Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you agree that we have identified the correct aims, supporting principles and features of the USO? Do you consider that these should continue to be respected as far as possible when assessing potential changes to the USO?	Yes. Under the principle of universality, as described by Ofcom, we believe there may be scope for prioritisation of some NHS correspondence. For more detail, please refer to question 3 below.
Question 2: Do you agree with our assessment of the direction of change in postal needs of residential (including vulnerable) users and SMEs? Are there other factors relevant to their future demand which we have not considered?	No. Many of the potential options for changing the USO seem to focus more on the current challenges Royal Mail faces in meeting USO obligations, rather than on the needs of all service users. Please refer to our response to question 3 below, where we have shared some of these needs relating to NHS communications.
Question 3: Do you agree with our assessment of the bulk mail market? Are there other factors relevant to its future evolution which we have not considered?	No. Please see below for our full response to this question.
Question 4: Are there specific events/changes that could trigger a significant change in demand for large mail users, including public services?	Yes. As specified in our response to question 3 below, the NHS in England collectively sends out over 1.4 billion letters every year. And despite moves towards digital communication methods including the NHS App, letters still account for over 70% of NHS communications. So, although not describing a change in demand, it is vital to understand the scale of NHS communications in any proposals to reform the USO and bulk mail products.
Question 5: Do you agree with our proposed approach to estimating the financial burden of the USO?	N/A

Question	Your response
Question 6: Do you agree with our considerations regarding the unfairness of the financial burden of the USO?	N/A
Question 7: Do you agree with our considerations regarding the impact of the financial burden of the USO?	N/A
Question 8: Do you agree with our analysis of the different options available to change the USO and the impact of those changes on residential (including vulnerable) users, SMEs and bulk mail users? If not, please explain why and set out any option(s) which we have not considered.	No. Proposals to change or reduce delivery days would need to consider the needs of 'vulnerable' people and specify which days the USO would operate. If the postal service was reduced to three days and operated Monday to Wednesday, people would face four consecutive days of radio silence from NHS teams. Therefore, it may be more appropriate for the service to operate on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, for example. This would, however, require further discussion with different NHS organisations.
Question 9: Which option(s) do you consider would be most appropriate to address the challenges we have identified, while also ensuring that users' needs are adequately met?	N/A
Question 10: Do you have any other views about how the USO should evolve to meet users' needs?	As outlined in our detailed answer to question 3 below, a new proposal to prioritise NHS communications would better meet the needs of people, instead of current proposals to cut the delivery speed of letters.

Please complete this form in full and return to futurepostalUSO@ofcom.org.uk.

Question 3. Do you agree with our assessment of the bulk mail market? Are there other factors relevant to its future evolution which we have not considered?

No.

In relation to the bulk mail market, the consultation proposes:

- Cutting the USO requirement for post to be delivered six days a week, down to five or three days a week – with subsequent impacts on bulk mail which is delivered through the USO network.
- That Royal Mail could seek to align the delivery speed of its bulk mail services to the proposals for a reduced service (D+3).

As stated in our <u>open letter to Royal Mail and Ofcom (27 February 2024)</u>, any cuts to the speed of letter delivery may increase risks to patients and make current issues around NHS communications more widespread.

Instead, we suggest that prioritised delivery of NHS letters is explored further by Ofcom, Royal Mail, NHS England, National Voices, and our partners at Healthwatch England, the Patient's Association, and NHS Providers.

In the first instance, the co-signatories above would suggest a focus on prioritising:

- Appointment letters.
- Confirmation of referrals.
- Test results.
- Post-diagnosis information.
- Advice and guidance related to public health emergencies.

We believe that the Ofcom research supports this move:

- Letters related to hospital appointments are time sensitive as compared with other communications.
- Some people feel reassured receiving hard copies of hospital appointment letters.
- Those most reliant on letter delivery for hospital appointments are less supportive of proposed changes to the USO.

We also believe that there is sufficient scale involved in this issue to warrant an approach separate to other wholesale bulk mail options:

- Point 9.66 in the report notes that depending on which proposals are taken forward bulk mail organisations who need items to arrive quickly may need change their business practices or switch to other commercial products.
- The evidence in <u>chapter 5</u> does not seem to support this solution for NHS letters, and would be very difficult to apply due to the volume of letters sent by NHS teams.
- The NHS in England is not one organisation and is made up of many different organisations operating at national, regional, and local levels collectively sending out approximately 1.4 billion letters every year.
- Provisional findings from Healthwatch England show that 66% of people who had an appointment in the last year were notified via letter, and 3% of people received letters after their appointment took place.
- To estimate the total number of people affected by this, Healthwatch England have taken 66% of the 124.5 million outpatient appointments which took place in 2022-23.
- 3% of this subsequent figure suggests over two million appointments were missed due to the late delivery of letters.
- These national findings may also mask significant local variation, which will require further exploration and consideration.

- Healthwatch England's research also found that 14% of people received their letter in the week of their appointment.
- This alone is not necessarily a problem, however we know that 1 in 4 people on hospital waiting lists in 2021 experienced a cancellation, and 45% of cancellations in 2023 happened with between one and seven days' notice.
- Therefore, the combination of proposed delays to delivery of NHS letters with the unique nature of last-minute appointment bookings and cancellations could put more people at risk of missing time critical appointments, appointment changes or vital test results.
- Along with patient safety risks, this will impact on NHS teams as well, with previous estimates on the cost of missed hospital appointments sitting at over £1 billion every year on top of the disruption for staff and other patients.

Finally, we understand there is precedence for a move towards prioritising some NHS correspondence via an urgent or hybrid service. This includes previous prioritisation of COVID-related letters and LFT results during the pandemic, and current manual approaches happening across the country.

Ofcom and Royal Mail colleagues have privately shared that where these practices are currently taking place, the process can be expensive, inefficient, and imperfect.

But with the right support, we believe that prioritisation of some NHS correspondence could be achieved. The exact mechanism will need discussing with key partners, including Ofcom, Royal Mail, and NHS teams, but one example could be via the addition of an NHS identifier on envelopes. This would work within the spirit of current proposals while reducing the risk to patient safety.

We are very happy to continue discussions with Ofcom and Royal Mail to achieve this shared goal.