q \in S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$ and call γ a curve joining p and q with $L_t(\gamma) = d_t(p, q)$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, using the fact that $\omega_\infty \leq \omega(t)/t$,

$$d(F(p), F(q)) \leq L_g(F(\gamma)) = L_\infty(\gamma) \leq L_t(\gamma) = d_t(p, q), \tag{6.53}$$

where we are writing $L_g(F(\gamma))$ for the length of the path $F(\gamma)$ on S^1 between $F(p)$ and $F(q)$ with respect to the metric $g = (d \log y)^2$. Hence also

$$d(a, b) \leq d_t(G(a), G(b)), \tag{6.54}$$

for all $a, b \in S^1$. Combining (6.49)–(6.54) shows that the Gromov–Hausdorff distance between $(S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+, d_t)$ and (S^1, d) can be made less than 3ε if t is large, as required. \square

7. The Inoue surfaces $S_{N,p,q,r}^-$

The last class of Inoue surfaces are $S_{N,p,q,r}^-$, defined as follows. Let $N = (n_{ij}) \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix with $\det N = -1$ and with two real eigenvalues $\alpha > 1$ and $-1/\alpha$. Let (a_1, a_2) and (b_1, b_2) be two real eigenvectors for N with eigenvalues α and $-1/\alpha$ respectively. Fix integers p, q, r , with $r \neq 0$. Define two real numbers (c_1, c_2) as solutions of the linear equation

$$-(c_1, c_2) = (c_1, c_2) \cdot N^t + (e_1, e_2) + \frac{b_1 a_2 - b_2 a_1}{r}(p, q),$$

where e_i are the same as for the surfaces $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$.

Let Γ be the group of automorphisms of $H \times \mathbb{C}$ generated by

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(z, w) &= (\alpha z, -w), \\ f_j(z, w) &= (z + a_j, w + b_j z + c_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \\ f_3(z, w) &= \left(z, w + \frac{b_1 a_2 - b_2 a_1}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $S_{N,p,q,r}^- = (H \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ is an Inoue surface.

As noticed by Tricerri [Tri82], the exact same formula as in the case of $S_{N,p,q,r;t}^+$, t real, (i.e. (6.47) with $m = 0$) gives a Gauduchon metric on $S_{N,p,q,r}^-$ too. The discussion of the smooth limit of the Chern–Ricci flow is identical.

Every surface $S_{N,p,q,r}^-$ has as an unramified double cover an Inoue surface $S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+$ (for suitable integers p', q'), so we can pull back everything upstairs and reduce to the previous section. Indeed, we have the involution of $S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+$

$$\iota(z, w) = (\alpha z, -w),$$

which satisfies $\iota^2 = \text{Id}$ and $S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+/\iota = S_{N,p,q,r}^-$. We will denote by $p : S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+ \rightarrow S_{N,p,q,r}^-$ the quotient map. The projection $F : S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+ \rightarrow S^1 = \mathbb{R}^+/(x \sim \alpha^2 x)$ satisfies $F(\iota(x)) = F(x)$ for all $x \in S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+$.

THEOREM 7.1. *As t approaches $+\infty$ we have that $(S_{N,p,q,r}^-, \omega(t)/t) \xrightarrow{GH} (S^1, d)$, where d is the distance function on the circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log \alpha)/\pi$.*

Proof. We pull back the metrics $\omega_0, \omega(t)$ via p to $S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+$, and obtain the same metrics as in Theorem 6.1, with ι acting on $S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+$ as an isometry of $p^*\omega(t)/t$. From Theorem 6.1 we see that $(S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+, p^*\omega(t)/t)$ converges in Gromov–Hausdorff to $S^1 = \mathbb{R}^+/(x \sim \alpha^2 x)$ with the metric $(d \log x)^2$ (isometric to the standard metric on $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log \alpha)/\pi$).

In fact this convergence happens also in the equivariant Gromov–Hausdorff sense, where the group acting on S^1 is the trivial group while the group acting on $S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+$ is the group of order 2 generated by ι . Indeed it is immediate to check this from the definition of equivariant Gromov–Hausdorff distance (see § 4), using the same maps $F : S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+ \rightarrow S^1$ and $G : S^1 \rightarrow S_{N^2,p',q',r;0}^+$ from the proof of Theorem 6.1 (where the maps from between the trivial group and the group of order 2 are the obvious ones).

Then [Fuk86, Theorem 2.1] or [Ron10, Lemma 1.5.4] imply that $(S_{N,p,q,r}^-, \omega(t)/t) \xrightarrow{GH} (S^1, d)$, where d is the distance function on the circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $(\log \alpha)/\pi$. \square

Theorems 5.1, 6.1 and 7.1 together complete the proof of part (b) of Theorem 1.6.

8. Non-Kähler properly elliptic surfaces

Recall that a non-Kähler properly elliptic surface is by definition a compact complex surface S with $b_1(S)$ odd and with Kodaira dimension $\kappa(S) = 1$ which admits an elliptic fibration $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ to a smooth compact curve C . Throughout this section we will always assume that S is minimal. Kodaira [Kod66, Theorem 28] has shown that the universal cover of S is $H \times \mathbb{C}$. It is also known (see for example [Bri94, Lemmas 1, 2] or [Wal86, Theorem 7.4]) that there is always a finite unramified covering $S' \rightarrow S$ which is also a minimal properly elliptic surface $\pi' : S' \rightarrow C'$ and π' is an elliptic fiber bundle with $g(C') \geq 2$ (the curve C' is a finite cover of C ramified at the images of the multiple fibers of π).

Let us first assume that we are in this situation, so that $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ is an elliptic fiber bundle with fiber E , with $g(C) \geq 2$, with S minimal, non-Kähler and $\kappa(S) = 1$. It will be more convenient for us to work with $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$, so we define

$$h : H \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H \times \mathbb{C}^*, \quad h(z, z') = (z, e^{-z'/2}),$$

which is a holomorphic covering map, and we will write (z, w) for the coordinates on $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$. A theorem of Maehara [Mae78] (see also [IKO80, Lemma 5.6], [Wal86, Theorem 7.4] and [Bel00, Proposition 2]) shows that there exists $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ a discrete subgroup with $H/\Gamma = C$, together with a complex number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $|\alpha| \neq 1$ and $\mathbb{C}^*/\langle \alpha \rangle = E$ and together with a character $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ (i.e. a group homomorphism) such that S is biholomorphic to the quotient of $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$ by the $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ -action defined by

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, n \right) \cdot (z, w) = \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}, (cz + d) \cdot w \cdot \alpha^n \cdot \chi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

and the map $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ is induced by the projection $H \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow H$.

The reader can check that the forms on $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$:

$$-\frac{2}{w} dw + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y} dz, \quad \frac{1}{y^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

(where $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$) are invariant under the $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ -action. Therefore they descend to S and we can define a Hermitian metric on S by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 &= \sqrt{-1} \left(-\frac{2}{w} dw + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y} dz \right) \wedge \left(-\frac{2}{\bar{w}} d\bar{w} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y} d\bar{z} \right) + \frac{1}{y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &= \frac{4}{|w|^2} \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{w} + \frac{2}{y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &\quad + \frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{yw} \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{z} - \frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{y\bar{w}} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{w}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.55}$$

This metric was discovered by Vaisman [Vai87] (he wrote down its pullback $h^*\omega_0$ on $H \times \mathbb{C}$) and it is Gauduchon:

$$\bar{\partial}\omega_0 = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y^2\bar{w}} d\bar{z} \wedge dz \wedge d\bar{w}, \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\omega_0 = 0.$$

Now let $\omega(t) = \omega_0 - t \text{Ric}(\omega_0)$. We calculate

$$\det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}) = \frac{4}{y^2|w|^2},$$

and

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_0) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}) = 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log y = -\frac{1}{2y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t) = & \frac{4}{|w|^2} \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{w} + \left(\frac{2+t/2}{y^2} \right) \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ & + \frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{yw} \sqrt{-1} dw \wedge d\bar{z} - \frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{y\bar{w}} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{w}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a Gauduchon metric for all $t > 0$. It satisfies the Chern–Ricci flow, because

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}}(t)) = \left(1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \frac{4}{y^2 |w|^2} = \left(1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \det((g_0)_{i\bar{j}}),$$

and so $\text{Ric}(\omega(t)) = \text{Ric}(\omega_0) = -(\partial/\partial t)\omega(t)$.

If we renormalize the metrics by dividing by t and we let t go to infinity we get

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2y^2} \sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

smoothly on S . The limit degenerate metric is simply the pullback $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ of the Poincaré metric from $H/\Gamma = C$, which is the base of the fibration. This metric on C satisfies $\text{Ric}(\omega_{\text{KE}}) = -\omega_{\text{KE}}$, and we will write d_{KE} for its distance function.

THEOREM 8.1. *Let $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ be a minimal non-Kähler properly elliptic surface which is an elliptic bundle, and let ω_0 be the initial Gauduchon metric we just described. As t approaches $+\infty$ we have that $\omega(t)/t$ converges to $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ in $C^\infty(S, \omega_0)$, and also $(S, \omega(t)/t) \xrightarrow{GH} (C, d_{\text{KE}})$.*

Formally, the behavior is exactly the same as for the Kähler–Ricci flow on a Kähler elliptic surface $\pi : S \rightarrow C$, which is a fiber bundle over a curve C of genus at least 2 [ST07] (see also [FZ12, GTZ13]).

Proof. The fact that $\omega(t)/t$ converges smoothly to $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ has already been proven. We now show the Gromov–Hausdorff convergence. For any curve $\gamma \subset S$ let $L_t(\gamma)$ be its length measured in the metric $\omega(t)/t$, and denote by d_t the distance function of $\omega(t)/t$. Let $F = \pi : S \rightarrow C$ and define a map $G : C \rightarrow S$ by sending every point $a \in C$ to some chosen point in S on the fiber $\pi^{-1}(a)$. We know that the map G is not canonical and usually discontinuous, and it satisfies $F \circ G = \text{Id}$ so

$$d_{\text{KE}}(a, F(G(a))) = 0, \tag{8.56}$$

for all $a \in C$. Since $\omega(t)/t$ restricted to every fiber of π converges smoothly to zero, it follows that for every $p \in S$ we have

$$d_t(p, G(F(p))) \leq \varepsilon, \tag{8.57}$$

for all t large. Given any two points $p, q \in S$, call $a = F(p), b = F(q)$ and fix a geodesic $\gamma(s)$ for the Poincaré metric ω_{KE} that joins them. Then choose a lift $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ to a curve in H from a point \tilde{a} (that projects to a) to \tilde{b} (that projects to b). Define a curve $\tilde{\sigma}(s) = (\tilde{\gamma}(s), 1)$ in $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$, and call $\sigma(s)$ its projection to $S = (H \times \mathbb{C}^*)/\Gamma$, so that $F(\sigma(s)) = \gamma(s)$. The length of $\sigma(s)$ with respect to $\omega(t)/t$ equals the length of $\tilde{\sigma}(s)$ with respect to the pullback of $\omega(t)/t$ to $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Since the tangent vector to $\tilde{\sigma}(s)$ is $(\tilde{\gamma}'(s), 0)$, we see from (8.55) that

$$|\tilde{\sigma}'(s)|_{\omega(t)/t}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{t} \right) \frac{1}{y(s)^2},$$

where $y(s) = \text{Im } \tilde{\gamma}(s)$. On the other hand

$$|\tilde{\gamma}'(s)|_{\omega_{\text{KE}}}^2 = \frac{1}{2y(s)^2},$$

and so

$$|L_t(\sigma) - d_{\text{KE}}(a, b)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

if t is large. On the other hand, the p and the initial point of σ lie on the same fiber of π , so their d_t -distance is less than ε for t large, and similarly for q and the end point of σ . Therefore

$$d_t(p, q) \leq 2\varepsilon + L_t(\sigma) \leq d_{\text{KE}}(F(p), F(q)) + 3\varepsilon. \tag{8.58}$$

Since $F \circ G = \text{Id}$, we also have that

$$d_t(G(a), G(b)) \leq d_{\text{KE}}(a, b) + 3\varepsilon. \tag{8.59}$$

Now, given two points $p, q \in S$, let γ be a curve joining p and q with $L_t(\gamma) = d_t(p, q)$. Then arguing in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 5.1,

$$d_{\text{KE}}(F(p), F(q)) \leq L_{\text{KE}}(F(\gamma)) = L_{\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}}(\gamma) \leq L_t(\gamma) = d_t(p, q), \tag{8.60}$$

where $L_{\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}}(\gamma)$ is the length of γ with respect to the degenerate metric $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$. This also implies that for $a, b \in S^1$,

$$d_{\text{KE}}(a, b) \leq d_t(G(a), G(b)). \tag{8.61}$$

Combining (8.56)–(8.61) we get the required Gromov–Hausdorff convergence. \square

We now consider the general case, when $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ is not a fiber bundle. From [Bri94, Lemma 1] or [Wal86, Lemma 7.2] we see that π has no singular fibers, but in general it might have multiple fibers. Let us call $D \subset S$ the set of all multiple fibers of π , so that $\pi(D)$ consists of finitely many points. Then again from [Mae78] (see also [Bel00, Proposition 2], [Wal86, Theorem 7.4]) we have that S is a quotient of $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$ by a discrete subgroup Γ' of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, which acts by

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, t \right) \cdot (z, w) = \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}, (cz + d) \cdot w \cdot t \right),$$

and the map $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ is again induced by the projection $H \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow H$. The previous case is obtained by mapping $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ by $(A, n) \mapsto (A, \alpha^n \chi(A))$.

If we consider the projection Γ of Γ' to $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we now have that in general the Γ -action on H is not free, so its quotient $C = H/\Gamma$ is an orbifold (it is actually a ‘good’ orbifold, i.e. a global finite quotient of a manifold; see [Wal86, p. 139] where it is shown that if C was a ‘bad’ orbifold then we would have that $\kappa(S) = -\infty$). The finitely many orbifold points of C are precisely equal to $\pi(D)$.

The $(1, 0)$ -forms on $H \times \mathbb{C}^*$

$$-\frac{2}{w} dw + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y} dz, \quad \frac{1}{y^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$$

are still invariant under the Γ' -action, and so again they descend to S . We then define ω_0 as before, and also ω_{KE} which is now an orbifold Kähler–Einstein metric on C . It is easy to see that it induces a distance function d_{KE} on C (see e.g. [Bor92]). On the other hand the $(1, 1)$ form $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ is smooth on all of S .

THEOREM 8.2. *Let $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ be a general minimal non-Kähler properly elliptic surface with initial Gauduchon metric ω_0 described above. As t approaches $+\infty$ we have that $\omega(t)/t$ converges to $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ in $C^\infty(S, \omega_0)$, and also $(S, \omega(t)/t) \xrightarrow{GH} (C, d_{\text{KE}})$.*

Proof. The fact that $\omega(t)/t$ converges smoothly to $\pi^*\omega_{\text{KE}}$ follows from the same calculation as in the previous case. The Gromov–Hausdorff convergence can be proved as follows. We know

that there is another properly elliptic surface $\pi' : S' \rightarrow C'$ which is an elliptic bundle over C' with $g(C') \geq 2$, with a finite group Γ'' acting on S' and C' (so that π' is Γ'' -equivariant) such that the Γ'' -action on S' is free, while the Γ'' -action on C' is not, and $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ is equal to the Γ'' -quotient of $\pi' : S' \rightarrow C'$. If we call $p : S' \rightarrow S$ and $q : C' \rightarrow C$ the quotient maps then we have that $p^*\omega_0$ equals the same metric ω_0 from the earlier discussion, and similarly $p^*\omega(t)$ equals the evolved metrics on S' , and Γ'' acts by isometries of $p^*\omega(t)$. Also, the pullback distance q^*d_{KE} on C' equals the Kähler–Einstein distance function from earlier, and again Γ'' acts by isometries of q^*d_{KE} . From Theorem 8.1 we know that $(S', p^*\omega(t)/t)$ converges in Gromov–Hausdorff to (C', q^*d_{KE}) .

In fact, we claim that this convergence also happens in the Γ'' -equivariant Gromov–Hausdorff sense. Indeed, let us consider the same maps $F : S' \rightarrow C'$ and $G : C' \rightarrow S'$ from the proof of Theorem 8.1. Then F is Γ'' -equivariant, while in general G is not, but for any element $g \in \Gamma''$ and for any point $x \in C'$ the points $g \cdot G(x)$ and $G(g \cdot x)$ lie in the same fiber of F , and so their distance with respect to the metric $p^*\omega(t)/t$ goes to zero as t approaches zero (uniformly in x and g). This shows that the Γ'' -equivariant Gromov–Hausdorff distance between $(S', p^*\omega(t)/t)$ and (C', q^*d_{KE}) is less than any $\varepsilon > 0$ if t is small enough. Then [Fuk86, Theorem 2.1] or [Ron10, Lemma 1.5.4] imply that $(S, \omega(t)/t) \xrightarrow{GH} (C, d_{KE})$. □

Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 together complete the proof of part (c) of Theorem 1.6.

9. The Mabuchi energy

In this section, we show that the Mabuchi energy functional from Kähler geometry can be defined in the setting of a complex surface with vanishing first Bott–Chern class, and that it is decreasing along the Chern–Ricci flow.

Let M be a surface with vanishing first Bott–Chern class, and let ω_0 be a Gauduchon metric on M . By definition of the Bott–Chern class, there exists a unique function F with

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_0) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F, \quad \int_M e^F \omega_0^n = 0.$$

Define \mathcal{H} to be the space of all Gauduchon metrics ω' on M of the form $\omega' = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi$ for some smooth function ψ . We then define the *Mabuchi energy* $\text{Mab}_{\omega_0} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\text{Mab}_{\omega_0}(\omega') = \int_M \left(\log \frac{\omega'^2}{\omega_0^2} - F \right) \omega'^2 + \int_M F \omega_0^2.$$

Comparing with the formula given in [Tia00], one can check that this coincides with the Mabuchi energy in the Kähler setting.

Now let $\omega(t)$ solve the Chern–Ricci flow starting at ω_0 . Then we may write $\omega(t) = \omega_\varphi := \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ where $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ solves

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi = \log \frac{\omega_\varphi^2}{\omega_0^2} - F, \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = 0.$$

The result of this section is that the Mabuchi energy decreases along the Chern–Ricci flow.

PROPOSITION 9.1. *With the notation as above, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{Mab}_{\omega_0}(\omega_\varphi) = -2 \int_M \sqrt{-1}\partial\dot{\varphi} \wedge \bar{\partial}\dot{\varphi} \wedge \omega_\varphi \leq 0.$$

Proof. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{Mab}_{\omega_0}(\omega_\varphi) &= \int_M \Delta_{\omega_\varphi} \dot{\varphi} \omega_\varphi^2 + \int_M \left(\log \frac{\omega_\varphi^2}{\omega_0^2} - F \right) \Delta_{\omega_\varphi} \dot{\varphi} \omega_\varphi^2 \\ &= \int_M \dot{\varphi} \Delta_{\omega_\varphi} \dot{\varphi} \omega_\varphi^2 = 2 \int_M \dot{\varphi} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi} \wedge \omega_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{Mab}_{\omega_0}(\omega_\varphi) &= -2 \int_M \sqrt{-1} \partial \dot{\varphi} \wedge \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi} \wedge \omega_\varphi + 2 \int_M \dot{\varphi} \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi} \wedge \partial \omega_0 \\ &= -2 \int_M \sqrt{-1} \partial \dot{\varphi} \wedge \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi} \wedge \omega_\varphi + \int_M \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi}^2 \wedge \partial \omega_0 \\ &= -2 \int_M \sqrt{-1} \partial \dot{\varphi} \wedge \bar{\partial} \dot{\varphi} \wedge \omega_\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega_0 = 0$. □

Recall that Gill [Gill11] showed, in the setting of vanishing first Bott–Chern class, the Chern–Ricci flow $\omega(t)$ starting at any Hermitian metric ω_0 converges in C^∞ to a Chern–Ricci flat metric ω_∞ . Proposition 9.1 can be used to give an alternative proof of the convergence part of Gill’s theorem in the special case when M has complex dimension 2 and ω_0 is Gauduchon. Indeed the proof follows exactly as in the Kähler case. It was first noted in unpublished work of H.-D. Cao that the Mabuchi energy decreases along the Kähler–Ricci flow, and to see how Proposition 9.1 implies convergence, one can follow the arguments of Phong–Sturm [PS06]. Alternatively, see the exposition in [SW13b, § 4].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Part of this work was carried out while the authors were visiting the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques in Montréal and while the first-named author was visiting the Mathematical Science Center of Tsinghua University in Beijing. We would like to thank these institutions for their hospitality, and also V. Apostolov for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- BHPV04 W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters and A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces* (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
- Bel00 F. A. Belgun, *On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), 1–40.
- Blo11 Z. Błocki, *On the uniform estimate in the Calabi–Yau theorem, II*, Sci. China Math. **54** (2011), 1375–1377.
- Bog82 F. A. Bogomolov, *Surfaces of class VII₀ and affine geometry*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), 710–761.
- Bor92 J. E. Borzellino, *Riemannian geometry of orbifolds*, PhD thesis, UCLA (1992).
- BV68 E. Brieskorn and A. Van de Ven, *Some complex structures on products of homotopy spheres*, Topology **7** (1968), 389–393.
- Bri94 V. Brînzănescu, *Néron–Severi group for nonalgebraic elliptic surfaces. II. Non-Kählerian case*, Manuscripta Math. **84** (1994), 415–420.
- Bru10 M. Brunella, *A characterization of Inoue surfaces*, Comment. Math. Helv., to appear, arXiv:1011.2035.

- Bru11 M. Brunella, *Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Kato surfaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **202** (2011), 77–81.
- Buc99 N. Buchdahl, *On compact Kähler surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **49** (1999), 287–302.
- Buc00 N. Buchdahl, *A Nakai–Moishezon criterion for non-Kähler surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **50** (2000), 1533–1538.
- CE53 E. Calabi and B. Eckmann, *A class of compact, complex manifolds which are not algebraic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **58** (1953), 494–500.
- Che87 P. Cherrier, *Équations de Monge–Ampère sur les variétés Hermitiennes compactes*, Bull. Sci. Math (2) **111** (1987), 343–385.
- DK12 S. Dinew and S. Kołodziej, *Pluripotential estimates on compact Hermitian manifolds*, in *Advances in geometric analysis*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, vol. 21 (International Press, Somerville, MA, 2012), 69–86.
- Fed69 H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory* (Springer, New York, 1969).
- FZ12 F. T.-H. Fong and Z. Zhang, *The collapsing rate of the Kähler–Ricci flow with regular infinite time singularity*, J. Reine Angew. Math., arXiv:1202.3199.
- Fuj81 A. Fujiki, *A theorem on bimeromorphic maps of Kähler manifolds and its applications*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. **17** (1981), 735–754.
- FP10 A. Fujiki and M. Pontecorvo, *Anti-self-dual biHermitian structures on Inoue surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **85** (2010), 15–71.
- Fuk86 K. Fukaya, *Theory of convergence for Riemannian orbifolds*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **12** (1986), 121–160.
- GO98 P. Gauduchon and L. Ornea, *Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Hopf surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **48** (1998), 1107–1127.
- Gill11 M. Gill, *Convergence of the parabolic complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **19** (2011), 277–303.
- God91 C. Godbillon, *Feuilletages. Études géométriques* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991).
- GH78 P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978).
- GTZ13 M. Gross, V. Tosatti and Y. Zhang, *Collapsing of abelian fibred Calabi–Yau manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), 517–551.
- GL10 B. Guan and Q. Li, *Complex Monge–Ampère equations and totally real submanifolds*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 1185–1223.
- HL83 R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *An intrinsic characterization of Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **74** (1983), 169–198.
- Kod66 K. Kodaira, *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces, II*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 682–721.
- Kol03 S. Kołodziej, *The Monge–Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 667–686.
- Ino74 M. Inoue, *On surfaces of Class VII₀*, Invent. Math. **24** (1974), 269–310.
- IKO80 M. Inoue, S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Holomorphic affine connections on compact complex surfaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), 247–264.
- Lam99 A. Lamari, *Le cône kählérien d’une surface*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **78** (1999), 249–263.
- LeB91 C. LeBrun, *Anti-self-dual Hermitian metrics on blown-up Hopf surfaces*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991), 383–392.
- LYZ94 J. Li, S.-T. Yau and F. Zheng, *On projectively flat Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **2** (1994), 103–109.
- LY12 K. Liu and X. Yang, *Geometry of Hermitian manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **23** (2012), 1250055.

- Lot07 J. Lott, *On the long-time behavior of type-III Ricci flow solutions*, Math. Ann. **339** (2007), 627–666.
- Lot10 J. Lott, *Dimensional reduction and the long-time behavior of Ricci flow*, Comment. Math. Helv. **85** (2010), 485–534.
- LS11 J. Lott and N. Sesum, *Ricci flow on three-dimensional manifolds with symmetry*, Comment. Math. Helv., to appear, arXiv:1102.4384.
- Mae78 K. Maehara, *On elliptic surfaces whose first Betti numbers are odd*, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977)* (Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978), 565–574.
- Mon02 R. Montgomery, *A tour of subRiemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 91 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002).
- PS06 D. H. Phong and J. Sturm, *On stability and the convergence of the Kähler–Ricci flow*, J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006), 149–168.
- PS10 D. H. Phong and J. Sturm, *The Dirichlet problem for degenerate complex Monge–Ampère equations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **18** (2010), 145–170.
- Ron10 X. Rong, *Convergence and collapsing theorems in Riemannian geometry*, in *Handbook of geometric analysis, No. 2*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics (ALM), vol. 13 (Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010), 193–299.
- Sch07 M. Schweitzer, *Autour de la cohomologie de Bott–Chern*, Preprint (2007), arXiv:0709.3528.
- ST07 J. Song and G. Tian, *The Kähler–Ricci flow on surfaces of positive Kodaira dimension*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 609–653.
- ST09 J. Song and G. Tian, *The Kähler–Ricci flow through singularities*, Preprint (2009), arXiv:0909.4898.
- SW11 J. Song and B. Weinkove, *Contracting exceptional divisors by the Kähler–Ricci flow, II*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), to appear, arXiv:1102.1759.
- SW13a J. Song and B. Weinkove, *Contracting exceptional divisors by the Kähler–Ricci flow*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), 367–415.
- SW13b J. Song and B. Weinkove, *An introduction to the Kähler–Ricci flow*, in *An Introduction to the Kähler–Ricci flow*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2086 (Springer, 2013), 89–188.
- ST10 J. Streets and G. Tian, *A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2010** (2010), 3101–3133.
- ST11 J. Streets and G. Tian, *Hermitian curvature flow*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **13** (2011), 601–634.
- ST13 J. Streets and G. Tian, *Regularity results for pluriclosed flow*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), 2389–2429.
- Tel94 A. Teleman, *Projectively flat surfaces and Bogomolov’s theorem on class VII₀-surfaces*, Int. J. Math. **5** (1994), 253–264.
- Tel05 A. Teleman, *Donaldson theory on non-Kählerian surfaces and class VII surfaces with $b_2 = 1$* , Invent. Math. **162** (2005), 493–521.
- Tel06 A. Teleman, *The pseudo-effective cone of a non-Kählerian surface and applications*, Math. Ann. **335** (2006), 965–989.
- Tia00 G. Tian, *Canonical metrics in Kähler geometry*, in *Notes taken by Meike Akveld*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000).
- TZ06 G. Tian and Z. Zhang, *On the Kähler–Ricci flow on projective manifolds of general type*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **27** (2006), 179–192.
- Tit62 J. Tits, *Espaces homogènes complexes compacts*, Comment. Math. Helv. **37** (1962/1963), 111–120.

- TW10 V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, *The complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), 1187–1195.
- TW12 V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, *On the evolution of a Hermitian metric by its Chern–Ricci form*, Preprint (2012), arXiv:1201.0312.
- Tri82 F. Tricerri, *Some examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* **40** (1982), 81–92.
- Tsu88 H. Tsuji, *Existence and degeneration of Kähler–Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type*, *Math. Ann.* **281** (1988), 123–133.
- Vai87 I. Vaisman, *Non-Kähler metrics on geometric complex surfaces*, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* **45** (1987), 117–123.
- Wal86 C. T. C. Wall, *Geometric structures on compact complex analytic surfaces*, *Topology* **25** (1986), 119–153.

Valentino Tosatti tosatti@math.northwestern.edu

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road,
Evanston, IL 60208, USA

Ben Weinkove weinkove@math.northwestern.edu

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road,
Evanston, IL 60208, USA