

Weekly

August 5, 2005 / Vol. 54 / No. 30

Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis in Hmong Refugees Resettling from Thailand into the United States, 2004–2005

In December 2003, the U.S. Department of State initiated a resettlement program for 15,707 Hmong refugees who had been displaced from Laos and were living on the grounds of Wat Tham Krabok, a Buddhist temple in Thailand. In January 2005, reports of tuberculosis (TB) cases among refugees still in Thailand and refugees who had arrived in the United States, including some cases caused by multidrugresistant* (MDR) strains, prompted a 1-month travel suspension. After enhanced screening in Thailand and intensified TB-control measures in the United States, resettlement resumed on February 16. A majority of the Hmong refugees in Thailand and the United States with TB diagnosed were started on treatment and monitored. As of July 15, no additional TB cases had been diagnosed among newly resettled Hmong refugees. U.S. health departments should continue to ensure careful monitoring for TB among this refugee group.

Approximately 50,000-70,000 refugees resettle in the United States each year (1). Before resettlement, all refugees undergo medical screening to prevent importation of diseases that pose an immediate public health risk. The standard TBscreening algorithm, used in early 2004 to evaluate Hmong refugees in Thailand, includes a medical history and physical examination for all applicants and a chest radiograph for persons aged ≥ 15 years. Applicants with clinical or radiologic findings suggestive of TB disease submit three sputum specimens for acid-fast bacilli (AFB) smear microscopy. Those with positive results must begin anti-TB treatment and have follow-up specimens with consistently smear-negative results before travel to the United States is allowed.[†] The standard premigration algorithm was revised in May 2004 to add requirements for mycobacterial culture and drug-susceptibility testing.

*Defined as resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampin.

[†] Medical Examination of Aliens, 42 C.F.R. § 42; 2004.

During June 2004–January 2005, the United States resettled 9,459 Hmong refugees in 20 states (Table and Figure). As the newly arrived refugees underwent health assessments at local health departments and in private health-care facilities, 37 TB cases, including four MDR cases, were reported. This finding coincided with assessments in Thailand, where 17 (33%) of 52 culture-confirmed cases among refugees were determined to be MDR. In contrast, among all new TB cases reported in the United States during 2004 with drug-susceptibility results, 1% were MDR TB (2). Hmong refugee travel to the United States was suspended to allow for epidemiologic investigation and to prevent further importation of TB cases.

In January 2005, coordinated investigations were conducted in Thailand and the United States by the International Organization for Migration, CDC, the Thailand Ministry of Public Health, the U.S. Department of State, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Global Health Affairs, and state and local health departments to describe the epidemiology of TB disease and to direct TBcontrol measures among the refugees. The case definition for TB disease required either 1) bacteriologic evidence (i.e., sputum-smear microscopy or culture) or 2) a decision to

INSIDE

- 744 Fatal Injuries Among Volunteer Workers United States, 1993–2002
- 747 Interim Guidance for Minimizing Risk for Human Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus Infection Associated with Rodents
- 749 Tiered Use of Inactivated Influenza Vaccine in the Event of a Vaccine Shortage
- 750 Notice to Readers
- 751 QuickStats

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article Title]. MMWR 2005;54:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH Director

Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH Chief Science Officer

Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD (Acting) Associate Director for Science

Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service

Blake Caldwell, MD, MPH, and Edward J. Sondik, PhD (Acting) Directors

National Center for Health Marketing*

Steven L. Solomon, MD (Acting) Director

Division of Scientific Communications*

Maria S. Parker (*Acting*) *Director*

Mary Lou Lindegren, MD (Acting) Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA Managing Editor, MMWR Series

Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

> Stephanie M. Neitzel Jude C. Rutledge *Writers-Editors*

Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete *Visual Information Specialists*

Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Notifiable Disease Morbidity and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Patsy A. Hall Deborah A. Adams Felicia J. Connor Rosaline Dhara Donna Edwards Tambra McGee Pearl C. Sharp TABLE. Tuberculosis (TB) disease in Hmong refugees resettled from Thailand into the United States, by state — June 2004–January 2005

State	No. of Hmong refugees resettled	No. of Hmong refugees with TB disease diagnosed after resettlement*	No. of Hmong refugees with multidrug- resistant [†] TB diagnoses*
Minnesota	3,319	4	0
California	3,236	24	4
Wisconsin	2,139	7	0
Michigan	195	1	0
Ohio	44	1	0
15 other states§	526	0	0
Total	9,459	37	4

^{*}₊ As of July 15, 2005.

Defined as resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampin.

^S Alaska, Arkansas, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Massachusetts, Nebraska, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, Texas, Virginia, and Washington.

FIGURE. Timeline for resettlement of Hmong refugees and identification of tuberculosis (TB) cases — Thailand and United States, December 2003–July 2005



* Multidrug-resistant. Defined as resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampin.

initiate TB treatment in the context of radiographic abnormalities or clinical features consistent with TB.

Thailand

The investigation in Thailand began with an evaluation of laboratory procedures, which excluded the possibility of falsepositive culture results. Medical records of patients being treated for TB disease were reviewed, and all known patients were interviewed. Patient living quarters were mapped with global positioning system (GPS) technology to assess for potential geographic clustering of cases. Classmates of refugee children and other non-Hmong contacts were screened by chest radiograph and, if indicated, by sputum-smear microscopy.

* Proposed.

During March 2004–January 2005, a total of 272 refugees, including 11 (4%) children aged <15 years, received a diagnosis of TB disease. Thirty (11%) of the 261 persons aged \geq 15 years had AFB sputum-smear-positive pulmonary TB. One person tested positive for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), 258 tested negative, and results for two persons were unknown. Children aged <15 years were not routinely tested for HIV. Medical records and interviews revealed that three (18%) of the 17 culture-confirmed MDR TB patients had been treated previously for TB disease. Nine (53%) reported at least weekly contact with another MDR TB patient, and seven were linked through a social network that centered around a patient with sputum-smear-positive MDR TB. GPS mapping revealed widespread distribution of TB cases throughout the Hmong living quarters in Wat Tham Krabok (an area of 0.5 km²). No additional smear-positive TB cases were detected during screenings of classmates and other non-Hmong contacts in Thailand (n = 327).

In February 2005, the premigration screening algorithm for Hmong refugees was revised again. All refugees aged ≥ 6 months were rescreened with chest radiographs, and those aged 6 months to 10 years also underwent tuberculin skin testing. In addition, laboratory capacity was increased with addition of automated culture methods, access to MDR TB medications was ensured, and a team of physicians and nurses was established to provide expert case management for TB patients. Since the implementation of this enhanced algorithm, an additional 73 cases of TB disease have been diagnosed, including seven cases of MDR TB, resulting in an overall total of 345 TB cases (including 24 MDR). Patients are permitted to travel to the United States only after they have completed anti-TB treatment. As of July 15, a total of 341 Hmong refugees in Thailand had undergone treatment for TB disease under directly observed therapy, and 197 (58%) had completed treatment.

United States

Health departments in areas affected by the resettlement intensified surveillance for TB among the newly arrived refugees and continued providing diagnostic and treatment services for patients and their contacts. In addition, public health officials, resettlement agencies, and Hmong community organizations collaborated to determine educational needs and resources for sharing TB information with refugees and other members of the Hmong community in both Thailand and the United States.

California, where approximately one third of the refugees were resettled, reported 24 (65%) of the 37 TB cases, including 10 among children aged <15 years who, as directed by the initial screening algorithm, had not received a premigration TB screening. The 14 patients aged ≥15 years tested negative for HIV infection. Of the eight culture-confirmed cases in California, one (13%) had rifampin mono-resistance, and four (50%) were resistant to isoniazid, rifampin, ethambutol, and streptomycin. All four MDR TB patients had AFB sputum-smear–positive results. One MDR TB patient, who had initially tested rifampin-susceptible, acquired resistance to rifampin during treatment in Thailand. Local health departments have identified no secondary cases beyond immediate household members, although contact investigations continue.

Since resettlement resumed on February 16, approximately 3,500 additional Hmong refugees have been resettled to 22 states; none had TB diagnosed after arrival. Health departments continue to ensure that all recently arrived refugees are screened and treated for TB disease and infection when necessary. Health-care providers are asked to report to local and state health departments any additional TB cases detected in Hmong refugees who have arrived since June 2004.

Reported by: International Organization for Migration, Geneva, Switzerland. Thailand Ministry of Public Health–US CDC Collaboration; Dept of Disease Control, Thailand Ministry of Public Health. Fresno County Dept of Community Health. Sacramento County Dept of Health and Human Svcs. California Dept of Health Svcs. Michigan Dept of Community Health. Minnesota Dept of Health. Ohio Dept of Health. Wisconsin Dept of Health and Family Svcs. Bur of Population, Refugees, and Migration, US Dept of State. Office of Global Health Affairs, US Dept of Health and Human Svcs. Div of Global Migration and Quarantine, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Div of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, CDC.

Editorial Note: The global incidence of TB disease is increasing (3), and an increasing percentage of TB cases in the United States are occurring among foreign-born persons (2). The Institute of Medicine has recommended that the United States strengthen its role in global TB-control activities, including enhancement of overseas TB screening and treatment capacity (4). The standard of care for TB case management includes high-quality diagnostic services and medications, consistent use of directly observed therapy, and standardized monitoring of outcomes. Emergence of MDR TB can be prevented by adhering to this standard.

The World Health Organization estimates that, when standard laboratory services are available and diagnostic criteria are applied, at least 65% of passively detected pulmonary TB cases among adults will have AFB smear-positive results (5). In this investigation, only 11% of the cases diagnosed among refugees aged \geq 15 years awaiting resettlement were smear positive, suggesting that active surveillance might have led to overdiagnosis. Culture confirmation of 24 MDR cases in Thailand and four MDR cases in the United States in the same refugee population within 16 months is cause for concern. Why the reported number of TB cases among resettled refugees was higher in California and why MDR TB cases among resettled refugees were found only in California remains unknown.

Because of the high prevalence of TB disease among the refugees described in this report, all are at risk for recent exposure to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Recent infection is a major risk factor for progression to TB disease (6), but latent TB infection (LTBI) is not routinely treated in Thailand. Therefore, to prevent *M. tuberculosis* transmission and progression to TB disease in the United States, the domestic refugee health and TB programs affected by this resettlement should ensure postmigration monitoring and services for refugees, including treatment of LTBI.

These investigations and responses have required and will continue to demand considerable public health resources. Per person, the estimated costs of detecting disease and treating patients with LTBI range from \$208 to \$11,125, and the direct medical costs associated with TB and MDR TB disease range from \$3,800 to \$137,000, depending on case complexity.[§] These projections underestimate the costs for treating Hmong refugees because they exclude the additional expenses of providing culturally appropriate outreach, interpretation, and transportation services.

The annual number of immigrants to the United States continues to increase (1), and TB is the medical condition most frequently diagnosed among applicants for permanent residence (CDC, unpublished data, 2005). The number of imported TB cases described in this report would have been substantially greater if overseas screening had not been enhanced. For Hmong refugees resettling from Thailand, mycobacterial cultures and drug-susceptibility testing helped ensure appropriate treatment of patients with TB disease. These and other enhancements to standard premigration screening guidelines are under consideration for future U.S.-bound refugees and immigrants from other countries with a high TB burden.

References

- US Department of Homeland Security. 2003 yearbook of immigration statistics. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 2004. Available at http://uscis.gov/graphics/shared/statistics/yearbook/2003/ 2003yearbook.pdf.
- 2. CDC. Trends in tuberculosis—United States, 2004. MMWR 2005;54:245-9.
- 3. Dye C, Watt CJ, Bleed DM, Hosseini SM, Raviglione MC. Evolution of tuberculosis control and prospects for reducing tuberculosis incidence, prevalence, and deaths globally. JAMA 2005;293:2767–75.
- 4. Institute of Medicine. Ending neglect: the elimination of tuberculosis in the United States. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2000.
- World Health Organization. Treatment of tuberculosis: guidelines for national programs. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2003.
- 6. CDC. Targeted tuberculin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. MMWR 2000;49(No. RR-6).
- 7. Lambert L, Rajbhandary S, Quails N, et al. Costs of implementing and maintaining a tuberculin skin test program in hospitals and health departments. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2003;24:814–20.
- Marks SM. Potential cost savings by TB treatment regimen choice. TB Notes 2003;4:25–8. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchstp/tb/notes/ tbn_4_03/upd_clinical.htm.
- 9. Taylor Z, Marks SM, Rios Burrows NM, Weis SE, Stricof RL, Miller B. Causes and costs of hospitalization of tuberculosis patients in the United States. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2000;4:931–9.
- Rajbhandary SS, Marks SM, Bock NN. Costs of patients hospitalized for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2004;8:1012–6.

Fatal Injuries Among Volunteer Workers — United States, 1993–2002

In the United States, an estimated 59 million persons spend a median of 52 hours each year volunteering, most often in religious, educational, youth, or community service organizations; volunteers commonly perform activities such as coaching, campaigning, fundraising, delivering goods, and serving on boards or neighborhood associations (1). Few studies have analyzed fatal injuries to volunteers, and studies have typically focused on a specific volunteer group (e.g., Peace Corps). To characterize fatal injuries among volunteers in the United States, CDC analyzed data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries (CFOI)* for 1993-2002. This report describes the results of that analysis, which indicated that a total of 501 persons died from injuries sustained while volunteering during this period; most often these persons were firefighters and other volunteers who were operating motor vehicles at the time of death. To reduce these fatalities, organizations that rely on volunteers need to

[§] Estimated costs are derived from several studies (7–10). Direct medical costs of TB screening and treatment of LTBI caused by presumed isoniazid-susceptible strains are approximately \$208–\$311 per person without DOT. For each infected contact of a patient with MDR TB, California estimates follow-up and treatment costs to be \$11,125 (T. Porco, California Department of Health Services TB Control Program, personal communication, 2005). If drug-susceptible TB disease is diagnosed, treatment costs are approximately \$3,800 under daily DOT. Costs increase an additional \$19,000 when patients require hospitalization, as do approximately 50%. Direct medical costs associated with MDR TB hospitalization average \$53,000 and range from \$15,000 to \$137,000 per case. For each study, costs were updated to 2004 U.S. dollars by taking the costs determined by that study and multiplying them by the ratio of the medical care component of the consumer price index for 2004, divided by the index for the year of the study, or, for costs dominated by personnel, a similar ratio of wages.

^{*} By using death certificates, worker's compensation reports, state and federal agency records, and other supporting documents, CFOI collects data on all traumatic occupational fatalities in the 50 states and District of Columbia to determine worker demographics and the circumstances and causes of the fatality. CFOI data files provided to CDC do not include New York City.

provide adequate training (e.g., defensive driving and recognition of evacuation signals) on the basis of wellcommunicated and enforced safety and health policies.

CFOI classifies employee status into one of seven categories: 1) active-duty armed forces, 2) self-employed, 3) work in family business, 4) work for pay or compensation, 5) volunteer, 6) off-duty police, or 7) not reported. CFOI includes fatalities to volunteer workers if they were performing the same duties or functions as paid employees and they met the CFOI work-relationship definition.[†] For this study, deaths were included if the decedent's employment status category was marked "volunteer." Excluded were deaths resulting from the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. After numbers of deaths were obtained from CFOI, rates of death among volunteers were calculated by using estimates of median annual volunteer hours worked from the September 2002 Current Population Survey (CPS) volunteer supplemental survey (2)and converting those hours to full-time equivalents (FTEs) (i.e., 2,000 hours worked per person per year). CPS defines a volunteer as a person who performed unpaid activities for an organization (3).

During 1993–2002, three occupations accounted for approximately half of the 501 fatal injuries to volunteers: firefighters, 185 deaths (37%); nonconstruction laborers, 35 (7%); and pilots/navigators, 24 (5%). The remaining fatalities (all \leq 4%) were distributed across 13 occupations (Table 1). The single most common volunteer activity at the time of death was firefighting, for which 76 deaths (15%) were recorded. Driving a motor vehicle (e.g. automobile, truck, or farm vehicle) was recorded in 100 (21%) of the fatalities (Table 1). Under the system used by BLS to classify industry sectors, 240 (48%) deaths related to volunteer work occurred in public administration (including firefighting), 154 (31%) in services, and 23 (5%) in agricultural forestry and fishing. Median age of victims at the time of death was 41 years; 436 (87%) of the decedents were male.

The overall rate of death among volunteers was 3.2 per 100,000 FTE population (Table 2). Among 189 volunteer workers aged \leq 34 years, 103 (54%) were volunteer firefighters or firefighting supervisors. The fatal injury rates for volunteer

TABLE 1. Number* and percentage of deaths among volunteer workers, by occupation and activity at time of death — Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries (CFOI),[†] United States, 1993–2002

Occupation/Activity	No.	%§
Occupation		
Firefighter	185	37
Nonconstruction laborer	35	7
Pilot/Navigator	24	5
Religious worker	19	4
Construction laborer	16	3
Truck driver	15	3
Farm worker	12	2
Groundskeeper	12	2
Protective services	8	2
Health technician	7	1
Personnel services	7	1
Athlete	6	1
Manager/Administrator	6	1
Firefighting supervisor	5	1
Sales supervisor	5	1
Nonclassifiable	7	1
Activity		
Driving	100	21
Truck	49	10
Automobile	33	7
Farm vehicle	9	2
Driving not elsewhere classified (NEC)	9	2
Fighting a fire	76	15
Riding (automobile or truck)	37	7
Operating (airplane)	22	4
Riding (airplane)	20	4
Walking in or near roadway	15	3
Rescuing or evacuating	15	3
Directing, flagging traffic	14	3
Installing	12	2
Walking	10	2
Repairing	7	1
Protective service activities	7	1
Standing	7	1
Tending an establishment, waiting on customers	7	1
Constructing, assembling	6	1
Driving, operating bicycle or motorcycle	5	1
Walking behind vehicle	5	1
Other (miscellaneous, not reported, NEC)	136	27

* N = 501. Occupations with <5 volunteer workers (n = 132) are not reported. By using death certificates, worker's compensation reports, state and federal agency records, and other supporting documents, CFOI collects data on all traumatic occupational fatalities in the 50 states and the District of Columbia to determine worker demographics and the circumstances and causes of the fatality. CFOI data files provided to CDC do not include New York City.

Percentages do not total to 100% because of rounding.

workers aged \geq 35 years were lower when compared with the overall volunteer death rate. The rates among volunteers aged 20–24 and 25–34 years were 7.4 and 6.5 per 100,000 FTE population, respectively, more than twice the overall volunteer death rate and higher than the 1993–2002 average annual fatality rate for all workers aged 20–24 and 25–34 years of 3.5 and 3.9 per 100,000 employed, respectively (2).

[†] Available at http://www.bls.gov/iif/oshcfdef.htm.

[§]Current Population Survey (CPS), sponsored by the U.S. Census Bureau and BLS, is a multistage, stratified sample of approximately 60,000 households that provides current information on the labor force and demographic characteristics of the U.S. population. CPS includes the civilian, noninstitutionalized population aged ≥16 years. Response rate for the 2002 CPS survey was 92% (CPS, unpublished data, 2005). Volunteer supplemental surveys were conducted in 1989 and 2002–2004. This analysis used the 2002 volunteer survey to calculate rates. Response rate for the volunteer supplemental survey 2002 was 88% (CPS, unpublished data, 2005). Additional information is available at http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

Age group Volunteer dea		deaths	Volur (in thou	iteers isands)_	Median	Full-time equivalent (FTE)	Rate per 100,000	
(yrs)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	annual hrs	volunteers in 2002§	FTE volunteers ¹	
<u>≤</u> 15	12	(2)	**	_	_	_		
16–19	27	(5)	4,346	(7)	40	86,920	3.1	
20–24	47	(9)	3,515	(6)	36	63,270	7.4	
25–34	103	(21)	9,279	(16)	34	157,743	6.5	
35–44	86	(17)	15,089	(25)	52	392,314	2.2	
45–54	81	(16)	12,296	(21)	53	325,844	2.5	
55–64	54	(11)	7,146	(12)	60	214,380	2.5	
>65	85	(17)	7,492	(13)	96	359,616	2.4	
Total	495††	(99)	59,163	(100)	52	1,538,238	3.2	

TABLE 2. Fatal injuries to volunteer workers,* by age group and selected characteristics — Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries (CFOI),[†] United States, 1993–2002

* N = 501.

[†] By using death certificates, worker's compensation reports, state and federal agency records, and other supporting documents, CFOI collects data on all traumatic occupational fatalities in the 50 states and the District of Columbia to determine worker demographics and the circumstances and causes of the fatality. CFOI data files provided to CDC do not include New York City.

[§] FTE = full time equivalent. (Median hours divided by 2000) multiplied by number of volunteers in 2002.

¹ ([Number of volunteer deaths during 1993–2002 multiplied by 100,000] divided by 10 years) divided by number of FTE volunteers.

** Not available.

^{††}Age data for six cases were not available.

Reported by: *TW Struttmann, MPSH, BT Oerter, Div of Safety Research, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; RS Noe, MPH, EIS Officer, CDC.*

Editorial Note: Certain volunteer work, such as firefighting, performing structural repairs, or collecting roadside litter can involve inherently hazardous duties or environments that increase the risk for injury or death. Volunteers engaged in this work might not be sufficiently aware of the dangers involved or any health and safety regulations associated with the work. In addition, supervisors of volunteers might not have the same authority as employers of paid persons to make certain that health and safety regulations are followed. The findings in this report indicate that 28% of all work-related volunteer fatalities occurred while driving or riding in a motor vehicle and that the decedents were most commonly firefighters. To reduce the risk for fatalities, driver training should be provided to volunteer firefighters as described in National Fire Protection Association standard 1451 (4). Other organizations using volunteer drivers should consider adopting policies and providing education that emphasizes safe driving at work (5) and in the community (6).

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, CFOI might not capture all volunteer fatalities (i.e., deaths to volunteers in NYC or to persons involved in a motor-vehicle crash that might not have been identified as including a volunteer). Second, although the median number of hours worked by volunteers does not change substantially from year to year (7), calculation of death rates is based on the median hours of volunteer work reported from a single CPS volunteer supplemental survey (September 2002), which uses a sample of the U.S. population. Third, volunteer firefighters, although not typically paid for their work, might receive compensation such as reimbursement for annual medical exams or worker's compensation and retirement benefits. A stateby-state comparison of benefits is available at http:// www.nvfc.org. Finally, occupation-specific fatality rates could not be calculated because volunteer occupations in the CPS survey are not categorized by using the same occupation definitions as CFOI.

Organizations that use volunteers should create or maintain policies that incorporate safety education and training into structured volunteer training and orientation. Organizations should designate persons with authority to identify and correct potential hazards and should monitor the activities of volunteers for adherence to their policies. All organizations, whether using volunteers or paid staff, should 1) identify risks and establish safety plans that include administrative measures for enforcement, 2) implement any necessary engineering controls, and 3) provide workers with any needed personal protective equipment (8). To identify risks to firefighters, CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health operates an ongoing Fire Fighter Fatality Investigation and Prevention Program that investigates deaths among firefighters, including volunteer firefighters.

References

- 1. Borass S. Volunteerism in the United States. Monthly Labor Review. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics; August 2003:3–11. Available at http://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2003/08/ art1full.pdf.
- 2. US Department of Labor. Number, percent, and rate of fatal occupational injuries by selected worker characteristics. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2005. Available at http://www.bls.gov/iif.

- US Department of Labor. Current Population Survey: design and methodology. Technical Paper 63rv. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2002. Available at http:// www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.
- National Fire Protection Association. NFPA 1451: standard for a fire service vehicle operations training program. Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association; 1997. Available at http://www.nfpa.org.
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Work-related roadway crashes—challenges and opportunities for prevention. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; 2003. NIOSH publication no. 2003-119. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/ 2003-119.
- 6. Zaza S, Briss PA, Harris KW, eds. Motor vehicle occupant injuries [chapter 8]. In: The guide to community preventive services. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005.
- 7. US Department of Labor. Volunteering in the United States, 2004. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor; 2004. Available at ftp:// ftp.bls.gov/pub/news.release/volun.txt.
- 8. US Department of Labor. Job hazard analysis. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration; 2002. Available at http://www.osha.gov/Publications/osha3071.pdf.

Interim Guidance for Minimizing Risk for Human Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus Infection Associated with Rodents

On July 29, this report was posted as an MMWR Dispatch on the MMWR website (http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr).

In May 2005, CDC received reports of four organtransplant recipients with unknown illness. All were discovered to have been infected with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) via a common organ donor (1). Epidemiologic investigation traced the source of the virus to a pet hamster purchased by the donor from a local pet store. LCMV testing of other rodents at the pet store revealed three other LCMV-infected rodents (two hamsters and a guinea pig), supplied by a single distributor (distributor A). Preliminary laboratory testing of hamsters from distributor A has identified an infection rate of approximately 3% among the animals sampled. The facility of distributor A is under quarantine until it can be documented as free of LCMV infection. This report provides background information on LCMV and interim guidance* for the public on reducing risk for LCMV infection from pet rodents.

Background Information

LCMV is a rodent-borne arenavirus endemic in house mouse (*Mus musculus*) populations worldwide (3–5). Pet rodents (e.g., hamsters and guinea pigs) can become infected with LCMV after contact with wild rodents at a breeding facility, pet store, or home. The prevalence of LCMV in pet rodents is not known. Although other animals could possibly become infected with the virus, documented infections in humans have occurred only after exposure to infected mice, guinea pigs, and hamsters (6,7).

LCMV infection in humans with normal immune systems usually causes either asymptomatic or mild, self-limited illness, characterized by any or all of the following symptoms: fever, malaise, lack of appetite, muscle aches, headache, nausea, and vomiting. Aseptic meningitis also can occur in some patients, but the infection is rarely fatal (6). LCMV infection during the first or second trimester of pregnancy can cause severe illness or developmental defects in the fetus, including hydrocephalus, psychomotor retardation, and blindness (ϑ); the proportion of developmental defects caused by LCMV is not known. Serologic studies of previous infection in humans in urban areas of the United States have demonstrated a prevalence of previous LCMV in those populations of approximately 5% (ϑ).

Person-to-person transmission has not been associated with LCMV, except for transmission from mother to fetus or through organ transplantation (1). Human infection occurs most commonly through exposure (by direct contact or aerosol) to secretions or excretions of infected animals (9). LCMV infection is a well-known occupational risk for laboratory workers who work with LCMV-infected laboratory rodents (9).

An outbreak associated with pet hamsters sold by a single distributor was reported in 1974, when 181 symptomatic cases in persons with hamster contact were identified in 12 states; no deaths occurred (10). The outbreak was brought under control by voluntary cessation of sale and destruction of the infected breeding stock.

Control of Wild Rodents

Environmental modifications and hygiene practices that deter rodents from colonizing the home and work environment are the best means of reducing risk for exposure to infectious rodents. In addition, if rodents are found in work or living areas, safe practices for cleaning rodent waste and nesting materials are recommended. Preventing wild rodent entry also reduces opportunity for infection of pet rodents.

Detailed instructions on rodent-proofing, safe cleaning practices, and trapping wild rodents are available at http://www.cdc. gov/ncidod/dvrd/spb/mnpages/dispages/lcmv.htm.

^{*} These recommendations were assembled by a CDC working group to provide interim guidelines for protection of public health. Guidelines for care of laboratory animals have been published previously (2). In addition, the National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, in conjunction with partners, is developing a set of comprehensive veterinary infection-control guidelines.

General Recommendations for Preventing LCMV Infection from Pet Rodents

Hamsters and other rodents are common pets, and the number of documented human LCMV infections from pet hamsters and other rodents is low. Basic precautions can reduce the risk for acquiring LCMV and other infections from pet rodents. Because rodents might not always exhibit signs of ill health resulting from LCMV infection, CDC recommends taking appropriate precautions with any rodent:

- The public should be apprised of the risk for LCMV infection from rodents purchased from *any* pet store.
- Destruction or return of recently purchased pet rodents is not recommended. The probability of any one animal harboring LCMV infection is low. All pets are potential carriers of infectious diseases and should always be handled by using appropriate precautions.
- Pet rodents must not be released into the wild to prevent introduction of nonnative species to North America.
- Persons with specific concerns regarding the health of their pets should seek guidance from a veterinarian.

Purchasing a Healthy Pet

Information on purchasing a healthy pet and general steps to prevent pet rodents from bringing diseases into the home is available at http://www.cdc.gov/healthypets/lcmv_rodents.htm.

Care of Pet Rodents

Anyone handling or keeping pet rodents should take the following precautions to reduce the risk for LCMV infection:

- Wash hands with soap and water (or alcohol-based hand sanitizers when soap is unavailable and hands are not visibly soiled) after handling pet rodents or cleaning up pet droppings, cages, or areas where pets have been.
- Keep rodent cages clean and free of soiled bedding.
- Clean cages outdoors or in a well-ventilated area.
- Closely supervise young children when cleaning cages or handling rodents and supervise or assist children in washing their hands immediately after handling rodents and rodent cages or bedding.
- Never kiss or hold pet rodents close to the face.
- Never allow pet rodents to come into contact with wild rodents or their droppings or nests. Cover pet rodent cages and food supplies and always supervise pet rodents when they are not in their cages.

Precautions for Pregnant Women

Although the risk for LCMV infection from pet rodents is low, pregnant women or women who think they might become pregnant should be aware of the risks associated with LCMV infection during pregnancy. The following precautions can be taken to reduce the risk for acquiring LCMV infection during pregnancy:

- Avoid contact with wild rodents. Pregnant women who reside in a household with a wild rodent infestation should have the infestation addressed promptly by a professional pest control company or another member of the household.
- Keep pet rodents in a separate part of the home. Pregnant women should ask another family member or friend to clean the cage and care for the pet or arrange for temporary adoption of the pet by a responsible person. Pregnant women should avoid prolonged stays in any room where a rodent resides.

Precautions for Persons with Weakened Immune Systems

For the organ recipients described in this report, transplantation of LCMV-infected organs into persons with medically induced immunosuppression likely increased disease severity. Persons with impaired immune-system function should avoid contact with all rodents.

Testing for LCMV in Pet Rodents

CDC does not recommend testing pet rodents. Serologic testing on rodents can be inaccurate and misleading. All pet animals should be assumed capable of transmitting certain infectious diseases.

Testing for LCMV in Humans

Testing for LCMV infection in asymptomatic persons is not necessary. Similarly, testing persons with previous history of LCMV-compatible illness generally is not useful. Persons with active disease suggestive of LCMV should seek medical care and report any exposures to wild or pet rodents. A physician should determine whether testing for LCMV is indicated. Physicians should work closely with their respective state health departments to discuss forwarding of samples to state laboratories or CDC for testing.

Reported by: Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; EIS officer, CDC.

References

- 1. CDC. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection in organ transplant recipients—Massachusetts, Rhode Island, 2005. MMWR 2005: 54;537–9.
- Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources Commission on Life Sciences, National Research Council. Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1996.
- Childs JE, Glass GE, Ksiazek TG, Rossi CA, Oro JG, Leduc JW. Human-rodent contact and infection with lymphocytic choriomeningitis and Seoul viruses in an inner-city population. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1991;44:117–21.
- Childs JE, Glass GE, Korch GW, Ksiazek TG, LeDuc JW. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection and house mouse (*Mus musculus*) distribution in urban Baltimore. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1992;47: 27–34.
- Morita C, Matsuura Y, Fujii H, et al. Isolation of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus from wild house mice (*Mus musculus*) in Osaka Port, Japan. J Vet Med Sci 1991;53:889–92.
- Rousseau MC, Saron MF, Brouqui P, Bourgeade A. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus in southern France: four case reports and a review of the literature. Eur J Epidemiol 1997;13:817–23.
- Traub E. The epidemiology of lymphocytic choriomeningitis in white mice. J Exp Med 1936;64:183–200.
- Barton LL, Mets MB, Beauchamp CL. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus: emerging fetal teratogen. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;187: 1715–6.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Biosafety in microbiological and biomedical laboratories. 4th ed. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Institutes of Health; 1999. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/od/ohs/biosfty/ bmbl4/bmbl4toc.htm.
- Gregg MB. Recent outbreaks of lymphocytic choriomeningitis in the United States of America. Bull World Health Organ 1975;52:549–53.

Tiered Use of Inactivated Influenza Vaccine in the Event of a Vaccine Shortage

The United States has experienced disruptions in the manufacture or distribution of inactivated influenza vaccine during three of the last five influenza seasons (1-3). Delays in delivery of influenza vaccine or vaccine shortages remain possible, in part, because of inherent time constraints in manufacturing the vaccine, given the annual updating of influenza vaccine strains and uncertainties regarding vaccine supply (including licensure of new vaccine preparations). Although total vaccine supply for the 2005-06 influenza season is not yet known, the minimum anticipated supply is approximately 58-60 million doses of inactivated vaccine and 3 million doses of live, attenuated vaccine. This estimated supply is similar to that available during the 2004-05 season and would be adequate to satisfy historical demand for influenza vaccine among persons considered by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) to be at high risk for serious complications associated with influenza virus infection, health-care workers, and household contacts of children aged <6 months (Table). These groups were prioritized for influenza vaccination in 2004-05 (3). Additional doses of inactivated influenza vaccine might be available for the U.S. market in

TABLE. Priority groups for vaccination with inactivated influenza vaccine and estimated vaccination coverage for 2003*

Tier	Priority group⁺	Population in 2003 [§] (millions)	Estimated vaccination coverage (%)	Estimated no. of persons vaccinated (millions)
1 A I	Persons aged >65 years with comorbid conditions	18.2	70.9 [¶]	12.9
	Residents of long-term-care facilities	1.7	80.0**	1.3
	Total	19.9	71.4	14.2
BI	Persons aged 2–64 years with comorbid conditions	42.4	34.3 ^{††}	14.5
1	Persons aged >65 years without comorbid conditions	17.7	60.8 [¶]	10.8
(Children aged 6–23 months	6.0	48.4 ^{††}	2.9
	Pregnant women	4.0	12.8 [¶]	0.5
•	Total	70.1	40.9	28.7
CI	Health-care personnel	7.0	40.1 [¶]	2.8
	Household contacts and out-of-home caregivers of children aged <6 months	5.0	17.3 ^{††}	0.9
•	Total	12.0	30.6	3.7
2	Household contacts of children and adults at increased risk for			
	influenza-related complications	70.3	18.2 ^{††}	12.8
	Healthy persons aged 50–64 years	17.7	29.8 [¶]	5.3
	Total	88.0	20.6	18.1
3	Persons aged 2–49 years without high-risk conditions	105.5	14.8 [¶]	15.6

* Estimates are for 2003–04 season for most adult groups and the 2004–05 season for most pediatric groups because national influenza vaccination data on children were not available for 2003.

[†] Certain persons might be included in more than one group.

§ Based on 2003 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau.

[¶] Based on the 2003 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) for noninstitutionalized adults (CDC, unpublished data, 2005).

** Based on the 1999 National Nursing Home Survey (CDC, unpublished data, 2003).

^{+†} Vaccination coverage for pediatric groups is based on estimates from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (MMWR 2005;54:304–7). Vaccination coverage for adults is based on the 2003 NHIS. 2005–06, but this cannot yet be confirmed. Availability of additional vaccine would allow for expansion of the priority groups and, preferably, vaccination of all persons who desire it.

During periods of inactivated influenza vaccine shortfall, vaccination is prioritized on the basis of risk for serious influenza-associated complications. CDC and ACIP recommend use of vaccination priority groups only in the event of vaccine supply disruptions. At present, CDC and ACIP do not recommend prioritization of inactivated influenza vaccine for the 2005–06 season. Current recommendations for use of influenza vaccine were published recently (4). However, to help vaccine providers develop contingency plans for the upcoming influenza season in the event of a shortfall, this report details the priority groups for vaccination (Table). Announcement of a need for prioritization will be made promptly upon receipt of information indicating a potential disruption to the vaccine supply, if necessary.

ACIP and CDC determined the priority groups, ranked in three tiers, on the basis of influenza-associated mortality and hospitalization rates (Table). In the event of an influenza vaccine shortfall, persons in tier 1 should be vaccinated preferentially, followed by persons in tier 2, then persons in tier 3. On rare occasions when local vaccine supply is extremely limited, state and local health officials and vaccination providers should prioritize persons in group 1A before all other groups. However, in all other vaccine shortfall situations, persons in groups 1A, 1B, and 1C should be considered equivalent and should be vaccinated simultaneously. Eligible persons in group 1C and tiers 2 and 3 should be encouraged to receive live, attenuated influenza vaccine during periods of inactivated influenza vaccine shortfall.

References

- 1. CDC. Updated recommendations from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices in response to delays in supply of influenza vaccine for the 2000–01 season. MMWR 2000;49:888–92.
- CDC. Delayed influenza vaccine availability for 2001–02 season and supplemental recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. MMWR 2001;50:582–5.
- 3. CDC. Interim influenza vaccination recommendations, 2004–05 influenza season. MMWR 2004;53:923–4.
- CDC. Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2005;54(No. RR-8).

Notice to Readers

Applied Epidemiology Competency Development

CDC's Office of Workforce and Career Development and the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) have convened a panel to define competencies for applied epidemiology for local, state, and federal public health epidemiologists. This panel includes representatives of state and local public health agencies, academia, private industry, and CDC. The draft competency document for Tier 2 (i.e., midlevel) epidemiologists is now available for review and comment at http://www.cste.org/competencies.asp.

Practicing epidemiologists can review this document and submit comments online through September 16, 2005, at http://www.cste.org/assessment/competencies/index.asp. Persons and organizations employing applied epidemiologists can e-mail comments to competencies@cste.org. Competencies for Tier 1 (i.e., frontline) and Tier 3 (i.e., senior) epidemiologists will be available for comment in October 2005.

The panel will consider all information received and revise the competency documents for publication. Questions regarding competencies for applied epidemiology or the development process can be e-mailed to CSTE at competencies@cste.org.

Errata: Vol. 54, No. RR-8

In the *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, "Prevention and Control of Influenza: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)," the following errors occurred:

On page 2, the fourth bullet should read, "The **2005–06** trivalent vaccine virus strains are A/California/7/2004 (H3N2)-like, A/New Caledonia/20/99 (H1N1)-like, and B/ Shanghai/361/2002-like antigens."

On page 6, under the section "Children," the first sentence should read, "Children aged ≥ 6 months can develop protective levels of anti-influenza antibody against specific influenza virus strains after influenza vaccination (69,70,78–81), although the antibody response among children at high risk for influenza-related complications might be lower than among healthy children (82,83)."

On page 18, under the section "LAIV Dosage and Administration," the fourth sentence should read, "Alternatively, the vaccine can be thawed in a refrigerator and stored at 2°C–8°C for **up to 60 hours** before use."

On page 20, under the section "Vaccination Before October," the last sentence should read, "For previously vaccinated children, **1 dose** is needed to provide optimal protection against influenza."



The percentage of male teens who reported ever having sexual intercourse decreased significantly for both younger (aged 15–17 years) and older (aged 18–19 years) teens from 1995 to 2002. Among females, the percentage who reported ever having sexual intercourse declined significantly for those aged 15–17 years. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg.htm.

SOURCES: 1995 and 2002 National Survey of Family Growth; 1995 National Survey of Adolescent Males; and Abma JC, Martinez GM, Mosher WD, Dawson BS. Teenagers in the United States: sexual activity, contraceptive use, and childbearing, 2002. Vital Health Stat 2004;23(24). Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/ sr_23/sr23_024.pdf.



FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals July 30, 2005, with historical data

* Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United S	States, cumulative, week ending July 30, 2005 (30th Week)*
---	--

Disease	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Disease	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
Anthrax	_	_	Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheal [†]	73	78
Botulism:			HIV infection, pediatric ⁺¹	181	251
foodborne	7	6	Influenza-associated pediatric mortality**	42	-
infant	30	45	Measles	55††	23 ^{§§}
other (wound & unspecified)	15	8	Mumps	146	124
Brucellosis	50	54	Plague	2	_
Chancroid	13	17	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	—	—
Cholera	2	5	Psittacosis [†]	11	8
Cyclosporiasis [†]	613	164	Q fever [†]	60	39
Diphtheria	—		Rabies, human	1	2
Domestic arboviral diseases			Rubella	7	9
(neuroinvasive & non-neuroinvasive):	_	—	Rubella, congenital syndrome	1	_
California serogroup ^{†§}	2	50	SARS [†] **	—	—
eastern equine ^{†§}	2	1	Smallpox [†]	—	—
Powassan ^{†§}	_	1	Staphylococcus aureus:		
St. Louis†§	—	5	Vancomycin-intermediate (VISA) [†]	—	—
western equine ^{†§}	_		Vancomycin-resistant (VRSA) [†]	—	1
Ehrlichiosis:	_		Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome [†]	83	96
human granulocytic (HGE) [†]	155	195	Tetanus	14	11
human monocytic (HME) [†]	114	130	Toxic-shock syndrome	56	50
human, other and unspecified [†]	27	33	Trichinellosis [¶]	10	1
Hansen disease [†]	42	58	Tularemia [†]	57	51
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [†]	15	14	Yellow fever	—	_

-: No reported cases.

* Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

Not notifiable in all states. Ş

Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance).

¹ Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update June 26, 2005.

** Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases. ††

Of 55 cases reported, 46 were indigenous and nine were imported from another country.

90 f 23 cases reported, seven were indigenous and 16 were imported from another country.

Formerly Trichinosis.

<u>.</u>	A	DS	Chla	mydia [†]	Coccidioi	domycosis	Cryptosp	poridiosis
Reporting area	Cum. 2005§	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	20,405	23,315	497,963	524,919	2,345	3,112	1,144	1,552
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. ¹¹ Mass. R.I. Conn.	778 11 20 4 368 68 68 307	769 14 28 13 232 82 400	17,200 1,188 1,004 539 7,839 1,747 4,883	17,418 1,129 963 658 7,665 1,924 5,079	 N	N - - - N	64 9 8 14 22 2 9	93 14 16 12 37 2 12
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	4,352 800 2,327 574 651	4,995 653 2,723 919 700	62,593 12,380 20,622 9,329 20,262	64,751 12,766 19,958 10,369 21,658	N N N	N N N	152 45 31 10 66	248 53 71 22 102
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	1,938 312 236 983 322 85	1,901 229 246 941 380 105	76,161 20,028 10,970 21,585 13,207 10,371	91,477 22,697 10,276 26,912 20,831 10,761	5 N N	7 N 	245 86 17 18 36 88	427 84 40 70 76 157
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. ¹ Kans.	463 123 50 198 5 10 18 59	470 118 36 201 14 7 21 73	29,405 4,740 3,345 12,432 603 1,513 3,128 3,644	32,044 6,740 3,881 11,720 1,090 1,394 2,986 4,233	4 3 N 1 N N	5 N 3 N 2 N	181 47 40 65 	203 71 37 36 8 23 14 14
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. ¹¹ W.Va. N.C. S.C. ¹¹ Ga. Fla.	6,473 100 812 467 307 36 531 386 1,103 2,731	7,144 102 804 460 393 32 390 426 1,011 3,526	95,611 1,792 10,218 2,065 11,154 1,449 18,599 10,928 15,448 23,958	97,759 1,617 10,756 2,033 12,694 1,638 15,979 10,535 18,081 24,426	 N 	 	240 	252
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. [¶] Ala. [¶] Miss.	1,093 135 434 295 229	1,163 129 461 286 287	36,420 5,421 12,273 7,235 11,491	34,280 3,265 12,863 7,869 10,283	N N	4 N 	36 12 10 13 1	62 23 16 13 10
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex. ¹¹	2,206 72 436 167 1,531	2,954 131 590 120 2,113	61,822 4,672 10,801 6,046 40,303	67,301 4,665 14,107 6,768 41,761	1 N N	2 1 1 N N	29 2 3 16 8	56 11 14 31
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho [¶] Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev. [¶]	789 4 9 2 163 72 329 33 177	828 4 11 6 162 116 309 41 179	29,560 1,121 579 7,788 2,422 10,433 2,384 3,492	31,201 1,486 1,668 621 7,617 5,147 9,443 2,109 3,110	1,577 N 2 N 3 1,539 2 31	1,888 N N 1 15 1,826 10 36	67 12 2 22 3 8 8 8	70 14 2 27 6 10 2 1
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. [¶] Calif. Alaska Hawaii	2,313 229 136 1,874 14 60	3,091 213 155 2,646 21 56	89,191 10,596 4,783 69,128 2,258 2,426	88,688 10,022 4,744 68,522 2,149 3,251	758 N 758 	1,206 N 1,206 —	130 10 23 97 —	141 20 119 2
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	1 537 10 U 2	1 394 6 U U	2,090 32 U	686 2,191 226 U U	N U	N U U	N U	N U U

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004 (30th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). † Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* § Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update June 26, 2005. ¶ Contains data reported through National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

		Fachor	iahia aali Enta	rohomorrhogi						
		Escher	Shiga tox	in positive	Shiga toxi	a nositivo				
	015	7·H7	serogrou	n non-0157	not sero	arouned	Giard	iasis	Gond	rrhea
Reporting area	Cum.	Cum.	Cum. 2005	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
UNITED STATES	830	1 104	115	145	99	85	8 192	9 627	166 992	182 210
NEW ENGLAND	70	81	27	33	16	8	735	861	3 260	4 084
Maine	9	6	5	_		_	93	79	75	139
N.H.	8	12	1	5	—	—	35	23	93	66
Vt. Mass	8	8	1	11	16	8	83	/6 301	32 1 458	50 1 801
R.I.	2	5	_	1		_	55	54	267	509
Conn.	18	13	14	16	—	—	169	238	1,335	1,519
MID. ATLANTIC	102	132	9	22	11	19	1,533	2,081	17,672	20,759
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	48	55 26	8	8	5	9	551 389	646 623	3,498	4,225
N.J.	17	20	_	5	1	5	184	268	2,935	3,937
Pa.	34	29	1	9	5	5	409	544	5,884	6,197
E.N. CENTRAL	151	218	9	27	4	12	1,260	1,507	30,225	37,746
Ohio	49	49	1	6	2	10	350 N	420 N	9,253	11,608
III.	14	44	1	2	_	2	256	447	8,725	11,484
Mich.	34	46	_	5	2	_	357	352	5,033	8,359
Wis.	27	59	7	14			297	288	2,868	2,717
W.N. CENTRAL	133	224	20	20	15	16	969	1,031	9,360	9,491 1,658
lowa	33	63	_	_			113	144	709	701
Mo.	43	42	9	10	6	6	210	295	5,031	4,859
N. Dak.	1	7		—	—	5	4	17	34	74
S. Dak. Nebr.	11	30	23	2	3	_	48	34 73	207 753	608
Kans.	18	16	_	_	2	3	88	124	1,292	1,441
S. ATLANTIC	94	87	21	14	39	15	1,223	1,535	40,335	43,811
Del.		2	N	N	N	N	29	27	436	522
D C	18	18					82 22	58 42	3,793	4,626
Va.	11	16	9	6	8	_	255	229	3,862	5,160
W.Va.	1	1	—	—			18	17	398	505
N.C. S.C.	2	7	_	_	21	10	N 57	IN 59	8,730	8,466 5 176
Ga.	13	15	3	4	_	_	274	483	6,799	7,785
Fla.	49	27	4	2	8	3	486	620	10,572	10,138
E.S. CENTRAL	52	59	—	3	7	11	196	202	13,904	14,804
Ky. Tenn	13	14 24	_	1	6 1	/ 4	N QQ	N 107	1,773	1,416 4 740
Ala.	16	12	_	_	_		97	95	4,245	4,636
Miss.	2	9	—	2	—	_	—	—	3,561	4,012
W.S. CENTRAL	25	49	4	3	3	4	122	149	24,768	25,403
Ark. La	4	10	3	1	2	_	39	64 27	2,420	2,342
Okla.	11	10	_	_		_	61	58	2,443	2,859
Tex.	7	27	1	2	1	4	N	N	14,048	13,757
MOUNTAIN	79	99	23	22	4	_	622	744	5,963	6,228
Mont.	8	10				—	21	24	58	51
Wyo.	1	2	2	1		_	12	13	30	31
Colo.	16	28	1	1	1	_	235	266	1,456	1,721
N. Mex.	3	7	3	4 N	N	N	25	45	446	649 1 006
Utah	19	12	9	12			02 164	146	2,240	320
Nev.	9	9	_	1	1	_	36	57	1,319	1,413
PACIFIC	124	155	2	1	—	—	1,532	1,517	21,505	19,884
Wash. Orog	28	49		1	—	—	142	169	1,993	1,501
Calif.	49	74		_	_	_	1,159	1,034	17,892	16,622
Alaska	9	1	—	—	—	_	48	40	318	351
Hawaii	4	4	—	—	—	_	39	46	450	775
Guam PR	N	N	—	_	—	_	 26	2	109	115
V.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	20		2	70
Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C.N.M.I.	_	U		U	_	U	_	U	_	U

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004 (30th Week)*

754

	Haemophilus influenzae, invasive								
	Alla	ges			Age <	5 years			
	All sero	otypes	Serot	ype b	Non-se	erotype b	Unknown	serotype	
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	
UNITED STATES	1,292	1,239	3	9	68	68	123	115	
NEW ENGLAND	97	114	_	1	8	7	3	1	
Maine N H	5	7	_	_	_	2	1	_	
Vt.	6	5	_	_	_		2	1	
Mass.	44	57	_	1	3	2	_	_	
Conn.	30	29	_	_	3	3	_	_	
MID. ATLANTIC	253	260	_	1	_	3	31	28	
Upstate N.Y.	73 44	88 58	_	1	_	3	5	4	
N.J.	47	47	—	_	—	_	8	2	
Pa.	89	67	—	—	—	—	9	13	
E.N. CENTRAL	185	231	1	_	2	8	10 7	34	
Ind.	47	35	—	_	2	4		1	
III. Mich	35 13	76 15	1	_	_	2	3	17	
Wis.	7	37	<u> </u>	_	—	_	—	2	
W.N. CENTRAL	73	63	_	2	3	3	9	5	
Minn. Iowa	26	28 1	_	1	3	3	_	_	
Mo.	33	22	—	_	_	_	7	4	
N. Dak. S. Dak	1	3	_	_		_	1	_	
Nebr.	6	3	—	_	—	_	1	_	
Kans.	7	6		—				1	
S. ATLANTIC Del	314	283	1	_	20	19	17	20	
Md.	45	46	—	—	5	5	—		
D.C. Va.	28	2 25	_	_	_	_	1	1 2	
W. Va.	20	10	_	—	1	3	4		
N.C. S.C.	58 19	40 8	1	_		5	1	1	
Ga.	60	80	_	_	_	_	7	15	
FIA.	84	72	_	_	/	6	4	_	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	74 6	48 3	_	1	1	_	12		
Tenn.	52	32	—	_	—	—	7	5	
Ala. Miss.	16	12	_	_	_	_	4	2	
W.S. CENTRAL	74	48	1	1	5	6	6	1	
Ark.	4	1		—	1	—			
Okla.	42	37	_	_	2	6	_	_	
Tex.	_	1	—	1	—	—	—	_	
MOUNTAIN	160	133	_	3	16	16	27	14	
Idaho	3	5	—	_	_	_	1	2	
Wyo. Colo	4	32	_	_		_	1	3	
N. Mex.	15	28	—	_	4	5	1	6	
Ariz. Utah	82 12	48	_	2	10	7	9 7	1	
Nev.	13	11	—	1	2	3	2	1	
PACIFIC	62	59	—	—	13	6	8	5	
Wash. Oreg.	1 24	1 29	_	_	_	_	1 5	1	
Calif.	26	18	—	—	13	6	1	1	
Alaska Hawaii	4 7	5 6	_	_	_	_	1	1	
Guam		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
P.R.	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	1	
v.i. Amer. Samoa	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	 U	
C.N.M.I.	_	U	_	U	_	U	—	U	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004 (30th Week)*

<u>,</u>	Hepatitis (viral, acute), by type								
		A B C							
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004			
UNITED STATES	1,992	3,321	3,029	3,345	428	413			
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	262 1 52 3 172 5 29	513 9 13 8 432 10 41	159 8 12 2 114 1 22	211 1 23 3 105 3 76	7 7 U	8 2 6 			
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	331 56 162 57 56	428 51 174 99 104	628 52 58 398 120	438 43 85 123 187	56 13 — 43	73 4 69			
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	197 31 24 45 81 16	271 32 29 85 93 32	233 81 17 37 98 —	315 71 20 50 149 25	70 2 15 	59 4 3 12 40 —			
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	60 3 16 28 	103 28 30 21 1 2 10 11	154 14 7 98 1 17 17	205 27 12 130 <u>3</u> 20 13	26 3 21 1 1	11 8 3 			
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla. E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	307 3 30 2 48 3 41 16 51 113 133 12	588 5 72 4 50 1 54 33 207 162 102 17	816 37 96 6 90 22 92 78 101 294 201 36	1,050 28 93 13 120 18 107 83 286 302 277 31	156 81 18 9 9 2 4 25 49 4	100 4 2 11 16 7 12 7 39 49 19			
Ala. Miss. W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La.	94 14 13 112 4 39	6 9 427 53 23	49 40 208 21 26	130 42 68 199 73 34	18 26 18 	2 14 60 1 3			
Okla. Tex. MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	4 65 187 7 15 	17 334 254 4 12 4 26 15 159 26 8	19 142 296 3 7 1 29 7 198 30 21	41 51 251 6 7 31 10 127 23 46	10 23 11 6 6	3 53 2 1 - 5 U 4 2 9			
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	403 23 28 337 3 12	635 34 42 539 4 16	334 42 51 231 7 3	399 32 65 289 9 4	23 U 12 11 —	30 U 12 17 - 1			
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	14 U	1 27 — U U	10 U	11 52 U U	 	9 — U U			

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004 (30th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

756

	Legion	ellosis	Liste	riosis	Lyme	disease	Mala	aria
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
UNITED STATES	736	943	307	362	5,777	9,308	572	779
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	38 3 4 1 21 3 6	26 — 1 15 2 7	16 — 1 7 2 5	14 3 2 4 1 4	446 25 52 9 252 3 105	1,473 29 93 20 974 84 273	31 3 4 1 21 2	63 6 1 3 38 2 13
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	216 59 21 44 92	225 42 28 35 120	71 25 10 13 23	87 23 14 22 28	3,878 919 — 1,454 1,505	5,994 1,699 205 1,741 2,349	149 26 65 38 20	205 24 99 48 34
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	130 64 10 12 33 11	233 101 23 29 64 16	32 12 1 1 12 6	65 19 14 15 15 2	334 35 6 12 281	799 27 5 64 8 695	45 14 — 13 14 4	71 18 7 23 14 9
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	37 11 3 12 1 7 1 2	24 1 3 13 1 2 1 3	11 2 4 2 	6 2 1 2 — 1	187 140 28 14 — — 5	145 96 18 22 — 7 2	28 11 4 11 - 2	44 18 2 12 3 1 2 6
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	175 10 43 3 18 8 16 7 12 58	202 3 38 7 22 4 20 6 30 72	70 N 11 5 2 13 1 13 25	54 N 7 10 1 13 4 10 9	822 323 373 4 54 4 27 8 — 29	800 120 509 5 56 4 63 9 11 23	130 2 51 1 1 1 6 3 17 25	173 4 37 8 15 11 7 36 55
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	34 7 18 8 1	56 18 25 12 1	14 3 6 4 1	18 4 9 3 2	20 2 18 —	25 11 11 3	13 3 7 3	21 1 5 11 4
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	16 2 4 2 8	93 — 5 2 86	13 6 7	25 2 21	35 3 29	21 2 17	36 2 2 3 29	85 7 4 2 72
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	54 4 3 15 2 14 7 7	49 1 5 10 1 1 13 3	5 - 2 1 - 2	14 5 1 7	4 1 1 	5 2 2 	29 — 1 16 1 5 4 2	31 1 11 2 8 5 4
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	36 — N 36 —	35 5 N 30 —	75 6 4 65 —	79 6 5 65 	51 1 9 38 3 N	46 3 19 23 1 N	111 8 3 87 3 10	86 5 12 66
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	 	 U	 	— — — — —	N U	N U U	1 U	 U

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004

 (30th Week)*

All server Normal currence Server currence Other server currence Other server currence Server currencurrence Server currence						Meningocod	cal disease				
Curr. Curr. <th< th=""><th></th><th>All sero</th><th>groups</th><th>Sero A, C, Y, a</th><th>group and W-135</th><th>Serogi</th><th>oup B</th><th>Other se</th><th>rogroup</th><th>Serogrou</th><th>p unknown</th></th<>		All sero	groups	Sero A, C, Y, a	group and W-135	Serogi	oup B	Other se	rogroup	Serogrou	p unknown
UNITED STRES 745 778 64 64 38 32 - 1 631 701 Mane 2 48 1 5 - 1 - 1 51 37 Mane 9 3 - - - - - - - 9 3 VI 5 2 - - - - - - 2 9 3 VI 5 2 - - - - - - 2 1 9 4 MONDATLANTC 95 1 - - - - - - 1 94 4 3 1 3 3 - - 1 94 7 5 - - 64 92 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< th=""><th>Reporting area</th><th>Cum. 2005</th><th>Cum. 2004</th><th>Cum. 2005</th><th>Cum. 2004</th><th>Cum. 2005</th><th>Cum. 2004</th><th>Cum. 2005</th><th>Cum. 2004</th><th>Cum. 2005</th><th>Cum. 2004</th></t<>	Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004
NEW FIGLAND b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b	UNITED STATES	745	798	54	64	38	32	_	1	653	701
Manne 2 3 - - - 1 - - 2 8 Mass. 24 28 - 5 - 4 - - 24 19 Goin. 10 5 1 - - - - 1 3 4 Goin. 10 5 1 - - - - 1 3 4 MADALLANTIC 96 1144 27 3 4 5 - - - 1 3 3 NCONV. 13 30 24 28 1 2 - - - - 40 62 3 No. 23 44 - 1 2 - - - - 10 1 1 - - 10 11 1 - - 10 11 1 - - 10 11	NEW ENGLAND	52	48	1	5	_	5	_	1	51	37
yi. 5 2 - 2 1 1 - - - - - - - 2 1 1 3 3 3 - - - - - - 2 1 3	Maine	2	9	—	—	—	1	—	—	2	8
Maiss. 2i 2i 2i - - - - - - - - - - 2i 1 - - - - - 1 9 4 Coun. 10 5 1 - - - - 1 9 4 Mond.ALLANTIC 96 14.4 27 3 4 5 - - - - 1 9 4 N. Conv. 13 30 24 29 1 2 - - - 27 22 - - - 27 22 - - - - - 27 22 - - - - - 13 28 4 - - 13 10 - - - 11 - - 11 - - 11 11 - - 11 11 11	N.H. Vt.	9 5	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	9	2
R.L. 2 1 - - - - - - - - 2 1 1 MID. ALLATIC 65 114 27 83 4 5 - - 64 76 N.L 27 23 3 - - - - - - 1 84 76 87 76 - - - 13 20 1 - - 13 20 - - - - 13 20 - - - - 13 20 - - - - - 13 20 - - - - - 13 20 - - - - - - 10 11 - - - - - - 10 11 - - - - - - 10 11 - - - - - - 10 11 11 11 11 - - - </td <td>Mass.</td> <td>24</td> <td>28</td> <td>—</td> <td>5</td> <td>—</td> <td>4</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td> <td>24</td> <td>19</td>	Mass.	24	28	—	5	—	4	—	—	24	19
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R.I.	2	1		—	_	—	—	-	2	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10	5	1		_	_	_	I	9	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MID. AI LANTIC	95 24	114	27	33	4	5	_	_	64 18	76 25
N.J. 27 22	N.Y. City	13	20	_	_	_	_	_	_	13	20
rat 31 33 24 28 1 2 — — 6 9 9 Chio 28 43 — 3 5 4 — — 23 88 Chio 28 43 — 1 5 1 — — 23 88 Chio 15 15 15 5 — — — — — 10 11 Mich. 15 15 15 — — — — — 4 7 7 7 16 Mino. 8 16 1 — — — — — — 7 16 Iowa 12 11 1 — — 1 — — 11 13 33 X <	N.J.	27	22			_	_	—	—	27	22
EAUCENTRAL 13 88 15 19 7 5	Pa.	31	39	24	28	-	2	_	_	6	9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	E.N. CENTRAL	/1 28	86 43	15	19	7	5	_	_	49	62 36
III. 10 1 - <td>Ind.</td> <td>13</td> <td>14</td> <td>_</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>11</td> <td>12</td>	Ind.	13	14	_	1	2	1	_	_	11	12
	III.	10	1			_	—	—	—	10	1
max o b o d o d o d	Wich. Wis	15	15 13	15	15	_	_	_	_	5	13
		50	51	2		1	4			47	17
	Minn.	8	16	1	_	_		_	_	47	16
	Iowa	12	11		—	1	2	—	—	11	9
S.Dak. 2 2 - - - 1 - - 2 1 Nahr. 7 5 - - - - - - 7 5 Kans. 7 5 - - - - - - 7 5 Dal. 15 2 - - - - - - 130 148 Dal. 15 2 - - - - - 11 8 D.C. - 5 5 1 - - - - - 17 10 W.Va. 5 5 1 - - - - - 13 13 13 14 15 2 - - 13 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 14 16 12 12 14 14 16 12 14 14 16 12 14 14 16 12 14	Mo. N Dak	17	14	1	_	_	1	_	_	16	13
Nebr. 4 2 4 2 2 Kans. 7 5 130 148 S.ATLATIC 141 152 4 2 7 2 130 148 Del. 2 2 - 2 - 2 1 1 8 Dol. 15 8 2 - 2 2 2 1 1 8 N.C. 15 5 5 1 1 1 8 N.C. 21 24 1 - 5 2 1 5 2 1 5 2 S.C. 13 13 1 1 3 9 Fa. 13 9 10 4 4 5 S.C. 13 13 9 10 4 4 10 Mss. 4 10 10 4 10 4 4 Mss. 4 10 10 4 10 4	S. Dak.	2	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	2	1
Kans. / 5 - - - - - - - / 5 Del. 2 2 - - - - - - 130 141 Del. 2 2 - - - - - - 130 141 DC. - 5 5 - 2 - - - - 17 10 W.Va. 5 5 1 - - - - - 13 3 SC. 13 13 - - - - - - 13 13 Ga. 13 9 - - - - - - - - 13 3 - - 141 3 - - - 16 12 - - - - - 16 12 - - - - - 16 12 - - - 16 12	Nebr.	4	2	—	—	_	—	—	—	4	2
S.ATLANTIC 141 152 4 2 7 2 130 148 Del. 2 2 130 148 Del. 15 8 2 2 2 2 Md. 15 8 2 2 2 2 2 Md. 15 8 2 2	Kans.	/	5	_	_	_	_	_	_	/	5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S. ATLANTIC	141	152	4	2	7	2	—	—	130	148
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Md.	15	8	2	_	2	_	_	_	11	8
Va. 17 10 - <td>D.C.</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> <td>—</td> <td>2</td> <td>_</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>3</td>	D.C.	-	5	—	2	_	—	—	_		3
N.C. 21 24 1 $ -$	Va. W.Va	17	10		—	_	—	—	—	17	10
S.C. 13 13 13 $ -$	N.C.	21	24	1	_	5	2	_	_	15	22
Ga. 13 9 - - - - - - - - - - - - - 13 3 9 E.S. CENTRAL 38 37 1 1 3 - - - - 34 36 Ky. 13 5 10 1 - - - - - 16 12 Ala. 5 10 1 - - - - - 4 10 WS. CENTRAL 59 47 1 1 5 1 - - - 4 10 WS. CENTRAL 12 - - - - - 10 12 La. 24 27 - 1 2 - - - 13 3 Mount 62 50 2 1 5 5 - - - 3 Idaho 2 6 - - - - 3 1	S.C.	13	13	_	_	_	—	—	—	13	13
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ga.	13	9 76	_	_	_	_	_	_	13	9 76
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		29	27	1	1	2				34	26
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	KV.	13	5		1	3	_	_	_	10	4
Ala. 5 10 1 - 4 10 WS. CENTRAL 59 47 1 1 5 1 - - - 4 10 Ark. 10 12 - - - - - - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 5 5 - - - 3 <t< td=""><td>Tenn.</td><td>16</td><td>12</td><td></td><td>—</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>16</td><td>12</td></t<>	Tenn.	16	12		—	_	_	_	_	16	12
MISS. 4 10 - - - - - - - 4 10 WS. CENTRAL 59 47 1 1 5 1 - - 53 45 Ark. 10 12 - - 1 2 - - - 53 45 Okla. 12 5 1 - - - - 10 12 Colo. 13 3 - - - - - - 3 4 Mont. - 3 - - - - - - - 3 Molu. 2 6 - - - - - - - 3 - Molu. 1 6 - 1 - 3 - - - - - 3 Colo. 13 12 2 - - - 3 - - 11 1 2 3 3<	Ala. Miss	5	10	1	_	_	_	_	_	4	10
W.S. DENTRAL 59 47 1 1 5 1 - - - 53 43 La. 24 27 - 1 2 - - - - - - 22 26 Tex. 13 3 - - - - - - 8 4 MOUNTAIN 62 50 2 1 5 5 - - - 3 3 MOUNTAIN 62 50 2 1 5 5 - - - - 3 3 3 MOUNTAIN 62 60 - - - - - - - - - 3 3 3 Mont. - 3 - - - - - - 1 1 1 2 6 0 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-	10	_	_		_		_		10
La.2427-122226Okla.1251-3184Tex.133133MOUNTAIN625021553Mont33Jtaho263Colo.131221112N.Mex.16-1-31112N.Mex.16131112Nev.571146PACIFIC17721312452612Oreg.254210145Alaska1212612Galm142Hawaii1052142Hawaii10521411VI<	Ark	59 10	47			5		_	_	53 10	45 12
Okla. 12 5 1 - 3 1 - - 8 4 Tex. 13 3 - - - - - - 13 3 MOUNTAIN 62 50 2 1 5 5 - - 13 3 Mont. - 3 - - - - - - - 3 4 Mont. - 3 - - - - - - - - - - 3 Idaho 2 6 - - - - - - 3 3 Colo. 13 12 2 - - - - 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 12 12 12 12	La.	24	27	_	1	2	_	—	_	22	26
Hex. Is	Okla.	12	5	1	—	3	1	—	—	8	4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		13	5	_	_	_	_	_	_	15	5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MOUNTAIN	62	50	2	1	5	5	_	_	55	44
	Idaho	2	6	_	_	_	_	—	_	2	6
Colo. 13 12 2 - - - - - 1<	Wyo.		3		—	—	—	—	—		3
Ariz. 34 9 $ 2$ 1 $ 32$ 8 Utah 7 4 $ 2$ $ 32$ 8 Nev. 5 7 $ 1$ 1 $ 5$ 4 PACIFIC 177 213 1 2 6 5 $ 10$ 26 12 Oreg. 25 42 $ 26$ 12 Oreg. 25 42 $ 26$ 12 Oreg. 25 42 $ 25$ 42 Calif. 110 145 $ 21$ 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 <th< td=""><td>N. Mex.</td><td>13</td><td>6</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>_</td><td>3</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>1</td><td>2</td></th<>	N. Mex.	13	6		1	_	3	_	_	1	2
Utah 7 4 - - 2 - - - 5 4 Nev. 5 7 - - 1 1 - - 5 4 PACIFIC 177 213 1 2 6 5 - - 170 206 Wash. 31 19 1 2 4 5 - - 26 12 Oreg. 25 42 - - - - - 25 42 Calif. 110 145 - - - - - 10 145 Alaska 1 2 - - - - - 110 145 Hawaii 10 5 - - 2 - - - 12 Guam - - - 2 - - - - - - P.R. 4 11 - - - - - - - <td>Ariz.</td> <td>34</td> <td>9</td> <td>—</td> <td>_</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td> <td>32</td> <td>8</td>	Ariz.	34	9	—	_	2	1	—	—	32	8
Nev. 5 7 - - 1 1 - - 4 6 PACIFIC 177 213 1 2 6 5 - - 170 206 Wash. 31 19 1 2 4 5 - - 26 12 Oreg. 25 42 - - - - - 25 42 Calif. 110 145 - - - - - 10 145 Alaska 1 2 - - - - - 110 145 Hawaii 10 5 - - 2 - - 1 2 Guam - - - 2 - - 8 5 Guam - - - - - - - - - P.R. 4 11 - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Utah</td><td>7</td><td>4</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td>—</td><td>—</td><td>5</td><td>4</td></t<>	Utah	7	4	—	—	2	-	—	—	5	4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		177	/	_		1	Г Г	_	_	4	000
Oreg. 25 42	Wash.	1// 31	213 19	1	2	6 4	5 5	_	_	26	206 12
Calif. 110 145 - - - - - - 10 145 Alaska 1 2 - - - - - 1 2 Hawaii 10 5 - - 2 - - - 1 2 Guam - - - 2 - - - 8 5 Guam -	Oreg.	25	42		_	<u> </u>	_	_	_	25	42
Hawaii 1 2 - - - - - - 1 2 Hawaii 10 5 - - 2 - - - 8 5 Guam - - - - 2 - - - 8 5 Guam - - - - - - - - - - P.R. 4 11 - - - - - - 4 11 V.I. - - - - - - - - - Amer. Samoa - 1 - - - - - - 1 C.N.M.I. - - - - - - - - -	Calif.	110	145	—	—	—	—	—	—	110	145
Guam P.R. 4 11	Hawaii	10	∠ 5	_	_	2	_	_	_	8	∠ 5
P.R. 4 11 4 11 V.I 4 11 Amer. Samoa 1 1 C.N.M.I 1	Guam	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
V.I. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	P.R.	4	11	_	_	_	_	_	_	4	11
Amer. Samoa — I — — — — — — — — — 1 C.N.M.I. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	V.I.	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	C.N.M.I.	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004 (30th Week)*

	Pert	ussis	Rabies	animal	Rocky M	lountain d fever	Salmo	nellosis	Shigellosis		
Reporting area	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	Cum. 2005	Cum. 2004	
UNITED STATES	9,735	8,018	2,788	3,573	604	663	17,305	20,836	6,029	7,141	
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	545 13 28 62 410 12 20	930 4 29 46 806 16 29	399 31 9 37 230 8 84	323 35 14 11 128 20 115	3 N 1 1 1	11 N — 9 1	1,082 81 85 60 583 45 228	1,067 55 72 33 631 48 228	130 5 4 6 81 9 25	151 5 6 2 94 9 35	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	756 283 44 133 296	1,441 1,034 99 103 205	319 260 16 N 43	488 252 10 N 226	34 2 12 18	46 1 16 9 20	2,100 576 427 337 760	3,213 602 756 582 1,273	591 158 211 167 55	729 308 216 141 64	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	2,022 717 172 330 120 683	2,429 280 52 478 73 1,546	65 31 5 17 12	55 17 5 18 13 2	17 14 1 2	20 7 4 8 1	2,226 652 257 495 443 379	2,820 686 236 921 449 528	382 49 39 85 134 75	574 89 93 234 63 95	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	1,411 454 341 261 77 1 136 141	685 110 49 218 256 11 8 33	229 43 36 41 13 43 — 53	368 41 41 24 41 73 73 73 75	103 	66 	1,208 294 179 401 17 63 79 175	1,287 317 259 346 20 55 81 209	701 40 45 501 2 16 35 62	217 29 43 96 2 7 9 31	
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	685 5 104 4 131 31 64 219 25 102	377 70 6 101 5 49 70 15 61	951 171 317 24 292 5 135 7	1,361 9 173 274 37 371 96 196 205	280 2 35 1 15 3 176 18 19 11	326 4 29 11 3 174 36 56 13	4,699 49 383 24 424 73 659 567 643 1,877	5,024 51 464 27 544 121 570 438 938 1,871	995 6 39 8 53 — 99 50 244 496	1,768 5 74 26 80 3 172 346 399 663	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	280 76 136 47 21	126 20 83 13 10	80 7 27 46 —	77 15 26 28 8	105 8 73 23 1	83 — 43 22 18	1,083 185 341 312 245	1,287 186 346 331 424	774 152 406 168 48	435 43 207 151 34	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	376 141 24 211	360 30 11 17 302	549 23 — 56 470	704 31 77 596	32 21 5 5 1	96 64 4 27 1	1,349 339 355 187 468	2,007 254 442 188 1,123	1,302 33 60 409 800	1,966 35 201 279 1,451	
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	2,298 433 77 23 784 75 649 230 27	659 18 20 11 325 97 134 44 10	122 3 12 11 3 87 1 5	90 14 1 17 2 54 2 	24 1 2 3 — 13 4 —	11 3 1 2 2 2 1 	1,066 48 68 33 269 92 331 153 72	1,243 78 97 30 307 136 371 128 96	326 5 2 53 39 179 22 26	433 4 7 1 75 76 224 23 23	
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	1,362 365 422 483 22 70	1,011 368 273 349 11 10	74 U 3 70 1	107 U 3 93 11	6 _ 6 _	4 2 2 —	2,492 246 182 1,885 28 151	2,888 240 253 2,155 33 207	828 45 37 725 6 15	868 56 38 742 5 27	
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	1 	 U	34 — U	33 — U U	N U	N U U	94 — U	45 221 — U U	1 	36 14 — U U	

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004

 (30th Week)*

			Strepto	coccus pneun	noniae, invasiv							
	Streptococ	Streptococcal disease,		sistant,	A 60 - 5	Voars	Primary & secondary Congenital					
Deperting even	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.		
UNITED STATES	2 673	3 024	1 434	1 453	<u>518</u>	493	4 206	4 435	135	2004		
	100	206	22	91	51	69	112	115		1		
Maine	6	7	N	Ň	_	2	1	2	_	_		
N.H.	8	15	_	_	3	N	7	3	—	—		
vt. Mass	9 70	8 92	9	ь 24	3 45	39	80		_	_		
R.I.	7	17	13	10		5	2	15	_	1		
Conn.	—	67	U	51	U	22	22	23	—	_		
MID. ATLANTIC	602	523	142	106	98	75	545	576	11	24		
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	191 102	1/1	55	46	45 17	50	43 341	45 350	3	1		
N.J.	120	114	Ň	Ň	16	7	77	100	3	13		
Pa.	189	159	87	60	20	18	84	81	—	1		
E.N. CENTRAL	537	703	391	331	140	118	404	509	19	30		
Ohio	133	165	245	233	58	56	120	131	2	2		
III.	113	194	8	90	41	23	178	210	6	5		
Mich.	210	213	_	N	_	Ň	48	113	9	22		
Wis.	23	58	N	N	4	38	20	20	1	—		
W.N. CENTRAL	179	209	33	14	56	59	135	106	1	3		
Minn.	64 N	104 N	N	N	33	38 N	32	17	_	1		
Mo.	52	44	27	11	5	9	85	61	1	1		
N. Dak.	6	9	1	_	2	2	—	—	_	_		
S. Dak.	16	9	3	3	6				—	_		
Kans.	28	29	N	N	10	4	14	18	_	1		
S. ATLANTIC	560	605	587	747	61	36	1.041	1.098	25	40		
Del.	1	3	1	4	_	N	6	4	_	1		
Md.	134	96	14		39	24	191	209	8	5		
Va.	48	50	14 N	Ń		4 N	66	62	3	2		
W. Va.	17	17	85	82	20	8	2	3	_	_		
N.C.	81	85	N	N	U	U	139	104	7	6		
Ga.	100	151	110	177	_	N	149	188		2		
Fla.	150	151	377	400	_	N	391	422	5	13		
E.S. CENTRAL	118	158	121	101	5	10	241	245	13	19		
Ky.	23	50	21	22	N	N	22	26	_	1		
Ala	95	108	100		_	N	88	110	3	9		
Miss.	_	—	—	2	5	10	24	31	1	2		
W.S. CENTRAL	107	233	89	44	66	97	702	701	37	44		
Ark.	11	12	12	6	13	7	29	29	_	3		
La. Okla	6 76	2	// N	38 N	20 17	21 28	149	1/5	5	3		
Tex.	14	175	Ň	N	16	41	502	478	31	36		
MOUNTAIN	411	329	49	18	34	29	218	225	15	29		
Mont.		_			—		5	1	<u> </u>	_		
Idaho Wyo	1	6	N 20	N 6	_	N	18	13	1	2		
Colo.	157	65	Ň	Ň	33	29	26	40	_	_		
N. Mex.	26	72		N	—		27	56	2	2		
Ariz. Litah	173	154 24	N 28	N 10	1	N	80	94 5	12	25		
Nev.	1	2	1	2		_	58	15	_	_		
PACIFIC	59	58	_	1	7	_	808	860	14	46		
Wash.	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	78	63	—			
Oreg.	N	N	N	N	5	N	17 705	20 772	14	46		
Alaska	_	_	IN			N	5			40		
Hawaii	59	58	—	1	2	_	3	4	—	_		
Guam	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	_		
P.R.	N	Ν	Ν	N	_	Ν	102	80	6	3		
v.i. Amer Samoa								4	—			
C.N.M.I.		Ŭ	_	Ŭ	<u> </u>	Ŭ	_	Ŭ	_	ŭ		

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004 (30th Week)*

(SULT WEEK)														
					Vari	icella	<u>'</u>	s disease [†]						
	Tuberculos		I yphoi	d fever	(chick	(enpox)	Neuroir		Non-neuroinvasive ^s					
Reporting area	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005					
UNITED STATES	5,510	7,418	117	164	14,065	13,491	31	417	70					
NEW ENGLAND	169	232	13	16	971	1,914	_	_	_					
Maine	9	12	1	_	206	180	—	—	—					
N.H. Vt	4	9	_	_	193	410	_	_	_					
Mass.	112	130	7	13	538	86	_	_	_					
R.I.	14	28	1	1			—	—	—					
	20	52	4	2	0	1,238	_		_					
Unstate N Y	1,109	1,136	29	40	2,958	67	1	3	3					
N.Y. City	565	577	8	14	_	_	—	2	2					
N.J. Pa	257	244	9	12	2 958	67			_					
	702	666	0	17	2,950	4 025	2	0	—					
Ohio	142	114	o 	3	916	1,022	1	0 1	_					
Ind.	70	71	_	_	120	Ň	1	2	—					
III. Mich	349 118	305	2	9	31 2 609	1 2 527	1	4	_					
Wis.	44	47	3	1	283	485	_	_	_					
W.N. CENTRAL	225	265	3	7	232	134	4	15	19					
Minn.	98	99	2	3			2	3	2					
Iowa Mo	20 53	19 77	1	2	N 156	N 5	1	2	_					
N. Dak.	2	3	_	_	12	74	_	1	_					
S. Dak.	7	5	—		64	55	1	2	14					
Kans.	29	44	_		_	_	_	2	3					
S. ATLANTIC	1,247	1,539	18	23	1,268	1,595	_	17	_					
Del.	2	17	_	_	21	4	_	—	—					
Md. D C	151 28	145 49	6	9	20	19	_	_	_					
Va.	147	118	4	3	227	376	—	—	_					
W.Va.	13	13			672	903 N	—		Ν					
S.C.	118	112		_	328	293	_	_	_					
Ga.	195	358	2	3	—	—	—	2	—					
	467	574	4	5	—	_	_	14	_					
E.S. CENTRAL Kv.	311 56	324 55	3	6	N	4 N	1	18	2					
Tenn.	150	129		4	_		—	2	—					
Ala. Miss	105	107	1	_	_	4	1	8	2					
	476	1 1 9 1	2	10	2 007	4 919	1	37	2					
Ark.	53	69		12	2,557	4,213	-	5	2					
La.			—	—	104	47	1	17	—					
Tex.	76 347	1.019	3	12	2.893	4.166	3	13	_					
MOUNTAIN	186	298	3	6	1.680	1.529	3	213	20					
Mont.	6	4	_	_		_	_	_	_					
Idaho Wyo	_	3	_	_	43	24	_	_	_					
Colo.	37	76	_	1	1,190	1,207	_	19	10					
N. Mex.	8	19			110	U	1	6 172	1					
Utah	14	26	1	1	337	298		3						
Nev.	—	54	1	2	_	—	—	13	_					
PACIFIC	1,064	1,777	37	37			15	106	24					
vvash. Oreg	130 54	135 54	3	3	N	N	_	_	_					
Calif.	802	1,502	26	28	_	_	15	106	24					
Alaska	15	20			—	—	—	_	—					
Guam	03	00	U	U	_		—	_	—					
P.R.	_	38 49	_	_	109	88 267	_	_	_					
V.I.		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			—					
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U	U	<u> </u>	U U	U	U	U	U U	_					
		~		~		~		~						

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 30, 2005, and July 31, 2004 (30th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Incidence data for reporting years 2004 and 2005 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). † Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (ArboNet Surveillance). * Not previously notifiable.

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities.* week ending July 30, 2005 (30th Week)

						(
	All cat		All causes, by age (years)						All	causes, r	oy age (ye				
Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45–64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>></u> 65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&l⁺ Total
NEW ENGLAND	434	292	101	22	11	6	46	S. ATLANTIC	1,167	711	303	91	35	24	68
Boston, Mass.	125	76	34	7	5	3	13	Atlanta, Ga.	142	91	37	11	1	2	6
Bridgeport, Conn.	32	26	4	1	1	—	3	Baltimore, Md.	135	71	36	22	5	_	16
Cambridge, Mass.	17	10	2	2		1	3	Charlotte, N.C.	102	62	28	7	_	4	10
Fall River, Mass.	25	16	/	1	1	_	3	Jacksonville, Fla.	137	84	31	15	6	1	6
Hartford, Conn.	44	33	8	2	1	_	3	Miami, Fia.	114	82	22	1	2	1	2
Lowell, Mass.	24	15	4	3	2	_	1	Bichmond Va	50 53	29 10	∠ I 18	5	2	3	4
New Bedford Mass	30	22	7	_	1	_	7	Savannah Ga	59	35	11	5	1	7	3
New Haven, Conn.	Ŭ	 U	Ů	U	Ů	U	Ú	St. Petersburg, Fla.	54	38	13	2		1	3
Providence, R.I.	5	3	2	_	_	_	1	Tampa, Fla.	201	135	47	12	6	_	14
Somerville, Mass.	6	4	2	_	_	_	_	Washington, D.C.	100	54	36	4	5	1	3
Springfield, Mass.	32	15	13	4	_	_	1	Wilmington, Del.	14	11	3	_	_	_	_
Waterbury, Conn.	22	16	5	1	_	_	2	ES CENTRAL	686	136	173	13	13	21	15
Worcester, Mass.	66	50	13	1	—	2	8	Birmingham Ala	161	105	.31	15	5	5	14
MID. ATLANTIC	1.959	1.307	442	128	48	32	96	Chattanooga, Tenn.	78	46	30	1	1	_	6
Albany, N.Y.	51	32	10	7	1	1	5	Knoxville. Tenn.	93	60	20	11	1	1	2
Allentown, Pa.	19	16	3	_	_	_	_	Lexington, Ky.	73	44	19	4	1	5	6
Buffalo, N.Y.	68	46	20	—	1	1	8	Memphis, Tenn.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Camden, N.J.	21	16	1	3	1	_	1	Mobile, Ala.	104	69	27	3	1	4	3
Elizabeth, N.J.	17	11	2	4	_	—	2	Montgomery, Ala.	32	25	7	—	—	—	4
Erie, Pa.	26	17	8	1			1	Nashville, Tenn.	145	87	39	9	4	6	10
Jersey City, N.J.	42	24	11	4	2	1		W.S. CENTRAL	1.454	905	342	110	52	45	60
New York City, N.Y.	1,001	681	219	62	21	16	45	Austin, Tex.	97	64	18	8	4	3	5
Newark, N.J.	42	21	13	1	_	1	2	Baton Rouge, La.	9	9	_	_	_	_	3
Palerson, N.J. Philadolphia, Pa	240	211	4 97	24	10	6	12	Corpus Christi, Tex.	57	41	12	2	1	1	2
Pittsburgh Pa §	21	16	5	24	12		15	Dallas, Tex.	188	99	58	17	6	8	8
Reading Pa	30	25	3	2	_	_	2	El Paso, Tex.	92	65	13	7	5	2	1
Rochester, N.Y.	101	69	19	3	6	4	6	Ft. Worth, Tex.	118	67	22	14	7	8	5
Schenectady, N.Y.	13	9	2	1	1	_	2	Houston, Tex.	332	201	85	25	11	10	18
Scranton, Pa.	33	28	3	2	_	_	_	Little Rock, Ark.	80	51	22	4	3		2
Syracuse, N.Y.	54	33	15	3	2	1	7	San Antonio Tox	09 047	160	27 51	9 14	0	5	5
Trenton, N.J.	29	19	8	2	_	—	—	Shrevenort La	247 44	27	9	6	1	1	
Utica, N.Y.	15	12	2	1			_	Tulsa, Okla,	101	68	25	4	_	4	5
Yonkers, N.Y.	25	15	7	1	1	1	2			500	001		~~		50
E.N. CENTRAL	1,875	1,229	426	125	49	45	81		896	568	201	64	29	31	50
Akron, Ohio	30	23	5	—	2	_	3	Albuquerque, N.M. Boiso, Idabo	102	70	22	9	1	_	3
Canton, Ohio	28	18	8	2	_	_	2	Colo Springs Colo	40 52	40	7	2	1	1	
Chicago, III.	304	170	94	27	7	6	14	Denver Colo	103	50	29	10	5	9	3
Cincinnati, Ohio	32	22	5	4	1		1	Las Vegas, Nev.	250	156	64	16	8	6	19
Cleveland, Ohio	227	167	45	9	2	4		Ogden, Utah	45	30	8	3	2	2	2
Columbus, Onio	209	137	45	17	5	5	12	Phoenix, Ariz.	168	104	36	11	6	8	9
Dayton, Onio	120	00 Q/	20	16	0	6	10	Pueblo, Colo.	23	16	6	_	1	_	2
Evansville Ind	50	38	9	2	_	1	10	Salt Lake City, Utah	105	61	22	13	4	5	10
Fort Wayne, Ind.	57	37	14	3	1	2	2	Tucson, Ariz.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Gary, Ind.	8	4	1	1	2		_	PACIFIC	1,458	968	321	104	44	21	112
Grand Rapids, Mich.	57	39	11	2	4	1	5	Berkeley, Calif.	[′] 13	7	4	2	_	_	3
Indianapolis, Ind.	172	107	37	15	3	10	9	Fresno, Calif.	129	89	26	7	6	1	15
Lansing, Mich.	39	32	4	1	1	1	—	Glendale, Calif.	4	4	—	—	—	—	1
Milwaukee, Wis.	110	73	23	5	4	4	7	Honolulu, Hawaii	64	46	16	2	_	_	5
Peoria, III.	39	20	14	2	2	1	1	Long Beach, Calif.	63	44	15	2		2	5
Rockford, III.	38	24	8	2	2	2	2	Los Angeles, Calif.	112	81	16	8	4	3	14
South Bend, Ind.	43	36	4	2		1	1	Pasadena, Calif.	37	27	4	4	1	1	4
Voungetown Ohio	90 56	04	19	2	2	_	2	Portiand, Oreg.	122	146	30	9	4		14
foungstown, Onio	50	40	0	2	_		1	Sachamento, Calif.	224	02	40	11	9	2	14
W.N. CENTRAL	635	408	149	43	18	17	21	San Francisco Calif	106	63	32	.9	ے 1	1	10
Des Moines, Iowa	108	76	24	5	3	—	2	San Jose Calif	165	111	34	Ř	9	.3	14
Duluth, Minn.	31	20	8	3	_	_	2	Santa Cruz. Calif.	23	18	3	2	_	_	2
Kansas City, Kans.	21	13	5	3		_	_	Seattle, Wash.	109	61	33	10	3	2	3
Kansas City, Mo.	102	62	25	6	4	5	3	Spokane, Wash.	52	42	7	2	1	_	2
LINCOIN, NEDI'.	28	19	5	2		2	2	Tacoma, Wash.	93	58	22	6	4	3	5
Minineapolis, Minn.	58 72	38	17	6 C	I A	2	3	τοται	10 56/1	6 804	2 150	720	200	2/10	570
St Louis Mo	73	44 27	28	0 g	4 2	2	∠ ⊿		10,304	0,024	2,400	/ 30	233	242	5/9
St Paul Minn	62	42	14	3	1	2	3								
Wichita, Kans.	74	57	12	1	3	1	_								

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. * Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

[†] Pneumonia and influenza.

[§] Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

¹ Total includes unknown ages.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop K-95, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

All MMWR references are available on the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2005-733-116/ Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195