Electronic supplementary information (ESI)

Two pure MOF-photocatalysts readily prepared for the degradation of methylene blue dye under visible light

Ming Zhang, Liwen Wang, Tianyu Zeng, Qigao Shang, Hong Zhou, Zhiquan Pan, Qingrong Cheng*

Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Process of Ministry of Education, Wuhan

Institute of Technology, Wuhan 430073, P. R. China

Engineering Research Center of Nano-Geo Materials of Ministry of Education, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, P. R. China.

Corresponding authors:

*(Q.C.) E-mail: chengqr383121@sina.com

Total number of pages: 8

Total number of Tables: 4

Total number of Figures: 6

Physical Measurements

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer with graphitemonochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using the SMART and SAINT programs. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F2 by full-matrix least-squares methods with SHELXTL version 5.1. Nonhydrogen atoms of the ligand backbones were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms within the ligand backbones were fixed geometrically at calculated positions and allowed to ride on the parent non-hydrogen atoms. The C, H, N microanalyses were performed with a Vario EL elemental analyzer. Fourier-transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) were got using a Bio-Rad FTS6000 spectrophotometer in the wavelength range of 4000 - 400 cm⁻¹ (KBr pellets). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were measured on a STA 409 PC analyzer in the temperature range of 25 - 800 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen flow. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) experiments were carried out on Rigaku D-MAX2550 (λ = 0.15417 nm) with 20 ranging from 5° to 80° under ambient conditions. The UV-Vis spectrums for the solid state sample were obtained on a HITACHI U-4100 spectrophotometer. The X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded on an ESCALAB250 spectrometer (Thermo-VG Scientific) using Mg Ka radiation (1253.6 eV) and the binding energy values were calibrated with respect to the C (1 s) peak (284.6 eV). Fluorescence spectra were recorded on an F-7000 FL Spectrophotometer with a quartz cuvette (path length = 1 cm). ICP-OES results were obtained on Optima 5300DV from Perkin Elmer. BET data was obtained from ASAP2020HD88.

Materials and Methods

All reagents and solvents were used as received from commercial suppliers without further purification. 4, 4'-Bipyridine (99.00%), cobalt chloride hexahydrate (99.00%), copric chloride dehydrate (99.00%), and hydrogen peroxide (30.00%) were purchased from Aladdin Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, China. Ultrapure water from a Millipore Milli-Q system was used to prepare aqueous solutions for the two complexes synthesis and for the degradation experiments.

Experiment of Photoluminescence Spectra (PL)

•OH radical reactions were performed as follows. 4.00 mg of the photocatalyst was suspended in 40.0 mL aqueous solution containing 2.00×10^{-3} M NaOH and 5.00×10^{-4} M terephthalic acid. Before exposure to light, the suspension was stirred in the dark for 1 h. And then 400 µL H₂O₂(4.20%) was added to the system before 1.00 mL sample was removed every 10 min and centrifuged for fluorescence spectroscopy measurements. A fluorescence spectrophotometer was used to measure the fluorescence signal of the 2-hydroxy terephthalic acid generated. The excitation light wavelength used in recording fluorescence spectra was 320 nm and the emission wavelength appeared to be ~426 nm.

Radical Trapping Experiments

The radical trapping experiments just have one more additional procedure than the MB photocatalytic process: 10 mM radical scavenger need to be added to the system of photogradation before 500 W Xe lamp turned on at room temperature. The specific

dosage of t-BuOH and TEOA are 38.0 μ L and 53.0 μ L, respectively. The MB concentration changes were monitored by measuring the absorption intensity at its maximum absorbance wavelength of $\lambda = 664$ nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. To measure the photocatalytic activity under visible light, a 420 nm cutoff filter was used to provide the visible light.

Table S1. Crystallographic data for complexes 1 and 2	

Empirical fomula (Formula weight)	C ₁₀ H ₈ ClCuN ₂ (255.18)	C ₁₂ H ₁₀ CoN ₂ O ₄ (305.15)
CCDC deposit no.	1542274	1572221
Crystal system , Space group	Tetragonal, I41/acd	Tetragonal, P 43 21 2
<i>a, b, c</i> (Å)	14.2202(5) 14.2202(5) 38.543(4)	7.9778(4) 7.9778(4) 17.3831(15)
α, β, γ (°)	90 90 90	90 90 90
Volume (Å ³)	7793.9(10)	1106.35(15)
Z , D (calc) (g/cm ³)	32, 1.740	4, 1.832
Mu (Mo-Ka) [/mm], F(000)	2.471, 4095	1.563, 620
Crystal size [mm]	$0.21\times0.19\times0.04$	$0.21\times0.17\times0.14$
Temp., K	293	173
Mo K α radiation (Å)	0.71073	0.71073
θ range (deg)	2.86 - 24.99	2.809 - 26.318
Dataset	– 11: 16 ; – 16: 16 ; – 30: 45	- 8: 9; - 8: 9; - 19: 21
Tot., uniq. data R(int)	10278, 1696, 0.0996	4695, 1117, 0.0492
Observed data [I>2.0 sigma(I)]	871	951
Nref, Npar	1696, 127	1117, 90
R, wR ₂ , S	0.0707, 0.2404, 1.196	0.0351, 0.0674, 1.047
Max. and Av. shift/error	0.00, 0.00	0.00, 0.00
Min. and Max. Resd. Dens. [e/ Å ³]	- 0.308, 0.526	-0.373, 0.367

1	1		2
Bond lengths (A°)		Bond len	egths (A°)
Cu1-N1	1.966(7)	Co1-O1	2.150(3)
Cu1-N2	1.981(7)	Col-O2	2.144(4)
Cu1-Cl1	2.446(2)	Co1-N1	2.113(4)
Cu1-Cl1	2.493(2)	Co1-N2	2.121(4)
Cu1-Cu1	2.756(2)		
Bond angles (°)		Bond a	ngles (°)
N1-Cu1-N2	126.5(3)	N1-Co1-N2	180.00(3)
N1-Cu1-Cl1	107.92(18)	N1-Co1-O2	92.70(8)
N2-Cu1-Cl1	104.4(2)	N2-Co1-O2	87.30(8)
N1-Cu1-Cl1	110.45(19)	N1-Co1-O2	92.70(8)
N2-Cu1-Cl1	99.8(2)	N2-Co1-O2	87.30(8)
Cl1-Cu1-Cl1	106.09(8)	O2-Co1-O2	174.60(15)
N1-Cu1-Cu1	101.27(16)	N1-Co1-O1	90.15(8)
N2-Cu1-Cu1	132.2(2)	N2-Co1-O1	89.85(8)
Cl1-Cu1-Cu1	56.90(6)	O2-Co1-O1	84.72(10)
Cl1-Cu1-Cu1	55.29(6)	N1-Co1-O1	90.15(8)
Cu1-Cl1-Cu1	67.81(6)	N2-Co1-O1	89.85(8)
C5-N1-Cu1	123.0(6)	O2-Co1-O1	95.27(10)
C1-N1-Cu1	121.8(6)	O1-Co1-O1	179.70(17)
C10-N2-Cu1	120.7(5)	C1-N1-Co1	121.0(2)
C6-N2-Cu1	122.4(6)	C6-N2-Co1	121.4(2)
		C7-O1-Co1	125.7(3)
		C7-O2-Co1	119.0(3)

Table S2. Selected bond distances (A°) and angles (°) for complex 1 and 2

Table S3. The pore size and BET surface area of two complexes before and after

 photocatalysis

Sample	BET surface area	Pore size (BJH)	Pore size (D-H)
1 before photocatalysis	7.1124 m ² /g	2.8391 nm	4.5196 nm
1 after photocatalysis	2.3968 m ² /g	2.1700 nm	4.3456 nm
2 before photocatalysis	4.2314 m ² /g	2.1273 nm	0.3164 nm(BET)
2 after photocatalysis	14.2915 m ² /g	47.6506 nm	2.0728 nm

 Table S4. The ICP result of centre metal ions concentration in MB aqueous solution

after photocatalysis

System	ICP result
with photocatalyst 1	5.52 mg/L
with photocatalyst 2	9.44 mg/L

Figure S1. Three-dimensional network structure of complex 1 from one direction



Figure S2. Views of the framework structure of complex 1 along c axis direction



Figure S3. TG, DSC and DTG curves of complex 1



Figure S4. TG, DSC and DTG curves of complex 2





Figure S5. The N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms (a. 1 before photocatalysis, b. 1 after photocatalysis, c. 2 before photocatalysis, d. 2 After photocatalysis)

Figure S6. The degradation of MB with different concentration H₂O₂ under UV light

