

## Guidance for Speech & Language Therapists

The document, **Key information for SaLTs: Addressing SLCN in Down Syndrome**, aims to provide a 'pick up and go' concise overview of syndrome specific considerations for speech and language therapy staff. It draws out points for clinical practice that may be overlooked in contemporary clinical practice with people who have learning disabilities.

The document sets out the rationale for support and intervention from birth and throughout the life span that addresses the unique profile of strengths and weaknesses impacting speech, language, communication and feeding. Researchers caution against a manualised approach to speech and language therapy for this population (see for example Van Bysterveldt, 2019): personalised goals and strategies that take into account individual's skills, interests and aspirations, and must include direct therapy, carried out by speech and language therapists with a sound understanding of syndrome specific issues and interventions.

Rather than setting out a speech and language therapy treatment regime, it provides guidance and signposts research evidence to support implementation of Sackett et al's (1996) conceptualisation of Evidence Based Practice that 'integrates the best external evidence with individual clinical expertise and patients' choice'.

The document was developed in the context of the Down syndrome Act 2022, by a working group of speech and language therapists from the DS CEN, including parents of a child and a young adult who have Down syndrome. It was endorsed and adopted by the CEN membership in 2024.

## About The Down Syndrome CEN

### About the Down Syndrome CEN

The Down Syndrome CEN aims to support speech and language therapists working with children and adults who have Down syndrome. We coordinate a number of activities throughout the year including termly study days covering a range of topics and speakers, regular online groups and more.

### Down Syndrome CEN Study Days & Meeting

We run a study day every Spring term providing an agenda of presentations and/or workshops on a range of topics of interest to speech and language therapists working with children and adults who have Down syndrome. In addition to the Spring study day, we coordinate two shorter online meetings in the Summer and Autumn term.

### Peer Supervision Groups

Supervision groups offer a welcoming and supportive space to reflect and learn from one another. Groups focus on a wide range of topics across the lifespan.

### Journal Club, Research Groups, and Guidance Groups

Our groups and clubs help members keep up to date with relevant research, support members to become involved in research opportunities, and develop guidance specific to working with children and adults who have Down syndrome.

### Join the DS CEN

Membership provides access to a network of speech and language therapists and associate members with an interest in Down syndrome (e.g., researchers) as well as SLT assistants and students.



If you are a SaLT working with the Down syndrome community join the DS CEN to access further information, presentations, research, & peer support here: <https://www.ds-cen.co.uk/jointhescen>

High quality evidence-based speech and language therapy intervention addressing speech skills AND language skills AND communication skills should **commence during the first year of life** and be sustained into adolescence and adulthood, given evidence of continuing gains (Burgoyne, 2020) and “the importance of communication in enabling ... full potential in society” (Murphy et al., 2017).

We first consider the syndrome specific profile and then we look at key domains in more detail, across the life span.

## Syndrome specific profile:

### 1. DS is associated with a distinct speech and language profile that does not mirror cognitive development.


Speech and language skills are areas of relative weakness compared to non-verbal IQ. “DS is associated with a distinct language profile characterised by relative strengths in receptive vocabulary and significant impairments in auditory processing, expressive language, phonology and grammatical skills (e.g., Næss et al., 2011). Pragmatic communication skills are typically stronger than linguistic skills, but there are pragmatic difficulties nonetheless (Smith et al., 2017)”, (Burgoyne, 2020).

### 2. Assessment and intervention must take account of the DS specific profile and the wide range of individual needs, including when autism is suspected.

Speech and language therapy goals should target speech, vocabulary, grammar, and functional communication through specific interventions, and address real life communication challenges (Rondal & Buckley, 2003). It is of utmost importance with this client group to establish a strong relationship with the client, and to work with their interests and motivations. Access to speech and language therapy should not be withdrawn or denied due to behaviour issues.

### 3. The amount of intervention matters.

“Children with DS who receive more frequent intervention make greater gains in learning (Burgoyne, 2012; Yoder et al., 2014). It is vital that speech & language therapists (SaLTs) deliver direct therapy regularly” (Burgoyne, 2020). They should also train parents and educators in teaching activities and syndrome specific learning needs, so that they can provide daily support for language learning (Burgoyne, 2020).



### 4. Hearing should be closely monitored and optimised.

Fluctuating hearing loss and history of hearing loss account for variation between individuals' speech and language development (Laws & Hall, 2014). SaLTs should ascertain hearing status, and take this into account when assessing and delivering intervention. SaLTs should also include support for hearing when advising on strategies to support communication.

### 5. Speech and language therapy is relevant throughout adulthood.

As people with DS move through life, they may benefit from SaLT support to access employment, navigate relationships, self-advocate and to manage any mental health issues and later life challenges including decline in skills and onset of dementia.

### 6. Individuals are best engaged and motivated through their interests and responsive strategies.

Therapy and assessment must be responsive and flexible in order to accommodate the specific attention shifts and motivational challenges of people with DS. (Miller et al., 1999). Learners with DS are particularly sensitive to failure, if they find a task too difficult or perceive it to be so, this may result in apparent poor attention to task and avoidant behaviour. Errorless learning is therefore important for this population.

### 7. Speech and language therapists play a vital role in addressing challenging behaviour and enhancing quality of life through support for positive behaviour.

SaLTs bring expertise in identifying messages communicated through behaviours, and advising on effective strategies to communicate unmet needs, in order to decrease behaviour issues (Feeley & Jones, 2006; Stein, 2016).

### 8. Respectful descriptors are valued by the DS community.

Person first language is usually preferred (e.g., people with DS). Terms such as “more complex, difference, or condition” are more acceptable than “low functioning, abnormality, or disorder” respectively. If in doubt, ask.

If you are a SaLT working with the Down syndrome community join the DS CEN to access further information, presentations, research, & peer support here: <https://www.ds-cen.co.uk/jointhescen>

## Key domains across the lifespan:

### 9. There is a high prevalence of dysphagia and risk of aspiration in young children with DS and feeding issues throughout life (Cooper-Brown et al., 2008; Hennequin et al., 2000).

Screening for feeding and swallowing concerns is recommended for all babies with DS (Stanley et al., 2019) with ongoing monitoring of effects (RCSLT, 2024). In childhood, feeding evaluation should target overcoming activity limitations and participation restrictions, to improve overall quality of life (Anil et al., 2019). Syndrome specific protocols should support eating and drinking skills throughout childhood (Anil et al., 2019) including promoting developmental progression, and managing sensory and behavioural needs.

### 10. Targeted vocabulary teaching with visual supports utilises areas of strength to improve communication.

Vocabulary is an area of strength relative to syntactic skills. Receptive vocabulary targets should build on strength in comprehension, with separate targets for expressive vocabulary, as vocabulary output does not keep pace with comprehension. Vocabulary teaching should build on world knowledge and should systematically teach concepts for the individuals' key stage/age group.

### 11. Language impairments can be addressed using strengths in visual processing to compensate for weaknesses in auditory processing.

Individuals with DS have difficulty maintaining and storing phonological patterns of words (Jarrold et al., 2009) and grammatical structures (Rondal, 2017). Numerous studies have demonstrated gains when visual supports are used to teach: vocabulary e.g., written words, objects, signs, pictures/symbols (Burgoyne et al., 2012); syntax e.g., shape coding, (Tobin & Ebbels, 2018); morphosyntax e.g., written word (Baxter et al., 2021); and narrative skills e.g., story maps (Westerveld & van Bysterveldt, 2022). Teaching whole word recognition to rehearse language through reading has been advocated since the 1970s, and researchers discuss the use of strengths in visual processing as 'well justified' (Fidler, 2005).

### 12. While early strengths in social interaction are apparent, use of language for more complex pragmatic functions may require support.

Young children with DS show strengths in social interaction e.g., sharing and cooperation (Sigman & Ruskin, 1999) and non-verbal communication (Fidler, 2005; Franco & Wishart, 1995; Smith et al., 2017).

Later more complex pragmatic skills that rely on language are more delayed (e.g., Laws & Bishop, 2004; Smith et al.,



2017). Strong social sensitivity and aspiration "to be treated like everybody else" (Jessop, 2023) demands intervention that enables use of age appropriate language and expresses personality.

### 13. Speech intervention is not contingent on expressive language levels and should begin early, addressing the syndrome-specific speech disorder.

Intervention can address the known syndrome-specific speech disorder and should start in the first year of life (Miller et al., 1999). Research points to underlying deficits in:- phonological awareness; prosody; oral structure; motor speech skills; and apraxia of speech. These impact on speech intelligibility as well as phonological development (Kent & Vorperian, 2013; Rupela et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2015). Therefore, comprehensive assessment is essential to identify specific deficits and devise individual programmes of intervention, with the overall aim of enhancing speech intelligibility. A range of approaches have been used effectively: notably, Van Bysterveldt et al., (2009) highlight use of visual representations of speech sounds.

### 14. Disruptions to fluency and prosody are common features of speech in DS and should be considered in assessment.

Management of fluency issues should be informed by a thorough and holistic assessment of communication, including speech, language and social interaction skills, and environmental factors. SaLTs should work with communication partners to implement strategies that make communication easier and promote fluency. Direct work on speech, language and communication skills can build capacity for fluency. Fluency control strategies should be considered particularly if a client is reacting to the dysfluency e.g., avoids speaking, or exhibits other secondary behaviours.

### 15. Speech generating devices can be considered from the preschool phase.

Speech generating devices are important when an individual lacks effective means of verbal expression (Barbosa et al., 2018). However, vocabulary sets should be personalised, taking into account the learning disability, expressive language difficulties, the need for lexical extension and personalised special interests. These can be considered as early as the pre-school phase (Romski et al., 2023).

## Reference List

- Anil, M.A., Shabnam, S. and Narayana, S. (2019). 'Feeding and swallowing difficulties in children with Down syndrome', *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 63(8), pp. 992-1014.
- Baxter, R., Rees, R., Perovic, A. and Hulme, C. (2021). 'The nature and causes of children's grammatical difficulties: Evidence from an intervention to improve past tense marking in children with DS', *Developmental Science*, 25(4), Article e13220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.13220>.
- Barbosa, R.T.A., de Oliveira, A.S.B., de Lima Antão, J.Y.F., Crocetta, T.B., Guarnieri, R., Antunes, T.P.C., Arab, C., Massetti, T., Bezerra, I.M.P., de Mello Monteiro, C.B. and de Abreu L.C. (2018). 'Augmentative and alternative communication in children with Down's syndrome: a systematic review'. *BMC Pediatr.* 11;18(1), pp.160.
- Buckley, S. (2000). *Speech and language development for individuals with Down syndrome - an overview*, Down Syndrome Education International, Portsmouth, UK. ISBN: 1-903806-00-3.
- Burgoyne, K. (2020). 'Down's syndrome: Language Development and Intervention', *The Bulletin*, Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists (RCSLT) August Edition. <https://www.rcslt.org/wp-content/uploads/media/Project/Bulletins/bulletin-august-2020.pdf>
- Burgoyne, K., Duff, F., Clarke, P., Buckley, S., Snowling, M., and Hulme, C. (2012). 'Efficacy of a reading and language Intervention for children with DS: A randomized controlled trial', *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 53(10), 1044-053. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02557.x>.
- Cooper-Brown, L., Copeland, S., Dailey, S., Downey, D., Petersen, M.C., Stimson, C. and Van Dyke, D.C. (2008). 'Feeding and swallowing dysfunction in genetic syndromes', *Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*. 14(2), pp.147-57.
- Feeley, K. and Jones, E. (2006). 'Addressing challenging behaviour in children with DS: the use of applied behaviour analysis for assessment and intervention', *Down Syndrome Research and Practice*, 11(2), pp.64-77.
- Fidler, D. (2005). 'The Emerging DS Behavioral Phenotype in Early Childhood Implications for Practice', *Infants & Young Children*, 18(2), pp. 86–103.
- Franco, F., and Wishart, J. G. (1995). 'Use of pointing and other gestures by young children with Down syndrome', *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 100(2), pp. 160–182.
- Hennequin, M., Allison, P. J., and Veyrune, J. L. (2000). 'Prevalence of oral health problems in a group of individuals with DS in France', *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, Oct 42(10), pp.691-8.
- Jarrold, C., Thorn, A. S. and Stephens, E. (2009). 'The relationships among verbal short-term memory, phonological awareness, and new word learning: Evidence from typical development and DS', *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 102(2), pp.196–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2008.07.001>.
- Jessop, T. (2023). *A Life Worth Living: Acting, Activism and Everything Else*, Wildfire, London.
- Kent, R. and Vorperian, H. (2013). 'Speech Impairment in DS: A review', *Journal of Speech language and Hearing Research*, 56(1), pp.178-219.
- Laws, G. and Bishop, D.V. (2004). 'Verbal deficits in Down's syndrome and specific language impairment: a comparison'. *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders*. Oct-Dec;39(4) pp.423-51. <https://doi:10.1080/13682820410001681207>.
- Laws, G. and Hall, A. (2014). 'Early hearing loss and language abilities in children with DS', *International journal of language & communication disorders*, 49(3), pp.333-342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12077>.
- Miller, J., Leddy, M. and Leavitt. L. (1999). *Improving the communication of people with Down syndrome*, Paul H Brookes, Baltimore.
- Murphy, D., Lyons, R., Carroll, C., Caulfield, M. and De Paor, G. (2017) 'Communication as a human right: Citizenship, politics and the role of the speech-language pathologist', *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 20(1) pp.16-20.
- Næss, K.A., Lyster, S.A., Hulme. C., Melby-Lervåg, M. (2011). 'Language and verbal short-term memory skills in children with Down syndrome: a meta-analytic review'. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*. Nov-Dec;32(6), pp.2225-34. <https://doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2011.05.014>.

## Reference List

- Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists (2024). *Position paper on the use of thickened fluids in the management of people with swallowing difficulties*. RCSLT, London.
- Romski, M., Sevcik, R.A., Barton-Hulsey, A., Fisher, E., King, M., Albert, P., Kaldes, G., and Walters, C. (2023). 'Parent-implemented augmented communication intervention and young children with Down syndrome: an exploratory report', *Front Psychol.* Jun 13;14:1168599. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1168599>.
- Rondal, J. (2017). 'Morphosyntactic development and implicit learning in DS and related conditions', *Journal of Intellectual Disability - Diagnosis and Treatment*, 5, pp.24-35. <https://doi.org/10.6000/2292-2598.2017.05.01.4>.
- Rondal, J. and Buckley, S. (2003). *Speech and language intervention in Down syndrome*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Rupela, V., Velleman, S. and Adrianopoulos, M. (2016). 'Motor speech skills in children with Down syndrome: A descriptive study', *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 18, pp.483-492.
- Sigman, M. and Ruskin, E. (1999). 'Continuity and change in the social competence of children with autism, Down syndrome, and developmental delays', *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 64(1), v-114.
- Smith, E., Næss, K. and Jarrold C. (2017). 'Assessing pragmatic communication in children with Down syndrome', *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 68, pp.10-23
- Stanley, M.A., Shepherd, N., Duvall, N., Jenkinson, S.B., Jalou, H.E., Givan, D.C., Steele, G.H., Davis, C., Bull, M.J., Watkins, D.U. and Roper, R.J. (2019). 'Clinical identification of feeding and swallowing disorders in 0-6 month old infants with Down syndrome', *American Journal of Medical genetics. Part A.* Feb;179(2), pp.177-182.
- Stein, D. S. (2016). *Supporting positive behavior in children and teens with Down syndrome: The respond but don't react method*. Woodbine House.
- Tobin, L. and Ebbels, S. (2018). 'Effectiveness of intervention with visual templates targeting tense and plural agreement in copula and auxiliary structures in school-aged children with complex needs: A pilot study', *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 33(1-2), pp. 175-190. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699206.2018.1501608>
- van Bysterveldt, A., Gillon, G. and Foster-Cohen, S. (2009). 'Integrated speech and phonological awareness intervention for pre-school children with DS', *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders*, 45(3), pp.320-335. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13682820903003514>
- Westerveld, M. and van Bysterveldt, A. (2022). 'Personal narrative intervention for school-age children with DS: A focus on macrostructure', *Child Language Teaching and Therapy*, 38(2), pp.197-211.
- Wong, B., Brebner, C., McCormack, P. and Butcher, A. (2015). 'Word production inconsistency of Singaporean-English-speaking adolescents with Down Syndrome', *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 50(5), pp.629-645.
- Yoder, P., Woynaroski, T., Fey, M. and Warren, S. (2014). 'Effects of dose frequency of early communication intervention in young children with and without Down syndrome'. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*. Jan;119(1), pp.17-32. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-119.1.17>.