

1 **Title: Understanding the impact of antenatal care policies in Georgia (USA) and Scotland**
2 **(UK): A textual synthesis**

3

4 **Authors:** Shim J. ^{1,2}, Burnett V. ¹, Vernon M. ³, Work F. ^{2,4}, Uwaifo I. ³, Ray C. ³, Reddi P. ³

5 **Affiliations:**

6 ¹ School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK

7 ² Scottish Centre for Evidence-based Multi-professional Practice: a JBI Centre of Excellence,
8 Scotland

9 ³ Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University, Georgia, USA

10 ⁴ School of Nursing, Midwifery and Paramedic Practice, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen,
11 UK

12

13

14

15

16 **Corresponding Author:**

17 Dr Joanna Shim

18 School of Health Sciences

19 Robert Gordon University

20 AB10 7QE, Aberdeen, UK

21 j.shim1@rgu.ac.uk

22 **Abstract**

23 Objectives: This study aims to (1) understand the role of policy in maternal health outcomes,
24 and (2) establish any differences or similarities between health systems, providing
25 benchmarks for future maternal and infant care policies in Georgia and Scotland.

26 Methods: Guided by JBI methodology, a textual review of policies and public health
27 interventions that have influenced the antenatal care process in both health systems was
28 conducted. Inclusion criteria for this review were classified using the “PCC” mnemonic:
29 Population- Pregnant women and mothers; Concept- Policies and strategies that supports
30 prenatal and maternal health; and Context- Relevant to Scotland and Georgia. Published
31 primary and secondary research, and grey literature (guidelines, reports, and legislation from
32 authoritative sources) were included.

33 Results: Overall, 60 sources contributed to the report on maternal health system topics.
34 Findings of the textual synthesis presented a regionalized system of maternity care led by
35 physician-provided care models in Georgia compared to the nationalized health system in
36 Scotland with an extended scope for midwife-led care models. On a secondary, organizational
37 level, Scotland also widely operates on protocolized, standardized care informed by clinical
38 guidelines such as NICE. The Georgia health systems also follow national guidelines for care,
39 but extent of standardization may vary based on a mixed system of private and public
40 insurance coverage.

41 Discussion/Conclusion: This is the first study to comprehensively examine maternal health
42 policies in the distinct contexts of Georgia and Scotland, shedding light on the diverse
43 approaches within their respective healthcare systems. These observed variations stem from
44 historical, cultural, and policy contexts unique to each region. As the United States continue
45 to prioritize maternal and child health through public health initiatives, our findings feature
46 crucial considerations for maternal antenatal care policies. Specifically, there is a discernible
47 need to increase access to antenatal care and invest in the maternity care provider workforce,
48 revealing opportunities for targeted improvements in support of maternal health.

49

50

51 Introduction

52 Maternal and infant health disparities are a pressing global concern, with the United States
53 (US) experiencing the highest maternal and infant mortality rates among high-income
54 nations.^{1,2} This rising trend in mortality rates has brought maternal and infant health to the
55 forefront of many recent public health and research initiatives within the US.³

56 Georgia has the second highest maternal mortality rate and the twelfth highest infant
57 mortality rate in the US.^{4,5} Similar to the rest of the US, research has indicated Black mothers
58 in Georgia are more likely to die from pregnancy, especially in rural areas.⁴ Understanding the
59 factors affecting maternal and infant health in these underserved regions is crucial in
60 addressing healthcare disparities in the US.⁶

61 In comparison, while Georgia had a maternal mortality rate of 50.8 maternal deaths per
62 100,000 births in 2019, Scotland (UK) reported a lower rate of 10.9 maternal deaths per
63 100,000 births between 2017-2019.^{7,8} In 2021, 1,205 women died of maternal causes in the
64 US compared to 861 women in 2020 and 754 women in 2019.³ Both rural regions in Scotland
65 and Georgia face similar healthcare challenges, including a need for physicians and limited
66 access to care.⁹ This shared context offers an important point of comparison between the
67 healthcare systems that operate within these regions. Despite both countries being high-
68 income nations with high rankings on the Human Development Index (HDI),¹⁰ they offer
69 distinct healthcare systems and policies for comparison.

70 The US, with its decentralized and predominantly privatized healthcare system, faces unique
71 challenges in ensuring equitable access to maternal care across diverse regions.¹¹ In contrast,
72 Scotland's publicly funded and centralized healthcare system strives to provide
73 comprehensive healthcare coverage, albeit with its own set of challenges.¹² Understanding
74 these variations in healthcare systems, their infrastructure, and policies in the context of
75 maternal and infant health, may elucidate the factors contributing to disparities in preterm
76 birth rates and inform discussions on maternal care quality and policy reforms in these
77 regions. This understanding is not only valuable for the nations involved but also contributes
78 to global efforts to reduce persistent inequalities in health, attainment, and life expectancies,
79 especially in marginalized communities.^{13,14}

80 Therefore, our study aims to (1) understand the role of policy in maternal health outcomes,
81 and (2) establish any differences or similarities between health systems, providing
82 benchmarks for future maternal and infant care policies in Georgia and Scotland.

83 **Methods**

84 **Study Design**

85 A comparative approach was conducted through a textual synthesis of published literature
86 on the health systems and policies influencing maternal health in Georgia and Scotland. We
87 recognized that health systems are complex and multifaceted, influenced by a multitude of
88 variables including government policies, law, national infrastructure, socioeconomic
89 conditions, cultural norms, and the interactions of various stakeholders – patients, providers,
90 payors, and policymakers.¹⁵ Therefore, the intention of the textual review was to provide a
91 nuanced understanding of the policy landscapes of each region to encourage any cross-
92 regional learning.

93 **Textual Review of Maternal Health Literature**

94 The textual review was conducted in accordance with JBI methodology.¹⁶

95 ***Inclusion criteria***

96 Inclusion criteria for this review were classified using the “PICo” (Population, Phenomenon of
97 interest, Context) mnemonic: Population: Pregnant and birthing women; Phenomenon of
98 Interest: Policy strategies to reduce maternal morbidity and mortality; and Context: Health
99 setting in the UK and the US.¹⁶

100 ***Type of sources***

101 Expert opinions, consensus, current discourse, comments, assumptions, or assertions that
102 appear in various formats including journals, magazines, newspapers, blogs, internet sites,
103 monographs and reports were largely drawn for this analysis. We reviewed grey literature
104 that contains policy- and research-relevant information (e.g., clinical practice guidelines,
105 national reports, program evaluation studies, and legislation) from authoritative sources that
106 are widely accessible.¹⁷

107 ***Search strategy***

108 The search comprised of three steps; Firstly, a limited search of MEDLINE and CINAHL using
109 initial keywords was conducted to develop a full search strategy. Secondly, the full search
110 strategy was adapted to each database and applied systematically to: MEDLINE, CINAHL,
111 AMED, EMBase, and Cochrane Library (see Appendix 1). Finally, the third step
112 involved conducting a search of grey and unpublished literature in the Maternity and Infant
113 Care database, Open Grey, MedNar, The New York Academy Grey Literature Report, Ethos,
114 CORE, and Google Scholar using modified search terms for maternal health-related
115 policies. We also searched the US Congress online legislative database and Georgia online
116 legislative database for national and Georgia state-level proposed legislation and legislation
117 passed between 2000 and 2020 addressing maternal health. No limit was placed on language,
118 but all of the research studies published were in English.

119 ***Study selection***

120 Following the search, all identified sources were collated and uploaded into EndNote and
121 duplicates were removed. Sources were then imported to Covidence (Melbourne, Australia)
122 for two-level screening. Firstly, titles and abstracts were screened independently by two
123 reviewers (PR and VB) with conflicts identified by the management software and resolved by
124 a third reviewer (JS and FW). Secondly, full-text copies of all sources included at the title and
125 abstract screening stage were screened using the same processes.

126 ***Quality assessment***

127 Due to the nature of grey literature, which was largely descriptive and based on expert
128 opinions, it was not appropriate to critically appraise the evidence for this textual synthesis.

129 ***Data extraction and synthesis***

130 A data extraction tool was developed for this review to extract relevant information about
131 the study and key findings. Data that were extracted were synthesized with the use of
132 tabulation and graphs and presented alongside an accompanying narrative. The synthesis was
133 focused on data relating to similarities and differences between the countries.

134 Results

135 Findings from the textual synthesis

136 The initial search identified 846 articles in the databases, supplemented by a further 253
137 studies from the grey literature (websites and expert sources). 60 reports were included
138 following full-text screening. Figure 1 presents the study selection process and the main
139 reasons for exclusion.

140 A wide variety of different journals and policies contributed to the report on maternal health
141 system topics, including the *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, *Maternal and Child*
142 *Health Journal*, and the *World Health Organization*. Of the 60 included reports, 42 were
143 related to Scottish policies while 18 were specific to Georgia. The majority of the studies were
144 widely accessible public reports with a descriptive component to their design. 27
145 observational and experimental studies examined the contents of policy or its impact, and 7
146 were reviews of the literature. Table 1 presents a summary of the characteristics of the
147 included studies.

148 We carried out a textual synthesis of the data with the intention to review literature that
149 relates synoptically to maternal health service; highlighting similarities and differences
150 between the two countries. The literature outlined the public health systems at three main
151 levels: (1) primary level – action taken at national or country level; (2) secondary level – action
152 taken at policy or legislative level; and (3) tertiary levels – action was taken at regional or a
153 specific city or locality-based e.g., programs.

154 *Primary level – national or country level*

155 Maternal care in Scotland is currently provided through the National Health Service Scotland
156 (NHS Scotland).¹² Scotland is divided into 14 NHS regional Health Boards, each responsible for
157 planning and delivering healthcare services within a specific geographical area.¹⁸ Specialized
158 maternity services may sometimes be provided at a national or regional level instead of
159 individual Health Boards. Generally, maternal care in the UK includes routine antenatal and
160 postnatal care, midwifery-led care for low-risk pregnancies, and consultant care (obstetrics
161 or specialists care) for higher-risk pregnancies or medical complications.¹⁹ Scottish
162 professionals in maternity and neonatal care adhere to established clinical and professional

163 protocols that outline the standards for safe and efficient services, developed by
164 organizations like the British Association of Perinatal Medicine (BAPM), National Institute for
165 Clinical Excellence (NICE), and the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). These
166 guidelines are often protocolized and embedded into practice to support equitable care.

167 Similarly, the US also strongly adopts evidence-based guidelines and recommendations from
168 the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and Society for Maternal-
169 Fetal Medicine (SMFM) to guide their delivery of maternal and infant care. However,
170 implementation and protocolization of guidelines varies in the US due to its healthcare
171 system, which involves a mix of public and private healthcare providers, insurance systems,
172 and government subsidies.²⁰ Healthcare in the US is the responsibility of individual states, and
173 while there are national policies and legislations, it should be noted that there can be
174 significant variations in healthcare planning and policy at the state level. Other healthcare
175 coverage provided by the government includes Medicaid and Medicare which serves different
176 populations. Medicaid serves those whose income and/or resources fall below a designated
177 level while Medicare provides coverage for the elderly.

178 One of the most heavily cited legislation supporting the expansion of healthcare is the Patient
179 Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) that was enacted in 2010. The primary goal of the
180 ACA was to expand access to health and improving the quality and affordability of
181 healthcare.²¹ The historical healthcare reform law mandates that every individual have health
182 insurance starting in 2014. The expansion of Medicaid eligibility under the law has successfully
183 slowed the rise in maternal mortality rates among Black pregnant and birthing individuals in
184 states where it has been implemented. Additionally, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) required
185 the coverage of preventive services, such as contraception, and prohibited discrimination
186 based on pre-existing conditions, including pregnancy. Despite these efforts to expand on
187 health coverage, 26 million people or 8% of the population remains uninsured and rely on
188 safety net programs and charity care.²³

189 Furthermore, coverage of pregnancy-related healthcare varies in the state of Georgia by stage
190 of pregnancy.²⁴ Medicaid offers access to physicians' visits, prescription medicines, and in-
191 and out-patient hospital services for pregnant women with an income of up to 220% above
192 the poverty line. Labor and delivery costs are also covered through Medicaid, and coverage
193 lasts up to six months after giving birth. Between 2012-2014, Medicaid was utilized by 12.6%

194 of mothers a month prior to pregnancy while 36.9% of Georgian mothers were uninsured
195 during this time period.²⁵

196 Maternal care models in Scotland and Georgia also vary, with Scotland favoring a midwife-led
197 approach and Georgia predominantly relying on physician-led care.^{19,26} The Best Start report
198 outlined Scotland's approach to maternity and neonatal care, emphasizing midwife-led
199 models following national guidelines that encourage women without significant health issues
200 to utilize community-based midwife-led care services.¹² The comparison between midwife-
201 led maternal care in Scotland and physician-led maternal services in Georgia, underscores
202 some of the differences in healthcare models, midwifery roles, and the policies that support
203 the extended scope of practice, in the UK and the US. These variations have been shaped by
204 the historical, cultural, and policy contexts of each region.

205 *Secondary level – policy or legislative level*

206 A proportionate number of the included literature were policy and legislative-driven. A
207 summary of the policy and empirical evidence from the textual synthesis can be found in
208 Appendix 2. These policies and relevant frameworks were mapped in chronological order on
209 a timeline for both states as illustrated in Figure 2 and 3.

210 *Scotland*

211 Several key policy frameworks have played a significant role in shaping the strategic landscape
212 for providing maternity and neonatal services in Scotland. The National Framework for
213 Maternity Services in Scotland²⁷ was derived to provide a structured approach to the planning
214 and delivery of high-standard maternity services. Despite the policy's intentions, historically,
215 there have been translational challenges in practice due to the lack of a clear implementation
216 structure. Expert Group on Acute Maternity Services (EGAMS) was established and published
217 a reference report in 2002 recommending the centralization of maternity services in Scotland
218 and the establishment of interdisciplinary teams to enhance safety and quality of maternity
219 care.²⁷ EGAMS also recognized the importance of improving maternity services in rural areas
220 due to the challenges they face, playing a significant role in shaping the direction of maternity
221 care in Scotland. Although the general framework and guidelines for providing maternity care
222 are set at a national level, there is no specific approach or model to service delivery, allowing
223 individual NHS Boards to tailor their services to suit the needs of their locality.

224 The Refreshed Framework for Maternity Care in Scotland²⁸ was further developed to improve
225 maternal and infant health and diminish disparities in health outcomes. It also established
226 guiding principles and service benchmarks for maternity care throughout Scotland. The
227 Quality Framework for Neonatal Care in Scotland²⁹ provided guidance on providing high
228 quality, evidence-based, safe, effective and person-focused neonatal care.

229 Continuity of care had been a pivotal policy affecting maternity care in England since 1993
230 with the publication of Changing Childbirth and an emphasis on Choice, Continuity and
231 Control, person-centered care in the National Service Framework Maternity Standard and
232 Maternity Matters.^{30,31} Therefore, in 2017, Scotland introduced the 'Best Start' policy, which
233 identified the future vision of real continuity of care throughout the entire maternity process,
234 with a particular emphasis on supporting vulnerable families as a fundamental aspect in
235 advancing maternity services across Scotland within a five-year timeframe. This current policy
236 emphasizes the provision of consistent care from a dedicated healthcare professional or a
237 team throughout pregnancy, childbirth, and the postnatal period. It promotes person-
238 centered, personalized care and fosters trust between patients and healthcare providers,
239 which has been shown to enhance their overall experience and improve maternal and infant
240 outcomes. NHS Scotland released the Health Improvement, Efficiency, Access to Services, and
241 Treatment Appropriate (HEAT) initiative aimed to measure and improve the timeliness of
242 access to antenatal care for pregnant women in Scotland.³² Performance data against current
243 local standards showed that Scotland met the target as the lowest performance even in areas
244 with the highest levels of deprivation (measured by the Scottish Index of Multiple
245 Deprivation³³ was 88.35% (i.e., 88% of expectant mothers had scheduled antenatal care
246 appointments by the 12th week of pregnancy for the year ending March 2021).

247 A broad range of ongoing audit, legislative, and improvement efforts have been undertaken
248 with the aim to improve clinical standards and outcomes throughout Scotland. The Maternal
249 and Children's Quality Improvement Collaborative (MCQIC), initiated in 2013 as part of the
250 Scottish Patient Safety Programme, is one such initiative.³⁴ Established in 2011, the Stillbirth
251 Group aims to decrease stillbirth rates in Scotland by increasing awareness of risk factors,
252 supporting research, and advocating for bereavement support and resources.³⁵ Another
253 major audit is the Mothers and Babies: Reducing Risk through Audits and Confidential
254 Enquiries in the UK (MBRRACE), established in 2013, which examines maternal deaths,

255 stillbirths, and infant deaths to facilitate continuous quality improvement efforts.³⁶
256 Additionally, the Each Baby Counts program by the Royal College of Obstetricians and
257 Gynaecologists (RCOG) aims to minimize the occurrence of preventable adverse incidents
258 during term labor.³⁷ In addition, there are several other public health initiatives that
259 progressed in Scotland to reduce health disparities among diverse populations. These include
260 the WHO self-assessment audit tool to measure the quality of health promotion activity of
261 maternity services in Scotland.³⁵ The Smoking, Health and Social Care (Scotland) Act Scottish
262 Government³⁸ imposed legislative measures to reduce smoking rates, exposure to second-
263 hand smoke, and smoking cessation support as it recognizes the adverse effects of smoking
264 on maternal and infant health. This legislation resulted in a wide public health impact.³⁹

265 *Georgia*

266 Several key policies have impacted maternal health care in the state of Georgia, USA. The
267 expansion of Medicaid eligibility for pregnant women has been a critical policy in improving
268 access to maternal healthcare. This policy aims to provide coverage for low-income pregnant
269 women, ensuring access to prenatal care.

270 Like Scotland, Georgia has implemented policies promoting continuity of care with the same
271 healthcare provider with the aim to establish trust and rapport between healthcare
272 professionals and expectant mothers. The Certified Nurse-Midwife (CNM) Involvement in
273 Care played a vital role in maternal care, offering a more holistic and lower medical
274 intervention approaches to childbirth.

275 Other programs and initiatives also had positive impacts on maternal and child health in
276 Georgia. Centering Pregnancy: A Model for Group Prenatal Care is a group-based prenatal
277 care model that offers support, education, and a community for mothers-to-be.⁴⁰ Group
278 prenatal care consisted of sessions with a nurse and midwife where basic prenatal physical
279 assessments and issues such as nutrition, common discomforts, labor and delivery, infant
280 care, and postpartum were addressed. Significant collaborations have been established
281 between clinical care, public health, and policy entities at Grady. Examples include initiatives
282 like the Grady Healthy Baby Initiative, aimed at addressing underlying factors contributing to
283 adverse maternal and fetal outcomes, as well as disparities among vulnerable populations,
284 both locally and statewide.⁴¹

285 Georgia is also involved in perinatal quality collaboratives aimed at improving the quality of
286 care for mothers and infants. These collaboratives focus on evidence-based practices and
287 guidelines to address maternal and infant outcomes. The Perinatal Case Management
288 programs was introduced in April 2014 to identify and address the complex needs of high-risk
289 pregnant women by providing comprehensive support to reduce the risk of adverse outcomes
290 such as preterm births.⁴² The CDC initiated the Pregnancy Mortality Surveillance System
291 (PMSS) in 1986 to conduct national surveillance of all pregnancy-related deaths. At the state
292 or local level, the Maternal Mortality Review Committees (MMRCs) would convene to
293 investigate and review maternal deaths. These committees were set up to investigate the
294 causes and risk factors for pregnancy-related deaths in the US and develop strategies to
295 prevent future mortalities.

296 Georgia was one of the first states in the US to adopt telemedicine through the
297 implementation of the Georgia Telehealth Law in 2005. This initiative aimed to establish clear
298 definitions and a legal structure for providing remote medical services to overcome
299 geographical challenges posed by rurality.⁴³

300 *Tertiary level – regional or specific city or locality-based level*

301 Different models of care delivery have been implemented in Scotland and Georgia including
302 traditional physician care, group-based prenatal care, and midwifery-led care. The
303 overarching goal is to provide person-centered care and higher satisfaction among expectant
304 mothers.⁴⁴ All pregnant women will see a range of health professionals depending on their
305 care needs. While the majority of births occur in hospitals, the choices available for birthplace
306 can vary depending on the locality and are often influenced by the individual preferences of
307 the woman. In Scotland, approximately 2.6% of births occur in community settings, and
308 antenatal care can be provided in both community and hospital settings. In the United States,
309 nearly all births (98%) take place in hospitals,⁴⁵ with approximately 91% attended by
310 physicians and 8.7% attended by midwives, a statistic that is unique to the US.⁴⁶ In contrast,
311 many other high-income countries rely more heavily on midwifery care and have fewer
312 hospital births.⁴⁷

313 Every maternity unit in Scotland has obtained accreditation from the UNICEF Baby Friendly
314 Initiative (BFI).⁴⁸ Scotland's four largest neonatal units are close to achieving full

315 implementation of the neonatal BFI standards, while other units are working towards this
316 goal. Additionally, a Scotland-wide donor milk bank was established in 2013 to ensure
317 equitable access to breast milk for the smallest and most vulnerable infants across the
318 country.⁴⁹ In Georgia, the involvement of peer counsellors, particularly in breastfeeding
319 support programs has been beneficial in encouraging and supporting breastfeeding which has
320 documented health benefits for both mothers and infants.

321 **Discussion**

322 Our findings demonstrate the varied approaches of the Georgia and Scotland health systems
323 and their influence on maternal care and wellbeing. Both systems share a commitment
324 towards providing continuity of care for the expectant mothers in their regions,
325 acknowledging the clinical benefits of promoting deepened person-centered trust. Their
326 differences originate at a national level resulting in macro-level barriers and facilitators to
327 health (e.g., availability of infrastructure, facilities, and medical staff).⁵⁰ Scotland has a heavily
328 guideline-driven approach to its medical practice due to its centralized health system
329 framework, as opposed to the largely privatized US healthcare market, which subsequently
330 allows additional room for personalized care. Furthermore, the US healthcare system is more
331 physician-centered compared to its Scottish counterpart, which prioritizes midwifery-
332 centered care in the absence of high-risk comorbidities or complications. This discrepancy in
333 practitioner emphasis allows the differences to pervade into the regional level, as
334 demonstrated by the variations in delivery setting: births in the non-hospital setting are not
335 uncommon in Scotland, while the vast majority of births in the US occur in physician-led
336 hospitals.

337 Georgia has one of the more restrictive state policies regarding the licensing of midwives,
338 with the criminalization of midwifery practice without a nursing credential. As of 2018, there
339 are only 550 Certified Nurse Midwives (CNMs) in the state of Georgia⁵¹ and they face
340 challenges such as identifying physician collaborators and obtaining hospital privileges,
341 making it extremely challenging to access midwifery care. Additionally, 73% of counties in
342 Georgia lack hospitals that provide maternity care, with 36.7% being classified as 'maternity
343 care deserts'.⁵² In response to this maternal health crisis, Georgia has implemented several
344 initiatives, including a Maternal Mortality Review Committee (MMRC), and a Perinatal Quality
345 Collaborative (GaPQC) involving key stakeholders.

346 The demographic and geographic variations between Georgia and Scotland may also explain
347 their varied policies. Georgia's estimated 2022 population is 10.9 million. While most of the
348 inhabitants are White (59%), 33% are Black/African American, 10% are Hispanic, and 4.8% are
349 Asian. A significant portion of the state's population, 39.7%, live in rural parts of the state with
350 higher poverty rate compared to urban areas of the state (19% vs. 13%) based on American

351 Community Survey (ACS) data.⁵³ Scotland, by comparison, has a smaller population of 5.4
352 million, with only 17% of its population living in rural areas of the country.⁵⁴ The racial
353 breakdown of Scotland's population is more homogenous to that of Georgia, with 96% of its
354 population being White.⁵⁵ Such variations in spatial barriers and socioeconomic status are
355 important when considering the differences in birth outcomes. The larger, more diverse, and
356 more rural population in Georgia can present with more obstetric and neonatal challenges,
357 leading to a higher need for maternal care services.

358 The different healthcare infrastructures present in Georgia and Scotland may also be due to
359 the conceptual framework underlying their respective public health systems and the
360 populations each system was originally designed to serve. The US public healthcare system,
361 through programs known as Medicare and Medicaid, was established in the 1965 to provide
362 care to the elderly and others who were deemed medically and financially disadvantaged in
363 the setting of rising healthcare costs.⁵⁶ Despite these programs, the US healthcare system
364 continues to be driven by the private sector, with employer-based coverage currently being
365 the main source of health insurance for working families.⁵⁷ As such, social determinants of
366 health including economic stability, timely access to health care providers and the quality of
367 maternal care are known risk factors for maternal outcomes.⁴ A recent survey in Georgia
368 revealed that improving the affordability of maternal health care and access to insurance
369 coverage remain the top priorities to tackle this health crisis.⁵⁸ On the other hand, the UK
370 National Health Service (NHS) was implemented in 1948 to provide preventative and curative
371 healthcare to its entire population after the nation endured large numbers of its population
372 requiring medical attention during World War II. Therefore, with the UK's emphasis on public
373 healthcare coverage for a broader target population, Scotland's inhabitants benefit from a
374 more accessible and affordable healthcare system compared to their American
375 counterparts.⁵⁹

376 This study is the first to comparatively explore health policies between Georgia and Scotland,
377 two regions with vastly different healthcare systems. Furthermore, no studies explore varied
378 policies between the broader US and UK health systems. Our study utilizes primary literature,
379 such as clinical guidelines and legislative documents, and secondary literature, such as news
380 articles and expert opinions. While this allows us to gain a holistic insight through objective
381 information regarding Scotland and Georgia's policies as well as subjective information

382 regarding their impact on their respective communities, the use of grey literature such as
383 news and expert opinions may introduce some bias. One strength is our multi-layered
384 approach to policy impact, allowing us to clearly demonstrate how healthcare policy has a
385 trickle-down effect on the provision of healthcare services from the national to the local level.
386 However, the study's focus on maternal policies may overlook other important factors such
387 as individual health behaviors and community-level determinants of health. Furthermore, the
388 study was limited by the lack of literature on rural health policies in Scotland which
389 demonstrates decreased rurality-centered policy in Scotland, representing an area for
390 benchmarking.

391 The results of this study have potential to shape approaches to maternal care and can be
392 utilized to provide recommendations for future clinical practice in Georgia and Scotland. For
393 example, staffing shortages have long been a contributor to the maternal mortality rate in
394 Georgia.²⁴

395 Scotland was able to address this concern through increased mid-level provider involvement
396 in uncomplicated cases, which increases access to basic prenatal needs across the country
397 and subsequently boosts obstetrician availability for more complex cases (Scottish
398 Government, 2017).¹² These findings align with current discussions regarding licensure for
399 midwives and maternity care providers, reflecting the timeliness and relevance of this textual
400 synthesis. The evidence suggest that an expansion in midwifery licensure and training,
401 extended scope of practice, and integrated community-based practice is equitable and cost-
402 effective in reducing the gap in maternal health.^{47,51,52,60} While these changes may affect
403 policy at the regional level, broader changes at the legislative or national level would require
404 fundamental changes to their respective socioeconomic and healthcare system.

405 **Conclusion**

406 This study has identified an important gap in literature addressing the health challenges faced
407 in rural Scotland. This information is necessary to understand the current operations and
408 challenges of antenatal and maternal care in those areas and to provide an important area of
409 comparison to Georgia. Additional research, incorporating population-based data, is needed
410 to fully understand the impact of policy on maternal and child health outcomes in rural
411 Georgia and rural Scotland. It is also important to explore additional indicators of healthcare
412 quality, including patient satisfaction and the availability of healthcare resources.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics statement: No ethical or IRB approval was needed because this is a study of secondary data evidence synthesis.

Funding: This study was funded by Augusta University through their intramural grant.

References

1. Tikkanen R, Gunja M, FitzGerald M, Zephyrin L. Maternal Mortality Maternity Care in the United States Compared 10 Other Countries. The Commonwealth Fund Issue Briefs. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2020/nov/maternal-mortality-maternity-care-us-compared-10-countries>. Published November 18, 2020. Accessed March 16, 2022.
2. Pregnancy Mortality Surveillance System | Maternal and Infant Health | CDC. <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternal-mortality/pregnancy-mortality-surveillance-system.htm>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
3. Hoyert DL. Maternal Mortality Rates in the United States, 2021. :2021. doi:10.15620/cdc:113967
4. Armstrong-Mensah EA, Dada D, Bowers A, Muhammad A, Nnoli C. Geographic, Health Care Access, Racial Discrimination, and Socioeconomic Determinants of Maternal Mortality in Georgia, United States. *International Journal of Maternal and Child Health and AIDS*. 2021;10(2):278. doi:10.21106/IJMA.524
5. Stats of the States - Infant Mortality. 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/sosmap/infant_mortality_rates/infant_mortality.htm. Accessed December 27, 2023.
6. Chinn JJ, Eisenberg E, Artis Dickerson S, et al. Maternal mortality in the United States: research gaps, opportunities, and priorities. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;223(4):486-492.e6. doi:10.1016/J.AJOG.2020.07.021
7. Pregnancy, births and maternity: Stillbirth, neonatal and infant deaths and maternal death. Scottish Public Health Observatory. <https://www.scotpho.org.uk/population-dynamics/pregnancy-births-and-maternity/data/pregnancy-outcomes-2-live-births-and-infant-and-maternal-deaths/>. Published 2023. Accessed December 27, 2023.
8. Hernandez ND, Aina AD, Baker LJ, et al. Maternal health equity in Georgia: a Delphi consensus approach to definition and research priorities. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):1-10. doi:10.1186/S12889-023-15395-3/TABLES/2
9. Filippi V, Chou D, Ronsmans C, Graham W, Say L. Levels and Causes of Maternal Mortality and Morbidity. *Disease Control Priorities, Third Edition (Volume 2): Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn, and Child Health*. April 2016:51-70. doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-0348-2_CH3
10. Human development index. <https://www.who.int/data/nutrition/nlis/info/human-development-index>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
11. Emanuel EJ, Persad G, Upshur R, et al. Fair Allocation of Scarce Medical Resources in the Time of Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;382(21):2049-2055. doi:10.1056/NEJMSB2005114/SUPPL_FILE/NEJMSB2005114_DISCLOSURES.PDF
12. Scottish Government. Scottish Health Survey 2019 - volume 1: main report. *Closing the poverty-related attainment gap: progress report 2016 to 2021*. 2021:1-253. <http://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-health-survey-2019-volume-1-main-report/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
13. CMO's annual report 2012: Our Children Deserve Better: CMO's Summary as a web page - GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/chief-medical-officers-annual-report-2012-our-children-deserve-better-prevention-pays/cmoss-annual-report-2012-our-children-deserve-better-cmos-summary-as-a-web-page>. Accessed December 27, 2023.

14. WHO WHO. Improving maternal and newborn health and survival and reducing stillbirth - Progress report 2023. 2023;34. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240073678>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
15. Lübbecke A, Carr AJ, Hoffmeyer P. Registry stakeholders. *EFORT Open Rev.* 2019;4(6):330. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.4.180077
16. Aromataris E, Munn Z. Introduction to Scoping reviews. *JBI Manuals for Evidence Synthesis.* 2020:2018-2021. <https://wiki.jbi.global/display/MANUAL/11.1+Introduction+to+Scoping+reviews>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
17. Godin K, Stapleton J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hanning RM, Leatherdale ST. Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: A case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada. *Syst Rev.* 2015;4(1):1-10. doi:10.1186/S13643-015-0125-0/FIGURES/2
18. NHS Scotland | UKHDRA. <https://ukhealthdata.org/members/nhs-scotland/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
19. The Scottish Government. The best start: five-year plan for maternity and neonatal care. 2017;(January):121-131. <http://www.gov.scot/publications/best-start-five-year-forward-plan-maternity-neonatal-care-scotland/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
20. Donald A. Barr MP. Introduction to US Health Policy. *Introduction to US Health Policy.* May 2023. doi:10.56021/9781421446462
21. Warren MD, Kavanagh LD. Over a Century of Leadership for Maternal and Child Health in the United States: An Updated History of the Maternal and Child Health Bureau. *Matern Child Health J.* March 2023:1-15. doi:10.1007/S10995-023-03629-0/TABLES/4
22. Eliason EL. Adoption of Medicaid Expansion Is Associated with Lower Maternal Mortality. doi:10.1016/j.j.whi.2020.01.005
23. The Share of Americans without Health Insurance in 2022 Matched a Record Low. <https://www.pgpf.org/blog/2023/11/the-share-of-americans-without-health-insurance-in-2022-matched-a-record-low>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
24. Miteniece E, Pavlova M, Shengelia L, Rechel B, Groot W. Barriers to accessing adequate maternal care in Georgia: a qualitative study. doi:10.1186/s12913-018-3432-z
25. CMS. Report to Congress on Medicaid and CHIP Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission. 2018.
26. Deutchman M, Macaluso F, Bray E, et al. The impact of family physicians in rural maternity care. *Birth.* 2022;49(2):220-232. doi:10.1111/BIRT.12591
27. EXPERT GROUP ON ACUTE MATERNITY SERVICES REFERENCE REPORT.
28. Scottish Government. A Refreshed Framework for Maternity Care in Scotland: The Maternity Services Action Group. *Scottish Government.* 2009;1(1):1-86. <http://www.gov.scot/publications/refreshed-framework-maternity-care-scotland-maternity-services-action-group/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
29. Neonatal Care in Scotland: A Quality Framework. <http://www.gov.scot/publications/neonatal-care-scotland-quality-framework/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.

30. Department of Health. Changing Childbirth: Report of the Expert Maternity Group. 1993:112. <https://search.worldcat.org/title/277249987>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
31. National service framework: children, young people and maternity services - GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-service-framework-children-young-people-and-maternity-services>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
32. National Performance Framework | National Performance Framework. <https://nationalperformance.gov.scot/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
33. Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2020. <http://www.gov.scot/collections/scottish-index-of-multiple-deprivation-2020/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
34. Scottish Patient Safety Programme (SPSP) | ihub | Health and social care improvement in Scotland - Scottish Patient Safety Programme (SPSP). <https://ihub.scot/improvement-programmes/scottish-patient-safety-programme-spsp/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
35. STANDARDS FOR IMPROVING QUALITY OF MATERNAL AND NEWBORN CARE IN HEALTH FACILITIES.
36. Manktelow BN, Smith LK, Evans A, et al. Maternal, Newborn and Infant Clinical Outcome Review Programme MBRRACE-UK Perinatal Mortality Surveillance Report UK Perinatal Deaths for births from January to December 2013 Supplementary Report UK Trusts and Health Boards. 2015.
37. RCOG 2021 Each baby counts: 2020 final progress report. <https://www.rcog.org.uk/media/a4eg2xnm/ebc-2020-final-progress-report.pdf>. Published 2021. Accessed December 27, 2023.
38. Smoking, Health and Social Care (Scotland) Act 2005.
39. Hyland A, Hassan LM, Higbee C, et al. The impact of smokefree legislation in Scotland: results from the Scottish ITC Scotland/UK longitudinal surveys. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckn141
40. Reid J. Centering Pregnancy: a model for group prenatal care. *Nurs Womens Health*. 2007;11(4):382-388. doi:10.1111/j.1751-486X.2007.00194.x
41. Jamieson DJ, Haddad LB. What Obstetrician-Gynecologists Should Know About Population Health. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002638
42. Bell J. Perinatal Case Management. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing*. 2016;45(3):S8. doi:10.1016/j.jogn.2016.03.034
43. Telemedicine in Georgia: Law, Rules, Regulations, and Policies | Blog. <https://blog.curogram.com/telemedicine-georgia>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
44. Brady S, Bogossian F, Gibbons KS. Achieving international consensus on the concept of woman-centred care: A Delphi study. 2023. doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2023.06.001
45. National Academies of Sciences E and M. Birth Settings in America: Outcomes, Quality, Access, and Choice. *Birth Settings in America*. February 2020. doi:10.17226/25636
46. Caughey AB, Cheyney M. Home and birth center birth in the United States: Time for greater collaboration across models of care. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2019;133(5):1033-1050. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000003215

47. Sangy MT, Duaso M, Feeley C, Walker S. Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of midwife-led care for childbearing women in low- and middle-income countries: A mixed-methods systematic review. *Midwifery*. 2023;122. doi:10.1016/J.MIDW.2023.103696
48. The Scottish Government. Scottish maternal and infant nutrition survey 2017. 3/10/2017. 2018:60. <http://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-maternal-infant-nutrition-survey-2017/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
49. Funding for breast milk bank. <http://www.gov.scot/news/funding-for-breast-milk-bank/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
50. Smith T, McNeil K, Mitchell R, Boyle B, Ries N. A study of macro-, meso- and micro-barriers and enablers affecting extended scopes of practice: The case of rural nurse practitioners in Australia. *BMC Nurs*. 2019;18(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/S12912-019-0337-Z/FIGURES/1
51. Hallerman T. Black midwives carry on traditions but fight for legitimacy in Georgia. <https://www.ajc.com/life/black-midwives-carry-on-traditions-but-fight-for-legitimacy-in-georgia/FQLWESLD2JF47OEBMZUKM4GOCA/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
52. Registration data reports - The Nursing and Midwifery Council. <https://www.nmc.org.uk/about-us/reports-and-accounts/registration-statistics/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
53. Georgia - Rural Population - 2023 Data 2024 Forecast 1960-2022 Historical. <https://tradingeconomics.com/georgia/rural-population-percent-of-total-population-wb-data.html>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
54. Scottish Government. Rural Scotland Key Facts 2021. *Closing the poverty-related attainment gap: progress report 2016 to 2021*. 2021:1-253. <http://www.gov.scot/publications/rural-scotland-key-facts-2021/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
55. Ethnicity | Scotland's Census. <https://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/census-results/at-a-glance/ethnicity/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
56. Moore JD, Smith DG. Legislating Medicaid: Considering Medicaid and Its Origins. *Health Care Financ Rev*. 2005;27(2):45. </pmc/articles/PMC4194918/>. Accessed December 27, 2023.
57. Hoffman C, Paradise J. Health insurance and access to health care in the United States. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2008;1136:149-160. doi:10.1196/ANNALS.1425.007
58. New survey reveals insight into Georgia's maternal health crisis. https://news.emory.edu/stories/2023/11/hs_maternal_health_symposium_29_11_2023/story.html. Accessed December 27, 2023.
59. Greengross P, Grant K, Collini E. The history and development of the UK National Health Service 1948 - 1999. 1948. www.healthsystems.org. Accessed December 27, 2023.
60. Yoder H, Hardy LR. Midwifery and Antenatal Care for Black Women: A Narrative Review. *Sage Open*. 2018;8(1). doi:10.1177/2158244017752220
61. Vladutiu CJ, Mobley SC, Ji X, et al. A Methodological Approach for Evaluating the Enterprise Community Healthy Start Program in Rural Georgia: An Analysis Using Linked PRAMS, Birth Records and Program Data. *Matern Child Health J*. 2021;25(10):1516. doi:10.1007/S10995-021-03205-4
62. Vanderlaan J, Edwards JA, Dunlop A. Geospatial variation in caesarean delivery. *Nurs Open*. 2020;7(2):627-633. doi:10.1002/NOP2.433

63. Rust G, Nembhard WN, Nichols M, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in the provision of epidural analgesia to Georgia Medicaid beneficiaries during labor and delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;191(2):456-462. doi:10.1016/J.AJOG.2004.03.005
64. Pinto M, Rochat R, Hennink M, Zertuche AD, Spelke B. Bridging the Gaps in Obstetric Care: Perspectives of Service Delivery Providers on Challenges and Core Components of Care in Rural Georgia. *Matern Child Health J*. 2016;20(7):1349-1357. doi:10.1007/S10995-016-1995-Z/FIGURES/3
65. Mosley EA, Pratt M, Besera G, et al. Evaluating Birth Outcomes From a Community-Based Pregnancy Support Program for Refugee Women in Georgia. *Front Glob Womens Health*. 2021;2. doi:10.3389/FGWH.2021.655409
66. Mobley SC, Thomas SD, Sutherland DE, Hudgins J, Ange BL, Johnson MH. Maternal Health Literacy Progression Among Rural Perinatal Women. *Matern Child Health J*. 2014;18(8):1881. doi:10.1007/S10995-014-1432-0
67. Meyer E, Hennink M, Rochat R, et al. Working Towards Safe Motherhood: Delays and Barriers to Prenatal Care for Women in Rural and Peri-Urban Areas of Georgia. *Matern Child Health J*. 2016;20(7):1358-1365. doi:10.1007/S10995-016-1997-X/TABLES/3
68. Grant JH, Handwerk K, Baker K, Milling VL, Barlow S, Vladutiu CJ. Implementing Group Prenatal Care in Southwest Georgia Through Public–Private Partnerships. *Matern Child Health J*. 2018;22(11):1535-1542. doi:10.1007/S10995-018-2576-0/TABLES/3
69. Gavin NI, Benedict MB, Adams EK. Health service use and outcomes among disabled Medicaid pregnant women. *Women's Health Issues*. 2006;16(6):313-322. doi:10.1016/J.WHI.2006.10.003
70. Daymude AEC, Daymude JJ, Rochat R. Labor and Delivery Unit Closures in Rural Georgia from 2012 to 2016 and the Impact on Black Women: A Mixed-Methods Investigation. *Matern Child Health J*. 2022;26(4):796-805. doi:10.1007/S10995-022-03380-Y/TABLES/3
71. Bruce FC, Berg CJ, Joski PJ, et al. Extent of Maternal Morbidity in a Managed Care Population in Georgia. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2012;26(6):497. doi:10.1111/J.1365-3016.2012.01318.X
72. Barkin JL, Bloch JR, Smith KER, et al. Knowledge of and Attitudes Toward Perinatal Home Visiting in Women with High-Risk Pregnancies. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2021;66(2):227-232. doi:10.1111/JMWH.13204
73. Zertuche AD, Spelke B, Julian Z, Pinto M, Rochat R. Georgia Maternal and Infant Health Research Group (GMIHRG): Mobilizing Allied Health Students and Community Partners to Put Data into Action. *Matern Child Health J*. 2016;20(7):1323-1332. doi:10.1007/S10995-016-1996-Y/FIGURES/3
74. Kramer MR, Waller LA, Dunlop AL, Hogue CR. Housing Transitions and Low Birth Weight Among Low-Income Women: Longitudinal Study of the Perinatal Consequences of Changing Public Housing Policy. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102(12):2255. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2012.300782
75. Jincharadze N, Kazakhashvili N, Sakvarelidze I. PROBLEMS OF IMPROVING ANTENATAL MONITORING OF PREGNANT WOMEN IN THE PRIMARY HEALTH CARE SYSTEM IN GEORGIA. *Georgian Med News*. 2018;283(1):118-123.
76. Jamieson DJ, Haddad LB. What Obstetrician-Gynecologists Should Know About Population Health. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002638

77. Alzate M. Welfare recipients' quality of life: lessons from the United Nations' Human Development Index for the US welfare policy. *European Journal of Social Work*. 2006;9(1):85-101. doi:10.1080/13691450500480722
78. Lanier P, Kennedy S, Snyder A, et al. Prenatal Syphilis Screening Among Medicaid Enrollees in 6 Southern States. *Am J Prev Med*. 2022;62(5):770-776. doi:10.1016/J.AMEPRE.2021.11.011
79. Crowther S, MacIver E, Lau A. Policy, evidence and practice for post-birth care plans: a scoping review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1). doi:10.1186/S12884-019-2274-Y
80. Till SR, Everetts D, Haas DM. Incentives for increasing prenatal care use by women in order to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;2015(12). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009916.PUB2
81. Harron K, Verfuenden M, Ibiebele I, et al. Preterm birth, unplanned hospital contact, and mortality in infants born to teenage mothers in five countries: An administrative data cohort study. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2020;34(6):645-654. doi:10.1111/PPE.12685
82. McInnes RJ, Aitken-Arbuckle A, Lake S, Hollins Martin C, MacArthur J. Implementing continuity of midwife carer – just a friendly face? A realist evaluation. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2020;20(1). doi:10.1186/S12913-020-05159-9
83. Young D, Shields N, Holmes A, Turnbull D, Twaddle S. A new style of midwife-managed antenatal care: costs and satisfaction. *Br J Midwifery*. 1997;5(9):540-545. doi:10.12968/BJOM.1997.5.9.540
84. Pitchforth E, Watson V, Tucker J, et al. Models of intrapartum care and women's trade-offs in remote and rural Scotland: a mixed-methods study. *BJOG*. 2008;115(5):560-569. doi:10.1111/J.1471-0528.2007.01516.X
85. Pitchforth E, Van Teijlingen E, Watson V, et al. "Choice" and place of delivery: a qualitative study of women in remote and rural Scotland. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2009;18(1):42-48. doi:10.1136/QSHC.2007.023572
86. McInnes RJ, Martin CJH, MacArthur J. Midwifery continuity of carer: Developing a realist evaluation framework to evaluate the implementation of strategic change in Scotland. *Midwifery*. 2018;66:103-110. doi:10.1016/J.MIDW.2018.07.007
87. McGuire M, Dagge-Bel F, Purton P, Thompson M. Shaping maternity services in Scotland. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjom2004121116687>. 2013;12(11):674-678. doi:10.12968/BJOM.2004.12.11.16687
88. MacLachlan A, Crawford K, Shinwell S, Nixon C, Henderson M. Recruiting hard-to-reach pregnant women at high psychosocial risk: strategies and costs from a randomised controlled trial. *Trials*. 2021;22(1):1-15. doi:10.1186/S13063-021-05348-9/TABLES/6
89. Hundley V, Rennie AM, Fitzmaurice A, Graham W, Van Teijlingen E, Penney G. A national survey of women's views of their maternity care in Scotland. *Midwifery*. 2000;16(4):303-313. doi:10.1054/midw.2000.0231
90. Hundley V, Penney G, Fitzmaurice A, VanTeijlingen E, Graham W. A comparison of data obtained from service providers and service users to assess the quality of maternity care. *Midwifery*. 2002;18(2):126-135. doi:10.1054/midw.2002.0306
91. Frank J, Bromley C, Doi L, et al. Seven key investments for health equity across the lifecourse: Scotland versus the rest of the UK. *Soc Sci Med*. 2015;140:136-146. doi:10.1016/J.SOCSCIMED.2015.07.007

92. Cheyne H, Abhyankar P, McCourt C. Empowering change: realist evaluation of a Scottish Government programme to support normal birth. *Midwifery*. 2013;29(10):1110-1121. doi:10.1016/J.MIDW.2013.07.018
93. Barnett C. WHO Health Promoting Hospitals: Maternity services in Scotland. *Br J Midwifery*. 2007;15(10):647-649. doi:10.12968/BJOM.2007.15.10.27351
94. SMOKING BAN REDUCED PREGNANCY COMPLICATIONS, SAYS STUDY. *University of Glasgow News*. March 2012.
95. Puthussery S. Perinatal outcomes among migrant mothers in the United Kingdom: Is it a matter of biology, behaviour, policy, social determinants or access to health care? *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2016;32:39-49. doi:10.1016/J.BPOBGYN.2015.09.003
96. Turienzo CF, Bick D, Briley AL, et al. Midwifery continuity of care versus standard maternity care for women at increased risk of preterm birth: A hybrid implementation–effectiveness, randomised controlled pilot trial in the UK. *PLoS Med*. 2020;17(10). doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1003350
97. Gale NK, Kenyon S, MacArthur C, Jolly K, Hope L. Synthetic social support: Theorizing lay health worker interventions. *Soc Sci Med*. 2018;196:96-105. doi:10.1016/J.SOCSCIMED.2017.11.012
98. Courtemanche C, Marton J, Ukert B, Yelowitz A, Zapata D. Early Impacts of the Affordable Care Act on Health Insurance Coverage in Medicaid Expansion and Non-Expansion States.
99. Letter from AHA and Other National Organizations to Congressional Leaders on Two Maternal Health Bills. *American Hospital Association*.
100. Sutton MY, Anachebe NF, Lee R, Skanes H. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Reproductive Health Services and Outcomes, 2020. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2021;137(2):225. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000004224
101. Ranjit A, Jiang W, Zhan T, et al. Intrapartum obstetric care in the United States military: Comparison of military and civilian care systems within TRICARE. *Birth*. 2017;44(4):337-344. doi:10.1111/BIRT.12298
102. Palmer I, Chronicle E. Cognitive processing in migraine: a failure to find facilitation in patients with aura. <http://journals.sagepub.com.proxy.library.emory.edu/doi/pdf/10.1046/j.1468-2982.1998.1803125.x>.
103. Gavin NI, Adams EK, Hartmann KE, Benedict MB, Chireau M. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in the Use of Pregnancy-Related Health Care Among Medicaid Pregnant Women. *Matern Child Health J*. 2004;8(3).
104. Stanhope KK, Suglia SF, Hogue CJR, Leon JS, Comeau DL, Kramer MR. Spatial Variation in Very Preterm Birth to Hispanic Women Across the United States: The Role of Intensified Immigration Enforcement. *Ethn Dis*. 2021;31(Suppl 1):333. doi:10.18865/ED.31.S1.333
105. Stanhope KK, Hogue CR, Suglia SF, Leon JS, Kramer MR. Restrictive sub-federal immigration policy climates and very preterm birth risk among US-born and foreign-born Hispanic mothers in the United States, 2005-2016. *Health Place*. 2019;60. doi:10.1016/J.HEALTHPLACE.2019.102209
106. Merkt PT, Kramer MR, Goodman DA, et al. Urban-rural differences in pregnancy-related deaths, United States, 2011-2016. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2021;225(2):183.e1-183.e16. doi:10.1016/J.AJOG.2021.02.028

107. Li J, Pesko MF, Unruh MA, Jung HY. Effect of the Medicaid Primary Care Rate Increase on Prenatal Care Utilization Among Medicaid-Insured Women. 2019;23:1564-1572. doi:10.1007/s10995-019-02804-6
108. Kroelinger CD, Okoroh EM, Goodman DA, Lasswell SM, Barfield WD. Comparison of state risk-appropriate neonatal care policies with the 2012 AAP policy statement. *Journal of Perinatology*. 2018;38:411-420. doi:10.1038/s41372-017-0006-6
109. Khan A, DeYoung SE. Maternal health services for refugee populations: Exploration of best practices. *Glob Public Health*. 2019;14(3):362-374. doi:10.1080/17441692.2018.1516796
110. Jackson FM, Rashied-Henry K, Braveman P, et al. A Prematurity Collaborative Birth Equity Consensus Statement for Mothers and Babies. *Matern Child Health J*. 2020;24(10):1231-1237. doi:10.1007/S10995-020-02960-0
111. Grigorescu VI, D'Angelo D V., Harrison LL, Taraporewalla AJ, Shulman H, Smith RA. Implementation Science and the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System. *J Womens Health*. 2014;23(12):989. doi:10.1089/JWH.2014.5047
112. Callaghan WM. Geographic variation of reproductive health indicators and outcomes in the United States: place matters. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;211(3):278. doi:10.1016/J.AJOG.2014.06.043
113. Bullinger LR, Simon K, Edmonds BT. Coverage Effects of the ACA's Medicaid Expansion on Adult Reproductive-Aged Women, Postpartum Mothers, and Mothers with Older Children. *Matern Child Health J*. 2022;26(5):1104-1114. doi:10.1007/S10995-022-03384-8
114. Broussard DL, Sappenfield WB, Fussman C, Kroelinger CD, Grigorescu V. Core state preconception health indicators: A voluntary, multi-state selection process. *Matern Child Health J*. 2011;15(2):158-168. doi:10.1007/S10995-010-0575-X/TABLES/2
115. Barreto T, Li C, Chung YK, Jabbarpour Y, Baltrus P, Gaglioti A. Measuring State-Level Racial Inequity in Severe Maternal Morbidity in the Medicaid Population. *Matern Child Health J*. 2022;26(4):682-690. doi:10.1007/S10995-021-03192-6/TABLES/4
116. Adams EK, Johnston E. Insuring Women in the United States Before, During, and After Pregnancies. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106(4).

List of tables and figures

Table 1. Table of characteristics of included literature

Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart of included studies

Figure 2. Timeline of maternal policies and legislations in Scotland, UK

Figure 3. Timeline of maternal policies and legislations in Georgia, USA

Table 1 Table of characteristics of included literature

No.	Authors	Title	Year	Country	Aim of study	Study design	Study funding sources	Population
1	Vladutiu, C., Mobley, S. Ji, X., et al. ⁶¹	A Methodological Approach for Evaluating the Enterprise Community Healthy Start Program in Rural Georgia: An Analysis Using Linked PRAMS, Birth Records and Program Data	2021	Georgia specific	To evaluate the Enterprise Community Healthy Start program in two rural Georgia counties	Cohort study	Health Resources and Services Administration of the US Department of Health and Human Services	Mothers
2	Vanderlaan J., Edwards J., Dunlop A. ⁶²	Geospatial variation in caesarean delivery	2019	Georgia specific	To evaluate the variation in caesarean delivery rates across counties in Georgia and to determine whether county-level characteristics were associated with clusters	Qualitative research	a grant from the Alpha Epsilon chapter of Sigma Theta Tau	Pregnant women in Georgia
3	Rust G., Nembhard W., Nichols M, et al. ⁶³	Racial and ethnic disparities in the provision of epidural analgesia to Georgia Medicaid beneficiaries during labor and delivery	2004	Georgia specific	To measure racial and ethnic differences in the proportion of Medicaid patients who receive epidural analgesia during labor and delivery	Systematic review	N/A	Women receiving a vaginal delivery
4	Pinto M., Rochat R., Hennik M., et al. ⁶⁴	Bridging the Gaps in Obstetric Care: Perspectives of Service Delivery Providers on Challenges and Core Components of Care in Rural Georgia	2016	Georgia specific	To describe challenges faced by obstetric providers in areas outside metro Atlanta and to identify core components of alternative models of care that can alleviate these challenges	Qualitative research	N/A	Obstetric providers
5	Mosley E A., Pratt, M., Besera G., et al. ⁶⁵	Evaluating Birth Outcomes from a Community-Based Pregnancy Support Program for Refugee Women in Georgia	2021	Georgia specific	To evaluate the maternal health outcomes, child health outcomes, and breastfeeding intentions among the participants of the Embrace	Cross sectional study	This work was also made possible through the support of Collaborative for	Female refugee population

Table I

					Refugee Birth Support program in Clarkston, Georgia		Gender and Reproductive Equity, a sponsored project of Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors	
6	Mobley S., Dixson Thomas S., Sutherland D., et al. ⁶⁶	Maternal Health Literacy Progression Among Rural Perinatal Women	2014	Georgia specific	To examine changes in maternal health literacy progression in women who received home visits by Registered Nurse Case Managers	Systematic review	N/A	Rural, low-income, and high risk African-American and White mothers
7	Meyer, E., Hennink, M., Rochat, R., et al. ⁶⁷	Working Towards Safe Motherhood: Delays and Barriers to Prenatal Care for Women in Rural and Peri-Urban Areas of Georgia	2016	Georgia specific	To identify access barriers experienced by women who live in rural and peri-urban areas of Georgai	Qualitative research	N/A	Recent mothers
8	Grant J H., Handwerk K., Baker k., et al. ⁶⁸	Implementing Group Prenatal Care in Southwest Georgia Through Public-Private Partnerships	2018	Georgia specific	The paper describes the successful implementation of CenteringPregnancy in a public health setting with no prior prenatal services; assesses the program's first 5-year perinatal outcomes (October 2009 through October 2014); and discusses several key lessons learned.	Cross sectional study	The funding was supported by March of Dimes Foundation, Healthcare Georgia Foundation, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and Betty and Davis Fitzgerald Foundation.	low-income women initiating prenatal care and patients with singleton live births who attended at least three Centering Pregnancy sessions (or

Table I

								delivered prior to attending the third session).
9	Gavin N I., Benedict M B., Adams E K. ⁶⁹	Health service use and outcomes among disabled Medicaid pregnant women	2006	Georgia specific	To investigate differences in health service use and pregnancy outcomes among women enrolled in Medicaid under eligibility categories for the blind and disabled and those enrolled under other eligibility categories. women?	Cohort study	Funded through contract number 500-96-0018 with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.	Medicaid-covered pregnant women
10	Daymude A E C., Daymude J J., Rochat., R. ⁷⁰	Labor and Delivery Unit Closures in Rural Georgia from 2012 to 2016 and the Impact on Black Women: A Mixed-Methods Investigation	2022	Georgia specific	To explore what factors may be associated with rural hospital Labour Delivery Unit (LDU) closures in Georgia from 2012 to 2016.	Other: Mixed Methods Investigation/analysis	Georgia Maternal and Infant Health Research Group (GMIHRG) and the Georgia OBGYN Society.	Quantitative - analysis of 2011 regional, hospital, and patient data based on LDU closure status in rural Georgia
11	Bruce F C; Berg C J.; Joski P J., et al. ⁷¹	Extent of maternal morbidity in a managed care population in Georgia	2012	Georgia specific	To identify pregnancies and associated complications in a defined and more diverse population of women enrolled in a health maintenance organisation in the south-eastern US.	Cross sectional study	Not reported	females aged 11-54 years who were insured by KPGA from the beginning of pregnancy through 8

Table I

								weeks after delivery
12	Barkin J. L., Bloch J.R., Smith K.E. R., et al. ⁷²	Knowledge of and Attitudes Toward Perinatal Home Visiting in Women with High-Risk Pregnancies	2021	Georgia specific	The aim of this study was to explore receptiveness toward (and knowledge of) home visiting ser-vices in medically underserved women with high-risk obstetric conditions at a major medical center in central Georgia	Qualitative research	Not reported	pregnant women (attending high risk obstetric clinic)
13	Armstrong-Mensah, E., Dada, D., Bowers, A., et al. ⁴	Geographic, Health Care Access, Racial Discrimination, and Socioeconomic Determinants of Maternal Mortality in Georgia, United States	2021	Georgia specific	To identify key social determinants associated with high maternal mortality in Georgia	Systematic review	None	Georgia mothers
14	Zertuche, A., Spelke, B., Julian, Z., et al. ⁷³	Georgia Maternal and Infant Health Research Group (GMIHRG): Mobilizing Allied Health Students and Community Partners to Put Data into Action	2016	Georgia specific	To describe the implementation of a research group aiming to mobilize allied health students and community partners to translate data to action and address the Georgia maternal and child health crisis	Other: Commentary	N/A	Georgia mothers
15	Kramer, M., Waller, L., Dunlop, A., et al. ⁷⁴	Housing transitions and low birth weight among low-income women: longitudinal study of the perinatal consequences of changing public housing policy	2012	Georgia specific	To assess the longitudinal association between housing transitions and pregnancy outcomes in a sample of public housing residents	Cohort study	Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Health Resources and Services Administration, and Department of Health and Human Services	Women residing in Atlanta public housing who had 1 birth on record
16	Jincharadze N., Kazakhashvili	PROBLEMS OF IMPROVING ANTENATAL MONITORING OF	2018	Georgia specific	To study the trends of mothers' health condition according to the	Qualitative research		

Table I

	N., Sakvarelidze I. ⁷⁵	PREGNANT WOMEN IN THE PRIMARY HEALTH CARE SYSTEM IN GEORGIA			implementation of antenatal monitoring of primary healthcare programs in 1996 - 2016 in Georgia.			
17	Jamieson, D., Haddad, L. ⁷⁶	What Obstetrician/Gynecologists Should Know About Population Health	2018	Georgia specific	To describe successes and challenges of addressing reproductive health issues in Georgia	Narrative text and opinion	N/A	Women of reproduction age in Georgia
18	Alzate M. ⁷⁷	Welfare recipients' quality of life: lessons from the United Nations' Human Development Index for the US Welfare Policy	2006	Georgia specific	Measuring the quality of life (QOL) of single mothers in the state of Georgia, US who were recipients of the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) welfare program during 2000 using the Human Development Index (HDI)	Cohort study	Not stated	Single mothers in Georgia USA + Georgian USA general population
19	Lanier, P., Kennedy, S., Snyder, A., et al. ⁷⁸	Prenatal Syphilis Screening Among Medicaid Enrollees in 6 Southern States	2022	Other: 6 Southern US States, including Georgia	To measure syphilis screening among Medicaid enrollees with delivery in southern US states	Cohort study	CDC	Medicaid enrollees in southern states
20	Crowther, S., MacIver, E., Lau, A. ⁷⁹	Policy, evidence and practice for post-birth care plans: a scoping review	2019	Other: Conducted in Scotland but wide scoping review	To ascertain: What is known from the existing literature about women's and midwives' experiences, views and perspectives of post-birth care plans	Other: Scoping review of empirical literature, government and professional documents from 2005 - 2019	Funded by a grant from the NHS Grampian Endowment as pump priming funding to enhance services and further research into post birth care to women	Mothers, midwives

Table 1

21	Till SR, Everetts D, Haas DM ⁸⁰	Incentives for increasing prenatal care use by women in order to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes	2015	Other: Globally	To determine whether incentives are an effective tool to increase utilization of timely prenatal care among women.	Systematic review	N/A	Pregnant women
22	Harron, K., Verfuerden, M., Ibiebele, I., et al. ⁸¹	Preterm birth, unplanned hospital contact, and mortality in infants born to teenage mothers in five countries: An administrative data cohort study	2020	Other: Scotland, England, New South Wales, Ontario, and Sweden	To determine whether increased risk of adverse infant outcomes among teenage mothers varies by country	Cross sectional study	Wellcome Trust, NIHR, Health Data Research UK, UK Medical Research Council, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences	Teenage mothers
23	McInnes, R., Aitken-Arbuckle, A., Lake, S., et al. ⁸²	Implementing continuity of midwife carer - just a friendly face? A realist evaluation	2020	Scotland specific	To evaluate the implementation of continuity of midwife carer model in the Scottish maternity plan	Qualitative research	NMAHP Clinical Academic Research Career Program	Maternity patients within one Scottish health board
24	Young, D., Shield N., Holmes A., et al. ⁸³	A new style of midwife-managed antenatal care: costs and satisfaction	1997	Scotland specific	To assess the effect on women and their families receiving a new style of midwife-managed care in the antenatal period	Randomised controlled trial	A grant from the Scottish Home and Health Department	Pregnant women receiving care at the Glasgow Royal Maternity Hospital
25	Pitchforth E., Watson V., Tucker J., et al ⁸⁴	Models of intrapartum care and women's trade-offs in remote and rural Scotland: a mixed-methods study	2007	Scotland specific	To explore women's preferences for, and trade-offs between, key attributes of intrapartum care models	Other: Mixed methods research	Remote and Rural Areas Resource Initiative (RARARI), NHS Scotland	Pregnant women in rural Northern Scotland

Table 1

26	Pitchforth E., van Teijlingen E., Watson V., et al. ⁸⁵	Choice and place of delivery: a qualitative study of women in remote and rural Scotland	2009	Scotland specific	To explore women's perceptions and experiences of "choice" of place of delivery in remote and rural areas in the North of Scotland region.	Qualitative research	The research was funded by NHS Scotland RARARI	Women who had recent experience of maternity services
27	McInnes, R., Hollins Martin, C., and MacArthur, J. ⁸⁶	Midwifery continuity of carer: Developing a realist evaluation framework to evaluate the implementation of strategic change in Scotland	2018	Scotland specific	To develop a tool to evaluate the implementation of the 'Best Start' midwifery continuity of carer model	Other: Realist evaluation	Clinical Academic Research Careers Grant	Midwives and pregnant women
28	McGuire, M., Dagge-Bell, F., Purton, P., et al. ⁸⁷	Shaping maternity services in Scotland	2004	Scotland specific	To describe maternity services in Scotland	Other: Audit	N/A	
29	MacLachlan A., Crawford K., Shinwell S. ⁸⁸	Recruiting hard-to-reach pregnant women at high psychosocial risk: strategies and costs from a randomised controlled trial	2021	Scotland specific	To report recruitment strategies for hard-to-reach pregnant women in an RCT called The Trial for Healthy Relationship Initiatives in the Very Early years (THRIVE)	Qualitative research	National Institute for Health Research Public Health Research Programme; Chief Scientist Office and Scottish Government	Pregnant women receiving maternity care within the NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde and NHS Ayrshire & Arran health boards in Scotland
30	Hundley, V., Rennie, A., Fitzmaurice, A., et al. ⁸⁹	A national survey of women's views of their maternity care in Scotland	2000	Scotland specific	To determine the extent to which recommendations of recent national policy have taken effect	Cross sectional study	The study was commissioned by the Scottish Programme for	Women giving birth in Scotland

Table I

							Clinical Effectiveness in Reproductive Health (SPCERH) which is funded by the Clinical Resource and Audit Group (CRAG) of the Scottish Executive Health Department (SEHD).	
31	Hundley, V., Penney, G., Fitzmaurice, A., et al. ⁹⁰	A comparison of data obtained from service providers and service users to assess the quality of maternity care	2001	Scotland specific	To compare maternity service data between service providers and service users in Scotland	Other: Mixed methods research	Women giving birth and care professionals	
32	Frank J., Bromley C., Doi L., et al. ⁹¹	Seven key investments for health equity across the life course: Scotland versus the rest of the UK	2015	Scotland specific	The paper summarises the evidence, from both published studies and routinely collected data in the UK on socioeconomic and health outcomes, that illuminates how well Scotland in particular is doing, in comparison to the rest of the UK	Narrative text and opinion	Funded by the SCPHRP core grant from the Medical Research Council (Grant Number MR/K023209/1) and the Chief Scientist Office of Scotland.	Scottish population throughout the life course including youth; family planning; prenatal and perinatal care
33	Cheyne, H., Abhyanker, P., and McCourt, C. ⁹²	Empowering change: Realist evaluation of a Scottish Government programme to support normal birth	2013	Scotland specific	To understand the ways the Keeping Childbirth Natural and Dynamic (KCND) did and did not work in different maternity care contexts	Other: Mixed methods research	Scottish Government Chief Nurse's Office	Midwives and pregnant women

Table 1

34	Barnett, C. ⁹³	WHO Health Promoting Hospitals: maternity services in Scotland	2007	Scotland specific	AIM: To audit the maternity service in Tayside, against the World Health Organisation's five standards for health promoting hospitals. However, the paper reports that "results of this audit were currently being analysed". The paper itself focuses on discussion of "the experience of using this audit tool and the advantages of participating in the Health Promoting Hospital networks"	Narrative text and opinion	Not reported	senior managers within the NHS board maternity service, midwifery staff within the NHS board, pregnant and postpartum women
35		Significant drop in Scotland's premature birth rate ⁹⁴	2012	Scotland specific	research analysis by Glasgow University mentioned, no other details	Other: news piece	NA	expectant mothers who smoke
36	Puthussery S. ⁹⁵	Perinatal outcomes against migrant mothers in the United Kingdom: Is it a matter of biology, behaviour, policy, social determinants or access to health care?	2016	UK	To examine trends in perinatal outcomes among migrant mothers in the UK and explore potential contributors to disparities	Qualitative research	N/A	Migrant mothers in the UK
37	Turienzo C., Bick D., Briley A. et al. ⁹⁶	Midwifery continuity of care versus standard maternity care for women at increased risk of preterm birth: A hybrid implementation - effectiveness, randomised controlled pilot trial in the UK	2020	UK	To assess feasibility, fidelity, and clinical outcomes of a model of midwifery continuity of care linked with a specialist obstetric clinic for women considered at increased risk for PTB	Randomised controlled trial	the National Institute for Health Research Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research South London	Pregnant women at increased risk of preterm birth (PTB)

Table I

38	Gale N.K., Kenyon S., MacArthur C., et al ⁹⁷	Synthetic social support: Theorizing lay health worker interventions	2018	UK		Qualitative research	National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)	
39	Courtemanche, C., Marton, J., Ukert, B., et al. ⁹⁸	Early Impacts of the Affordable Care Act on Health Insurance Coverage in Medicaid Expansion and Non- Expansion States	2017	United States	To identify the effects of Medicaid in expansion and non- expansion states	Cross sectional study	Medicaid participants	
40	American Health and Care organisations - led by American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (listed first) ⁹⁹	Joint Letter to Congressional Leaders Supporting Maternal Health	2021	United States	NA	Other: Letter to Congress	NA	Mothers
41	Abraham G. (President of American college of Physicians)	Joint Letter to Congressional Leaders	2021	United States	NA	Other: Letter to Congressio nal Leaders	NA	Americans who live and/or work in underserved communities disproportio nately disadvantage d by societal and economic problems, communities of colour,

Table I

								elders, mothers and babies
42	Sutton M Y., Anachebe N F., Lee R., et al. ¹⁰⁰	Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Reproductive Health Services and Outcomes, 2020	2021	United States	The purpose of this commentary is to update regarding reproductive health disparities in the United States and inform national health-equity efforts.	Narrative text and opinion	None stated	Women of reproductive age
43	Ranjit A., Jiang W., Zhan T. et al. ¹⁰¹	Intrapartum obstetric care in the United States military: Comparison of military and civilian care systems within TRICARE	2017	United States	To compare frequency of intrapartum obstetric procedures and out-comes such as severe acute maternal morbidity (SAMM) and common postpartum complications between direct and purchased care systems within TRICARE	Qualitative research	Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine	Expectant mothers who are beneficiaries of TRICARE
44	Palmer L, Cook A., Courtot B. ¹⁰²	Comparing Models of Maternity Care Serving Women at Risk of Poor Birth Outcomes in Washington, DC	2010	United States	To describe the organization, delivery, and content of care of three models of maternity care and to analyze how the models of care might be improved to better serve this population	Qualitative research	N/A	low income African- American women in Washington, DC
45	Mazul, M., Ward, T., Ngui, E., et al.	Anatomy of Good Prenatal Care: Perspectives of Low Income African-American Women on Barriers and Facilitators to Prenatal Care	2016	United States	To examine the perspectives of low-income African-American women on barriers and facilitators to receiving prenatal care in an urban setting	Qualitative research	Children's Community Health Plan	29 African American women recruited from the YWCA of Southeast Wisconsin, a community based

Table I

								organization that serves low and moderate-income women
46	Gavin N. I., Adams E. K., Hartmann K. E., et al. ¹⁰³	Racial and ethnic disparities in the use of pregnancy-related health care among Medicaid pregnant women	2004	United States	To assess the extent to which racial and ethnic disparities exist in the use of prenatal services among Medicaid pregnant women.	Cohort study	Funded through contract number 500-96-0018 with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. The Texas claims and birth certificate data were provided by the Texas Department of Health and the Health Resources and Services Administration. The Georgia birth certificate data and linkage to the SMRF claims were provided by the Georgia Department of Health	Pregnant women covered by Medicaid

Table I

47	Stanhope K., Suglia S., Hogue C. et al. ¹⁰⁴	Spatial Variation in Very Preterm Birth to Hispanic Women Across the United States: The Role of Intensified Immigration Enforcement	2021	United States	To estimate the effect of adoption of a 287(g) immigration enforcement agreement on county-level very preterm birth (VPTB) rates among US-born and foreign-born Hispanic women	Cohort study	N/A	US-born and foreign-born Hispanic mothers
48	Stanhope K K., Hogue C R., Suglia S F., et al. ¹⁰⁵	Restrictive sub-federal immigration policy climates and very preterm birth risk among US-born and foreign- born Hispanic mothers in the United States, 2005-2016	2019	United States	To examine how living in a state at the time of delivery with a more restrictive immigration policy climate impacts risk of very preterm birth (VPTB) among Hispanic mothers in the United States.	Cross sectional study	This project was supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) under grant number T03MC07651 as part of the first authors dissertation work.	Hispanic mothers
49	Merkt, P., Kramer, M., Goodman, D., et al. ¹⁰⁶	Urban-rural differences in pregnancy-related deaths, United States, 2011-2016	2021	United States	To compare pregnancy-related mortality across and within urban and rural counties in the United States	Cross sectional study	N/A	Pregnancy
50	Li, J., Pesko, M., Unruh, M., et al. ¹⁰⁷	Effect of the Medicaid Primary Care Rate Increase on Prenatal Care Utilization Among Medicaid-Insured Women	2019	United States	To evaluate the increase in Medicaid primary care reimbursement fee on prenatal care utilization	Cohort study	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	Mothers insured by Medicaid
51	Kroelinger C D., Okoroh E M., Goodman D A., et al. ¹⁰⁸	Comparison of state risk- appropriate neonatal care policies with the 2012 AAP policy statement	2018	United States	To compare USA state policies with standards outlined in the 2012 American Academy of	Systematic review	Not stated	US state- wide hospital neonatal care policies

Table I

					Paediatrics Policy Statement on Levels of Neonatal Care.			
52	Khan, A., DeYoung S E. ¹⁰⁹	Maternal health services for refugee populations: Exploration of best practices	2019	United States	To examine the maternal care services provided to refugee women in camps and after resettlement to the United States, and to analyse organisational successes and challenges in service provision.	Qualitative research	No funding sources	Adults 18 years or older who worked in or managed non-profit organisations that provided maternal healthcare services to refugee women, including prenatal, postnatal and family planning services.
53	Jackson F., Rashied-Henry K., Braveman P., et al. ¹¹⁰	A Prematurity Collaborative Birth Equity Consensus Statement for Mothers and Babies	2020	United States	A statement that highlights risks and protections of social determinants based on the prevailing science, and identifies promising solutions for reducing preterm birth and eliminating racial disparities	Narrative text and opinion	N/A	African-American/Na tive American pregnant women
54	Grigorescu V., D'Angelo D., Harrison L. ¹¹¹	Implementation Science and the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System	2014	United States	To describe the restructuring of the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), a surveillance system of the	Qualitative research	N/A	Pregnant women

Table I

					Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)'s Division of Reproductive Health			
55	Callaghan, W. ¹¹²	Geographic variation of reproductive health indicators and outcomes in the United States: place matters	2014	United States	To illustrate variation in reproductive health across various regions and states in the United States and within these regions and states	Observational study	N/A	US adults of reproductive age
56	Bullinger, L., Simon, K., and Tucker Edmonds, B. ¹¹³	Coverage Effects of the ACA's Medicaid Expansion on Adult Reproductive-Aged Women, Postpartum Mothers, and Mothers with Older Children	2022	United States	To estimate the effect of Medicaid expansion on various groups of women	Cross sectional study	N/A	Low income adult women
57	Broussard D.L., Sappenfield W.B., Fussman C., et al. ¹¹⁴	Core State Preconception Health Indicators: A Voluntary, Multi-state Selection Process	2011	United States	Monitor the health of reproductive age women (aged 18-44 years).	Other: Evaluation study	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Health of reproductive age women aged 18-44 years
58	Boulet, S., Johnson, K., Parker, C., et al. ¹¹⁵	A perspective of preconception health activities in the United States	2006	United States	To identify existing programs and innovative strategies for preconception health promotion	Systematic review	U.S. Department of Energy and CDC	
59	Barreto, T., Li, C., Yoon-Kyung, C., et al. ¹¹⁵	Measuring State-Level Racial Inequity in Severe Maternal Morbidity in the Medicaid Population	2021	United States	To identify states with racial equity in maternal morbidity	Cohort study	N/A	Inpatient and outpatient Medicaid claims from 28 states (including GA) and Washington DC

Table I

60	Adams E. K., Johnston E. M. ¹¹⁶	Insuring Women in the United States Before, During, and After Pregnancies	2016	United States	NA	Narrative text and opinion	NA	women of reproductive age
----	--	---	------	------------------	----	----------------------------------	----	---------------------------------

Studies from databases/registers (n = 1099)

References from grey literature (n = 253)

References removed (n = 158)

Duplicates identified manually (n = 1)
Duplicates identified by Covidence (n = 157)

Studies screened (n = 941)

Studies excluded (n = 820)

Studies sought for retrieval (n = 121)

Studies not retrieved (n = 0)


Studies assessed for eligibility (n = 121)

Studies excluded (n = 61)

No full text (n = 12)
Wrong country (n = 3)
Abortion related (n = 1)
No empirical data (n = 6)
Not within time frame (n = 7)
Maternal mortality focus (n = 3)
37 weeks or over gestation (n = 2)
Wrong patient population e.g. paediatric/postpartum (n = 20)
Not related to policy/concept that impacts on maternal health (n = 7)

Studies included in review (n = 60)

POLICY / FRAMEWORK



POLICY / PROGRAMME

1993

Enterprise
Community
Healthy
Start
project

2009

Centering
Pregnancy
program

2010

Georgia
Maternal &
Infant Health
Research
Group founded

2013-2014

Medicaid
expansion
following ACA
(Obamacare)

2021

Black Maternal
Health Omnibus

2005

Georgia
Telehealth Law

2010

The Patient
Protection and
Affordable Care
Act

2018

Preventing
Maternal Deaths
Act

2020

Georgia signs
287(g)
immigration
enforcement
agreement

2021

Build Back
Better Act

LEGISLATION