NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LEON D. BLACK,

Plaintiff,

GUZEL GANIEVA AND WIGDOR LLP,

v.

Defendants.

Index No.

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff Leon D. Black, by and through his undersigned attorneys, alleges on personal knowledge, except as noted or third-party sources are referenced:

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

1. This matter arises out of the misconduct of three bad actors: (1) Defendant Guzel Ganieva, who previously had a consensual affair with Plaintiff Leon D. Black and has now, years after the fact and against a barrage of documentary evidence contradicting her claims, made false allegations that Plaintiff assaulted and abused her, in violation of a confidentiality and release agreement, under which Plaintiff had paid her millions; (2) Defendant Wigdor LLP, Ganieva's attorneys, who procured her contractual breaches of a binding confidentiality agreement and used its public relations acumen and contacts to ensure that her violative and malicious disclosure of information she and Black contracted to keep confidential was broadly disseminated; and (3) Josh Harris, who Black designated as a co-founder of Apollo and who, in that capacity, undertook obligations of trust and loyalty to Plaintiff that are subject to arbitration. Among other things, their collective misconduct has cost Plaintiff the chairmanship of the company he founded, took public, and ran for some 30 years.

COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

2. More specifically, the claims brought here arise out of a Release and Confidentiality Agreement (the "Agreement") signed by Ganieva, which prohibits Ganieva from disclosing her relationship with Plaintiff and also releases all claims against him. A copy of the Agreement is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Complaint. Ganieva then ratified the Agreement over the course of almost six years by accepting payments from Black each month that together totaled more than \$9 million. She accepted these payments during the time that she was enrolled in law school, and while she was represented and advised by counsel. Ganieva, with the assistance and at the direct urging of Wigdor, breached these provisions. At the same time, well before Wigdor was engaged as her counsel, the firm used its press contacts to provide Ganieva with widespread media access that she had been unable to obtain on her own, assisting her in publicizing her relationship and breaching the Agreement.

- 3. Wigdor and Ganieva did not stop there. To the contrary, after Wigdor was retained as Ganieva's counsel, Wigdor then brought a complaint brimming with manufactured allegations that it later amended on two separate occasions. All the while, both Wigdor and Ganieva either knew, or intentionally chose to remain ignorant of the fact), upon information and belief, that Ganieva had signed the Agreement with Plaintiff, which barred the causes of action and the allegations asserted in all three complaints. Indeed, Wigdor amended Ganieva's complaint twice even after counsel for Plaintiff cited the Agreement (including directly quoting all of the nondisclosure and release language) and provided evidence of the falsity of Ganieva's claims, including quoting contemporaneous text messages that Ganieva sent Black.
- 4. Under the Agreement, Black agreed to forgive outstanding loans he had made to Ganieva, provide her with additional support for a renewed round of immigration applications in the United Kingdom, and pay her additional consideration in the form of \$100,000 per month for

COUNTY CLERK

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

15 years. In exchange, Ganieva agreed to (1) fully release any purported claims she had or might

in the future have against Black and (2) keep her relationship with him strictly confidential.

5. On March 17, 2021, Ganieva—a first-time Twitter user with only three followers,

each a prominent member of the press—posted a series of tweets in which she falsely accused

Black of sexual harassment and abuse. To make sure that Apollo would become aware of her

missive, Ganieva referred to Black as "Apollo Global Management's CEO and Chairman" and

included the hashtag #LeonBlack. On information and belief, Wigdor induced, encouraged and

assisted Ganieva to publicize this information, which violated the non-disclosure provisions in the

Agreement.

6. Following Ganieva's public statements, and in light of the organized campaign

against him, Black had no choice but to resign to protect Apollo, losing the considerable financial

and other benefits he was entitled to as Chairman and CEO.

7. Ganieva and Wigdor subsequently commenced litigation against Black in a bad-

faith violation of the Agreement's release and non-disclosure obligations. In June 2021, Wigdor—

which was formally retained as Ganieva's counsel in April 2021 but had been advising her since

the preceding fall—filed the first of three objectively false complaints. Ganieva claimed, among

other things, that Black had raped Ganieva in 2014, on a night when her own contemporaneous

texts show that she invited him to visit, asked him to bring wine, and then professed love and

gratitude to him the very next day.

8. Wigdor markets itself as skilled in leveraging the specter of the litigation process

to extract pre-complaint settlements. As Wigdor's website states: "Because we have a reputation

for obtaining multi-million dollar verdicts, we are able to settle the majority of our cases without

the need for even filing complaints." While widely known for its playbook of quietly trading away

3

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

purported claims before even filing a lawsuit, Wigdor curiously filed a scandalous public complaint in this case without *ever* making a settlement demand, and then persistently declined

the repeated invitations from Plaintiffs' counsel to review the records that would prove its client's

complete unreliability and disprove the allegations they publicly filed in the original complaint.

9. The pattern continued: Plaintiff's amended complaint added allegations based on

an October 2008 flight to Florida—a supposed kidnapping to Jeffrey Epstein's house—that

contemporaneous flight records confirm never happened. Her second amended complaint added

allegations about an unidentified Jane Doe who supposedly gave Black a massage at Epstein's

home more than 20 years ago and long before Ganieva ever met Black. Notably, Ganieva is

recorded on tape years before she ever filed her lawsuit equivocating as to whether she had ever

even met Epstein, let alone that their meeting was the result of any supposed "kidnapping" by

Black. As for Jane Doe, she is someone whose fabricated story was included to further tarnish

Black's reputation. Black will demonstrate that these allegations are false, but succeeding in court

was never Ganieva's or Wigdor's objective with these complaints. They were instead intended

simply to harm and humiliate Black, as they did, resulting in his resignation from his positions at

Apollo and from other high-profile positions he held at other institutions.

10. Ganieva and Wigdor acted maliciously and with the intent to harm Black after

Ganieva had already collected millions of dollars and effectively ratified her contractual promise

not to inflict the precise harm caused here.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Leon D. Black resides in New York County, in the State of New York.

Black founded Apollo Global Management, Inc. in 1990 and since that time has been Apollo's

4

MYGGEE DOG NO 0

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

largest shareholder. For more than 30 years, until March 21, 2021, Black served as Apollo's CEO

and as the Chairman of its Board of Directors.

12. In addition to his work at Apollo, Black has spent the last three decades working as

a dedicated philanthropist and one of our nation's leading benefactors of the arts, education, and

cancer research. He served for 20 years on the Board of Mt. Sinai Hospital, 15 years on the Board

of the Metropolitan Museum of Art, and 10 years on the Board of Trustees of Dartmouth College.

Black served as a Trustee of the Museum of Modern Art ("MoMA") for approximately 25 years,

and from 2016 to 2021 served as co-Chairman or Chairman of MoMA. He has also been one of

MoMA's most generous supporters. Some 14 years ago, Black and his wife, Debra, co-founded

the Melanoma Research Alliance, which became the largest private funder of melanoma research

in the world. The Melanoma Research Alliance has directly invested more than \$130 million to

advance cutting edge research into immunotherapy for melanoma and some 30 other forms of

cancer.

13. Guzel Ganieva is a Russian national who, on information and belief, resides in New

York County, in the State of New York.

14. Defendant Wigdor LLP is registered in New York State and maintains its principal

place of business in New York County, in the State of New York. Wigdor was formally retained

as counsel for Ganieva in April 2021, but was advising her on media related to her allegations

against Black and other matters many months earlier.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15. The Court has personal jurisdiction pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules

section 301, and venue is proper in this county pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section

503, because Plaintiff and Defendants reside in New York.

5

COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Apollo Global Management

16. Black founded Apollo, a Delaware corporation, in 1990 to manage investment

capital on behalf of institutional investors, focusing on leveraged buyouts, corporate restructurings,

and credit positions, as well as taking minority positions in growth-oriented companies.

17. Black spearheaded Apollo's phenomenal growth from a shared office in 1990 into

one of the nation's preeminent alternative asset managers. This success was the direct result of

Black's strategy of creating a contrarian, value-oriented investment approach to private equity,

credit-oriented capital markets, real estate, and distressed investments. Apollo compiled a

stunning track record of investment success, including a gross internal rate of return of 39% in its

traditional private equity funds from inception through December 31, 2020.

18. Apollo was one of the first private equity companies to go public, and, as

importantly, became the largest global alternative asset manager of credit platforms. In addition,

Apollo was one of the first private equity firms to transition to permanent capital, building the

Athene and Athora Holding Ltd. insurance platforms under the leadership of Marc Rowan and

James Belardi. All of these transformative changes in the last 30 years were first-mover initiatives

by Apollo under Black's leadership, and they are now all broadly emulated by most of the other

major players in the alternative investment world.

Black's Prior Relationship With Ganieva

19. Black met Ganieva at a party that both attended in 2008. From 2008 until 2014,

Black and Ganieva engaged in a sporadic, consensual affair.

20. Ganieva's communications with Black between 2008 and 2014 were marked by

consistent expressions of love and affection on her part. Black paid for Ganieva's apartment but

never had the key. He also paid for her acting lessons, financed expensive vacations, paid for a

6

COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

\$40,000 portrait of her, and purchased a Steinway & Sons piano for her son. He made a series of loans to her, and attempted to assist her in finding employment in the finance industry.

- 21. In July 2014, Ganieva told Black she needed to leave the country for immigrationrelated reasons, and departed for Russia on July 31, 2014. From the plane, Ganieva texted Black, "I love you and miss you already." This was shortly after he supposedly raped her, according to her complaints. For the next eleven months, Ganieva sent texts to Black from abroad telling him that she missed him and longed to be together.
- 22. On June 8, 2015, Ganieva sent Black a formally worded note insisting that she needed to meet with him in person about a matter that she characterized, without detail, as "both urgent and important." Based on statements she later made to Black, this sudden shift in tone appears to have coincided with her failure to gain legal status in the United Kingdom.
- On June 24, 2015, Ganieva met Black in person in New York City. Ganieva 23. threatened Black, telling him that if he did not pay her \$100 million, she would disclose their personal and sexual relationship to the board of Apollo and to the media.
- 24. Black understood Ganieva to be extorting him and recorded future conversations with Ganieva.
- 25. On August 12, 2015, Ganieva met Black a restaurant in New York City. Ganieva again demanded money from Black, threatening that, "the longer I wait, the more sure I become that I actually, I prefer to go public," and that, "I'm dying to talk to press about it." Ganieva repeated her demand for \$100 million. She claimed that various prominent people—from international philanthropist Len Blavatnik to former New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg to ailing publisher and developer Mort Zuckerman—were following or harassing her at Black's direction, musings that she herself suggested were paranoid. She somehow blamed Black for the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

bullying her son received in school and camp, and even said Black was responsible for her son choking on a piece of meat at a restaurant.

- 26. On August 14, 2015, Ganieva met Black at another restaurant in New York City. Ganieva again informed Black that "I will not agree to anything less than \$100 million." Ganieva justified her demand for \$100 million by referencing a news report she saw about a woman who received \$18 million when she was fired after sleeping with her boss, a "Wall Streeter," on four occasions. Ganieva explained that, in her view, her demand for \$100 million was justified because she believed her sporadic affair with Black was "like a marriage." Never once in any of these conversations did Ganieva ever suggest that Black had raped her.
- 27. Over the course of the next few months, Ganieva and Plaintiff discussed and negotiated the terms that would be contained in the Agreement. In particular, they negotiated the amount of payments that Plaintiff would make to Ganieva, settling on the monthly \$100,000 payments, loan forgiveness, and £2,000,000 lump sum payments that would appear in the final Agreement.
- 28. On October 19, 2015, Ganieva again met Black at a restaurant in New York City. During that meeting, she reviewed and executed the Agreement at her own pace, raising several questions regarding the Agreement that Black answered.
- 29. In the Agreement, Ganieva agreed to give up her claims and not to publicly disclose their affair. In exchange for her dropping her threats, Black agreed to pay Ganieva \$100,000 per month for 15 years, forgive \$1,000,000 in loans, and provide £2,000,000 for Ganieva to use toward obtaining legal status in the United Kingdom.
- 30. The Agreement states that Ganieva "has made certain allegations and asserted certain claims against [Black], which she made under extreme stress and which she now concedes

COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

are not true, and which allegations and claims, if made public, would damage [Black's] career, reputation and relationships with others." Exhibit 1.

- 31. Ganieva also agreed to release all claims—past, present, or future—against Black relating to their relationship, and "never to disclose, directly or indirectly, to any individual, entity or other potential recipient, any information relating to (i) the allegations and claims that she has asserted against [Black], (ii) the signing, content or other attributes of this Agreement, including any consideration furnished by [Black] in connection with the signing of this Agreement and (iii) any other matters that could damage [Black's] career, reputation and relationships with others." Id.
- 32. Contrary to Ganieva's false allegations in her complaints, during the negotiations, Black never uttered the following phrases that Wigdor and Ganieva claim to be direct quotes: "If you do not take the money, I will put you in prison"; "If you do not take the money, I will destroy your life"; or "I will be paying you so long as you keep your mouth shut." The recordings prove that.
- 33. Instead, after taking the time to read the one-page agreement, Ganieva signed it and proceeded to discuss her investment and travel plans, laughing about the fact that she was now "a woman of means." Shortly after leaving the meeting, Ganieva texted Black: "Thank you for everything. Talk soon xoxo."
- 34. In October 2019, after four years in which there was no direct contact between Black and Ganieva and during which she accepted the \$100,000 payments each month, continually re-ratifying the Agreement, Ganieva sent Black a text message complaining about their arrangement, and falsely claiming that he had forced her to sign the Agreement under duress. Ganieva had never made that claim during the preceding four years. Black did not respond. He continued to pay her \$100,000 per month, and Ganieva continued to accept the payments as she

COUNTY CLERK

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

had in the past, while attending law school and while represented by counsel, never once seeking to repudiate or void the Agreement.

35. On February 18, 2020, David Liston, an attorney, sent Black a letter stating that Ganieva "has retained our firm to investigate certain matters related to your prior interactions with her and to advise and represent her in connection with same." Liston sent a follow-up letter on March 6, 2020. Black, uncertain whether this was a legitimate demand from Ganieva's counsel, and concerned about whether the request reflected a continuation of Ganieva's extortion, did not respond. Black continued to pay Ganieva \$100,000 per month, and Ganieva continued to accept the payments while represented by counsel.

- 36. Recent press reports appear to shed light upon Ganieva's conduct toward Black. On March 28, 2022, the Defence Intelligence of the Ministry of Defence of Ukraine published a list entitled "Employees of the FSB of Russia participating in the criminal activities of the aggressor country on the territory of Europe." https://gur.gov.ua/content/sotrudnyky-fsb-rossyyuchastvuiushchye-v-prestupnoi-deiatelnosty-stranyahressora-na-terrytoryy-evropy.html.
- 37. The FSB (Federal Security Service of the Russian Federation) is the principal security agency of the Russian Federation, and one of the main successor agencies of the former Soviet Committee of State Security (KGB).
- 38. Ukrainian intelligence has reportedly identified Number 139 on that list, an individual named Maria Gennadievna Guchapsheva, as Defendant Guzel Ganieva. On July 2, 2022, an internet-based publication, the Estonian Free Press, reported that, with respect to Russia's intelligence networks to destabilize Europe and the U.S., "one agent is GUCHAPSHEVA MARIA GENNADIEVNA. . . . She is also known as GUZEL KING, GUZEL GANIEVA, GUZEL GUPSCHEVA or GUZEL OGANEZOV." Ukrainian intelligence exposes Russia's networks to destabilize Europe and the US, Estonian Free Press (July 2, 2022), available at

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

https://estonianfreepress.com/intelligence/ukrainian-intelligence-exposes-russias-networks-to-

destabilize-europe-and-the-us/. On September 23, 2022, journalist Dennis Rice reported in an

internet-based publication, National Security News, that "Ganieva is one of the 620 FSB

employees whose names appear in the list of Putin-controlled intelligence assets operating around

the world. Ganieva's alleged entry has her name slightly mis-spelled, and her date of birth is

different. The Ukrainian intelligence service is, however, adamant she and the spy on the list are

the same person." Dennis Rice, A downed Wall Street tycoon, a furious and very public court

battle, and now allegations of Russian espionage added into the mix, National Security News

(Sept. 23, 2022), available at https://nationalsecuritynews.com/2022/09/a-downed-wall-street-

tycoon-a-furious-and-very-public-court-battle-and-now-allegations-of-russian-espionage-added-

into-the-mix/. Ukrainian press have also reported on certain of these allegations, attributing them

to Ukrainian intelligence officials. See, e.g., FBI and Ukrainian military intelligence exposed a

network of Russian spies in the United States, media reports, Censor.net (Sept. 29, 2022), available

at

https://censor.net/ua/photo_news/3370434/fbr_ta_ukrayinska_viyiskova_rozvidka_vykryly_mer

eju_rosiyiskyh_shpyguniv_u_ssha_zmi_foto ("According to Ukrainian intelligence, Ganieva is

number 139 on the list of 620 FSB agents."). This reporting may provide context and explanation

for Ganieva's actions, including her relationship with Black, her monetary requests throughout

their relationship, her extortion of Black that led to the Agreement, and finally her subsequent

decision to breach the Agreement through ruinous public statements and lawsuits which resulted

in significant disruption of Black's personal life and career at Apollo.

11

COUNTY CLERK

DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 654108/2022 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

Ganieva's Engagement with Wigdor

39. Prior to her involvement with Wigdor, Ganieva had repeatedly attempted to

publicize details of her relationship with Black through contact with various journalists. None of

these efforts were successful, on information and belief, because of reporters' concerns that her

allegations were unsubstantiated and potentially libelous.

40. In addition, and as noted above, before working with Wigdor, Ganieva worked with

at least one attorney, who was aware of the existence of the Agreement and who did not file any

claims or make or support any public allegations that would have violated the Agreement's terms.

41. On October 12, 2020, The New York Times published a story detailing Black's

relationship with Jeffrey Epstein. The article, authored by Matthew Goldstein, reported that Black

had paid Epstein "at least \$50 million" and that "[i]t was not clear what kind of services

Mr. Epstein provided to Mr. Black."

42. As early as September 29, 2020, Ganieva had initiated contact with Goldstein, the

author of that article. Upon information and belief, Wigdor and Goldstein subsequently began

communicating regarding Ganieva's claims. This was despite the fact that, on information and

belief, Wigdor already knew or should have known that Ganieva was subject to a valid release and

confidentially agreement with Black. In speaking to the media on her behalf, encouraging her to

make public statements about Black, and filing claims she had clearly released in exchange for

millions of dollars, Wigdor induced Ganieva to, and helped her, repeatedly breach her agreement

with Black.

43. Once Wigdor began working with Ganieva, it facilitated a broad audience for her

claims, paying no heed to the terms of the Agreement or the falsity of her allegations.

44. On information and belief, Wigdor was motivated to publicize the case for its own

self-promotion, namely, to obtain notoriety for the firm by publicizing a high-profile case against

12

COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

a prominent individual such as Black. And it later did so—broadcasting its claims against Black

on its website and in interviews—despite the fact that it knew that Ganieva had entered into the

Agreement with Black and that she was prohibited by its terms from discussing her relationship

with Black, or from bringing any claims against him.

45. On October 1, 2020, Ganieva sent Goldstein a text message on WhatsApp, asking

whether sharing her "story" anonymously was an option. Goldstein informed her, "[i]t is possible

for us not to use your name but we would still need to document everything. All the text messages

you have from Leon and would need to talk to any friends you talked to about the situation. We

need to do that to assure the reader your story stands up and can be backed up by contemporaneous

factors."

46. On October 30, 2020, Ganieva sent a WhatsApp message to Goldstein, stating:

"Did you speak to Wigdor? He is reaching out about a reporter wanting to speak to me." Ganieva's

message referred to Douglas Wigdor, the co-founder and principal of Wigdor LLP.

47. Goldstein responded to Ganieva's message about Wigdor, writing, "[w]e are

supposed to talk. But not sure if there is another reporter on this too from another publication."

Goldstein continued, "[t]ho I'm pretty certain it's me. Are you ok with him talking to me on

background."

48. On November 5, 2020, Ganieva wrote to Goldstein, "I will let [W]igdor know that

if you want to speak with him you can."

49. On November 10, 2020, Goldstein confirmed his plans to speak to Wigdor, writing

to Ganieva, "[h]ey there. Talking to Wigdor tomorrow afternoon. He wanted to review his notes.

Let's talk on Wednesday if you are free or Thursday sorta wanted to wait until I spoke to Doug."

50. On November 16, 2020, Goldstein informed Ganieva, again via WhatsApp

message, that he "[h]ad good chat with Wigdor over the weekend."

13

NYSCEE DOC NO 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

51. On January 10, 2022, in connection with (now-dismissed) racketeering and other claims that Black brought in federal court against various parties, including Wigdor and Ganieva, Wigdor represented to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York that it was "frivolous" for Black to argue that it had conspired with public relations professionals on Ganieva's behalf as early as March 2021 (when Ganieva took to Twitter) because that was "before Ms. Ganieva ever retained Wigdor." At that time, Wigdor represented that Ganieva formally retained the firm in April 2021. Thus, according to Wigdor, its communications with the press in the Fall of 2020 on behalf of Ganieva—who was not its client—were not within the scope of its duty as attorneys. Wigdor was not acting as Ganieva's counsel when it spoke with the press about Ganieva's relationship with Plaintiff, all the while knowing, upon information and belief, that Ganieva had signed an NDA. Wigdor also knew, on information and belief, that the Agreement was signed in a public place and was not entered into under duress, and that Ganieva had ratified the Agreement over the course of many years, including by accepting monthly payments until 2021. Wigdor was actively communicating with Ganieva as early as October 2020 and was speaking with the press in a capacity other than as her counsel, an obvious violation of any NDA ever drafted. As such, Wigdor was inducing Ganieva to breach the Agreement as early as October 2020.

Ganieva's False Public Statements About Black

- 52. On or about March 11, 2021, the Twitter account @GuzelGanieva3 was created.
- 53. Ganieva, with encouragement and help from Wigdor, on information and belief, composed a series of tweets in which she would publicly and falsely accuse Black of sexual abuse, threats, harassment, and other unlawful behavior.

COUNTY CLERK

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

54. Before Ganieva posted any tweets, only three other Twitter users, all of them

prominent journalists, followed her account: Ronan Farrow of The New Yorker, Richard McHugh,

then of NBC, and Matthew Goldstein of The New York Times.

55. Given that Wigdor spoke with Matthew Goldstein on Ganieva's behalf in

November 2020 and Wigdor's apparent role in encouraging and helping to draft the tweets, there

is reason to believe that Wigdor and Goldstein discussed a plan for Ganieva to publicize her

allegations via Twitter, where they would find a receptive audience with Goldstein, who could use

his prominent outlet to amplify her false allegations.

56. On March 17, 2021, Ganieva posted three tweets. The word choice and style of the

tweets was decidedly unlike Ganieva's typical voice and appears to invoke legal precepts. She

posted: "Although I am a private person, in light of the recent media coverage, I think I have an

obligation to make a statement regarding Apollo Global Management's CEO and Chairman, Leon

Black. I was sexually harassed and abused by him for years. It started in 2008 when I met with

him to discuss work. While he understood my career aspirations, he could not understand me

when I refused his sexual advances. I was bullied, manipulated, threatened, and coerced.

Similarly, under duress, I was forced to sign an NDA in 2015. I am breaking my silence now

because I do not want this type of predatory behavior to continue happening to other women.

#MeToo #LeonBlack." On information and belief, Ganieva's tweets were intended to pressure

Apollo to remove Black as CEO and Chairman as soon as possible.

57. Still, none of Ganieva's three Twitter followers published anything in response to

Ganieva's false allegations. Similarly, Julie Brown of the Miami Herald, who was responsible for

breaking the Epstein story, and to whom Ganieva emailed her false accusations on multiple

occasions, including in the weeks before the tweets, published nothing. Neither did Jodi Kantor

of The New York Times, whom Ganieva contacted in 2019. Ganieva may have been ready and

15

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

willing to violate the non-disclosure provisions of the Agreement on her own, but she was unable to do so in a way that would damage Black without assistance. Wigdor was critical to her actual

breach.

58. Black learned about Ganieva's tweets shortly after March 17, 2021.

59. Given the campaign against Black that began in Fall 2020 and was spurred on by

Defendants, Black and Marc Rowan, his chosen successor, concluded that Apollo must be

protected. On March 21, 2021, Black resigned as CEO, Director, and Chairman, forcing a

transition ahead of schedule, and ending his tenure as Chairman. As a result, Black lost his

position, health insurance, and other valuable benefits.

60. On information and belief, Wigdor helped to arrange an interview of Ganieva by

Josh Kosman, a reporter at the New York Post who was a regular target of Wigdor's leaks. On

April 8, 2021, Kosman published an "exclusive interview" with her. The New York Post article

included Ganieva's false claim that "Black's abuse 'was over a long period of time and it was

tragic." This disclosure violated the Agreement. Wigdor has leaked information concerning

Plaintiff to Kosman on other occasions. For example, Kosman contacted Plaintiff for comment on

Ganieva's filings before they had been publicly filed.

61. On or about April 8, 2021, Black issued a statement regarding his relationship with

Ganieva that said, "I foolishly had a consensual affair with Ganieva that ended more than seven

years ago. Any allegation of harassment or any other inappropriate behavior towards her is

completely fabricated." Black also stated that Ganieva had extorted him for years and that he had

"made substantial monetary payments to her, based on her threats to go public concerning our

relationship, in an attempt to spare my family from public embarrassment."

16

COUNTY CLERK

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

Ganieva and Wigdor File a Series of False Complaints that Breach the Agreement

62. On April 9, 2021, after Ganieva's tweets and the day after the Kosman interview

was published, Ganieva formally retained Wigdor. Given that Wigdor had been advising Ganieva

since at least as early as October 2020, it is not clear why Wigdor and Ganieva waited until April

2021 to execute a formal engagement letter, or whether anyone other than Ganieva was involved

in that decision. The timing of the engagement—exactly one day after Ganieva's disparaging

remarks were published by Kosman—is noteworthy, given that Wigdor had already been advising

Ganieva for at least five months.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

63. Upon information and belief, Wigdor waited until after Ganieva's tweets and the

Kosman interview were published to formalize its relationship with Ganieva because Wigdor knew

that Ganieva's tweets and comments to Kosman were in violation of her confidentiality and release

agreement with Black. By officially coming on as Ganieva's attorneys after her tweets and her

interview, and by publicly proclaiming that it was not engaged as counsel until April 9, 2021, upon

information and belief, Wigdor sought to conceal its role in having assisted Ganieva in her

contractual breaches before that date. Indeed, Wigdor made repeated efforts to hide its prior

involvement and that of its principal, Douglas Wigdor. For example, Wigdor stated in a September

21, 2021, letter to Black's counsel that "Doug Wigdor is not working on this case." Wigdor

likewise argued in a December 16, 2021 court filing that Wigdor's conduct could not "ha[ve] any

bearing on the veracity" of an April 8, 2021 statement by Black because "Wigdor LLP did not

even represent Ms. Ganieva until after Black made his statement" (emphasis in original).

64. Contrary to its usual protocol, Wigdor did not reach out to Black before filing

Ganieva's complaint, nor did it make a settlement demand. Wigdor would go on to file not one

but three different versions of its baseless and fraudulent complaint on behalf of Ganieva. Each

17

WIGGEE BOG NO O

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

version was laden with lies disproven by Ganieva's own statements, which Black had recorded and preserved and offered to Wigdor for their review.

- falsely—that it did not sign routine protective orders that would protect confidentiality. In fact, the record demonstrates that Wigdor routinely signs such orders. *See, e.g.*, Stipulation and Order, *Kafenbaum v. Soulcycle Inc.*, 20-cv-06315 (AT) (OTW) (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 21, 2021); Stipulation and Order, *Chase v. Reed Smith LLP*, 20-CV-06121 (JPO) (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 28, 2021); Stipulation and Order for the Production and Exchange of Confidential Information, *Mann v. MLB Advanced Media, L.P.*, 651503/2018 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County Aug. 23, 2018); Stipulation and Order for the Production and Exchange of Confidential Information, *Town Total Holdings v. Conte*, 656194/2016 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County Apr. 10, 2017). Its reluctance to do so in this case—even as it was filing three false complaints—supports the conclusion that Wigdor consciously avoided evidence that its client was entirely unreliable, and that it acted in bad faith and outside the scope of any legitimate duty to represent its client.
- 66. On June 1, 2021, Ganieva and Wigdor filed the first of these complaints in New York Supreme Court, New York County. *See Ganieva v. Black*, No. 155262/2021 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. June 1, 2021) ("Original Complaint"). The Original Complaint asserted four claims: two for defamation based on Black's public statement rebutting the tweets; a claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress based Black's allegedly defamatory statement and extortion accusation; and a claim under the New York City Gender Motivated Violence Act ("GMVA") based on a purported sexual assault. *Id.* ¶ 91-130.
- 67. On information and belief, Wigdor encouraged or induced Ganieva to breach the release provisions in the Agreement by filing the Original Complaint, an action that no other attorney had taken.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

68. The alleged assault supposedly took place seven years earlier, on July 6, 2014,

when the Original Complaint alleges that Black "barged" into Ganieva's apartment "suddenly"

and without warning. Id. ¶ 47.1 Ganieva alleged that she was so unwell that she was "limp and

unable to move." Id. ¶¶ 47, 51. Ganieva made these allegations even though contemporaneous

text messages show that she had invited Black to her apartment. Ganieva had texted Black,

"Baby . . . I'm all alone. Let's get together soon. I miss you. Xoxo," and on the evening of July

6, had asked Black to bring her a bottle of wine. See Answer, Ganieva v. Black, No. 155262/2021,

¶ 49 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. July 19, 2021) ("Answer"). The next morning, she thanked him and professed

her love. Id.

69. Within hours of Wigdor filing Ganieva's Original Complaint, Kosman published

yet another article quoting Ganieva's false allegations at length. Kosman's sources commented

that the "silver lining" of Ganieva's suit was that it did not "mention Epstein, and therefore doesn't

provide grounds for digging into matter pertaining to the dead pedophile."

70. Black's Answer to the Original Complaint, filed on July 19, 2021, put the lie to the

Original Complaint's claims with Ganieva's own words. A week before the supposed assault, on

June 28, 2014, Ganieva sent the text suggesting she and Black should get together "soon." Then,

on July 6, 2014, earlier on the day of the supposed assault, it was Ganieva who wrote to Black,

unsolicited: "This is love. I need you." Answer ¶ 49. In response, Black said that he would be

driving back to New York City the evening of July 6, and inquired if he could "come over and

tuck you in at 10:30?" Id. Ganieva responded, "[a]aah. Can you please bring a bottle of wine if

you can?" Id. He agreed.

¹ The complaint was filed in June of 2021. Ganieva alleges that she was raped in July 2014, just barely within the

seven-year limitations period for GMVA claims.

COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2022

DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

71. Ganieva reached out to Black first thing the following morning with a message of thanks and love: "Good morning. It was very nice to see you last night. I already feel better . . . I love you and thank you!!! Xoxoxoxoxo and more love." Id. ¶ 52. And on July 9, she thanked Black again, asked if he wanted to get together, and sent "lots of love." Id. ¶ 53. When Black did not respond, Ganieva reached out yet again the next day to try to get together. Id.

- 72. Contrary to the claim in the Original Complaint that "[a]fter this rape, Ganieva took her son and left New York to physically distance herself from Black" (Original Compl. ¶ 55), Ganieva and Black saw each other several times, at her request, between July 6, when Black supposedly raped her, and July 30, when she texted him from the plane as she was departing: "I love you and miss you already" (Answer ¶ 58). Black's Answer—entirely corroborated by contemporaneous text messages—exposed the allegation of sexual assault as false.
- 73. Ganieva's claims that Black defamed her in a public statement in which he accurately described her extortion were no less false. Ganieva's extortion is captured on tape. Ganieva repeatedly warned that if Black did not pay her \$100 million, she would report their affair to the Apollo Board, Black's family, and the press. Her intent to harm Black's relationship with Apollo was explicit.
- 74. Ganieva also alleged that she was threatened by Black and that he forced her to sign the Agreement. Her Original Complaint offers supposed direct quotations from Black in this regard: "If you do not take the money, I will put you in prison," and "[i]f you do not take the money, I will destroy your life." Original Compl. ¶ 3; see also id. ¶ 63. Black's recordings of his conversations with Ganieva demonstrate the falsity of these claims. There are no such threats, nor any need for any. Ganieva wanted the money; in fact, she wanted more. The recordings make clear that Ganieva was pleased with the arrangement. After agreeing to accept millions of dollars

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2022 02

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

in exchange for not speaking publicly about their affair, she discussed her investment and travel

plans, and laughed about the fact that she was "a woman of means now." Answer ¶ 40. Shortly

after the meeting in which they entered into the Agreement, she texted Plaintiff: "[t]hank you for

everything. Talk soon xoxo." *Id.* ¶ 41.

75. In Plaintiff's original Answer, filed on July 19, 2021, Plaintiff quoted all of the

relevant language of the parties' Agreement—specifically the full text of its release and non-

disclosure provisions.

76. Yet, faced with the clear falsity of the complaint they filed and with the clear terms

of the Agreement, on August 9, 2021, Ganieva and Wigdor filed an Amended Complaint almost

twice as long as the original, complete with all of the allegations whose falsity had been

demonstrated and was now consciously avoided. See Am. Compl., Ganieva v. Black, No.

155262/2021 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Aug. 9, 2021) ("Am. Compl."). The newly added allegations focused

almost entirely on Jeffrey Epstein, who was barely mentioned in the Original Complaint. Ganieva

did not assert any new legal claims premised on these allegations, and indeed the newfound

allegations regarding Epstein appear nowhere in any of the actual Causes of Action asserted in any

iteration of Ganieva's complaints.

77. In her Amended Complaint, Ganieva—with Wigdor's assistance, encouragement

and at its inducement—again breached the Agreement provisions regarding confidentiality and

waiver. In addition to all of her previous false allegations, she now alleged being kidnapped by

Black in October 2008, flown against her will to Palm Beach, Florida on Black's private plane,

taken to Epstein's home there, and coerced into a meeting with Epstein, Black, and one of Epstein's

associates, Sarah Kellen, for the supposed (but never articulated) purpose of gratifying Epstein's

sexual desire. Am. Compl. ¶¶ 75-108. During that meeting, Kellen supposedly told Ganieva that

Epstein and Black were "sex addicts." Id. ¶ 97. Although the Amended Complaint contained

21

NYSCEE DOC NO 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

boldfaced, block-text quotations supposedly from Kellen, it identified no documentation, recordings, or other memorialization of the alleged conversation between Ganieva and Kellen some 13 years ago. *Id.* And, just like the block quotes wrongly attributed to Black, on information and belief, the alleged quotations from Kellen are fabrications.

78. Black's Answer to the Amended Complaint (Answer to Am. Compl., *Ganieva v. Black*, No. 155262/2021 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Sept. 8, 2021)), conclusively debunked Ganieva's new lies. Black used flight manifests to establish that he and Ganieva never took the same-day round trip flight to Florida in October 2008 that is described in the Amended Complaint. Moreover, the contemporaneous recordings of Black and Ganieva from the summer of 2015, shortly after she began extorting him, call into serious question the Amended Complaint's allegation that Ganieva ever even met Epstein, let alone that their meeting was the result of a kidnapping by Black.

Amended Complaint were fabricated, on September 20, 2021, Wigdor sought permission to file yet another complaint, adding even more new lies to the old ones. *See* Second Amended Compl., *Ganieva v. Black*, No. 155262/2021 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Sept. 20, 2021) ("Second Am. Compl."). This pleading too violated the confidentiality and waiver provisions of the Agreement, and was filed with Wigdor's assistance and encouragement and at its inducement. The new round of gratuitous allegations included a tale of an assault of another, unnamed woman at Epstein's Manhattan home some two decades ago for which the woman was supposedly paid \$5,000. *Id.* ¶¶ 51-85. It also included an entire section labeled, "Other Evidence of Black's Close Ties to Epstein's Private Conduct," *id.* ¶¶ [145-50. The causes of action remained unchanged since the Original Complaint, except for the addition of a claim for "retaliation" under the GMVA, which Wigdor and Ganieva made up out of whole cloth.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

80. On November 12, 2021, Black produced, under an embargo agreement between the

parties, a copy of the Agreement and transcripts of all of the recordings cited in Black's Answers.

As of that moment, there can be no doubt that Wigdor and Ganieva knew the exact language of the

Agreement and that it prohibited their lawsuit. They also knew that a significant number of the

allegations that they made in their Complaints, including some of the purported quotes that they

attribute to Black, were objectively and demonstrably false. Despite this, they took no action to

withdraw or correct their pleadings.

81. Wigdor's conduct was malicious and done in bad faith in that Wigdor publicly

smeared Black despite knowing that Ganieva's claims were prohibited by the Agreement. Wigdor

continued to pursue and ultimately to file false allegations against Black, even after it knew the

allegations were demonstrably false.

82. On its website, Wigdor trumpets its involvement in Ganieva's case as "the epitome

of why #MeToo exists." #MeToo does not exist to turn a sporadic, consensual affair into a nine

figure pay off, enforced through threats of personal and professional destruction, which is precisely

what Guzel Ganieva has tried to do. This case is the epitome of how the #MeToo movement can

be hijacked and abused. That is not what #MeToo is about.

COUNT ONE
(Breach of Contract Against Ganieva)

83. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-82 as if fully set forth

herein.

84. Plaintiff and Ganieva entered into the Agreement on October 19, 2015.

85. The Agreement is a valid, binding, and enforceable contract between Plaintiff and

Ganieva. As the recorded conversations make clear, Ganieva was under no duress in signing the

23

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

Agreement, and indeed was pleased to have entered into it. Ganieva ratified the Agreement by accepting payments totaling \$9.2 million over a period of more than five years.

86. Under their Agreement, Plaintiff agreed to: forgive two loans of \$480,000 that he had made to Ganieva that had been accruing interest at 5% per annum for two and four years,

respectively; make a simultaneous payment to Ganieva of \$100,000; and provide Ganieva with

"other consideration," which Plaintiff and Ganieva agreed that day would consist of £2 million

to secure Ganieva a United Kingdom visa, as well as payments of \$100,000 a month for 15

years.

87. In exchange, Ganieva agreed to release Plaintiff from "all matters, causes of action,

claims, suits and any and all further liability or accountability, in law or equity, by reason of any

matter, cause or thing whatsoever arising prior to the signing of this Agreement,

contemporaneous with the signing of this Agreement or any time in the future after the signing of

this Agreement."

88. Ganieva further agreed "never to disclose, directly or indirectly, to any

individual, entity or other potential recipient, any information relating to (i) the allegations and

claims that she has asserted against [Plaintiff], (ii) the signing, content or other attributes of this

Agreement, including any consideration furnished by [Plaintiff] in connection with the signing of

this Agreement and (iii) any other matters that could damage [Plaintiff's] career, reputation and

relationship with others."

89. Ganieva took her time reading the document, reading some of it aloud, and asking

questions as to its meaning, particularly with respect to the confidentiality clause. She laughed

about the fact that she was now "a woman of means," and shortly after signing, thanked Plaintiff.

24

COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

90. Plaintiff faithfully performed under the Agreement for more than five years, from

October 2015 through March 2021.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

91. Ganieva never repudiated or sought to rescind the Agreement. To the contrary, she

ratified the Agreement by accepting monthly payments of \$100,000, including while attending law

school, and while represented by counsel. She continued to ratify the contract during and

following the fall of 2020 even as she and Wigdor were discussing her false and disparaging

allegations against Black with journalists and revealing information regarding Black, in plain

violation of the Agreement.

92. On March 17, 2021, Ganieva breached the nondisclosure provision of the

Agreement by publicly tweeting that Plaintiff had "sexually harassed and abused" her for years

and that she "was forced to sign an NDA in 2015."

93. On June 1, 2021, Ganieva breached the release provision of the Agreement by filing

her Original Complaint and commencing a lawsuit against Black in New York Supreme Court,

and subsequently by filing amended complaints.

94. Ganieva separately and independently breached the Agreement on other occasions

detailed herein, including by making or authorizing the statements and legal claims in the court

filings and press statements pleaded herein.

95. By breaching the Agreement, Ganieva caused Plaintiff damages, including, but not

limited to, forcing his resignation from Apollo, depriving him of the considerable financial benefits

he would have received as CEO until July and as Chairman going forward.

COUNT TWO (Unjust Enrichment Against Ganieva)

96. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-82 as if fully set forth

herein.

25

INDEX NO. 654108/2022 COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

97. Pursuant to the Agreement, Plaintiff paid Ganieva at least \$9,251,084.00 from

October 2015 through March 2021.

98. Ganieva was enriched by these payments at Plaintiff's expense.

99. Ganieva willfully broke the terms of the Agreement, depriving Plaintiff of what he

had bargained for—that is, Ganieva's silence as to their sexual relationship and the resolution of

their agreement.

100. It contravenes equity and good conscience for Ganieva to be allowed to keep the

money that she extorted from Black after breaking the terms of their Agreement.

101. This count is brought in the alternative to Count One of this Complaint in the event

that the Agreement is found to be void.

COUNT THREE

(Tortious Interference with Contract against Wigdor)

Black repeats and re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 82 as if fully 102.

set forth herein.

103. The Agreement is a valid and enforceable contract between Black and Ganieva.

104. Upon information and belief, Wigdor knew of the existence of the Agreement at all

relevant times, and has been in possession of a copy of the Agreement since at least November

2021 (and of the relevant language since July 2021 when Black filed his original Answer in the

case brought by Ganieva).

Upon information and belief, knowing that its client had signed an agreement with 105.

Black that released her claims and promised confidentiality—as every agreement of this kind does,

something Wigdor knows because it has drafted and litigated countless such agreements—Wigdor

induced and encouraged Ganieva to repeatedly breach that contract, including by speaking with

the press in November 2020 about her false allegations, publishing her tweets in March of 2021,

26

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

speaking with a reporter about her false allegations in April 2021, and by filing three complaints

against Black containing those same false allegations.

106. Wigdor's conduct was the proximate cause and the but-for cause of Ganieva's

breaches of the Agreement. Wigdor intentionally, improperly, and without justification

encouraged and induced her to make false public statements about Black, her relationship with

Black, her financial arrangement with Black, and false allegations of abuse, in violation of the

confidentiality provision of the Agreement. Wigdor also schemed to ensure that a New York Times

reporter would be ready and waiting to report on Ganieva's public statements on Twitter, intending

that his prominent position would amplify the reach and harmful effects of her tweets.

107. Wigdor further encouraged, induced, and facilitated Ganieva's breach of the release

provision of the Agreement by instituting and maintaining a baseless lawsuit against Black

containing false allegations about Black, Ganieva's relationship with Black, and her agreement

with Black.

108. As described in detail herein, Wigdor's tortious interference with contract was

malicious, in bad faith, and motivated by a self-interested desire to obtain an undeserved financial

windfall by publicly smearing Black's reputation and pressuring him into settling a baseless

lawsuit.

109. Wigdor's conduct went beyond the scope of its legitimate role as Ganieva's

attorneys, and indeed Wigdor undertook many of its tortious actions before it even represented

Ganieva.

110. Wigdor's tortious interference with contract caused, and continues to cause,

financial loss and damage to Black, for which Wigdor must compensate Black in an amount to be

determined at trial.

27

COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2022

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

In addition, because of the wanton, willful, and malicious nature of the foregoing

wrongful conduct, done with reckless disregard for Black's rights, Black is also entitled to recover

punitive damages.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby requests judgment against Defendants as follows:

Enter judgment on the claims in Black's favor; (a)

Award Black damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, plus prejudgment (b)

interest, to compensate Black for all monetary and/or economic damages;

(c) Award Black damages for any and all other monetary and/or non-monetary losses

suffered by him, including, but not limited to, loss of income and related harm, in an

amount to be determined at trial, plus prejudgment interest;

(d) Award punitive damages for Defendants' gross wanton, malicious, and outrageous

misconduct in an amount to be determined at trial;

Award attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements incurred as a result of this action; and (e)

Award such other, further, and different relief as the Court may deem just and proper. (f)

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Leon Black hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues.

Dated: October 31, 2022

New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

Mill B. Ce

Michael B. Carlinsky

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART

& SULLIVAN, LLP

Michael B. Carlinsky

Jennifer J. Barrett

51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor

New York, NY 10010

28

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2022

INDEX NO. 654108/2022

t: (212) 849-7000 michaelcarlinsky@quinnemanuel.com jenniferbarrett@quinnemanuel.com

ESTRICH GOLDIN LLP Susan Estrich (*pro hac vice* forthcoming) 699 W Exposition Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90089 t: (212) 399-2132 susan@estrichgoldin.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Leon Black