

SENSORY DISTURBANCES FROM CEREBRAL LESIONS.¹

BY HENRY HEAD, M.D., F.R.S.,
Physician to the London Hospital,

AND

GORDON HOLMES, M.D.,
*Assistant Physician to the National Hospital, Queen Square, and to the
Seamen's Hospital, Greenwich.*

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	102
CHAPTER I.—The Grouping of Afferent Impulses in the Spinal Cord and Brain-stem.	
§ 1.—The Spinal Cord	106
§ 2.—The Brain-stem	112
CHAPTER II.—Sensory Disturbances associated with certain Lesions of the Optic Thalamus.	
§ 1.—Introduction	124
§ 2.—The Excessive Response to Affective Stimuli, and the Behaviour in States of Emotion, of the Abnormal Half of the Body	128
(A) The Response to Unpleasant Stimuli	128
(a) Prick	128
(b) Painful Pressure	128
(c) Extremes of Heat and Cold	130
(d) Visceral Stimulation	131
(e) Scraping, Roughness, Vibration	132
(f) Tickling	133
(B) The Response to Pleasurable Stimuli	133
(C) The Behaviour of the Affected Half of the Body in States of Emotion	135
§ 3.—The Loss of Sensation which may be associated with Lesions of the Optic Thalamus	136
(a) The Appreciation of Posture and Passive Movement	137
(b) Tactile Sensibility	137
(c) Localization	139
(d) Heat and Cold	140
(e) The Compass-test... .. .	141
(f) The Appreciation of Weight	142
(g) The Appreciation of Size, Shape, and Form in Three Dimensions	143
(h) Vibration	144
(i) Roughness	145
(k) Summary of the Loss of Sensation	145

¹ The substance of this paper formed the Croonian Lectures delivered before the Royal College of Physicians on June 13, 15, 20 and 27, 1911.

	PAGE
CHAPTER III.—Sensory Disturbances produced by Lesions of the Cerebral Cortex	146
§ 1.—Graduated Tactile Stimuli	147
§ 2.—Measured Painful Stimuli	153
§ 3.—Temperature	155
§ 4.—The Appreciation of Posture and of Passive Movement	157
§ 5.—Localization	161
§ 6.—The Compass-test	165
§ 7.—The Appreciation of Weight	167
§ 8.—Appreciation of Size, Shape and Form in Three Dimensions	169
§ 9.—Roughness and Texture	170
§ 10.—Vibration	171
CHAPTER IV.—Analysis of the Loss of Sensation produced by Lesions of the Optic Thalamus and Neighbouring Parts ..	171
§ 1.—Disturbance of the Afferent Receptive Mechanism in the Optic Thalamus ..	173
§ 2.—Disturbance of Sensory Impulses as they pass from the Optic Thalamus to the Cortex ...	174
CHAPTER V.—Theoretical Conclusions.	
§ 1.—The Essential Activity of the Thalamic Centre...	177
§ 2.—The Nature of the Sensory Activity of the Cortex	182
§ 3.—The Inter-relation of the Optic Thalamus and the Cortex in the Production of Sensation ...	190
APPENDIX I.—Methods used for Examining Sensation	192
APPENDIX II.—Short Accounts of Illustrative Cases	213

WE must begin by craving the indulgence of our readers for the form in which the following paper is cast. The nature of the sensory changes produced by lesions of the cerebral cortex and other parts of the brain has been the theme of innumerable works by the acutest intellects in medicine of the last fifty years. Our research would have been impossible but for their labours, and yet we can never acknowledge what we owe to them. Many, whose views have helped to make our work fruitful, are known to us through their publications, and to them we can repay our debt by referring at suitable points to the similarity of our results to those of our predecessors.

Others have been our teachers and the masters for whom we have gladly worked. To them our debt can never be repaid, for much of the identity in outlook comes from personal intercourse and cannot be fixed by citation. First amongst this group must always stand the name of Dr. Hughlings Jackson.

This paper is a preliminary communication in which we put forward a general view of the mode of action on the afferent side of the nervous

system. Any such generalization must not only contain much that is common to the views of others, but also many things incompatible with the conceptions, even of those with whom we are otherwise in harmony. Where possible, we shall always acknowledge our concurrence with those who have preceded us, but in the small space at our disposal we cannot enter into criticism of work with which we are not in agreement. In many cases, although our theoretical conclusions may coincide with those put forward by other workers, our experimental results may differ fundamentally. In the same way, similar clinical observations may lead us to other conclusions, but it will be impossible to stop our exposition in order to discuss these differences.

We shall attempt to put forward in this paper a general conception of the mechanism underlying sensation, based upon a study of human beings in whom disease, accident, or surgical interference has produced some stationary lesion. Many of these patients have occupied our attention from time to time for many years, and it will be impossible in this preliminary communication to give a complete history of all our cases. We have, however, added in an appendix an abbreviated account of the physical signs of certain patients, to which we shall frequently allude for the sake of illustration.

We lay great stress upon the methods we have used for testing sensibility in this research and have therefore detailed them fully in another appendix to this paper. Here we have described the difficulties which may arise and the means we have taken to overcome them. As far as possible we shall avoid the use of all general terms. We wish to lay peculiar stress on the confusion which arises from the use of all such expressions as "deep sensibility," when reporting the results of a clinical examination; not infrequently, "deep sensibility" is said to be affected from a lesion of the cortex, when the observer means to state that the appreciation of posture, of passive movement, or of vibration, is defective. Similarly, "atopognosis" is a combined loss of the faculties of recognizing position in space and the situation of the spot stimulated, two functions capable of independent investigation. In every case, therefore, we shall describe our observations under headings which imply the simple test used in analysing the loss of sensation.

Cases of disease may be studied from two aspects. More commonly, it is our business as physicians to consider their relation to clinical types, and to discuss the diagnostic significance of certain signs and symptoms. But, in this paper, disease is simply the means by which certain laws are demonstrated and certain physiological activities are

laid bare. It is not the disease which occupies our attention, but the opportunities it gives for analysing the processes underlying sensation. We shall therefore begin by tracing sensory impulses from the point where they enter the spinal cord, and follow them to those centres where they form the basis of that psychical process we call sensation.

For this investigation, exact knowledge of the site of the lesion is less important than a precise determination in selected cases of the nature of the sensory changes. We are occupied in this research with certain forms of sensory loss and with certain dissociations of sensibility; our aim is to discover the grouping of afferent impulses at different levels of the nervous system and not to determine the regional diagnosis of organic lesions. The most definite sensory grouping and dissociation are exhibited in cases where the lesion is stationary and the patient otherwise in perfect health, whilst in many instances where the lesion could be determined *post-mortem* the patient was entirely unsuitable for sensory examination. It is only by the exact study of these affections of sensation that we can obtain any knowledge of the nature of cerebral activity and of the form in which impulses reach the higher centres to underlie the production of sensation.

It is our pleasant duty to express our sincere thanks to all those who have helped us in this work. To the staff of the National Hospital, Queen Square, we owe innumerable opportunities of observing many valuable cases, and Dr. Head wishes to thank them for their generous hospitality. To Sir Victor Horsley we are particularly grateful for permission to use our observations on his remarkable case of excision of a portion of the cerebral cortex.

In consequence of such help we have been able to investigate a large number of selected cases. This includes twenty-four patients in whom the clinical symptoms justified us in assuming certain affections of the optic thalamus. A second group consists of sixteen patients with limited lesions of the surface of the brain, most of which resulted from successful removal of a cerebral tumour or other cortical disease. In this group, the site of the lesion is known with more or less accuracy, and we have included solely stationary cases examined months or years after operation. We have also examined the effects produced upon sensation by acute destructive lesions and advancing cerebral tumours; in this way we have studied the effects of the condition called by Monakow "diaschisis." Finally, we have employed the same methods to investigate many instances of disease in the neighbourhood of the internal capsule and deeper parts of the brain.

CHAPTER I.—THE GROUPING OF AFFERENT IMPULSES IN THE SPINAL CORD AND BRAIN-STEM.

§ 1.—*The Spinal Cord.*

It is a matter of universal belief that man has been evolved from the lower animals; and yet, when we deal with sensation and sensory processes, we speak as if he were created with peripheral end-organs, each capable of reacting to one of the sensory qualities of human experience. The impulses starting in these end-organs are supposed to pass unaltered to the brain, there to set up that peculiar and unknown change which underlies a specific sensation.

Spots were found on the skin sensitive to touch, to pain, to heat or to cold only. With the discovery of these highly developed end-organs, the doctrine of specific nerve-energy seemed to be proved in the strictest manner. All other forms of sensory appreciation were supposed to be produced by the psychical transformation of these primitive sensory elements, in association with an ill-defined faculty called the "muscle-sense." Recognition of the locality of a stimulus and the posture of the limbs were attributed to judgment and association.

But alongside the systematic investigation by von Frey and others of the capabilities of these specific areas in the skin, the clinicians were discovering the importance of "muscular sensibility." Sherrington's demonstration of afferent fibres in muscles and tendons placed the existence of the "muscle-sense" beyond a doubt, and the use he made of these afferent impulses from deep structures, in his theory of the proprioceptive system, necessitated a complete exploration of the nature of deep sensibility.

By their experiment directed to this end, Rivers and Head [33] showed that beneath the skin, independent of all "touch-" and "pain-spots," lies an afferent system capable of a wide range of functions. Pressure, that in ordinary life would be called a touch, can be appreciated and localized with considerable accuracy. Increase of pressure, especially on bones and tendons, will cause pain. Moreover, it is from the impulses of this deep afferent system that we gain our knowledge of the posture of the limbs and the power of recognizing passive movements.

Evidently, therefore, the peripheral mechanism of sensation is less simple than was at first supposed. For there are two sets of end-organs, that can respond to tactile stimuli, and two independent mechanisms for the initiation of pain. Further analysis showed that the peripheral apparatus in the skin, by which we become conscious of the nature of

external stimuli, is highly complex. No one sensory quality is subserved by a single set of end-organs, but every specific sensation is the result of the combined activity of more than one group. This is exactly the result that might have been expected, when we bear in mind that, the structure of man is the product of a long evolution.

But it is equally obvious, from an evolutionary standpoint, that these diverse impulses could not pass uncombined to the highest physiological level. Within the spinal cord, the opportunist grouping of the periphery gives place to an arrangement according to quality (Head and Thompson [12]). All impulses capable of generating pain become grouped together in the same path, and can be disturbed simultaneously by an appropriate lesion of the spinal cord. In the same way, sensibility to heat or to cold may be lost independently of one another, showing that all the impulses upon which they are based have been sorted out into two functional groups, each of which passes by a separate system in the spinal cord.

The most remarkable condition revealed by an intramedullary lesion is the complete separation of the impulses underlying the appreciation of posture, the discrimination of two points and their correlated faculties from those of other sensory groups. All painful and thermal impulses, coming from the periphery, undergo regrouping after entering the spinal cord, and, whether they arise in the skin or in deeper structures, become arranged according to functional similarity. Then, after a longer or shorter course, they pass away to the opposite side of the spinal cord.¹

This process of filtration leaves all the impulses associated with postural and spacial recognition to continue their course unaltered in the posterior columns; they are the survivors of peripheral groups broken up by the passing away of certain components into secondary afferent systems. At any point in the spinal cord, these columns transmit not only impulses from the periphery which are on their way, after a longer or shorter passage, to regrouping and transformation, but at the same time they form the path for impulses, arising both in the cutaneous and deep afferent systems, which undergo no regrouping until they reach the nuclei of the medulla oblongata.

Thus, a lesion confined to one half of the spinal cord, even at its highest segment, may interfere with the passage of sensory impulses,

¹ Tactile impulses seem to run in two paths: one in the same half of the spinal cord to that by which they entered, the other on the opposite side of the spinal cord (Petrén [28, 30], Head and Thompson [12]).

some of which are travelling in secondary paths, whilst others are still within the primary level of the nervous system. All impulses concerned with painful and thermal sensations from distant parts, disturbed by such a lesion, will be travelling in secondary paths and will have come from the opposite half of the body; for, after regrouping, they have passed across the spinal cord. But those impulses underlying the appreciation of posture, the compass-test, size, shape, form, weight, consistence and vibration will be affected on the same half of the body as the lesion. They still remain in paths of the peripheral level and have undergone no regrouping.

In such a case the parts on the side opposed to the lesion may be insensitive to pain, heat and cold; but all the postural and spacial aspects of sensation will be perfectly maintained. Yet, all power of recognizing position, of estimating size, shape, form and weight, or of discriminating the two compass-points, will be lost in the limbs which lie on the side of the lesion, although tactile sensibility and localization of the spot stimulated may be perfectly preserved.

This remarkable arrangement enables us to analyse the nature of the peripheral impulses upon which depend our power of postural and spacial recognition. Obviously, even at the periphery, they must be independent of touch and pressure. The power to distinguish two points applied simultaneously and to recognize size and shape requires as a preliminary the existence of sensations of touch; but the patient may be deprived of all such powers of spacial recognition without any discoverable loss of tactile sensibility. In the same way our power to appreciate the position of a limb, or to estimate the weight of an object, is based upon impulses which, even at the periphery, exist apart from those of touch and pressure called into simultaneous being by the same external stimulus.

This long delay of the postural and spacial elements in reaching secondary paths enables them to give off afferent impulses into the spinal and cerebellar co-ordinating mechanisms, which lie in the same half of the spinal cord. The impulses which pass away in this direction are never destined to enter consciousness directly. They influence co-ordination, unconscious posture and muscular tone, and, although arising from the same afferent end-organs, they never become the basis of a sensation.

Finally, the last survivors of these impulses from the periphery become regrouped in the nuclei of the posterior columns and cross to the opposite half of the medulla oblongata in paths of the secondary level.

So they pass to the optic thalamus and thence to the cortex, to underlie those sensations upon which are based the recognition of posture and spacial discrimination.




FIG. 1.—To show the loss of sensation in Case 1. The shaded area on the right half of the face corresponds to the parts over which sensibility was diminished or lost to prick. Over the left half of the body the dark parts were insensitive to prick, heat and cold. Over the left arm and leg, pressures of 15 to 18 kg. on the pressure-algometer produced no pain.

The following case is an example of the effects produced by a lesion situated in one half of the spinal cord just below the point at which the postural and spacial impulses become regrouped in the nuclei of the posterior columns.

CASE 1.—*Brown-Séquard paralysis.**On the Right—**Weakness of arm and leg.**Reflexes exaggerated ; ankle-clonus and extensor plantar reflex.**Loss of painful sensibility over right cheek (fig. 1), though touch, heat and cold were unaffected.**On the body and limbs, tactile, painful and thermal sensibility were perfect ; but in the right arm and leg, posture, passive movements and vibration of a tuning-fork could not be appreciated within normal limits, the compass-test was defective, and he could not recognize size, shape, form, weight, or consistence of objects in the right hand. Localization was not affected.**On the Left—**Motion perfect.**Reflexes normal.**Loss of sensation to pain, heat and cold as in fig. 1.**All other forms of sensibility, including localization, perfectly preserved.*

W. C.,¹ a man, aged 34, was in perfect health until he was thrown from his van in consequence of a collision on May 30, 1908. He was concussed and did not regain consciousness until three weeks after his accident, when he found he was unable to move his right arm and leg. Speech was in no way affected and movements of his face were never impaired. Slowly he regained power over the right arm and leg, and about two months after the accident he was able to walk. From the first he knew he could not recognize the position of the right arm, but was unconscious of the loss of painful and thermal sensibility on the left half of the body.

When we first examined him nearly two years later (February, 1910), all movements of his right arm could be performed within a normal range, but they were distinctly weaker than those of the opposite side. The tone of the muscles of the right upper extremity was increased, but there were no organic contractures.

His gait showed a certain awkwardness, but he did not drag the right foot. All movements could be readily carried out, although his strength was slightly less in the right than in the left lower extremity. The tone of the muscles of the right leg was not increased.

All reflexes on the left half of the body were normal, but the arm-, knee- and ankle-jerks on the right side were much exaggerated and the plantar reflex gave an extensor response. Slight clonus was obtained at the right ankle.

Ocular movements and the reactions of the pupil were in no way affected, and the face, tongue, and palate moved equally on the two sides. But on the right cheek and in the occipital region sensation was disturbed (fig. 1). Over the greater part of this area, the patient was insensitive to a prick, but the borders were not sharply defined, merging gradually into

¹ We owe the opportunity of examining this man to the kindness of Dr. James Collier.

parts of normal sensibility. Over the occipital region 15 kg. of pressure, applied with the algometer, produced no pain, whereas on the left half of the skull he responded to 5 kg. Cotton-wool was appreciated everywhere; but over the central parts of the area, on the right cheek and ear, the tickling sensation, easily evoked from the left half of the face, was absent. The patient recognized the touch of a hair of 21 grm./mm.² within the affected area, and no difference could be discovered between the two halves of the face to measured tactile stimuli. Sensibility to heat and cold was not disturbed, the compass-points were readily distinguished at 2.5 cm., and localization was perfect.

This loss of sensation on the right half of the head formed the local manifestation of a lesion situated in the right half of the spinal cord. As in most Brown-Séguard paralyses, the remote effects of this destruction appeared as a loss of sensation to painful and thermal stimuli on the opposite (left) half of the body. This loss was absolute to every form of pain and to all degrees of temperature over the area shown in fig. 1. Not only was the patient insensitive to prick, but pressures of from 15 to 18 kg. produced no pain over the left arm or leg. All forms of tactile sensibility were, however, completely preserved. The compass-points could be accurately distinguished and localization was perfect. The position of all portions of the left arm and leg were recognized with ease, and passive movements were appreciated within normal limits.

When we turn to the condition of the right half of the body, that is, to the parts on the side of the lesion, we find almost the exact converse. All sensibility to touch, pain and temperature was perfectly preserved, but the patient was unable to recognize the posture of his right arm and leg, and could neither name nor imitate correctly the position into which they had been placed. Movements made passively were not recognized, until they exceeded from five to eight times the normal range.

Thus, for instance, movements of the index-finger on the right and left hands, which could be appreciated subtended the following angles measured in degrees:—

Left	{	Flexion	2½	2	2½	2	2½	
		Extension	3	2½	2½	2½	2½	
Right	{	Flexion	15	15	17x	15x	22x	
		Extension	17	17	30x	27	28	

But, although movements of the right index were appreciated, when the finger had been moved through the number of degrees on this formula, the direction of movement was wrongly given in those cases where an *x* is added to the figure.

The vibrations of a tuning-fork were appreciated badly or not at all over the right arm and leg, in spite of the complete integrity of tactile and pressure sensibility, and the compass-points were not perfectly distinguished on the right palm, even at a distance of 6 cm. from one another. On the left palm a perfect reading was obtained at 2 cm.

All power of recognizing size, shape and form in three dimensions was absent in the right hand, in spite of the existence of perfect tactile sensibility.

The power of estimating weight and the relative amount of pressure exerted by two otherwise similar objects was lost on the right hand. Moreover, the patient was unable to recognize the difference between even the hardest and the softest of the objects used to test consistence, when they were compressed between the fingers and thumb of his right hand.

And yet, in spite of this sensory disturbance localization was perfect in the limbs of the right half of the body, and the two points of the compasses, if applied to the skin one after the other, were perfectly appreciated on the two hands.

This case is an excellent illustration of the principles laid down in this section, and the retention of muscular power in the right hand enabled us to test the faculty of recognizing size, shape, form in three dimensions, weight and consistence with an accuracy otherwise impossible.

In conclusion, we believe that at the extreme upper end of the spinal cord, just below the formation of the posterior column nuclei, afferent impulses pass upwards in three main divisions:—

(1) The impulses underlying sensations of pain, of heat and of cold are travelling in secondary paths, in the opposite half of the spinal cord from that by which they entered.

(2) Those impulses, upon which depend postural recognition and spacial discrimination still remain uncrossed in the posterior column. They consist of one group, arising in the deep afferent system, upon which depend our recognition of posture, passive movement, weight, consistence and vibration. A second group arises in the end-organs of the skin and these impulses underlie the discrimination of two points applied simultaneously, and the allied appreciation of size, shape and form in three dimensions.

(3) Contact sensibility evoked by touch and by pressure may remain entirely undisturbed. Apparently it depends upon impulses which run in a double path and it is therefore unaffected by a purely unilateral lesion (Rothmann [34], Petrén [28], Head and Thompson [12]). This tactile group, formed by the union of tactile impulses within the cord, carries with it the impulses which underlie the power of recognizing with accuracy the situation of the stimulated spot, and localization therefore remains unaffected.

• § 2.—*The Brain-stem.*

The larger number of afferent impulses traverse the spinal cord, grouped, according to their sensory qualities, in paths that can be isolated by disease. This enables us to analyse the nature of the groups

formed, either by specific selection at the first synaptic junction, or by gradual filtration away into secondary tracts, of impulses arising originally in the same peripheral system. But, in their further passage through the brain-stem to the optic thalamus, the impulses run in paths which are anatomically more closely associated. This makes any analysis of the grouping of afferent impulses in this region unusually difficult.

Impulses underlying sensations of pain, heat and cold seem alone to run unaltered between the upper end of the spinal cord and the optic thalamus.¹ They receive the accession of the regrouped secondary impulses from the face, which cross to join the specific paths for pain, for heat or for cold. These paths are so situated that they can be interrupted without disturbance of any other form of sensation on the body, and the analgesia and thermo-anæsthesia so produced resemble in quality the loss to pain, heat and cold caused by a lesion of the spinal cord.

Thus, when a lesion of the bulb interferes with sensations of pain, not only may the skin be insensitive to prick but the readings of the pressure-algometer may be raised on the analgesic side. In the same way, the affected area on the body may be insensitive to all degrees of heat and to all stimuli capable of evoking normally a sensation of cold.

It is, however, important to remember that although the uncomfortable sensation produced by excessive pressure is greatly diminished, a lesion in this situation does not usually abolish completely all painful pressure (Case 2, Case 3). Thus it would seem that the grosser forms of pain and discomfort may possibly find their way by another path, if the usual one is closed; whereas, an equivalent lesion in the spinal cord blocks all painful impulses whatever their origin.

Not only can these three forms of sensibility be affected together, but any one of them may escape or be affected alone. Thus von Monakow [26] described loss of sensation to heat and cold without analgesia, and in the case recorded by Mann [22] and later by Kutner and Kramer [19] sensibility was lost to pain and to heat, whilst that to cold was unaffected (cf. also Wallenberg [41] and Marburg [23]).

The following case shows that, with a lesion of the bulb, the opposite half of the body may become analgesic and yet the threshold-values of sensibility to heat and cold may be equal on the two sides.

¹ This does not necessarily mean that the impulses are carried by uninterrupted fibres. It has been suggested by Long [20], Cajal [4], Kohnstamm [17], that the nuclei of the formatio reticularis are intercalated in the course of the afferent conduction tracts. Such anatomical relay would not interfere with the above physiological conclusions, as it does not necessarily imply any regrouping of sensory impulses.

CASE 2.—October, 1906, sudden onset of pain in the right lower jaw. Since June, 1907, he has noticed loss of sensation on the left half of the body and right half of the face. First seen by us June, 1909, and since then his condition has not changed materially.




FIG. 2.—To show the loss of sensation in Case 2. The right half of the face was analgesic to prick, but pressure-pain was not lost. The left half of the body was insensitive to prick, and the readings of the pressure-algometer were uniformly higher than over similar parts on the normal side.

Motor power and reflexes unaffected.

Diplopia in all directions. Pupils unaffected. Optic neuritis in both eyes. Hearing diminished in right ear.

Sensation on the face.—Loss to prick with no loss to pressure-pain over the area in fig. 2. No other change in sensation to measured stimuli, but touch

seemed "less vivid," heat "hotter," and cold "less cold," over right than left half of the face.

Sensation on the body.—The left half, except the perineum and scrotum, was insensible to prick (fig. 2). Pressure-pain was also diminished. Otherwise sensation was unaffected.

F. C. F., aged 34. (This patient was first seen by us when he was in the National Hospital, under the care of Sir William Gowers, in June, 1909.) He was a teetotaler, a married man, and denied all venereal disease.

In October, 1906, pain came on suddenly in the right lower jaw as he was sitting in the barber's chair. He became pale and faint and "felt queer" for the rest of the day. The pain continued unaltered until May, 1907, when it grew more severe and reached its height in June of the same year. In the following nine months it gradually diminished, but returned in April, 1909.

A sharp, stabbing headache in the occipital region first appeared in September, 1907. This has slowly increased in severity. Vomiting began in July, 1907, and has continued on and off ever since.

He has noticed some disturbance of sensation on the left half of the body and right half of the face since June, 1907.

He has not been definitely giddy, but occasionally sways when walking on the pavement.

In June, 1909, motion was not affected,¹ and the reflexes did not differ materially on the two halves of the body.

He complained of diplopia in all directions, greater on looking upwards, when the images were crossed; with movements to the right or to the left they were uncrossed. The pupils reacted well and were central in position. Movements of his jaw, face, palate and tongue were carried out perfectly on both sides.

Smell was not affected, but the ammonia reflex was almost completely abolished from the right nostril. Taste was lost on the right half of the outstretched tongue. Hearing was slightly diminished in the right ear compared with the left; the tuning-fork placed on the forehead was not heard on the right side. The fields of vision were normal and there was no colour loss; but optic neuritis was visible in both eyes, more evident in the right. The discs were pale and the cup filled up.

Sensation on the face.—He complained of occasional spasms of pain in the right half of the face, closely resembling those of tic douloureux. No difference between the sensibility of the two sides could be discovered with cotton-wool, von Frey's hairs, Graham-Brown's æsthesiometer, or to the compass-test and vibration, but he complained that all forms of touch were "less vivid" over the right than over the left half.

The whole of the area shown on fig. 2, together with the right half of the tongue, was, however, insensitive to prick, though the algometer gave approximately equal readings on the two sides.

Both heat and cold were appreciated on the two halves of the face, but

¹ For co-ordination *vide infra*.

although the thresholds were the same, all heat seemed hotter over the affected half, while cold stimuli seemed colder over the normal parts of the face.

Sensation on the body.—Localization, the compass-records, the appreciation of size, shape, form and weight, were equally good on the two halves of the body.

Cotton-wool was recognized everywhere, and the same measured tactile stimulus was required to produce a sensation of contact on the two halves of the body. There was no difference in the threshold for the appreciation of roughness and the length of time during which vibration could be recognized; but both sensations of touch and vibration were more vivid on the normal than on the left half of the body.

Heat and cold could be appreciated everywhere, but all degrees of warmth seemed hotter over the affected half of the body (left). Any cold stimulus, on the other hand, seemed colder over normal parts.

The whole of the area (fig. 2) shown on the left half of the body was insensitive to prick; but it will be seen that a small area in the left perineum and the greater part of the penis and scrotum of the same side responded to the prick of a pin. The left testicle was, however, entirely insensitive to pressure, whereas the normally unpleasant sensation could be easily evoked from that of the right side. The pressure of the algometer, necessary to evoke pain, was considerably higher on the left than on the right half of the body (fig. 2), especially on the palms and on the soles.

The position of the limbs was recognized as well on the one side as on the other, and passive movements of all the joints were perfectly appreciated. And yet in spite of perfect recognition of posture and movement, there was distinct inco-ordination of the left hand. He knew that the movement was not absolutely correct; thus, if asked to touch a spot on a sheet of paper with his eyes closed, the left index-finger deviated to a much greater extent than the right. But so sure was he of the position of the affected hand that he could place the normal forefinger accurately upon the wandering left index without opening his eyes.

Thus this case showed (1) a local lesion affecting the sensation of the right half of the face and neck; (2) a remote disturbance of sensibility to pain over the left half of the body with considerable raising of the algometer readings over the analgesic area; (3) with heat and cold the same threshold was obtained on the two halves of the body.

All recent work has shown that the paths for the transmission of the impulses for pain, heat and cold run up in the neighbourhood of the nucleus of the trigeminal nerve. This close local association is particularly well shown by cases of occlusion of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery (Wallenberg[40], Breuer and Marburg[2], Spiller[39]).

In addition to these well-known facts, the following instance of this condition also shows that impulses underlying the appreciation of

posture and passive movement have become separated from those concerned with spacial discrimination. The compass-test gave identical results on the two halves of the body, and size, shape and form in three dimensions could be appreciated on both hands. And yet the amount of passive movement necessary to evoke a sensation was three to four times greater in the affected fingers. Evidently, a change in grouping has taken place at the posterior column nuclei and the impulses for spacial discrimination now tend to run apart from those of postural recognition.

CASE 3.—*An instance of "occlusion of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery." Sudden onset December 25, 1908. Seen by us first in July, 1909.*

Horizontal and rotatory nystagmus, but diplopia had passed off. Left pupil did not dilate fully to shade. Left palpebral fissure smaller than right. Movement of left half of the palate defective, but vocal cords and tongue moved well.

Gait unsteady: he tended to deviate to the left but fell to the right when his eyes were closed. No paralysis.

Reflexes normal.

Sensation on the face.—Left half insensitive to prick, but sensitive to pressure-pain (fig. 3). Tactile and thermal thresholds were equal and normal on the two halves of the face, but all temperatures seemed "duller" on the left half.

Sensation on the body.—Loss to prick, to heat and to cold over the right half of the body, right arm and right leg (fig. 3). Sensibility to pressure-pain diminished over this area but not lost.

Diminished power of recognizing posture and passive movement in right arm and right leg.

All other forms of sensation normal.

W. S., a man, aged 50, suddenly fell upon the floor just as he had finished breakfast on Christmas morning, 1908. He was not unconscious but intensely giddy. On trying to rise he fell to the right, helpless and unable to stand. Vomiting came on at once and was almost continuous for thirty-six hours. He was put to bed, where he remained for eight weeks, compelled to lie almost constantly on his left side.

From the beginning, he complained that the right half of the body "felt cold and numb," although he could appreciate "the slightest touch" and knew where his limbs lay in bed. He could always move the right arm freely, but was unable to use it for taking food; he said, "I could hold a spoon in my right hand, but I could not be certain of putting it properly into my mouth." In the same way he found some difficulty in directing the movements of the right leg.

When he left his bed, at the end of eight weeks, he staggered as if drunk and always felt as if he was falling towards the right. But on the other hand, when sitting in a chair he frequently seemed to be drawn over to the left. This giddiness disappeared completely in seven months and he recovered sufficient control over the right arm to return to work in July, 1909.

Immediately after the stroke he saw double; the two images appeared side by side, and he believed that the one to the left was the false one. For a time the left upper eyelid "drooped," and he found that the left cheek did not sweat and was colder when he touched it than the right. From the beginning of his




FIG. 3.—To show the loss of sensation in Case 3. The left half of the face was insensitive to prick but sensibility to pressure-pain was unaltered. Over the darkened area on the right half of the body sensations of heat, cold and pricking were abolished. Pressure-pain, though diminished, was not lost.

attack, he noticed that the left half of the face seemed numb, and this has persisted unchanged up to the present time. All food appeared to be very cold on the left half of the mouth and he lost taste on the same half of the tongue. At first he found considerable difficulty in swallowing; solid food seemed to stick in his throat. His voice became hoarse at the time of the stroke. He

was never deaf, but since the attack has suffered from tinnitus in the left ear, "like a cricket whistling."

We saw him first in July, 1909, owing to the kindness of Dr. Golla. He was an intelligent, healthy-looking man with somewhat rigid arteries. The left eye was a little more sunken and the palpebral fissure somewhat smaller than on the right side. He no longer saw double, and all ocular movements were normal in range; but, on full lateral movements, slight horizontal and rotatory nystagmus was visible, the rotation having an outward and downward direction. The left pupil was slightly smaller than the right; it reacted well to light and on accommodation, but did not dilate so rapidly and so fully as the right when shaded, or when the skin of the neck and cheek was stimulated.

The muscles of the jaw acted normally, and volitional movements of the face were equal on the two sides, but, when talking or smiling, the left half moved more than the right.

The left half of the palate was less active and the uvula was drawn definitely over to the right. The vocal cords moved well and all difficulty in swallowing had passed away. Movements of the tongue were perfect.

Taste and smell were not affected when we first saw him, but the ammonia reflex from the left nostril was certainly greatly diminished. Hearing and vision were normal.

He could walk without help, although he was evidently careful and afraid of stumbling; no difference could be discovered between the lower extremities, but he tended to keep the legs abducted and to turn the toes a little outwards in walking. Moreover, when walking quickly he deviated to the left and constantly corrected himself voluntarily. But, as soon as his eyes were closed and he was told to walk forwards, he fell to the right in consequence of the defective sense of position and movement in the right leg.

The strength of the movements and the tone of the muscles were almost equal in both arms and no difference could be discovered between the two lower extremities. The movements of the left arm were slightly ataxic, whether the eyes were open or closed. Rapidly repeated movements were equally well carried out with both upper extremities.

There was no material difference between the reflexes on the two halves of the body and both plantars gave a flexor response.

Sensation on the face.—Both halves of the face responded equally well to cotton-wool and von Frey's hairs, but the left forehead, temple and cheek were insensitive to prick (fig. 3); this area merged gradually behind into parts of normal sensibility. Over the temples and malar bones the pressure-algometer gave the same readings on both sides ($1\frac{1}{2}$ and 2 kg.). The left cornea was insensitive to a hair of 23 grm./mm.² and the left conjunctiva did not respond to 100 grm./mm.², whereas 8 grm./mm.² produced a quick reflex and a sensation of sharpness on the right cornea. From the normal conjunctiva 23 grm./mm.² immediately evoked an uncomfortable sensation. The threshold for the appreciation of heat and of cold was the same on the two sides, but the patient complained that all temperatures produced a

“duller” sensation on the left half of the face. Both sides responded equally well to vibration and to the compass-test.

Sensation on the body.—All forms of tactile sensibility were perfectly preserved, vibration was appreciated and localization was perfect on both sides. The compass-records were equally good from both hands and from the soles of both feet. Weight, size, shape and form were accurately recognized.

Sensibility to prick began to be defective at the line marked on fig. 3. The loss of sensation gradually deepened until on the right arm, trunk and leg it was complete. Over the whole of this half of the body, the pressure necessary to cause pain was uniformly higher than over similar parts on the other side, as shown by the numbers on fig. 3. Both testicles were sensitive, but the sensation was more uncomfortable from the left than from the right.

Over the same area on the right half of the body he was insensitive to all degrees of temperature and, although the borders of this loss of sensation corresponded to those of the analgesia, they were more definite.

The power of recognizing posture was also certainly somewhat diminished in both the right arm and the right leg. The existence of this loss was confirmed by measurement of the smallest perceptible movement. Thus extension and flexion of the index-finger of the left hand were recognized with a movement of just over 2° ; whereas on the right hand the average flexion necessary for recognition was 7° , whilst extension of over 8° was required to evoke a sensation of movement.

This typical case of “occlusion of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery” showed:—

(1) A sensory disturbance over the left half of the face representing the local lesion.

(2) Analgesia, with raising of the algometer readings, and complete loss of sensation to heat and to cold over the right half of the body.

(3) Diminished recognition of posture and passive movement in the right arm and leg, but all spacial discrimination was perfect (compass-test, appreciation of size, shape, form).

(4) Slight cerebellar inco-ordination in the left arm and leg, which was not increased by closing the eyes.

When all afferent impulses from the face have undergone regrouping and passed, in secondary tracts, to the opposite half of the nervous system, the various sensory paths are gathered closely together in preparation for their ending in the optic thalamus. Every sensory path now lies within the opposite half to that by which the impulses entered the central nervous system.

From the analytical aspect, these mid-brain lesions can give little information as to the grouping of the elements underlying sensation.

But occasionally, by watching the gradual disappearance of one form after another, a hint may be gained with regard to the independence or association of any two groups of impulses.

Moreover, the tendency we have already noticed for the grosser forms of pain or discomfort to find a way to consciousness, although the more specific forms of pain, heat or cold are blocked, becomes more evident the closer the lesion lies to the optic thalamus. And yet it is probable that the impulses which evoke these uncomfortable sensations are not conducted by a bilateral path.

The following case, which we owe to the kindness of the late Dr. Beevor, illustrates the concentration of paths just before their entry into the optic thalamus. Incidentally it seems to show that the power of localizing the spot touched may be greatly affected at this level with but little loss of tactile sensibility.

CASE 4.—*Tumour of the mid-brain and optic thalamus verified by post-mortem examination.*

Five months before death the following signs were present—

Loss of power in the left arm and leg, with profound ataxy. Extensor response from left sole with normal response from right.

Athetoid movements of left hand, together with a fine tremor when the left arm was extended.

Eye-movements greatly restricted ; nystagmus in all directions ; fixed pupils.

The loss of sensation was confined to the left of the middle line. Sensations of prick were diminished over left arm and leg, but lost on the left palm only. Tactile sensibility was very little, if at all, affected during the time he was under observation by us. ¶

Localization lost over left upper extremity. Compass-test gravely affected over left arm and leg. Appreciation of size and weight abolished in left hand.

T. B., aged 24, was admitted to the National Hospital on October 8, 1908, under the care of the late Dr. Beevor at the request of Dr. Judson Bury. After his death on July 24, 1909, his brain was described by Dr. Judson Bury [3].

In 1905 he began to see double and at the same time the lid of the right eye drooped. He recovered entirely, but in September, 1907, his eyelid drooped again and he became steadily worse. The left leg became weak about May, 1908, and in August involuntary movement began in the left arm. About the same time he noticed deafness in the left ear.

The following account of his condition was compiled from notes made by one of us between December, 1908, and the end of February, 1909.

Speech was not affected and he did not suffer from headache or vomiting. Neither pupil reacted to light or accommodation, and nystagmus was present with every movement of the eyes that could still be carried out. The right eye could be moved outwards but in no other direction ; in the left eye movement inwards and outwards was still possible.

Vision in the right eye was $\frac{0}{18}$, in the left $\frac{0}{8}$; the fields were not diminished and both fundi were normal. Hearing was not materially affected either to aerial or bone conduction. Taste and smell were normal.

Movements of the face, jaws, tongue and palate were perfectly executed.

The arm-jerks were normal, but the right knee-jerk was brisker than the left; ankle-clonus could not be obtained on either side. The left plantar reflex gave an extensor response, whilst that from the right foot was definitely flexor.

All movements of the left arm and leg were less powerful than those of the right, but there was no paralysis and no increase of tone. Both left arm and left leg were profoundly ataxic and he could not stand on the left leg alone even with his eyes open.

If his eyes were closed or when his attention was distracted from the limb, the fingers of the left hand made characteristic athetoid movements, irregular slow extensions and flexions at the phalangeal and metacarpophalangeal joints. When the arm was extended a fine tremor was also present in the left hand.

Condition of sensation.—The power of recognizing posture and passive movements of the left leg and arm was lost; he could not even find the left elbow with his normal hand. Told to open the fingers of his left hand when his eyes were closed, he made a series of irregular movements which partly extended the phalanges but flexed the metacarpophalangeal joints. The order to flex or to extend the fingers was followed by movements of this kind which led to no permanent alteration in posture.

Directly he was allowed to open his eyes, all movements of the fingers were carried out with ease, and, so long as he looked at the hand, posture was maintained to command. Vibration was equally appreciated everywhere.

During the earlier period of our examination, he certainly appreciated the contacts of a test hair of 21 grm./mm.² all over the left upper extremity and on the left foot, showing that tactile sensibility could not have been gravely affected. But when cotton-wool or a measured hair were moved gradually from the right to the left half of the body, he said the stimulus became "lighter" to the abnormal side of the middle line.

Tactile localization was grossly affected over the whole left upper extremity, but on the lower extremity he indicated and named correctly the spot that had been stimulated. Thus, the disturbance of localization was here out of proportion to the diminution of tactile sensibility.

Spacial discrimination (compass-test) was gravely affected on the left upper and lower extremities; he was unable to recognize the two points when separated by 15 cm. on the left forearm and 20 cm. on the left half of the abdomen. On the normal arm 5 cm. and on the right half of abdomen 8 cm. gave perfect readings.

All power of recognizing size was lost in the left hand, and he could not even discriminate the head from the point of a pin.

He could not recognize the difference in weight between 5 and 200 grm. in the left palm.

Sensibility to prick was diminished over the left arm and the left leg, but

was nowhere absent except in the left palm. All the algometer readings were a little higher on the left than on the right half of the body, especially on the left palm and sole.

Sensibility to heat was absent on the left half of the body and face, but cold could be appreciated. The left leg, however, was insensitive to all degrees of heat and cold. Thus on the upper extremity temperatures above 45° C. evoked a sensation of paradox-cold, whilst on the leg they caused discomfort only.

Post-mortem five months after these notes were made.—The brain was examined by Dr. Judson Bury, who gave the following account of the condition [3]: "After being hardened in formalin the brain was cut into transverse vertical sections, when it was seen that the tumour occupied the position of the right optic thalamus and the corpora quadrigemina. The whole of the optic thalamus, with the exception of a thin layer on the outer side, was replaced by new growth which extended beneath the floor of the ventricle into the left optic thalamus for a short distance. The right internal capsule appeared to be normal, but no trace of the caudate nucleus could be discovered. The corpora quadrigemina appeared to be entirely replaced by growth; the crura and pons were also invaded. The right crus was considerably involved, so that a layer of the crusta only $\frac{1}{8}$ in. in thickness separated the growth from the basal surface of the brain. The anterior portion of the pons was invaded for about $\frac{1}{4}$ in. in depth on the right side and $\frac{1}{8}$ in. on the left side." The tumour was a large round-celled sarcoma.

Here, then, is a case where a malignant growth affected the corpora quadrigemina and that portion of the mid-brain at a point where the paths for sensory impulses are converging to end in the thalamus. The clinical signs indicate that this lesion started in the mid-brain, although at the time of death the right optic thalamus was also destroyed.

During the course of the disease this case showed that:—

In this situation the impulses underlying postural recognition, spacial discrimination and localization (spot-finding) may be dissociated from those concerned with touch and pain. At one stage of his illness the patient was therefore able to appreciate contact with von Frey's hairs and cotton-wool, but could not localize the spot touched. Nor could he tell the head from the point of a pin, or the relative size and weight of objects placed in his hand.

To sum up the conclusions of this section, we can say that the brain-stem between the nuclei of the posterior columns and the final termination of all sensory paths in the optic thalamus is the seat of the following changes:—

(1) The impulses for pain, heat and cold continue to run up in

separate secondary paths on the opposite side of the nervous system to that by which they entered. They receive accessions from the regrouped afferent impulses from the nerves of the head and upper part of the neck.

Although these paths are frequently affected together, they are independent of one another, and any one of the three qualities of sensation may be dissociated from the others by disease.

(2) Lesions of the spinal cord tend to diminish simultaneously all forms of painful sensibility, but with disease of the brain-stem the gross forms of pain and discomfort may pass to consciousness, although the skin is analgesic. This applies not only to painful pressure but to the discomfort produced by excessive heat.

(3) The impulses concerned with postural recognition part company with those for spacial discrimination at the posterior column nuclei. Up to this point, they have travelled together in the same column of the spinal cord, but as soon as they reach their first synaptic junction they separate. Above the point where they enter secondary paths, the power of recognizing posture and passive movement can be affected independently of the discrimination of two points and the appreciation of size, shape and form in three dimensions.

(4) It would seem as if those elements which underlie the power of localizing the spot touched or pricked become separated off from their associated tactile impulses before they have actually come to an end in the optic thalamus. The long connexion of localization with the integrity of tactile sensibility is here broken for the first time.

All these changes are preparatory to the great regrouping which takes place in the optic thalamus, and forms the subject of the following chapter.

CHAPTER II.—SENSORY DISTURBANCES ASSOCIATED WITH CERTAIN LESIONS OF THE OPTIC THALAMUS.

§ 1.—*Introduction.*

Throughout this work it has been our aim to discover the nature of the abnormal sensations evoked by disturbances at different levels of the nervous system. So far our task has been a comparatively easy one on account of the certainty with which the level of the lesion could be determined even in life.

We know that all afferent fibres passing upwards from the mid-brain end in the optic thalamus. Here lie the synaptic junctions of those

paths by which impulses are carried onwards to the cortex; no path passes upwards without undergoing a relay in some part of this organ. We shall now attempt to discover the changes in the grouping of afferent impulses which occur after they have terminated in the optic thalamus, and the part played by this organ in sensation.

It is obvious that, if all afferent impulses undergo a relay in the optic thalamus, a lesion at a point where they enter this organ may interrupt them before they have undergone regrouping: they may be cut off before they have reached the thalamic junction, and the loss of sensation would then correspond to that produced by a lesion of the mid-brain, although the disease might lie in the optic thalamus. On the other hand, sensory impulses may reach the optic thalamus undisturbed and undergo characteristic changes in grouping; but the fibres which conduct them from the thalamus to the cortex may be interrupted by the lesion. Finally, since lesions of the optic thalamus are usually of vascular origin and tend to disturb anatomical areas rather than functional paths, they not infrequently interfere both with the impulses which enter the thalamus and with those which pass away from this organ to the cortex.

Our business is to determine the nature of the sensory changes produced by interruption of sensory impulses at various points in their course, and for this purpose we must be able to recognize that a lesion is situated within the optic thalamus. This we can do, largely owing to the work of Dejerine and his pupils; for he pointed out first with Egger [6] and later with Roussy [7] that lesions, which involve the optic thalamus, are often characterized by a group of symptoms of which pain in the affected half of the body and other sensory disturbances form an integral part. These clinical symptoms and signs have been further elaborated by Roussy [35] and have been erected by him into a "syndrome thalamique." He has shown that a lesion of the optic thalamus may produce the following characteristic changes:—

(1) A persistent loss of superficial sensation of one half of the body and face. This loss to touch, pain and temperature is more or less definite, but the loss of "deep" sensibility is always more pronounced.

(2) Slight hemiataxy and a more or less complete astereognosis.

(3) Acute pains on the same side, persistent, paroxysmal, often intolerable, and yielding to no analgesic treatment.

(4) Slight hemiplegia which produces no contracture and rapidly passes away.

(5) Choreic and athetotic movements in the limbs of the affected side.

He pointed out that the sensory loss and the pains are alone due to the lesion of the optic thalamus, whilst the other symptoms are produced by destruction of surrounding parts.

Roussy has now established the anatomical significance of this "syndrome thalamique" by five *post-mortem* examinations. Others have been reported which show the truth of Dejerine's original generalization (Long [21], Winkler and van Londen [42]).

The following case exactly fits into Roussy's category:—

CASE 5.—Mrs. C. H., a woman, aged 51, was suddenly seized with pain on May 28, 1908, which spread from the upper part of the chest over the left arm. Twenty minutes later the arm became numb. She then lost consciousness for a few minutes, and, when she came to herself, found she could not move the left arm and leg.

The hemiplegia rapidly passed away, and is now represented solely by the exaggerated reflexes on the left half of the body. There is a tendency to ankle-clonus in the left foot, and the plantar reflex is of the extensor type. Both the left arm and the left leg are gravely ataxic, and curious spontaneous movements are of constant occurrence in the left arm. They are particularly liable to be started or aggravated by any stimulus which evokes the unpleasant sensation and then continue apart from further excitation.

Ever since this "stroke," she has suffered from pains in the left half of the body, and an uncomfortable sensation as if something were crawling under her skin. These pains are intense in the hip, the loin and under the left shoulder. They are said "to pump up and down the side" and the left arm and leg "feel as if they were bursting." Whenever there was cause for visceral discomfort, such as the passage of a constipated motion, these pains became particularly severe, and the heart is said to "throb" and the stomach to "work" painfully, but on the left side only.

Sensibility to light touch and to temperature is diminished but not completely lost over the left half of the body. She is totally unable to distinguish the two points of the compasses when separated to many times the normal distance, and localization of both tactile and painful stimuli is gravely defective. Discrimination of shape, weight, and consistence is impossible, and she cannot appreciate the nature or use of objects placed in her left hand. The power of recognizing relative size is affected, but it is possible to obtain a difference-threshold even on the affected side (*vide* p. 143.)

Painful or disagreeable stimuli, such as the prick of a pin, painful pressure and the extremes of heat and cold, all produce more discomfort on the left (affected) than on the right half of the body, and are liable to increase the permanent pains and tingling, of which she so greatly complains. And yet the strength of the prick necessary to produce pain, measured with the algometer, is the same on the two sides and the readings of the pressure-*algometer* differ little over normal and affected parts.

The recognition of posture and of passive movement is gravely affected in the left arm and left leg, and active movements of both limbs are ataxic.

Here, then, we have an instance which exactly corresponds to Roussy's description of the "syndrome thalamique," for not only were certain qualities of sensation definitely diminished but spontaneous and intractable pains were present on the affected half of the body.

In the following case, where the site of the lesion was verified by autopsy (No. 6), the loss of sensation was extreme, but the patient suffered from the same intense pains.

CASE 6.—A man, aged 49, was suddenly seized with right hemiplegia six months before he came under observation. When he was first seen there was little paresis, and the reflexes, though brisk, were not abnormal. Speech was unaffected. He complained, however, of severe aching cramp over the whole right half of the body, of pain and soreness in the right arm and a crushed feeling in the right foot.

Sensibility both to light touch and to pressure was abolished, and he could not recognize posture or passive movement on the right half of the body; all appreciation of weight was lost in the right upper extremity. He failed to recognize all degrees of temperature, but ice and water at 60° C. produced intense discomfort, much greater on the affected than on the normal side.

A stronger prick and greater pressure of the algometer were required to cause pain on the affected parts, but, when once evoked, the pain was much less bearable and produced a stronger reaction.

The only lesion, discovered at the autopsy, to which these symptoms could have been due was a softening of the lateral zone of the optic thalamus.¹

We have observed twenty-four more or less similar cases, with sufficient symptoms and signs to justify us in diagnosing a lesion in the optic thalamus such as Roussy has described. In some the loss of sensation was less than that in Case 5 and in others it was even more profound than in Case 6.

The loss of sensation differs in no way from that produced by interference with sensory impulses, either as they enter the optic thalamus or as they pass to the cortex by way of the internal capsule. But to these familiar defects another factor may be added when the lesion destroys certain parts of the optic thalamus. This fresh factor, which alone can be attributed to the disturbed activity of this organ, is a tendency to react excessively to unpleasant stimuli. The prick of a pin, painful pressure, excessive heat or cold, all produce more distress than on the normal half of the body, and this is the essential feature in all the cases with which we shall deal in this chapter. There are other cases, where the optic thalamus is destroyed, in which the spontaneous pains

¹ For a fuller account of this case see p. 255 of this number of BRAIN.

and the characteristic over-response are absent; but with these we are not concerned at present.

§ 2.—*The Excessive Response to Affective Stimuli, and the Behaviour in States of Emotion, of the Abnormal Half of the Body.*

(A) *The Response to Unpleasant Stimuli.*

(a) *Prick.*

We have cited Mrs. H. (Case 5) as a characteristic instance of the "syndrome thalamique" described by Roussy, and we shall now proceed to investigate her behaviour to measured painful stimuli.

If a pin is lightly dragged across the face or trunk from the right to the left half she exhibits intense discomfort when it passes the middle line; she not only calls out that it hurts her more, but her face becomes contorted with pain. But she insists that, although the stimulus is more painful, it is "less plain" and "less sharp" than over normal parts; the prick is less distinct but it hurts her more.

This "hyperalgesia," or over-reaction, would seem to point to a lowered threshold to the prick of a pin. But measured stimulation with both the spring and the weight algometers shows that, if anything, the threshold is a little raised; she never responds with certainty over the abnormal (left) half of the body to a stimulus which can evoke a sensation of pricking on similar normal parts to the right of the middle line. And yet, if a measured stimulus of the same strength is applied to similar parts, more pain is evoked over the affected than over the normal half of the body; the same stimulus, provided it is sufficiently strong to cause pain, produces a more uncomfortable sensation on the abnormal side.

This remarkable over-response to prick was present in twenty out of twenty-two patients in whom the strength of the stimulus was carefully measured. But in no instance was the threshold lower on the affected half of the body; in thirteen cases it was identical on the two sides, and in nine a decidedly stronger stimulus was necessary to produce a sensation of prick, in spite of the greater discomfort experienced by the patient when pain had once been evoked.

(b) *Painful Pressure.*

Throughout our work we have attempted in every case to measure the amount of pressure which evoked pain. Cattell's algometer was

applied to similar parts on the two halves of the body, and the point was registered at which the pressure became uncomfortable.

Now in the class of case with which we are concerned in this chapter, pressure is peculiarly liable to produce greater distress and an increased reaction on the affected side. Out of twenty-four patients every one responded more violently to painful pressure, and all complained that the same pressure, if it evoked discomfort, was more disagreeable on the abnormal than on the normal half of the body.

Moreover, this pain did not develop gradually out of the painless sensation of pressure as on the normal side, but seemed, over the affected parts, to develop explosively. Up to a certain point the patient remained unconcerned, but suddenly, as the pressure increased, he would cry out and withdraw the limb to which the instrument was applied; from the expression of his face, he had obviously been more hurt than by the same pressure on the equivalent normal part.

This increased reaction is frequently, though not necessarily, associated with a lowering of the threshold; the same pressure may be required on both sides to evoke pain, although the response on the affected half of the body is excessive (six cases). In three cases, including the one which came to *post-mortem* examination, the threshold was actually higher on the side of the greater reaction; the following readings were obtained, amongst others, from the palm and sole, in this case:—

			Right (affected)				Left (normal)		
Palm of hand	15	12	11	..	8	8	8
Sole of foot	9	9	10	..	5	4	4½

Thus, although more pressure was required to elicit pain from the right than from the left half of the body, the discomfort produced was manifestly greater on the abnormal side.

Although this increased reaction may occur with a normal and even with a raised threshold, we found that in fifteen out of our twenty-four cases the pressure necessary to cause discomfort was less on the affected side. This was particularly the case on the palm and sole of the foot. But in spite of this lowering of the threshold to painful pressure, not one of these cases showed a lower threshold when the stimulus was a prick. Obviously, the pain produced by excessive pressure contains some sensory factor to which the abnormal half of the body is peculiarly susceptible.

Now, if anyone will apply the algometer to his own hand or foot, he will find that the pressure causes discomfort rather than pain. There is something terrifying about the crushing sensation, especially when a

bone is pressed upon. The condition evoked is less a pain than an unbearable and distressing sensation, and in this way differs profoundly from the prick of a sharp point. Both stimuli produce pain and discomfort; but, whereas a prick produces a sensation of pain associated with more or less discomfort, pressure upon bones and other deep structures evokes more discomfort than formal pain.

In these cases of over-reaction on the affected half of the body, it is susceptibility to the uncomfortable element of a stimulus which is increased, rather than sensibility to pain. Not uncommonly, the threshold may be actually raised to prick but lowered to pressure-pain, although both stimuli produce greatly increased discomfort over abnormal parts.

Any loss of sensibility to pain delays the appearance of this over-reaction; but as soon as the stimulus is strong enough to cause pain, the discomfort will greatly exceed that produced by the same stimulus over normal parts.

(c) *Extremes of Heat and Cold.*

Wherever this over-reaction to painful stimuli exists, the response to the more extreme degrees of heat and cold is usually changed. Thermal appreciation may be unaltered, may be diminished, or may be actually lost, and yet the discomfort produced by cold and hot water will be greater on the affected than on the normal half of the body.

In the case we have cited above (Case 5), thermal sensibility was diminished, but the response was more intense on the affected side. All temperatures between about 27° C. and 46° C. were less cold or less hot over the affected parts. But everything above about 47° C. and below about 26° C. was thought to be hotter or colder than on the normal side. The more the temperature of the stimulus sank below or rose above these limits, the more uncomfortable it became on the affected half of the body, compared with normal parts. We shall show later that there is in all probability no actual increase in sensibility to heat and cold at these temperatures; the patient translated the increased discomfort into terms of greater cold and heat.

All sensibility to heat and cold may be lost, and yet this over-reaction may still occur on the affected side. But in such cases the stimulus must be intense; the heat applied must be above 50° C., and the cold required will usually lie below 15° C., and sometimes even melting ice is necessary to evoke this over-reaction. But when once a stimulus has

been found capable of producing a response, the discomfort is excessive on the affected half of the body.

In these extreme cases the discomfort may not be expressed in terms of heat and cold. For instance, in Case 6, ice and temperatures above 55° C. produced an intense reaction. The patient's face was contorted as if in pain, and he cried out, "Oh, something has caught me"; "something is forcing its way through me, it has got hold of me, it is pinching me."

To the most interesting group, however, belong those cases where an excessive response was evoked on the affected side, in spite of a thermal sensibility otherwise perfect. In Case 8 (p. 219), for instance, the threshold for the appreciation of heat and cold was identical on the two halves of the body, but whenever a stimulus produced any but the mildest sensation of cold, it seemed "colder" and more unpleasant over the affected than over the normal palm. A stimulus recognized as warm did not become "hotter" on the affected hand, until it was raised to between 40° and 45° C.

Thus, in conclusion, whenever a thermal stimulus can produce discomfort, this will be greater on the affected than on the normal side. Should the appreciation of heat and cold be sufficiently preserved, this discomfort will be thought to imply a higher or a lower grade of temperature in the stimulating object; it will seem "hotter" or "colder" than the same temperature on the normal side. If thermal sensibility is equally perfect on the two halves of the body, the excessive response will begin as soon as the stimulus seems definitely cold. But it will not seem "hotter" on the affected side, until the temperature of the stimulus reaches about 45° C. or above.

(d) *Visceral Stimulation.*

Discomfort in its purest form is produced by stimulation of the viscera, and we therefore compared the condition of testicular sensibility on the two sides in patients who showed this over-response to painful stimuli.

If care is taken not to pinch the scrotum, the characteristic testicular sensation, a sickening discomfort, can be evoked with no other accompaniment than a sensation of not unpleasant pressure. Many patients complained that the discomfort was more intense in the testicle of the affected side. Pressure, sufficient to produce "a slight feeling" only on the normal side, caused a widespread expression of discomfort and brisk cremasteric movements of the testicle on the affected half of the body.

The glans penis is endowed with a peculiar form of sensibility which differs normally from that of the skin in the absence of the more discriminative faculties (Rivers and Head [33]). Heat and cold can be distinguished, but the finer grades of temperature are not appreciated. A prick causes a more widely spread sensation and is more unpleasant than over the rest of the normal skin. In fact, the glans penis is an organ endowed chiefly with the more affective elements of cutaneous sensibility, and it is therefore a peculiarly appropriate field for examination in cases which exhibit the over-reaction on the abnormal side.

When the glans is pricked with such an instrument as the algometer, the same strength of stimulus may be necessary to evoke pain on the two halves of the organ; but the discomfort described by the patient and obvious from his expression is greater on the abnormal than on the normal portion.

(e) *Scraping, Roughness, Vibration.*

All the stimuli, considered so far, contain for the normal person some obvious element of pain or discomfort. But, in these cases of over-reaction on the affected side, scraping the palm or the sole of the foot, moving a rough object over the skin, or even rubbing the hairs, may evoke an unpleasant sensation, unlike that from the normal half of the body.

The difference between the two sides is, as a rule, most evident when the observer gently scrapes with his fingers the patient's palm. Under normal conditions this is not unpleasant; but on the affected side the patient may cry out and attempt to withdraw his hand. His face is contorted with discomfort. One patient complained: "It is a horrid sensation, as if my hand were covered with spikes and you were running them in; it is not painful but very unpleasant." Frequently this sensation spreads widely, running up the arm or the leg, and is often started with peculiar ease from the sole of the foot (Roussy [36], Case 1).

This excessive response to scraping is frequently a striking feature during examination with the Graham-Brown æsthesiometer. This instrument serves to estimate the appreciation of roughness by measuring the extent to which a tooth must be protruded from a spherical surface in order that it may seem "not smooth." We not uncommonly found that the "raking" sensation produced by this instrument was greatly exaggerated on the affected half of the body. But in no case was the threshold lowered. In some it was equal on the two sides,

and in others a greater protrusion was required to evoke a sensation; but in the large majority the discomfort produced was greater on the affected side. Occasionally, even the rubbing of the smooth surface over the affected parts caused an uncomfortable tingling, which confused the patient and rendered measurement impossible. In one case the instrument produced no sensation of roughness, but the tingling and discomfort were intense.

Many of these patients complained that they could not be shaved on the affected cheek because it seemed as if the razor was "passing over a raw surface." Some objected to having the hair cut on the affected half of the head, because of the discomfort, and others complained of the pain caused by the attempt to cut their nails.

Occasionally even the vibration of the tuning-fork may give rise to an unpleasant sensation, which spreads widely over the affected half of the body (Roussy [35], Case 1).

Thus, in cases where the affected parts react more strongly to painful stimuli, it may happen that even scraping with the fingers, the application of rough objects to the skin, shaving, cutting the hair or nails, or even the vibration of a tuning-fork may cause discomfort or produce a more unpleasant sensation than on the normal side.

(f) *Tickling.*

When the tips of the fingers are gently moved over the palm or the sole, or when the ears and other hair-clad parts are stimulated with cotton-wool, a tickling sensation can be produced in most normal persons. This is not unpleasant, and in some people the movements of withdrawal which result are accompanied by smiles of amusement. But in those cases characterized by an over-response to painful stimuli tickling is usually unpleasant. Several patients insisted that the sensation produced on the normal side was not disagreeable, whilst that from the abnormal parts was quite different and unpleasant. In all such cases the reaction was greater on the affected half of the body.

(B) *The Response to Pleasurable Stimuli.*

So far we have considered over-reaction of the affected half of the body to stimuli which are in themselves unpleasant, or are capable under certain conditions of evoking an unpleasant sensation. We were anxious to discover if sensations, normally accompanied by a pleasurable feeling-tone, also produced a similar over-reaction.

Unfortunately, the greater number of the methods applicable for the measurement of sensibility either produce discomfort or, like the tests for weight and for posture, evoke an entirely indifferent sensation. But in the milder degrees of heat we possess a measurable stimulus endowed with a pleasant feeling-tone. In the majority of cases, however, which showed an over-reaction to the uncomfortable aspect of a stimulus, sensation was at the same time more or less gravely lost; and this loss was particularly liable to fall upon that portion of the thermal scale, which normally yields a sensation of pleasant warmth; in such cases this test is usually inapplicable.

On the other hand, in a few cases when thermal sensibility was abolished, warmth applied over a sufficiently large surface evoked a feeling of pleasure. Thus, one of our patients found a hot-water bottle pleasant and soothing to the affected foot, but did not recognize that it was warm until he touched it with some normal part. In the same way, many patients found the warm hand of the observer unusually pleasant on the abnormal side, although no such manifestations of pleasure were produced when it was applied to normal parts of the body. In one case, we were able to show that the patient could not recognize any thermal stimulus as such, and yet over the affected half of the chest large tubes containing water at from 38° C. to 48° C. evoked intense pleasure. This was shown not only by the expression of her face but by her exclamations, "Oh! that's lovely, it's so soothing, so very pleasant." Temperatures of 50° C. and above, or of 18° C. and below, caused great discomfort exactly as in most of these thalamic cases (*vide* p. 226).

Several of our patients, however, were able to appreciate heat as low as 34° C. on the affected half of the body. Here, whenever the sensation evoked was one of pleasant warmth, the pleasure was obviously greater on the affected side. In one case, a tube containing water at 38° C. applied to the normal palm was said to be warm; but the patient cried out with pleasure when it was placed in the affected hand. His face broke into smiles and he said, "Oh! that's exquisite," or "That's real pleasant." Another patient said, "It seems warm on both hands, but it is more soothing, more pleasant on the affected palm."

The following observations were made on an intelligent and highly educated man. We determined the threshold for the appreciation of heat on the two hands. It was found to be the same, although both the pleasure given by the lower degrees of heat and the discomfort produced by the higher degrees were exaggerated on the affected palm. We

then attempted to discover at what point unpleasant heat became converted into pleasant warmth. On the affected hand a temperature of 50° C. was "too hot," "very unpleasant," whilst it was "not so hot" on the normal palm; 48° C. was still "too hot," but 45° C. became "real pleasant," although it was "simply warm" on the other side. Thus in this case excessive pleasure was converted into excessive discomfort at about 46° C. This is the temperature at which, on introspection, we are conscious that hot water gains a "sting" absent from the lower degrees.

Cold has no pleasurable element, at any rate during the greater part of the year in this country. As soon as a sensation of cold is produced it is said to be "colder" and "more uncomfortable" on the affected half of the body. The threshold, or point at which a stimulus is said to become cold, generally lies somewhere between 24° C. and 27° C., and, as soon as the sensation of coldness is well developed, this discomfort begins. So far we have been unable to find any temperature which produces a sensation of pleasurable cold.

Thus, in conclusion, we find that in cases where the pleasurable aspect of heat can be appreciated, the pleasure is accentuated on the affected side; yet the threshold for the appreciation of heat is never lowered and may even be raised on the side of the excessive reaction.

(C) *The Behaviour of the Affected Half of the Body in States of Emotion.*

In the preceding sections we have seen that the two halves of the body respond differently to affective stimuli. But no one seems to have recognized that states of emotion may evoke different manifestations on the two sides; not only can pleasant and painful stimuli produce a stronger reaction when applied to the affected parts, but the two halves of the body may behave differently to mental states of pleasure and discomfort.

Music is peculiarly liable to evoke a different reaction on the two halves of the body. One of our patients was unable to go to his place of worship, because he "could not stand the hymns on his affected side," and his son noticed that during the singing his father constantly rubbed the affected hand.

Another patient (Case 11, p. 227) went to a memorial service on the death of King Edward VII. As soon as the choir began to sing, a "horrid feeling came on in the affected side, and the leg was screwed

up and started to shake." The characteristic, so-called choreiform, movements were a prominent feature in this case, and whenever the unpleasant sensation was evoked in the affected side these movements were accentuated. The singing of a so-called comic song left her entirely cold, but "A che la morte" produced so violent an effect upon the abnormal half of the body that she was obliged to leave the room. In this case indifferent sounds, such as the note of a tuning-fork or sound of a bell, produced no abnormal effect, and closing the ear of the affected side made no difference to the character or intensity of the response.¹

A highly educated patient confessed that he had become more amorous since the attack, which had rendered the right half of his body more responsive to pleasant and unpleasant stimuli. "I crave to place my right hand on the soft skin of a woman. It's my right hand that wants the consolation. I seem to crave for sympathy on my right side." Finally he added, "My right hand seems to be more artistic."

Thus, not only does the abnormal half of the body respond more vigorously to the affective element of a stimulus, but an over-reaction can also be evoked by purely mental states. The manifestations of this increased susceptibility to states of pleasure and pain are strictly unilateral and may lead to many curious complications.

§ 3.—*The Loss of Sensation which may be associated with Lesions of the Optic Thalamus.*

Associated with this over-reaction to painful stimuli, some loss of sensation will always be manifest on the affected half of the body. In some cases, the amount of this loss is so insignificant that, as it can be discovered by measurement only, we can imagine the existence of the over-reaction without it. But up to the present time we have not seen a patient in whom this excessive response was not accompanied by some sensory loss. It may vary in amount from a diminution so slight that it is scarcely recognizable, up to a destruction of all

¹ Occasionally, however, auditory stimuli produce an increased reaction on the affected side exactly in the same way as pain and extremes of temperature. Such a case was described by Merle [24], and we have seen an instance of this condition (Case 12, p. 230). This patient complained that any continuous sound and all noises upset him. When a tuning-fork was held before either ear it evoked obvious discomfort and gradually became intolerable. At the same time the spontaneous movements became extremely violent. It was difficult to be sure that the fork placed before one ear was a more potent cause of discomfort and exaggerated movement in the affected arm than when it was placed before the ear of the opposite side; but after testing him on many occasions, we came to the conclusion that the effect was greater if the sound affected the ear on the abnormal side of the body. There was, however, no doubt that he disliked the sound more in this ear than in the normal one.

forms of sensibility so severe that only the grossest stimuli can be perceived.

The vehemence of the excessive response bears no relation to the extent of the accompanying loss of sensation. Sometimes, severe discomfort may be evoked by affective stimuli in cases where sensibility is grossly diminished; but many patients with but slight loss suffer great discomfort, for the existence of a sensibility of approximately normal acuteness opens the way to the reception of more impulses that can evoke an excessive response.

(a) *The Appreciation of Posture and Passive Movement.*

With lesions of the optic thalamus and neighbouring parts, the appreciation of posture and passive movement suffers more frequently than any other sensory quality. Sometimes, the ordinary rough tests reveal no obvious defect, although measurement shows that the recognition of the position of the hand, or the power of appreciating passive movement, is less accurate on the affected side. Thus in one case passive movements of the normal index were appreciated within a range of from 3° to 3.5° (flexion, average 3.5° ; extension, average 3°), whilst on the affected side, movement was not recognized under from 7° to 8° (flexion, average 8° ; extension, average 7°). At the opposite pole stand such cases as No. 6, a patient in whom the lesion was determined *post-mortem*. This man was totally ignorant of the position of his right arm and leg, and could not recognize passive movement as such in the limbs of the affected side.


Between these two extremes all grades of diminished appreciation of posture and of passive movement could be discovered. These two functions were always found to be affected together, except in two cases, where the defect in the appreciation of passive movement was slight and lay close to the limits of experimental error.

(b) *Tactile Sensibility.*

Here again we find the same extraordinary divergence in the amount of the sensory loss. In five cases the tactile threshold, measured with von Frey's hairs, was identical on the two hands; but, in the majority, some more or less grave deviation from the normal was discovered on the affected side. Sometimes, the affected parts are entirely insensitive to the tactile hairs and even considerable pressure may not be appreciated.

But frequently the loss of tactile sensibility is much less extreme and is manifested simply by a raised threshold. Sometimes, the normal

hand responded with certainty to a hair of 21 grm./mm.², whereas from 70 to 100 grm./mm.² was required on a similar part of the affected side to evoke a perfect series of answers. The following records illustrate this condition.



	Left (normal)	Right (affected)
21 grm./mm. ² 16 out of 16 —
21 ,, — 4 out of 16
23 ,, — 8 ,, 16
35 ,, — 13 ,, 16
70 ,, — 16 ,, 16
23 ,, — 12 ,, 16
21 ,, — 5 ,, 16
21 ,, 16 out of 16 —

Occasionally, the consecutive contacts necessary for this test caused the widespread tingling to which these patients are prone. This may make it impossible to demonstrate the threshold conclusively. Increasing the strength of the stimulus will increase the number of correct answers, but the persistent tingling confuses the patient and he frequently replies when he has not been touched.

Another difficulty that may prevent the demonstration of a tactile threshold, by means of von Frey's hairs or with graduated pressure, is the occurrence of involuntary movements. Many of these patients show curious irregular movements which may not always be present, but are liable to start again when the affected side is stimulated. Movements arising involuntarily may be mistaken under these conditions for touches. The longer the testing is continued the more accentuated these movements become, and demonstration of a threshold is then impossible.

To sum up, the tactile threshold may be normal on both sides in the cases with which we are dealing in this chapter; or it may be raised to a greater or less extent, even up to complete abolition of

sensibility to measured tactile stimuli. Accessory sensations or the misinterpretation of involuntary movements may confuse the records, but the loss of threshold and the irregular response, so characteristic a consequence of cortical lesions, is not found as the result of destruction at this level of the nervous system.

(c) *Localization.*

The power of recognizing the position of a stimulated spot was more or less grossly affected in twelve out of twenty-four cases. This faculty may be so profoundly disturbed that a touch on the hand is referred to the same half of the face or trunk. More commonly, the patient complains that he has no idea where he has been touched. "I feel you touch me, but I can't tell where it is; the touch oozes all through my hand." Although the method¹ we have generally used forces him to recognize that he has been touched somewhere on the hand, the patient frequently gives up all attempts to determine the spot, saying, "I have no idea where you touched me."

In cases of graver loss of sensation, tactile sensibility is not infrequently much diminished, but the inability to localize the stimulated spot is equally great, even with a prick or with painful pressure, to which the patient is acutely sensitive.

When all power of localization is abolished and the posture of the limb cannot be recognized, sensations may be no longer referred to something outside the body; they are thought to be due to some change within the part rather than to an external stimulus. When the hand was scraped one of our patients said, "It is as if something inside the arm were moved, not like anything touching me." Sometimes the sensation was said to be "a cramp inside my arm."

Wherever localization is affected, the unpleasant sensation evoked by painful stimuli may spread widely over affected parts. When, for instance, the sole has been pressed with the algometer, the pain may occupy the whole of the leg below the knee, and the patient does not recognize that the instrument was applied to his foot. No matter what stimulus may have evoked the unpleasant sensation, it will spread widely if the faculty of localization is destroyed. The power of recognizing the position of the stimulated spot seems to exert an inhibitory influence on the distribution of this uncomfortable sensation. Appreciation of the locality of the site from which the sensation has been evoked tends to confine it to the neighbourhood of that spot, and around this it radiates to a limited extent.

¹ See discussion on this method on p. 198.

(d) Heat and Cold.

Twenty-two out of twenty-four patients who showed signs of a thalamic lesion responded excessively to the unpleasant aspect of heat and cold. In nine of these cases the threshold for thermal stimuli was the same on the two sides, and but for the over-response sensibility to heat and cold appeared to be normal; the range of discrimination was identical on the two halves of the body. This class is peculiarly interesting, for in them may appear the remarkable over-response to pleasurable heat we have described on p. 134.

But, not infrequently, all appreciation of heat and cold is abolished and ice and water at over 50° C. evoke nothing but discomfort. This sensation is the same, whichever of the two extremes is used; the patient cannot tell the difference and may not recognize the cause of the unpleasant sensation.

Occasionally, the insensibility is less profound and temperatures below 26° C. and above about 40° C. may evoke a response from the affected half of the body. But this response may be the same for heat and cold; water above 40° C. and below 26° C. produces the same sensation and may therefore be called indiscriminately hot or cold. For, if the patient knows from the experience on his normal side that thermal sensibility is under examination, he concludes that this vivid sensation is caused by "something hot" or "something cold." No such confusion between the extreme degrees of heat and cold ever occurs when the patient is able to distinguish intermediate degrees.

We have seen no reason so far to think that at this level of the nervous system the power of appreciating either heat or cold can be lost alone. The few apparent exceptions were due to the adoption by the patient of the same thermal nomenclature for the unpleasant reaction produced by certain temperatures towards the two ends of the scale, a confusion rendered possible by the absence of thermal appreciation.

Sometimes the disturbance of sensibility to heat and cold is less severe; temperatures above 38° to 40° C. are recognized as warm and those below about 26° to 28° C. as cold. Under such conditions any temperature that can be appreciated is thought to be respectively "hotter" or "colder" on the affected side, and yet there is no evidence that the supposed greater heat or cold is due to anything but the increased affective reaction.

Throughout all these cases, where the loss of thermal sensibility was not absolute, a threshold could always be determined. It might be the

same on the two sides, or it might be more or less raised on that half of the body which showed an excessive response. But never did we find that remarkable loss of threshold and inability to discriminate between two temperatures, both of which were recognized to be hot or to be cold, so characteristic a feature with cortical lesions.

(e) *The Compass-test.*

In order that this test may be applied, the patient must of necessity be able to recognize that he is being touched; tactile sensibility must not be gravely diminished. But many of the patients, who reacted excessively to painful stimuli on the abnormal side, were so insensitive to touch and pressure that the contact of two points produced no sensation and the compass-test could not be employed.

Another disturbing element in these cases is the widespread unpleasant tingling frequently evoked by a firm touch; this tingling is liable to distract the patient's attention and confuse the records greatly.

Excluding these sources of error, a considerable number of cases still remain in which the power of recognizing the "two-ness" of the compass-points was disturbed although each touch evoked a sensation of contact. But, by widening the compasses, a distance could usually be found at which a perfect series of answers could be obtained, and the records improved each time the distance of the two points from one another was increased. Thus in such cases it is possible to obtain a true threshold.

In most of these cases, it is true, tactile sensibility, as measured by von Frey's hairs, showed a somewhat raised threshold. But the discrimination of the compass-points is not directly dependent on tactile sensibility, although at this level of the nervous system they may rise and fall together. For, if two sharp points are substituted for the blunt ends of the compasses, the difficulty in recognizing them is just as great, although each prick produces a more profound effect over the abnormal half of the body.

Thus, in conclusion, we have found that the compass-test could not be applied in many of these cases, owing to the gross loss of tactile sensibility or to the confusion produced by the abnormal tingling. But whenever the measured tactile threshold was the same on the two halves of the body the power of discriminating two points was unaffected; and yet the two faculties are not directly dependent, for two pricks cannot be discriminated more easily than the contact of two blunt points.

(f) The Appreciation of Weight.

Throughout this research we have adopted three methods of testing the appreciation of weight. A weight is placed in each palm and the patient by moving them up and down "weighs" them and estimates which is the heavier. Secondly, a weight is placed on some part of the fully supported hand, removed, replaced rapidly by another weight of the same size, and the patient chooses the heavier. Lastly, a weight is placed upon the hand and further weights are added or subtracted. The patient replies whenever he recognizes that the weight has become heavier or lighter.

Now it is obvious that the last two methods depend mainly upon an appreciation of relative pressure, whereas the first demands a power of estimating movement and the force employed in lifting the weight.

At the level of the nervous system with which we are now engaged this difference comes out in a remarkable manner. In some cases the only discoverable loss of sensation consisted in a diminished recognition of posture and passive movement, and correlated with this loss was an inability to distinguish weights placed simultaneously on the unsupported hands; and yet the patient may be able to recognize the relation between two weights, placed one after the other on the affected hand when fully supported.

Conversely, any diminution of tactile sensibility at once affects the power of appreciating relative pressures, and so interferes first with the estimation of weights applied consecutively, and later even with the ability to recognize whether an object resting on the palm has become heavier or lighter. In one case the tactile threshold was slightly raised, but all forms of postural recognition were perfect; here estimation of consecutive weights and recognition of the increase or diminution of a weight resting on the supported hand were both somewhat affected. But appreciation of the relative heaviness of two weights placed one in each unsupported palm was not disturbed.

Thus, at this level of the nervous system the power of estimating the relation between two weights depends on the integrity of both tactile and postural impulses. The faculty of estimating the relative weight of two objects placed one in each unsupported hand demands a correct appreciation of posture and movement. But appreciation of two weights placed consecutively on the supported hand, and the power of recognizing addition to, or removal from, the weight of an object lying in the palm, require a normal tactile sensibility.

(g) *The Appreciation of Size, Shape and Form in Three Dimensions.*

No tests for the recognition of size, shape, or form can be applied unless the patient is able to appreciate the contact of objects placed in his palm. In five of our cases this was impossible, owing to gross loss of tactile sensibility, whereas, on the contrary, in six cases the lesions which produced an over-response to painful stimuli had in no way affected the power of recognizing size, shape or form.

Between these two extremes lies a group in which all three forms of recognition were more or less gravely defective. Although the defective recognition of size, shape and form in three dimensions, which may accompany the over-response to painful stimuli, appears at first sight to resemble that due to cortical lesions, more careful examination shows that they may differ fundamentally from one another. Ordinary clinical tests, employed in the usual rough manner, are incapable of demonstrating this difference. But, when a series of tests are carefully carried out, the patient is able, in most cases, to recognize a difference in size, provided it is sufficiently large; a true difference-threshold can be obtained. He does not give up all attempts to estimate the relative size of the test-objects, saying, as in the case of a cortical lesion, "I have no idea." He may make mistakes in their relative size or may believe that the two objects are equal, but retains an impression that they possess a size to be compared. Ultimately, if the difference between them is made sufficiently great, his answers grow increasingly more accurate.

For instance, in the following set of observations (Case 5), it will be seen that the patient could appreciate a difference in two circular test-objects, when the diameter differed by 2 cm., but not when the one exceeded the other by 1 cm. only. She could recognize a difference of 1.5 cm. when the diameters were 3.5 cm. and 2 cm., but not when they were 4 cm. and 2.5 cm.

					Normal palm	Affected palm
2	cm. and 3	cm.	Four right	.. Four wrong.
3	" "	4	" "	..	"	.. Two right, two wrong.
4	" "	2.5	" "	..	"	.. One right, three wrong.
4	" "	2	" "	..	"	.. Four right.
3.5	" "	2	" "	..	"	.. "
5	" "	2	" "	..	"	.. "

Here it is obvious that increasing the difference improved the accuracy of the answers, and a true difference-threshold could be worked out approximately, even with so small a number as twenty observations.

Unfortunately, we have no method of reducing the tests for shape and form in three dimensions to measure in the same way. For increasing the size of objects used for testing shape beyond certain limits prevents their uniform application to the palm, and any considerable

reduction makes it impossible to recognize them on the normal hand. Even the size of the objects used as tests for form in three dimensions cannot be varied greatly, since it is necessary that the patient should be able to roll them between his fingers and palm.

In spite of these difficulties the patient usually attempted to give some name to the object, provided tactile sensibility was not greatly impaired, and he could move it to and fro in his hand. Not uncommonly he said, "I have an idea of the shape, but it seems numb." Moreover, in the majority of instances a certain number of right answers were given, especially to the easier tests, such as the circle or the sphere, and the familiar objects commonly used in clinical examination were frequently recognized.

Thus, in conclusion, we find that in these cases the recognition of size, shape and form in three dimensions may be intact, or more or less gravely affected. In some instances, this loss of recognition is due to gross defects in tactile sensibility. But in a considerable group of cases the difference-threshold is raised; here all idea of size, shape, and form is not abolished, as in the cortical cases, but a greater difference than normal is necessary before they can be appreciated. In the same way, the patient retains an idea that the objects have a shape and form, but finds difficulty in recognizing what it may be.

(b) Vibration.

The loss of sensation must be unusually gross before the vibrations of a tuning-fork cease to be appreciated. In three cases only was the affected half of the body insensitive to this stimulus, and in all of them most other forms of sensation were gravely affected.

Usually the vibrations of the tuning-fork are appreciated on both halves of the body, but the stimulus appears to last a shorter time on the abnormal side. If the tuning-fork is placed upon some point of the affected side and, as soon as it can no longer be appreciated, is rapidly transferred to a corresponding part on the normal half of the body, the patient will continue to recognize the vibration for a further period, which may even extend to fifteen seconds. This shortened appreciation of the tuning-fork is one of the most characteristic features of the loss of sensation associated with the over-response to painful stimuli. It occurred in fifteen of our cases, and was independent of that unpleasant feeling-tone evoked by vibration, of which we have already spoken.

At the same time the patient complains that the vibrations are "not so plain" on the abnormal half of the body and in certain cases the fork seems to be vibrating less rapidly on the affected side.

(i) Roughness.

In three cases only was the loss of sensation sufficiently gross to prevent the appreciation of roughness produced by Graham-Brown's æsthesiometer. This instrument with its graduated projection is peculiarly liable to cause an unpleasant sensation, and occasionally confusion is produced because even the smooth surface of the instrument may evoke a widespread tingling. But in most cases it is easy to show that the threshold for the appreciation of roughness as measured with this instrument is the same on the two halves of the body.

(k) Summary of the Loss of Sensation.

We can now sum up the forms assumed by the loss of sensation which may be found in these cases.

No sensory functions are so frequently affected as the appreciation of posture and the recognition of passive movement. The amount of this loss varies greatly from a scarcely measurable defect to complete want of recognition of the posture of the limbs on the abnormal half of the body.

Tactile sensibility is frequently diminished; but, excepting in a few cases where all appreciation of contact was destroyed, a threshold could be obtained. It was always possible to show that increasing the strength of the stimulus improved the proportion of right answers unless the observations were confused by the disagreeable tingling or other accessory sensations.

Localization of the spot touched was defective in half the cases where sensation was sufficiently preserved to carry out accurate tests. This inability to recognize the site of stimulation was equally great, whether the patient was pricked or touched. In cases where localization was gravely affected, the disagreeable sensation, so easily evoked, tended to spread widely on the abnormal half of the body. A prick on the hand may cause an extremely painful sensation in the cheek or side, and sometimes the patient simply recognized the stimulus as a change within himself, and did not refer the discomfort from which he suffered to the action of any external agent.

Sensibility to heat and cold may show all degrees of change from total loss to a slight increase of the neutral zone. Heat and cold are not dissociated; and if one form of sensation is lost, the other will be gravely disturbed. The apparent exceptions arise from a misinterpretation of the sensation evoked by high or low temperatures on the affected half of the body.

Not infrequently the compass-test cannot be carried out because of the gross loss of sensation and inability to recognize contact; but whenever this method can be applied a threshold can be worked out, and widening the distance between the points increases the accuracy of the answers.

The power of estimating the relation between two weights is frequently disturbed on the abnormal half of the body. If the appreciation of posture and movement is affected, the patient can no longer recognize the identity or the difference of two weights placed in the unsupported hands. But so long as tactile sensibility is not diminished, he can still estimate the relation between weights applied one after the other to the same spot, and can recognize the increase or diminution in weight of an object already resting on the hand.

The appreciation of relative size is often disturbed in these cases, but with care it is usually possible to demonstrate a difference-threshold. Shape and form in three dimensions are frequently not recognizable on the affected hand. But, if tactile sensibility is not grossly affected, the patient usually retains an idea that the object possesses a form, and may obtain a considerable percentage of right answers.

Vibration of the tuning-fork was recognized by all but three of our patients. In almost every case, however, the length of time during which it was appreciated was shorter, and sometimes the rate of vibration was thought to be slower on the affected half of the body.

Roughness, as tested with Graham-Brown's æsthesiometer, was always recognized, except in three cases where the loss of all forms of sensation was extremely severe. Usually the threshold was the same on the two sides, but it was occasionally raised on the affected hand.

CHAPTER III.—SENSORY DISTURBANCES PRODUCED BY LESIONS OF THE CEREBRAL CORTEX.

In describing the effect of a cortical lesion upon sensibility, we shall proceed exactly as with lesions of other parts of the nervous system. Each stimulus will be considered in order and the nature of the response described. Thus, for instance, we shall not speak of "deep sensibility" as preserved or lost, but shall give the exact stimuli used and the form of response they evoked. All such expressions as "cutaneous sensation" and "light touch" will be strictly avoided and we shall deal as far as possible with measured stimuli only.

§ 1.—*Graduated Tactile Stimuli.*

(*Von Frey's Hairs and Pressure-æsthesiometer.*)

Lesions at lower levels of the nervous system produce, on the whole, forms of altered sensibility which react with remarkable constancy to graduated stimuli. A touch of definite intensity, if sufficiently strong to evoke a sensation, will do so in a large proportion of instances; while some less intense stimulus will cause no sensation of any kind. Somewhere between these two we are justified in placing the threshold for tactile sensibility.*

But the characteristic change produced by a cortical lesion consists essentially in a want of constancy and uniformity of response to the same tactile stimulus. Increasing the stimulus does not necessarily improve the patient's answers and in many cases no threshold can be obtained.¹

On attempting to obtain a maximum threshold on the affected hand, we meet with the same curious irregularity of response that has hampered all who have attempted to use graduated stimuli in such cases; the patient seems to be untrustworthy. At one time he responds to a hair of 21 grm./mm.², at another even 100 grm./mm.² produces no effect. Not only are his answers apparently incalculable, but not infrequently he responds when he has not been touched.

This irregularity has led most observers to reject all graduated stimuli and to adopt some other form of tactile stimulation, such as rubbing with the finger or with cotton-wool, to which the answers are more uniform. But in this irregular response to graduated tactile stimuli lies the key to the sensory disturbance produced by lesions of the cerebral cortex.

We have modified the method of examination in the following manner so as to bring out the true nature of this phenomenon: A hair is selected producing a stimulus just above the maximum threshold, to which the normal hand reacts with constancy when it is applied sixteen times in the minute. If the same hair is used in the same manner to a corresponding part of the affected hand, the response is irregular and wanting in uniformity. Then more powerful hairs are used, up to those exerting many times the pressure. Still the response remains irregular; in fact, the answers may be less accurate with the more powerful stimulus than with the finer hairs. But further stimulation

¹ Throughout the work we obtain a threshold by finding a stimulus of a strength just sufficient to produce an overwhelming majority of right answers when applied in series. This may be called the Maximum Threshold. We do not attempt to determine the smallest stimulus which can be perceived.

with the hairs of lower grade does not of necessity materially reduce the proportion of correct answers. The irregular response to the more powerful hairs cannot therefore have been due to fatigue alone.

The following series illustrates the nature of this response. The observations began on the normal hand with sixteen touches from a hair of 21 grm./mm.², to which the patient responded promptly in every case. Then the affected hand was touched sixteen times with the same hair and five of these touches were appreciated. A stronger hair was chosen, and eight out of sixteen contacts were appreciated. Step by step the strength of the stimulus was increased, but even with 100 grm./mm.², which exerts a pressure of 3.5 grm., we obtained six responses only. We then decreased the strength of the stimulus again and at the end of the series we obtained a better set of answers to the weakest hair than to the strongest hair previously employed. Finally, on the normal hand all the touches with the lightest hair were promptly recognized, showing that general fatigue played no part in the peculiar form assumed by the response from the affected hand.

	Normal hand (R.)	Affected hand (L.)
21 grm./mm. ²		
21 grm./mm. ²		..
23 grm./mm. ²		..
35 grm./mm. ²		..
100 grm./mm. ²		..
23 grm./mm. ²		..
21 grm./mm. ²		..
21 grm./mm. ²		

To show the record obtained when von Frey's hairs of various bending strains were applied sixteen times in the minute to similar parts of the normal and affected hands. A stroke represents a correct answer, a nought that the patient did not respond.

This record can be translated into the following numbers :-

		Normal hand (R.)		Affected hand (L.)
21 grm./mm. ²	16 out of 16	..	—
21	—	..	5 out of 16
23	—	..	8 ,, 16
35	—	..	6 ,, 16
100	—	..	6 ,, 16
23	—	..	4 ,, 16
21	—	..	7 ,, 16
21	16 out of 16	..	—

It is obvious from these figures that in such a case we can no longer talk of a threshold to von Frey's hairs on the affected hand. For although the hand reacted to 21 grm./mm.² (0.36 grm.) it failed to react in a considerable proportion of instances to 100 grm./mm.² (3.5 grm.). Further stimulation with 21 grm./mm.² produced a larger

proportion of right answers compared with those evoked immediately before by 100 grm./mm.²

Such a result only permits us to say that the range between the minimum stimulus to which the patient responds and the maximum threshold extends between 21 grm./mm.² and something above 100 grm./mm.² We cannot so far say that no threshold exists to measured tactile stimuli. Unfortunately hairs above 100 grm./mm.² are liable to evoke a sensation of pricking on many parts of the normal hand, and it is therefore impossible to employ them without running the risk of introducing a disturbing sensation of pain.

But if a series of graduated pressures are allowed to act upon a stout bristle, which does not bend, it is possible to produce greater tactile stimuli which evoke no sensation of pricking. When this test was applied to the patient who yielded the record on p. 148, we obtained the following results:—

	Normal hand (R.)	Affected hand (L)
0.2 grm.	O	
0.2 grm.		O O OO OO : OOOO
0.3 grm.		O OOOOOOO OO OO
0.4 grm.		OO O: OOO O OO OO
0.5 grm.		O OO OOOOO OOOOOO
0.75 grm.		OOOO OOOOOOOOO O
1.0 grm.		OO O O O O OOOOO
1.5 grm.		O OO O O: O: O OO
2.0 grm.		O OOOOOO O OO OOO
4.0 grm.		OO OOO : O O
5.0 grm.		OOOO OO OO O
1.0 grm.		OO OOOOOO OOOOOO O
0.2 grm.		

The figures in the first column represent the weight in grammes acting on a constant surface of 0.19 mm.² As before, a stroke represents a correct answer, a nought signifies that the patient did not reply. A dotted stroke represents a hallucination.

This record can be translated into the following numbers:—

	Normal hand (R.)	Affected hand (L.)
0.2 grm. 15 out of 16 —
0.2 „ 6 out of 16
0.3 „ 5 „ 16
0.4 „ 5 „ 16
0.5 „ 4 „ 16
0.75 „ 4 „ 16
1.0 „ 6 „ 16
1.5 „ 7 „ 16
2.0 „ 4 „ 16
4.0 „ 9 „ 16
5.0 „ 8 „ 16
1.0 „ 3 „ 16
0.2 „ 16 out of 16 —

series the best set of answers occurred with 32 grm., but this was equalled by the final series obtained with 2 grm. And yet at the same time the part was not insensitive to tactile stimuli, for with a hair which exerted a pressure of 0.36 grm. (21 grm./mm.²) nine out of sixteen touches were appreciated (*vide* Appendix II, p. 242).

Here, then, it is impossible to say that any tactile threshold can be obtained in the sense usually given to the term. A part that was sensitive to a hair exerting a pressure of 0.36 grm. was not constantly sensitive to a pressure of 32 grm.

This uncertainty of response is not the only peculiarity met with in examining the affected part with measured tactile stimuli. Fatigue is induced with unusual facility and a part that has responded in the characteristic manner to one of the less powerful hairs may cease altogether to respond to 100 grm./mm.² This is, however, an extreme reaction seldom, if ever, seen in uncomplicated cortical cases.

Another disturbing factor in the response to graduated tactile stimuli is the occurrence of hallucinations, or replies that are not the direct sequel to a stimulus. We believe that this tendency to hallucinate is not due to any general untrustworthiness on the part of the patient, but is another aspect of the irregular response characteristic of cortical loss. On one occasion Hn. (Case 14) gave so many hallucinatory answers to graduated hair-stimulation of the affected hand that all testing became impossible. But in spite of this "untrustworthiness" the answers obtained from the normal hand and from the soles of both feet were excellent, and on all these parts a perfect threshold could be worked out.

Many of our patients are highly intelligent; if they are asked to describe their sensations at the time they are hallucinating, introspection leads them to remarkably similar conclusions. They start by saying that a sensation from the affected part differs from that evoked by the same stimulus on the normal hand or foot. The hair seems to remain in contact with the skin, and after a series of touches a continuous sensation is produced. Upon this continuous sensation each consecutive touch may or may not produce that additional change recognized as a fresh contact. But at times the sensation interpreted as another contact may arise without actual stimulation and sometimes it may even recur several times.

These hallucinations are in fact the direct consequence of that persistence of sensation, so frequently a feature of the changes produced by a cortical lesion.

Frequently, however, tactile sensibility is less grossly affected by a cortical lesion. The response may still be irregular and the sensation of contact may persist; but with stronger stimuli the proportion of replies increases until, perhaps, every contact may evoke an answer. Under such circumstances, the affected part may exhibit unusual signs of fatigue, although general attention, shown by the response on the normal side, has undergone no diminution (Case 14, p. 237). Moreover, the sensations of contact tend to persist and hallucinations may break an otherwise perfect series of replies.

Whenever a tactile threshold can be obtained, sensibility as a whole is comparatively slightly affected. No form of sensory appreciation is completely lost and some approximation to a threshold can be discovered with other graduated tests, such as the compasses.

The defects revealed by graduated tactile stimuli are less evident on testing with a camel's hair brush or by moving a wisp of cotton-wool backwards and forwards over the affected part.¹ If it happens to be endowed with hairs, there may be no difference between the constancy of the patient's answers from the two sides, but over hairless parts or after removal of the hairs the replies to cotton-wool stimulation are usually less certain and more irregular than over similar normal areas. Moreover, the patient sometimes complains that the touch of the cotton-wool is "less plain" over the affected limb; but not infrequently he says he can appreciate no difference. Changes that are evident to tests with graduated hairs may be less manifest on stimulation with cotton-wool. This is due in part to the fact that movement of cotton-wool over hair-clad parts evokes a tickling sensation different in nature and origin from the single contact of a measured tactile stimulus (*vide* p. 133).

We can sum up the conclusions to which we have arrived as follows:—

(1) A cortical lesion may reduce the accuracy of response, from the affected part, to graduated tactile stimuli.

The form assumed by this defective sensibility differs from that produced by lesions at other levels of the nervous system. For the affected part may respond to the same graduated hair as the normal

¹ Movement over the surface greatly increases the effect of any stimulus such as cotton-wool. For, firstly, the area affected is greater than if the cotton-wool were simply brought into contact with the skin. Secondly, the leverage of the hairs set in motion by dragging a wisp of cotton-wool or a brush across the part greatly increases the stimulating effect. Finally, movement across the surface is in itself a powerful stimulus, and, as we have shown when discussing the results of lesions of the optic thalamus, may evoke a sensation although simple contact is not appreciated.

hand ; but this response is irregular and uncertain. Increasing the stimulus may lead to no corresponding improvement, and even the strongest tactile hair may occasionally evoke less certain answers than a hair of much smaller bending strain. Moreover, a touch with the unweighted æsthesiometer may be as effective at one moment as the same instrument weighted with 30 grm. at another. In such cases no tactile threshold can be any longer obtained.

(2) This irregularity of response is associated with persistence of the tactile sensation and a tendency to hallucinations of touch.

Where the sensory defect is not sufficiently gross to abolish the threshold, persistence, irregularity of response and a tendency to hallucinate may still disturb the records.

(3) In all cases where tactile sensibility is affected, whether a threshold can be obtained or not, fatigue is induced with unusual facility. Although the patient may cease to respond to tactile stimuli over the affected part in consequence of fatigue, his answers may remain as good as before from the normal parts. The fatigue is local and not general.

(4) With stationary cortical lesions, uncomplicated by states of shock or by "diaschisis," sensibility to touches with cotton-wool is never lost over hair-clad parts. Over hairless parts stimulation with cotton-wool may produce a sensation which seems "less plain" to the patient, and his answers may show the same inconstancy so evident when he is tested with graduated tactile stimuli.

§ 2.—*Measured Painful Stimuli.*

In all lesions of the sensory path below the optic thalamus, some loss of sensation to painful stimuli is of common occurrence. But we have never discovered any disturbance of this form of sensibility as the consequence of a stationary cortical lesion, except when the disease was of recent origin or unless the patient had suffered shortly before from convulsions, or had been subjected to other causes of shock.

A prick is the only effective means of applying a measurable painful stimulus to the skin. But all mechanical means for measuring the force needed to evoke a sensation of pain, when a sharp point is thrust into the skin, labour under the same disadvantage. Any sharp object, such as a needle, produces first of all the recognition that the stimulus is pointed: further pressure adds to this a sensation of pain. Now, it is almost impossible to prevent the ordinary patient from saying that he

is pricked whenever he appreciates that the stimulus is a sharp point and if he is told to wait until he obtains a sensation of pain we run the risk of placing the threshold too high.

Usually the patient can discover no difference between two pricks of the same force applied to similar portions on the two sides of the body, but occasionally he states that the stimulus is "plainer" or "sharper" on the normal than on the abnormal part.

This difference in sensation is accompanied by no raising of the threshold either to prick or to painful pressure. The readings obtained with the prick-algesimeter, or with the pressure-algometer, are the same on the two sides. Moreover, the patient shows no more signs of discomfort from the one side than from the other, with the same stimulus.

We therefore believe that this want of "plainness," or "sharpness," of which the patient may complain, is due to a want of distinct appreciation of the pointed nature of the stimulus; that is to say, the want of "plainness" or "sharpness" is not due to a diminished sensibility to the painful aspect of the prick, but is a discriminative loss equivalent to the want of recognition of size and shape (cf. Case 15, p. 242).

The pressure-algometer gives a measure of discomfort rather than pain. To this coarse stimulus the affected part responds as readily as the normal and we have found none of that over-reaction so prominent a feature with lesions of the optic thalamus.

Thus, pure cortical lesions cause no increase or decrease of sensibility to measured painful stimuli. But, if the cortical destruction is recent or progressive, or if it produces convulsions, however slight, remarkable defects may appear in painful sensibility. The threshold, not only to prick but to painful pressure, may be considerably raised, and sometimes even coarse pricking may fail to evoke a sensation with any certainty. In fact, we may be face to face with a truly diminished sensibility to painful stimuli closely resembling the loss produced by interference with sensory impulses at a lower level. These changes are due to shock, exhaustion, and to the state called by Monakow "diaschisis." They are due to a profound disturbance of function, extending back beyond the level of the sensory cortex and affecting even the receptive mechanism in the optic thalamus.

In conclusion, a pure cortical lesion leads to no change in the threshold to measurable painful or uncomfortable stimuli. Nor does the patient express greater dislike to these stimuli on one side than on the other. A prick may be said to be "plainer" or "sharper" on the

normal than on the affected side; but this is due to a defective appreciation of the pointed nature of the stimulus and bears no direct relation to the painfulness of the sensation evoked.

§ 3.—*Temperature.*

Many cortical lesions produce no change in the response to thermal stimuli, provided those periods of shock, which follow an operation or an epileptiform seizure, are strictly avoided.

In other cases, however, we found definite changes in the sensibility of the affected parts to heat and cold, although the lesion had remained quiescent for many years (Case 15 and Case 16). In every case the nature of the disturbance of sensation was similar and consisted essentially in an increase of the range within which a thermal stimulus was thought to be neither hot nor cold.

Normally this neutral zone varies greatly under different conditions and in different persons. It usually occupies from 2° to 5° C., but its position on the scale shifts with the external temperature. At any moment it can be raised or lowered by warming or cooling the hand; but, although the position on the scale may be shifted by adaptation, the interval in which a thermal stimulus is thought to be neither hot nor cold is not materially altered.

Fortunately, in all our cases we were able to compare the behaviour of the normal and the affected sides of the body under the same conditions and with equal care. Now, wherever the appreciation of thermal stimuli was affected by a cortical lesion, this neutral zone extended over a greater number of degrees than on the normal hand. In one instance (Case 15) it lay between 29° C. and 31° C. for the normal hand, whilst on the affected hand all temperatures between 27° C. and 36° C. seemed to be neither hot nor cold. In another patient this neutral zone extended from 23° C. to 38° C. on the affected side.

Not only is the range of the neutral zone increased, but the responses from the affected hand are slow and irregular compared with those obtained from normal parts under similar conditions. The patients complained that heat and cold, which they could recognize correctly, seemed "less plain" over the abnormal than over similar normal parts; they were conscious that even temperatures which they could appreciate produced a different effect upon the two sides.

Moreover the power of comparing two thermal stimuli, applied either together or in sequence, may be diminished. Temperatures of 40° C. and 48° C. may be correctly appreciated throughout a long series of

tests ; yet the patient may be unable to say with any certainty which is the hotter. He is puzzled by the inconstant intensity of the sensation evoked by the same temperature at different times. He may have no doubt that tubes containing melting ice and water at 20° C. are both cold, but he cannot tell, with any approach to certainty, which of the two is the colder.

Here, as with the defects in tactile sensibility, it is the power of discrimination, the faculty of comparing two stimuli with one another, which is disturbed by a cortical lesion. The only actual loss of sensation, therefore, falls upon that part of the scale where thermal recognition habitually depends on some previously existing standard. In a normal person anything which alters this standard, such as warming or cooling the hand, may even change the name given to a particular temperature in the neighbourhood of this neutral zone ; 30° C. can seem at one time warm, at another cold, and on the third occasion neutral. Cortical lesions therefore enlarge this neutral zone and all thermal sensibility is abolished over that part of the scale where the appreciation of heat and cold depends upon the relation to some pre-existent standard.

Shock or convulsive attacks may produce profound loss of sensibility, corresponding more nearly with the changes which follow lesions at lower levels of the nervous system ; but such gross sensory defects never result from quiescent lesions confined to the cerebral cortex.

In conclusion, we find that the appreciation of heat and cold was not affected in a considerable number of cases of cortical lesions. But whenever thermal sensibility was disturbed the following changes were found :—

(1) The neutral zone, within which the stimulus was said to be neither hot nor cold, was considerably enlarged in comparison with that observed on similar normal parts of the same patient.

(2) The patient complained that, although he recognized correctly the nature of the stimulus, it seemed "less plain" than over normal parts. His answers were less constant and less certain ; a temperature recognized without difficulty at one time seemed doubtful at another.

(3) The power of discriminating the relative coolness of two cold stimuli, or the relative warmth of two hot tubes may be diminished. Thus 20° C. may be said to be the same as ice, although both are uniformly called cold, and 40° C. may seem as warm as or even warmer than 48° C. The faculty of appreciating the relation to one another of two temperatures on the same side of the scale is disturbed.

§ 4.—*The Appreciation of Posture and of Passive Movement.*

Inability to recognize the position of the affected part in space is the most frequent sensory defect produced by lesions of the cerebral cortex. In some cases, this and the allied faculty of recognizing passive movement may be the only discoverable abnormalities. Whenever sensation is disturbed at all, these two forms of spacial recognition will be certainly affected.

Since some disturbance of the power of recognizing passive movement always accompanies defective postural recognition, these two kindred faculties will be dealt with together in this section.

(a) *Recognition of Posture.*

In most cases of cortical disease, the usual rough method of testing is amply sufficient to demonstrate defective appreciation of posture. The patient's eyes are closed and the affected limb is placed in some position different from that in which it lay at first. He is then told to touch some part of it, such as the index-finger, with his normal hand. At first he usually fails to find the limb; when at last he strikes some part of it, he moves his indicating hand down to find the finger he was asked to touch. Should the power of localization remain intact, he can ultimately grope his way to the exact spot; but, if localization is also affected, although he may reach the hand, he will probably be unable to find the finger.

So gross is the defective recognition of posture in most cases that this method amply suffices to demonstrate it in an unequivocal manner. Horsley [14] has, however, introduced a useful means of measuring this deviation from the normal.

The finger to be tested is applied to the one surface of a glass plate divided by lines into measured squares, and with the free hand the patient seeks to indicate on the other surface of the plate the position of the finger he is seeking. The results of his attempts can be read off on the measured plate. Instead of this glass plate we have used a piece of stiff cardboard. In the centre of the one surface of this cardboard is a slight depression to receive the tip of the index-finger, and on the other a sheet of white paper can be fixed. With the normal hand the patient seeks to indicate the position of the affected finger, which remains at rest in the depression, and the point upon which he ultimately settles is marked on the paper. His indicating hand is then withdrawn

and he is asked to make another attempt. Each record of a series of observations made in this way can be measured and collated at leisure.

In every case where sensation was disturbed in any way, however slightly, as the result of a cortical lesion, the records with Horsley's plate showed some loss of postural appreciation in the affected hand. This method therefore forms a delicate means of detecting sensory changes of cortical origin.

Thus in Case 14 we obtained the following results with the plate horizontal at the umbilical level: Four attempts only out of ten came within 7 cm. of the affected index. When the plate was held in the sagittal plane, two attempts to find the index of the affected hand fell within 4 cm. of its position and a circle with a radius of 8 cm. was required to cover the remaining eight points.

The deviations discovered by Horsley's method, even in normal individuals, are so considerable that it is necessary to have some standard in each patient with which to compare the records obtained from the affected parts. It might be objected that most cortical lesions produce so much paralysis that the affected hand cannot be used to point out the position of the normal forefinger. But, in spite of its paresis and defective sense of posture, the records obtained when the affected hand sought the normal forefinger were uniformly better than when the patient attempted to point to the affected forefinger with his normal hand. That is to say, defective appreciation of the position of the hand to be sought for has a more disturbing effect upon the records than weakness and loss of postural sense in the indicating hand.

This is particularly well shown by the records from a patient in whom the left hand was gravely paretic, and the sense of posture defective: and yet all ten attempts with this hand to find the normal forefinger fell within 3 cm. of its position, and five lay within a distance of 1 cm. But when he attempted to point to the affected index with the normal hand he came once only within a distance of 1 cm. and three attempts lay outside a radius of 3 cm. Thus the normal hand seeking the affected forefinger made a worse record than the abnormal hand attempting to find the normal index, whose position was known.¹

All these tests depend on knowledge of the position in space of the

¹ The most important postural impulses for the act of pointing are those from the shoulder joint and, in these limited lesions of the cortex, the shoulder is usually less affected than the distal segments of the upper extremity. Thus, even a gravely paralysed limb can often be guided more correctly in the desired direction than the normal limb attempting to point to a spot of which the position is imperfectly known.

extremity as a whole. To what extent its separate segments are affected can only be discovered by asking the patient to imitate, as exactly as possible with his normal limb, the posture of the affected part. His eyes are closed and, whilst his attention is diverted by conversation, some part such as the index-finger is moved into the desired position. After an interval he is asked to place his normal index in the same position as the index of the opposite hand. By this means we have been able to confirm the statements of most recent writers that the gravest loss produced by a cortical lesion is usually found in the extremity of the limb (Goldscheider [10], Petré, Bergmark [1]).

(b) *Recognition of Passive Movement.*

The inability to recognize posture is always associated with diminished appreciation of passive movements, in some cases so great that even complete flexion and extension of a joint cannot be recognized. Here no measurement is required; the loss of appreciation is absolute. But whenever the loss is less gross we agree with Petré and his fellow-workers that the smallest movement which can be appreciated by the patient must be measured: such measurement alone can demonstrate whether this faculty is or is not affected.

Measurements alone can furnish us with the materials for determining the relative extent to which the appreciation of passive movement is disturbed at the various joints of the affected limb, and in cases where the condition of the patient is changing, they may form a useful record of the progress of the disease.

But when we attempt to measure the extent of the smallest appreciable movement, two different phases of recognition must be distinguished. The patient may say at once when he thinks a movement has occurred; this gives the measure of the least perceptible movement. Or he may wait until he is able to indicate its direction. As a rule in the normal limb, most patients wait until they can recognize the direction of the movement before they say that the joint has been moved; but the difference between the point at which the movement is appreciated and that at which its direction is recognized is normally small.

In the abnormal limb, however, this distance is greatly exaggerated and movements may be perceived long before their direction can be recognized. It is, therefore, important to record both the extent of the smallest movement perceived and the range necessary to evoke recognition of its direction.

All these points are well shown in the records from a case where

the lesion produced slight sensory changes only; here the power of recognizing passive movements might have been said to be unaffected, had it not been for the measurements. On the normal side the patient waited until he could recognize the direction of the movement, and yet when the little finger was flexed or extended was invariably correct with movements within 5° . On the abnormal side he was never certain of the direction of the movement until it had exceeded 10° , although he frequently appreciated the occurrence of a movement of 5° .

All cortical lesions, which produce any change in sensibility, lessen the power of appreciating passive movements and the knowledge of their direction. In every case this loss was associated with a concomitant disturbance of the recognition of posture. Whenever sensibility was changed, however slightly, these two allied functions were always affected. Diminished power of appreciating the posture of some part of the limb, and of recognizing passive movements, are the most constant and most easily demonstrable defects of sensation produced by cortical lesions.

In all our cases the loss was greatest towards the distal portions of the limb; in this it corresponds exactly with the disturbance of the sense of posture. Here we can only confirm the work of almost every previous observer.

When attempting to measure the smallest appreciable movement we have been struck with the tendency to inconstant answers when testing the affected limbs. On the normal side most patients are remarkably constant and the values obtained often do not vary by one degree. Thus when the normal elbow was flexed or extended in one case all the answers lay between 1.5° and 2.5° . But with movements at the affected elbow the answers ranged between 10° and 22° .

Another striking phenomenon in these cases is the occurrence of hallucinations of movement. Even though the limb remains at rest the patient may describe sensations of movement which seem to him as clear as those evoked by our manipulations.

In conclusion, we find, like most of our predecessors, that—

(1) Cortical lesions most frequently disturb the recognition of posture and of passive movements. Whenever sensation is in any way affected in consequence of a cortical lesion these two functions suffer.

(2) In all our cases the disturbance in the faculty of recognizing posture and passive movements was greater towards the peripheral parts of the affected limb.

(3) When a patient with unilateral disturbance of these faculties attempts to point to some part of his body, defective knowledge of its position causes greater error than want of recognition of posture and movement in the hand with which he points.

(4) When testing the patient's power of appreciating passive movement, the answers are frequently uncertain and hallucinations of movement may occur. And yet the patient may be remarkably consistent and accurate when normal parts are tested.

§ 5.—*Localization.*

By localization we understand the power of recognizing correctly the spot that has been stimulated, and it would seem, at first sight, that little difficulty could arise in discovering whether this faculty was affected or not; but a good deal of confusion exists owing to technical difficulties inherent in the methods usually employed to investigate the power of localization. (*Vide* Appendix I, p. 199.)

Of all the methods at present in use that of Henri is probably the most suitable. Excellent and simple as we found this method, it labours under one serious defect for clinical purposes. Some of our patients were liable to become confused when asked to point out the spot stimulated on a diagram, picture, or even on a photograph of the hand. We therefore adopted the following modification, which we found to be a great improvement on the original Henri method.

The hand to be tested, for example the left, is hidden from the patient's sight with a screen. One of us standing behind places his own left hand in a similar position in full view of the patient, who indicates on this living model the situation of the place where he believes he has been touched. A second observer marks on a life-sized diagram the position of the stimulated spot and the point indicated by the patient. This method we have employed throughout this work. It avoids both the technical difficulties of spot-naming and the fallacies of the groping method, and is peculiarly useful in clinical investigations.

The uncertainty and irregularity of response to tactile and pressure stimuli, so common a consequence of cortical lesions, is liable to lead to fallacious results when testing the power of localization. We usually, therefore, touch the spot with some blunt instrument of neutral temperature, and continue the touches until the patient says he appreciates the contact. He then indicates on the hand of one of the observers the situation of the stimulated spot.

Were we concerned with a cutaneous sensory loss consequent on a lesion of peripheral nerves this method would be fallacious, for such touches stimulate the afferent mechanism of deep sensibility. But tactile impulses evoked from the skin and those which pass by way of the deep afferent system have united into a single tactile group long before they reach the optic thalamus. We are, therefore, justified in using any form of tactile stimulus which the patient can appreciate, in order to test his power of localization.

The power of recognizing the position of a spot stimulated is comparatively seldom affected as a consequence of pure cortical lesions, provided all periods of shock are avoided. Most seizures, and all operations on the brain, are followed by temporary loss of this function, grossly in excess of anything found with stationary lesions of the cortex.

The methods we have employed enable us to discover whether the power of localization is intact or not, although the patient is entirely ignorant of the position of the affected limb; it may be, even under these conditions, that every part of the affected hand can be touched in succession without a single mistaken answer. But, should localization be affected, scarcely a single stimulus is referred to its correct position and the patient frequently complains that he has "no idea" where he has been touched.

Some observers (Horsley and Russel [37]) have stated that, when localization is disturbed by lesions of the cortex, the position of the indicated spot lies in some constant relation to that of the point stimulated. Our failure to discover any uniform tendency to erroneous localization in one direction is probably due to the method we have adopted, and particularly to the avoidance of groping.¹ The dual and composite nature of the groping method is well shown by the effect produced by moving the hand; movements of which the patient is unconscious may cause a fundamental change in the direction towards which he tends to localize, if he is allowed to grope for the spot touched. Thus proximal can be converted into distal localization by drawing the hand nearer the body, and a preponderating tendency to localize in a postaxial direction can be converted into a pre-axial direction by turning over the hand. Suppose that, when testing the dorsum, the majority of the points indicated lie towards the ulnar

¹ If the power of localization is tested in this way, the patient will usually tend to place his finger on a point proximal to the stimulated spot. But this is due to defective recognition of the position of the limb in space and to the consequent tendency for its distal segments to seem closer to the trunk than is in reality the case. The same "shortening" is present in many cases of phantom limbs following amputation.

side of the stimulated spots; reverse the hand and the larger number will now lie to the radial side. These apparently inconsequent results depend upon the dual nature of the groping test and disappear entirely with the methods we have used.

Moreover, by our methods we obtain records which can be studied at leisure, and the number of observations permanently recorded is sufficient to indicate whether the errors in any direction are due to chance or to a constant displacement of locality.

We cannot insist too strongly that, when localization is defective from lesions of the cortex, the stimulus is not localized in some false direction, but the patient has a vague and uncertain idea amounting in some cases to complete ignorance of its position.

In one case localization was disturbed in the left hand in consequence of removal of a portion of the cortex five years ago (Case 15). On the normal hand, every touch was correctly localized, but on the abnormal hand, out of thirty-three tactile stimuli six only were correctly placed. Eleven were thought to be proximal and eight distal to the spot touched; six were localized falsely over parts of the same level, and in two cases he said he had no idea of the situation of the touch.

Thus the following records were recently obtained from a man in whom a portion of the cortex had been removed from the left hemisphere in 1904 (Case 17). The localization of fifty-six tactile stimuli on each hand could be classified as follows:—

	Correct	Proximal	Distal	Same level	"Don't know"
Normal hand (L.) ..	48	4	2	7	0
Affected hand (R.) ..	14	5	13	14	10

Erroneous localization is not due to the uncertainty in tactile appreciation so commonly produced by a cortical lesion; for it is equally manifest when the stimulus that the patient is asked to localize is a prick about which he is never in doubt. The following observations were made by asking one of our patients with defective localization to indicate the position of a series of pricks:—

	Correct	Proximal	Distal	Same level	"Don't know"
Normal hand (R.) ..	20	0	0	0	0
Abnormal hand (L.) ..	5	6	6	8	0

Defective localization is not a necessary concomitant of the defective recognition of posture so commonly produced by cortical lesions. In several cases where the appreciation of position and passive movement

was gravely affected localization was demonstrated to be perfect by every method except that of groping for the stimulated spot.

For instance, in the case where a small portion of the cortex was removed in 1908 the appreciation of position and passive movement was gravely affected (Case 14). But from observations made on many occasions during the last three years we were unable to find any difference between the power of localization on the two hands, provided the groping method was avoided. He was equally correct whether we used the original or the modified Henri method. He preferred, however, the latter; it seemed to him easier and his answers were more quick and certain.

Moreover, in these cases where the sense of position is gravely defective but localization is good, changing the position of the limb passively does not diminish the accuracy with which the point of stimulation is indicated, provided the groping method is avoided. If a series of readings are taken in the usual way, and, whilst the affected hand still remains screened from sight, its position is changed, subsequent readings are no less accurate than the first series. We can therefore state definitely that the power of recognizing the position of the limbs can be gravely affected as a consequence of cortical lesions without disturbing the accuracy of localization.

The faculty of localizing the stimulated spot as determined by all methods except groping is less often affected by a cortical lesion than the power of appreciating posture. In fact, we have not yet seen a case in which localization was disturbed without a coincident loss in postural recognition.

The conclusions to which we have arrived in this Section may be summed up as follows:—

(1) The power of localizing the stimulated spot is not infrequently preserved, although sensation may be otherwise disturbed as a consequence of cortical lesions.

(2) This faculty is independent of the power of recognizing the position of the affected limb; appreciation of posture may be lost, although localization is not in any way diminished.

(3) If the power of localization is lost, the patient will be unable to recognize not only the position of a spot touched but also the position of a prick.

(4) When localization is defective in consequence of cerebral lesions, the patient does not habitually localize in any particular direction, but ceases to be certain where he has been touched or pricked.

§ 6.—*The Compass-test.*

Loss of the power to distinguish two points applied to the skin simultaneously is one of the most striking changes produced by cortical lesions. It is not present in every case; the patient may have difficulty in recognizing the posture of the affected limb, and the appreciation of passive movements may be diminished, yet the compass-test may yield equally good results on the two sides.

But with the larger number of cortical lesions the discrimination of two points will be affected. It may be, in the milder cases, that the threshold is raised; to these we shall return later. More commonly no threshold can be obtained even by separating the limbs of the compasses to the widest extent possible within the limits of the principal segments of the affected limb. If a bad record is obtained when the points are 5 cm. apart, widening the distance to 20 cm. may cause no material improvement. Decreasing the difficulty of the problem does not of necessity improve the accuracy of response; determination of a threshold has become impossible.

Any defect in tactile sensibility is liable to disturb the compass-records. When, therefore, we consider the peculiar changes described in the first section of this chapter, the loss in discrimination of two points might appear at first sight to be due to diminished tactile acuity. This cannot, however, be the cause of the defective compass-records; for the inability to distinguish two points applied simultaneously is equally definite if each limb of the compasses is armed with a needle and two pricks replace the two tactile stimuli.

We have already seen that the recognition of a prick is never destroyed in consequence of a cortical lesion, and sensibility to pain undergoes no changes analogous to those of tactile sensibility. It is therefore obvious that the power of recognizing "two-ness" is a separate function of sensation which may be destroyed by a cortical lesion. This loss is not dependent on the changes in tactile sensibility, though the two defects are strictly analogous phenomena.

The response to graduated tactile stimuli is irregular and uncertain. The patient responds intermittently to a stimulus of low intensity, but increasing the stimulus does not necessarily increase the proportion of right answers. An exactly similar change is revealed by the compass-test. All recognition of the double nature of the stimulus may be lost, and it does not matter whether the two points are sharp or blunt. All estimation of a threshold has become impossible, not because the patient is insensitive to the contact of the two points but because the faculty of

distinguishing them has been destroyed by the cortical lesion. This is exactly analogous to the impossibility of obtaining a tactile threshold, and the two phenomena co-exist in most cases.

Not every cortical lesion produces so severe a loss of compass-discrimination. Although the recognition of posture is disturbed, the compass-records may remain equally good on the two sides; but as soon as tactile sensibility shows any of the changes produced by a lesion of the cortex the power of distinguishing two points will also suffer.

Sometimes, in cases where sensation is little disturbed, it is possible by widening the distance between the compass-points to obtain a perfect record over the affected part; a true threshold can then be discovered. But the records tend to be confused by the same irregularity and uncertainty of response so characteristic of the disturbed tactile sensibility in these cases of cortical disease.

Weber's test consists in applying the two points strictly at the same moment. We have already seen that, when this test is affected by lesions at lower levels of the nervous system, the patient can still recognize the double nature of the stimulus if one point is applied to the skin even a fraction of a second after the other. From their origin at the periphery, the impulses which underlie the appreciation of two points applied successively, run separately from those by which we are able to recognize the simultaneous application of the compasses.

But, in a certain proportion of cortical cases, it does not matter whether the compasses are applied simultaneously, or whether an interval is allowed to elapse before the second point touches the skin. The power of recognizing the double nature of the stimulus is lost, and no increase of the distance between the two points makes a constant or material difference to the accuracy of the answers. If the interval is greatly prolonged between the moments at which the first point and the second point touch the surface, the patient generally answers "one" to each application. That is to say, he fails to recognize with any certainty that two points are in contact with his skin, although he perceives each touch separately. Evidently he has lost that faculty by which "two-ness" is recognized, and it does not matter whether the points are applied simultaneously or successively.

Now, whenever successive application of the two points is recognized, localization will be found to be intact. The power of appreciating two points applied successively is in reality the faculty of localizing two spots that have been stimulated one after the other. It is independent of the power of discriminating two stimuli which act upon the surface at the same moment.

This difference was clearly shown in a series of observations made in Case 14. We found that the patient could not recognize two points separated by 3 cm. applied transversely across the back of the hand or fingers. Localization was, however, perfect and he never failed to name correctly the finger that had been touched. The compass-points were then separated to a distance of 2.25 cm., and so applied that each point fell over the basal phalanx of a separate finger. So long as the compasses were applied strictly simultaneously he never said he had been touched on two fingers, but always selected one or the other: he thought he had been stimulated with one point only. But as soon as even a fraction of a second was allowed to elapse between the two contacts he invariably recognized that he had been touched with two points and named the two fingers correctly. The faculty of localization was intact, and he was thereby enabled to appreciate the double contact, provided that the two points did not touch the surface at the same moment.

We may conclude from the observations described in this section that—

(1) A cortical lesion may destroy the power of discriminating two compass-points, both when applied simultaneously and successively.

If this is the case, no threshold can be obtained for either form of the test; increasing the distance between the points does not constantly improve the accuracy of the answers.

(2) This disturbance is not caused by changes in tactile appreciation; for it can be demonstrated equally well with two painful as with two tactile stimuli.

(3) The condition of tactile sensibility and the accuracy of the simultaneous compass-test are closely associated; a disturbance of the tactile threshold is usually accompanied by a raised threshold for the appreciation of two points applied simultaneously.

(4) Should the power be preserved of recognizing two points when the compasses are applied consecutively, localization will be found to be intact. The patient's appreciation of the two points when they are separated by an interval of time is due to the recognition of the separate locality of the two spots touched.

§ 7.—*The Appreciation of Weight.*

This test has been too frequently neglected in clinical work, probably because it demands considerable care on the part of the

observer, and goodwill in the patient. When carefully carried out no test is more satisfactory; for the results can be expressed in a numerical relationship, and when the faculty of recognizing differences in weight is disturbed, the errors, compared with those of the normal hand, are enormous.

There are several ways of conducting this test which are fully described in the chapter on methods (p. 207). But at the highest sensory level, the appreciation of difference in weight depends mainly upon two faculties. When a weight, placed upon the fully supported hand, is either increased or diminished, the recognition of the change is based mainly on the power of appreciating differences in pressure. To estimate the relation between two weights placed upon the hand one after the other requires not only an appreciation of pressure, but the power of relating some consequence of the first weight with the immediate effect of the second weight. Lastly, when a weight is placed at the same moment in each palm, and the patient is allowed to "weigh" the two objects against one another, he relies mainly upon his power of estimating movement and posture.

Cortical lesions usually diminish the accuracy of the answers to all three tests, and, if sensation is severely affected, all power of estimating weight may be lost. Although the patient may still appreciate the contact of the weights that are placed upon his hand, he may be totally unable to recognize their relation to one another, however the test may be applied.

Occasionally, the sensation of something in contact with the hand persists for many seconds after the removal of the weight. But although the patient believes that something still lies in his hand, he cannot estimate its weight in relation to an object on the opposite palm. He says "it is still there, but it does not seem to have any weight."

Conversely, the following condition can be frequently observed. A weight is placed in each hand and allowed to remain there. From time to time the patient is asked to estimate the relation between the two weights. He will almost certainly say that the one in the normal hand is heavier; but gradually he begins to think that there is no weight in the abnormal hand, and the sensations produced by its removal may even be mistaken for the re-application of the weight to the hand. He says, "You have put the weight on again."

In conclusion, we have found that—

(1) The power of estimating the relative weight of two objects of the same size and shape is readily disturbed by cortical lesions.

(2) Though the patient may retain sensations of contact when the weight is placed in his hand, all power of recognizing the relative heaviness of the object has disappeared.

(3) This faculty is equally disturbed in most cases whether the weights are placed on the supported or unsupported hand.

§ 8.—*Appreciation of Size, Shape and Form in Three Dimensions.*

To recognize size, shape and form demands that the relation between two percepts should be correctly appreciated. In the case of the tests for size, we frankly ask our patients for the difference between two objects of the same shape. When testing for shape and form, a relation is always implied between the test-object and something which the patient has experienced before.

In most cases, when sensation is affected, appreciation of size, shape and form in three dimensions is lost; the patient usually complains that he has "not the least idea" of the shape or relative size of the test-objects. Although he insists that he "can feel them quite well," they convey no indication that they possess size, shape, or form. One of our patients recognized that the cone had a point because in his manipulations he pressed it into his hand; but he had no idea that it possessed any shape.

This total abolition of the power to recognize size, shape and form makes the defect peculiarly easy to discover even by the crudest tests. Thus it is universally acknowledged that cortical lesions tend to destroy the power of recognizing common objects, such as a knife, scissors, or coin placed in the hand, and our more accurately graded tests simply serve to confirm this general consensus of opinion.

This defective recognition is not in any way due to the paralysis produced by the cortical destruction; for, in two cases where the movement of the hand was gravely affected, size, shape and form were perfectly recognized. When a test-object is placed in the patient's hand it is of advantage if he can roll it between his fingers; but where the faculty of recognizing these tests is maintained, even objects in three dimensions will be named correctly if the patient's fingers are closed over them passively.

The correct appreciation of size, shape and form does not depend to any great extent on the recognition of posture; for it was perfectly retained in two cases, although postural recognition and the appreciation of passive movement were gravely affected.

But in every case where the sense of posture was affected and we were unable at the same time to obtain a tactile threshold, all recognition of size, shape and form in three dimensions was abolished. Not that such recognition depends directly upon the state of tactile sensibility. The combined affection of the sense of posture and loss of the tactile threshold indicate simply that the defect produced by the lesion has reached a sufficient grade to destroy the power of recognizing identity and difference. The appreciation of size, shape and form, therefore, suffers like all tests which imply a relation.

§ 9.—*Roughness and Texture.*

The power of appreciating roughness can be compared on the two halves of the body by means of the Graham-Brown æsthesiometer. In this instrument one or more cylinders are projected to a measurable extent from a smooth metal surface; and the patient says whether the instrument is smooth or rough when it is moved across some part, such as the hand.

In no case of quiescent cortical lesion was there any appreciable difference between the affected and normal parts. Not only could the patient experience no difference, but the extent to which the cylinder must be protruded to evoke a sensation of roughness was the same for the two sides. This stimulus is one which can be appreciated, notwithstanding grave loss of sensibility produced by a cortical lesion.

But, in spite of this power to recognize the roughness or smoothness of the surface of the æsthesiometer, all appreciation of texture may be lost. This test demands not only the immediate recognition that the object is rough or smooth, but the relation of that sensation to other percepts. Thus, although he may know that one surface is rough and another is smooth, he may be unable to name the substance by its texture. Cotton, silk and stamped velvet are all one to the affected hand, although readily distinguished on the normal side. When once this test has been applied the patients often complain that they have lost all idea of texture, saying, "I can feel it, but I have not the least idea what it is."

These two tests, both depending on the same sensory impulses, clearly illustrate the nature of the loss of sensibility produced by cortical lesions. The one depends upon the immediate appreciation of a smooth or rough stimulus. The other demands the correct relation of one group of percepts to another. The first is therefore maintained, whilst

the second is destroyed by any cortical lesion which produces a measurable disturbance of sensibility.

§ 10.—*Vibration.*

At lower levels of the nervous system, a lesion frequently destroys all power of appreciating the vibrations of a tuning-fork. But we believe this never occurs from a stationary cortical lesion.

Usually the patient can discover no difference in the sensations produced by the fork on the two halves of his body. Occasionally, however, he says it seems "plainer," or "stronger," on the normal than on the affected limb. If this is the case the vibration will be appreciated for a shorter period over the affected parts. The fork is set in motion and the patient is asked to say when he can no longer appreciate the vibration. It is then rapidly transferred to a similar spot on the normal limb and vibration may still be recognized for as much as twenty seconds longer. If this defect were greatly increased it is obvious that the power of recognizing vibration would be abolished; but this never occurs from a pure cortical lesion. The vibration of a tuning-fork seems to contain some sensory element which insures that it is appreciated, even though the cortex is gravely affected. But the cortical lesion diminishes the "plainness" of the sensation and shortens its duration.

In some cases, the vibration of the tuning-fork not only seems "plainer," but is said to beat "faster" on the normal than on the affected limb. As this depends entirely on introspection a few patients only have noticed it, and we have avoided questions which might suggest a difference in apparent rapidity on the two sides.

CHAPTER IV.—ANALYSIS OF THE LOSS OF SENSATION PRODUCED BY LESIONS OF THE OPTIC THALAMUS AND NEIGHBOURING PARTS.

In a previous chapter we have described the various forms of sensation which may be disturbed by lesions of the optic thalamus and its neighbourhood. Test by test we followed the loss of sensation, and showed that it varied in different cases within extreme limits. We shall now attempt to discover by analysis of these disturbances of sensation whether the impulses which pass away from the optic thalamus differ in their grouping from those which enter this organ from the mid-brain.

Since the optic thalamus is the terminal station for all secondary sensory paths, a lesion situated within its limits might destroy the receptive structures in which their impulses end; in such a case the loss of sensation would correspond to that produced by an equivalent lesion of the tracts of the mid-brain by which these impulses are conducted to the optic thalamus.




FIG. 4.—To represent diagrammatically the anatomical arrangement of the paths and centres concerned in sensation. Two distinct paths exist in the spinal cord; a crossed secondary path in the ventrolateral column which conveys impressions of pain, temperature and touch, and a second uncrossed path in the dorsal column which also carries touch, and in which run impulses that underlie the sense of position, the appreciation of movement, the discrimination of two points, and the recognition of vibration, size, shape, form, weight and consistence. This second path decussates in the lower part of the medulla oblongata, but runs separate from the first path at least as high as the pons. All these secondary sensory fibres, now crossed, terminate in the ventrolateral region of the optic thalamus. The impressions they carry are regrouped here and, through intercalated neurones, are distributed along two distinct paths; the one carries impressions to the cerebral cortex, the other, we assume, towards the more mesial parts of the optic thalamus. The cortico-thalamic fibres, which terminate in the lateral nucleus of the optic thalamus, are also shown.

On the other hand, the lesion might leave this receptive mechanism intact but interfere with the paths that are streaming away to the cortex. In this case the sensory defect would reveal what elements of

sensation pass to the cortex after they have been regrouped in the thalamus.

In so complex a structure as the thalamus, containing a multitude of incoming and outgoing paths, no lesion could completely destroy one set of impulses only. But, although most cases show evidence of a diffuse disturbance of sensory functions, some point more to partial interference with the receptive mechanism, and some to destruction of the paths which run from the thalamus to the cortex. We shall analyse these two groups separately in order to discover, if possible, the nature of the rearrangement which takes place in the thalamus, and to determine the grouping of the sensory impulses which pass away from this junction to the cortex.

As our object in this paper is to study the nature of the loss of sensation, and not to erect clinical categories for diagnostic purposes, we shall not deal with those cases where a widespread lesion of the optic thalamus abolishes all sensation on one half of the body, and consequently no over-response to affective stimuli is possible.

§ 1.—*Disturbance of the Afferent Receptive Mechanism in the Optic Thalamus.*

In certain cases, which show the over-reaction to afferent stimuli and other signs indicative of a lesion of the optic thalamus, the loss of sensation is extremely gross. Not only is sensibility for touch and temperature abolished, but even the threshold for painful stimuli may be raised. Pain, once appreciated, produces more discomfort on the affected half of the body; but in order that this appreciation may occur, the stimulus must be more intense than on the normal side. The strength of a prick, and the amount of pressure required to produce pain, are greater over the affected parts, although as soon as pain is produced the reaction is excessive. This loss of sensibility is probably due to interference with painful impulses either in the receptive portion of the optic thalamus, or as they still run in the secondary sensory paths which end in this junction.

This conclusion is supported by the extreme loss of other forms of sensation which is found in cases where the pain-threshold is distinctly raised. Moreover, instances have been recorded in the literature where the loss of sensation was of the grossest kind and, although no attempt was made to determine the threshold for various forms of painful stimuli, we have little doubt, from their likeness to cases under our

observation, that the threshold must have been raised. This was the condition in a case described by Roussy, where autopsy revealed a lesion in the neighbourhood of the termination of the fillet.

In one of the cases (Case 6) we have cited in Chapter II sensibility to prick was gravely diminished, and the algometer readings were uniformly higher on the affected half of the body in spite of the profound over-reaction to both stimuli. The patient was entirely insensitive to the thermal element of heat and cold, and showed no discomfort until ice, or water at 60° C., was used; then, however, the response was excessive on the affected half of the body. Even sensibility to the tactile elements of pressure was gravely affected.

Autopsy showed that the caudal portion of the lateral nucleus of the optic thalamus close to the ending of the fillet was the seat of a lesion of vascular origin. In this case a few impulses evoked by stimuli of high intensity could pass the receptive junction of the optic thalamus and excite sensations of pain and discomfort. Had the lesion caused a slightly graver interference with these impulses the loss of sensation would have been absolute, and the characteristic over-response to affective stimuli could not have made its appearance. Roussy ([36], Case 2) has observed an instance of this condition where the patient was insensitive to all stimuli, and the upper end of the fillet within the optic thalamus was found to be involved in the lesion. In such cases the forms assumed by the loss of sensation resemble those produced by a mid-brain lesion; the threshold for touch, for pain, for heat and for cold are much raised, and sensibility to one or more of these stimuli may be abolished entirely.

We believe that in all cases which present the "syndrome thalamique," accompanied by loss of sensation so gross that the threshold is raised to affective stimuli, the receptive mechanism is affected; this seems to be situated mainly in the caudal portion of the lateral nucleus of the optic thalamus.

§ 2.—*Disturbance of Sensory Impulses as they pass from the Optic Thalamus to the Cortex.*

In certain cases which show characteristic signs of a lesion of the optic thalamus, the threshold to prick is identical on the two halves of the body and the readings of the pressure-algometer may be actually lower on the affected side. The loss of appreciation of posture and passive movement may be severe, and the power of comparing two weights may be diminished, but the remaining forms of sensibility are

less grossly affected than in that group of cases we have just considered in § 1 of this chapter.

Here we believe that the receptive mechanism is not affected to any material extent. The complete retention of painful sensibility shows that the impulses upon which it is based have been allowed to exert their full influence on the central organ where they are transformed into sensation. Moreover, the sensory elements are dissociated in ways which are unknown, until this level is reached; both the nature of the loss of sensation and the character of the sensibility which remains show that the afferent impulses must have been interrupted after they have undergone a fresh regrouping at the thalamic junction. An analysis of these forms of dissociated sensation will therefore show the grouping of the impulses which pass away from the optic thalamus to act upon the sensory cortex.

The first remarkable fact revealed by a study of these cases is that, whenever a stimulus can be appreciated, a threshold can be obtained. The tactile threshold may be raised, but increasing the strength of the measured stimulus improves the accuracy of the answers. In the same way it may be necessary to employ a colder or a warmer tube to evoke a thermal sensation, but, as a rule, a threshold can be obtained without difficulty. Sensibility to any one stimulus tends to be diminished rather than to be abolished completely.

When we turn to the forms assumed by the loss of sensation we find that in every case the recognition of posture and passive movement was more or less gravely disturbed. Sometimes the loss was so slight that it could be discovered by measurement only; but in every case a difference was demonstrable between the two sides. Whenever this loss of appreciation of posture and passive movement exceeded a scarcely perceptible amount, it was accompanied by a diminished power of estimating the difference in weights placed on the unsupported hands.

Tactile sensibility was frequently diminished in these cases, but was never abolished completely. Stronger hairs might be necessary to evoke an answer, but ultimately a threshold could usually be obtained, and increasing the stimulus led to an increase in the accuracy of the responses.

Any material raising of the tactile threshold was accompanied by inability to appreciate the relation of weights placed on the fully supported hand one after the other. Moreover, the patient could no longer recognize with certainty increase or decrease of a weight resting on the affected hand.

Not uncommonly, the simultaneous compass-test was also affected and a threshold could not be obtained until the points had been separated to many times the distance necessary on the normal side. Wherever this test was defective, the power of recognizing size and shape was diminished, showing the probable association at this level of the nervous system of the impulses upon which these various functions depend.

Localization was less often disturbed in the cases at present under consideration; but occasionally the patient had lost the power of indicating correctly the position of the stimulated spot. Whenever localization was disturbed, the power of recognizing two compass-points applied consecutively was also affected.

Thermal sensibility was frequently intact in this group of cases. The impulses underlying heat and cold seem to run together in a distinct path, which not uncommonly escapes when the sensory loss is of the type at present under consideration. But if thermal sensibility is disturbed, heat and cold are affected together, after passing the thalamic junction.

Vibration of a tuning-fork could always be appreciated, but in the majority of cases in this group its duration appeared to be shortened; if the vibration of the fork seemed to have ceased on the affected half of the body, it could still be appreciated for from five to twenty seconds longer on a similar normal part.

Thus it would seem that sensory impulses travel from the optic thalamus to the cortex in five groups.

(1) Those concerned with the recognition of posture and passive movement. If these impulses are affected the power of discriminating weights on the unsupported hand may also be diminished.

(2) Certain tactile elements; integrity of this group is necessary for the discrimination of weights placed on the fully supported hand.

(3) Those impulses which underlie the appreciation of two points applied simultaneously (the compass-test); on this group also depends the recognition of size and shape.

(4) Those which underlie the power of localizing the situation of a stimulated spot. Recognition of the double nature of two points applied consecutively also depends on this group of impulses.

(5) All thermal impulses are grouped together to underlie a scale of sensations with heat at the one end and cold at the other. At the level with which we are now dealing these impulses have already excited the affective centre and are passing away to the cortex.

CHAPTER V.—THEORETICAL CONCLUSIONS.

So far we have described in detail the effects which may follow lesions situated in different parts of the brain. In the present chapter we shall attempt, by analysis of these results, to present a coherent account of the mechanism of sensation.

We believe there are two masses of grey matter, or sensory centres, in which afferent impulses end to evoke that psychical state called a sensation. One of these is situated in the optic thalamus, whilst the other consists of a considerable area of the cerebral cortex.

The anatomical structure known as the optic thalamus is an extremely complex portion of the brain, and contains not only the terminal centre for certain aspects of sensation, but plays a threefold part in the fate of sensory impulses :—

(1) Firstly, it contains the termination of all secondary paths; here sensory impulses are grouped afresh and redistributed in two directions, on the one hand to the cortex cerebri, and on the other to the grey matter of the optic thalamus itself (*vide* Chapter IV).

(2) Secondly, it contains a mass of grey matter, the essential organ of the thalamus, which forms the centre for certain fundamental elements of sensation. It is complementary to the sensory cortex and exercises different functions in the production of sensation.

(3) Lastly, the lateral part of the optic thalamus is the organ through which the cortex can influence the essential thalamic centre, controlling and checking its activity. The excessive response to affective stimuli, so prominent a feature of lesions in this situation, is not due to irritation but to removal of cortical control.

Next, we shall consider the nature of the activity of the sensory cortex. We shall show that it is concerned more particularly with discrimination and with the relation between two sensations, or between a sensation and its representation. It is essentially the organ by which attention can be concentrated on any part of the body.

Finally, we shall deal with the interaction of the cerebral cortex and the centre in the optic thalamus during the production of sensation.

§ 1.—*The Essential Activity of the Thalamic Centre.*

The most remarkable feature in that group of thalamic cases with which we have dealt in this work is not loss of sensation, but an excessive response to affective stimuli. This positive effect, an actual overloading of sensation with feeling tone, was present in all our

twenty-four cases of this class; and, though emphasis has not been laid upon it as a characteristic feature, it has been observed by others in cases where the existence of a lesion in the lateral zone of the optic thalamus was confirmed by autopsy (Roussy [35, 36], Edinger [8]).

This excessive response may be accompanied by much or by little loss of sensation, but the extent of this loss bears no relation to the amount of the over-reaction to painful stimuli. It is only necessary that sufficient sensory impulses, capable of exciting discomfort, should still be able to reach consciousness. If this is possible, the affected half of the body will respond more profoundly than normal parts to all painful stimuli, in spite of the gross loss of sensation. (Cf. Case 10, p. 222.)

But the characteristic thalamic response does not consist in an excessive reaction to painful stimuli only. In suitable cases we have shown that the response to pleasurable stimuli, such as warmth, is also greater on the affected side. Moreover, the manifestations of general mental states of pleasure and of discomfort may be more pronounced on the abnormal half of the body.

The pains and uncomfortable paræsthesiæ which occur in these cases have been explained by some observers as due to irritation. The lesion is supposed to irritate some part of the sensory path in the region of the optic thalamus and thus to produce pains and "hyperalgesia." But this conventional hypothesis is insufficient to explain the observed facts in the clinical course of these cases. In the large majority of instances the lesion has proved to be a hæmorrhage or a softening. Now all vascular lesions of the nervous system notoriously tend to produce the greatest disturbance of function at the time when they occur; the subsequent progress of the case always shows a certain amount of recovery. But in this group of thalamic cases the pains and over-reaction come on, as a rule, during the stage of recovery of function, frequently at a considerable period after the "stroke" has occurred, and they usually last unaltered for years.

Moreover, we have pointed out that the response to pleasurable stimuli is also increased in some of these cases, a condition incompatible with the constant existence of an irritative lesion which evokes pain.

Further, we have not found this peculiar over-response from lesions of other parts of the sensory path,¹ and the extreme rarity of such cases

¹ Spontaneous pains associated with over-sensitiveness to prick or other stimuli have been described as a consequence of lesions of the central nervous system at other parts of the sensory path, but few of these cases will stand criticism. For example, in the case published by Raymond and Rose [32] as a lesion of the pons varolii the authors themselves admit the possibility of multiple lesions, and the presence of choreic and athetoid movements points in all

in the literature compared with the overwhelming frequency of this over-response with the lesions of the optic thalamus, compels us to adopt some other explanation for its occurrence at this level of the nervous system.

It is obvious, therefore, that the attempt to explain this condition by a hypothetical irritation fails entirely, and we must consider whether the clue is not to be discovered in the removal of some control normally exerted by one sensory centre upon another.¹

Let us turn for a moment to the motor side of the nervous system, where the effects produced by removal of control are more fully understood. It is well known that a lesion of the motor region of the cerebral cortex, or of the tracts which run from it to the spinal cord, produces not only loss of voluntary movement, but also a positive effect in the increased tone and rigidity of the affected limbs. This increase of tone, as Hughlings Jackson [15] pointed out in the Croonian Lectures nearly thirty years ago, is not the direct effect of the destructive lesion, but is produced by the activity of subcortical centres released from control. He laid down the law that no destructive lesion can produce a positive effect directly. The activity of the cortex cerebri normally inhibits and controls subcortical motor centres and the destructive lesion sets these centres free to display their powers unchecked. Loss of voluntary power is consequently associated with tonic over-action and an increased reflex discharge in response to afferent impulses.

We believe that an exactly similar condition is revealed on the sensory side of the nervous system by cases of thalamic disease accompanied by an excessive response to peripheral stimuli. We know that paths run, not only from the optic thalamus to the cortex, but from the cortex to the thalamus, and they have been shown ([25] [4] [38]) to terminate in its lateral zone, the very region affected in cases that have exhibited the "syndrome thalamique." But, since these paths come from all parts of the cortex to impinge upon the optic thalamus the functions they exercise cannot be removed by partial destruction of the cerebral

probability to affection of the optic thalamus. Raymond and Français [31] recorded a pontine case in which pains and "hyperæsthesia" to prick were present, but the position of the lesion was not determined by autopsy. In Mann's case [22] there was merely persistent unilateral pain, and Mann himself recognized that this was accompanied by "hypæsthesia" and not by "hyperæsthesia," as in Edinger's case of thalamic disease, with which he contrasted it.

¹ The condition known as protopathic sensibility, arising from lesions of peripheral nerves, is also characterized by an over-response to painful and to thermal cutaneous stimuli. This over-response is due to the uncontrolled action, on normal sensory centres, of impulses capable of exciting sensations heavily charged with feeling-tone (Rivers and Head [33]). But full discussion of the relation between protopathic sensibility and the condition produced by thalamic lesions must be reserved for a subsequent communication.

hemispheres; cortical lesions produce no thalamic over-response to affective stimuli. The only certain method of releasing the optic thalamus from the influence exerted by the cortex is to destroy the lateral nucleus in which the majority of these cortico-thalamic paths seem to end. It is probable that a subcortical lesion so situated that it destroyed all these paths just before they entered the thalamus might produce the "syndrome thalamique," although the substance of this organ remained intact; but such lesions must be rare compared with those which produce this effect by destruction of part of the thalamus itself.

The only function which can be ascribed to these cortico-thalamic paths is that through them the cortex controls, in some way, the activity of the thalamus. If this view is correct, lesions which interrupt these paths, but leave intact the main substance of the optic thalamus, must lead to a permanent over-activity of functions exercised by that organ. Any afferent impulses, which are capable of exciting this part of the brain, will act on an uncontrolled centre and must, consequently, evoke an excessive effect.

All stimuli which produce an excessive effect, when the thalamus is freed from cortical control, contain elements which can excite the essential centre of this organ. Analysis of the over-response in these thalamic cases will, therefore, reveal what sensory qualities are due to the activity of the optic thalamus. Now we have shown that the nearer a sensation approaches pure discomfort, the more certainly will the response be exaggerated on the affected half of the body. States of pleasure and stimuli, such as warmth, capable of exciting pleasurable sensations, may also evoke excessive manifestations on the abnormal side. Since, therefore, affective states can be increased when the thalamus is freed from cortical control, we may conclude that the activity of the essential thalamic centre is mainly occupied with the affective side of sensation.

This conclusion is strengthened by the fact that stationary cortical lesions, however extensive, which cause no convulsions or other signs of irritation and shock, produce no effect on sensibility to pain. Destruction of the cortex alone does not disturb the threshold for the painful or uncomfortable aspects of sensation.

Some stimuli, not usually included amongst those which evoke pleasure and discomfort, may produce an exaggerated response when the grey matter of the optic thalamus is free to react unimpeded. Thus, cotton-wool moving over a hair-clad part may produce a "stronger" sensation on the abnormal half of the body. Roughness is another stimulus

which appeals to the essential thalamic centre and not uncommonly produces an exaggerated response over affected parts. The vibration of a tuning-fork, applied to the surface of the body, also contains an element which may produce an excessive effect and, in most cases, although the length of time during which the vibrations are appreciated is materially shortened, the patient asserts that the sensation is "stronger" on the abnormal side.

But all these stimuli evoke sensations more or less allied to tickling and scraping, and it is not surprising that the affective element should be exaggerated. We believe, however, that an appeal can be made to the essential thalamic centre by stimuli which produce changes of internal state other than those of pleasure and discomfort. A cortical lesion never abolishes sensibility to contact; the response may be intermittent, irregular, and grossly defective, but is never completely absent. A weight resting on the hand may not be recognized, but at the moment it is placed on the skin and, not infrequently, when it is removed, or even gently touched, the patient says that "something has happened." When the loss of sensation is extremely severe, and all sensory impulses passing to the cortex have been cut off, the patient may be unable to recognize that the effect he experiences is produced by an external object and may simply reply that something is happening to him. These contact-stimuli, which may produce this vague sensation of "something happening" within the body, evoke precise recognition that an object is acting on its surface when the cortical paths are intact. Under normal circumstances the thalamic element in contact-sensibility rarely, if ever, reaches consciousness, which is dominated by discriminative sensations of touch, and it only becomes a conscious factor in sensation when the influence of the cortex is removed.

Thus, we believe that the essential organ of the optic thalamus is the centre of consciousness for certain elements of sensation. It responds to all stimuli capable of evoking either pleasure and discomfort, or consciousness of a change in state. The feeling-tone of somatic or visceral sensation is the product of thalamic activity, and the fact that a sensation is devoid of feeling-tone shows that the impulses which underlie its production make no thalamic appeal.

The functions of this organ are influenced by the coincident activity of the cortical centres, and this control is effected by means of paths from the cortex to the thalamus which probably end in its lateral nucleus. Injury in this region sets free the thalamic centre from control and it can therefore react without restraint to all stimuli capable of

arousing affective states. Since, however, most stimuli which act upon the body in daily life are noxious and so contain a disagreeable element, most sensations experienced by the patient with a lesion of the optic thalamus are painful. This is particularly the case when sensation is so gravely diminished that stimuli of great intensity only can reach consciousness. The occurrence of spontaneous pains and the painful paræsthesiæ of the affected half of the body, so constant a feature of these cases, are due to the uncontrolled activity of this centre through which painful stimuli evoke a disagreeable sensation.

§ 2.—*The Nature of the Sensory Activity of the Cortex.*

In a previous chapter we have described the results produced by a cortical lesion upon a series of measured sensory tests. Let us now analyse these defects in the hope that thereby we may learn the part played by the cortex in that response to an external stimulus we call a sensation.

We are not concerned here with the limits of the area on the surface of the brain which are associated with a disturbance of sensibility; before it is possible to talk of "cortical localization" we must know what sensory qualities are due to the activity of the cortex. Much of the doubt that still hangs round the topography of "sensory centres" arises from this ignorance. Most observers start with the assumption that impulses originate in peripheral end-organs and pass unaltered to the cortex, there to underlie that psychical state we call a sensation. But we have already seen that the impulses upon which depend the "primary sensations" of touch, pain, heat and cold, are highly complex and undergo much regrouping before they arrive at the final sensory centres.

Afferent impulses on their way from the periphery to the cortex pay toll first of all to the unconscious co-ordinating mechanisms of the spinal cord and the cerebellum. Then, after being regrouped at the thalamic junction, they act upon two terminal centres. One of these, the essential organ of the optic thalamus, responds to all those elements which can evoke consciousness of an internal change in state, more particularly pleasure and discomfort. Sensory impulses then pass by way of the internal capsule to act upon the cortex and these are the afferent materials out of which the cortex manufactures the forms of sensation with which it is concerned.

We know the nature of these impulses as they travel through the capsule to the cortex; it is revealed by those cases of thalamic and

capsular disease in which the loss of sensation is due to interference with paths which pass from the optic thalamus to the sensory cortex (*vide* p. 174).

The dissociations of sensibility which can occur under such conditions show that, after exciting the essential centre of the optic thalamus, sensory impulses pass on in five main groups to be distributed to the cortex :—

(1) Those which underlie postural recognition and the appreciation of passive movement. Upon this group depends the secondary faculty of judging the difference or identity of two weights placed on the unsupported hands.

(2) Impulses which underlie the recognition of tactile differences or the power of appreciating those qualities of touch other than contact and roughness. To this group we owe the faculty of recognizing the increase or diminution in weight of a test-object, and of distinguishing the difference between two weights placed on the supported hand.

(3) Those upon which depend spacial discrimination (compass-points applied simultaneously) and its allied faculty, the recognition of size and shape.

(4) Those impulses which enable the patient to recognize the spot stimulated (localization). They carry with them the power of appreciating the double nature of two points applied to the surface one after the other.

(5) Thermal impulses. On this group depends the possibility of recognizing those degrees of temperature which necessitate a comparison with the consequences of some previous thermal sensation.

Such are the afferent materials out of which the cortex manufactures those forms of sensation for which it is responsible. We have already studied the nature of this activity, as revealed by the effects of a cortical lesion (Chapter III). Such a lesion tends, as we have seen, to produce peculiarities in the response to sensory stimuli unknown at any other level of the nervous system. The larger the area affected between the precentral fissure and the occipital lobe, the more certainly will sensibility show these characteristic changes.

The accuracy of response to measured tactile stimuli, whether von Frey's hairs or graduated pressure, is greatly reduced. The answers are irregular and uncertain, and increasing the stimulus does not of necessity lead to an equivalent improvement in the proportion of accurate replies. Contact-sensibility is not lost, and cotton-wool may still be appreciated, although it may seem "less plain" than on the normal

side. Tactile sensations tend to persist and hallucinations may be present to an abnormal degree. Fatigue is induced with unusual facility; this is not general but affects solely the sensibility of the affected part, for the answers from the normal side may remain as good at the end as at the beginning of a series of observations.

The power of estimating the relative warmth or coldness of two stimuli suffers when thermal sensibility is affected by a cortical lesion. That part of the scale can no longer be appreciated where the sensation evoked by a given temperature normally depends on that to which the part has been previously adapted. This zone of relative heat and cold is abolished over the affected parts, and temperatures that fall within it are said to be neutral. Even when the warmth or coldness of the stimulus can be correctly appreciated, the sensation seems "less plain" over the affected limbs; the replies of the patient are less constant and less certain, and a temperature recognized without difficulty at one time seems doubtful at another. The power of discriminating the relative coolness of two cold stimuli and the relative warmth of two hot tubes is diminished, provided two temperatures are chosen which do not appeal differently to the thalamic sensory centre. Thus even the faculty of appreciating the relative intensity of two temperatures on the same side of the scale is disturbed, although both may be named correctly.

But all these stimuli contain elements capable of rousing the activity of the essential thalamic centre. The tests for the recognition of posture, of passive movement, spacial discrimination (compasses) and the locality of the stimulated spot contain no such elements, and it is these aspects of sensation that suffer most profoundly in consequence of a lesion of the sensory cortex. Postural recognition and the appreciation of passive movements are usually grossly affected. The power of localizing the stimulated spot is less frequently disturbed, but may be abolished. These two faculties are independent of one another and may be affected separately. Moreover, a disturbance of localization caused by a lesion of the cortex is not due to coincident uncertainty of tactile sensibility, for it is equally demonstrable when the stimulus is a prick to which the patient responds on every occasion.

A cortical lesion can destroy the power of appreciating the double nature of the compass-points applied simultaneously to the skin; increasing the distance between them may not, under such circumstances, improve the accuracy of the replies. This loss is in no way due to defects of tactile sensibility, for it can be demonstrated when the compasses are armed with two sharp points.

When dealing with the arrangement of the sensory impulses which pass through the internal capsule to be distributed to the cortex, we showed that the power of estimating weight, size, shape and form in three dimensions depends on the integrity of several separate groups. Thus the appreciation of difference between two weights placed in the unsupported hand demands perfect postural recognition. The faculty of estimating weights placed consecutively on the supported hand depends on the integrity of tactile sensibility. Such dissociation is impossible with lesions of the cortex, for we are no longer dealing with a disturbance of sensory impulses, but with the difficulty of recognizing the relation between two percepts. The patient generally gives up all attempts at appreciation, saying he has "no idea" of the shape, form, or relative size and weight of the test-object.

The tests for the appreciation of roughness and of texture demonstrate clearly the part played by the cortex in sensation. With Graham-Brown's æsthesiometer the threshold is identical on both hands; in every case the amount of protrusion necessary to make the flat surface of the instrument seem rough is the same on the two sides. In this test the patient has to determine solely whether the sensation is one of roughness or smoothness. But, as soon as he is asked to correlate these sensations and combine them with those of touch, as in the tests for the appreciation of texture, he fails entirely. He complains that from the affected hand he can gain no idea of the relation between the various stuffs passing under his fingers. One may seem rough, another smooth, but the sensations do not enable him to recognize the material he is handling.

Evidently, one of the faculties which we owe to cortical activity is the power of relating one sensation to another, whether they arise simultaneously or consecutively. Recognition of weight, size, shape, form and texture depends entirely on this faculty. Such tests consequently reveal the grossest defects whenever a cortical lesion produces any considerable influence upon sensibility.

A little consideration shows that loss of this faculty of relation is at the bottom of the diminished appreciation of posture and passive movement, which constantly forms one of the gravest defects produced by lesions of the cortex. For it would be impossible to discover the position of any part of the body, unless the immediate postural sensations were related to something that had preceded them. A direct perception of posture, analogous to that of roughness, is impossible; in every case the new position of the limb is relative to some previous posture.

At any moment we can become conscious of the position of any part of our bodies, and although such postural recognition is not constantly in the central field of attention, it always forms the measure against which we judge subsequent change. This is peculiarly the case with the appreciation of passive movement. Not uncommonly a patient with a cortical lesion can recognize that some movement has occurred, but is entirely unable to discover its direction or amplitude; complete appreciation of passive movement is the recognition of serial changes in a certain direction.

Munk [27] and all those who have recognized the relative nature of the appreciation of posture and passive movement have spoken of the cortex as the repository of images of movement. This is, however, an unsatisfactory term when we consider the actual effects produced by a cortical lesion; for, when we speak of a visual or of an auditory image, we mean something that can be recalled into consciousness. If we compare the colour of one ribbon with that of another seen a few moments before, many of us can recall the image of the first and compare it with that of the second. Both may be in the central field of consciousness together.

When we sit immobile and imagine our fingers touching some object on the table, many of us see, at once, the picture of an outstretched arm; the only image in consciousness is a visual one. Now, in cases where all power of recognizing posture is lost this visual image remains unchanged, and it cannot therefore be the standard to which we refer alterations in posture. Place the patient's affected arm in front of him on the bed, allowing him to see the position in which it lies; close his eyes, and in most cases he will see a mental picture of his hand. Then change its position whilst his eyes remain closed and he will continue to see a picture of the hand in its old position. Moreover, if localization is not affected, he will name correctly the spot stimulated but will refer it to the position in which he visualizes the hand. The visual image of the limb remains intact, although the power of appreciating changes in position is abolished.

It is evident, therefore, that the standard resulting from previous postures and movements, to which immediate reference is made when a fresh position is recognized, cannot be a visual image. The existence of a group of human beings whose conscious life by day is devoid of all visual images would be sufficient evidence of this fact apart even from the direct results of experiment. Some such persons may possess true movement images. That is to say, the

assumption of an imagined posture may be accompanied by re-presentations of movement equivalent to the pictures of those who visualize strongly.

But in both cases the image, whether it be visual or motor, is not the fundamental standard against which all postural changes are measured. Every recognizable change enters into consciousness already charged with its relation to something that has gone before, just as on a taximeter the distance is presented to us already transformed into shillings and pence. So the final product of the tests for the appreciation of posture or passive movement rises into consciousness as a measured postural change.

For this combined standard, against which all subsequent changes of posture are measured before they enter consciousness, we propose the word "schema." By means of perpetual alterations in position we are always building up a postural model of ourselves which constantly changes. Every new posture or movement is recorded on this plastic schema, and the activity of the cortex brings every fresh group of sensations evoked by altered posture into relation with it. Immediate postural recognition follows as soon as the relation is complete.

One of our patients had lost his left leg some time before the appearance of the cerebral lesion which destroyed the power of recognizing posture. After the amputation, as in so many similar cases, he experienced movements in a phantom foot and leg. But these ceased immediately on the occurrence of the cerebral lesion; the stroke which abolished all recognition of posture destroyed at the same time the phantom limb.

In the same way, recognition of the locality of the stimulated spot demands the reference to another "schema." For a patient may be able to name correctly, and indicate on a diagram or on another person's hand, the exact position of the spot touched or pricked, and yet be ignorant of the position in space of the limb upon which it lies. This is well shown in Hn. (Case 14), who never failed to localize the stimulated spot correctly, although he could not tell the position of his hand. This faculty of localization is evidently associated with the existence of another schema or model of the surface of our bodies which also can be destroyed by a cortical lesion. The patient then complains that he has no idea where he has been touched. He knows that a contact has occurred, but he cannot tell where it has taken place on the surface of the affected part.

It is to the existence of these "schemata" that we owe the power of projecting our recognition of posture, movement and locality beyond the limits of our own bodies to the end of some instrument held in the hand. Without them we could not probe with a stick, nor use a spoon unless our eyes were fixed upon the plate. Anything which participates in the conscious movement of our bodies is added to the model of ourselves and becomes part of these schemata: a woman's power of localization may extend to the feather in her hat.

Thus, it is evident that among the various functions of cortical activity which imply a relation, the act of comparison may be of different grades in the hierarchy of consciousness. The appreciation of two weights placed at the same time one in each hand is an example of the faculty of relating two groups of presentations simultaneously in the focus of consciousness.

Recognition of posture and passive movement implies the combination of every fresh group of sensations with postural schemata outside the central field of attention. The change in consciousness which corresponds to this combination is immediate recognition of an altered position.

But such disturbance of the power of recognizing identity and difference will not account for all the abnormalities of sensation produced by a cortical lesion. When the affected part is under examination, the patient's replies are uncertain and variable; hallucinations and persistence of sensation tend to disturb the records. They show in an exaggerated form the errors that may appear in a normal person who is inattentive. And yet, as soon as we examine any unaffected part, the patient may come through the same series of tests without a mistake. On the left hand in Case 14, the records were on one occasion so bad that we took a similar series of readings, not only from the other hand, but also from both feet; those from the affected hand alone showed the features which might have been attributed to inattention.

Moreover, in many cases increasing the strength of the tactile stimulus does not of necessity produce a corresponding improvement in the answers. As good a record may be obtained with a hair exerting a pressure of 0.36 grm. as with one of ten times the strength. In the same way as many correct answers may be given to the contact of an instrument weighing 2 grm. as when it exerts a pressure of 32 grm. on the same area.

Evidently a lesion of the cortex produces an effect upon sensation of a different order from that found at any lower level of the nervous

system. All those defects, which may occur when a normal person is under examination with psychophysical tests, are present in a grossly exaggerated form. Those defects, which we ordinarily attribute to want of attention, are raised to a degree unknown in the normal human being. But the patient's power of general attention has not been lowered in such cases as those we have cited in this paper. Records from the limbs of the sound side show that the phenomena of defective attention are confined to the abnormal parts. A portion only of the patient has, as it were, become untrustworthy.

We believe that the functional integrity of the cortex enables attention to be concentrated upon those changes which are produced by the arrival of afferent impulses. When this is disturbed, some impulses evoke a sensation, but others, from lack of attention, do not affect consciousness. Attention no longer moves freely over the sensory field to be focussed successively on fresh groups of sensory impressions. Sensations, once evoked, are not cut short by the moving away of the focus of attention as when cortical activity is perfect. Hence arise persistent sensations and hallucinations which are so prominent a feature after lesions of the cortex.

To sum up, we believe that the cerebral cortex is the organ by which we are able to focus attention upon the changes evoked by sensory impulses. A pure cortical lesion, which is not advancing or causing periodic discharges, will change the sensibility of the affected parts in such a way that the patient's answers appear to be untrustworthy. Such diminished power of attention makes the estimation of a threshold in many cases impossible. Uncertainty of response destroys all power of comparing one set of impressions with another and so prevents discrimination.

But, in addition to its function as an organ of local attention, the sensory cortex is also the storehouse of past impressions. These may rise into consciousness as images, but more often, as in the case of spacial impressions, remain outside central consciousness. Here they form organized models of ourselves which may be termed "schemata." Such schemata modify the impressions produced by incoming sensory impulses in such a way that the final sensations of position, or of locality, rise into consciousness charged with a relation to something that has happened before. Destruction of such "schemata" by a lesion of the cortex renders impossible all recognition of posture or of the locality of a stimulated spot in the affected part of the body.

§ 3.—*The Inter-relation of the Optic Thalamus and the Cortex in the Production of Sensation.*

In daily life all stimuli excite more or less both thalamic and cortical centres, for most unselected sensations contain both affective and discriminative elements. But, amongst the tests we have employed in sensory analysis, some appeal almost entirely to the one or other centre. The test for recognition of posture, as carried out by us, is purely discriminative; whilst the pain produced by squeezing the testicle, or to a less degree by the pressure-algometer, appeals almost exclusively to the more affective centre.

Sensory impulses arriving at the optic thalamus are regrouped in such a way that they can act upon both its essential centre and the sensory cortex. The essential organ of the thalamus is excited to affective activity by certain impulses, and refuses to react to those which underlie the purely discriminative aspects of sensation. These pass on to influence the cortical centres where they are readily accepted. In a similar way, the primary centres of the cortex cannot receive those components which underlie feeling-tone; in this direction they are completely blocked.

It has long been recognized that sensations are endowed with feeling-tone to different degrees. In those which underlie postural appreciation this quality is entirely absent, whilst visceral sensations are, in some instances, little more than a change in a general feeling-tone; one set of impulses appeals almost exclusively to the cortical centre, the other to that of the optic thalamus. All thermal stimuli, however, make a double appeal. Every sensation of heat or cold is either comfortable or uncomfortable; the only entirely indifferent temperature is one that is neither hot nor cold.

In the same way, most unselected tactile stimuli appeal both to the sensory cortex and to the optic thalamus. For not only is a touch always related to, and distinguished from, something that has gone before it, but we have shown that contact, especially of an object moving over hair-clad parts, is capable of exciting thalamic activity. Vibrations of the tuning-fork also make a double appeal, for when the cortical paths are cut the amplitude of the vibration must be greater in order that it may be appreciated; on the other hand, the vibratory effect may be stronger on the abnormal side in those thalamic cases where the affective response is excessive.

But these two centres of consciousness are not co-equal and

independent. Under normal conditions the activity of the thalamic centre, though of a different nature, is dominated by that of the cortex. When we examine the sensation normally produced by a prick, we recognize that the pain develops slowly and lasts a considerable time after the stimulus has ceased. Moreover, the same intensity of stimulation will produce a different effect on the same spot on different occasions. A long, latent period, persistence, and want of uniformity are characteristic of all painful sensations. This is seen in an exaggerated form in cases where the thalamic centre has been freed from control. The response to prick is slow, but persists long after the stimulus has ceased. Moreover, the reaction, when it occurs, tends to be explosive; it is as if a spark had fired a magazine and the consequences were not commensurate with the cause.

On the contrary, the sensations normally produced by moderate tactile stimuli are characterized by a short latent period, and disappear almost immediately on the cessation of the stimulus. A lesion of the sensory cortex disturbs both these characteristics. Tactile sensations became uncertain and incalculable, and no threshold can be obtained; persistence and hallucinations mar the uniformity of the records.

Now we have shown that the sensory cortex is the organ by which attention can be concentrated on any part of the body that is stimulated. The focus of attention is arrested by the changes produced by cortical activity at any one spot. These are sorted out and brought into relation with other sensory processes, past or present. Then the focus of attention sweeps on, attracted by some other object.

All stimuli which appeal to the thalamic centre have a high threshold. They must reach a high intensity before they can enter consciousness, but once they have risen above the threshold they tend to produce a change of excessive amount and duration, and this it is the business of the cortical mechanism to control. The low intensity of the stimuli that can arouse the sensory cortex, and its quick reaction-period, enable it to control the activity of the cumbersome mechanism of the thalamic centre.

Our view of the sensory mechanism put forward in this paper explains many of the facts already recognized both by psychologists and clinicians. It enables us to understand how integration can occur at all afferent levels of the nervous system, and makes development possible even in the individual. The aim of human evolution is the domination of feeling and instinct by discriminative mental activities. This

struggle on the highest plane of mental life is begun at the lowest afferent level, and the issue becomes more clearly defined the nearer sensory impulses approach the field of consciousness.

APPENDIX I.

METHODS USED FOR EXAMINING SENSATION.

THE value of our work depends entirely on the trustworthiness of the methods used in our investigations, and we shall therefore devote this appendix to a short consideration of the means we have adopted to test sensation. This is the more necessary because they stand midway between the rough-and-ready tests of the clinician and the elaborate methods of the psychophysical investigator. Extended observations with cumbersome apparatus are possible to the latter, because he is working with normal human beings, who can be selected and trained for his purpose.

Our aim has been to employ a series of tests which give measurable results without, at the same time, exhausting the patient, or demanding any but the smallest amount of introspection. The measurements so obtained are not compared with an absolute standard, but with the results yielded by the same tests on the normal half of the patient under identical conditions, and we have therefore rejected all cases where both sides were affected.

Even on the normal side we do not attempt, in most instances, to discover the true threshold. We begin each series of observations on the normal half of the body with a test near the threshold-value, but well within the patient's capacity. The abnormal parts are then examined with the same test, and, if a perfect series of answers cannot be obtained, the stimulus is increased until a threshold is reached, or, if this is not possible, until the task is at least many times easier than is necessary on the normal side. Thus, all our measurements are comparative, and each case yields its own standard. Otherwise such tests as the compasses, recognition of relative weight and size, and all attempts to estimate painful stimuli are useless and fallacious for observations on the sick.

Measurable stimuli are also necessary when we wish to compare

the reaction evoked from normal and affected parts. For instance, it is impossible to study satisfactorily the results produced by a prick over so-called "hyperalgesic" areas, unless we can be certain that the force behind the needle is approximately the same with every stimulation.

We have always attempted to analyse composite forms of sensation into their simplest components. For instance, the power of recognizing the form of an object by handling it depends on the integrity of several simple sensory qualities, and the condition of each of these components must be investigated if the exact nature of the more complex loss is to be understood. Similarly, the faculty described as "topognosis," the power of pointing without the aid of vision to the stimulated spot, may be affected either by loss of the sense of locality, or by ignorance of the position in space of the part of the body upon which that spot is situated; on which of these the atognosis depends can be determined only when the two faculties are separately examined. On the other hand, the condition of the more complex faculties must be tested in each case, since they depend on the power of associating in consciousness several different elementary qualities of sensation.

But it is also necessary that the tests employed should be suitable for ordinary clinical purposes. Although several of our most interesting cases have been drawn from private practice, the majority of our patients belonged to the hospital class, who are, as a rule, poorly educated and not highly intelligent, and in examining them it is obviously impossible to make use of the more elaborate tests that are employed by psychologists. The difficulty in attempting this is increased by the fact that the lesions which produce the symptoms we have investigated, or associated pathological changes, frequently lower the intellectual activity of the subjects. An attempt to employ such tests in unsuitable cases not only leads to an undue expenditure of time, but may readily give rise to error and confusion.

Early in our studies we drew up a schedule or scheme, according to which all forms of sensibility could be systematically investigated. This has been in many respects modified or elaborated in the course of our work, and is presented here in the form in which we now employ it (p. 211).

Such an examination as this schedule demands needs much time and patience on the part of both the observer and the patient, even when it is carried out on a small portion of the body. Each of our cases required, as a rule, five to ten hours divided into several sittings, and

in many instances we devoted a much longer time to the examination. These facts may seem to make our methods unsuitable for ordinary clinical purposes, but our aim has been to investigate completely the nature of the changes in sensation rather than to discover signs of diagnostic utility. For this purpose a much shorter and simpler scheme may be drawn up.

Those who wish to repeat our observations must either employ the methods we have followed or must select others more accurately suited to the purpose. It is useless to attempt either to confirm or controvert our results by tests carried out on the usual lines of clinical examination.

Certain well-recognized rules have always guided our studies. The most important of these is to obtain the goodwill and interest of the patient; for without this it cannot be hoped that the observations will be trustworthy. When attention begins to flag, or the patient to tire or lose interest, it is necessary to interrupt the examination; and for this reason we have always tried to arrange that the tests demanding the greatest effort and concentration on the part of the patient should be made early in the sitting while he is fresh, and the coarser and subjectively easier tests reserved till the later stages.

In the second place, we have always avoided, as far as possible, anything that might, on the one hand, suggest a response, or, on the other, confuse it. Each test was first explained fully to the patient, and he was requested to reply simply "yes" or "no," or by other appropriate answer to each stimulus. No further questions were asked during the examination. In many instances it is necessary to obtain, in addition, an introspective description or analysis of the sensation evoked; but we have always attempted to separate this from the tests that were devoted to the determination of any alteration of sensibility.

(A) SPONTANEOUS SENSATIONS.

The examination is begun by obtaining from the patient a description of any abnormal sensations he may experience in the affected parts, such as pain, numbness or tingling. As these terms may imply very different conditions in ordinary phraseology, it is necessary to determine as exactly as possible in what sense they are used by the patient. "Numbness" may signify a "loss of feeling," or it may even be used to describe, not a loss of function, but a positive abnormal sensation, a paræsthesia.

When such spontaneous sensations exist, it is important to ascertain if they are constant, or the conditions under which they occur, and if they are aggravated by any external agent, as contact, heat or cold.

We are accustomed to inquire also if the patient has noticed at any time that he is unaware of the position in which the affected limbs lie, and if he preserves an idea or mental picture of the limb. Many patients complain that when they wake at night they do not know where the arm is lying, and in some cases it seems as if part of the limb, such as the hand, had disappeared.

(B) LOSS OF SENSATION.

(1) *Touch.*

Whilst investigating the state of tactile sensibility, the part to be tested is always screened from the patient's sight and he is asked to reply "yes" to each contact he appreciates. In no case is he questioned during the progress of the observations.

At first we were in the habit of blindfolding our patients, but, especially in cases of cerebral disease, this is liable to lead to a state of defective general attention. These patients usually prefer to keep their eyes open and we arrange the screen so that not only the part to be tested but also the manipulations of the observer are hidden from sight.

(a) *Light touch* is always examined first by applying a wisp of fine cotton-wool of long fibre gently to the skin so that it does not produce gross pressure or any deformation of structure. By this test any considerable loss of sensation can be easily detected on hairless parts; but cotton-wool contacts are frequently appreciated over hair-clad regions which are insensitive to this stimulus when the hair has been removed by shaving. In the same way the palm, the palmar aspect of the fingers and the dorsal surface of the terminal phalanges, which are devoid of hairs, are often insensitive to cotton-wool, though such contacts may be appreciated on the hair-clad portions of the hand. This is in part due to the fact that a stimulus which bends a hair is magnified by leverage in its effect on the afferent end-organs. But in the class of case with which we deal in this research a more important factor is the "tickling" produced over hair-clad parts by contact with cotton-wool. Owing to this affective element in hair-sensibility, hair-clad parts may remain sensitive to contact with cotton-wool even though sensation to this stimulus is lost over hairless parts.

For determining the threshold for light touch, we have employed

von Frey's graduated hairs, which depend upon the fact that a constant pressure is exerted on the tip of a hair when sufficient force is used to bend it. Now it is obvious that we can arrive at the pressure per unit area if the force exerted in bending the hair, measured on a balance, is divided by its total area in mm.^2 ; the result is expressed in grm./mm.^2 . The numbers by which von Frey's hairs are known throughout this paper depend on this method of calculating their effect.

But von Frey states [9] that this is not a correct method of comparing hairs which are used as graduated tactile stimuli. For this purpose he divides the pressure in milligrammes by the radius of a circle of the same area as the cross-section of the hair. The result expressed in grm./mm. represents the tension of the hair.

In the following table we give the actual pressure exerted by each hair, the measurements of its surface, the pressure exerted per unit area, and the tension.

Number by which the hair is known	Pressure in grammes	Measured radii μ	Total area in mm.^2	Radius of a circle of the same area in μ	Pressure per unit area	Tension
8	0.04	30 × 54	0.005	40	8 grm./mm.^2	1 grm./mm.
12	0.1	47.5 × 57.5	0.0085	52	12 grm./mm.^2	2 grm./mm.
14	0.21	55 × 90	0.015	70	14 grm./mm.^2	3 grm./mm.
21	0.23	40 × 80	0.011	58	21 grm./mm.^2	4 grm./mm.
21	0.36	60 × 90	0.017	73.5	21 grm./mm.^2	5 grm./mm.
23	0.88	100 × 120	0.0377	110	23 grm./mm.^2	8 grm./mm.
35	1.4	100 × 180	0.041	114	35 grm./mm.^2	12 grm./mm.
40	1.8	115 × 125	0.045	120	40 grm./mm.^2	15 grm./mm.
70	3	115 × 115	0.042	115	70 grm./mm.^2	26 grm./mm.
90	3.6	100 × 130	0.041	114	90 grm./mm.^2	32 grm./mm.
100	3.5	80 × 140	0.035	110	100 grm./mm.^2	32 grm./mm.
110	4.8	105 × 130	0.044	120	110 grm./mm.^2	40 grm./mm.

Hairs exerting a pressure of more than 100 grm./mm.^2 may cause a sensation of pricking, especially over sensitive parts of the hand, and we have therefore avoided their use in all observations on tactile sensibility.

The hand and forearm are the most suitable site for the use of von Frey's hairs and in the majority of our patients the greater disturbance of function fell upon one upper extremity. By previous rough tests an area is selected, usually on some part of the hand, where we have reason to suspect that sensation is disturbed. Testing is begun on a similar part of the normal hand with a hair to which the patient can give a perfect series of answers without difficulty. Most parts of the hand in normal persons react to hairs of 8 to 12 grm./mm.^2 , and 21 grm./mm.^2 is therefore well above the normal threshold. Sixteen

contacts are made in one minute, a rate which allows us to vary the intervals between any two touches in order to avoid the common tendency to rhythmical replies, especially from areas of defective sensibility. On the abnormal side, the first hair selected is that which can be easily appreciated on the normal hand; then hair after hair of increasing strength is applied until either the threshold is passed




FIG. 5.—The pressure-aesthesiometer.




FIG. 6.—The spring-algesimeter.¹

or the strongest hair which does not produce pricking is reached. Frequently we then go backwards again to the hair with which the testing had begun. No word is spoken throughout such a series of tests, which always end in a final set of contacts on the normal hand of the same hair with which we had begun.

Each correct answer is recorded by a vertical stroke and failure to reply by an O; hallucinatory responses, if they occur, are marked with a broken stroke. From such a record the proportion of correct replies, and the order in which they occurred, can be studied at leisure. (For an example of these records see pp. 138, 148, 237.)

¹ This and other instruments, described for the first time in this paper, were made by Mr. C. F. Palmer, 6, Upper Tulse Hill, S.E.

(b) *Pressure-touch* can be roughly tested by contact with the pulp of the observer's finger, provided that its surface-temperature does not differ widely from that of the part to be examined.

For the determination of the threshold for pressure-touch we have employed a simple form of pressure-æsthesiometer (fig. 5). This consists of a vulcanite cylinder (A) pierced in its length to allow a thin steel rod (B) to move freely in it. Each end of this rod projects some distance beyond the ends of the cylinder; one end is pointed and shod with a cork or vulcanite disc 3 mm. in diameter (F), which we have adopted as a standard area, while near the other end there is a small platform (C) on which weights, pierced in their centre, so that they can be placed on the steel rod, may rest. The weight of the steel rod with the contact disc is 2 grm., and this is consequently the weight that falls on the skin through the disc when the unloaded instrument is brought vertically in contact with it; but by adding weights this pressure can be increased up to 50 grm. or more if necessary. The instrument is held by the vulcanite cylinder and the cork disc is brought gently in contact with the part to be tested; then by depressing the cylinder the desired weight falls on the surface. The instrument is simple and suffers only from the disadvantage that it must be used vertically. The minimal pressure that can be applied by it is necessarily high owing to the weight of the steel rod, but can be reduced to 1 grm. This pressure on a 3 mm. disc can be always and constantly appreciated on normal parts, and the instrument is consequently of use only after tests with von Frey's hairs or cotton-wool have shown that there is an alteration of tactile sensibility.

In attempting to determine a threshold with this æsthesiometer we adopt the procedure described for von Frey's hairs, beginning with a low pressure and increasing after each series of contacts until a weight is reached with which the sixteen successive contacts in one minute can be appreciated (cf. p. 150).

(2) *Localization.*

Various methods have been described to test the faculty of localization of tactile and other stimuli on the surface of the body. We have experimented with most of them, but have found a modification of Henri's method the most suitable for clinical purposes.

In Henri's original method the patient was required to mark on a life-sized diagram or photograph the exact situation of the spot

stimulated, while the observer indicated on a duplicate diagram the spot he touched. Simple though this method is, it labours under the disadvantage that many patients find a difficulty in translating an image of the part tested on to a diagram which can show only two planes of space. We found that this difficulty disappeared when the diagram was replaced by the corresponding part of another individual. The part to be tested, for instance the left hand, is hidden from the patient by a screen, while the left hand of one of the observers is presented to him placed in a position as similar as possible to that of his own limb. On this living model of his hand the patient indicates with his other forefinger the exact spot on which he believes he had been touched. The second observer marks on a diagram the spot that is touched, together with the spot indicated by the patient, and thus a permanent record is obtained. When one hand is seriously paralysed the patient must usually withdraw the normal hand from behind the screen, when control observations are being made on it, in order to point to the spot that had been stimulated.

Occasionally we have also used the method in which the patient names the spot stimulated. But accurate results cannot be obtained by this method and it labours under the serious disadvantage that confusion frequently arises in the terms employed to designate the different parts, such as the fingers or their segments.

The groping method is useless as a means of testing the power of localization, as the results obtained by it are influenced by any disturbance of the power of recognizing the position in space of the part tested.

(3) *Roughness.*

The threshold for the appreciation of roughness is most conveniently determined by the Graham-Brown æsthesiometer. This consists of a mass of brass with a polished surface from which a tooth may be projected by a graduated screw. The instrument is drawn firmly over the part to be tested, and after each application the tooth is projected further till the patient can recognize the roughness. When the threshold is normal this is generally apparent to the observer at the same time as to the patient, owing to the increased resistance that is offered to the movement of the instrument by the projection raking on the skin.

Throughout this work we have used the original form of the instrument with one projection rather than that with many projecting cylinders.

We have used for the same purpose emery- or glass-paper of different degrees of roughness. We have adopted five grades and employ as a control a piece of smooth cardboard of the same thickness. The normal fingers, when drawn over the rough surface, can recognize even the finest emery-paper we employ as rough, and can easily distinguish the relative roughness of any two grades. When this faculty of sensation is affected the finest grade that can be recognized as rough represents the amount of the defect. This is a useful test, for by it the power of discriminating the relative roughness of two grades tested in succession may easily be determined.

(4) *Tickling and Scraping.*

The conclusions to which our investigations have led made it necessary to seek stimuli which are largely affective, or which contain a considerable affective component. Apart from pain, it is difficult to obtain a stimulus of this kind, but tickling unquestionably evokes a sensation which is strongly affective and may be either pleasant or unpleasant. The easiest method to produce tickling is to draw the pulps of the fingers gently over the soles of the feet; in some cases this stimulus also tickles the palms of the hands. In certain persons a wisp of cotton-wool rubbed gently over hair-clad parts produces tickling, especially over the pinna, on the neck and on the hair behind the ears, although in many such a stimulus is entirely ineffective for this purpose.

Scraping with the finger-nails is also a definite affective stimulus of the unpleasant order, as may be seen in cases in which there is an exaggerated response to affective stimuli. In such patients it may produce an intensely unpleasant sensation.

(5) *Vibration.*

To test the power of recognizing vibration we have employed a heavy tuning-fork, beating 128 vibrations per second [C°]. The fork, when strongly vibrating, is placed on some part of the affected half of the body, and, if the vibration can be appreciated, the patient is requested to compare the sensation evoked with that he obtains when the fork is applied to the corresponding part on the normal side. Afterwards he is asked to indicate at once when the vibrations of the fork appear to cease on the affected part, and it is then immediately transferred to the corresponding point on the normal side; the addi-

tional period during which it can be appreciated on this side, gives a measure of the reduction of sensation to this test on the affected half of the body.

(6) *Compasses.*

The ability to discriminate two simultaneous contacts may be tested by means of any of the forms of clinical compasses; we have found an ordinary carpenter's callipers with rounded points the most satisfactory.

The compass-points are set at a distance from one another which is just above the threshold on the normal side, that is to say, at such a distance that the patient has no difficulty in recognizing the two contacts when the points are applied simultaneously. Ten single and ten double contacts are then made in irregular order on the normal side, and the results are recorded by the method suggested by McDougall, and described by Rivers and Head [33]. Then the similar part on the affected half of the body is tested in the same way, with the compass-points set at the same distance from one another. If this is found to be below the threshold, the points are separated until a threshold can be obtained, or, if this is not possible, a record is taken with the compasses separated to a distance many times greater than that at which a perfect reading was obtained on the normal side (cf. p. 239).

But in addition to testing the ability to discriminate two points simultaneously applied in the ordinary way, we have found it necessary, when dealing with sensory disturbances from cerebral lesions, to investigate the power of recognizing two points applied to the skin in close succession. This can be carried out by bringing down first one point and, whilst it remains in contact with the surface, rapidly placing the second point upon the skin. Evidently, the interval of time between the successive applications must be short if the two points are to be appreciated as a double contact, and not simply as two successive touches. The following record, obtained from the affected forearm in a case where the power of recognizing the double nature of the compass-points was lost, whether they were applied simultaneously or successively, illustrates the method by which we record the results of this test:—

15 cm.	1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1
	2	x x x x	x x x x
	2 +	x x x	x x x x
		x x	x

Here the compass-points were separated by a distance of 15 cm., and the contact of one point was recognized in every case correctly. But two points applied simultaneously (2) or successively (2+) were never said to be anything but one.

(7) *Pain.*

(a) *Superficial pain.*—Sensibility to pain may be tested first by pricking with a sharp steel pin or needle; the reaction to the prick should be observed, and the patient asked to compare the sensations he experiences when normal and affected parts are pricked in close succession. It must be remembered that even in this simple test there is a danger of confusion, as the contact of a point, in addition to evoking pain, gives an idea of "sharpness" due to the appreciation of the relative smallness of the stimulating object. Consequently, if the power of recognizing relative size is disturbed, the prick of a pin may be described as "less sharp" on the abnormal parts, although the pain evoked may be as great, or even greater, than on the normal side. It is therefore necessary to insure that the patient distinguishes between the sharpness of the stimulus and the pain or soreness it produces. Unhappily, this is often difficult, and consequently care must be taken to guard against this source of error before deciding that sensibility to pain is disturbed solely on the ground that pricks are described as "less sharp" than over normal parts.

If the loss is slight, such a conclusion can be satisfactorily attained only by determining the threshold for pain. The simplest instrument for this purpose is that which we have described as the pressure-æsthesiometer (fig. 5), with the contact disc removed so as to leave a naked point. The weight that must be placed on the needle before its contact evokes pain can be easily determined, and represents the threshold-value. This weight will vary with the sharpness of the needle, but by employing sewing needles of a definite grade, which can be attached to the steel rod, a standard of sharpness may be obtained. In our work, however, this was not essential, as we have always had a normal side with which to compare the pain-sensibility of the affected parts.

This simple instrument suffers from the disadvantage that it must be applied vertically. We have consequently employed, as a rule, another form of algometer (fig. 6). It consists of a metal tube (A) about 15 cm. in length, closed at one end and containing at the other

a piece of vulcanite (B), flattened at its projecting end and perforated to allow the projection of a needle (c). The tube contains a long needle, or a fine steel rod, to one end of which a needle may be attached. A fine spiral spring is fixed to the blunt end of this needle and the other end of the spring is inserted into a small bar (F) which projects into the tube through a slit (*a...b*) in one side of it, and is carried on a collar (E) that runs on the outer side of the tube. The spring is so arranged that it exerts no traction on the needle when the collar is at the highest point of the slit, and if the instrument is then applied vertically the point of the needle bears its own weight only. If, however, the collar is slid down towards the point of the needle tension is put on the spring and exerts a corresponding pressure on the needle. By measuring this on a balance the instrument can be graduated according to the pressure in grammes exerted on the needle when the collar stands at different points of the scale. An instrument graded between 2 grm. and 10 grm. is sufficient for ordinary clinical purposes. When the instrument is used horizontally or with the point upwards these values vary according to the weight of the needle, but this variation can be easily calculated. When, however, as in our work, it is sought to obtain a relative or comparative rather than an absolute threshold this point is unessential, if the instrument be applied at the same angle to the corresponding points of the two sides of the body.

It has been always recognized that it is difficult to obtain an accurate threshold for painful prick; for if a pin be applied with the same moderate pressure twice in succession to the same part, one contact may be appreciated as pain and the other as touch, depending largely on whether a pain-spot is directly stimulated or not. We consequently apply the algesimeter a certain number of times in close succession to the part to be examined, asking the patient to say whether he appreciates a prick or merely a touch, and take the reply for this series of stimulations instead of for each individual one.

We have found the faradic current an unsatisfactory means of measuring sensibility to pain at the higher levels of the nervous system and have not used it in this research.

(b) *Pressure-pain*.—For the measurement of deep pain we have used the modified Cattell's algometer, by which the amount of pressure on a standard area, necessary to evoke discomfort or pain, can be measured. Three or more readings are taken on each part, and the results are deduced by comparing the average obtained on the two sides. This is the more important as the amount of pressure with this

instrument, necessary to cause pain, varies with each observer, and although the actual numbers obtained by one may not be identical with those of another, a comparison between the results on the normal and abnormal side brings out remarkably similar results.

(8) *Temperature.*

To examine thermal sensibility we have used silver tubes 1.25 cm. in diameter. These are filled with hot or cold water and the temperature at the moment of testing it is read off on a thermometer; silver conducts so well that the temperature of the water and of the surface of the tube are almost identical.

First of all we determine the threshold for heat and for cold on similar parts of the two halves of the body, and consequently the range of the neutral zone on the two sides. Occasionally it may be advisable to make a further series of observations after the part to be tested has been adapted to heat or cold by placing it in water at different temperatures.

Then the power of distinguishing the relative warmth or relative coldness of two tubes, each of which can be recognized as warm or cold, is estimated and controlled by similar observations on the normal side.

Finally, we observe the effect of extreme degrees of heat and cold, such as 50° C. and above, or 15° C. and below, and compare the sensations they evoke on normal and abnormal parts.

Thermal stimuli form a ready method of applying a measurable affective stimulus; extreme degrees of heat and cold are uncomfortable or even painful, and warmth is usually distinctly pleasant. To study this affective component it is generally advisable to apply the stimulus to a larger area than that covered by one of our ordinary silver tubes; we have therefore employed for this purpose large glass tubes, measuring 4 cm. in diameter, filled with water at various temperatures.

These tests for thermal sensibility, simple as they may seem, are beset with difficulties and they are liable to lead to erroneous conclusions. One source of error is the tendency to call all sensations from affected parts, evoked during the testing, either hot or cold. Thus, although the patient is capable of no thermal appreciation, hot and cold tubes, or even repeated pricking, may be indiscriminately called "hot." This confusion is particularly liable to occur in cases where a lesion of the optic thalamus has led to an over-response to affective stimuli.

Moreover, it is not always easy to determine the extent of the neutral

zone, as most patients possess no word which expresses a neutral sensation. Before we begin testing with this purpose we therefore suggest that the answer shall be "warm touch," "cold touch," or "nothing but a touch." At the same time we compare the sensation evoked by the neutral temperature with that of a distinctly warm or cold tube.

(9) *Position.*

The power of recognizing the posture of any part of the body is tested in the usual way by placing a segment of a limb in some position and asking the patient to indicate, either by description or by imitation with the sound limb, into what position it has been placed. A second method is to ask him to touch with the normal hand some definite spot, such as the tip of the index-finger or of the great toe; this is a convenient test for knowledge of the position of the limb as a whole, especially if the faculty of localization is intact. The power to succeed in this test may be influenced by a defect of the sense of position at any joint of the limb.

As the ability to recognize the position of the limb may be aided by the memory of the passive movement by which its present position was reached, it is advisable in examining cases in which the loss is slight to obviate this factor as far as possible. This may be done by keeping the patient's attention diverted from the movement by conversation or questions, and by allowing the limb to remain in the position to be tested for a short time before his attention is directed to it.

A measurement of a defect in the sense of position may be obtained by the method introduced by Horsley [14], but for this purpose it is necessary that the opposite limb should be normal. Horsley employed a glass plate graduated into half-centimetre squares which could be placed, screened from the patient's sight, in any of the three planes of space. Instead of this glass plate, we have used a sheet of stiff cardboard, on one side of which a small depression is made to receive the tip of the index-finger of the limb to be tested, while to the other side a sheet of white paper can be fastened. This cardboard is placed in any position and the patient is required to bring the normal index-finger towards the tip of its fellow which lies on the opposite side; the spot on which it impinges on the paper is marked by the observer. A series of ten successive observations is made in this way. The sheet of paper can be then removed from the cardboard plate and forms a

permanent record of the amount and direction of the error, which when it is abnormal can be dependent only on the defective sense of position in the limb that remains at rest, if the moving limb is unaffected.




FIG. 7.—The instrument used by us to measure the extent of the smallest appreciable passive movement. The finer divisions of the scale are not shown upon this drawing for the sake of clearness.

(10) *Passive Movement.*

The power of appreciating passive movement may be roughly tested by changing the position of a segment of the limb and requiring the patient to indicate when he can appreciate the movement, and by noting the range necessary in order that its direction should be rightly perceived. In doubtful cases or where the defect is small it is always necessary to carry out control experiments on the opposite limb.

In order to measure the angle through which a movement must be made to be appreciated we employ a simple instrument (fig. 7) which consists of a long narrow plate of brass (A), lined with cloth, that can be strapped on to any part of the limb by bands (B) attached to it or held in contact with it by the observer. At one end of this plate an arm, which carries an arc of a circle with degrees marked on it, is attached by a joint (D) movable in all directions. Two such arcs can be adapted to our instrument, either of which can be attached at (E); one (F) with a radius of 7.5 cm. for measuring movements of shorter segments, as those of the fingers, and another (G) with a radius of

15 cm. for longer segments. The brass plate is applied to the limb in such a way that the point (D) lies immediately over the joint at which the movement is to be measured, and the arc is then brought into the plane of the movement that is to be made. The range of movement necessary for appreciation can be then easily read off from the scale on the arc.

We have also occasionally used a modification of the instrument designed by Goldscheider [10] for this purpose.

There are certain sources of error in obtaining such measurements. In the first place, the patient may reply when he feels the pressure of the observer's fingers by which the passive movement is made; but this can be easily obviated by grasping the part to be moved so firmly on two opposite surfaces that the additional pressure necessary to produce the movement cannot be distinguished. The part should be grasped between the fingers applied to the surfaces that lie in the plane in which the movement is to be made rather than on the surfaces vertical to the plane of movement, for then the dragging and displacement of the soft tissues may enable the patient to reply correctly, though he cannot appreciate the actual movement.

In the second place, the rate of the passive movement may influence its appreciation. To obviate errors from this source we have attempted to make the movement at a certain uniform rate, and, as our measurements have been always considered in relation to those obtained from the opposite sound limb, this safeguard is sufficient.

Finally, in a normal limb, a passive movement is appreciated, and its direction is recognized almost simultaneously; but, when the appreciation of passive movement is affected by a cerebral lesion, a much larger range of movement may be required in order that the patient may obtain a knowledge of its direction, than that which enables him to recognize its occurrence. It is therefore necessary in some cases to measure both separately.

(12) *The Appreciation of Weight.*

To test the appreciation of weight we have employed circular discs of lead 3 cm. in diameter, ranging from 20 grm. to 200 grm. in weight. The surface of each disc, which is placed in contact with the body, is covered with chamois leather, in order to prevent the coldness of the metal affecting the skin. This has the additional advantage that when the weights are placed one on the top of the other they have less tendency to slip.

With these weights we carry out the following series of tests, both with the hands fully supported and also when the patient is permitted to estimate the relative weight by "weighing"—i.e., by raising and lowering his hands.

(a) *With the hand supported.*—Two weights are placed successively on the normal hand, and the patient is asked to say which is the heavier. With weights, of the surface area we use, even the least intelligent can recognize the difference between 70 and 100 gm., and many can give a series of right answers with 80 and 100 gm. Two weights are found which can be correctly distinguished on the normal hand, and they are then employed to test the affected hand in the same way. Usually in our cases, if the power of recognizing weight was affected, the errors were gross, and in many instances no pair of weights could be found which could be distinguished with certainty. But, when the faculty of estimating relative weights was not completely lost, we were sometimes able to work out a true difference-threshold; that is to say, two weights could be found bearing to one another such a relation that one was always said to be the heavier, while another pair, which differed to a less degree, could not be distinguished. At least four observations are always made with each pair of weights.

Next we test the power of recognizing the increase or decrease of weight. For this purpose a thin cork disc of the same diameter as the weights is first laid upon the palm. To this progressively heavier weights are added, and then removed until the cork alone rests upon the palm. These weights are not added or removed in an unbroken sequence, but irregularly, and the patient is asked to indicate whenever any alteration in weight occurs. Thus the complete record of a series of observations might read as follows:—

Cork + 20 gm. + 30 gm. + 50 gm. - 50 gm. - 30 gm. + 30 gm. + 50 gm. + 100 gm

First of all the sound hand is tested, and the patient's normal capacity determined; then a similar series of tests is applied to the affected hand. When the power of recognizing addition or subtraction of weight is lost, the jarring produced by the manipulation may be appreciated; this tactile sensation, evoked by the act of removing one weight from, or adding it to another, is a fruitful source of error, but with care and practice can be reduced to a minimum. Moreover, by gently touching the weight which lies on the hand without altering it, we can ascertain whether the patient's replies are

due to recognition of a change in weight, or to the tactile stimulus evoked by the manipulations of addition or removal.

Finally, the patient is asked to compare two weights placed one on each fully supported hand.

(b) *With the hands unsupported.*—A weight is placed in each hand and the patient is asked to “weigh” them by raising and lowering his hands. Another method is to place a weight in one hand and then to substitute another one for it, each weight being raised and lowered several times. In normal persons the latter method gives the more accurate results, but our patients often became so confused on the affected hand by the absence of a normal standard that we have usually adopted the first form of this test.

(13) *Appreciation of Size.*

The ability to recognize differences in size may be tested by placing in succession two objects of different size but of the same shape in contact with the part, and asking the patient to distinguish which is the larger. By varying the relative size of the objects, a threshold for this form of discrimination can be obtained. For this purpose we employ circular pieces of thick leather increasing by half a centimetre, from 1 cm. to 4 cm. in diameter. Leather has the advantage that it is rarely cold to the skin, and pieces 4 to 5 mm. in thickness are sufficiently rigid for the purpose. Each disc is provided with a handle on one surface by which it can be manipulated with ease.

The appreciation of size is most conveniently tested on the palms, as there the difference-threshold is small.

The ability to distinguish the head from the point of a pin, when the latter is applied so gently that it does not prick, depends on the power of recognizing relative size.

(14) *Appreciation of Shape.*

By shape we mean the two-dimensional contour of an object that can be recognized on contact with the surface of the body. To test this faculty we have employed simple shapes, generally a circle, a square, a triangle and an oblong, cut out of stiff leather. In the set we have found most convenient each side of the square, the diameter of the circle, and the height of the triangle were all 3.5 cm., while the oblong was also of this length and 1.75 cm. in breadth.

The shape of these objects can be easily distinguished on the normal palm when they are applied firmly and evenly, but unhappily they can rarely be recognized on most other parts of the body, including the soles of the feet.

(15) *Form in Three Dimensions.*

We employ the word "form" to mean the three-dimensional shape of an object, and test the ability to appreciate it by placing objects in the patient's hand and asking him to determine their form by feeling them and by moving them about between his fingers. Any ordinary object may be employed for this test, but we have found it advisable to use in addition standard tests of geometrical form such as a cube, a cylinder, an ellipse (called by the patients "an egg"), a cone and a pyramid, made in approximately the same bulk from wood. The patient is first asked to select his own names for them, or if description offers any difficulty he is allowed to point to the object he identifies in a duplicate set before him.

When there is serious paralysis of the fingers, the test-object must be moved about by the observer in the patient's hand; we have found this sufficient with the objects we use when the ability to recognize form is not lost.

In addition to the power of appreciating form, we always test the patient's ability to recognize familiar objects placed in his hand with the eyes closed.

(16) *Appreciation of Texture.*

Interesting facts may be obtained by testing the patient's ability to recognize the texture of ordinary stuffs by touch. For this purpose we employ a set of common materials—calico, flannel, silk, cloth and velvet, which the patient is allowed to feel and move about between his fingers. Those we use can usually be identified with ease by the patient's normal hand.

(17) *Dominoes.*

Occasionally, more particularly in cases of cortical lesions, we have used dominoes made for the blind with pips raised from the surface. The patient's eyes are closed and he attempts to count the number of pips by passing his fingers over the surface.

(18) *Appreciation of Consistence.*

To test the power of recognizing the consistence or hardness of objects we employ a set of small pieces of rubber tube of the same diameter and with a similar surface. Some specimens have thinner walls than others, and in order to obtain firmer test-objects some are filled with substances of different hardness. They are numbered according to the resistance they offer to compression, and, when the patient has compressed two in succession between his forefinger and thumb, he is asked to say which is the harder, and the results obtained from the two hands are compared.

SCHEDULE FOR THE EXAMINATION OF SENSIBILITY.

(A) SPONTANEOUS SENSATIONS.

Pain. Numbness. Tingling.
Position of the limb. Idea of the limb.

(B) LOSS OF SENSATION.

(1) *Touch.*(a) *Light Touch.*

Cotton-wool on hairless and hair-clad parts.
Threshold with von Frey's hairs.

(b) *Pressure-touch.*

Threshold with the pressure-æsthesiometer.

(2) *Localization.*

Naming the part touched.
Henri's method, as modified by us.

(3) *Roughness.*

Threshold with Graham-Brown's æsthesiometer.
Sand-paper tests; discrimination of relative roughness.

(4) *Tickling and Scraping.*

Tickling on soles and palms.
Cotton-wool rubbed over hair-clad parts.
Light scraping with the finger-nails.

(5) *Vibration.*

Loss or diminution of sensibility.
Alteration in the character of the sensation evoked.

(6) *Compasses.*

Points simultaneously applied.
Points successively applied.

(7) *Pain.*(a) *Superficial Pain.*

Pin-prick.

Threshold with the algometer.

Reaction to measured painful stimuli.

(b) *Pressure-pain.*

Threshold with the algometer.

Reaction to painful pressure.

(8) *Temperature.*

Thresholds for heat and cold.

Effect of adaption on the threshold.

Discrimination of different degrees of heat and of cold.

Affective reactions: (a) to extreme degrees.

(b) to warmth.

(9) *Position.*

By imitating with the sound limb the position of the affected limb.

By pointing with the sound limb.

Measurement of the defect by Horsley's method.

(10) *Passive Movement.*

Appreciation of movement.

Recognition of the direction of movement.

Measurement of the angle of the smallest movement which can be appreciated.

Falling away of the unsupported limb when the eyes are closed.

(11) *Active Movement.*

Imitation of movement by the sound limb.

Ability to touch a known spot.

Measurement of the defect by Horsley's method.

(12) *Weight.*(a) *With hand supported.*

Recognition of differences in weights applied successively to one hand.

Appreciation of increase or decrease of weight.

Comparison of two weights placed one in each hand.

(b) *With hand unsupported.*

Comparison of two weights placed one in each hand.

Recognition of differences in weights applied successively to one hand.

(13) *Size.*

Difference-threshold.

Distinction of the head from the point of pin.

- (14) *Shape* (Two-dimensional).
- (15) *Form* (Three-dimensional).
Recognition of common objects by their form.
- (16) *Texture*.
- (17) *Dominoes*.
Ability to count points by touch.
- (18) *Consistence*.
- (19) *Testicular Sensibility*.
Light pressure.
Painful pressure.
- (20) Sensibility of the *Glans Penis* to measured prick.

APPENDIX II.

SHORT ACCOUNTS OF ILLUSTRATIVE CASES.

CASE 1.—*Brown-Séquard paralysis* (vide p. 110).

CASE 2.—*The consequences of a lesion of the brain-stem* (vide p. 114).

CASE 3.—*Occlusion of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery* (vide p. 117).

CASE 4.—*Tumour of the mid-brain and optic thalamus* (vide p. 121).

CASE 5.—*Disease of the optic thalamus* (vide p. 126).

CASE 6.—*Disease of the optic thalamus with autopsy* (vide p. 127).

CASE 7.—*An instance of the thalamic syndrome with scarcely any loss of sensation*.

Rachel F., aged 60, was admitted into the National Hospital under the care of one of us (G. H.) in February, 1910. She was a married woman and had enjoyed good health till September, 1908, when the stroke occurred that produced her symptoms. While engaged in her housework, she suddenly experienced a "peculiar drawn feeling" in her left shoulder and the left side of her neck, and then fell to the floor, but did not lose consciousness. She immediately lost the power of moving her left limbs and felt as if these limbs had disappeared, "as if I had lost them." She soon discovered that she "could not feel" on these limbs or on this side of her body.

After a period of about five or six weeks she began to move the left limbs again; three months after the stroke she was able to walk alone, and a little later she could bring the left hand to her mouth. Since then the affected limbs have become gradually stronger. About six months after the attack she first noticed the irregular spontaneous movements of the left hand, which, though very variable in intensity, have on the whole increased. A little later similar movements of the left toes became noticeable.

The "peculiar drawn feeling" that ushered in the attack probably persisted for a few weeks; on this point her memory is indefinite, but as sensation returned she began to complain of an "unpleasant numb feeling" and of tingling and "pins and needles" in the left hand and arm, and of numbness in the left foot. About six months after the onset she began to have a sensation as if "something were crawling under the skin" of the affected side, especially on her face, and a "scalding sensation in the left cheek, which is worse when the cheek is cold than when it's warm." For about the same length of time she has suffered with dull aching pains in her left arm, and a "crampy pain" in the left leg.

Vision, hearing, smell and taste were, as far as she knows, unaffected by the attack, but the left side of her mouth and tongue "have always felt dry," and food always seems rough and cold on this side; even a cup of hot tea is unpleasantly cold to the left cheek.

Speech and swallowing were never affected; during the first few days of her illness she had slight difficulty in holding her urine, but this soon passed off.

CONDITION IN FEBRUARY, 1910.

She is a healthy-looking woman who appears much younger than her age. Her heart is slightly hypertrophied, her arteries rigid, and her pulse-tension high.

She is fairly educated and intelligent; her memory is excellent and her attention good.

Special senses.—Smell, taste, and hearing are unaffected and equally acute on the two sides. The visual fields are unrestricted and the optic discs are normal, but the retinal arteries appear sclerosed.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of all the cranial nerves are unaffected; the pupils are equal and react well to light and on accommodation. The corneal reflex is brisk on the right side, but very much reduced or almost absent on the left.

Motor system.—The right limbs are normal in every respect. The size and tone of the muscles are equal in the two arms and the range of movement of the left is unrestricted, though this limb is distinctly weaker than the right; the grasp of the right hand, measured with the dynamometer, was 41 (an average of five readings), while on the left it was 23 only. She uses this limb readily in coarse general movements, as in pointing, but owing to the spontaneous movements and ataxia it is useless to her for finer actions. There are almost constantly irregular involuntary movements of the left fingers and frequently, too, of the wrist; they often constitute a rhythmical tremor, but are more usually irregular or even choreiform. The most common movement is flexion and extension of the fingers at the basal joints, but flexion and extension of the wrist and adduction and abduction of the fingers are also of frequent occurrence. These movements increase on any attempt to use the limb, on strong volitional movements of the normal arm and even on walking. They persist during the movement of the limb itself as a sort of intention-

tremor, but differ from an ordinary intention-tremor in that the amplitude of the oscillations is greatest when the movement is begun and decreases towards its completion. She has very little power of restraining the movements. In addition to the tremor there is considerable ataxia of the limb.

The movements of the trunk and head are unaffected.

There is no wasting or alteration in the tone of the muscles of the left lower limb, and its movements are only slightly weaker than the corresponding ones of the right limb. Spontaneous movements, more or less similar to those described in the arm, occur in the left toes and at the ankle, and the volitional movements of this limb are poorly co-ordinated and tremulous. She walks with short shuffling steps, occasionally dragging the left toes along the ground. Her gait is more affected than might be expected from the relatively slight weakness of the leg.

Reflexes.—All the tendon-jerks are brisker on the left than on the right side. The abdominal reflexes cannot be obtained on either side. The right plantar reflex gives a flexor, but the right an extensor response.

Spontaneous sensations.—She complains chiefly of a "scalding sensation" and of burning pain in her left cheek. The left side of her mouth and this side of her tongue are dry, rough and sore, and it seems to her as if this side of her palate were "covered with ulcers." All food, no matter what its temperature may be, is unpleasantly cold to this side of her mouth. She also complains of "aching pains" in the left shoulder and the left arm (there are no arthritic changes) which are often so severe as to keep her awake, and of "crampy pain" in her left leg, especially behind the knee. The left hand and foot are usually numb and the hand often tingles.

Tactile sensibility.—She appreciates light cotton-wool contacts equally well on the two sides, on both hairless and hair-clad parts, and can recognize no difference in the sensations evoked. On testing with von Frey's hairs the same threshold is obtained on both hands and on the cheeks. It was noticed, however, that after the left cheek had been tested she rubbed the spot on which the contacts with the hairs had been made, and when asked why she did so said, "You made it itch and tingle"; the tingling persisted for some time after stimulation.

Roughness.—With Graham-Brown's instrument the same threshold for roughness was obtained on the two sides, but when a stimulus above the threshold is employed it appears rougher on the affected than on the sound side, and especially on the sole produces a more violent movement of withdrawal.

Tickling.—This can be evoked satisfactorily from the soles only. The left sole is very much more ticklish than the right, and stimulation by drawing the fingers across it produces a strong vigorous reaction and rapid withdrawal of the limb, while on the right side this evokes scarcely any emotional reaction. The tickling of the left side is not, however, actually painful or unpleasant.

Vibration.—There is a shortening of the period during which vibration can be appreciated on the left hand compared with the right, and the vibrations of the fork appear "plainer" on the normal side.

Sensibility to pain.—No diminution of sensibility to pricking can be detected on any part of the left side, and with both the spring and pressure-algesimeters the thresholds are found to be identical on corresponding parts. Pricks that evoke pain, however, are invariably “sharper,” more unpleasant and “sting more” on the affected than on the normal side, and in many places, especially on the palm and sole, produce a much greater reaction with an apparently uncontrollable tendency to withdraw the part. On testing with the algesimeter this is found to occur with any stimulus above the threshold. There is no evidence that the pain persists abnormally, or that it radiates from the spot stimulated.

Pressure-pain.—As measured with the algometer the threshold is approximately the same on the two sides, or in places, as on the sole, actually lower on the affected side.

		R.			L.		
Palm	5½	6	7	5½	6	7
Tibia	3	2	2½	2½	2	2
Sole	5	5½	5	3½	2½	2½

But painful pressure on the affected side evokes a much greater reaction and appears to the patient “very much sorer” and more painful. When such pain is produced on the right sole, for instance, only a slight jerk of the leg occurs, while on the left side the foot is dorsiflexed violently and the leg is drawn up and her general response denotes intolerable discomfort.

Thermal sensibility.—All degrees of temperature can be normally appreciated on both sides and the thresholds are equal; but extreme temperatures, as ice and tubes above 55° C., appear to her colder or hotter on the left side, and evoke stronger reactions.

Sense of position.—There is slight loss in the left arm, especially at the distal joints, but none can be demonstrated with certainty in the leg.

Appreciation of passive movements.—By ordinary methods of testing there seems to be very little alteration in the affected arm, but by measuring the angle of movement necessary for its appreciation and the recognition of its direction a definite defect is revealed. The following records were obtained on moving the index-finger at its basal joint:—

R. Index-finger	..	Flexion	2½ 2			2 2 2		
		Extension	2	2	1½	1½	2	
L. Index-finger	..	Flexion	10 12 12			15 12		
		Extension	7½	10		6 7 8		

Localization tested on the hands is found to be equally accurate on the two sides.

Compasses.—The threshold is approximately the same on the two sides, and she is equally quick and decisive in her replies from the two sides. A perfect series of readings can be obtained with 1.5 cm. on the palms and with 2 cm. on the soles.

Appreciation of weight, tested by the different methods we employ, seems almost as accurate on one side as on the other. She is less easily able to

recognize alterations in weights lying on the left than on the right hand, but the constant involuntary movements of the affected hand make this test difficult.

Appreciation of size.—The same difference-threshold is obtained for the two hands.

Appreciation of shape and form.—There is no material difference between the two hands to these tests, though she is a little slower and less certain in recognizing differences in shape on the left than on the right.

Recognition of relative consistence.—She frequently fails to recognize the relative hardness of two test-objects placed in the left hand, which she can easily distinguish in the right hand.

Recognition of texture.—With the left hand she fails to recognize the texture of many of the test-stuffs we placed in it. Silk, for instance, is called cotton, velvet was described as woolly or something rough, and cotton as a woollen material.

She has remained under observation as an out-patient at the National Hospital since these notes were taken in an unchanged state, excepting that she complains more of the pains in the left side. The observations recorded above have been repeated and verified on many subsequent occasions.

CASE 8.—*An instance of the thalamic syndrome where cutaneous sensibility was not diminished. All pleasant or disagreeable stimuli, more particularly heat and cold, produced a profoundly greater response on the affected half of the body.*

Georgé S., aged 64, was admitted into the National Hospital under the care of one of us (G. H.) in September, 1910. He was well till the attack, that produced his symptoms, occurred in February, 1909. While walking he noticed a numbness in his left cheek and his left hand, and a few hours later a tingling, "like pins and needles," spread over his left arm and from his shoulder into his face; it was particularly severe in the left side of his tongue. Later in the day the tingling extended throughout his left side and into his left leg; then he had some difficulty in walking. He was kept awake that night by a soreness and painful tingling in his left arm, which next morning was present over his whole left side. He never lost the power of moving the affected limbs, in fact their movements were scarcely limited, and there was not much diminution of sensation on this side: "I was able to feel everything, in fact too much so"; but objects he touches have not felt natural since the attack. The pains and uncomfortable sensations have persisted more or less unaltered from their onset, but they have always been worse in either very hot or very cold weather.

Hearing and vision were unaffected, but he has found that he cannot taste so well since his illness; he noticed this loss of taste the day after the onset. He complains, too, that his mouth has become very dry. During the past year he has occasionally had a slight tingling pain in his right hand and a "tingling numbness" in the right leg, but, unlike the paræsthesiæ on the left side, these sensations are not constant.

CONDITION IN SEPTEMBER, 1910.

He is a thin, spare man, but fairly well preserved for his age. His arteries are rigid and the pulse-tension high, but there is no other sign of visceral disease. He had been an engineer and is fairly well educated; his memory and attention are excellent.

Special senses.—Smell is unaffected. He cannot taste sugar or salt on either side of his tongue, but quinine can be appreciated equally on both sides. There has been for years considerable middle-ear deafness on the left side, but there is no other demonstrable affection of hearing. Vision is poor owing to commencing cataract, but the visual fields are unrestricted.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of all are unaffected, except that the left corneal reflex is very much diminished.

Motor system.—The right limbs are unaffected. There is a slight general wasting of the small muscles of the left hand, but otherwise the right and left limbs are equally developed. The tone of the two sides is about equal, and there are no contractures. The left arm is slightly weaker than the right, but this is largely due to the fact that strong muscular contractions give pain. Its movements are also slower and more awkward. Involuntary movements do not occur.

Reflexes.—The tendon-jerks and the abdominal reflexes are equal on the two sides, and both plantar reflexes give definite flexor responses.

Spontaneous sensations.—He complains of a constant "soreness" of his left hand and his left foot, and of similar pain in the left half of his tongue. The whole of the left side also "feels cold," and anything that touches it seems unpleasantly cold to him. He always wears a glove on this hand. The whole of this side is also tender; when he places his foot on the ground, for instance, "it feels as if there were tintacks under the foot." He also complains of "a painful tingling," throughout this side, of a "gnawing pain" in the left temple, and occasionally "sharp pains" shoot through the left limbs.

Tactile sensibility.—Light cotton-wool contacts are equally well appreciated on the right and the left sides, and there is no obvious difference in reaction to this stimulus. The same threshold can be obtained on the two sides with von Frey's hairs.

Roughness.—The same threshold is obtained with Graham-Brown's instrument on the two sides, and no over-reaction or expression of discomfort is evoked from either palm or sole.

Tickling and scraping.—There is no over-reaction to tickling, and he says there is very little difference between the sensations evoked on the two sides. The left side of the body is, however, more "tender" to scraping than the right.

Vibration is equally well appreciated on the two sides, and the fork evokes no unpleasant sensations on either side.

Sensibility to pain.—The left limbs and the whole of the left half of the body, including this side of the face and tongue, are "more sensitive" to pricks

than similar parts of the right side, and the reaction thereto and the emotional expression are considerably greater. When a pin is drawn across his chest from the right to the left side he immediately complains that it is more painful to the left of the middle line. As measured with the algometer there is no difference in the threshold on the two sides.

Pressure-pain.—The algometer produces intolerable pain on the left side, and a sudden and violent reaction. As soon as pain is evoked he writhes and jumps; moreover, its effects persist longer than on the right side. The threshold-values, too, are distinctly lower on the affected side.

	R.			L.		
Palm	7	7	7	..	5½	5 4½
Second metacarpal ..	5	4	4	..	2½	2 2
Sole	3	2½	2½	..	1½	2 1½

Thermal sensibility.—There is no diminished appreciation of temperature over the affected half of the body, and the same thresholds for heat and cold were obtained on the two sides. But any temperature that is definitely cold to the left side causes an expression of discomfort and an excessive reaction; a tube a few degrees below the threshold is “just cold” to the right hand, but “very cold, very unpleasant” to the left. Similarly, even 45° C. which was described as warm on the right hand, was “too hot and uncomfortable” on the left. When, however, milder degrees of warmth, as 38° C., are employed, or if the hands are placed on a vessel containing water at this temperature, he describes the sensation evoked in the affected hand as “delightful,” or “more soothing and more pleasant than on the right.” Further, he states that this gives him not merely local pleasure, but “makes him feel happy all over,” and this is clearly indicated by his general reaction and expression.

The thresholds were afterwards carefully determined for the two hands; 28° C. was neutral to both, while 30° C. was “warm” to the right and “nice and warm” to the left. The hands were then adapted to warmth by being placed in a warm bath at 42° to 43° C. for two and a half minutes, and were tested again to the same temperatures immediately on removal. Now 28° C., which was previously neutral, was “very cold, very unpleasant” to the left hand, and 30° C., which had been “nice and warm,” was either neutral or “just cold.” Thus, by adaptation, the thresholds for heat and cold were easily shifted, and 28° C., which had been neutral, received a feeling-tone of discomfort, while 30° C. lost its feeling-tone of pleasure and became neutral.

Sense of position and the appreciation of passive movement.—There is considerable loss of the power of recognizing the position of his left limbs, which is greater at the distal joints; he is, for instance, unable to describe or to imitate with his right hand the positions into which his left hand or fingers may be placed. The appreciation of passive movement is also affected; in order that a movement of the left fingers can be recognized it is necessary that its range should be about four times as great as that required on the

right hand, and at the elbow the range of movement must be three to four times as large as on the right side.

The power of *localization*, the *compass-test*, and the recognition of *size*, *shape*, *form* and *consistence* are equal on both sides, and remarkably accurate.

Appreciation of weight.—When the hands are supported the appreciation of weight is little different on the two sides; two weights placed consecutively on the same hand can be discriminated within about the same limits on the two sides. When, however, the hands are unsupported he fails to recognize the difference between 50 gm. in the right hand and 200 gm. in the left. These observations are rendered difficult by the tendency for the sensation of weight to die out when the test-object is allowed to lie for any time in the affected hand.

Thus it would seem that, in this case, the power of estimating weight on the unsupported hand was dissociated from the faculty of estimating relative pressures when the hands are fully supported.

CASE 9.—*An instance of the thalamic syndrome where the thresholds to painful stimuli were identical on the two sides, and heat produced excessive pleasure on the affected half of the body.*

Peter C., aged 59, was admitted to the Seamen's Hospital under the care of one of us (G. H.) in June, 1911. In December, 1909, he noticed he was unusually thirsty and that the amount of urine he passed was greatly increased; his doctor told him it contained sugar, and put him on a restricted diet.

On March 20, 1910, he went to bed well, but woke in the early morning to find that his left limbs were paralysed. He remained several weeks in bed, but was able to walk again in about three months. Shortly before the stroke he found that his sight was affected and he could "scarcely see anything on the left side." There was no diplopia.

At first the left limbs were paralysed, but, as power returned, he noticed that he had difficulty in controlling their movements; he cannot say exactly when the involuntary movements of the left hand began. He thinks that the numbness, tingling and pains, from which he now suffers on the left side, came on immediately after the attack, but the pain was certainly less in the earlier stages than it is now.

CONDITION IN JUNE, 1910.

He is a somewhat old-looking man for his years, with degenerated arteries and a high-tension pulse. His urine is of high specific gravity, greatly increased in amount, and contains much sugar but no albumen. He is an intelligent, well-educated man and had been an overseer in his work. His memory is fair and attention good.

Special senses.—Smell, taste and hearing are unaffected, but there is complete left hemianopsia, the blindness reaching up to within three degrees of the fixation point.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of the cranial nerves are unaffected, except that the corneal reflex of the left side cannot be obtained.

Motor system.—The right limbs are entirely unaffected. There is no rigidity of the left arm and leg, and no loss of voluntary power, but all their movements are gravely ataxic. In addition there are almost constant involuntary movements of the arm, which generally take the form of a tremor, but at times are more irregular or choreiform.

Reflexes.—The tendon-jerks are present and equal on the two sides, ankle-clonus cannot be obtained, and the plantar reflexes are of the flexor type.

Spontaneous sensations.—He complains of pain, tingling and numbness in the left half of the body. The pain has the character of a dull aching, "as if bruised from a blow." The tingling is mainly in the left hand and foot and is constantly present. He describes the numbness as "a sort of dead pain" which occupies the whole of the left half of his body, except the face, and is much worse in cold weather.

Tactile sensibility.—He fails to appreciate many contacts of cotton-wool when it is rubbed over the hairless parts of the affected side, such as the palm and the sole, but he almost always succeeds in recognizing this stimulus on hair-clad parts of the body. When asked to compare the sensations evoked on the two sides, he says that the cotton-wool is more distinct over the normal palm and sole, but on hair-clad parts the sensations are "sharper and heavier" on the affected side. On testing with von Frey's hairs the threshold was found to be slightly raised on the affected side; on the normal hand a hair of 21 grm./mm.² was appreciated perfectly, while on the opposite hand 35 grm./mm.² was required to produce a sensation.

Roughness.—It is impossible to measure the power of appreciating roughness, for even the smooth surface of Graham-Brown's instrument produces a sensation on the left side which he cannot distinguish from that of roughness; but, when the instrument, set at the same degree of roughness, is rubbed over the two hands, he says it seems rougher on the normal, but more unpleasant on the affected side.

Tickling and scraping.—Gentle stimulation of the normal sole with the pulps of the fingers produces a tickling which is not unpleasant, but on the affected sole this stimulation is disagreeable and evokes an excessive reaction. This difference is still greater when the soles are scraped.

Vibration.—The vibration of a tuning-fork can be appreciated on the left side, but it is distinctly shortened as compared with the right side. It evokes no excessive reaction.

Pain.—There is no evidence of diminished sensibility to prick on the affected side, and the algesimeter gives identical threshold-values on the two halves of the body. But when two pricks of equal value are compared on corresponding parts of the two sides he invariably says that on the affected part "it hurts more and is three times as sore"; moreover the reaction evoked is much greater than that from the normal side. He says, "I can't stand it so well on the left side: the pricks seem to stay there they don't go away when you take away the pin."

Pressure-pain.—As tested with the algometer the threshold-values are approximately the same on the two halves of the body, but the reaction to this stimulus is everywhere greater on the left side than on the right.

Thermal sensibility.—There is a slight reduction of sensibility to temperature on the left half of the body. Thus temperatures between about 25° C. and 40° C. are not appreciated, whilst the neutral zone on the normal side extends from 28° C. to 33° C.; the extremes of temperature produce more disagreeable sensations and a greater emotional reaction on the affected side. But when large tubes containing water at 40° C., or slightly above, are applied to the affected parts of the body he says, "That's nice, it's much more pleasant than on the other side." Even when warm hands are placed on his hands or on his feet, he says that the sensation is different on the two sides; on the affected half of the body "it is more comfortable; it is a real pleasure; it soothes me; it gives me the feeling that it must do me good." At the same time his face lights up with a definite expression of pleasure.

Sense of position.—The knowledge of the position of the distal joints of the left arm and leg is defective, but there is less loss at the proximal joints.

Appreciation of passive movement is defective in the left hand as compared with the right; the fingers must be moved through nearly ten times as great an angle as is necessary on the right side before the movement can be appreciated. At the elbow the loss on the left side is considerably less in proportion: he can appreciate a movement that is not more than three times as great as that necessary on the normal side.

Compasses.—The compass-test is gravely affected on the abnormal half of the body: good readings are not obtained even when the points are separated to 5 cm. on the palm, or to 15 cm. on the forearm. He has no difficulty, however, in recognizing the two points when applied successively.

Localization is perfect on both sides.

Appreciation of size is somewhat defective in the left hand: thus he failed in every case to recognize the difference between objects with diameters of 3.5 and 4 cm., and of 2 and 2.5 cm., although he was uniformly correct on the normal side; but as soon as the difference was increased to that between diameters of 3 and 4 cm., or 2 and 3 cm., his answers from the affected hand are uniformly correct. It is therefore possible to obtain a definite difference-threshold for the appreciation of size.

Shape, form, texture and consistence are all badly appreciated when the tests are applied to the left hand.

CASE 10.—*An instance of the thalamic syndrome associated with complete loss of thermal sensibility, although hot and cold stimuli produced pleasure and discomfort.*

Margaret B., aged 65, was seen at the West End Hospital for Nervous Diseases in July, 1911, through the kindness of Dr. Harry Campbell, to whom we are much indebted for the privilege of making the following observations. Her past health had been good; she married at 28 years of age, but has had no children.

In October, 1910, she suddenly found she was unable to hold anything in the left hand, and almost immediately fell to the floor unconscious. When she regained consciousness some hours later she was unable to move her left limbs, and they, together with the left side of her trunk and face, seemed numb: at first it seemed to her that she had completely lost her left arm. About six weeks later some power of movement returned in the arm, and in two months she was able to walk with a little assistance. Since then she has improved gradually; walking has been her chief trouble, as owing to its tenderness she cannot place the left foot properly on the ground. The involuntary movements of the left arm began as the power of movement returned; she is aware of them only when she sees them. About two or three weeks after the stroke the left-sided pains first appeared, as a gnawing pain in the groin; they increased in intensity during the first two or three months of her illness.

Vision, hearing, smell and taste were not affected, and she has not had any sphincter disturbance or affection of speech. Since the attack, however, she has noticed that her mouth is unnaturally dry.

CONDITION IN JULY, 1911.

A rather thin, elderly woman. She says she has lost much weight during her illness, and attributes it to the pains which disturb her day and night. She is intelligent, her memory is fair, and she is satisfactorily attentive when under examination.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of all the cranial nerves are normal: there is no difference between the voluntary or expressional movements of the two sides of the face, and the tongue is protruded straight. The pupils are equal, and react well to light and on accommodation. The right corneal reflex is brisk, but the left is absent.

Special senses.—Smell, taste, hearing and vision are normal, and the visual fields are unrestricted.

Motor system.—The right limbs are unaffected.

The muscles of the left forearm and hand are slightly wasted, but the tone of the limb is unaltered and there is no tendency to contracture. Movement is somewhat limited at the shoulder-joint by arthritic changes, but is otherwise unrestricted. Owing to the great loss of sensation it is difficult to estimate the actual strength of the limb, but there seems to be little, if any, weakness; she is slow, however, in exerting power on this side, and her efforts are not well sustained. All its movements are ataxic, and there is in addition considerable intention-tremor. While the arm lies on the bed frequent irregular involuntary movements of its distal segments occur. These generally take the form of a sudden extension of one or more fingers, or an isolated movement at the wrist, but often a short series of oscillations (tremor) may be observed. These involuntary movements can be excited or exaggerated by certain peripheral stimuli, even if they do not reach consciousness.

There is no definite wasting of the left leg, and the tone of its muscles is normal; there is no rigidity or contractures, and the range of movement is unrestricted. The power is almost as good as that of the right limb, but its movements are very ataxic. No involuntary movements have been seen in the leg. She walks with short shuffling steps as though she were afraid to trust her weight on the left limb.

Reflexes.—The arm-jerks are equal and brisk on both sides. The knee- and ankle-jerks are slightly greater on the left side, but clonus could not be obtained. The abdominal reflexes are absent. The right plantar reflex is flexor, but stimulation of the left sole provokes such an extensive and vigorous movement of the whole limb, and such dislike on the part of the patient, that the exact nature of the response cannot be determined; however, no typical slow extension of the great toe can be seen.

Spontaneous sensations.—She gives vivid descriptions of the pain and disagreeable sensations she suffers on the left side. "There is a feeling as if boiling water were being poured down the left arm from the shoulder to the elbow, and as if I had a band on the forearm which someone was pulling so tight as to hurt me." There is also a sensation at the back of the left shoulder "as if a log of wood were hanging from it." The hand is numb and feels "as if little pins were sticking into the fingers."

There is also pain in the left eye, "a tight feeling in the face," and "a feeling of fulness in the left ear." "I can't lie on the left side of my head as it is so sore." Pain is always present in the left side of her body, greatest above the iliac crest: "It feels as if you had your nails dug into my side and you were hanging with your whole weight on it," or "as if rats were always gnawing at my side." Her left thigh from the hip to the knee seems painfully tight, and below the knee there is a constant gnawing pain, greatest in the heel and the sole of the foot. She cannot lie on the left side as it is so tender, and cannot bear anything cold to touch it.

Tactile sensibility.—She fails to appreciate cotton-wool contacts on the left hand and fingers, and on the hairless parts of the rest of the limb. If, however, a wisp of wool is rubbed backwards and forwards on the hair-clad parts, as on the back of the forearm, an unpleasant sensation is evoked, "a curious tickling," which is unquestionably painful, or "a burning feeling as if you touched me with something very hot." Yet, over the same parts, she always fails to appreciate cotton-wool when merely pressed on, no matter how firmly. The condition is exactly similar on the left leg, and yet a very intense reaction can be evoked by rubbing wool on the sole. She can recognize most contacts on the left side of the face unless they are slight, and also on this half of her body. Rubbing a wisp of wool on the left chest provokes a strong reaction; she winces and afterwards rubs the part with her own hand, complaining that "you made it itch." When a wisp of wool was rubbed to and fro on the fingers curious involuntary movements began, yet she was conscious neither of the stimulation nor of the movement. This was repeatedly verified.

Pressure-touch was tested with the pulp of a finger that had about the same surface temperature as her own skin. She is unable to recognize firm pressure on the whole of the left arm and leg; even when some part of these limbs is firmly grasped she appreciates nothing, provided the pressure is not sufficiently great to give discomfort. She is also insensitive to moderate pressure on the left side of her face, and even the eyeball can be pressed firmly through the upper lid without arousing her consciousness.

Roughness.—She has lost the ability of appreciating roughness on the left side. On testing the palm with Graham-Brown's instrument it is found that, even when the surface is quite smooth, it produces an unpleasant tingling sensation; but she cannot distinguish this sensation from that evoked by the instrument when the cylinder is projected 10 degrees (0.5 mm).

Tickling and scraping.—She is naturally extremely ticklish. Drawing the tips of the fingers over the right sole tickles her greatly and evokes a strong reaction, but not an expression of discomfort, while on the left sole the same stimulation is unbearable, and she says: "It's burning; it's as if you were tearing the skin off; it sends pins and needles up the leg as far as the knee." The right palm can be easily tickled, but on the affected hand she cannot bear this stimulation; it evokes a strong reaction, gives a sensation "as if red-hot needles were being plunged into her," and starts a pain that spreads up the arm as high as the elbow. A wisp of cotton-wool rubbed over the pinnæ is appreciated more distinctly on the right, but tickles more and evokes a stronger reaction on the left. The same holds for the two sides of the chest. Rubbing a wisp of wool over the left nipple provokes an intense general reaction, but if her eyes are closed she has no idea where she has been stimulated.

She is most intolerant to scraping with the finger-nails on the whole of the left side of her body; it starts a widely spreading and very unpleasant sensation of burning.

Vibration.—She is unable to appreciate even the strongest vibrations of the fork anywhere on the left limbs; there is considerable loss of appreciation even over the left malar bone as compared with the right.

Sensibility to pain.—When the left hand is pricked she replies at once, "Oh, that is ten times worse than on the right; it sends a pain right up to my elbow." "The prick is not at all the same as on the right; on the left it goes all over my hand, while on the other side it stays in the one place." "It is horrible on the left; it is a dreadful burning pain." Every prick on the affected hand provokes an intense reaction and the patient is most reluctant to submit to it. There is apparently little or no persistence of the sensations evoked.

Similarly on the left side of the face, of the chest, and on the leg, pricking produces an intense reaction and more pain and discomfort than on the right side, and the pain spreads widely from the spot stimulated. She has usually little or no idea of the locality of the spot that is pricked.

On testing with the algesimeter it is found that the threshold for pain appears to be slightly raised on the affected side. The following figures

represent the lowest values that produced a sensation of pricking with the spring-algesimeter.

	R.	L.
First dorsal interspace	3 gm.	5 gm.
Dorsum of middle-finger.. .. .	2 "	3 "
Dorsum of forearm	3 "	3 "
Front of chest	2 "	2 "

Pressure-pain.—The application of the algometer produces a much "sorer" sensation on the left side than on the right, and provokes a much greater reaction. Further, the pain develops explosively on the affected side and spreads widely. The following figures represent the threshold-values on corresponding parts of the two sides.

	R.			L.		
Palm	5	5½	4½	4	3½	
First metacarpal bone	3½	3½	3½	2½	3	3½
Front of shin	3	3	3	4	5	5
Sole	4	4		3	3½	

Thermal sensibility.—She has lost completely the appreciation of temperature on the right side, excepting perhaps on the face. The mean degrees evoke no sensation, but the extreme degrees produce pain and an excessive response which may be called indiscriminately hot or cold. Thus when a tube at 60° C. was applied to the palm she cried out, "Oh, I have a pain up my arm, it is as if you were pouring boiling water over my arm; it's like a wheel running over my arm." And when a tube containing ice was applied immediately afterwards she reacted similarly and said, "It is just the same, but not so bad; it is as if you had turned a fountain of boiling water on at my shoulder." On the palm, temperature below 25° C. and above 50° C. produced this discomfort; those necessary to do so on other parts of the affected side varied slightly from these degrees. The pain did not usually develop till the tube had remained for some time in contact with the skin.

During these tests it was observed that while high or low temperatures produced pain and discomfort, mild warmth frequently evoked a reaction of pleasure. This aspect of temperature was consequently tested, employing larger tubes in order to cover a greater surface of skin. It was found that though the stimuli could not be recognized as thermal, temperatures between 38° C. and 43° C. were positively pleasant to the affected side, much more so than to the normal side, and that they could evoke a very definite reaction of pleasure. Thus, when she was asked to describe the sensations produced by 40° C. applied successively to the two sides of her chest she said, "Oh, that is very nice on the left; it is comforting, I like it there. It feels warmer on the right side, but it is not nearly so soothing, or so pleasant." 43° C. was "very pleasant" to the left palm, and she seemed reluctant to allow the tube to be taken away; while when 41° C. was placed on the sole she exclaimed "Oh, that's nice: I would like it there all day." She occasionally called these pleasurable temperatures warm, but careful testing makes it improbable that they evoked any thermal sensation.

Thus, though there is complete abolition of thermal sensibility, high and low degrees of temperature produce on the affected side excessive discomfort and an exaggerated reaction, and mild warmth is more pleasant and evokes pleasurable sensations on this side.

Sense of position.—There is total loss of knowledge of the position of the left arm and leg; she has not the slightest idea where these limbs lie.

Appreciation of passive movement is also completely lost in the left arm and leg; she does not recognize that anything is happening even on maximal movements of the fingers or hand.

Localization of tactile stimuli cannot be tested owing to the great loss of touch on the affected side, but she seems to have a very imperfect knowledge of the situation of painful stimuli.

Appreciation of weight is also completely lost, whether tested on the supported or unsupported hand. She cannot recognize any difference between an empty case weighing 5 gm. and the same case containing 500 gm.

Recognition of form is also completely abolished in the left hand.

Owing to the extreme tactile insensibility the *compass-test* could not be carried out, and it was impossible to test *size, shape, or texture.*

CASE 11.—*An instance of the thalamic syndrome where states of emotion were manifested on the affected half of the body by increased involuntary movements and disagreeable sensations.*

Mrs. A. R., aged 52, has been under the care of one of us (H. H.) for the past four years. In 1902 she began to notice tremor of the right arm and the right leg. Three months later, whilst talking at the telephone, she suddenly fell; she found she could not move the right limbs, but does not think she lost consciousness. She remained in bed a week. The loss of power passed off rapidly, but she then discovered she had lost control of the limbs of the right side, probably in consequence of the loss of sensation; for from that time she found she was not aware of the position of the right limbs when she could not see them, as in the dark or when her leg was underneath the table. For a day or two after the stroke she had no "feeling" in the affected half of the body, but sensation returned rapidly and she then began to suffer from pains throughout this side. The involuntary movements which had existed before the stroke returned more vigorously with the recovery of power. Speech was never affected, there was no diplopia, and the functions of the sphincters were not disturbed.

CONDITION IN 1910.

She is a healthy-looking, unusually cultivated and intelligent woman. The circulatory system is unaffected, the vessels are not thickened, arterial tension is not raised, and the urine does not contain sugar or albumen.

Special senses.—Vision, smell, and taste are unaffected, but hearing is slightly diminished on the right side.

Ordinary sounds, such as the note of a tuning-fork or the sound of a bell,

produce no abnormal effect, but all music that is capable of stirring her emotions excites the unpleasant sensations in the right half of the body. She has always been musical and, up to the time of the stroke, enjoyed good music intensely; but since the attack all such music excites the uncomfortable sensations in the right half of the body and exaggerates the involuntary movements. The singing of a so-called comic song leaves her cold, but serious music is so intolerable, owing to the sensations it produces throughout the right side of her body, that she is obliged to leave the room (*vide* p. 135).

Cranial nerves.—The functions of all the cranial nerves are unaffected; the pupils are equal and react well to light and on accommodation.

Motor system.—The left limbs are normal. The muscles of the right limbs are equal in size to those of the left. The tone of the leg is slightly greater on the affected side, but there are no contractures. All movements are possible, and their range is good, excepting those of the toes. The strength of movements of the right lower extremity against resistance is somewhat less than of the left, dorsiflexion of the foot being especially feeble. The grasp of the right hand is not quite so strong as that of the left, but there is no paralysis or contracture of the limb. Constant involuntary movements of the different segments of the right limbs are present, due apparently to alternate contractions and relaxations of muscles and their antagonists. This tremor, whilst it affects any one segment of the limb, seems to be fairly regular in rate and amplitude, but it frequently spreads from one part to another, or may affect several segments at the same time. It is greatly increased by voluntary movement or by anything that leaves a segment of the limb unsupported. She is unable to control this tremor voluntarily.

Reflexes.—The tendon-jerks are brisker on the right side than on the left, but ankle-clonus is not obtained. The plantar reflex on the left foot is of the flexor type; there is little doubt but the reflex from the right sole is also normal, but any attempt to elicit it from this foot produces so violent a movement of withdrawal of the whole of the leg, and evokes so much discomfort that it is difficult to investigate.

Spontaneous sensations.—She complains of many different abnormal sensations in the right half of the body. The right hand seems swollen and cramped, "as if I had been riding a pulling horse," and the toes of the right foot feel as if they were curled up underneath. "The foot seems round and not flat on the ground." As a rule there is no spontaneous pain in the affected limbs as long as she lies quietly in bed, but directly she is up and about a dull aching pain sets in over the whole of the right half of the body. She also complains of a "cold stinging feeling" in the right hand, and not infrequently in the right foot. She objects intensely to anyone sitting to her right side, for she then begins to suffer from the same disagreeable sensations in this half of her body that are evoked by contact. When one of us placed himself to her right without touching her she complained of a "soreness all down the side, as if you were pulling a dressing from a wound." This ceased entirely when the observer moved to her left. This phenomenon seems to be not uncommon in this class of cases and we have met with it in two other instances.

Tactile sensibility.—She recognizes cotton-wool contacts on both hairless and hair-clad parts of both halves of the body, but says there is a considerable difference between the sensations evoked on the two sides: "On the left it is quite distinct, but on the right it is as if you touched me more lightly." A threshold is obtainable on the right hand with a hair of 70 grm./mm.², whilst on the left she had no difficulty in appreciating every contact with a hair of 14 grm./mm.³ On the affected side, however, the records of serial contacts tend to be confused by the persistence of sensation.

Roughness.—As measured by Graham-Brown's instrument, the same amount of protrusion is required to produce a sensation of roughness on the two halves of the body, but when the same stimulus is compared over similar parts she says it is "harder and rougher on the left side, but more uncomfortable and scratching on the right side."

Tickling and scraping.—When a wisp of cotton-wool is lightly brushed across the left ear she smiles and says it is "quite pleasant," but when the right ear is treated in the same manner she withdraws and raises her hand to brush the stimulus away, saying it produces a "harsh and very unpleasant feeling which seems to open up the soreness of the side." When the left sole is stroked with the pulp of the fingers she smiles and does not withdraw her foot, but on the right even the slightest touch produces an intense reaction and she complains that the sensation is extremely unpleasant.

Vibration is appreciated on both halves of the body, but is shortened, and the fork appears to be beating more slowly on the affected limbs.

Sensibility to pain.—Pricks are "not so distinct" on the right as on the left half of the body, and the threshold is slightly raised; but a much greater reaction is evoked from the whole of the affected than from the normal side. A measured prick of the same strength "is not so sharp, but is far more unpleasant on the right side than on the left."

Painful pressure.—The reaction to the painful aspect of pressure is so intense on the right side that it is scarcely possible to measure sensibility to this stimulus. Thus, on the normal palm 5 kg. of pressure are necessary to evoke discomfort, whilst on the affected hand even half a kilogramme produces an intolerable sensation.

Thermal sensibility.—There is no defect of sensibility to temperature, and the thresholds for heat and cold are identical on the two sides. Moreover, there is no exaggerated response to extremes of heat and cold on the affected side.

Sense of position is gravely defective in the right limbs; she fails to describe or imitate correctly any position into which the right arm or leg may be placed.

Appreciation of passive movements is equally defective. She can, however recognize a passive movement and its direction if the range is sufficiently great, but no movement of the upper limb is appreciated until it has reached at least five times that necessary on the normal side.

		R.			L.		
Index finger	Flexion	22	25	27	4	5	4
	Extension	20	20	25	3	3	3
Elbow	Flexion	17	16	20	3		2
	Extension		12	15	15	2	3

Localization.—Almost as good results were obtained on the right as on the normal half of the body with the usual tests, but she is somewhat slower, and herself recognizes that she was less certain on the affected side.

Compasses.—Sensibility to this test is considerably affected on the right half of the body; for instance, on the hand a record with the points 6 cm. apart is defective, while 1.5 cm. gives a perfect reading on the left palm. She is, however, able to appreciate the two points when applied successively. This defect cannot be due to loss of tactile sensibility, for in two long series of observations she made the same number of errors with the blunt-pointed compasses as when sharp points, which pricked her, were employed.

Appreciation of size is seriously defective, but she is able to appreciate the relative size of two objects when they differ by something over twice the amount necessary on the normal side.

Appreciation of shape and form.—Although she made no mistakes with our test-objects on the normal side, she was frequently wrong on the affected hand; she says, "I have an idea of the shape, but I am not certain." She can recognize many common objects, such as a pencil, scissors, a watch, a knife and a key.

CASE 12.—*An instance of the thalamic syndrome where all loud sounds produced great distress and much increased the involuntary movements on the affected side.*

Frederick W., aged 65, was admitted into the London Hospital under the care of one of us (H. H.) in May, 1911. He was an engine-fitter, and was perfectly well until April 15, 1910. On that day, as he knelt down to tie some heavy objects on to his barrow, he became dizzy and lost consciousness. He remained unconscious for two or three days with complete left hemiplegia. Movement of the left arm and leg gradually returned; about a month after the stroke the involuntary movements appeared and from this time increased steadily in violence. Since he regained consciousness he has constantly complained that noises were peculiarly unpleasant to him, and at the same time he found that he could not hear so well with the left ear; this seems to have followed directly on the stroke. Vision was not affected and there was no diplopia at any time. There was no disturbance of smell or taste.

The pains which are now so prominent a feature of his case came on about three or four weeks after the stroke, and have since then increased in severity.

CONDITION IN MAY, 1911.

He is a worn-looking old man with thickened vessels and somewhat raised arterial tension. Otherwise there is no visceral disease. The urine contains no albumen or sugar. He is fairly intelligent, and as long as he is not exposed to noise is quiet and attentive.

There is no aphasia or apraxia, but when he is excited he shows a curious difficulty in expressing what he wants to say. He stammers and can scarcely utter a word; at the same time the left arm is thrown into violent movement. If he is allowed to remain quiet for a time these movements subside and he can then enunciate all his words perfectly.

Special senses.—Smell, taste and vision are unaffected. On the other hand, hearing shows the following changes: If a tuning-fork is held near either ear, whilst he is in an absolutely quiet room, he listens for a few seconds calmly and then becomes more and more agitated; his face shows obvious signs of discomfort and the involuntary movements of the affected arm become greatly increased, or they may be started by the sound of the fork. It is difficult to be certain that the tuning-fork is a more potent cause of discomfort and exaggerated movement of the affected arm when placed near the one or the other ear, but our impression after several examinations is that it is easier to produce these effects from the left ear. Moreover, he is certain that he dislikes the fork more when it is approached to the left ear than to the right. Music, of which he used to be unusually fond, is now intensely disagreeable; even favourite tunes "now work me up till I can't bear them," and excite the involuntary movements to great violence and amplitude. On the day he travelled to London the noise of the railway was so intolerable to him that he attempted to throw himself out of the train. No musical sounds are now capable of giving him pleasure.

On examination it is found that whispering and the watch are equally well heard in the two ears, and there is no gross difference between the appreciation of the various tuning-forks. The right meatus is narrow and the left more so; the membranes are slightly grey and thickened, but there is otherwise nothing abnormal. Thus, though there was a history of "deafness" after the stroke, no loss of hearing can be now demonstrated in the left ear.

Cranial nerves.—The ocular movements are unaffected and the pupils react well. The right corneal reflex is brisk, but the left is abolished. The masseters contract equally. When the patient is at rest the left half of the face is somewhat more contracted than the right, and the nasolabial fold is deepened; the two sides move, however, equally in strong voluntary movement, but in speech irregular overaction of the whole of the left half of the face occurs, including even the muscles of the forehead. These irregular movements are particularly liable to appear under all conditions that provoke or exaggerate those of the left arm. The movements of the palate and tongue are unaffected, but occasionally spontaneous movements are seen in the left half of the tongue.

Motor system.—The right limbs are unaffected. The muscles of the left limbs are not wasted, their tone is normal and there is no rigidity or contracture. The strength of the arm is excellent; any apparent weakness is due to the gross ataxia. As he lies quietly in bed the left hand and forearm are usually in constant irregular movement; the movements resemble those of a child that is fidgety, or of a man who is ill at ease when speaking. But, when he is excited by noises or emotional causes, they increase and become almost flail-like; the arm swings around, dashes against his bed or his body with astonishing violence, and the leg is similarly agitated, though the amplitude of its movements is less. These movements disappear in sleep, and when he wakes his limbs are at rest. In addition, all voluntary movements of these limbs are extremely ataxic. His gait varies with the vehemence of the involuntary movements of the left leg; when they are slight he can walk without difficulty in spite of the obvious ataxia of the leg, but when the movements are excited they often become so violent as to prevent him walking.

Reflexes.—All deep reflexes are brisk but equal on the two sides. Both plantars give flexor responses, and the abdominal reflexes are equal on the two sides.

Spontaneous sensations.—He complains of constant pains over the whole of the left half of the body, sometimes stationary in the neighbourhood of the great joints, sometimes shooting through a limb or over the whole side. They are liable to be evoked by peripheral stimuli that cause discomfort. He also complains of a "numbness" down the left half of the body, "as if it had been hurt and bandaged up." The left side and this half of the face seem to him to be puffed and swollen, and there is a "cold feeling" round the left eye. If he lies on the left side it seems as if he were "on a hard lump."

Tactile sensibility.—There is complete loss of sensibility to contacts with cotton-wool on the left arm, left leg and this half of the trunk, but he occasionally responds to wool rubbed over the left ear and forehead. Pressure-touch is also gravely diminished.

Roughness.—He appreciates roughness, as tested with Graham-Brown's instrument, within normal limits on the right half of the body, but even when the protrusion is five times this amount he fails to recognize the scraping of the instrument on the left side. Under these conditions he merely says, "Something is happening to me, but I don't know if you are doing anything."

Tickling and scraping.—Although cotton-wool may not be appreciated over the left lower limb, a wisp repeatedly rubbed over the sole produces a sensation of painful "tingling all up the leg." When the pulps of the fingers are gently drawn over the right sole he smiles but remains still, but when the same stimulus is applied to the left sole his face shows obvious discomfort and he says, "You are tickling me, but it does not seem any place in particular; it is a crawling feeling which affects me all up the side." When the left sole is scraped with the finger-nails he shows signs of distress and says, "I don't know what you are doing, but it affects me all up the side." Both tickling and scraping excite and exaggerate the involuntary movements.

Vibration.—The appreciation of vibration is totally lost on the left limbs and on the left half of the trunk, and no unpleasant sensations are provoked by the application of the strongly vibrating fork.

Sensibility to pain.—He generally fails to appreciate moderate single pricks on the left upper limb, but when pricked more firmly, or several times in succession, he reacts vigorously, describes the sensation as "something burning," or "a sharp, fiery prick," and says it is much more painful than on the opposite side. On the chest he replies more frequently to a single light prick, and there is extreme over-reaction to the point of a pin when, being dragged from right to left, it crosses the middle line. The threshold to prick is evidently raised on the left side of the face and on the left leg, but a series of pricks produce the same uncomfortable burning sensations as on the affected arm. Pricks on the left side are also "more uncomfortable because they affect me all over." He has no idea of the situation of the pricks; whether on the elbow or on the back of the hand, they are equally referred to the palm. He also confuses a series of pricks on the shin with the effect produced by tickling the left sole with the pulp of the fingers; not only does he confuse the locality of the sensation, but he has no idea of the different nature of the stimuli.

Measurements with the algesimeter also show that the threshold is greatly raised on the whole left half of the body, excepting the left sole. Here the same strength of stimulus evokes pain as on the right sole, but the reaction is invariably greater.

Pressure-pain.—The pressure necessary to evoke pain is uniformly higher over the left than on the normal half of the body, but the pain evoked is excessive. Thus, over the normal sole he complained of pain with a pressure of 3 kg., and at 5 kg. the signs of distress were considerable; but on the left sole no pain was produced until the pressure had reached at least 5 kg., and yet he complained that this stimulus was much more uncomfortable on the left than on the right sole.

Thermal sensibility.—All appreciation of temperature is abolished on the left half of the body. Ice produces an uncomfortable sensation over the affected parts, which he describes "as if something pricked me and made me jump," and the reaction is greater than from the normal side. No temperature between 10° C. and 50° C. produces any reaction.

Sense of position and of passive movement.—He is totally unable to recognize the posture or passive movements of the left limbs, and makes no attempt to say in what direction they are moved.

Localization.—He has lost the power of recognizing the locality of all stimuli, including prick and painful pressure. He can generally recognize in which limb pain is evoked, but has no idea what part of the limb the stimulus affects.

Compasses cannot be tested owing to the gross loss of tactile sensibility.

Appreciation of weight.—He is unable to appreciate weight in the left hand and cannot even recognize the difference between 30 and 700 grm., whether the hand is supported or not.

The power of *appreciating form* is also abolished in the left hand.

Visceral sensibility.—There is no doubt that the left testicle is less sensitive to pressure than the right, but when pain is evoked by squeezing it strongly he says, "It feels sharpest on the right, but I would rather you did not squeeze the left."

CASE 13.—*An instance of the thalamic syndrome where the loss of sensation was extreme and the thresholds for all painful stimuli, including the pressure-algometer, were greatly raised.*

Thomas G., aged 43, was admitted to the National Hospital, under the care of Dr. Turner, in August, 1910. On May 4, 1907, whilst at work, "a numbness" appeared in the right foot and gradually spread up the right half of the body. During the next half-hour he found his right arm and leg became weak and his speech was affected. He was taken to a hospital and remained there in bed for nearly seven weeks. Speech returned rapidly, and the loss of power in the limbs gradually passed off. About eight months after this stroke the right limbs began to shake: the involuntary movements have always been worse in the arm. The stroke produced immediate loss of sensation in the right half of the body; but the pains and the tingling did not set in till some months later. The special senses were not affected by the stroke.

CONDITION IN SEPTEMBER, 1910.

He is thin and prematurely aged; his radial vessels are thickened and tortuous, but the pulse-tension is not raised. Urine is of low specific gravity and contains no albumen or sugar.

He is illiterate, but answers well to direct tests; although he becomes tired easily, his memory is fair. There is now no evidence of affection of speech, or of apraxia.

Special senses.—Smell, taste, hearing and vision are unaffected.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of all the cranial nerves are normal, except that the right corneal reflex is much diminished.

Motor system.—The left limbs are unaffected. There is a general increase of tone in the muscles of the right limbs and slight rigidity, but the range of movement is not restricted and there are no contractures. The strength of all movements is remarkably good, and there is little difference between that of the limbs of the two sides; even isolated movements of the right fingers can be easily performed, but more slowly and awkwardly than on the left side. All movements of the right limbs are, however, very ataxic and accompanied by a definite intention-tremor.

But in addition the limbs of the right side are the site of involuntary movements, which usually take the form of a tremor that is irregular in both amplitude and rate. This tremor is most evident in the distal segments of the limbs, but is present even at the shoulder and hip. Occasionally, more irregular movements, which can be described as choreiform, also occur; these

are increased on any attempt at voluntary movement. He walks fairly well but holds the right leg rigid, and its movements are poorly directed and co-ordinated.

Reflexes.—All the tendon-jerks are increased on the right side as compared with the left, and ankle-clonus can be obtained, but both plantar reflexes give flexor responses. The abdominal and cremasteric reflexes are obtained on the left side but abolished on the right.

Spontaneous sensations.—He complains of pains throughout the whole of the right half of the body, which are not only constant but are subject to intense exacerbations which last from three to four hours. These are particularly severe in the face and at the back of the eye. He also suffers from "pins and needles" in the right hand and the right foot, which arise without obvious cause. The right arm seems "numb" as high as the elbow, and the right leg up to the knee.

Tactile sensibility.—Over the whole of the right half of the body and the right limbs there is considerable diminution of tactile sensibility. Even when cotton-wool is rubbed across the part his answers are irregular and he often fails entirely to appreciate the stimulus. Sensibility to pressure-touch is also much diminished.

Tickling and scraping.—On tickling the sole of the left foot a strong reaction is obtained, but the sensation is not unpleasant; but from the right sole the sensation is distinctly unpleasant, "as if your nails were digging into my flesh," and the movements which constitute the reaction are more extensive and violent than those which occur on the normal side. There is a similar difference on gently tickling the two palms. If these parts are scraped the difference is even more pronounced.

Vibration.—He cannot appreciate vibration on the upper limb, and the sensation produced by the tuning-fork is greatly diminished on the right half of the chest and the right leg and foot. No unpleasant sensations are evoked by this stimulus.

Sensibility to pain.—Sensibility to prick is greatly diminished on the whole of the right half of the body; on the upper extremity he fails to appreciate many strong pricks. While 2 grm., as measured with the algometer, evoked a sensation of pricking on the left hand, 22 grm. was the minimum pressure that could evoke pain on the right. Moreover, he always asserts that a pin pricks him more, and is more painful, on normal than abnormal parts, but at the same time the reaction evoked is considerably greater from the abnormal side. The unpleasant sensations spread widely on the affected parts. On the leg, however, where the loss of sensation is less, the pain and discomfort, as well as the reaction, are greater on the abnormal side.

Pressure-pain.—The readings of the pressure-algometer are higher on the right half of the body than on the left, except on the sole of the foot; here half the pressure required on the left side produces pain on the right, and he objects more strongly to it.

Thermal sensibility.—On the whole of the left half of the body, except the

face, sensibility to temperature is completely abolished. Ice, and water at 60° C., cannot be distinguished, but both evoke painful or more uncomfortable sensations than on the normal half of the body.

Sense of position and the *appreciation of passive movement* are completely abolished in the right limbs; thus even a movement of 90° at the right elbow fails entirely to produce any sensation.

Localization, the *compasses* and the *appreciation of size and shape* cannot be tested owing to the gross loss of sensibility.

He fails to recognize any of the ordinary test-objects for *form*; and on testing for the appreciation of *weight* it is found that he cannot recognize even 200 grm. placed in the right hand.

Visceral sensibility.—On compressing the testicles gently, not only does he say that it is more painful on the abnormal side (right), but the expression of discomfort and the reaction are excessive compared with that from the left.

CASE 14.—*To illustrate the consequences of removing a small portion of the cortex in the region corresponding to the motor centre for the arm and hand.*

Reginald H. This is the patient from whom Sir Victor Horsley removed a portion of the precentral gyrus in March, 1908, at the age of 14. He formed the basis of the Linacre Lecture [13] in 1909. Owing to the kindness of Sir Victor Horsley, to whom we are much indebted, we have been able to examine this patient from time to time between April, 1908, and the present date (1911). Our most complete observations were made between October, 1909, and October, 1910.

For the nature of the operation and the structure of the tissue removed we must refer to Sir Victor Horsley's account; but, roughly speaking, the part removed contained the foci from which movements of the left upper limb could be excited by electrical stimulation at the time of the operation. It consisted of a portion of the precentral gyrus, 4½ cm. in vertical extent, with its middle point somewhat above the genu of the fissure of Rolando. In this account we shall summarize his condition subsequent to October, 1909, for since that date the signs and symptoms have shown no material change.

He is an extremely intelligent youth, a good witness, and willingly submits to prolonged examination.


Special senses.—Smell, taste, hearing and vision are unaffected.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of all the cranial nerves are carried out normally; the movements of the face are symmetrical and the tongue is protruded straight.

Motor system.—The bulk of the muscles of the left forearm and hand is slightly less than that of the right, and their tone is distinctly increased. The limb is rigid, but there are no organic contractures. Voluntary power is considerably diminished in the left arm, more particularly in the fingers, but at the shoulder and elbow the movements are of fair strength and good range. He walks normally and can even play lawn-tennis; there is no difference between the strength or the tone of the muscles of the lower extremities.

Reflexes.—The arm-jerks are exaggerated on the left side, but the knee- and ankle-jerks are equal, and both plantar reflexes give flexor responses.

Spontaneous sensations.—He complains of no spontaneous sensations, except that when the arm is cold it “feels numb.”



To illustrate a set of records obtained in Case 14 when the hands were stimulated with von Frey's hairs of different strengths. On the affected side a threshold could be obtained, but the records were disturbed by hallucinations and other irregularities. His answers from the normal hand were remarkably constant.

Tactile sensibility.—On the normal hand and on both feet a perfect series of answers can be obtained with a hair of 21 grm./mm.²; but on the affected hand the answers are slower and less constant, the sensation of contact tends to persist after the removal of the stimulus, and hallucinations frequently disturb the records. It is possible, however, with care to obtain evidence of a definite threshold.

Roughness and vibration are appreciated equally on the two sides, and measurement gives the same threshold for both on the two upper extremities.

Tickling and scraping are equally appreciated on the two hands and arms.

Sensibility to pain.—Careful measurements with both the prick-algesimeter and the pressure-algometer fail to reveal any difference of threshold for pain on the two upper limbs, or elsewhere on the body. Further, the reaction of the two sides to painful stimuli is identical.

Thermal sensibility.—There is no demonstrable alteration of sensibility to temperature, and the thresholds for heat and cold are identical on the two hands; thus, on one occasion the neutral zone lay between 28° C. and 30° C. on both palms and the palmar aspects of the fingers. There is no abnormal reaction on either side to the extreme degrees of temperature.

Sense of position.—The power of recognizing the position in space of the left arm, and especially of its distal segments, is gravely defective. Thus, if it is placed in any position, when his eyes are closed, he has difficulty in finding the index-finger, and even if he succeeds in striking some other portion of the hand, such as the middle-finger, he cannot immediately discover the index-finger from its relative position. Examination with Horsley's plate demonstrates this defect very clearly. He visualizes strongly and obtains a clear mental picture of both hands. When his eyes are closed this mental picture of his normal hand alters with movement of any part of it; thus, if the index is flexed he sees the finger in its new position. But under the same conditions no amount of passive movement alters the mental picture of the left hand; consequently he refers any sensation evoked on the hand, after its situation has been altered, into its old position in space. For instance, he was asked to lay his hand on the table; after his eyes were closed the elbow was flexed, passively to a right angle and when it had been supported in this position for some time various stimuli were applied to it. In his visual picture the hand still lay on the table, and, when asked to point with his sound index-finger to the spot stimulated, it was in this direction he first attempted to find it.

He has a perfect knowledge of the position of the various segments of the left lower limb.

Appreciation of passive movement is gravely affected at all joints of the left upper extremity below the elbow, but more particularly in the fingers of the left hand.

		Normal (R.)					Affected (L.)				
Index-finger	Flexion	2	2	2	2	1½	40+	50+	50+	30	50+
	Extension	2	2	3	2½	2	30+	40	60+	55	60
Elbow	Flexion	1½	1½	1	1½	1½	10	7½	8	6	7½
	Extension	2	2	1	1½	2	6	6	9	8	6

These numbers give the angles in degrees of the smallest movements which were appreciated; wherever the numbers bear a + the movement was appreciated, but not its direction.

Localization.—When he is asked to grope for the situation of a stimulated spot on the affected hand he can rarely find it on the first attempt, but he can describe without difficulty the position of the spot that is touched; it is obvious, therefore, that the errors he makes with the groping method are due to his want of knowledge of the position of the limb rather than to defective power of localization. This is confirmed by observations made with both the original and the modified Henri methods, for the results obtained by both are as accurate on the affected as on the normal hand.

Compasses.—The power of discriminating two points is very defective on

the left hand, but becomes steadily better as the limb is ascended. Thus on the normal palm a perfect reading can be obtained with the points 1.5 cm. apart, but in the left palm a threshold cannot be obtained even when they are separated to 6 cm.; on the normal forearm the record is perfect with the points separated to 5 cm., whilst on the left side a threshold cannot be obtained below 15 cm.; between the elbow and the shoulder the difference is considerably less, for although 6 cm. is required on the right side a perfect reading can be obtained on the left arm with the points 10 cm. apart. On the upper part of the chest the difference between the two sides is even smaller, and over the hypochondrium the thresholds are identical on the two sides. Step by step with this increased power of discrimination, the slowness and uncertainty of his replies steadily diminishes, until, when tested on the hypochondrium, they are as quick on the left half as on the right. No difference to this test can be found on the lower extremities, and the records obtained from the two sides are equally good.

	Normal (R.)		Affected (L.)
Palm, 1.5 cm.	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{cccccc} \text{II} & \text{III} & \text{I} & \text{II} & \text{II} \\ \text{III} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{II} & \text{II} & \text{I} \end{array} \right.$	1.5 cm.	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{cccc} \text{II} & \text{IOII} & \text{IIXX} \\ \text{XII} & \text{OXX} & \text{XX} & \text{XI} \end{array} \right.$
		6 "	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{ccc} \text{XXXI} & \text{IIXXI} & \text{I} \\ \text{XXXI} & \text{XXXXI} & \text{X} \end{array} \right.$
Forearm, 5 cm.	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{cccccc} \text{III} & \text{I} & \text{II} & \text{I} & \text{II} \\ \text{II} & \text{III} & \text{II} & \text{I} & \text{I} \end{array} \right.$	10 "	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{cccc} \text{II} & \text{I} & \text{III} & \text{IXIX} \\ \text{I} & \text{IIXI} & \text{IXXXX} & \end{array} \right.$
		15 "	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{cccc} \text{III} & \text{III} & \text{III} \\ \text{I} & \text{III} & \text{III} & \text{II} \end{array} \right.$
Arm above the elbow	$\left. \begin{array}{l} 6 \text{ cm.} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{cccc} \text{III} & \text{II} & \text{III} & \text{I} \\ \text{II} & \text{III} & \text{III} & \text{II} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\}$	7 "	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{ccc} \text{XII} & \text{NIXX} & \text{XXX} \\ \text{III} & \text{XIII} & \text{I} & \text{X} \end{array} \right.$
		10 "	$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \middle \begin{array}{cccc} \text{III} & \text{III} & \text{I} & \text{II} \\ \text{II} & \text{IIXI} & \text{II} & \text{II} \end{array} \right.$

As soon as the points are applied successively, with only the fraction of a second between the moments at which the first and the second points touch the surface of the body, he recognizes the double nature of the stimulus without difficulty. This was well shown by a series of observations in which the compass-points were so applied that each fell on a different finger; when they were applied simultaneously, for instance to the index- and middle-fingers, he never recognized the double stimulus, or that he had been touched on two fingers; but as soon as a fraction of a second was allowed to elapse between the contact of the one point on the middle-finger and the other on the index, he at once recognized that he had been touched with two points, and that they had fallen on two fingers. This is true for all the fingers.

Appreciation of weight is gravely disturbed on the left hand, whether the limb is supported or unsupported; he cannot even recognize with certainty the addition or removal of weights, such as 100 grm., to or from a weight of 15 grm. resting on the palm.

The power of appreciating *size, shape, form in three dimensions, consistence* and *texture* is lost in the left hand, and he complains that the test-objects do not seem to have shape or form. On the normal hand his power of recognizing these tests is remarkably good.

CASE 15.—*To illustrate the effect upon sensation produced by removal of a portion of the cortex in the right parietal region.*

George M., aged 48, formerly a foreman on a railway, is at present an out-patient under the care of one of us (G. H.) at the National Hospital. In August, 1905, he was admitted to hospital under the care of the late Dr. Beevor with the symptoms of an intracranial tumour. In May of that year he first had an attack of left-sided convulsions, which began in the hand and later affected the leg and this side of his face; the convulsions were preceded by numbness of the hand. This seizure was followed by others which seemed to have been exactly similar, and to have recurred at diminishing intervals. He suffered from a little headache on the right side of his head, but did not vomit.

On admission to hospital slight optic neuritis was present in both eyes, but no other abnormal signs could be found except a slight diminution of sensation on the left hand and forearm, affecting chiefly the sense of position, with "astereognosis." The reflexes at that time were normal. As the optic neuritis increased, and some weakness of the left arm developed, he was operated on by Sir Victor Horsley on October 31, 1905, and a large gumma attached to the dura mater was removed, with a part of the subjacent brain tissue to the depth of 2 cm., from over the right inferior parietal lobe; it probably involved the lower half of the postcentral gyrus and a part of the supramarginal convolution, and at its inferior angle it extended frontalwards over the fissure of Rolando. The tissue removed was almost circular and measured 6 cm. in diameter. This description of the site of the operative lesion can be confirmed at the present time by careful measurements of the trephine opening in the skull.

For a time after the operation there was considerable weakness of the left arm, reaching complete paralysis in the hand, and much disturbance of sensation, especially of the sense of position, in this limb. There was also complete left hemianopsia. The paralysis and the sensory disturbances diminished considerably, and in the following description we shall summarize the observations made on his state between October, 1910, and the present time.

CONDITION IN 1910 TO 1911.

Since the operation he has suffered at rare intervals from slight left-sided epileptiform convulsions, for which he remains under treatment. In making the following observations we have been careful to avoid periods in which there was a risk of confusion from postepileptic phenomena.

He is an intelligent man, and is now actively engaged in selling newspapers. His memory and attention are good, and he submits willingly to protracted examination. Speech is not affected, and there is no apraxia. Smell, hearing, and taste are unaffected, and at present the visual fields are not contracted.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of the cranial nerves are unaffected, except that the volitional movements of the left angle of the mouth are a little slower and weaker than on the right, and that the tongue is protruded slightly to the left.

Motor system.—The right limbs are in every respect unaffected. There is a slight general wasting of the muscles of the left hand and forearm, but otherwise those of the two sides are equal in bulk. The tone of the muscles of the arm, and to a less extent of those of the leg, is increased, and the rigidity of the limbs offers a considerable resistance to passive movement; there is also a tendency to contractures, especially in the arm, but the range of voluntary movement is fair. The strength of all the arm-movements is diminished, but the leg is almost as strong as its fellow; the movements are awkward and slow, but not definitely ataxic. No involuntary movements occur. Gait is almost natural, but he drags the left foot when it is cold and numb.

Reflexes.—The left arm-jerks are exaggerated, and the left knee-jerk is a little brisker than the right. Ankle-clonus cannot be obtained, and both plantar reflexes give flexor responses.

Spontaneous sensations.—He complains of a numbness or “a sleepy feeling” in the left hand, especially in the fingers; here it is constant but varies in intensity. There is also some numbness of the left leg, chiefly over the foot. When he wakes at night he does not know the position of the left arm until he touches it or moves it about. He has no visual memory, and when he closes his eyes he sees no mental picture of either hand.

Tactile sensibility.—He cannot appreciate cotton-wool touches with certainty on the hairless parts of the left hand, and even on the hair-clad parts many stimuli evoke no response. This diminution of sensibility grows less towards the proximal portions of the limb, but he states that over the whole left upper extremity sensations of contact are “duller” than over similar parts of the right side. On the right hand a hair of 21 grm./mm.² is obviously above the threshold, and 23 grm./mm.² gives perfect readings; but on the left hand his answers are irregular and tend to be disturbed by hallucinations and persistence. Moreover, although he can appreciate 21 grm./mm.² on the affected hand, increasing the strength of the stimulus makes no constant improvement in the proportion of correct answers (*vide p. 242*).

Even the application of 32 grm. on a disc 3 mm.² could not evoke a perfect series of replies, although he was sensitive to the contact of the same instrument weighted with 2 grm. (*vide p. 150*). Thus it is obvious that in this case a tactile threshold cannot be obtained to measurable stimuli.

Roughness.—The threshold obtained with Graham-Brown's instrument is identical on the two hands, and there is no difference in the reactions evoked.

Tickling and scraping.—There is no difference in reaction from the two sides, but he says that cotton-wool rubbed across the hair-clad parts of the left hand tickles slightly less than on the right.

Vibration.—The tuning-fork is appreciated everywhere, but the sensation it evokes seems to him fainter on the affected than on the normal hand. Moreover, vibration can be appreciated on the right hand for several seconds after it no longer causes a sensation on the left.

Sensibility to pain.—He can appreciate the prick of a pin everywhere on the affected side of the body, but says, "It is not quite so sharp, and not so plain" as on the normal side. This want of "plainness" on the left hand and forearm is associated with an apparent slight raising of the threshold to measured pricks; this is more probably due to an affection of the discriminative rather than of the painful elements of the stimulus. There is no difference in the affective reactions from the two sides.

	Normal hand (R.)	Affected hand (L.)
21 grm./mm ²	○○	
23 grm./mm ²	○	
21 grm./mm ²		○○ ○○ ○○○
23 grm./mm ²		○○ ○○ ○○○ ○ ○
35 grm./mm ²		○○○ ○○○ ○○○ ○ ○
70 grm./mm ²		○ ○○ ○ ○○○
100 grm./mm ²		○○ ○○ ○ ○○○
21 grm./mm ²		○ ○ ○○○○○ ○ ○○○
23 grm./mm ²		○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○
100 grm./mm ²		○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

To show the results obtained when the affected hand is stimulated in a consecutive series with von Frey's hairs of different strength.

The readings of the pressure-algometer are identical on the two halves of the body.

Thermal sensibility.—To the ordinary clinical tests sensibility to heat and cold appears to be unaffected, and there is no difference in the reactions from any part of the two sides of the body. But more careful examination shows that the neutral zone is considerably enlarged on the left hand; thus on one occasion it lay between 29° C. and 31° C. on the normal hand, whilst on the affected hand all temperature between 27° C. and 36° C. seemed to be neither hot nor cold. Not only is the range of the neutral zone increased but the responses from the affected hand, compared with those from normal parts, are slow and irregular. Moreover, the power of comparing two thermal stimuli applied either together or in sequence to the left hand is diminished; for instance, although he can distinguish with certainty 40° C. and 50° C. on

the normal hand he is often unable to say which is the warmer to the left hand, although both appear to him to be warm.

Sense of position.—He is unable to recognize accurately the position in which his left hand and arm may be placed, and the sense of posture is also gravely defective in the left lower extremity.

The appreciation of passive movement.—Passive movement of the fingers and wrist cannot be appreciated even when they reach the extreme range possible without exerting tension on the muscles. He can recognize movements of large range at the elbow, but they must make an angle of at least 60° before he can recognize whether the joint is flexed and extended. Even at the shoulder a large range of movement is required for appreciation.

Localization.—When examined by naming, or by our modified Henri method, localization was found to be seriously affected on the left upper limb: out of fifty-three contacts on the left hand, twelve only were correctly placed, while on the normal hand he made no error in forty-five tests. This defect is equally evident when pricks are substituted for tactile contacts, and consequently it cannot be due to the disturbance of tactile sensibility (*vide* p. 163).

Compasses.—The power of recognizing the two points in this test is completely abolished on the left hand and forearm, whether they are applied simultaneously or successively. Moreover, the records are equally bad whether we use the ordinary blunt points or replace them by needles, so that every contact produces a prick.

Thus, on the dorsum of the right forearm a perfect reading can be obtained with the points separated to 4 cm., while on the affected forearm he fails to discriminate them when 20 cm. apart, even when they are applied with an appreciable interval between the two contacts.

Appreciation of weight.—The power of appreciating weight, even on the normal hand, is not acute. He can recognize the difference between 60 and 100 gm., but he is not uniformly correct with 120 and 200 gm., when these weights are applied successively to the normal hand. But in spite of the comparatively high threshold on the normal hand, the difference between the two hands to this test is very striking; for instance, when 30 gm. was placed on the fully supported left hand he recognized the contact, but not that the object had any weight, and even when 600 gm. were added to it and then removed he simply said: "You have moved the thing in my hand," and did not know that the weight had changed. When 60 gm. was placed in the normal hand and he was asked to compare it with the same weight in the affected hand by weighing with the unsupported hand, he said: "There is a weight in the right hand only."

Appreciation of size, shape, form and consistence.—All these faculties are entirely abolished on the left side. Moreover, he insists that in every case he can "feel" the test-objects placed in his hand, but he "has no idea" of their size, their shape, their form, or their consistence.

Visceral sensibility, as tested by pressure on the testicles and examination of the sensibility of the glans penis, is unaffected.

CASE 16.—*To illustrate the sensory changes found twenty-three years after an injury to the left cerebral hemisphere.*

Arthur William I., aged 42, is an out-patient under the care of one of us (G. H.) at the National Hospital. He was an officer in the merchant service. In April, 1887, he fell down the hatchway of a ship, injuring his head, and lay unconscious for three weeks. When he regained consciousness he was in a hospital in San Francisco; his right limbs were paralysed and he was unable to speak. During the five months he remained in hospital he regained some power in the right limbs. On the voyage home to England he began to suffer with convulsive attacks which affected the right hand and the right side of his face. He was admitted to the National Hospital under the care of Dr. Ramskill in November, 1888. There was then slight weakness of the right side of his face and considerable hemiplegic paresis and rigidity of the right limbs, with contractures of the fingers of the right hand. The right knee-jerk was brisker than the left, and right ankle-clonus was obtained. While in hospital he had several localized fits, which began either in the right thumb or in the fingers of the right hand; in several the convulsions were limited to the hand, but in others the right side of the face was also affected.

On April 2, 1889, Sir Victor Horsley operated and found a fracture of the skull which ran from before backwards across the fissure of Rolando. A large portion of the skull was removed, first over the region of the motor centres for the thumb and fingers, and then upwards to within $2\frac{1}{2}$ cm. of the middle line. The dura mater was adherent to the cortex and a subdural cyst was found at the posterior part of the opening. After the cortical vessels had been ligatured a portion of the damaged cortex, about 5 cm. in diameter, was removed; this included, as far as could be determined, the centres for the fingers and thumb.

CONDITION IN 1910.

He still suffers, at rare intervals, from local fits in the right hand, which occasionally spread more widely. Our observations were made for the most part in 1910, and we shall summarize in this account his condition towards the end of that year. There is at present no aphasia or apraxia; he is educated, fairly intelligent, and spends much of his time in playing chess matches.

Special senses.—Smell, taste, hearing and visual acuity are normal and the visual fields are not restricted.

Cranial nerves.—There is slight weakness of the right side of the face in voluntary movement, and the tongue deviates slightly to the right, but the functions of the cranial nerves are otherwise normal.

Motor system.—The right arm is smaller than the left and its muscles are firm and rigid; the wrist and fingers are held in a permanently flexed position, and contractures limit the range of passive movements at all joints. There is no power of movement of the fingers and hand, except that the fingers can be slightly flexed as a whole. Pronation and supination are impossible, and flexion and extension of the elbow are restricted and feeble; he can carry out

all the movements, except rotation, of the right shoulder, but they are feeble and he cannot bring the arm into a horizontal position. Voluntary movements of the right foot are also lost, but those of the knee and hip are fair in range and power. There is considerable rigidity of the whole limb, but no contracture except of the calf-muscles. He walks with a typical hemiplegic gait; the right leg is advanced by circumduction and he tends to drag the foot.

Reflexes.—All the deep reflexes are exaggerated on the right side and ankle-clonus can be obtained; the right plantar reflex gives an extensor response, and the abdominal reflex is much diminished on this side.

Spontaneous sensations.—He is not a good subject for introspection, but he says he thinks that the right arm and leg “go to sleep more easily than the left”; when he wakes up at night he is unable to find his right hand until he has groped for it. He has never experienced pain or tingling in the affected side.

Tactile sensibility.—When a wisp of cotton-wool is rubbed across the affected hand he appreciates most of the contacts, even on the palm, but he says that “the feeling is weaker” on the right than on the left, and that “it seems plainer” on the normal hand. There is no similar difference between the two lower limbs, where he appreciates contacts as constantly on the right as on the left. He has a high threshold to von Frey’s hairs on the normal hand, but on the affected side no threshold can be obtained even to 100 grm./mm.² To the weight-æsthesiometer he gives a perfect series of answers on the left hand with a pressure of 2 grm., but on the affected a threshold cannot be obtained even with a pressure of 32 grm., although he responds to 2 grm. Moreover, increasing the strength of the stimulus makes no appreciable difference in the proportion of right answers; in fact, a better reading was obtained with 2 grm. than subsequently with 32 grm. With von Frey’s hairs there is a great tendency to persistence and hallucinations, but this is much less evident during testing with the weight-æsthesiometer.

Roughness.—With Graham-Brown’s instrument the same threshold is obtained on the two hands.

Vibration is everywhere appreciated, but on the right hand he said “it felt weaker” than on the left, and the period during which it can be appreciated is considerably shortened.

Tickling and scraping.—To tickling and scraping there is no difference between the palms, or the soles of the two feet.

Sensibility to pain.—When repeatedly pricked in any one area with a pin he says “it is stronger on the left side than on the right, but it does not hurt me more.” Occasionally, too, he finds the prick “sharper” on the left hand. There is, however, no difference in thresholds on the two sides to measured prick or to pressure-pain.

Thermal sensibility.—The paralysed hand is usually blue and cold and is, therefore, unsuitable for the finer testing of thermal sensibility; but in spite of this he is able to recognize cold up to 15° C. and heat from 45° C. upwards. More satisfactory observations can be obtained from the arm above the elbow.

On the normal side the neutral zone lies between 27° C. and 32° C., whereas on the affected side it extends from 25° C. to 38° C. He complains that he can "feel warmth and coldness quicker on the left side than on the right" and adds, "I have to wait a minute to be sure on the bad side."

Sense of position.—The power of recognizing the sense of position of the right upper extremity is lost; he has no idea where it lies in space. There is also considerable loss in the right lower extremity, especially below the knee.

Appreciation of passive movement is also lost in the right fingers and wrist and is much diminished at the elbow; here a movement through an angle of even 60° was occasionally not appreciated, and the smallest movement he was able to recognize exceeded 40° . At the normal elbow he is always able to recognize a movement of 3° , and not infrequently indicates correctly the direction of a movement that does not exceed 2° .

Localization is remarkably accurate on the normal hand but is gravely affected on the right. When tested by the modified Henri method, he says to every contact, that he can feel it but has no idea where it is.

Compasses.—Readings on the dorsum of the hand and on the forearm showed that the power of discriminating two points is completely lost on the affected side, whether the two contacts are made simultaneously or successively.

Appreciation of weight.—He is completely unable to recognize the difference between two weights in the supported or unsupported hand; thus if equal weights are placed in the two hands he is conscious of the weight on his left palm, but does not recognize that the object on his right has any weight. Even when 500 gm. are placed in his affected hand he recognizes that something is in contact with it, but does not appreciate that it is a weight.

The *appreciation of size, shape, form in three dimensions*, and the recognition of familiar objects, are abolished in the right hand, although to all these tests he is accurate on the normal side.

CASE 17.—*To illustrate the application of our methods to a patient with sensory aphasia due to the removal of a portion of the cortex in the left parietal region.*

Edmund M., now aged 46, is at present an out-patient under the care of one of us (G. H.), at the National Hospital. He was admitted to the hospital under the care of Sir William Gowers in May, 1904, complaining of headache, vomiting, fits, weakness of the right arm, and difficulty of speech. These symptoms began eleven months before admission. In most of the fits he lost consciousness without local convulsions, but during the few weeks previous to admission he had had seizures which began with twitching of the right fingers; after these slighter attacks speech was particularly affected. Several of these occurred when he was in hospital, but convulsions were not observed in any of them.

At that time he showed distinct signs of sensory aphasia; the right upper

extremity was weak, but though he dragged the right foot no actual loss of motor power could be demonstrated in the right leg. The deep reflexes were brisker on the right than on the left half of the body, the plantar reflexes gave flexor responses, and ankle-clonus could not be obtained. He complained of numbness in the right hand, and on examination tactile sensibility was found to be slightly defective over the right arm and leg; the power of appreciating the position of right limbs was also disturbed and touches were badly localized. He was able to recognize objects placed in his right hand. Smell and taste were unaffected, hearing was poor in both ears, but bone conduction was better than aerial. Vision was fair ($\frac{6}{12}$ in both eyes), but there was slight peripheral contraction of the right halves of the visual fields. There was intense optic neuritis in both eyes. On June 21, 1904, a large gumma was removed by Sir Victor Horsley from over the left parietal lobe; it was firmly attached to the dura mater and measured about 6 by 10 cm. in transverse diameter. Brain-tissue to a depth of about 2 cm. was removed with it. From the description written at the time of the operation, and from subsequent measurements of the trephine opening in the skull, the centre of the portion removed lay over the supramarginal gyrus. He recovered rapidly from the operation, but has since remained under treatment, as he is liable, at rare intervals, to convulsive attacks affecting his right side.

CONDITION IN 1909 TO 1911.

The larger number of our observations have been made between June, 1909, and the present time, and in the following account we will summarize his condition during this period. At present he is unable to read or write, but he can carry out simple orders without mistakes; he occasionally fails, however, to comprehend more complex commands and longer sentences. He talks readily and does not as a rule use wrong words. There is no apraxia.

Special senses.—Smell and taste appear unaffected. There is slight middle-ear deafness on both sides, greater on the right than on the left. Vision is now good in both eyes, but there is right homonymous hemianopsia.

Cranial nerves.—The functions of all the cranial nerves are normal, the pupils are equal and react well, and there is no asymmetry of the face at rest or in movement.

Motor system.—The muscles are well developed in both right and left limbs, and there is no rigidity. The grasps are equal when he can look at his hands, but if his eyes are closed the right hand is clumsy and definitely less powerful. Although a right-handed man, he always uses his left hand to tie a knot or to button his clothes. He walks well, but says the right leg tires easily.

Reflexes.—The tendon-jerks are brisk but equal on the two sides, there is no clonus, and both plantar reflexes give flexor responses.

Spontaneous sensations.—He complains that his right hand seems "dull," as compared with the left, but he cannot explain fully in what this difference

consists. This "dulness" extends over the whole right half of the body, but is less on the foot than elsewhere. He has no pain or tingling.

Tactile sensibility.—He can appreciate cotton-wool contacts over all hair-clad parts of both sides of his body, but he says "the touches are plainer" on the normal than on the affected side. On the right palm he frequently fails to recognize such contacts as evoke constant responses from similar parts of the left hand. To von Frey's hairs the tactile threshold is high even on the normal hand; thus although he responds to 21 grm./mm.² he cannot give a perfect series of answers under 35 grm./mm.² On the affected hand he also responds to 21 grm./mm.², but even with 100 grm./mm.² the series of answers is gravely defective. Moreover, increasing the strength of the stimulus does not produce a corresponding improvement in his answers.

Roughness.—As measured with Graham-Brown's instrument, the threshold is found to be the same on the two halves of the body.

Tickling and scraping.—We can recognize no difference in the effect produced by tickling or scraping on the two sides of the body.

Vibration seems to be equally well appreciated on the two halves of the body, but we found considerable difficulty in determining any finer differences, as the relative length of time during which the fork can be appreciated.

Sensibility to pain.—We can find no difference in the thresholds on the two sides to measured prick or pressure-pain.

Thermal sensibility.—We are unable to find any gross differences between the appreciation of temperature on the two sides of the body, and he seems to be able to recognize correctly the relative hotness or coldness of two temperatures on the same side of the thermal scale. Owing to his speech-defect, finer tests, as the determination of the neutral zone, are difficult and unsatisfactory.

Sense of position.—He is unable to recognize accurately the position in which the affected arm is placed, and by Horsley's method the records obtained, when the normal hand seeks the affected index, are much worse than when he points with the affected hand to the normal forefinger. There is also defective appreciation of the position in space of the right lower limb.

Appreciation of passive movement is gravely affected in the whole of the right upper extremity and to a less degree in the right leg. It is difficult to estimate the amount of this defect, as the patient frequently replies that a movement has occurred when none has been made.

Localization is seriously affected on the right hand; this faculty was tested by various methods, but all yielded the same results. As a rule he attempts to localize the spot stimulated, but often gives up at once, saying, "Yes, I feel it, but I have no idea where it is." He frequently moves the finger that is touched, but even then does not succeed in determining which it is.

Compasses.—The power of discriminating the two points is gravely affected on the right hand and arm. Thus a perfect reading can be obtained on

the back of the normal hand with the points separated to 3 cm., but on the right he is completely unable to distinguish them even when they are 8 cm. apart. Moreover, this loss is equally distinct whether the points are applied simultaneously or successively with an appreciable interval of time between the contacts.

The *power of estimating weight*, either on the supported or the unsupported hand, is completely lost on the right side.

The *appreciation of size, shape, form in three dimensions*, and the nature of common objects placed in his right hand, are completely lost, whereas in the left hand he is remarkably accurate to all these tests.

CASE 18.—*To illustrate the application of our methods in a case of cerebral tumour before and after successful operation.*

William S., aged 51, was admitted to the National Hospital on July 21, 1911, under the care of Dr. Tooth, to whose kindness we are indebted for the opportunity of making the following observations. He had had syphilis ten years previously, and his right eye was destroyed by an accident five years ago. He had been otherwise well till May, 1911, when he began to suffer with attacks of pain of short duration, "like an electric shock," which always began in his left foot, ascended this leg to the hip, then passed up the left side of his body to his shoulder, and into his left arm and this side of his face. At first these attacks consisted in sensory phenomena only, but from June 7 they were followed by clonic spasms of the left limbs, always beginning in the foot, and jerking of his head to the left. His limbs were weak after each of these attacks, and remained permanently so from early in July. When he came under observation hearing and vision were unaffected, but optic neuritis was commencing; the functions of the cranial nerves were undisturbed and the pupils reacted briskly. The right half of the body was normal but the left limbs were weaker, the leg more so than the arm: they were also slightly rigid and all their movements awkward and clumsy. The left deep reflexes were exaggerated, ankle-clonus was easily elicited on the left side, and the plantar reflex was of the extensor type.

CONDITION OF SENSATION BEFORE OPERATION.

The condition of his sensation was carefully investigated at the end of July and in the beginning of August, but as these observations are less valuable for our purposes than those made after the progressive disease was removed, they will be recorded as concisely as possible.

Spontaneous sensation.—He complains only that his left leg occasionally feels numb, and that "I don't seem to have the proper feeling in the left arm or leg."

Tactile sensibility.—He appreciates all contacts of cotton-wool on the hair-clad parts of the affected side, but says they are "less plain" than on the

normal; he misses many contacts on hairless parts, such as the palm. A definite threshold cannot be demonstrated with von Frey's hairs or with the pressure-æsthesiometer, even to a pressure of 35 grm., and fatigue can be induced with unusual ease over the affected parts.

Roughness.—The same thresholds are obtained on both sides with both Graham-Brown's instrument and the sand-paper tests.

Tickling and scraping evoke a "stronger sensation" on the normal than on the affected side.

Vibration.—The tuning-fork can be appreciated everywhere on the affected half of the body, but the sensation is "less plain" than over normal parts. Moreover, when the fork has ceased to produce a sensation on the left half of the body it can be appreciated for at least five seconds longer, if immediately transferred to the corresponding part of the normal side. The fork also seems to beat faster on the normal side.

Sensibility to pain.—Pin-pricks can be appreciated everywhere, but they appear "not quite so sharp" on the affected half of the body. He does not react excessively from either side. The threshold, as measured with the algesimeter, is identical on the two halves of the body, and the readings of the pressure-almometer show no material difference.

Thermal sensibility is not disturbed on either side.

Sense of position is seriously affected in the left arm and leg, and all segments of these limbs must be moved through considerable angles before passive movement can be appreciated.

Localization is also much affected; when tested with tactile stimuli he frequently said, "I can feel it but I can't be sure where it is."

Compasses.—This faculty is very much diminished. A threshold cannot be obtained on the affected hand, even when the points are applied successively, though the normal palm gives a perfect reading with the points 1 cm. apart.

Appreciation of weight.—He cannot recognize the difference between two weights placed on the hands, supported or unsupported, nor the addition or removal of weights on the affected palm.

The *appreciation of size* is disturbed on the affected hand, but not completely lost. He is unable to recognize the test-objects we generally employ to test the *appreciation of shape*. He can, however, recognize the three dimensional objects.

The *appreciation of texture* is also lost in the affected hand.

OPERATION.

On August 30 Mr. Sargent operated, making a large osteoplastic flap in the right side of the skull, which exposed the upper end of the central gyri. Evidence of disease was at once seen in the postcentral gyrus, and a horizontal incision was made into it at about the level of the upper genu of the fissure of Rolando. Through this incision a large circumscribed, partly encapsulated tumour, measuring 5.5 by 4.5 by 2.75 cm., was removed. Microscopical

examination showed that it was a glioma. It lay in the subcortical white matter near the surface, and apparently not far from the mesial surface of the hemisphere. In its removal a considerable portion of the postcentral gyri above the level of the superior genu of Rolando was necessarily destroyed, but as far as could be seen the operative lesion did not affect any part of the brain in front of the central fissure.

He recovered quickly and satisfactorily from the operation, and his condition in October, 1911, was as follows.

CONDITION ONE MONTH AFTER OPERATION.

The functions of the *cranial nerves* and *special senses* are still unaffected.

Motor system.—There is comparatively little loss of power in the left upper limb; the weakness of grasp is due chiefly to the sensory disturbance. The movements of the limb are clumsy, but their range is not restricted. The left leg is relatively weaker, but all its movements can be executed with fair power through a normal range; it is, however, slightly rigid. He can walk without assistance with a slightly hemiplegic gait, the left limb being advanced by circumduction.

Reflexes.—All the deep reflexes are increased on the left side, but ankle-clonus cannot be obtained. When the left knee is extended the plantar reflex is of the extensor type, but when flexed undoubtedly flexor.

Spontaneous sensation.—He complains of no numbness or tingling in the affected side, but when the hand is touched "it does not feel the same" as the normal side. He generally knows where the arm lies, but when the left foot is under his chair he is less certain of its position.

Tactile sensibility.—He can appreciate all contacts of cotton-wool when rubbed across the affected foot, on both hairless and hair-clad parts, but he always says that the sensation is "less plain" than on the normal foot. But if the cotton-wool is simply brought into contact with the skin without movement he fails to appreciate many contacts on the left side, although he misses none on the normal foot. There is no demonstrable disturbance of sensibility to cotton-wool on the hand.

Examination with graduated hairs, or with similar methods, shows all the phenomena we have already described; fatigue is produced with unusual ease, the sensations persist, and hallucinations disturb the examination. A threshold can be, however, obtained on both the hand and the foot, though increasing the strength of the stimulus does not always give a corresponding increase in the proportion of correct answers.

Roughness.—No difference can be discovered between the power of appreciating roughness on the two halves of the body, and an identical threshold can be obtained from the two hands and the two feet.

Tickling and scraping.—When the affected sole is tickled, he says "it is not so plain" as on the normal side, and the reaction evoked is distinctly less.

Vibration of the tuning-fork is appreciated everywhere; but on the affected hand and foot it does not seem so plain as on the normal side. The shortened

power of appreciating the tuning-fork is no longer so definite as before the operation, but he still insists that on the affected foot it seems to be beating slower than on the normal side.

Sensibility to pain.—There is no diminution of sensibility to prick on the affected half of the body, and identical thresholds can be obtained on both sides.

Thermal sensibility.—The thresholds for heat and cold are the same on the two hands; the neutral zone lies between 27° C. and 30° C. But there is a distinct difference between the two feet; thus, though the neutral zone on the right foot lies between 27° C. and 30° C., it extends from 24° C. to 35° C. on the affected sole. He is generally able to recognize correctly the difference between two temperatures on the same side of the scale, provided they differ by 10° C.

Sense of position.—There is considerable loss of the power of recognizing the position of the left lower extremity, and to a less degree in the distal segments of the upper limb.

Appreciation of passive movement is also defective in the left limbs. Movements of the normal knee of from 1° to 2°, for instance, can be constantly appreciated, but on the affected side no movement under 12° was appreciated, and on several occasions a range of 25° was necessary for recognition.

Localization, as tested by the modified Henri method, is perfect on both the foot and the hand; in this respect he has improved greatly since the operation.

Compasses.—On the normal sole a good reading was obtained when the points were 2 cm. apart, but on the affected side a series of correct answers cannot be obtained even at 10 cm. The difference is much less between the two palms; on the normal hand he could discriminate the two points when 1 cm. apart, and he made no mistakes when they were separated to 3 cm. on the affected palm. He is now able, however, to appreciate the two points when they are applied successively.

Appreciation of weight.—The power of discriminating weights placed on the unsupported hand is obviously defective. There seems to be also a slight defect in the recognition of addition to and removal of weights from the palm, but no definite difference can be demonstrated in the power of discriminating two weights placed one after another on the fully supported hand; it must be remembered, however, that the hand is now very little affected, and that it is impossible to test accurately the discrimination of weights on the foot.

The appreciation of size, shape and form.—The appreciation of size alone can be tested on the foot, and he is certainly slower and less certain in his answers from the affected side; further, the difference between the test-objects must be considerably greater than is necessary on the normal sole. On the left hand, where the disturbance of sensibility is much slighter, he can appreciate size, shape, and three-dimensional form as well as on the unaffected side.

The power of recognizing *consistence* and *texture* can be tested on the hands alone, and here no definite difference can be discovered between the two sides.

REFERENCES.

- [1] BERGMARK, G. "Cerebral Monoplegia, with Special Reference to Sensation and to Spastic Phenomena," *Brain*, 1909, vol. xxxii, p. 342.
- [2] BREUER und MARBURG. "Zur Klinik und Pathologie der apoplectiformen Bulbärparalyse," *Arch. a. d. Neurol. Inst.*, Wien, 1902, Bd. ix, S. 181.
- [3] BUBY, JUDSON S. "On a Case of Sarcoma involving the Crus, Corpora Quadrigemina, and Optic Thalamus," *Med. Chron.*, 1909, vol. li, p. 1.
- [4] CAJAL, S. RAMÓN. "Histologie du Système nerveux"; French trans. by Azoulay, Paris, 1909.
- [5] DEJERINE, J. "Anatomie des Centres nerveux," Paris, 1901.
- [6] DEJERINE et EGGER. "Contribution à l'Étude de la Physiologie pathologique de l'inco-ordination motrice," *Rev. neurol.*, 1903, t. xi, p. 397.
- [7] DEJERINE et ROUSSY. "Le Syndrome thalamique," *Rev. neurol.*, 1906, t. xiv, p. 521.
- [8] EDINGEB, L. "Giebt es central entstehende Schmerzen?" *Deutsche Zeitschr. f. Nervenheilk.*, 1891, Bd. i, S. 262.
- [9] VON FREY, M. "Untersuchungen über die Sinnesfunctionen der menschlichen Haut," *Abhandl. d. math.-phys. Classe d. k. Sächs. Gesellsch. d. Wissenschaft*, 1896, Bd. xxiii, S. 175.
- [10] GOLDSCHIEDER, A. "Physiologie des Muskelsinnes," *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Leipzig, 1898, Bd. ii.
- [11] GREIFF, F. "Zur Localisation der Hemichorea," *Arch. f. Psychiatr.*, 1883, Bd. xiv, S. 598.
- [12] HEAD and THOMPSON. "The Grouping of the Afferent Impulses within the Spinal Cord," *Brain*, 1906, vol. xxix, p. 537.
- [13] HORSLEY, V. "The Functions of the so-called Motor Area of the Brain," *Brit. Med. Journ.*, 1909, vol. ii, p. 125.
- [14] HORSLEY and SLINGER. "Upon the Orientation of Points in Space by the Muscular, Arthroidal and Tactile Senses of the Upper Limbs in Normal Individuals and in Blind Persons," *Brain*, 1906, vol. xxix, p. 1.
- [15] JACKSON, J. HUGHLINGS. "The Evolution and Dissolution of the Nervous System," *Brit. Med. Journ.*, 1884, vol. i, p. 591.
- [16] KOHNSTAMM, O. "Ueber die Coordinationskerne des Hirnstammes, und die absteigende Spinalbahnen," *Monatsschr. f. Psychiatr. u. Neurol.*, 1900, Bd. viii, S. 263.
- [17] *Idem.* "Studien zur physiologischen Anatomie des Hirnstammes," *Journ. f. Psychol. u. Neurol.*, 1910, Bd. xvii, S. 33.
- [18] KOHNSTAMM und QUENSEL. "Centrum receptorium der Formatio reticularis und gekreuzt aufsteigende Bahn," *Deutsche Zeitschr. f. Nervenheilk.*, 1908, Bd. xxxvi, S. 182.
- [19] KÜTNER und KRAMER. "Sensibilitätsstörungen bei acuten und chronischen Bulbärerkrankungen," *Arch. f. Psychiatr.*, 1907, Bd. xlii, S. 1002.
- [20] LONG, E. "Les Voies centrales de la Sensibilité générale," Paris, 1899.
- [21] *Idem.* "Deux Observations anatomo-clinique de Syndrome thalamique," *Rev. neurol.*, 1910, t. xix, p. 197.
- [22] MANN, L. "Casuistischer Beitrag zur Lehre vom central entstehenden Schmerze," *Berlin. klin. Wochenschr.*, 1892, Bd. xxix, S. 244.
- [23] MARBURG, O. "Ueber die neueren Fortschritte in der topischen Diagnostik des Pons und der Oblongata," *Deutsche Zeitschr. f. Nervenheilk.*, 1911, Bd. xli, S. 41.
- [24] MERLE, P. "Syndrome thalamique et Troubles auditifs," *Rev. neurol.*, 1909, t. xvii, p. 1528.
- [25] VON MONAKOW, C. "Experimentelle und pathologisch-anatomische Untersuchungen über die Haubenregion, den Sehhügel und die Regio subthalamica," *Arch. f. Psychiatr.*, 1895, Bd. xxvii, S. 1.
- [26] *Idem.* "Ueber die Localisation von Oblongataherden," *Deutsche Zeitschr. f. Nervenheilk.*, 1908, Bd. xxxvi, S. 124.
- [27] MUNK, H. "Ueber die Functionen der Grosshirnrinde: Gesammelte Mitteilungen mit Anmerkungen," 2 Aufl., Berlin, 1890.

- [28] PETRÉN, K. "Ein Beitrag zur Frage vom Verlauf der Bahnen der Hautsinne im Rückenmarke," *Skandinav. Arch. f. Physiol.*, 1902, Bd. xiii, S. 9.
- [29] *Idem.* "Ueber die Bahnen der Sensibilität im Rückenmarke," *Arch. f. Psychiatr.*, 1910, Bd. xlvii, S. 465.
- [30] *Idem.* "Sur les Voies de Conduction de la Sensibilité dans la Moëlle épinière," *Rev. neurol.*, 1911, t. xxi, p. 548
- [31] RAYMOND et FRANÇAIS. "Syndrome protubérantiel avec Hyperexcitabilité du nerf facial et troubles du Goût," *Rev. neurol.*, 1909, t. xvii, p. 445.
- [32] RAYMOND et ROSE. "Syndrome de la Calotte protubérantielle," *Rev. neurol.*, 1908, t. xvii, p. 265.
- [33] RIVERS and HEAD. "A Human Experiment in Nerve Division," *Brain*, 1908, vol. xxxi, p. 323.
- [34] ROTHMANN, M. "Ueber die Leitung der Sensibilität im Rückenmarke," *Berlin. klin. Wochenschr.*, 1906, Bd. xliii, S. 47.
- [35] ROUSSY, G. "La Couche optique," Paris, 1907.
- [36] *Idem.* "Deux nouveaux Cas de Lésion de la Couche optique, suivis d'Autopsie," *Rev. neurol.*, 1909, t. xvii, p. 301.
- [37] RUSSEL and HORSLEY. "Note on the Apparent Re-representation in the Cerebral Cortex of the Type of Sensory Representation as it exists in the Spinal Cord," *Brain*, 1906, vol. xxix, p. 137.
- [38] SACHS, E. "On the Structure and Functional Relations of the Optic Thalamus," *Brain*, 1909, vol. xxxii, p. 95.
- [39] SPILLEB, W. G. "The Symptom-complex of Occlusion of the Posterior-Inferior Cerebellar Artery," *Journ. Nerv. and Ment. Diseases*, 1908, vol. xxxv, p. 132.
- [40] WALLENBERG, A. "Acute Bulbäraffection (Embolie der Art. cerebellar. post. inf. sinistra)," *Arch. f. Psychiatr.*, 1895, Bd. xxvii, S. 504; *ibid.*, 1901, Bd. xxxiv, S. 923.
- [41] *Idem.* "Neuere Fortschritte in der topischen Diagnostik des Pons und der Oblongata," *Deutsche Zeitschr. f. Nervenheilk.*, 1911, Bd. xli, S. 8.
- [42] WINKLER and VAN LONDEN. "On the Medial Group of Nuclei in the Thalamus Opticus of Man," *Proc. Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen*, Amsterdam, 1908, vol. ix, p. 295.