



**National Integrity Systems**

**Transparency International**

**Country Study Report**

**Nauru 2004**

**Lead Consultants**  
**Peter Larmour and Manuhua Barcham**  
Asia Pacific School of Economics and Government  
Australian National University  
Canberra  
ACT 0200  
AUSTRALIA

## Publication Details

**Author:** Ruben Kun (assistant researcher), Whitlam Togomae (assistant researcher – law), and Roland Kun (country researcher).

**Contributors:** A number of people have contributed to the development of this report by way of comment on its drafts.

**Acknowledgements:**

The authors would like to acknowledge the time and effort given by individuals and organisations within Nauru in order so that this study could be compiled.

**Biographic details:**

Reuben Kun is a Pleader at the Nauru Bar and a former member of Nauru Parliament.

Whitlam K Togomae is a Master of Law Student with the University of the South Pacific, and is currently practicing law on Nauru.

Roland Kun is a graduate of James Cooks University and is presently managing the Meneng Hotel. He has held administrative positions at the Nauru Centre of the USP, and with Air Nauru and the Nauru Fisheries Corporation.

**First published 2004 by Transparency International Australia**

P.O. Box 41 Blackburn South Victoria 3130 Australia

<http://www.transparency.org.au>

ISBN 0 9578921 8 7

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without the prior written permission of Transparency International Australia on behalf of the copyright owners.

This research was funded by AusAID.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the Commonwealth of Australia (Cth), Transparency International Australia (TIA) or Asia Pacific School of Economics and Governance at The Australian National University (APSEG). The Cth, TIA and APSEG accept no responsibility for any loss, damage or injury resulting from reliance on any of the information or views contained in this publication. While every effort has been made to verify the accuracy of the information contained in this publication, neither TIA nor APSEG makes any representation as to the accuracy or completeness of the contents.

© 2004 Transparency International Australia and Asia Pacific School of Economics and Governance at The Australian National University

# Contents

<b>Abbreviations</b>	4
<b>Executive Summary</b>	5
<b>Country Overview</b>	7
<b>Corruption Profile</b>	9
Definitions and Scope	9
Causes	10
Levels	12
Costs	13
Types	13
Impact of Change	13
<b>The National Integrity System</b>	14
Executive	14
Legislature	14
Political Parties	15
Electoral Commission	16
Supreme Audit Institution	16
Judiciary	16
Civil (Public) Service	17
Police and Prosecutors	18
Public Procurement	19
Ombudsman	19
Investigative/Watchdog Bodies	19
Media	19
Civil Society	19
Traditional Organisations	19
Private Sector and NGOs	19
Regional and Local Government	19
Progress with Government Strategy	19
Donor Anti-Corruption Initiatives	20
Future Research and Donor Support	20
<b>Anti-Corruption Activities</b>	21
Overview of Government's Reforms	21
Assessment of Progress	23
Overview of Donor Anti-Corruption Initiatives	23
Assessment of Priority Areas, Activities and Issues	24
<b>Discussion of Key Issues</b>	25
The National Integrity System	25
Effectiveness of Government and Donor-Supported Activities	25
Priorities and Recommendations	25
<b>Appendix 1 - Questionnaire</b>	26
<b>Appendix 2 – References</b>	41
<b>Appendix 3 – Legal References</b>	42

## Abbreviations

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AusAID	Australian Agency for International Development
CID	Criminal Investigation Division
CJ	Chief Justice
DOP	Director of Police
DPP	Director of Public Prosecution
FATF	Financial Action Task Force
IMF	International Monetary Fund
NAC	Nauru Agency Corporation
NLGC	Nauru Local Government Council
NPC	Nauru Phosphate Corporation
NPF	Nauru Police Force
NPRT	Nauru Phosphate Royalties Trust
NGO	Non Governmental Organisation
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
PSC	Public Service Commission
RONFIN	Republic of Nauru Finance Corporation
USP	University of South Pacific

## Executive Summary

Nauru is a single Island state with a land area of 21 Km square and a population of approximately 11,300. It is one of the world's smallest Republics and was Nauru part of the German territories from 1886 to 1914. It was then mandated by the League of Nations to Australia, New Zealand and United Kingdom. Nauru was administered by these three powers through the Trusteeship system of the United Nations after 1945 until it was granted full independence on the 31 January 1968. Nauru was one of the richest nations in the world on a per capita basis, when its export of phosphate rock, the sole and only export, was at its peak. Trouble for its fragile economy began when world price of phosphate began to fall in about 1988. The economic decline sharpened in the late 1980s and early 1990s but Nauru failed to, or did not want to, take the necessary steps of reducing expenditures commensurate to the fall in revenue by both the Nauru Phosphate Corporation (NPC) and the Government. As a consequence, Nauru began eating into its reserve funds that were held with Nauru Phosphate Royalties Trust (NPRT) and drawing on its savings with Bank of Nauru (BON). Moreover, these economic difficulties have been compounded through the apparent gross mismanagement of investment funds with NPRT and corrupt practices elsewhere.

Issues of corruption and governance, domestically and internationally, are widely gossiped about within Nauru and have been the cause of some heated debates in Parliament. In the domestic jurisdiction very little has been done to control corruption running rampant. Aspects of traditional culture, especially gift giving and the privileges of elders, contribute to this climate of ambivalence. Other aspects of the Nauru society, like the extensive relationship amongst many Nauruans, could discourage serious investigations and the potential apprehension of corrupt individuals. For example, an extended Nauruan family could be so extensive as to spread throughout the island, as for every 5 Nauruans 2 will be related in some way.

In Nauru the private sector is small and does not provide much employment, hence the public relies heavily on the government for employment, either in the public service or in one of the statutory corporations. It is common for people to go to their Members of Parliament to ask for money and other favours, and if their member is a Minister the greater their expectations will be. Anything that happens in the government of Nauru affects the whole nation. Hence, the activities of Government and related agencies will always be a concern for every Nauruan.

At the international level, offshore financial institutions incorporated under the commercial laws of Nauru, namely the Corporation Act 1972 and the Banking Act 1975, have allegedly been used to facilitate international money laundering activities. This type of international level of corruption is not apparent to the average Nauruan and apart from information which has surfaced through the international media, no further information has emerged within Nauru which directly incriminates local officials. Even though the domestic perspective of the establishment of offshore financial institutions is that it is not unlawful and does not raise much concern, the international community perceived the existence of the off-shore financial centres as providing an environment for corruption to grow and has been very vocal in its condemnation of such ventures.

All of this has resulted in Nauru being blacklisted by the Financial Action Task Force as one of the non-cooperating countries and territories in 2000. Further, despite anti-Money Laundering legislations being enacted by the Parliament of Nauru shortly thereafter, Nauru remained on the FATF blacklist. Since 2001 Nauru has enacted two Anti-Money Laundering Acts and made 3 Amendments, the latest being passed by Parliament on 27<sup>th</sup> of February 2004 but it still remains on the FATF blacklist.

Similarly, in 2001 the Organisation for Economic Corporation and Development (OECD) blacklisted Nauru as a 'non-cooperating country' who potentially encourages harmful tax practices. The OECD demanded that Nauru make commitments on the Principal of Transparency and effective exchange of information by other Nations. The Republic of Nauru has since then made a political commitment at the highest level of the Presidents office, and in December 2003 was removed from the OECD blacklist.

Nauru at the moment does possess agencies or institutions that contribute to the pillars of a National Integrity System (NIS), however they are not entirely independent and is almost not possible for such institutions to perform their duties independently.

The main difficulties with these institutions are that they do not effectively work together. Reasons include clashes of personalities, lack of skills or experience and an overall lack of awareness as to how the NIS as a whole should function. One significant difficulty is that the few qualified personnel that exist, more often than not, perform multiple duties. That practice can and will create conflicts in their different duties.

With regards to these NIS institutions, there is no ombudsman or equivalent institution in Nauru. Additional to normal duties of public prosecution, the public prosecutors also perform the functions of an Attorney General's chambers. Thus the Director of Public Prosecutions is also the Attorney General. This means that conflicts of interests may arise. Further, the legal system has operated in Nauru since 1914 and the concept of the rule of law is embodied in the Constitution, but the notion of the role of litigation in society remains very much beyond the comprehension of the average Nauruan.

Nauruans speak only one language and traditionally they tend to be reserved and readily accept decisions of traditional leaders and now elected leaders. They are not aggressive and are usually hesitant to voice their complaints to the authorities. Further, the tradition of respecting elder members of the extended family is still strong, and by natural extension is an important factor during general elections. It is also a factor that may increase some leaders' sense of being above the law. Tradition discourages the criticism of leaders, who used to have very broad powers. Modern leaders use this traditional concept to justify their actions.

However, there are also factors within the Nauru society that could be used to support NIS ideals. The fact that Nauru has one language, a single small Island, and extensive blood relationships could be useful to encourage and strengthen links between pillars of the national integrity system and satisfactorily blend a traditional concept with a modern concept. This, however, may be more of a theoretical ideal than a practical solution.

Strengths within the NIS are always related to a particularly committed and hard working individual or team of individuals. Conversely, weaknesses are usually linked to underperforming individuals. The obvious answer is to improve checks and to raise expectations of work standards.

There are six priority areas in which activities need to be undertaken to ensure the strengthening of Nauru's NIS:

- Creation of NIS institutions
- Reform of the law
- General education and public awareness
- Institutional strengthening through capacity building
- Enforcement of the law
- Facilitation of integration of the NIS

Pursuit of the above factors will engender a necessary shift in perception, so that corruption ceases to be an irrelevant abstract concept, and is instead internalised and made a real issue of personal and institutional concern and activity of national priority.

## Country Overview

Nauru is a democratic Republic with some unique features in its system of government. It has adopted the precepts of a parliamentary democracy following a 'Westminster' model, to which have been added some aspects of a presidential system of government. This institutional arrangement reflects the notion of the separation of powers of government among the three arms of government; the Legislature, the Executive, and the Judiciary.

The President has the dual roles of being Head of State as well as Head of Government. The President performs the role of a Head of State and role of the Prime Minister in the Westminster system. The President appoints the Cabinet, made up of no more than 6 Ministers (including himself) from among the elected Members of Parliament. The Cabinet is answerable to the Legislature, or Parliament. An independent judiciary is made up of a District Court, and the Supreme Court. It has also specialist courts in the Family Court and a Court of Appeal.

The President is elected for a three-year term by simple majority of the total number of members of Parliament. During his or her term the President may only be removed from office due to incapacity or by a vote of no confidence.

Parliament is unicameral. The 18 members of Parliament are elected by a modified preferential vote. There is universal suffrage for people over the age of 20. Voting is compulsory carrying a penalty of \$10.00 for failing to exercise the right and privilege. Nauru is divided into 8 constituencies. Depending upon its size, 7 constituencies have 2 seats and 4 seats for one constituency. The maximum life of Parliament is 3 years, although the Speaker can dissolve it within 14 days, on the advice of the President. There are no political parties in parliament of Nauru and they are not encouraged – there being no provisions made to facilitate for their future arrival on the basis that Nauru is too small for party politics. This deliberate and conscious act of discouraging the growth of political parties may have deprived an essential element in the development of the parliamentary system of democracy in Nauru. Still, with new breeds of Parliamentarians there have been talks of parties.

As illustration of the significance of the absence of political parties, Nauru's political climate has remained fluid, with politicians crossing the floor easily and frequently to the detriment of governance. It is not apparent whether instances of 'crossing the floor' have been carried out on the basis of clearly held policies or in the pursuit of self-interest. The absence of political parties has placed an emphasis upon the individual. This, in turn, has developed to such an extent that national policy requirements get lost and economic policy loses out to adventurism. Voting in general elections appears to be based more upon family ties than policies. There are emerging small parties, and in the last national elections a large number of independent candidates also stood.

The Judiciary remains independent from politics and its decisions on cases involving political matters reflect this independence. The Constitution provides that Nauru adopt the common law system. The Custom and Adopted Laws Act 1971 provides that certain elements of Nauruan customs, usages and institutions form part of the laws of Nauru. The use or application of customary law within the formal legal system remains limited in most areas as it is conceptually difficult for both customary and introduced law to work alongside each other within the formal legal system, but is most noticeable in land laws.

The Nauru Police Force (NPF) is administered under the Police Force Act 1972. Its establishment of officers varies from year to year depending on perceived needs over the next 12 months period. The NPF consists of general duties officers and 'reserves'. Reserves are called up to carry out specific assignments as the need arises as Nauru is largely a peaceful place.

Nauru does not have an active media. It has the Nauru Television (NTV), Radio Nauru broadcasting on FM 88.8mz., and the Nauru Bulletin, all under the Department of Island Development and Industries and operated by a Media Bureau. The Bulletin is published on a monthly basis, containing mostly public announcements and some departmental news. There is no privately owned newspaper.

Though important, these institutional arrangements do not provide much indication of the social and economic environment in Nauru. Its economy is heavily reliant on phosphate exports. With the phosphate industry coming to its end, the impact is very clear with government falling into loan arrears and public services salaries are two to three months in default. Other important economic sectors are Nauru's tax haven status, and the offshore financial services sector. However, under pressure of threats from the OECD and FATF for alleged harmful tax practices and money laundering, these services have been legislatively closed down in March 2003. There is also a fishing industry with Nauru having a 200 mile exclusive economic zone that has strong tuna fields.

Local industry is hindered by the isolation of the country itself and the availability of markets. International shipping services to the Island, comes only once every one to two months, is always costly. The only reliable overseas contact is Air Nauru. It flies to Australia, Solomon Islands, Kiribati and Fiji. Electricity and water supply are very much limited. Power cuts are frequent occurrences due to the aged generators and their lack of proper and regular maintenance. The water supply for the island relies on rainfall, since the desalination plant broke down over a year ago and is still under repair. Utilities and telecommunications are very expensive. The high cost of exporting goods, whether by ship or by plane, hinders the development of export markets. Political instability and a largely unskilled workforce also detract from the development of local industry.



## Corruption Profile

### Definitions and Scope

*"Corruption? Yes I cannot say there's no corruption. There's corruption and there's a lot of people who want to fill up their own pocket. That's why Nauru has become so much in the media"*

Anthony Audoa M.P 2001 (Naoero Amo "The Visionary" Quote of the Month 14/6/01 Issue No 4-01)

There is no single legal definition of corruption in Nauru. Popularly, corruption may be defined as occurring when a leader misuses his or her position for personal gain. Such definition however does not have any support from any legislation, such as Electoral Act and Criminal Law, nor in the regulations or bylaws of Nauru. The term has also been seen as referring to those leaders who do not go to church, and who party and travel overseas frequently. Such inferences reflect the wide variety of views that individuals define corruption against their own background.

Popularly the notion of corruption, and related standards of 'good behaviour', relates more to the behaviour of people and institutions within the introduced political system rather than people who are considered leaders under traditional or grassroots structures. Actions of members of parliament and civil servants are more likely to attract charges of corruption than actions of chiefs or community leaders, including church leaders. Actions of individuals that are benefiting from, and maybe encouraging, corrupt behaviour, are also not seen as corrupt.

To illustrate, the Criminal Code Act of Nauru creates offences for people who try to bribe officials and/or receive bribes during elections. However, in the popular perception, ordinary people who receive bribes are not 'corrupt'. Heads of families command all individuals within the family or the extended family. The command of such head of families to his subjects on how to vote, after receiving bribes or goods or favours for their families, are not normally perceived as corrupt. The person offering the bribe is, however, sometimes seen as acting corruptly. The reasons for differing perceptions as to what is corrupt are complex. In the voter bribery situation it may be that there is an acceptance that people are vulnerable to temptation. The blame attaches to the person who offers the temptation, rather than the person who accepts it. Heads of families who accept bribes and distribute them throughout his or her subjects are seen as doing their family duties properly, for the benefit of the family as a whole, and therefore not corrupt. However, heads of families who take bribes and do not distribute them may be seen as corrupt. Western concepts of corruption and local concepts of wrong behaviour do, sometimes, differ. Further, because social systems encourage respect of head of families, and thereby discourage criticism, it is less likely that heads of families will be labelled corrupt.

The real difficulty in any meaningful definition of corruption comes from the fact that people who have acted corruptly are not necessarily seen as having acted in a morally wrongful manner that should attract punishment. Nauru is a very small society consisting of twelve tribes that frequently intermarry. It is possible to find out about misuses of power and position quite easily, through official reports, or casual conversations and gossiping with people. However, punishment does not result for want of prosecution arising from the slackness of the law covering corruption. Politicians who are headlines of gossips or street conversations continue to be re-elected back into Parliament. One reason for this may be a lack of general awareness amongst the voting population of the role of politicians. Another reason may be that the general population sees corruption as largely irrelevant or the concept itself is foreign to the voters relative to tradition and custom in Nauru. It is quite rare for large public outcries regarding corruptions or related matters to occur.

Where the line is drawn between traditional gifts and bribes is difficult, if not impossible to define precisely. Nauruan culture has a strong tradition of gift giving and sharing as part of family obligations and customary ceremonial duties to strengthen family ties and friends. Whilst culture can, undoubtedly, be misused, there is no clear line of demarcation between what is culturally acceptable, and what is legally unacceptable. Indeed, because the lines of demarcation come from two different systems of thought (culture and law) it is

unsurprising that there is some confusion about what should be, or is, legal, or what rules should apply in any given situation.

For instance, some voters see the receipt of gifts in return for voting a particular way to be a legitimate part of the electoral process. Such gifts may be the only actual return that some voters expect to ever see out of the State political system. Whilst such actions are legally wrong, they fit within custom. However, this is not a traditional custom setting. Although the acceptance of gifts may seem to subvert the political system, given that the political system remains largely meaningless for a lot of people, the acceptance of gifts in return for voting appears to be a legitimate blending of custom and introduced systems in the eyes of some people.

There is, therefore, much variety in Nauruan interpretations of what corruption might be. However, a particular document has been important for shaping ideas about corruption – and this is the Republic of Nauru Corporation Act 1972 which sets out in the Second Schedule of the Act the Articles for Management of a Holding Corporation. In that document, which is part of various documentations applicable to any holding company upon registration in Nauru, Article 91 reads: “A director may vote and be counted in the quorum in respect of any contract or proposed contract with the corporation in which he is in any way interested or on any matter arising thereof and **no contract entered into by the corporation in which a director is in any way interested shall by reason thereof be voidable** and **no director shall be liable to account to the corporation for any profits realised by such contract or any office of profit held by him** by reason of his being a director.” (emphasis added)

That single Article altered the definition and the face of corruption in Nauru. Conflict of interests and multiple directorships are not issues at all. Therefore, declarations of any kind by directors of corporate bodies are not required. The most effective promotion of corruption made possible by this Article is immunity from liability of directors even if they made personal profits by virtue of knowledge and information from their other offices. The Article gave the notion of corruption a new complexion to a much narrower version and at the same time legalised certain pre-conditions of corruption; multiple directorships, non-declaration of interests, and conflict of interests.

Although aspects of the Corporation Act were designed to protect and thereby attract overseas companies to register in Nauru, in so doing it cannot help but provide conditions ideal for corruption to take root and flourish within local companies. The recent stoppage of registration of overseas company by legislations, consequential to pressures from overseas governments through OECD and FATF, is not applicable to local companies. And as the Corporations Act 1972 is still applicable as part of Nauru law, the narrow definition of corruption and the corruptible base for local companies in Nauru still applies. Even if private companies are not normally restricted in any way in relation to contracts and conflict of interest, Public companies and public administration, however, are in a different category altogether.

### **Causes**

There are a number of factors that enable corruption in Nauru to exist or even flourish. Some of the factors directly causes corruption while some may not ‘cause’ corruption but they contribute to a climate in which corruption can and does occur.

The causes of corruption can be classified under six main categories. The first is the lack of a social and welfare system. Second is the lack of understanding of cause and effect of corruption. Third is the lack of personal values to deny corruption in all forms absolutely. The fourth cause is the lack of legislative mechanisms to punish and deter corruption. The fifth cause is the economic difficulties gripping the country left the people vulnerable to corruption. And lastly, it may be that tradition and culture also causes corruption.

Nauru does not have a welfare system in place which is comprehensive and designed to ensure the welfare of the wider population. As such, leaders and the general population alike have been looking to and have been using the political system, the public sector, and any other government instrumentalities and entities of Nauru as a hidden welfare system. It is not unusual for an individual to be given employment in the Public Service or in one of

the government owned entities simply to ensure that the individual will have a regular source of income. Given Nauru's limitations with resources and capacity for development in the private sector, most people, if do not secure employment by these means, would most likely remain unemployed and without a source of income. People in power, rather than amend the system to better cater to the needs of the state as a whole, generally maintain and abuse the existing system to meet the basic needs of their voters. Nepotism has and continues to arise where elected leaders use their powers to meet their welfare obligations to their voters.

The same problem of meeting political obligations and promises also exist in areas such as disbursement of finance, overseas medical referrals, and housing. This problem persists and may be expected to escalate as Nauru's economy progressively contracts. For a greater proportion of the population whom have not been exposed to alternative systems, the hidden welfare system is normal and accepted. The fact that government job application forms ask applicants to indicate the number of their dependents can be seen to support the general tendency at all levels to accept the hidden welfare system as normal. The concept of the hidden welfare system further complicates the average Nauruan's definition of corruption.

The second factor, that of a lack of understanding of what corruption actually is, means that there is an overall lack of appreciation of the negative consequences of corrupt activities on society. One who gains from acts of corruption does not always see that gain to be depriving other people or adversely affecting the greater society. Nauruans by culture are a sharing and caring people. It is part of the Nauruan culture that a fisherman, fortunate enough to bring in a good catch, would give away the fish not immediately needed by his family to anyone. It is also part of the Nauruan culture that a person who is paid a compliment on a piece of property, would give that property away to the complimenting party. But when cause and effect of corruption is not understood fully, then there will be no ethical or moral dilemma to deter corrupt activities.

Ignorance as to the causes and effects of corruption is not absolute, but it would seem that only a small proportion of the total effect of corruption is actually appreciated and thus in most instances the effect may be regarded as of little consequence and will not deter corrupt activities. During the period of Nauru's economic peak, this problem was compounded by the Nauruan's popular attitude that the resources were in abundance and the economic well being of the state will not be significantly affected by their corrupt activities.

Third, the National emblem of Nauru puts "God's will first", and declare in it's Constitution "the people of Nauru acknowledge God as the almighty and everlasting Lord the giver of all good things" and "we humbly place ourselves under the protection of His good providence and seek His blessing upon ourselves and upon our lives". The founding fathers of Nauru vested upon themselves and the people of Nauru personal values based on Christian principles of honesty and on the role of the guidance of God.

These guiding principles were intended to be signposts where the leaders are to run the nation, and come down to personal integrity and not to the mandate of the law. Much is invested on personal values of leaders that these are open to abuse by leaders who do not live with the intention of the founding fathers of the Republic of Nauru. This is reflected by the fact that since Nauru gained its independence, there has been no legislation or, at least, a provision in the Constitution providing leadership norms or standards expected from them. This resulted in the difficulty of defining corruption as a practically meaningful notion. The resulting grey area allows leaders with lesser moral integrity to exploit the neglect of the situation for their personal benefit.

In terms of the fourth category, Nauru does not have legislation specifically addressing the code of conduct of leaders. As indicated above, this has been left to the individual leader's honesty and integrity. There is no such office equivalent to Ombudsman in Nauru. Even if the people do complain, there is simply no one to whom such complaints are to be heard or investigated, such as an independent media outlet. Allegations of corruption therefore simply cannot be investigated. This has led to the mentality with some leaders that whatever is done that is not contrary to law, no body will ever be able to stop them. The fear of legislative sanction may act as deterrence to leaders who are corrupt. However, in

this regard, it is not the case of the leaders being free to do what ever they want.

Aggravating these circumstances is the lack of freely accessible legal services to the public. The public in Nauru do not have free access to legal service, let alone the government lawyers at the Justice department. The public will have to engage private practitioners at costs. On the whole, where there is no rule, leaders can conduct acts that may be branded as corrupt or likened to corruption.

Nauru's economy too is very much in difficulty and brings its own problems. Government salaries are 6 to 9 fortnights in arrears. Statutory bodies are in even worse situation with their salaries. These economic difficulties may have been caused by gross mismanagement from leaders of past years. The Public servants are left to struggle for their survival for two to three months before being paid their salaries. Public servants in this very difficult economic climate are very much vulnerable to corruption at least to support them through the difficult times. Some of the skilled workers within the Public service are foreigners. The ailing economy and the default of salaries in Nauru has resulted in the exodus of skilled workers and it would be difficult to attract replacement workers with similar skills from overseas.

Further, poor pay in the public sector, as compared to the private sector, may also help to contribute to corruption. Poor pay lowers morale, and may help to foster a climate, in which people do not take responsibility for, or pride in, their work. It can also foster absenteeism. Also, people with technical skills, particularly in the areas of finance and law, are likely to seek jobs in the private sector, thereby lowering capacity in the public sector.

Fifth, there appears to be a lack of capacity to understand or lack of ability to work within the introduced legal and political system. Parliamentarians often do not have a clear understanding of their roles and duties. Public servants often do not have the technical training or experience to be able to fulfil their duties competently.

The education system in Nauru has not developed to respond to the national need. In some aspects, the system has deteriorated significantly since independence. Thus the education system will not be able to alleviate the problem of capacity, in the short run anyway. Education is a major problem in itself and would need specialised attention. Suffice it to say that the present lack in capacity amongst locals, will remain a problem for some years to come, reflects the lack of planning and policies of Nauruan leaders.

Last, we might consider the effects of tradition and culture. Nauruan society is very much non-aggressive and easy going. There are no organised civil groups or NGO's that can have a collective voice to express outrage to blatant corruption by leaders. As such, corruption is accepted or regarded as part of the political system. Traditionally, Nauruans do not question the actions and behaviour of their chiefs out of respect or fear or both. Whatever the reasons there is a preference among Nauruan not to question but accept the acts of heads of families or leaders. The welfare and cohesion of the extended family is held dear than the cost of corruption to the nation. Most Nauruans do not understand or appreciate the principle that what is good for all of the people is also good for the individual Nauruan as well as the extended family.

## **Levels**

Corruption occurs at all levels of society. However, the concept of corruption is most firmly linked with the actions of members of Parliament, the Public Service, and certain government institutions. In Nauru there is only one level of government and that is the national government. There was once a Nauru Island Council but this has been legislatively abolished in 1992 by the Nauru Local Government Council Dissolution Act 1992. All powers of the Council were bestowed upon the National cabinet of Nauru. Much of the attraction for corruption therefore lies with the national government, which includes the Public Service and statutory corporations according to the wider definition of government.

Corruption in the international level is widely alleged by the international community to be a major problem for Nauru. Nauru as well as its other Pacific neighbours has increasingly been portrayed as unrepentant 'deviants'. (Fossen 2002) The mass media reported that Nauru was involved in the Bank of New York scandal and assisted Russian Mafia to launder

US\$ 15 billion to the Bank of New York. These monies are believed to be proceeds of tax evasion, contract murders, drug trafficking, prostitution and other unlawful activities. At the same time, in 2001, the US department of Treasury imposed special measures against the Republic of Nauru; to deny financial dealings with Nauru financial system through correspondent accounts, under their Patriot Act since Nauru is believed to be involved in money laundering (USA Department of Treasury 2002: 43). In December 2001, the FATF imposed economic counter-measures/sanctions on Nauru due to the existence of 400 shell banks licensed by Nauru, which the FATF believed posed an unacceptable money laundering risk, and due to Nauru's failure to enact appropriate legislative measures to counter money laundering and to combat terrorism (Report to FATF on Anti-Money Laundering Reforms by the Republic of Nauru 1 June 2003: 2). On the other hand, FATF, while acknowledging Nauru's enactment of anti money laundering legislation in 2001, it demanded Nauru to do more until it satisfied the international community (FATF Annual Review of Non-cooperative countries and Territories 20 June 2003). Nauru is still on the Blacklist of the FATF and facing economic sanctions by both the United States of America and the FATF.

Nauru was also blacklisted by the OECD as one of the countries who assist foreign national to evade taxes in their home jurisdictions and practice other harmful tax practices. Nauru has since been removed by the OECD after Nauru made a strong commitment to the Principles of Transparency and effective exchange of information.

### **Costs**

In general terms the costs of corruption in Nauru include waste of public funds, a lack of investor confidence in Nauru, mortgaging of investment properties, falling into ill afforded loans, but worse is the loss of privately owned investment funds with NPRT and loss of personal savings with BON. The costs are reflected in the poor performance of the economy. Even though there are no bodies like the ombudsman or equivalent to investigate into allegation of corruptions, it is widely accepted in Nauru that part of the collapse of the economy is due to corruption of leaders. Without specific investigation of the extent of the corruption, therefore actual costs of corruption are not accounted for yet.

### **Types**

Even though specific incidences of corruption and their costs have not yet been investigated and therefore remain largely speculative, there is a variety of corruption that occurs in Nauru. It can be observed quite clearly by the general public and it is common knowledge that leaders commit certain types of corruption. Five main themes of corrupt behaviour have been identified: political appointments of unqualified people; involvement in business deals without following correct procedures; a lack of respect for the rule of law, or a wilful refusal to be bound by rules; misuse of funds; and unfair treatment of people based more on political considerations rather than merit. Unnecessary travel by ministers and public servants also consumes large amounts of public money. For instance, at times public servants get sponsored to go on conferences, and also claim allowances from the government. This type of corruption is, maybe, under-recognised at the present time.

### **Importance of Change**

The biggest aspects of 'change' that have affected Nauru have been its decreasing economy and the recent demands of the international community. Nauru has had to react to the demands of the international community by enacting changes to its offshore banking and financial matters in a way that prevents corrupt practice, and at the same time Nauru has been facing major economic difficulties as it can no longer rely on the phosphate trade. Some important changes have therefore been made to pacify the international community, but local instances of corrupt practice may in fact have increased for the kinds of reasons outlined above.

# The National Integrity System

## Executive

Part III of the Constitution established the executive of the Republic of Nauru. The executive is made up of the President and the five or four Ministers, who are appointed by the President from amongst elected Members of Parliament. They are normally referred to as Cabinet as distinct from the term executive, which may refer to Cabinet Ministers and the heads of Departments or the whole Public Service with their Ministers. The maximum size of the Cabinet is six.

The majority of Nauruan Parliamentarians do not fully understand their roles and responsibilities. Some have had only limited education, and many do not have a thorough understanding of Nauru's adopted political and legal system of government. This hinders the effectiveness of the executive, as individual ministers may not have full understanding of how to exercise their powers legally and appropriately. A good illustration of this occurred in 2002 when a function of the Eigu Corporation, a Public Holding Company owned by Government, was transferred to another body; that other body being a private company registered in the name of one of the Ministers. In answer to a question without notice in Parliament, the Minister responsible explained, without qualm, that he committed the act as a matter of convenience at the time, and that revenues from the operation were paid into Treasury. Parliament failed to confirm the assertions of the Minister by appointing a Select Committee to look into the matter and report back to the House. It therefore appears that both Parliament and Cabinet accepted the explanation of the Minister as reasonable and proper, including the Minister's admission of placing a public operation in his personal name. The operation remains in the name of the Minister, who retains his ministerial post.

There is an obvious lack of responsibility amongst Ministers. Another example is the Constitutional requirement to maintain a quorum of 3 Ministers at all times. Yet on many occasions Ministers will travel overseas leaving no quorum at all on the island. These occurrences may be nothing more than the results of bad planning, but because they have become regular occurrences this indicates a definite lack of respect for the rules and laws of Nauru.

Ministers are becoming increasingly more adept at using the legal system, so innocent lack of capacity is becoming less of a viable excuse for poor decisions within the executive. Maybe lack of 'moral capacity' (distinguishing between right and wrong, and using this distinction to actually guide behaviour) is a more accurate conceptualisation of at least some of the capacity problems. Even though this is common knowledge, little has been done to rectify the problem.

Ministers have also shown a growing lack of loyalty to colleagues and to their President. Reasons are not entirely clear. Quality of leadership may have something to do with this feature or more Ministers may have developed a greater sense of ambitions for the top job or both. Frequent changes of government also hinder the stability of the executive. In the past 2 years there have been 5 changes of Government from votes of confidence. Such climate of political instability is conducive to corruption becoming more established.

## Legislature

Members of Parliament are not always given Bills in advance of Parliamentary sessions, making it difficult for meaningful debates of issues. Even if there has been advance distribution of Bills there is a sense that Parliament merely rubber stamps legislation presented by Government, rather than putting it through a rigorous second reading debate and exhaustive scrutiny in committee. Enacted legislations are often 'reactive' in nature rather than 'proactive'. This is because most of the bills introduced in Parliament are reactive from international pressures and are not necessarily pieces of legislation intended to advance government policy in the local interest.

The role of the legislature as a forum in which the public interests in Bills is debated is also hindered as most Bills are prepared in conditions that do not allow for public debate. Some Bills are prepared in secrecy, with interested members of the public being unable to obtain copies. Even when Bills are not prepared secretly there is currently no institutionalised system of calling for public submissions or comments on Bills at any stage before they are passed by Parliament into law. Most of the bills are those of model legislations often drafted by regional or international bodies.

The doctrine of separation of powers, and the concept that the legislature can and should act as a check on the executive, is not well understood in Nauru. Opposition members not only have less numbers but more often than not they are splintered and disorganised and very rarely can opposition members offer an alternative government. To worsen matters non-government members do not have any personal staff to assist them carry out and fulfil effectively their parliamentary duties as well as their constituency obligations. Unless a member has alternative and reliable source of independent income, sufficient to support the family, a non-government member will not be able to afford any staff to assist and help him. Members are paid an allowance, which is just above the basic wage, for they are expected, to be doing other full-time work to supplement their members' allowance. In truth members on allowance are part-time parliamentarians. The full-time parliamentarians in Nauru are the Cabinet Ministers and the Speaker of Parliament, as they get paid salaries, additional to their members' allowance. The well-paid jobs, however, are under the control of government, in the Public Service and statutory corporation. Any member unable to secure any of the 7 salaried positions in Parliament will find himself in a position where he will soon be susceptible to corruption.

### **Political Parties**

Nauru does not have any political parties. Opponents of political parties offer various arguments against the formation of such parties, with the most vocal argument being the notion that, with population of less than 12,000, political parties will shatter the delicate fabric of the Nauru community beyond repair. There is little to support such a thesis. The most likely explanation was that at the time Nauru started fighting for independence, the vanguard for the struggle were the elected members of the NLGC, which was the remnant of the Council of Chiefs. Their motivation for independence was to wrest control of the phosphate industry away from the British Phosphate Company. They were not motivated to seek independence so that they can bring to the Nauruan people a democratic system of government. But a democratic system of government was a non-negotiable condition placed by the powers that be on independence. However, it was felt by the then Nauruan leaders that political parties were not necessary. The traditional leaders in 1968 in Nauru were the nine elected councillors. They were already organised under the strong leadership of Head Chief Hammer DeRoburt. They were all candidates for the first Parliament and they all won. NLGC was a cohesive and effective political group. It was a powerful political force for the first twenty years of the life of the Parliament of Nauru, and in fact, until the death, in July 1992, of Head Chief and President Hammer DeRoburt, the first President of Nauru and the last of the traditional chiefs.

Some time soon the decision will have to be made whether the sophistication of a parliamentary democratic system of government with all that it entails, including political parties, is embraced in its entirety or continue to hang on to the old fabric of Nauruan society.

At this point in time, though, Members of Parliament cross the floor whenever they want. Many of them do not have nor do they pursue policies intended to achieve stated goals that the people can see and accept as will be beneficial nationally. Allegiances are established mainly on personal basis, rarely on policies or principles. Members do not understand the need to have publicly stated purposes and goals for being in Parliament. Therefore, members do not appreciate that such conditions create situations conducive to corruption. Members fail to appreciate further that political parties will at least provide them the necessary goals and purposes for being in Parliament, stated publicly, and will organise them channel their efforts toward attaining those stated goals. Or if they do have an appreciation, then obviously they do not wish for the political orderliness and certainty that may ensue. Members do not understand and cannot accept that the system of government that was chosen to be adopted for Nauru back in 1968 needs a party system,

ideally a two-party system, for it to function effectively. Otherwise, an alternative system of government, like the communist system, designed to function best with a single party, may have worked better for Nauru.

Under the existing system, without political parties to guide novice politicians through a political career, each Member of Parliament is a free agent, potentially on the lookout for opportunities to better and improve his position thereby possibly making him receptive to offers. Clearly, contests for control of power in any country are of such vital importance that they cannot and should not be left to the whims of free agents. The introduction of formal political parties is critical and vital as a first step toward eliminating an important source of corruption. Political parties, operating openly and transparently, can therefore become effective pillars for a NIS.

### **Electoral Commission**

There is no Electoral Commission in Nauru. There is however an Electoral Registrar and a deputy appointed by the President, according to the Electoral Act 1965-1973. The Electoral Registrar in all the elections so far has been a senior officer in the Office of the Chief Secretary. The Chief Secretary has been the Returning Officer in all the general elections since independence.

The independence and/or integrity of the Electoral Registrar is potentially jeopardised in two main ways. First, the Electoral Registrar comes under the Chief Secretary's budget hence he/she does not have financial independence. Secondly the Registrar is appointed by the President and not by any other independent body. Since the position of Chief Secretary has become more and more a political appointment, there is a belief that the Electoral Registrar tends to lean towards government. The office of the Electoral Registrar and his deputy are temporary positions, in any case, appointed especially for each election their significance are not considered to be lasting enough to be of importance.

Provision exists in the Act for complaints to the Court of Disputed Elections, which in most respects is the Supreme Court. There have been petitions for election irregularities in Nauru. In one case, the Court ruled that an elected member for Ubenide improperly used the power of his office to influence voters when he used a police vehicle to ferry voters to the polling booth. In 2001, in another case, the Court ruled on appeal that the election results for the Ubenide constituency were invalid due to irregularities in how voting was conducted at one of the constituency's polling booths on election day.

### **Supreme Audit Institution**

Nauru's supreme audit institution is the Office of the Director of Audit. This is a constitutional office established under Article 66 of the Constitution. The Chief Secretary appoints the Director of Audit with the approval of the Cabinet.

It is not expressly stated in the Constitution or the Audit Act 1973 whether the office of the Director of Audit is an independent body. The non-independent status of the office itself creates a problem on the independence of the office of the Director of Audit. The Chief Secretary also appoints staffs of the Audit office with the approval of the President.

Section 6 of the Audit Act 1973 prescribed the duties of the Director of Audit. Generally, the Director of Audit on behalf of the Parliament examine, inquire into and audit the accounts of all accounting officers in Nauru and in the offices of the Republic outside Nauru. The DOA is to furnish a yearly report to the Minister responsible to be tabled in parliament. So far, such report was last done in 1999 and at time of this study no other Auditor's report has been tabled in Parliament.

The DOA office can be more effective should it be required to report directly to Parliament.

### **Judiciary**

Nauru's Judicature consists of a Court of Appeal, a Supreme Court, a District Court and the Family Court. The Nauru Lands Committee is established under the Nauru Lands Committee Ordinance to determine customary land matters with a right of appeal to the



## Supreme Court.

The District Court, Supreme Court, Court of Appeal structure is what you would expect to find in any common law jurisdiction. The President appoints the Chief Justice. The President also appoints the Resident Magistrate of the District Court but with the consent of the Chief Justice. Although custom remains part of the law of Nauru pursuant to the Customs and Adopted laws Act 1971, these Courts largely work with English common law and the statutes of Nauru.

The magistrates, appointed to the District and Family Courts, are normally required to have a law degree and some years of practical experience. This has helped to strengthen the perception that the District Court is strongly respected and that the law will be applied. The Supreme Court is generally viewed as a strong institution, comprised of an independent judge, although there are perceptions by some members of the public that since the President appoints the Chief Justice, the Chief Justice is likely to be biased towards the President or Cabinet. In most democratic countries, the judiciary are appointed on recommendation of Cabinet.

The Judiciary, through the Supreme Court, also functions as an effective check on the legislature. There have been three constitutional references determined by the Supreme Court for the year 2003. However, the Supreme Court cannot initiate such references. Constitutional references are limited to initiatives by resolution of Parliament and by Cabinet or its members. The appeals system functions well from the District Court to the Supreme Court but not from the Supreme Court to the Court of Appeal. The latter being that, the Appeals Court of Nauru is the High Court of Australia and it is too costly to run such an appeal. Appeals may lie from the Supreme Court to the appeals jurisdiction, which may comprise of two or more judges, of the Supreme Court. Further appeals may lie to the High Court of Australia in criminal matters or in civil matters by leave of the Supreme Court and/or the High Court. Matters concerning lands and Nauruan customs, and the Constitution cannot go on appeal to the High Court. There have been two occasions that appeals have gone before the High Court.

The Pacific Islands Legal Information Institute now publishes cases and statutes online. Prior to this online publication system there was no regular law reporting in Nauru, which made the use of precedent more difficult. In 2001, a Law Reporting Committee was established by the current Chief Justice. The reporting of Court cases and their publication is in progress and resources are being marshalled towards the publication of a set of the Nauru Law Reports. More comprehensive law reporting will ease accessibility to law practitioners of both the decisions of the Supreme Court and a body of Nauruan Common Law.

## **Civil (Public) Service**

In Nauru the main problem with the civil service is that it has not been clearly separated from the executive. This political interference also created some instability in the civil service, with frequent changes of the executive resulting in changes within the civil service as well. It is common in Nauru that whenever the government changes there will follow changes also within the Public Service. It is well known and accepted in the population that the changes will be a result of the directives of the Cabinet of the day. The general feeling, it would seem, that an appointment is justified in so far as it ensures that the new appointee is one who will support Government's policies and thus will be more effective in implementation. Similarly, appointments to lower positions within the Public Service are influenced by Cabinet. It is also common that most of these appointments will be in an acting capacity, indicative of most Cabinet's acceptance that the appointments are temporary and that Cabinet will maintain a standpoint for easy reshuffling of their appointees. This has been and continues to be fundamental to instability and the lack of continuity within the Public Service.

In terms of the role of Chief Secretary in the Civil Service, the Chief Secretary position is one of the few positions created under the Constitution. It is the top post in the Public Service, appointed by Cabinet. Its main role is to manage the Public Service and ensure that it operates effectively and efficiently, within set rules and within approved budget. Another vital role of the Chief Secretary is to provide the conduit between Cabinet and

Public Service, as it is essential that the Public Service perform its functions according to the policies of the Government.

The Public Service Appeals Tribunal is created under the Public Service Act and it sits from time to time to consider and adjudicate complaints lodged by public servants. The Chief Justice chairs the Tribunal. The public servants elect one member of the Tribunal. The other member is selected and appointed by Cabinet. Most of the matters handled by the Tribunal arise from wrongful or unfair dismissals.

### **Police and Prosecutors**

The Nauru Police Force (NPF) is the focus of a major AusAID strengthening project, which is due to commence early in 2004. At present it is recognised that the NPF is under resourced, under skilled, and suffering from a serious lack of morale. There is little public faith in the ability of the police to deal with crime.

In an incident a few years back, a Member of Parliament, with some relatives, went to the Police Station, where he forcibly released a relative who had been locked up pending charges to be laid for assault and other offences. Even though that Member was brought to account for his transgression of the laws in the Police Station incident, the incidence demonstrated the extent of interference by politicians in the execution of the duties of the Police. It also indicated clearly the little regard that some Nauruan held for the NPF. On the other hand the department of Public Prosecution and NPF did show signs of being able to withstand, at least, that physical and direct onslaught of political interference. It is believed by those within NPF at all levels, and by many in the wider community, that the future of NPF is threatened significantly from political interference.

The Office of the Public Prosecutor however does not receive any Ausaid funding. The Public Prosecutors in the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) are very much under resourced. There are no photocopier, printers and faxes. Neither are there any computers that are fully functional in the DPP's office. These shortcomings have crippling effects on the performances of the office. The working of the office has been in doubt, because the Public Prosecutors are the very same lawyers for the government. There is also no separate budget for the Public Prosecutions office. It rather comes under the Justice Department budget. Even though the Prosecution is staffed with qualified efficient prosecutors, their performances are very much weakened by under funding and poor resources.

The work of the Public Prosecutor is hindered by ineffective investigations by the NPF. Relatively more members of the Nauru Police Force needs more training in investigative and evidence related laws. This results in the Public Prosecutor being presented with files that have insufficient evidence to go to trial. The public do not appreciate that the role of the Public Prosecutor is not to investigate complaints, but to take them to court, so some criticism is misdirected at the Public Prosecutor for failures to investigate cases. Whilst generally problems with investigations are attributed to lack of resources, including skills on the part of police officers there is also a perception both within and without the force that some investigations are manipulated on the basis of people applying pressure on senior officers either to drop cases or pursue them more aggressively.

Finally, the effectiveness of the NPF and the Public Prosecutor is called into question by the frequency with which presidential pardons are given. Every time a new President is elected, 3 years at most, a list of names is prepared by the Prison Warden for consideration to be pardoned. Currently, even if someone commits a crime and gets sent to jail, he or she may well be released within a few months. As such, the prosecution system is seen to have only limited deterring effect. This also contributes to a weakening of morale in these institutions. The presidential power to pardon has been 'Nauruanised' to such an extent that it distorted the system of justice, to punish proven offenders and to deter potential offenders, rendering it weak and ineffective.

## **Public Procurement**

The Government Loans Act 1972 vested in the cabinet the power to raise loans on behalf of the Republic. Government determines the maximum amount of every loan and such loans may be procured through the Minister responsible. After a loan is taken, the Minister responsible shall report to the Parliament as to the status of the loan taken, and its terms and conditions. The unlimited discretion given to the executive to raise an unlimited amount of loan is open for abuse, in view of the very small number of executive (maximum of six members of Parliament). The scope of corruption in this area has never been studied and examined.

In 2002 and 2003 Ministers procured second hand vehicles from a company in Osaka, Japan. Government used some of the vehicles, but some were disposed of privately under the supervision of the Ministers involved. The procurement contract has not been made public. Neither, was the whole operation or exercise, involving hundreds of thousands of Treasury funds, reported and tabled in Parliament.

## **Ombudsman**

There is no Ombudsman or similar position in Nauru.

## **Investigative/Watchdog Groups**

There are no specific anti-corruption investigative or watchdog groups in Nauru.

## **Media**

Nauru has the Nauru Television (NTV), Radio Nauru broadcasting on FM 88.8mz., and the Nauru Bulletin, which all come under the auspices of the Department of Island Development and Industries and are operated by a Media Bureau. There is no privately owned newspaper. No stories on issues to do with corruption are routinely covered by these media sources.

## **Civil Society**

Civil society in Nauru includes traditional groups, community groups, religious groups, and more conventional non-governmental organisations (NGOs). The private sector is also an important, but under organised (in the sense of being a cohesive grouping), part of civil society.

## **Traditional Organisations**

There are no specific traditional organisations in Nauru though, as noted above, there remains an emphasis on the role of heads of families and on the privileged place of elders.

## **Private Sector and NGOs**

The private sector is very small in Nauru and is not active in calling for stronger accountability measures. No major NGO groupings exist in Nauru that are active in agitating for better accountability and less corruption.

## **Regional/Local Government**

Nauru has no other levels of government.

## **Progress with Government Strategy**

As noted before, progress has been patchy. Some efforts have been made at the international level to deal with accusations of corruption, but little has been done at the domestic level. This is explored further in the overview of government reforms in the next section on Anti-Corruption Activities.

### **Donor anti-corruption initiatives**

There is no donor activity or initiative in Nauru aimed and intended at creating and enhancing a viable NIS. This study hopefully will bring about change in this area.

### **Future research and donor support**

It is widely agreed that Nauru still has much to do to establish a system of laws with a practical and workable institutional framework, conducive to promoting a NIS. Creation of new institutions and introducing new laws should be the focus of future research and donor support.

Specific areas for future research and donor support are discussed below, in the next two sections of the report.

# Anti-Corruption Activities

## Overview of Government's Reforms

Due to the instability of government over the last ten years or more, it is very difficult to assess government reforms as far as corruption is concerned. From personal observation and experience it will not too incorrect to suggest that there is no Government reform directed at curbing and stopping corruption of any type in Nauru at all.

At the international level, Nauru has made great reforms in its financial laws particularly addressing Anti-Money laundering initiatives and harmful tax practices. This has come about after serious concerns were being raised by the international community and went as far as being black listed by the FATF & OECD including economic sanctions imposed. The international community raised security concerns with regards to the Pacific region which Nauru is in the forefront is properly described by the Australian senate committee Report 2003 when they state (p175) that:

The committee agrees that political stability of much of the Pacific cannot be overlooked. However, whilst the potential for terrorist organisations to infiltrate the region is a serious concern, albeit debatable, the inspired nature of translational crime-drug trafficking, small arms trafficking, and money laundering- is prevalent in parts of the Pacific...

Prior to 11 September 2001, combating terrorism was not seen as a serious priority in the region and many of the Pacific Island countries have no framework for dealing with terrorism. Transnational organised crime and terrorism however are now widely recognised as a growing problem. (Foreign Affairs, Defense and Trade References Committee 2003: 191) The Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (AUSTRAC) advised in August 2003 that Pacific Island countries are a priority area in its' international work, with a particular emphasis on providing support for developing anti money laundering and counter financing of terrorism programmes in the Pacific jurisdictions. This has prompted the senate report to recommend to the Australian government to dedicate additional funds to strengthen and support efforts in addressing money laundering and terrorist financing in the Pacific Island states. This also coincides with the Japanese government sponsored Pacific Islands Leaders Summit meeting in Okinawa in May 2003, whereby the Japanese government signed a "Joint Action Plan" or "Okinawa initiative" that prescribe one of the main action plan for Japan to support law enforcement programmes conducted by the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat and seminars on money laundering and tax-collection regimes.

As a result there, have been major reforms in Nauru for the last three years in the area of anti-money laundering and harmful tax practices. In 2001 the Parliament legislate Anti-Money Laundering Act 2001, criminalising money laundering and provide for proper checks in transactions done within the jurisdiction of Nauru. This Act was amended again in 2001 for further improvements. In 2003, the Anti-Money Laundering Act 2001 was repealed by the Anti-Money Laundering Act 2003. The legislation is in constant review in order to keep up to the standard the international community expects and the demands of the FATF. The Anti-Money Laundering Act 2003 (AML 2003) is more extensive than the Anti-Money Laundering Act 2001 and vigorously addressed the money laundering issue. The AML 2003 make use of Nauru's interpretation Act's definition of property which includes money, goods, choses in action, land and every description of property, whether movable or immovable; and also obligation, easements and every description of estate, interest and profit, present or future, vested or contingent, arising out of or incident to property as herein defined. Because of this inclusive nature and use of definition, the definition of 'money laundering' becomes of wider import than ever.

The Anti-Money Laundering Act 2003 makes money laundering an offence, defines financial transactions, establishes the Financial Institute Supervisory Authority (FISA), it empowers FISA to carry out investigation in a more effective manner including the power to obtain search warrants, property tracking and money orders. The AML further enhances the ability of Nauru to cooperate with foreign nations when coming to exchange of information and the Director of Public Prosecutions is empowered to freeze and forfeit assets in relation

to money laundering. The AML 2003 was again reviewed in light of its reform to eliminate money laundering and was recently amended when the Parliament enacted the Anti-Money Laundering (Amendment) Act 2004 on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2004. The Amendment has extended the definition of activities that financial institutions do to include trustees and beneficiaries of trusts.

In addition to the legislative reforms to the Anti-Money Laundering legislations, the Corporation Act 1972 along with the Banking Act 1975 has been heavily amended. The Corporation (Amendment) Act 2003 abolished the existence of offshore banking licences issued by Nauru and effectively terminated all the licences granted to both foreign and domestic corporations. Section 5(1) and Section 5(5) in particular addressed the issue of banking licences. Section 5(1) states that corporation cannot be registered for banking business and section 5(5) reads, the Minister may grant licences, if he thinks fit, to corporations and foreign corporations authorizing or nominee corporation, or of any such business. In conjunction with section 5(1), this prohibits a corporation under the Corporations Act from being incorporated (or licensed) for the purpose of banking. It does this through the word 'such' to which limits the licenses that can be granted under section 5(5).

At the same time, any existing licenses were terminated to make way for the legislative reforms. This was accomplished by section 5(A) of the Corporations (Amendment) Act 2003 which states as follows:

"Continuation and validity of existing licences"

5(A)

(1) The repeal of the power to grant licences to corporations and foreign corporations to carry on the business of banking pursuant to section of the Corporations Act shall not affect any license issued before such repeal and such licenses shall continue to exist, but

- (a) Shall expire at the expiration of 30 days after the commencement of this Act.
- (b) Unless the corporation is able to establish that it is either the wholly owned subsidiary of a Bank licensed in the United States of America, the Commonwealth of Australia, New Zealand or a member country of the European Union, to carry on banking there, in which case the registration of such a corporation shall expire six (6) months after the commencement of this Act;
- (c) Under no circumstances shall they be renewed.

(2) A licence granted pursuant to section 5 of the Corporation Act to carry on banking business is, with effect from the date of its annual expiry or the date which is 30 days after the commencement of this Act, whichever first occurs, for all purposes, void unless it is a bank prescribed under section 5(A)(1)(b) which in this case is, with effect from the date of its annual expiry or the date which is six (6) months after the commencement of this Act, whichever occurs first for all purposes, void.

The Parliament further enacted and passed the Banking (Amendment) Act 2004 on 27 February 2004 and made it clear that the Banking Act 1975 does not issue offshore banking licences and does not have the jurisdiction to operate such licences for offshore banking. These legislative reforms taken by Nauru therefore cater to the demands of the international community.

Further, the Republic of Nauru also made a political commitment on the Principles of Transparency and effective exchange of information in November 2003 to the OECD (Letter of Commitment from the President of Nauru to the OECD dated 3/12/03). These are the commitments that have been undertaken:

- EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION
  1. Agrees to the effective exchange of information for criminal tax matters, which shall become effective for the first tax year after 31 December 2003. For civil tax matters to become effective from the first tax year 31 December 2005. Such exchanges shall be achieved under negotiated tax information exchange agreements that require the effective exchange of information for specific tax matters pursuant to a specific request. The tax information agreements will define

the tax matters covered and include protections against unauthorized disclosures, unauthorized use of information and fishing expeditions.

2. For information requiring investigation and prosecution of criminal tax matters, information shall be provided without the requirement that the conduct being investigated must constitute a crime in the Nauru. Such information may however, not provided where the party requesting it cannot, under its own laws for purposes of enforcing its own tax laws, obtain such information.
3. For information required in civil tax matters, the absence of Nauru tax interest in the case or in obtaining the information shall not be a bar to the provision of such information. Such information however cannot be provided if the country requesting it done so purposely to enforce its own laws.
4. Costs to be determined on the capacity and volume of work required.

- **TRANSPARENCY**

1. Will ensure that information on beneficial ownership of companies, partnership and other legal entities and on trustees and beneficiaries of trusts established in Nauru are available to their tax or regulatory authorities. This includes companies and other entities having a place of business in PIS provided the information are present with the Republic of Nauru's jurisdiction. These are subject to the exchange agreements referred to above.
2. Subject to de minimis and other exceptions to be developed together with the OECD and other committed jurisdictions, Nauru agrees to require financial accounts to be kept by companies, partnership, trusts and other legal entities established or having a place of business in PIS. The requirement of audit accounts will follow accepted international standards.
3. The Nauru agrees that their tax or regulatory authorities, or such other authority as it may designate, will have access to bank information

- **STANDSTILL**

The Republic of Nauru will ensure that:

1. No new taxation regime or practice is introduced that fails to comply with the principles of transparency and effective exchange of information
2. No existing taxation regime or practice is modified in such a way that, after the modification, it would not comply with the principles of transparency and effective exchange of information

The financial system of Nauru (at the international but not domestic level) is therefore undergoing major reform. Though the legislative reforms are robust and may well live up to the standard the international community expects, implementing such complex legislation requires much expertise and resources that Nauru may not be able to afford. This legislative reform may therefore not withstand the test of time.

### **Assessment of Progress**

Again progress has been something largely confined to the international level and little has been achieved at the domestic level.

### **Donor anti-corruption initiatives**

There is no donor activity or initiative in Nauru aimed and intended at creating and enhancing a viable NIS though AusAID funding has been earmarked for improving police performance in 2004.

### **Assessment of priority areas, issues or activities**

There are five priority areas in which activities need to be undertaken:

1. The reform of laws and the creation of new NIS institutions.
2. General education and public awareness.
3. Institutional strengthening through capacity building.
4. Enforcement of the law.
5. Facilitation of the integration of NIS institutions.

In order for corruption to be addressed properly in Nauru, a legislative mechanism program first needs to be put into place. Such legislation would create an ombudsman commission; guarantee the independence of the Public Prosecutors Office; set a standard of behaviour for leaders like a leadership code of conduct; facilitate formation and introduction of political parties; and create independent institutions to monitor the process of establishing NIS.

The second step is then to focus on raising public awareness through education. The people are to understand and appreciate what is expected of leaders and corruption issues. Enforcement of the law should proceed from there and will, hopefully, have an educational aspect at the same time. The process of enforcement will provide opportunities to review the mechanisms and determine which area or part needs strengthening.

Capacity building could then be focused on. Eventually, there should be sufficient resources to tackle the thankless and onerous task of monitoring the individual behaviours of leaders, along the line of compliance with the leadership code.



## **Key Issues**

### **The National Integrity System (the NIS)**

The National Integrity System in Nauru needs a lot of comprehensive legislative work to establish and regulate institutions, and encourage transparency and accountability. The legislative structure at the moment is insufficient to encourage and support efforts for greater transparency and accountability.

The National Integrity System of Nauru in as far as money laundering is concerned is, on the other hand, robust and up to the international standard defined by the FATF. Nauru is also committed to the principals of transparency and effective exchange of information with regards to harmful tax practices to the standards the OECD prescribes. This however must as yet stand the test of implementation as Nauru may not have the capacity to allocate the resources as required by the legislation for the full implementation.

### **Effectiveness of Government and Donor-Sponsored Activities**

Again effective measures have largely been restricted to money-laundering and offshore banking issues. Many more areas need to be addressed.

### **Priorities and Recommendations**

As stated above, there are five priority areas in which activities need to be undertaken:

- Comprehensive review of the law and the creation of new pieces of legislation;
- General education and public awareness;
- Institutional strengthening through capacity building;
- Enforcement of the law; and
- Facilitation of the integration of NIS institutions within Nauru's political and social context.

The Nauru national integrity system at this stage needs a lot of changes and upgrading especially with regards to its laws and with respect to the creation of official watchdog institutions. The instability in the Government and the non-existence of vocal NGO's has been a major cause of difficulties in assessing the extent of corruption in Nauru. However, the biggest handicap in uplifting transparency to an internationally acceptable standard is the attitude of the Nauruan people themselves, which, in the final analysis, comes down to lethargic apathy. External assistance may be required to kick start the necessary process of change.

## Appendix 1 – Questionnaire

### The Executive

#### **Can the Citizens sue the government for infringements of their civil rights?**

##### *Formal and legal position*

Under Article 3 of the Constitution every individual has the following rights:

- Life, liberty, security of persons, enjoyment of property and protection of the law.
- Freedom of conscience, expression and peaceful assembly and association
- Respect for privacy and family life.
- Restrictions of this rights.
- Must not prejudice to rights and freedom of others
- Must not prejudice the Public interest.
- Customary law, Common law, and Equity rights are subject to the Constitution and Customs and Adoption Acts and any other Acts of Parliament.

Under Article 5(4) a person can complain to the Supreme court if unlawfully detained and constitutional rights breached.

Under the Republic Proceedings Act 1972 Section 3: No one can sue any public officer, nor any member of the Cabinet unless permission is granted by Cabinet. A person however can sue the Republic without the consent of Cabinet, if he or she is suing for a breach of contract of service or employment with the Republic or the Republic has a judgement debt due on that person.

Under the Corporations Act 1972 Section 248: No action can be taken against the Republic, the Cabinet, Judge or any public officer in relation to any functions/duties he or she performed under the Act.

##### *What actually happens*

No public officer has been sued yet.

#### **Are there procedures for the monitoring of assets, including disclosure provisions:**

- **For the cabinet?**
- **For high-level officials?**

##### *Formal and legal position*

For the Cabinet, the Constitution states that:

Article 17 Executive Authority of Nauru is vested with the Cabinet. It has the general direction and control of the Government of Nauru. The Cabinet is responsible to Parliament.

Article 18 (3) A member of Cabinet must not hold an office of profit in the service of Nauru or of a statutory corporation.

For high-level officials, the Nauru Fisheries and Marine Resources Authority Act 1997 Section 13 states that a director who has pecuniary interest on a subject matter about to be discussed must disclose on the Board meeting or by giving prior notice in writing to the Board.

##### *What actually happens*

Parliament has on a few occasions queried the assets of Cabinet Ministers but such questions are mostly left unanswered.

Ministers have held positions contravening the spirit if not the essence of Article 18 (3) whilst Section 13 of the Act is rarely adhered to.

**Are there any differences in procedures and disclosure provisions between elected ministers, appointed ministers and high-level officials?**

There are no rules of disclosure for all of them.

**Are there conflict of interest rules**

- **For ministers?**
- **For high level officials?**

*Formal and legal position*

Constitution, Article 18(3) states that a member of the cabinet must not hold an office of profit in the service of Nauru or of a statutory corporation. The Criminal Code, Section 89 also holds that (with regards to public officers who are interested in contracts): any public officer who knowingly gives a contract to a company he has direct/indirect interest commits an offence.

*What actually happens*

Conflict of interest is generally considered unimportant concept and transgressions are not seen to be worth the time and effort to punish.

**Are there rules and registers concerning gifts and hospitality?**

- **For Ministers?**
- **For high level officials?**

The only rules relating to official corruptions are the sections 87 and 88 of the Criminal Code. There are no other rules and no register of any sort is kept. Here, in the Criminal Code Act Sections 87 and 88 Official corruptions, any public servant who accepts gifts etc is guilty of offence.

**If so, are these registers kept up to date?  
By whom?**

Not applicable.

**Have they legal powers to enforce disclosure?**

No.

**Have they staff to investigate allegations?**

No.

**What powers of sanction are in place against ministers who are also parliamentarians?  
Have they been invoked?**

No power to sanction.

**What powers of sanction are in place against high level officials?  
Have they ever been invoked?**

No power to sanction.

**Are there restrictions on post ministerial office employment?**

- **By ministers?**
- **By high-level officials?**

No. There is no law at all which regulates post ministerial office employments, for either a minister or high officials.

**Are members of the executive obliged by law to give reasons for their decisions?**

*Formal and legal position*

Some Acts require Ministers to give reasons for their decisions. However, most Acts do not obligate cabinet ministers to give reasons for their decisions.

*What actually happens*

The Cabinet under Article 17(2) of the Constitution is collectively responsible to Parliament, rendered ministers feeling obligated to give reasons for their decisions to questions in Parliament.

**Do ministers or equivalent officials have and exercise the power to make the final decision in ordinary contract award and licensing cases?  
Is this power limited to special circumstances?**

There are no rules relating to awarding of contracts.

In practice ministers do make final decisions in awarding ordinary contracts.

**Are there administrative checks and balances on decisions of individual members of the executive?**

Article 17(2) Cabinet is collectively responsible to Parliament is perhaps the only means of checking cabinet ministers. Such a check is woefully inadequate.

## **Legislature**

**Is the legislature required to approve budget?**

*Formal and legal position*

Under Article 59 of the Constitution the budget must be tabled and passed by the parliament before the financial year commences on 1<sup>st</sup>. July.

*What actually happens*

Over the last 2 years the government has shown preference to seeking funds from Parliament by way of a Supply Act rather than an Appropriation Act.

**Are there significant categories of public expenditure that do not require legislative approval? (Which departments does this involve, what is their**

**expenditure and what percent does this represent of the government's annual expenditure?)**

*Formal and legal position*

All expenditures of public funds require parliamentary approval. Under Article 61(4) the cabinet can withdraw up to one quarter of the intending budget.

*What actually happens*

Over the last few years some funds received from sources overseas have been spent without parliamentary approval.

**Are there conflict of interest rules for parliamentarians?**

There are no rules pertaining to conflict of interest for members of Parliament.

**Are there rules and registers concerning gifts and hospitality for parliamentarians?**

There are no such rules and registers.

**Are there rules and registers concerning gifts and hospitality for parliamentarians?**

There are no such rules and registers.

**If so, are these registers kept up to date?  
By whom?**

Not applicable.

**Have they legal powers to enforce disclosures?**

No legal power to enforce disclosure.

**Have they staff to investigate allegations?**

No.

**What powers of sanction are in place against parliamentarians?**

The only means of sanctioning parliamentarians are the same as those applicable to the general public.

**Have they been invoked?**

Yes

**Are there restrictions on post legislature employment?**

No restriction whatever.

## Elections

**Is there an independent Electoral Commission (If not, are the arrangements for elections in the hands of agencies who are widely regarded as being non-partisans)?**

Under the Electoral Act 1965-1973 Section 4 an Electoral Registrar, Deputy Electoral Registrar and Returning officer have been established. Since the appointment of the Registrar is by the President himself, he might be independent. The absence of rules prescribing the qualifications or merits of the Electoral Registrar to be qualified for appointment does not support the fact that the Electoral Registrar is independent.

**Who appoints the Head of the Commission?**

Electoral Act 1965-1973 Section 4: The President appoints the Electoral Registrar, Deputy and the Returning Officer

## Political Party Funding

**Are there rules on political funding?**

There are no rules specifically regulating political funding or regulating political parties. However, sections 102 and 103 of the Criminal Code, makes bribery and undue influence as offences.

**Are substantial donations and their sources made public?**

**Are there rules on political party expenditures?**

**Are political party accounts published.**

**Are accounts checked by an independent institution, are they published and are they submitted to parliament?**

**Does that institution start investigations on its own initiative?**

**Who appoints the head of the institution?**

All not applicable.

## Supreme Audit Institution

**Is the national auditor general independent?**

*Formal and legal position*

Article 66 Constitution establishes the office of Director of Audit. The Audit Act 1973 prescribed for the appointment, salary, conditions of service, duties, powers and functions of the Director and for the auditing of the public accounts and for other purposes related thereto

**Is the appointment of the general auditor required to be based on professional criteria/merit?**

No, there is no requirement under the Audit Act 1973 which prescribe merits for appointment to the office of the Director of Audit. The Chief Secretary appoints the Director of Audit with the consent of the cabinet.

**Is the appointee protected from removal without relevant justification?**

The office of the Director of Audit is a constitutional office and is subject to the public service Act 1998 for provisions of removal and discipline. The Audit Act itself does not protect the Director of Audit from removal without relevant justification.

**Is the office of Auditor General adequately resourced?**

It is not clear since attempts to contact the office were unsuccessful however the last audit report was in 1998 and it reflects that the office is not adequately resourced.

**Are all public expenditures audited manually?**

Yes.

**Is reporting up to date?**

Formal and legal position

*The Audit Report is to be tabled in Parliament yearly by the President.*

What actually happens

*The Report is often delayed, sometimes by a year or more, due to the inability of other departments to present accounting and supporting documents on a timely basis.*

**Are reports submitted to a Public Accounts Committee and /or debated by the legislature?**

**Are they acted on by the government?**

*Formal and legal position*

The Report is submitted annually to the President. It will then be submitted to Cabinet before being tabled in Parliament, where it is debated before it is sent to the Public Accounts Committee for further scrutiny and report back to the house by a certain date. The PAC normally report back with recommendations. The report and recommendations of PAC will be subjected to further debate before a decision is made by the House whether to accept or reject the report and recommendations in its entirety or any part of it. There is no provision for government to act on any report.

*What actually happens*

Reports are frequently tabled late. By the time the report has been debated and recommendations made, most aspect of the report is out of date. Members are disadvantaged in providing meaningful debate and scrutiny as they are not resourced and staffed adequately to be able to do so.

**Are all public expenditures declared in the official budget?**

*Formal and legal position*

They should be for even if there are no local provisions to do so, the standards and practice in both the Australian and British Parliament are applicable.

*What actually happens*

Although governments may try to comply, it is dependant on those public service whose function it is to prepare the official budget. If there is no capacity then not all public expenditures will be declared.

## Judiciary

### **Have courts the jurisdiction to review the actions of the executive (i.e. Presidency, the Prime Minister or other ministers and their officials)?**

#### *Formal and legal position*

The Supreme Court does have inherent power to review administrative decisions under certain conditions, however the Republic Proceedings Act 1972 section 3 requires the complainant in such matters to seek consent of the Cabinet. An executive act of the President is unlikely to be reviewed by the Supreme Court including acts of State.

#### *What actually happens*

On rare occasions the Supreme Court has to review executive decisions or any quasi-tribunal decisions. In 2003 the Supreme Court reinstated the DOP who was removed on the decision of his Minister.

### **Are judges/investigative magistrates independent?**

#### *Formal and legal position*

Principally through the common law theory of the tripartite government, the judges or magistrate are supposed to be independent. However, there is nothing in the Constitution which prescribes the separation of powers. The judges and magistrates are independent of the executive.

#### *What actually happens*

So far there is no evidence that Government has interfered, in any way, with the work of the courts. . In practice, the lack of financial and other resources affects the work of the courts and thereby its independence.

### **Are appointments required to be based on merits?**

#### *Formal and legal position*

Under the Courts Act, magistrates/Judges are appointed based on qualifications, i.e. must be admitted or eligible to be admitted as a barrister or Solicitor in the Supreme court of Nauru or other jurisdiction recognised and must have at least five years experience.

#### *What actually happens*

Appointment of magistrates are done with due regard of merit. However, because of very limited number of qualified Barristers and Solicitors, judges and magistrates are recruited from other countries.

### **Are the appointees protected from removal without relevant justification?**

The appointees are protected. Under the Constitution, the Chief Justice and judges can only be removed by Parliament on proven grounds of incompetence or incapacity with no less than three quarters of the Members approval.

### **Are recruitment and career development based on merit?**

For the support staffs of the Magistrates and Judges, there is no requirement prescribed though merits can be accounted.



**Have there been instances of successful prosecutions of corrupt senior officials in the past three years?**

No. However, there have been some offences alleged against some senior officials now presently before the police but not with corruption complaints.

## **Civil Services**

**Are there laws establishing criminal and administrative sanctions for bribery?**

Only the Criminal code as prescribed above for bribery and undue influence. There are no specific laws which prescribe administrative sanction for bribery.

**Are there rules requiring political independence of the civil services?**

No.

**Are there recruitment/career development rules based on merit?**

It is believed that there are no such rules.

**Are there specific rules to prevent nepotism, cronyism? (note: rules discriminating positively in favour of marginalised or minority groups are not included in this description)**

No.

**Are there restrictions on post public service employment?**

No. There are simply no rules in post public service employment.

**Are procedures and criteria for administrative decisions published (e.g. for granting permits, licences, bank loans, building plots, tax assessments, etc)?**

No.

**Are there complaint mechanisms for public servants and whistleblower protections measures?**

There are no complaint mechanisms, which can protect the complainant. The only mechanism that is available is for the complaint to be addressed to the head of Department and the HOD will convey to the Chief Secretary if necessary. Such complaints however, do not protect the complainant from adverse repercussions. The Public Service Appeals Board exists to hear complaints from public servants. The Police Service Appeals Board hears complaints from the police officers.

**Are there administrative checks and balances on decisions of individual public officials?**

No.

## **Police and Prosecutors**

### **Is the Commissioner of Police Independent?**

No. The Director for Police is appointed by the Chief Secretary but with the Consent of the Cabinet. Without the consent of the Cabinet, no appointment can be made. In this context, therefore the Director of Police is at the end of the day subject to the approval of the cabinet and is not independent at all in this perspective. In carrying out the duties context, the Director of Police's duties are prescribed under the Nauru Police Force Act 1972.

### **Are appointments based on merit?**

There are no requirement prescribed by any rules or laws therefore appointments can or not be based on merit at all.

### **Is the appointee protected from removal without justification?**

Under the Nauru Police Force Act 1972, the Director of Police can only be removed on certain grounds only, in that respect, the Director may be protected. However, the Chief Secretary still has the power to revoke his appointment at anytime and the Director is not protected at all from such revocation.

### **Are Public Prosecutors independent?**

No. The Public Prosecutors are also the government lawyers in the Justice Department. The Director of Public Prosecutions is the Secretary for Justice. There are also no rules regarding independence of the prosecutions.

### **Are there special units for investigating and prosecuting corruption crimes?**

No

### **Is there an independent mechanism to handle complaints of corruption against the police?**

No

### **Does the civil Society have a role in such a mechanism?**

No.

### **In the last five years, have police officers suspected of corruption been prosecuted (or seriously disciplined or dismissed)?**

No.

### **Are there any cases of corruption within the prosecution agencies?**

No.

### **Which legislative instruments can be used by the police and public prosecutors for the investigation and prosecution of cases of corruption/bribery?**

The Criminal Code.

**Is the law applied?**

Yes when possible.

**Is private to private corruption punishable by law?**

No. There are no laws for private corruptions.

**Is the law applied?**

Not applicable.

**How many cases of prosecution have been undertaken in the past years?**

There are no prosecutions at all for the past years for corruptions.

**How many have been successful?**

Not applicable.

**If the number is low, are there other effective measures or other good reasons why the number is low?**

Not applicable.

## **Public Procurement**

**Do rules for public procurement require competitive bidding for all major procurements with limited exceptions?**

Government has not created such rules to govern public procurement.

**Are the rules laid down in documents publicly accessible?**

If there are such rules they are not publicly accessible.

**Are there strict formal requirements that limit the extent of sole sourcing?**

It does not appear to be any.

**Are all major public procurements widely advertised to the private sector?**

They are not widely advertised locally perhaps because of the small size of the private sector.

**Are procurement decisions made public?**

Not at all.

**Is there a procedure to request review of procurement decisions?**

There is no such procedure.

**Can an unfavourable decision be reviewed in a court of law?**

It is possible under the general authority of the Courts, but subject to other laws.

**Are there provisions for blacklisting of companies proved to have bribed in a procurement process?**

There is no such provision.

**Are there rules and procedures to prevent nepotism/conflict of interest in public procurement?**

There are no such rules and procedures.

**Are assets, incomes and life styles of public procurement officers monitored?**

As there are no provisions specifically for the appointment of such officers, there are no procedures in place to monitor their life styles and assets.

## **Ombudsman**

This section is not applicable as there is no ombudsman or equivalent in the Republic of Nauru. There are also no laws which can create such a position.

## **Investigative/Watchdog Agencies (e.g Anti-Corruption Bureau)**

There are no watchdog or special investigative agencies or equivalent in the Republic of Nauru, outside of DPP and director of Audit. This section is therefore also not applicable.

## **Media**

**Is there a law guaranteeing freedom of speech and of the press?**

Though Constitution Article 3 prescribed the right on censorship, there is no article in the Constitution or any legislation, which clearly guarantee freedom of speech of the Press or the Public.

**Is there censorship of the media?**

Yes, media in the Republic is highly censored by Government of the day.

**Is there a spread of media ownership?**

No, there is only government owned media in Nauru.

**Does any publicly-owned media regularly cover the views of government critics?**

No.

**Have journalists investigating cases of corruption been physically harmed in the last five years?**

No, but foreign journalists are often refused entry permits to come in Nauru.

**Does the media carry articles on corruption?**

No. Articles of corruption however, do appear in Australian Media and elsewhere from time to time.

**Do media licensing authorities use transparent, independent and competitive criteria and procedures?**

No such criteria and procedures exist in Nauru.

**Are libel laws or other sanctions (e.g. withdrawing of state advertising) used to restrict reporting of corruption?**

Libel laws does not restrict reporting of corruption as libel laws are basically UK based statute law, however since there is only one media highly censored by the government, it is difficult to publicise such article in the first place.

## **Civil Society**

**Does the public have access to information and documents from public authorities?**

No. Most of the information and documents from Public authorities are protected by official information Act. Nevertheless, documents that can be viewed by public, there is no provision or law which prescribe how the public can access such information and documents. Public authorities view members of the public with suspicion if they enquire about such information and documents.

**Do the public authorities generally co-operate with civil society groups?**

Generally public authorities often view civil groups suspiciously and cooperation is often difficult.

**Are there citizen's groups or business groups campaigning against corruption?**

There are no citizen groups, which actively campaign against corruption.

**Are there citizen's groups monitoring the government's performance in areas of service delivery, etc?**

No

**Do citizen's groups regularly make submissions to the legislature on proposed legislation?**

No

**Does the education system pay attention to integrity issues and corruption/bribery? Is it expected to?**

No and it is not expected to either.

## **Traditional Organisations**

**To what extent are traditional organisations, such as councils of chiefs, subject to the National Integrity System? And to what extent are they part of the National Integrity System?**

The main traditional organisation in Nauruan traditional community is the family, the extended family, and then the Tribe. Each tribe has a chief and some elders. Tribal chiefs and tribal lores have been waning in importance since independence and in 1992 the last bastion of chiefdoms, the NLGC, was abolished.

**Is their funding and staffing subject to external review and audit?**

No

**To what extent are they part of the NIS controlling corruption in other bodies?**

They play a negligible part in controlling corruption.

**What anti corruption measures, formal or informal, do they apply to their own members?**

None.

**To what extent are their deliberations and decisions open to the public, and the media?**

Their deliberations and decisions are closed.

## **Private Sector and NGOs**

**What measures have private companies adopted to reduce corruption within their own activities?**

Under the Cooperation's Act 1972 there are no rules whatsoever that demands for anti-corruption practices by Private companies incorporated under that legislations.

**What measures have private companies, or Chambers of Commerce, adopted to discourage their members from corrupting public officials?**

There are no measures currently in place by the private companies to corrupt public officials.

**What has the impact of privatization and outsourcing and increased use of NGOs in service delivery been on opportunities for corruption, and the control of corruption?**

There have been no privatisations and there are no NGO's in Nauru.

**What measures have NGOs or peak bodies adopted to reduce opportunities for corruption in their own activities?**

Not applicable.

**What measures have Churches adopted to reduce opportunities for corruption in their own activities?**

Nothing has been adopted to reduce opportunities for corruption.

## **Regional and Local Government**

This section is not applicable as Nauru does not have a regional or local government. It only has the national government.

## **Progress with Government Anti-Corruption Strategy**

**Has the government announced an anti-corruption strategy and a timetable for implementation?**

The Republic of Nauru also made a political commitment on the Principles of Transparency and effective exchange of information in November 2003 to the OECD (Letter of Commitment from the President of Nauru to the OECD dated 3/12/03). A number of commitments have been undertaken but it is too early to know if these aims are being achieved.

**How much of the strategy has been implemented?**

Not yet applicable as the strategy has only just begun.

**Is the strategy at national level or regional/local level?**

The only anti-corruption efforts undertaken thus far have been at the national level aimed largely at international issues and the new commitments follow this theme.

**Is the government meeting its own timetable?**

Not yet applicable.

## **Donor Anti-Corruption Activities**

**Which bilateral and multilateral donor agencies are based in the country?**

Australia is the major donor to Nauru.

**What types of anti-corruption initiatives have they supported?**

AusAID is currently supporting a review of the role of the police.

**Are there any examples of donors cooperating or coordinating their programmes?**

Not applicable.

## **Future Research and Donor Support**

**Can key areas or issues be identified in terms of corrupt activity that the research for the report has demonstrated as requiring immediate attention, and which are they?**

The creation of new laws and the enforcement of existing laws needs to occur, and currently does not happen with any regularity. This will involve the strengthening of the police, but might also mean that other institutions such as an Ombudsman position need to be newly created too.

**Is there a particular aspect of corrupt activity either particular to the country concerned, or significant in terms of effect or impact, that would require more in-depth research?**

More research is needed into the cultural and economic context of Nauru to identify why people do not care about corruption. Increased coordination and education between different organisations to build a national integrity system is also important.

**Is there a particular approach or initiative to combating corruption that may be considered for further research or study as an example of best practice?**

Research into the role of culture and tradition as both being supportive of and detrimental to modern anti-corruption strategies.

**Can key areas or issues relating to possible anti-corruption initiatives be identified as requiring donor support?**

Again there are six priority areas in which activities need to be undertaken to ensure the strengthening of Nauru's NIS:

- Creation of NIS institutions
- Reform of the law
- General education and public awareness
- Institutional strengthening through capacity building
- Enforcement of the law
- Facilitation of integration of the NIS

Donor support might help with institution building and practical measures such as redefining the role of the police (as is occurring now).

**Can key areas or issues relating to anti-corruption initiatives be identified in terms of forming the basis for potential donor prioritisation, sequencing, cooperation and coordination?**

- Institutional strengthening.
- Education initiatives.



## Appendix 2 – References

Amo, Naoero "The Visionary" Quote of the Month 14/6/01 Issue No 4-01

FATF Annual Review of Non-cooperative countries and Territories 20 June 2003

Foreign Affairs, Defense and Trade References Committee-**A Pacific Engages**-Australia's relations with Papua New Guinea and the Island states of the South-west Pacific. Senate Printing Unit, Parliament House, Canberra, August 2003 at 191

Fossen, A V Pacific Tax Havens, Terrorism and September 11, dated 12/9/02, as found at <http://www.abc.net.au/rn/talks/perspective/stories/s674392.htm>, accessed on 7/1/2004.

Letter of Commitment from the President of Nauru to the OECD dated 3/12/03

USA Department of Treasury, 31 CFR part 103, RIN 1500-AA 43.

## **Appendix 3 – Legal References**

Anti-Money Laundering Act 2003.  
Anti-Money Laundering (Amendment) 2004.  
Audit Act 1973  
Banking Act 1975.  
Banking (Amendment) Act 2004.  
Constitution of Nauru  
Corporation Act 1972.  
Corporation (Amendment) Act 2001  
Courts Act 1972  
Customs and Adopted Act 1971  
Criminal Code Act 1879  
Criminal Justice Act 1999  
Family Court Act 1973.  
Government Loans Act 1972  
Limitations Act 1983.  
Nauru Local Government Council Dissolution Act 1992.  
Nauru Police Force Act 1972  
Official Information Act 1976.  
Parliamentary Powers, Privilege & Immunities Act 1976.  
Parliamentary Superannuation Act 1991.  
Public Accounts Committee Act 1992.  
Public Finances (Control and Management) Act 1997.  
Public Service Act 1998.  
Republic Proceedings Act 1972.