

ON EXTENDING PROJECTIVES OF FINITE GROUP-GRADED ALGEBRAS

MORTON E. HARRIS

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group, let k be a field and let R be a finite dimensional fully G -graded k -algebra. Also let L be a completely reducible R -module and let P be a projective cover of R . We give necessary and sufficient conditions for $P|_{R_1}$ to be a projective cover of $L|_{R_1}$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$. In particular, this happens if and only if L is R_1 -projective. Some consequences in finite group representation theory are deduced.

1. Introduction and Statements. Our notation and terminology are standard and tend to follow the conventions of [5]. In particular, in this article, all rings have identities, all modules over a ring are right, unital and finitely generated and all algebras over a commutative ring are finitely generated as modules over the commutative ring. Also if n is a positive integer and V is a module over a ring A , then nV denotes the A -module direct sum of n copies of V and the head of V is $\mathcal{H}(V) = V/\text{Rad}(V)$. Moreover if B is a subring of A , then, by assumption, the identity of A lies in B and $V|_B$ denotes the restriction of V to B .

Throughout this article, k denotes a field, p denotes a prime integer, G and H are finite groups and N is a normal subgroup of H . Also O is a commutative ring and R denotes a fully G -graded O -algebra (i.e., R is an O -algebra and $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ in $\text{Mod}(O)$ where R_g is a (finitely generated) O -submodule of R for each $g \in G$ and such that $R_g R_h = R_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$). Thus R_1 is an O -subalgebra of R by [5, Proposition 1.4]. Here $J(R_1)R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (J(R_1)R_g) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (R_g J(R_1)) = RJ(R_1)$ and $J(R_1)R$ is a G -graded 2-sided ideal of R contained in $J(R)$ by [4, Proposition 1.11] and [2, Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 4.4(1)]. Thus if V is an R -module, then $VJ(R_1)R = VJ(R_1)$ is an R -submodule of V contained in $VJ(R)$. Also if K is a subgroup of G , then $R_K = \bigoplus_{g \in K} R_g$ is a fully K -graded O -subalgebra of R . As usual, $O[H]$ is the group algebra of H over O and if $G = H/N$, then $O[H]$ is a fully G -graded O -algebra with $O[H]_{gN} = \bigoplus_{x \in gN} O[x]$ for all $gN \in G = H/N$. Note here that $O[H]_N = O[N]$.

Suppose that P is a projective cover of a completely reducible R -module L , so that $P|_{R_1}$ is a projective R_1 -module (cf. Lemma 2.3). It is natural to ask: when is $P|_{R_1}$ a projective cover of $L|_{R_1}$?

It is well-known that, in general, there may not exist any R -module X such that $X|_{R_1}$ is a projective cover of $L|_{R_1}$.

This research was partially supported by National Security Grant MDA 90488-H2043.
Received by the editors November 15, 1988 and, in revised form, June 6, 1989.
AMS subject classification: 16A03, 16A26.
© Canadian Mathematical Society 1991.

EXAMPLE 1. Assume that $\text{char}(k) = p$, let H be a cyclic group of order p^2 , let N denote the unique subgroup of H of order p and let L denote a trivial $k[H]$ -module. Thus $L|_{k[N]}$ is a trivial $k[N]$ -module and $P = k[N]_{k[N]}$ (the regular $k[N]$ -module) is a projective cover of $L|_{k[N]}$ by [11, VII, Theorem 5.2]. We claim that there is no $k[H]$ -module Q such that $Q|_{k[N]} \cong P$ in $\text{Mod}(k[N])$. To see this suppose that X is such a $k[N]$ -module. Then X is indecomposable as $X|_{k[N]} \cong P$ is indecomposable in $\text{Mod}(k[N])$. Since $\dim(X/k) = \dim(P/k) = p$. [11, VII, Theorem 5.3] implies that every element of N acts trivially on X . As $X|_{k[N]} \cong k[N]_{k[N]}$, we have a contradiction and our claim is demonstrated.

Note, in this example, that the regular $k[H]$ -module $M = k[H]_{k[H]}$ is a projective cover of L , $M|_{k[N]} \cong pP$ in $\text{Mod}(k[N])$ and hence $MJ(k[N]) \neq MJ(k[H])$ and that P has precisely p descending Loewy factors all of which are isomorphic to $L|_{k[N]}$ in $\text{Mod}(k[N])$ in accordance with [9].

Our main result is:

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose that $O = k$ is a field. Let L be a completely reducible R -module and let P be a projective cover of L in $\text{Mod}(R)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $P|_{R_1}$ is a projective cover of the (completely reducible) R_1 -module $L|_{R_1}$;
- (b) L is R_1 -projective; and
- (c) $PJ(R) = PJ(R_1)$.

Clearly [6, Proposition 3.3] yields:

COROLLARY 3. *As in Proposition 2. assume that $O = k$ is a field and also that $|G|1_k$ is a unit in k . Let L be a completely reducible R -module and let P be a projective cover of L in $\text{Mod}(R)$. Then $P|_{R_1}$ is a projective cover of $L|_{R_1}$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$.*

REMARK 4. As in Proposition 2, let $O = k$ be a field. Let W be an irreducible R_1 -module and let P be a projective cover of W in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$, so that $P/(PJ(R_1)) \cong W$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$. Assume that Q is an R -module such that $Q|_{R_1} \cong P$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$. Then Q is indecomposable and $Q/(QJ(R_1)) = V$ is an R -module such that $V|_{R_1} \cong W$. Thus V is an irreducible R -module and $QJ(R_1) = QJ(R)$. If also $|G|1_k$ is a unit in k , then Q is a projective cover of V by [6, Proposition 3.3].

Next we present an application of our results to the classical case in Stable Clifford Theory in finite group representation theory (cf. [10, V, Satz 17.5]).

Let M be an irreducible $k[N]$ -module. Assume that $c: H \times H \rightarrow k^\times$ is a 2-cocycle with H acting trivially on k^\times such that $c: N \times N \rightarrow \{1\}$ and c is constant on (gN, hN) for all $g, h \in H$. Let $k[H](c)$ denote the corresponding twisted group algebra, so that $k[N]$ is a subalgebra of $k[H](c)$. Since $k[H](c)$ can be viewed as a fully $G = H/N$ -graded k -algebra with $(k[H](c))_{gH} = \bigoplus_{x \in gN} kx$ for all $g \in H$. Proposition 2 yields:

COROLLARY 5. *Suppose that there is a $k[H](c)$ -module L such that $L|_{k[N]} \cong M$ in $\text{Mod}(k[N])$. Let P be a projective cover of L in $\text{Mod}(k[H](c))$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $P|_{k[N]}$ is a projective cover of M ;
- (b) L is $k[N]$ -projective; and
- (c) $PJ(k[H](c)) = PJ(k[N])$.

As another application, we observe that [12, Proposition 2.8] is a special case of our results. For, [12, Proposition 2.8(a)] is a special case of Corollary 5 and [6, Proposition 3.3], and [12, Proposition 2.8(b)] is a special case of Remark 4, Corollary 5 and [6, Proposition 3.3].

REMARK 6. Suppose that O is a complete discrete valuation ring and let $k = O/J(O)$. Then

$$J(O)R = RJ(O) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (J(O)R_g) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (R_g J(O))$$

is a G -graded 2-sided ideal of R contained in $J(R)$ (cf. [7, I, Lemma 8.15]) and $\bar{R} = R/(RJ(O))$ is a fully G -graded finite dimensional k -algebra with $(\bar{R})_g = (R_g + RJ(O))/(RJ(O))$ for all $g \in G$. Let L be a finitely generated completely reducible R -module and let $f : P \rightarrow L$ be a projective cover of L in $\text{Mod}(R)$ where P is a projective R -module and $f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, L)$ is essential (cf. [3, Section 6C]). Since $LJ(O) \subseteq LJ(R) = (0)$, L may be viewed as a completely reducible \bar{R} -module and $PJ(O) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)$. Also $\bar{P} = P/(PJ(O))$ is a projective \bar{R} -module and f induces the projective cover $\bar{f} : \bar{P} \rightarrow L$ in $\text{Mod}(\bar{R})$. Here $(\bar{R})_1 = (R_1 + RJ(O))/(RJ(O)) \cong R_1/(R_1J(O))$ as rings and, using [3, Section 6C], it is easy to see that $f : P \rightarrow L$ is a projective cover of L in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$ if and only if $\bar{f} : \bar{P} \rightarrow L$ is a projective cover of L in $\text{Mod}((\bar{R})_1)$.

Section 2 presents some basic results that are required in our proof of Proposition 2 that is given in section 3.

2. Preliminary Results. For the convenience of the reader we present the following two well-known results (cf. [9, Lemma 2.6] and [1, II, Proposition 6.1]):

LEMMA 2.1. (a) for each $g \in G$, R_g is a finitely generated projective R_1 -module and a finitely generated projective left R_1 -module; and (b) R is a finitely generated projective R_1 -module and a finitely generated projective left R_1 -module.

LEMMA 2.2. Let K be a subgroup of G and let P be a finitely generated projective $R_K = \bigoplus_{g \in K} R_g$ -module. Then $P \otimes_{R_K} R$ is a finitely generated projective R -module.

LEMMA 2.3. Let K be a subgroup of G and let Q be a finitely generated projective R -module. Then $Q|_{R_K}$ is a finitely generated projective R_K -module.

PROOF. Let T be a transversal for the left cosets of K in G . Then $R = \bigoplus_{x \in T} R_{xK}$ in $\text{Mod}(R_K)$. Clearly R_{gK} is a finitely generated projective R_1 -module for each $g \in G$ by Lemma 2.1. (a). Fix $g \in G$. It suffices to prove that R_{gK} is a projective R_K -module. Note that $R_g \otimes_{R_1} R_K = \bigoplus_{k \in K} (R_g \otimes_{R_1} R_k)$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$ and that $\alpha : R_g \otimes_{R_1} R_K \rightarrow R_{gK}$ defined by: $\alpha(r \otimes_{R_1} s) = rs$ for all $r \in R_g$ and all $s \in R_K$ is well-defined R_K -epimorphism. Since the restriction of α to $R_g \otimes_{R_1} R_k$ is one-to-one by [6, (1.4)] for all $k \in K$, α is an

isomorphism. Since $R_g \otimes_{R_1} R_K$ is a projective R_K -module by Lemma 2.1(a) and [1, II, Proposition 6.1], we are done. ■

For the remainder of this section, we assume that $O = k$ is a field.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let N be an R_1 -module. Then:*

- (a) $\mathcal{H}(N) \otimes_{R_1} R \cong (N \otimes_{R_1} R) / ((N \otimes_{R_1} R)J(R_1))$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$; and
- (b) $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(N) \otimes_{R_1} R) \cong \mathcal{H}(N \otimes_{R_1} R)$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$.

PROOF. We have an exact sequence

$$(0) \rightarrow NJ(R_1) \xrightarrow{i} N \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{H}(N) = N / NJ(R_1) \rightarrow (0)$$

in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$ where i denotes the inclusion map and π is the canonic epimorphism. Since R is a projective and hence flat left R_1 -module,

$$(0) \rightarrow NJ(R_1) \otimes_{R_1} R \xrightarrow{i \otimes I_R} N \otimes_{R_1} R \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes I_R} \mathcal{H}(N) \otimes_{R_1} R \rightarrow (0)$$

is exact in $\text{Mod}(R)$. Then [8, Lemma 2.4(d)] yields (a) and (b) follows from (a) and the fact that $RJ(R_1) = J(R_1)R \subseteq J(R)$. ■

LEMMA 2.5. *Let N be an R_1 -module and let Q be a projective cover of N in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$. Let $\text{Irr}(R)$ be a set of representatives for the types of irreducible R -modules and for each $X \in \text{Irr}(R)$, let $P(X)$ denote a projective cover of X in $\text{Mod}(R)$. Then:*

- (a) $Q \otimes_{R_1} R \cong \bigoplus_{X \in \text{Irr}(R)} (\text{mult}(X \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(N \otimes_{R_1} R)) P(X))$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$;
- (b) $(Q \otimes_{R_1} R) / ((Q \otimes_{R_1} R)J(R_1)) \cong \bigoplus_{X \in \text{Irr}(R)} (\text{mult}(X \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(N \otimes_{R_1} R)) (P(X) / P(X)J(R_1)))$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$; and
- (c) $\mathcal{H}(N) \otimes_{R_1} R \cong \bigoplus_{X \in \text{Irr}(R)} (\text{mult}(X \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(N \otimes_{R_1} R)) (P(X) / P(X)J(R_1)))$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$.

REMARK 2.6. Let M be an irreducible R_1 -module and let L be an irreducible R -module. Then $L|_{R_1}$ is a completely reducible R_1 -module since $LJ(R_1) \subseteq LJ(R) = (0)$ and

$$\mathcal{H}om_R(\mathcal{H}(M \otimes_{R_1} R), L) \cong \mathcal{H}om_R(M \otimes_{R_1} R, L) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{R_1}(M, L)$$

as k -spaces by [1, II, Section 6, (3')]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\text{End}_R(L) / k)(\text{mult}(L \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(M \otimes_{R_1} R))) &= \\ \dim(\text{End}_{R_1}(M) / k)(\text{mult}(M \text{ in } L|_{R_1})). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Here $\mathcal{H}(N) \cong \mathcal{H}(Q)$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$ (cf. [11, VII, Section 10]) and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(Q \otimes_{R_1} R) &\cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(Q) \otimes_{R_1} R) \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}(N) \otimes_{R_1} R) \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}(N \otimes_{R_1} R) \text{ in } \text{Mod}(R) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.4(b). Now [11, VII, Section 10] implies (a) and (b) is immediate. Also (b), Lemma 2.4(a) and the fact that $\mathcal{H}(N) \cong (Q)$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$ yield (c) and we are done. ■

3. A Proof of Proposition 2. In this section, we present a proof of Proposition 2 and consequently we assume its hypotheses and we set $M = L|_{R_1}$, so that $\mathcal{H}(M) = M$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$.

Suppose that (c) holds. Then $P(L)/(P(L)J(R_1)) = P(L)/P(L)J(R) \cong L$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$ and $P(L)|_{R_1}$ is a projective R_1 -module by Lemma 2.3. Since

$$\mathcal{H}(P(L)|_{R_1}) \cong (P(L)/P(L)J(R_1))|_{R_1} \cong L|_{R_1} \text{ in } \text{Mod}(R_1),$$

(a) follows. Also

$$(0) \neq \text{Hom}_{R_1}(M, L|_{R_1}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_{R_1} R, L) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{H}(M \otimes_{R_1} R), L)$$

over k by [1, II, Section 6, (3')]. Hence

$$L \cong P(L)/(P(L)J(R)) = P(L)/P(L)J(R_1)|_{M \otimes_{R_1} R}$$

by Lemma 2.5(c). Thus (b) also holds. Assume (a) and observe that $(P(L)/(P(L)J(R_1)))|_{R_1} \cong L|_{R_1}$ in $\text{Mod}(R_1)$. Since $P(L)/P(L)J(R) \cong L$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$ and $P(L)J(R_1) \subset P(L)J(R)$, a dimension argument forces (c). Assume (b). Thus

$$L|(M \otimes_{R_1} R) \cong \bigoplus_{X \in \text{Irr}(R)} (\text{mult}(X \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(M \otimes_{R_1} R))(P(X)/(P(X)J(R_1))))$$

by Lemma 2.5(c). The Krull-Schmidt Theorem implies that $L \cong P(L)/P(L)J(R_1)$ in $\text{Mod}(R)$. Thus (c) follows and our proof is complete. ■

REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University, Princeton, 1956.
2. M. Cohen and S. Montgomery, *Group-Graded Rings, Smash Products, and Group Actions*, Trans. A.M.S. **282** (1984), 237–258.
3. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory*, vol. I, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
4. E. C. Dade, *Isomorphisms of Clifford Extensions*, Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 375–433.
5. E. C. Dade, *Group-Graded Rings and Modules*, Math Z. **174** (1980), 241–262.
6. E. C. Dade, *The equivalence of various generalizations of group rings and modules*, Math. Z. **181** (1982), 335–344.
7. W. Feit, *The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
8. M. E. Harris, *Filtrations, Stable Clifford Theory and Group-Graded Rings and Modules*, Math. Z. **196** (1987), 497–510.
9. M. E. Harris, *Clifford theory and filtrations*, J. of Algebra. **132** (1990), 205–218.
10. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
11. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
12. W. Willems, *On the projectives of a group algebra* Math. Z. **171** (1980), 163–174.

School of Mathematics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455